



Regular solutions for Landau-Lifschitz equation in a bounded domain.

Gilles Carbou, Pierre Fabrie

► To cite this version:

Gilles Carbou, Pierre Fabrie. Regular solutions for Landau-Lifschitz equation in a bounded domain.. Differential and integral equations, 2001, 14 (2), pp.213-229. hal-00296710

HAL Id: hal-00296710

<https://hal.science/hal-00296710>

Submitted on 28 May 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Regular Solutions for Landau-Lifschitz Equation in a Bounded Domain.

Gilles Carbou, Pierre Fabrie

Mathématiques Appliquées de Bordeaux, Université Bordeaux 1, 351 cours de la Libération, 33405 Talence cedex, France.

Abstract : in this paper we prove local existence and uniqueness of regular solutions for a quasistatic model arising in micromagnetism theory. Moreover we show global existence of regular solutions for small data in the 2D case for the Landau-Lifschitz equation. These results extend those already obtained by the authors in the whole space.

AMS Subjects : 35K20, 35Q60.

1 Introduction

The micromagnetism theory is the study of electromagnetic phenomena occuring in soft magnetic material (see [4]). A soft magnetic material is characterized by a spontaneous magnetisation represented by a magnetic moment denoted by $u(t, x)$. This vector field is defined in $[0, T] \times \Omega$, where Ω is the domain where the material is confined. It links the magnetic field H and the magnetic induction by the relation $B = H + \bar{u}$, where $\bar{u}$ is the extension of u by zero outside Ω . Furthermore the norm of u is constant and equal to 1 in $[0, T] \times \Omega$.

The behavior of u is governed by the following Landau-Lifschitz type equation

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - u \wedge (\Delta u + H(u)) + u \wedge \left(u \wedge (\Delta u + H(u)) \right) = 0 & \text{in } [0, T] \times \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } [0, T] \times \partial\Omega, \\ u(0, \cdot) = u_0 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{array} \right. \quad (1.1)$$

where ν is the outward unitary normal on $\partial\Omega$.

In this equation, $H(u)$ represents the magnetic field generated by u . The operator $v \mapsto H(v)$ is defined for v in $L^2(\Omega)$ by :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \text{curl } H(v) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ (from stationary Maxwell equation),} \\ \text{div}(H(v) + \bar{v}) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ (since } \text{div } B = 0 \text{ according to Faraday's law),} \\ H(v) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3), \end{array} \right. \quad (1.2)$$

where $\bar{v}$ is the extension of v by zero outside Ω .

We assume that the initial data u_0 satisfies the hypothesis $(\mathcal{H})$ below

$$(\mathcal{H}) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_0 \in H^2(\Omega), \\ \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \\ |u_0| \equiv 1. \end{array} \right.$$

Our first result is one of local existence and uniqueness of a regular solution for (1.1).

Theorem 1.1 *Assuming that u_0 satisfies $(\mathcal{H})$, there exists a time $T^* > 0$ depending only on the size of the data and there exists a unique u such that for all $T < T^*$,*

$$\begin{cases} u \in \mathcal{C}^0([0, T]; H^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^3(\Omega)), \\ |u(x, t)| = 1 \text{ in } [0, T] \times \Omega, \\ u \text{ satisfies (1.1)}. \end{cases}$$

We next prove the following stability result of the solution.

Theorem 1.2 *Under assumption $(\mathcal{H})$, the regular solution given by theorem 1.1 depends continuously on u_0 for the topology of $\mathcal{C}^0([0, T]; H^2(\Omega))$.*

Finally, we consider the following problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = u \wedge \Delta u - u \wedge (u \wedge \Delta u) \text{ in } [0, T] \times \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } [0, T] \times \partial\Omega, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) \text{ in } \Omega, \\ |u(t, x)| = 1 \text{ in } [0, T] \times \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

Adapting the proof of Theorem 1.1 we obtain that

Theorem 1.3 *Under assumption $(\mathcal{H})$, there exists a time $T^* > 0$ and there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{C}^0([0, T]; H^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^3(\Omega))$ for all $T < T^*$ such that u satisfies (1.3).*

In the 2 dimensional case, we can improve this result and we obtain a theorem of global existence for small data.

Theorem 1.4 *Assuming that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if u_0 satisfies $(\mathcal{H})$ and if $\|\nabla u_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq \delta$ then the regular solution of (1.3) with initial data u_0 exists on $\mathbb{R}^+$.*

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we prove technical Lemmas. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. We prove the stability theorem in section 4. In the last part, we establish Theorem 1.4. The proof of Theorem 1.3 is a simple adaptation of Theorem 1.1 and is left to the reader.

2 Preliminary results

2.1 Regularity results

Lemma 2.1 *Let Ω be a bounded regular open set. There exists a constant C such that for all $u \in H^2(\Omega)$ such that $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$,*

$$\|u\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq C \left(\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\|\nabla u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (2.2)$$

and for $u \in H^3(\Omega)$ such that $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$,

$$\|\nabla u\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq C \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla \Delta u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. The first inequality results from the regularity of the operator $A = I - \Delta$ with domain

$$D(A) = \left\{ u \in H^2(\Omega), \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \right\}$$

see for example [7].

Furthermore, in [8], we find the following result

Proposition 2.1 *Let Ω be a bounded regular open set of $\mathbb{R}^d$, $d \leq 3$. Then, there exists a constant C such that for all $V \in H^m(\Omega)$ such that $V \cdot \nu = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$,*

$$\|V\|_{H^m(\Omega)} \leq C \left(\|V\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\operatorname{div} V\|_{H^{m-1}(\Omega)} + \|\operatorname{curl} V\|_{H^{m-1}(\Omega)} \right).$$

We set $V = \nabla u$ and since $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, we can apply Proposition 2.1 to conclude the proof of Lemma 2.1.

Using Lemma 2.1 and the classical interpolation inequality, we rewrite Sobolev and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities on the following form:

Lemma 2.2 *Let Ω be a regular bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^3$. There exists a constant C such that for all $u \in H^2(\Omega)$ such that $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$,*

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C \left(\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^6(\Omega)} \leq C \left(\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 \leq C \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \left(\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (2.6)$$

and for all $u \in H^3(\Omega)$ such that $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$,

$$\|D^2 u\|_{L^3(\Omega)} \leq C \left(\left(\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla \Delta u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right). \quad (2.7)$$

Proof. In the estimates (2.4)-(2.5) we use (2.1) and classical embedding theorem.

Estimate (2.6) is the well known Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, and (2.7) is the usual embedding of $H^{1/2}(\Omega)$ in $L^3(\Omega)$.

2.2 Study of the operator H

We consider the operator $u \mapsto H(u)$ defined by (1.2). It satisfies

$$\begin{cases} H(u) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3), \\ \operatorname{curl} H(u) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \operatorname{div} (H(u) + \bar{u}) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases}$$

where $\bar{u}$ is the extension of u by zero outside $\overline{\Omega}$.

We observe that $u \mapsto -H(u)$ is the orthogonal projection of $\bar{u}$ on the vector fields of gradients in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Classically, we have

$$\|H(u)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq c\|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}, \quad 1 < p < +\infty. \quad (2.8)$$

Following Ladyshenskaya [10] page 196 we can derive the following regularity result

Lemma 2.3 *Let $p \in]1, +\infty[$. Then, if u belongs to $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ (resp. $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$), the restriction of $H(u)$ to Ω belongs to $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ (resp. $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$) and there exists a constant C such that*

$$\|H(u)\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq C\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}, \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$\|H(u)\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq C\|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)}. \quad (2.10)$$

Proof : as $\operatorname{curl} H = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$ we can assume that H is gradient vector field

$$H = -\nabla\psi.$$

So we have to solve

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\psi = -\operatorname{div} u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\Delta\psi = 0 & \text{in } \Omega', \\ [\psi]_{|\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad \left[\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\nu}\right]_{|\partial\Omega} = u \cdot \nu, \end{cases} \quad (2.11)$$

where $\Omega' = {}^C\overline{\Omega}$ and $[\psi]_{|\partial\Omega}$ is the jump of ψ across $\partial\Omega$.

First step : $W^{1,p}$ regularity.

The main idea is to reduce the problem to an homogeneous problem in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

By classical properties of the trace operator, for u belonging to $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$, there exists a function ψ_1 in $W^{k+1,p}(\Omega_2 \setminus \overline{\Omega})$ such that

$$\psi_1|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad \psi_1|_{\partial\Omega'_2} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial\psi_1}{\partial\nu}\Big|_{\partial\Omega'_2} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial\psi_1}{\partial\nu}\Big|_{\partial\Omega} = u \cdot \nu.$$

Obviously, one has, for some constante c

$$\|\psi_1\|_{W^{k+1,p}(\Omega_2 \setminus \overline{\Omega})} \leq c\|u\|_{W^{k,p}(\Omega)}.$$

So, it is equivalent to find a ψ solution of (2.11) or to find a φ solution of the following homogeneous problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\varphi = -\operatorname{div} u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\Delta(\varphi + \psi_1) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_2 \setminus \overline{\Omega}, \\ -\Delta\varphi = 0 & \text{in } \Omega'_2 \\ [\varphi]|_{\partial\Omega_2} = 0 \quad \left[\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\nu}\right]\Big|_{\partial\Omega_2} = 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.12)$$

In the sequel we use the following notations : $f_1 = -\operatorname{div} u \mathbb{I}_\Omega$, $f_2 = \Delta\psi_1 \mathbb{I}_{\Omega_2 \setminus \overline{\Omega}}$ and $f = f_1 + f_2$. With these notations, φ is solution of

$$-\Delta\varphi = f \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (2.13)$$

and classical regularity results for the Dirichlet problem imply that there exists $\varphi \in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ as soon as $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$. So $\nabla\psi = \nabla\varphi$ belongs to $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Second step : $W^{2,p}$ regularity.

If we assume that $f_1 \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and that $f_2 \in W^{1,p}(\Omega_2 \setminus \overline{\Omega})$, we can show that φ belongs to $W^{3,p}(\Omega) \cap W^{3,p}(\Omega_2 \setminus \overline{\Omega}) \cap W^{3,p}(\Omega'_2)$.

Let us differentiate (2.13) in Ω , in $\Omega_2 \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ and in Ω'_2 , we find

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x} & \text{in } \Omega \\ -\Delta \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x} & \text{in } \Omega_2 \setminus \overline{\Omega}. \\ -\Delta \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega'_2. \end{cases}$$

We observe that as $[\varphi]|_\Omega = 0$ and $\left[\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\nu}\right]\Big|_\Omega = 0$, then $\left[\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x}\right]\Big|_\Omega = 0$.

It remains to study the regularity of $\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu} \left(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x}\right)\right]\Big|_\Omega$.

We claim that $\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu} \left(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x}\right)\right]\Big|_{\Omega_2}$ has the same regularity as $(f_2 - f_1)|_{\partial\Omega_2} = [\Delta\varphi]|_{\partial\Omega_2}$. This is obvious in the half space, and for regular open set, we have by local chart the following properties.

- For any tangential vector field τ , $\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} \left(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x}\right)\right]\Big|_{\partial\Omega_2} = 0$
- $\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu} \left(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x}\right)\right]\Big|_{\partial\Omega_2}$ is equal to some linear expression between $\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} \left(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x}\right)\right]\Big|_{\partial\Omega_2} = 0$ and $[\Delta\varphi]|_{\partial\Omega_2}$.

So $\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu} \left(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x}\right)\right]\Big|_{\partial\Omega_2}$ has the same regularity as $(f_2 - f_1)|_{\partial\Omega_2}$.

We have proved that $\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x}$ satisfies

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -\Delta \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x} \text{ in } \Omega, \\ -\Delta \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x} \text{ in } \Omega_2 \setminus \overline{\Omega}, \\ -\Delta \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega'_2 \\ \left[\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} \right] \Big|_{\partial \Omega_2} = 0, \quad \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} \right) \right] \Big|_{\partial \Omega_2} = g, \end{array} \right.$$

where g belongs to $W^{1-1/p,p}(\partial \Omega_2)$. Thus we conclude as in the previous step.

2.3 Comparison Lemma

We recall without proof a classical comparison Lemma.

Lemma 2.4 *Let $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\mathcal{C}^1$ be non decreasing in its second variable. Assume moreover that $y : I \subset \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function satisfying :*

$$\forall t > 0, \quad y(t) \leq y_0 + \int_0^t f(\tau, y(\tau)) d\tau.$$

Let $z : J \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} z'(t) = f(t, z(t)), \\ z(0) = y_0, \end{cases}$$

Then $\forall t > 0, \quad y(t) \leq z(t)$.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Taking formally the inner product in $L^2(\Omega)$ of (1.1) with Δu makes appear a dissipative term of the form $\|u \wedge \Delta u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$. This dissipation is not sufficient to obtain energy estimate in $H^2(\Omega)$.

We observe then, that for u regular enough and $|u| = 1$ in Ω , the system (1.1) is equivalent to:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u = |\nabla u|^2 u + u \wedge \Delta u + u \wedge H(u) - u \wedge (u \wedge H(u)) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_t^+ \times \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_t^+ \times \partial \Omega, \\ u(0, \cdot) = u_0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

This equation appears to be more convenient to build regular approximate solutions of (1.1), provide we can show *a posteriori* that $|u| \equiv 1$. This property results from the uniqueness of the

following parabolic equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial a}{\partial t} - \Delta a - 2|\nabla u|^2(a-1) = 0, \\ \frac{\partial a}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \\ a(0, \cdot) = a_0 = 1, \end{cases}$$

where $a = |u|^2$ for $u \in L^\infty(0, T; H^2(\Omega))$.

3.1 Resolution of (3.1)

First step : approximate problem.

We denote by V_n the finite dimension space built on the n first eigen-functions of $-\Delta + Id$ with domain $D(A) = \left\{ u \in H^2(\Omega), \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \right\}$, and by P_n the orthogonal projection from $L^2(\Omega)$ on V_n .

So we seek a solution u_n in V_n of

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} - \Delta u_n - P_n \left[|\nabla u_n|^2 u_n + u_n \wedge (\Delta u_n + H(u_n)) - u_n \wedge (u_n \wedge H(u_n)) \right] = 0, \\ u_n(0) = P_n(u_0) \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

Thanks to the Cauchy-Lipschitz Theorem, there exists an unique solution of (3.2) defined on $[0, T_n[$.

Second step : L^2 estimate for the approximate solution.

Taking the inner product in $L^2(\Omega)$ of (3.2) by u_n , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) + \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \|u_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2 \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \quad (3.3)$$

Third step : H^2 estimate for the approximate solution.

We take the inner product of (3.2) by $\Delta^2 u_n$, and we integrate by parts to get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|\Delta u_n(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) + \|\nabla \Delta u_n(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_{\Omega} \nabla \left(|\nabla u_n(t)|^2 u_n(t) \right) \nabla \Delta u_n(t) dx, \\ I_2 &= \int_{\Omega} \nabla \Delta \left(u_n(t) \wedge \Delta u_n(t) \right) \nabla \Delta u_n(t) dx, \\ I_3 &= \int_{\Omega} \nabla \left(u_n(t) \wedge H(u_n(t)) \right) \nabla \Delta u_n(t) dx, \\ I_4 &= - \int_{\Omega} \nabla \left(u_n(t) \wedge \left(u_n(t) \wedge H(u_n(t)) \right) \right) \nabla \Delta u_n(t) dx. \end{aligned}$$

We bound separately each term.

- Estimate on I_1

$$|I_1| \leq C_1 I_{11} + C_2 I_{12}$$

with

$$I_{11} = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^3 |\nabla \Delta u_n| dx,$$

and

$$I_{12} = \int_{\Omega} |D^2 u_n| |\nabla u_n| |u_n| |\nabla \Delta u_n| dx,$$

where $D^p u$ denotes the collection of all derivatives of order exactly p .

Using Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_{11} &\leq \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^3 \|\nabla \Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \\ &\leq C \left(\|u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla \Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

from (2.5).

Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{12}| &\leq \|u_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|D^2 u_n\|_{L^3(\Omega)} \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^6(\Omega)} \|\nabla \Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \\ &\leq \|u_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \left(\left(\|u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\|u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla \Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\|u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C \left(\|u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla \Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + C \left(\|u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{\frac{5}{4}} \|\nabla \Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\frac{3}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

with (2.4), (2.5) and (2.7).

- Estimate on I_2

By Sobolev embeddings and interpolation, I_2 is bounded as follow

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| &\leq \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^6(\Omega)} \|\Delta u_n\|_{L^3(\Omega)} \|\nabla \Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \\ &\leq C \left(\|u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \|\nabla \Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + C \left(\|u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\nabla \Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\frac{3}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

from (2.5) and (2.7).

- Estimate on I_3

$$I_3 = \int_{\Omega} (\nabla u_n \wedge H(u_n)) \nabla \Delta u_n dx + \int_{\Omega} (u_n \wedge \nabla H(u_n)) \nabla \Delta u_n dx.$$

$$|I_3| \leq \left(\|\nabla u_n\|_{L^6(\Omega)} \|H(u_n)\|_{L^3(\Omega)} + \|u_n\|_{L^3(\Omega)} \|\nabla H(u_n)\|_{L^6(\Omega)} \right) \|\nabla \Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)},$$

$$|I_3| \leq C \|\nabla \Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \left(\|u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right),$$

from (2.8), (2.9) and (2.5).

- Estimate on I_4

$$I_4 = I_{41} + I_{42} + I_{43},$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} I_{41} &= \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_n \wedge (u_n \wedge H(u_n)) \nabla \Delta u_n dx, \\ I_{42} &= \int_{\Omega} u_n \wedge (\nabla u_n \wedge H(u_n)) \nabla \Delta u_n dx, \\ I_{43} &= \int_{\Omega} u_n \wedge (u_n \wedge \nabla H(u_n)) \nabla \Delta u_n dx, \end{aligned}$$

We bound separately each term.

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{41}| + |I_{42}| &\leq 2 \|u_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^6(\Omega)} \|H(u_n)\|_{L^3(\Omega)} \|\nabla \Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C \|u_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2 \left(\|u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \end{aligned}$$

from (2.5), (2.8).

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{43}| &\leq C \|u_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2 \|\nabla H(u_n)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\nabla \Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \\ &\leq C \|u_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2 \left(\|u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

Summing the estimates on I_1 , I_2 , I_3 and I_4 , and using (2.4), we obtain that there exists a constant C independent of u_0 and n such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \left(\|\Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) + 2 \|\nabla \Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \\ &C \left(1 + \left(\|u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{\frac{5}{4}} \right) \|\nabla \Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\frac{3}{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Fourth step : limit when n goes to $+\infty$.

Summing (3.3) and (3.4) and absorbing $\|\nabla \Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$, one finds a constant k_1 such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \left(\|u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) + \|\nabla \Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \\ &k_1 \left(1 + \left(\|u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^5 \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Using the comparison Lemma, we obtain that there exist a time T^* and a constant C depending on the size of the initial data in $H^2(\Omega)$, but independent of n , such that for any $T < T^*$

$$\sup_{t \leq T} \|u_n(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq C,$$

$$\int_0^T \left(\|\nabla u_n(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \Delta u_n(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 \right) d\tau \leq C,$$

and also, by the equation (3.2),

$$\sup_{t \leq T} \left\| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t}(t) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq C,$$

$$\int_0^T \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla u_n(\tau) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 d\tau \leq C.$$

Hence, we obtain the existence of a subsequence u_{n_k} and a function u such that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} u_{n_k} \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } L^2(0, T; H^3(\Omega)) & \text{weak,} \\ u_{n_k} \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } L^\infty(0, T; H^2(\Omega)) & \text{weak*}, \\ \frac{\partial u_{n_k}}{\partial t} \rightharpoonup \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \text{ in } L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) & \text{weak,} \end{array} \right.$$

and according to Aubin's lemma we can assume that

$$u_{n_k} \longrightarrow u \text{ in } L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega)) \text{ strong.}$$

And so,

$$u_{n_k} \longrightarrow u \text{ in } L^p(0, T; H^2(\Omega)) \text{ strong, } 1 < p < \infty$$

Moreover, as H is a continuous map on $H^m(\Omega)$, for $m = 0, 1, 2$, one has

$$H(u_{n_k}) \longrightarrow H(u) \text{ in } L^p(0, T; H^2(\Omega)) \text{ strong, } 1 < p < \infty$$

So, we can take the limit in (3.2), and we obtain that u satisfies

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u = |\nabla u|^2 u + u \wedge (\Delta u + H(u)) - u \wedge (u \wedge H(u)) \text{ on } [0, T^*] \times \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } [0, T^*] \times \partial\Omega, \\ u(0) = u_0 \end{array} \right.$$

3.2 Conservation of the ponctual norm

Taking the scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^3$ of (3.1) by u , we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |u|^2 - (u \cdot \Delta u) - |\nabla u|^2 |u|^2 = 0 \text{ in } (0, T) \times \Omega. \quad (3.6)$$

As u belongs to $L^\infty((0, T); H^2(\Omega))$ the following identity is valid for $d \leq 3$:

$$\Delta |u|^2 = 2(u \cdot \Delta u) + 2|\nabla u|^2,$$

so (3.6) becomes

$$\frac{d}{dt} |u|^2 - \Delta |u|^2 - 2|\nabla u|^2 (|u|^2 - 1) = 0.$$

Let us note by $b = |u|^2 - 1$. We have proved that b solves

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial b}{\partial t} - \Delta b - 2|\nabla u|^2 b = 0 \\ \frac{\partial b}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \\ b(0) = |u_0|^2 - 1 = 0 \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

Now, we remark that $|\nabla u|^2$ belongs to $L^1(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega))$ since $H^2(\Omega) \subset L^\infty(\Omega)$. Hence the energy estimate associated to (3.7) gives

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|b\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla b\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|b\|_{L^2}^2$$

and we conclude that $\|b\|_{L^2}^2 = 0$ through Gronwall inequality.

So we have proved that $|u| = 1$ in $[0, T] \times \Omega$, as soon as $|u_0| = 1$ on Ω .

Now, if $|u| \equiv 1$, then (3.1) is equivalent to (1.1). Hence, the proof of Theorem 1.1 is fulfilled.

4 Stability Results

Let us denote by u_1 and u_2 two solutions of (3.1), $T^* = \min(T_1^*, T_2^*)$, and $v = u_1 - u_2$. Then, we have the following proposition

Proposition 4.1 *For all $T < T^*$, there exists a constant C such that*

$$\sup_{t \leq T} \|v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \|v(0)\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Furthermore, we can prove the following H^2 stability result

Proposition 4.2 *For all $T < T^*$ there exists a constant C such that*

$$\sup_{t \leq T} \left(\|v(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta v(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \leq C \left(\|v(0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta v(0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right).$$

and such that

$$\|v\|_{L^2(0, T; H^3(\Omega))} \leq C \left(\|v(0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta v(0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right).$$

4.1 Uniqueness and L^2 stability

Proof : the difference v satisfies the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \Delta v &= v \wedge (\Delta u_1 + H(u_1)) + u_2 \wedge (\Delta v + H(v)) \\ &+ |\nabla u_1|^2 v + \left(|\nabla u_1|^2 - |\nabla u_2|^2 \right) u_2 - v \wedge (u_1 \wedge H(u_1)) \\ &- u_2 \wedge (v \wedge H(u_1)) + u_2 \wedge H(v). \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Taking the inner product in L^2 of (4.1) by v , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) + \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &\leq \int_{\Omega} (u_2 \wedge \Delta v) v dx + \int_{\Omega} (u_2 \wedge H(v)) v dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_1|^2 |v|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_1| + |\nabla u_2|) |\nabla v| |u_2| |v| dx \\ &- \int_{\Omega} u_2 \wedge (v \wedge H(u_1)) v dx - \int_{\Omega} u_2 \wedge (u_2 \wedge H(v)) v dx. \end{aligned}$$

After an integration by parts of the first term of the right-hand side of the equation above, we obtain, as $|u_1| \equiv 1$ and $|u_2| \equiv 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) + 2 \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &\leq \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\nabla u_2\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \\ &+ C \left(1 + \|\nabla u_1\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2 \right) \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|H(u_1)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &+ C \left(\|\nabla u_1\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|\nabla u_2\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \right) \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

As u_1 and u_2 are bounded in $L^\infty(0, T; H^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^3(\Omega))$, we obtain that there exists a function f belonging to $L^1(0, T)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 \leq f(t) \|v\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (4.2)$$

The end of the proof of Proposition 4.1 follows from Gronwall lemma.

4.2 H^2 stability

We go back to Galerkin approximation of (4.1). Taking the inner product of this approximation with $\Delta^2 v_n$, integrating by parts on Ω , integrating in time between 0 and t , and taking the limit when n tends to $+\infty$, we obtain the following inequality, using the lower semi-continuity of the norm under the weak topology

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\Delta v(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla \Delta v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 ds \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta v_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_0^t (I_1 + \dots + I_8)(s) ds$$

where $I_1, \dots, I_8$ are eight terms which we bound separately without details

- $I_1 = \left| \int_{\Omega} \nabla (v \wedge \Delta u_1) \nabla \Delta v dx \right| \leq g_1(t) \left(\|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \Delta v\|_{L^2(\Omega)},$
where $g_1 \in L^2(0, T)$.
- $I_2 = \left| \int_{\Omega} \nabla (v \wedge H(u_1)) \nabla \Delta v dx \right| \leq g_2(t) \left(\|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \Delta v\|_{L^2(\Omega)},$
where $g_2 \in L^\infty(0, T)$.
- $I_3 = \left| \int_{\Omega} \nabla (u_2 \wedge \Delta v) \nabla \Delta v dx \right| \leq g_3(t) \left(\|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \Delta v\|_{L^2(\Omega)},$
where $g_3 \in L^4(0, T)$.

- $I_4 = \left| \int_{\Omega} \nabla (u_2 \wedge H(v)) \nabla \Delta v dx \right| \leq g_4(t) \left(\|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \Delta v\|_{L^2(\Omega)},$
where $g_4 \in L^\infty(0, T)$.
- $I_5 = \left| \int_{\Omega} \nabla (|\nabla u_1|^2 v) \nabla \Delta v dx \right| \leq g_5(t) \left(\|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \Delta v\|_{L^2(\Omega)},$
where $g_5 \in L^1(0, T)$.
- $I_6 = \left| \int_{\Omega} \nabla \left((|\nabla u_1|^2 - |\nabla u_2|^2) u_2 \right) \nabla \Delta v dx \right|$
 $\leq g_6(t) \left(\left(\|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) + \left(\|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \Delta v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right)$
where $g_6 \in L^4(0, T)$.
- $I_7 = \left| \int_{\Omega} \nabla (v \wedge (u_1 \wedge H(u_1))) \nabla \Delta v dx \right| \leq g_7(t) \left(\|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \Delta v\|_{L^2(\Omega)},$
where $g_7 \in L^\infty(0, T)$.
- $I_8 = \left| \int_{\Omega} \nabla (u_2 \wedge (v \wedge H(u_1) + u_2 \wedge H(v))) \nabla \Delta v dx \right| \leq g_8(t) \left(\|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right),$
where $g_8 \in L^\infty(0, T)$.

Furthermore, using Young inequality, we get the existence of a function denoted f lying in $L^1(0, T)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta v(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 2 \int_0^t \|\nabla \Delta v(s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 ds &\leq \|\Delta v_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &+ \int_0^t f(s) \left(\|v(s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta v(s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) ds. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Then, integrating (4.2) and summing with (4.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\|v(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta v(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) + 2 \int_0^t \left(\|\Delta v(s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla \Delta v(s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) ds &\leq \\ \left(\|v_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta v_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) + \int_0^t f(s) \left(\|v(s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta v(s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) ds & \end{aligned}$$

Using Gronwall Lemma, we derive the proof of Proposition 4.2 and Theorem 1.2.

5 Proof of Theorem 1.4

We deal now with the problem (1.3)

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = u \wedge \Delta u - u \wedge (u \wedge \Delta u) \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \\ u(0) = u_0, \\ |u| \equiv 1. \end{cases}$$

Under Assumption (H), the proof of local existence of regular solutions (see Theorem 1.3) is now straightforward : it is a simplification of the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Now, in the 2D case, we can improve the previous result by showing global existence for small data.

First step : energy estimate on ∇u .

We observe that as $|u| \equiv 1$, the first equation of (5.4) is equivalent to

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - u \wedge \Delta u + u \wedge (u \wedge \Delta u) = 0 \quad (5.1)$$

and to

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \wedge \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - 2u \wedge \Delta u = 0. \quad (5.2)$$

Now multiplying (5.1) by $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ and (5.2) by $-2\Delta u$ we get

$$\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_0^t \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(\tau) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 d\tau = \|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2. \quad (5.3)$$

Second step : estimate on Δu .

We know that for regular solutions, (1.3) is equivalent to the following problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u = -|\nabla u|^2 u + u \wedge \Delta u \text{ on } [0, T] \times \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } [0, T] \times \partial\Omega \\ u(0) = u_0, \\ |u| = 1 \text{ on } [0, T] \times \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (5.4)$$

Taking the inner product in $L^2(\Omega)$ of the first equation of (5.4) by Δu , we obtain since $(u \cdot \Delta u) = -|\nabla u|^2$,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \|\nabla u\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^4. \quad (5.5)$$

In two dimensional space, the following Sobolev estimate is valid :

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla u\|_{L^4(\Omega)} &\leq C(\Omega)\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{1/2} \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{1/4}, \\
\|\nabla u\|_{L^6(\Omega)} &\leq C(\Omega)\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{1/3} \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{1/3}, \\
\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} &\leq C(\Omega)\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{1/2} \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla \Delta u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{1/4}, \\
\|\Delta u\|_{L^4(\Omega)} &\leq C(\Omega)\|\Delta u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{1/2} \left(\|\Delta u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla \Delta u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{1/4}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

So inequality (5.5) gives

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \left(1 - C_1 \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2\right) \|\Delta u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq C_2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^4. \tag{5.7}$$

Using (5.3), we obtain that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \left(1 - C_1 \|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2\right) \|\Delta u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq C_2 \|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^4. \tag{5.8}$$

Integrating (5.8) between 0 and t , using (5.8), we obtain that if $\|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2C_1}$, then

$$\int_0^t \|\Delta u(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 d\tau \leq \|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C_2 \|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^4 t. \tag{5.9}$$

Third step : estimate on $\nabla \Delta u$.

As in Section 3.1, we build regular solutions of (5.4) using a Galerkin approximation process.

We seek a solution u_n in V_n to

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} - \Delta u_n = P_n \left(|\nabla u_n|^2 u_n + u_n \wedge \Delta u_n \right), \\ u_n(0) = P_n(u_0). \end{cases} \tag{5.10}$$

Taking the inner product of (5.10) by $\Delta^2 u_n$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|\Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) + \|\nabla \Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &\leq \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^3 \|\nabla \Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
+ \|u_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \left(\|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{1/2} &\|\nabla \Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
+ \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^4(\Omega)} \|\Delta u_n\|_{L^4(\Omega)} \|\nabla \Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. &
\end{aligned}$$

According to inequality (5.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla \Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq C \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \left\{ \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right\} \|\nabla \Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
& + C \|u_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{1/2} \left\{ \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla \Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right\}^{1/4} \\
& \times \left\{ \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right\}^{1/2} \|\nabla \Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
& + C \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{1/2} \left\{ \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right\}^{1/4} \\
& \times \left\{ \|\Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla \Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right\}^{1/4} \|\Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{1/2} \|\nabla \Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Young inequality, and after absorbtion of the higher degree term, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla \Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq C \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \left\{ \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right\}^2 \\
& + C \|u_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2 \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \left\{ \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C \|\Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right\}^{3/2} \\
& + C \|u_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^4 \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \left\{ \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right\}^2 \\
& + C \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \left\{ \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right\}^{1/2} \|\Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\
& + C \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \left\{ \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right\} \|\Delta u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

We perform an integration in time of the previous equation. As $|u| \equiv 1$, $\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2}$ and as the norms are lower semi continuous for the weak topology we obtain a constant k_4 such that

$$\|\Delta u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla \Delta u(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 d\tau \leq \|\Delta u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + k_4 \int_0^t (1 + \|\Delta u(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^4) d\tau \quad (5.11)$$

Fourth step : conclusion.

Now for $\|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2C_1}$, we have obtain inequality (5.9) in the second step.

So we can apply Gronwall lemma to (5.11) to obtain

$$\forall t \leq T < T^*, \quad \|\Delta u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla \Delta u(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 d\tau \leq h(t)$$

where h is a nonnegative continuous function on $\mathbb{R}_+$. So the solution given by theorem 1.3 is global as soon as

$$\|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2C_1}.$$

This ends the proof of Theorem 1.4.

References

- [1] R. A. Adams, “ Sobolev space, Pure and Applied Math. ”, Vol 65, Academic press 1975.
- [2] S. Agmon, “ Elliptic boundary values problems”, Van Nostrand Company, 1965.
- [3] F. Alouges and A. Soyeur, *On global solutions for Landau Lifschitz equations : existence and non uniqueness*, Nonlinear Anal. TMA 18 (11) 1071-1084 (1992).
- [4] W.F. Brown, “ Micromagnetics, Interscience Publisher”, John Willey and Sons, New York 1963.
- [5] G. Carbou and F. Fabrie, *Time average in Micromagnetism*, Journal of Differential Equations **147**, 383-409 (1998) .
- [6] G. Carbou and F. Fabrie, *Regular solutions for Landau-Lifschitz equation in $\mathbb{R}^3$* , Communications in Applied Analysis, to appear.
- [7] R. Dautray, J.-L. Lions, “Mathematical analysis and numerical methods, sciences and technology”, Springer Verlag.
- [8] G. Foias and R. Temam, *Remarques sur les équations de Navier-Stokes stationnaires et les phénomènes successifs de bifurcation*, An. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa IV, **5**, 29-63 (1978).
- [9] J.-L. Joly, G. Metivier and J. Rauch, *Global solutions to Maxwell equations in a ferromagnetic medium*, Preprint MAB.
- [10] O.A. Ladyenskaya, “The boundary value problem of mathematical physics”, Springer Verlag Applied Math. Sciences, Vol 49, 1985
- [11] J.-L. Lions, “Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires”, Dunod, Gauthier-Villars, Paris 1969
- [12] G. Metivier *Private communication*
- [13] J. Simon, Compact sets in the space $L^p(0, T; B)$, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl, vol 4, **146**, 65-96 (1987).
- [14] A. Visintin, *On Landau Lifschitz equation for ferromagnetism*, Japan Journal of Applied Mathematics, Vol 2, **1**, 69-84 (1985).