

Columnar modelling of nucleation burst evolution in the convective boundary layer? first results from a feasibility study Part II: Meteorological characterisation

O. Hellmuth

► To cite this version:

O. Hellmuth. Columnar modelling of nucleation burst evolution in the convective boundary layer? first results from a feasibility study Part II: Meteorological characterisation. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 2006, 6 (12), pp.4215-4230. hal-00296030

HAL Id: hal-00296030 https://hal.science/hal-00296030

Submitted on 18 Jun 2008

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Columnar modelling of nucleation burst evolution in the convective boundary layer – first results from a feasibility study Part II: Meteorological characterisation

O. Hellmuth

Leibniz Institute for Tropospheric Research, Modelling Department, Permoserstrasse 15, 04318 Leipzig, Germany

Received: 1 August 2005 – Published in Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.: 10 November 2005 Revised: 14 February 2006 – Accepted: 11 May 2006 – Published: 21 September 2006

Abstract. While in Paper I of four papers a revised columnar high-order modelling approach to investigate gas-aerosolturbulence interactions in the convective boundary layer (CBL) was deduced, in the present Paper II the model capability to predict the evolution of meteorological CBL parameters is demonstrated. Based on a model setup to simulate typical CBL conditions, predicted first-, second- and thirdorder moments were shown to agree very well with those obtained from in situ and remote sensing turbulence measurements such as aircraft, SODAR and LIDAR measurements as well as with those derived from ensemble-averaged large eddy simulations and wind tunnel experiments. The results show, that the model is able to predict the meteorological CBL parameters, required to verify or falsify, respectively, previous hypothesis on the interaction between CBL turbulence and new particle formation.

1 Introduction

In Paper I a high-order modelling approach to interpret "continental-type" particle formation bursts in the anthropogenically influenced CBL was proposed. The model considers a third-order closure for planetary boundary layer (PBL) turbulence, sulphur and ammonia chemistry as well as aerosol dynamics. In the present Paper II, simulation results of typical meteorological conditions will be presented, under which new particle formation (NPF) in the anthropogenically influenced CBL can be observed. Atmospheric NPF is known to widely and frequently occur in Earth's atmosphere (Kulmala, 2003). So far, the most comprehensive review of observations and phenomenological studies of NPF, atmospheric conditions under which NPF has been observed and empirical nucleations rates etc. over the past decade, from a global

Correspondence to: O. Hellmuth (olaf@tropos.de)

retrospective and from different sensor platforms was performed by Kulmala et al. (2004b). The general pattern of typical NPF events, frequently occurring in the boundary layer, can be seen, e.g., from observations presented in Kulmala et al. (1998, Figs. 3 and 4), Clement and Ford (1999, Fig. 2), Birmili and Wiedensohler (2000, Fig. 1), Birmili et al. (2000, Figs. 1 and 2), Coe et al. (2000, Fig. 1), Aalto et al. (2001, Figs. 8, 11 and 13), Buzorius et al. (2001, Fig. 6), Clement et al. (2001, Fig. 1), Kulmala et al. (2001a, Fig. 1), Kulmala et al. (2001b, Fig. 4), Nilsson et al. (2001a, Fig. 4), Boy and Kulmala (2002, Fig. 1), Birmili et al. (2003, Figs. 1, 2, 4, 5 and 14), Boy et al. (2003, Fig. 1), Buzorius et al. (2003, Fig. 6), Stratmann et al. (2003, Figs. 10, 11 and 17), Boy et al. (2004, Figs. 1 and 2), Held et al. (2004, Figs. 1, 2 and 3), Kulmala et al. (2004a, Fig. 1), Kulmala et al. (2004b, Fig. 2), O'Dowd et al. (2004, Fig. 3), Siebert et al. (2004, Fig. 3), Steinbrecher and the BEWA2000-Team (2004, Fig. 5), Dal Maso et al. (2005, Figs. 2 and 4), Gaydos et al. (2005, Figs. 1, 3 and 4) and Kulmala et al. (2005, Fig. 1). Process studies, related to NPF in the CBL, have been performed, e.g., by Nilsson et al. (2000), Aalto et al. (2001), Buzorius et al. (2001), Nilsson et al. (2001a,b), Boy and Kulmala (2002), Buzorius et al. (2003), Stratmann et al. (2003), Siebert et al. (2004), Uhrner et al. (2003) and Boy et al. (2004). These studies provide empirical evidences for the contribution of CBL turbulence to NPF. For a more detailed discussion the reader is referred to Paper I.

In the present Paper II, the time-height evolution of meteorological fields, i.e., both mean variables and turbulence properties in the CBL, will be considered. Based on a compilation of available data from the literature, predicted first-, secondand third-order moments of meteorological variables will be evaluated using data from previous measurements and simulations of CBL turbulence. A comprehensive model verification and/or validation would require a dedicated boundary layer surveying including vertical profiling of high-order moments of meteorological parameters. This is beyond the scope of the present paper. Instead of this, here we will focus on a comparison with previous observations, that are typical for the CBL evolution. The model is assessed with respect to its capability to reproduce typical CBL features, that are reported in the literature to frequently occur during daytime NPF events.

2 General picture of the PBL evolution

To our knowledge Prandtl (1905) was the first, who had introduced the concept of the boundary layer to the engineering and fluid mechanics community (see, e.g., Hess, 2004). Since that time, this concept has been successfully applied to atmospheric phenomena. The typical PBL evolution over land under clear-sky conditions is previously described, e.g., by Garratt (1992, p. 145-164) and Stull (1997, p. 9-19). A schematic representation of the PBL evolution is given in Fig. 1. To explain the annotation used here, we follow the PBL description of Garratt (1992, p. 145-164, Fig. $(6.1)^1$. Under clear-sky conditions, the PBL over land shows a strong diurnal development. In the mid-latitude summertime atmosphere the PBL typically reaches a height of 1-2 km in mid afternoon. This type of PBL is usually denoted as CBL or unstable PBL. To characterise the turbulence in the CBL several basic scaling parameters have been proposed: kinematic surface heat flux, surface flux of momentum, the height above the surface and the mixing layer height (MLH). The MLH is defined as the mean height, to which turbulence extends. In general, scalar quantities are well-mixed to this height in very unstable conditions (Holtslag, 1987, p. 13-16). To characterise the turbulence structure in the CBL, Holtslag (1987, Fig. 1, p. 14) proposed the use of two independent non-dimensional parameters, that are the non-dimensional height z/z_i and the stability parameter $z_i/|L|$, with z_i denoting the MLH and L the Monin– Obukhov length scale. The latter is defined using the surface fluxes of heat and momentum (Monin and Obukhov, 1990; Monin and Obuchow, 1958). Plotting the parameter z/z_i against a typical range of $-z_i/L$ allows the separation of different turbulence regimes, which can be characterised by specific scaling properties for velocity, temperature and length.

According to Garratt (1992, p. 145–148, verbatim), the evolution of the CBL from sunrise throughout the daylight hours includes several stages (Fig. 1):

1. Breakdown of the nocturnal inversion through insolation-induced heating, followed by the development of a shallow, well-mixed layer (A in Fig. 1);

- Subsequent development of a deep, well-mixed boundary layer, under circumstances accompanied by a strong capping inversion. This elevated inversion layer atop the CBL is called the interfacial layer²;
- 3. Occurrence of a stable stratification in the upper part of the CBL, apparently related to entrainment processes across the inversion;
- 4. Formation of a surface inversion due to radiationinduced cooling of the surface prior to sunset (B in Fig. 1).

The mean structure of the quasi-stationary CBL can be physically characterised as follows:

- Surface layer: This layer is limited to depths z < |L|. The surface layer is characterised by the validity of Monin-Obukhov theory (Monin and Obuchow, 1958; Monin and Obukhov, 1990). In this layer, the turbulent momentum flux (or the friction velocity) and the turbulent heat flux can be considered to be nearly independent of height. The definition of the surface layer is also closely related to the concept of the mixing length l proposed by Prandtl (1925). Over the mixing length, the momentum of an eddy is conserved, in analogy to the molecular mean path. In the surface layer, the eddy diffusivity parameterisation assumes the classical form of the downgradient approach, i.e., $u'w' = -K_m \partial \overline{u} / \partial z$, with $\overline{u'w'}$ denoting the turbulent vertical transport of the x-component of the horizontal wind (i.e., u), \overline{u} denoting the averaged value of u, and K_m being the turbulent eddy diffusivity of momentum. According to the mixing length concept, the eddy diffusivity K_m is direct proportional to l^2 . In the surface layer, sometimes also called Prandtl layer, the size of the eddy is proportional to the height above the surface, i.e., $l = \kappa z$, where κ denotes the von Kármán constant. Because the stress

¹As the PBL evolution presented in Stull (1997, Fig. 1.7, p. 11) is centred around midnight along the time axis, here we prefer the illustration given in Garratt (1992, Fig. 6.1, p. 146), in which the evolution is centred around midday. Apart from this, the annotation used in both textbooks are quasi-identical.

²As in this layer entrainment processes take place, the interfacial layer is also denoted as entrainment layer, e.g., in Stull (1997, Fig. 1.7, p. 11). Turner (1973) defined entrainment as the process, whereby miscible fluid is exchanged across a density interface bounding a region of turbulent flow. Garratt (1992, p. 150) wrote: "In the exchange process, relatively quiescent fluid is engulfed by turbulent motions penetrating across the mean density interface and is subsequently mixed into the turbulent region. Smaller-scale motion is rapidly damped by the interfacial density gradient so that a sharp interface is maintained which advances into the quiescent layer causing the turbulent layer to thicken. [...] With entrainment, air is transferred across the capping inversion from above to within the CBL at the expense of the turbulent kinetic energy. [...] relevant mechanisms include shear-stress driven entrainment and the buoyancy driven entrainment associated with penetrative convection." The interfacial layer should not be confused with the thin layer, called microlayer or interfacial sublayer, identified in the lowest few centimeters just above the surface, where molecular transport dominates over turbulent transport.



Fig. 1. Typical PBL evolution during the course of the day over land under clear-sky conditions (redrawn from Garratt, 1992, Fig. 6.1, p. 146).

is nearly constant in the surface layer, this parameterisation leads to the famous logarithmic wind profile (see Hess, 2004). The identification of the Prandtl layer with the layer of constant momentum flux was also accepted, e.g., by Gerrity Jr. (1976).

In the surface layer, non-dimensional mean profiles, turbulence spectra and integral turbulence characteristics depend upon $\zeta = z/L$. For the horizontal velocity turbulence components, z_i/L is the relevant scaling, with z_i denoting the CBL depth.

- *Free convection layer*: This layer is confined to $|L| < z < 0.1z_i$. For the characteristic scaling parameters of velocity and temperature, the reader is referred to Garratt (1992, p. 146 and Eqs. (3.30a)–(3.30b) on p. 51).
- *Mixed layer*: This layer spans the main part of the CBL with $0.1 < z/z_i < 1$. It is characterised by large values of the convective velocity scale w_{\star} (Garratt, 1992, Eq. (1.12) on p. 10), almost small vertical gradients of potential temperature and mean wind even in the presence of large geostrophic wind shear. In the CBL, the turbulent heat flux decreases approximately linearly with height, leading to a warming of the whole layer at an uniform rate. The dominant scaling properties are the MLH z_i , the convective velocity scale w_{\star} (Garratt, 1992, Eq. (1.12) on p. 10) and convective temperature scale T_{\star} (Garratt, 1992, Eq. (1.13) on p. 11).
- Inversion or interfacial layer: This layer is dominated by the occurrence of local entrainment and characterised by the properties of the capping inversion and the stable region above. The inversion layer has an undulating structure, with imbedded hummocks caused by con-

vective thermals originating from the surface and penetrating into the inversion from below.

When turbulence aloft can not be maintained against viscous dissipation, the CBL decays. Over land and under clear-sky conditions the decay of the CBL sets in in the late afternoon and towards sunset, i.e., when the surface buoyancy flux decreases rapidly towards zero and changes its sign. This way, the main source of turbulent kinetic energy (TKE) is removed. Consequently, the TKE and other turbulent properties disappear in the near-adiabatic remnant of the daytime CBL. This layer of air is sometimes called residual layer (RL), because its initial mean state variables and concentration variables are the same as those of the recently-decayed mixed layer. The RL does not have direct contact with the ground and is not affected by turbulent transport of surfacerelated properties (Stull, 1997, p. 14-15). After sunset, turbulence in the upper part of the old daytime CBL continues to decay. At the same time, at low levels both a surface inversion (not to be confused with the so-called surface layer) and a shallow, nocturnal boundary layer (NBL) develop. The NBL is defined in terms of the depth of turbulence, and the surface inversion is usually defined in terms of temperature profile characteristics. In general, the surface inversion is deeper than the NBL. The NBL can be defined as the shallow, turbulent layer above which the mean shear stress and heat flux are negligible small (Garratt, 1992, p. 163-165, verbatim). The classical CBL picture was frequently confirmed by observations, e.g., by Cohn and Angevine (2000) using ground-based high-resolution Doppler-LIDAR, aerosol-backscatter LIDAR and wind profiler. Nilsson et al. (2001b) found NPF events preferentially occurring in boundary layers essentially following that pattern. During the BIO-FOR experiment in spring 1999, NPF was frequently ob-



Fig. 2. Initial vertical profiles: (a) Potential temperature and water vapour mixing ratio; (b) Large-scale subsidence velocity.

served in CBLs formed in arctic and polar air masses during cold air outbreaks favouring clear-sky conditions and, subsequently, leading to an insolation-forced boundary layer evolution (Kulmala et al., 2001b).

Such events were typically associated with rapid development and growth of a mixed layer, subsequent convection and strong entrainment. It will be shown, that the boundary layer considered here depicts in general that situation.

3 Meteorological fields predicted by a third-order turbulence closure model

3.1 Model setup

One way to perform a modelling study on gas-aerosol interactions in a turbulent CBL flow is to empirically prescribe the meteorological parameters, e.g., such as realised in the approach of Verver et al. (1997). In their second-order turbulence modelling study on chemical reactions, the authors specified stationary profiles for temperature and temperature variance in the well-mixed layer as well as entrainment and surface fluxes for the boundary conditions. This way, an explicit simulation of the evolution of the boundary layer can be avoided. In opposite to this, in the present study the CBL evolution will be explicitly simulated.

The initial profiles of potential temperature and water vapour mixing ratio are shown in Fig. 2a. At the beginning, the atmosphere is stably stratified. The components of the geostrophic wind are considered to be time-independent with $u_g=5$ m/s, $v_g=0$ m/s. The large-scale subsidence was adjusted according to Fig. 2b, whereas the vertical velocity was kept constant over the period of time integration. The model was integrated from 03:00 to 21:00 LST (Local Standard Time).

3.2 First-order moments

Horizontal wind components (Figs. 3a, b): The wind field is forced by a time-independent x-component of the geostrophic wind at all heights. Due to frictional forcing, induced by the Reynolds stresses, the \overline{u} wind decreases from the MLH toward the ground, while the \overline{v} wind steadily increases in the course of the day and throughout the CBL due to Coriolis forcing. Consequently, an Ekman helix forms. The MLH evolution can be clearly seen from the narrow transition zone separating the geostrophic wind regime from the turbulence regime below. When the mixing layer collapses in the evening, a weakly supergeostrophic \overline{u} wind starts to form in the residual layer.

Potential temperature and temperature (Figs. 3c, d): Starting with a stable temperature stratification at night, the temperature in the surface layer assumes its minimum in the early morning before sunrise. This is a result of radiative surface cooling followed by downward directed sensible heat flux. At that time, NPF is favoured to occur as will be shown in Paper III. After sunrise, the surface temperature starts to increase due to the increasing sensible heat flux, which disfavours NPF. As a result, during the day a mixed layer with increasing potential temperature forms. The large-scale subsidence has a strong stabilisation effect, hence tending to constrain the CBL evolution and the MLH. In the evening, the atmospheric stratification becomes more and more stable due to radiative surface cooling followed by downward directed turbulent heat flux in the surface layer.

Water vapour mixing ratio and relative humidity (Figs. 3e, f): The latent heat flux assumes its minimum just before sunrise, hence leading to the maximum of the water vapour mixing ratio in the Prandtl layer at that time. The near-surface air can easily become saturated with water vapour, leading to the formation of radiation fog and favouring NPF owing to high relative humidity. Later on, the evolution of relative humidity is controlled by the sensible and latent heat flux in the surface layer as well as by CBL heat-



4219

Fig. 3. First-order moments of meteorological variables: (a) x-wind; (b) y-wind; (c) Potential temperature; (d) Temperature; (e) Water vapour mixing ratio; (f) Relative humidity.

ing/drying due to large-scale subsidence and net radiative heating throughout the CBL. Due to CBL warming and drying the relative humidity decreases during the course of the day, hence disfavouring NPF. This dependency of NPF on humidity is only valid for the "inorganic" nucleation scenarios considered here, which are based on the classical nucleation theory (CNT). As hypothesised and experimentally confirmed by laboratory and field measurements, high water vapour concentrations can also disfavour NPF, especially in boreal forests, where organic chemistry is supposed to play a key role (Bonn and Moortgat, 2002; Bonn et al., 2002; Boy and Kulmala, 2002; Bonn and Moortgat, 2003; Boy, 2003; Bonn et al., 2004; Hyvönen et al., 2005). This issue will be discussed in more detail in Paper IV.



Fig. 4. Components of the Reynolds-stress tensor.

3.3 Second-order moments

3.3.1 Components of the Reynolds stress tensor

The simulation results of the components of the Reynolds stress tensor are presented in Figs. 4a–f.

The variances $\overline{u'u'}$ (Fig. 4a) and $\overline{v'v'}$ (Fig. 4d), respectively, exceed their maxima at the first half level, i.e., at the surface, resulting from surface-momentum friction. From there, the variances of horizontal velocity components decrease to attain their minima in the upper third of the CBL. Afterwards, the variances increase again to attain secondary maxima in

the entrainment zone. Above the CBL, the variances of horizontal wind components rest at their numerical minima. At the culmination of CBL evolution, the variance of the vertical velocity (Fig. 4f) attains a well-defined maximum in the lower third of the CBL. In opposite to horizontal wind variances, the vertical wind variance assumes a minimum at the surface half level, where large eddies just form and start to rise, thereby turning around the horizontal flow into vertical direction. In the course of the day, the horizontal and vertical wind variances attain their maxima in the early afternoon. This corresponds to the diurnal maximum of TKE and turbulent length scale in the middle of the CBL, leading to a maximum of the turbulent exchange. In Figs. 4c and e the components of the turbulent momentum fluxes, i.e., w'u' and w'v', are shown. In the CBL, the turbulent momentum fluxes are negative, i.e., they are downward directed with maximum negative values occurring in the lowermost model layers. The friction due to surface roughness serves as a sink for momentum. The cross-correlation of momentum fluctuations $\overline{u'v'}$, shown in Fig. 4b, is slightly positive in most parts of the CBL, except for the lowest half level and the entrainment zone, where momentum component fluctuations are clearly anti-correlated. In the bulk of the CBL positive/negative *u*-wind fluctuations coincide with corresponding positive/negative *v*-wind fluctuations.

Next, the simulation results will be compared with available reference data from previous studies. The vertical distribution of wind variances u'u', v'v', w'w' at the time of the welldeveloped CBL is very similar to that obtained from large eddy simulation (LES) of the free convective atmospheric boundary layer with an overlying capping inversion, such as performed by Mason (1989, Figs. 5b, 6b and 18 for u'u'; Figs. 5a, 6a, 7, 8 and 18 for w'w'), Sorbjan (1996a, Fig. 15 for u'u', v'v', w'w'), Sorbjan (1996b, Fig. 7 for u'u', w'w'), Cuijpers and Holtslag (1998, Fig. 5 for w'w' in the dry CBL driven by surface heat flux), Sullivan et al. (1998, Fig. 4 for u'u', v'v', w'w'), Muschinski et al. (1999, Fig. 8 for w'w') or even from LES of the slightly convective, strong shear PBL for $z/z_i < 0.25$ performed by Sullivan et al. (1996, Fig. 8 for $\overline{u'u'}, \overline{v'v'}, \overline{w'w'}$). The behaviour of the vertical wind variance corresponds also to the simulation of CBL using a secondorder turbulence closure model performed by Abdella and McFarlane (1997, Fig. 6 for $\overline{w'w'}$ in the buoyancy-driven CBL with small shear; Fig. 13 for w'w' in the free-convective case).

The behaviour of the components of turbulent momentum flux $\overline{w'u'}$ for $z/z_i < 0.25$ is qualitatively confirmed by the LES study of Sullivan et al. (1996, Figs. 7 and 12 for $\overline{u'w'}$). Further observational results supporting the plausibility of the simulated momentum fluxes can also be found in the second-order turbulence closure study of the dry CBL performed by Abdella and McFarlane (1997, Fig. 9 for $\overline{w'u'}$, $\overline{w'v'}$ in the buoyancy-driven CBL with small shear), that confirms, e.g., the occurrence of positive $\overline{w'v'}$ values within the entrainment layer.

Apart from LES, the behaviour of the wind variances and momentum fluxes agrees also well with results from a wind tunnel study of turbulent flow structures in the CBL capped by a temperature inversion as performed by Fedorovich et al. (1996, Fig. 5 for $\overline{u'u'}$, $\overline{u'w'}$, $\overline{w'w'}$), which again are in good agreement with existing data sets from atmospheric observations, water tank experiments and LES of Fedorovich et al. (1996, Fig. 8 for $\overline{u'u'}$, $\overline{w'w'}$).

Compared to LES, water tank or wind tunnel studies, respectively, in situ observations of high-order moments of meteorological variables are relatively rare, e.g., owing to sampling problems. An early observation study of high-order moments in the CBL was carried out by Caughey and Palmer (1979). The present simulations of wind velocity variances agree well with the observations of Caughey and Palmer (1979, Fig. 4a and b for $\overline{u'u'}$, $\overline{v'v'}$, $\overline{w'w'}$ in the free convective case). Casadio et al. (1996) evaluated Doppler-SODAR measurements of convective plume patterns under clear-sky conditions and light wind daytime boundary layer over land. The authors showed, that characteristic mixed-layer similarity profiles for the daytime CBL over horizontally homogeneous surfaces can be applied to the nocturnal urban boundary layer during periods of reasonable convective activity. The vertical velocity variance simulated here corresponds very well to that observed by Casadio et al. (1996, Fig. 5 for w'w') as well as to RADAR-RASS observations in the CBL performed by Wulfmeyer (1999a, Fig. 12 for w'w').

3.3.2 Turbulent flux of sensible heat

The simulation result of the turbulent heat flux is depicted in Fig. 5a.

At night, the turbulent heat flux is slightly negative. After sunrise, the heat flux increases, exceeding its daily maximum around noon at the surface level. At that time, the entrainment layer with negative turbulent heat flux is well depicted. The comparison with reference data shows, that the heat flux simulation corresponds very well to flux profiles derived from LES studies of a buoyancy-driven and inversion-capped CBL performed by Mason (1989, Fig. 13 for $\overline{w'\theta'}$), Sorbjan (1996a, Fig. 1 for $\overline{w'\theta'}$), Sorbjan (1996b, Fig. 8 for $\overline{w'\theta'}$), Sullivan et al. (1996, Fig. 11 for $\overline{w'\theta'}$), Cuijpers and Holtslag (1998, Fig. 6 for $\overline{w'\theta'}$), Sullivan et al. (1998, Fig. 3 for $\overline{w'\theta'}$) as well as from the second-order turbulence modelling studies of the CBL, carried out by Zilitinkevich et al. (1999, Figs. 5–7 for $\overline{w'\theta'}$) and Abdella and McFarlane (1997, Figs. 3 and 13 for $\overline{w'\theta'}$).

The simulated heat flux agrees with the results from a wind tunnel study of an inversion-capped CBL performed by Fedorovich et al. (1996, Figs. 5 and 7 for w'T').

Evidences from in situ measurements of the heat flux profile in the CBL, that confirm the present simulations, were provided by Caughey and Palmer (1979, Fig. 3 for $\overline{w'\theta'}$) and Verver et al. (1997, Fig. 3 for $\overline{w'\theta'}$, see references therein).

3.3.3 Vertical flux of water vapour mixing ratio

The simulation result of the vertical flux of the water vapour mixing ratio is shown in Fig. 5b.

During the day, the vertical flux of the water vapour mixing ratio attains its maximum around noon, whereas the vertical location of that maximum at the surface layer is not that pronounced as for the turbulent heat flux. In the entrainment layer, the turbulent humidity flux is negative. There, spurious oscillations appear, i.e., non-physical solutions resulting from hyperbolic terms in the governing equations of the



Fig. 5. Fluxes and double-correlations of temperature and water vapour mixing ratio: (a) Turbulent vertical flux of potential temperature; (b) Turbulent vertical flux of water vapour mixing ratio; (c) Co-variance of potential temperature and water vapour mixing ratio; (d) Variance of potential temperature; (e) Variance of water vapour mixing ratio.

third-order moments (see Paper I).

Comparing the humidity flux with LES of the CBL performed by Sorbjan (1996a, Fig. 11 for w'q') and with observations cited by Verver et al. (1997, Fig. 4 for w'q', see references therein), the humidity flux in the middle CBL seems to be overestimated. However, the humidity flux in Fig. 5b corresponds well to the result obtained from the third-order turbulence modelling study of the CBL performed by André et al. (1978, Fig. 5 for $\overline{w'q'}$), showing the positive maximum of the humidity flux occurring just below the MLH. There is a need to evaluate the model with respect to the humidity flux prediction. Nevertheless, it is possible to re-adjust

the corresponding parameters in the governing humidity flux equation.

3.3.4 Correlation of potential temperature and water vapour mixing ratio

The predicted time-height cross-section of the correlation of potential temperature and water vapour mixing ratio is presented in Fig. 5c.

The correlation $\overline{\theta' q'}$ assumes positive values in the lower and negative values in the upper part of the CBL. In the surface layer, positive temperature fluctuations resulting from rising thermals are associated with corresponding positive humidity fluctuations caused, e.g., by humidity sources such as vegetation or soil moisture. The positive correlation decreases toward the entrainment layer, where positive temperature fluctuations, resulting from entrainment of potentially warmer air from the stably stratified free troposphere, are associated with negative humidity fluctuations, resulting from the entrainment of drier free-tropospheric air. Hence, in the entrainment layer one has $\overline{\theta' q'} < 0$.

The comparison with previous reference data shows, that the $\overline{\theta'q'}$ behaviour agrees well with the commonly accepted CBL perception, e.g., of Stull (1997, p. 373, Eq. (9.6.4k)). The simulated co-variance $\overline{\theta'q'}$ corresponds well to that used in the model approach of Verver et al. (1997, Fig. 7 for $\overline{\theta'q'}$). It also agrees well with observational findings cited therein. Easter and Peters (1994, Fig. 6) investigated the effects of turbulent-scale variations on the binary homogeneous nucleation rate for correlated and anti-correlated fluctuations of temperature and water vapour. Due to the anticorrelation of temperature and humidity fluctuations at the CBL top, the turbulence-enhanced nucleation rate can exceed that at mean-state conditions by a factor of up to 70 (Easter and Peters, 1994).

3.3.5 Variance of potential temperature

Figure 5d shows the simulated evolution of the variance of potential temperature.

The vertical $\overline{\theta'\theta'}$ profile reveals two maxima. One maximum occurs in the surface layer and originates from rising thermals in the superadiabatic surface layer. The other one occurs in the entrainment layer originating from overshooting bubbles penetrating into the stably stratified free troposphere. In the upper third of the well-mixed layer $\overline{\theta'\theta'}$ assumes a minimum. During the day, the potential temperature variance is maximal around noon.

The comparison with previous reference data reveals, that the overall behaviour of the potential temperature variance agrees well with that obtained from LES of the CBL performed by Sorbjan (1996a, Fig. 9 for $\overline{\theta'\theta'}$), Sorbjan (1996b, Fig. 6 for $\overline{\theta'\theta'}$), Sullivan et al. (1998, Fig. 5 for $\overline{\theta'\theta'}$) as well as with that from the second-order turbulence-modelling study of the CBL performed by Abdella and McFarlane (1997, Fig. 7 for $\overline{\theta'\theta'}$). It also corresponds well to the semiempirical profile of the potential temperature variance used in the second-order moment closure study of Verver et al. (1997, Fig. 5 for $\overline{\theta'\theta'}$). Around noon, the Z-shaped $\overline{\theta'\theta'}$ profile in Fig. 5d is confirmed by observations of the temperature variance from a wind tunnel study of the CBL performed by Fedorovich et al. (1996, Fig. 9 for $\overline{T'T'}$) and by in situ measured temperature statistics of the CBL provided by Caughey and Palmer (1979, Fig. 5 for $\overline{\theta'\theta'}$).

3.3.6 Humidity variance

The simulation of the time-height cross-section of the humidity variance is presented in Fig. 5e.

The evolution of the humidity variance $\overline{q'q'}$ exhibits a pattern, which is very similar to that of $\overline{\theta'\theta'}$. Although the enhancement of the humidity variance in the surface layer is not as pronounced as that of the temperature variance, there appears also double maxima vertical profile.

The comparison with previous reference data shows, that the general behaviour of the humidity variance is qualitatively confirmed by the LES studies of the CBL performed by Sorbjan (1996a, Fig. 13 for q'q'), Sorbjan (1996b, Fig. 11 for q'q') as well as by the semi-empirical profile used in the second-order moment closure study performed by Verver et al. (1997, Fig. 6 for q'q'). Casadio et al. (1996, Fig. 7 for q'q') evaluated Raman-LIDAR water vapour measurements in convective plume patterns in the CBL. The observed patterns are quite similar to that obtained from the LES studies of Sorbjan (1996a,b), except for the variance in the surface layer. The humidity variance is controlled by the flux partition in the surface layer, hence being a subject of a re-justification of the parameterisation. Very similar to the Raman-LIDAR observations of Casadio et al. (1996) are the water vapour DIAL measurements of absolute humidity variance in the CBL performed by Wulfmeyer (1999a, Fig. 14) and Wulfmeyer (1999b, Fig. 2). As their humidity variance profiles start far above the surface layer, no conclusions about the strength of the surface layer variance maximum predicted by LES can be drawn.

3.4 Third-order moments

3.4.1 Vertical flux of velocity variance

The predicted time-height cross-section of the vertical flux of vertical velocity variance is depicted in Fig. 6a.

The vertical velocity variance flux assumes its maximum around noon in the middle of the CBL. It suddenly decreases in the late afternoon/early evening, when the surface layer buoyancy flux decreases to negative values and the CBL turbulence collapses. In the afternoon, the variance flux becomes negative at the lowest main level, indicating, that the surface acts as a sink for vertical velocity variance.

The comparison with reference results reveals, that the ver-



Fig. 6. Triple correlations of meteorological variables: (a) Flux of vertical wind variance; (b) Flux of turbulent heat flux; (c) Flux of variance of potential temperature; (d) Third-order moment of potential temperature; (e) Flux of turbulent humidity flux; (f) Flux of variance of water vapour mixing ratio.

tical behaviour of $\overline{w'w'w'}$ around noon agrees well with the corresponding profiles obtained from the LES studies of the CBL performed by Moeng and Wyngaard (1988, Fig. 12 for $\overline{w'w'w'}$) and Mason (1989, Fig. 9 for $\overline{w'w'w'}$) as well as with those derived from Doppler-SODAR measurements of convective plume patterns in the continental CBL by Casadio et al. (1996, Fig. 6 for $\overline{w'w'w'}$). A further proof for the plausibility of the simulated $\overline{w'w'w'}$ profile is the wind tunnel study of a turbulent CBL flow performed by Fedorovich

et al. (1996, Fig. 9b for $\overline{w'w'w'}$).

3.4.2 Vertical flux of heat flux

The simulated evolution of the vertical flux of heat flux is shown in Fig. 6b.

The predicted flux of heat flux assumes its maximum in the lower third of the CBL around noon, when turbulence is welldeveloped. In the entrainment layer, the flux of heat flux is negative. While the heat flux tends to balance out the temperature distribution, the flux of heat flux tends to balance out the heat flux distribution.

The profile of the flux of heat flux around noon agrees well with that derived from LES of the CBL performed by Moeng and Wyngaard (1988, Fig. 15 for $w'w'\theta'$) and Sorbjan (1996b, Fig. 9 for $w'w'\theta'$), furthermore with that used in the second-order moment closure studies of the CBL carried out by Abdella and McFarlane (1997, Fig. 16 for $w'w'\theta'$), Verver et al. (1997, Fig. 10 for $w'w'\theta'$) and Zilitinkevich et al. (1999, Fig. 3 for $w'w'\theta'$).

3.4.3 Vertical flux of temperature variance

Figure 6c shows the simulated time-height cross-section of the vertical flux of temperature variance.

The vertical distribution of $\overline{w'\theta'\theta'}$ reveals a typical S-shape structure, i.e., a positive maximum near the CBL top, a negative minimum in the entrainment layer, a positive maximum in the lower quarter of the CBL and a near zero minimum in the surface layer. This S-shape profile is most pronounced when the turbulence is well-developed, i.e., around noon.

The vertical profile of $\overline{w'\theta'\theta'}$ is very similar to that derived from LES of the CBL performed by Moeng and Wyngaard (1988, Fig. 15 for $\overline{w'\theta'\theta'}$), Sorbjan (1996b, Fig. 10 for $\overline{w'\theta'\theta'}$) as well as to that from second-order moment closure studies of the CBL performed by Abdella and McFarlane (1997, Fig. 16 for $\overline{w'\theta'\theta'}$) and Verver et al. (1997, Fig. 9 for $\overline{w'\theta'\theta'}$). Differences between the various profile can be easily related to corresponding differences of the forcing at lower and upper model boundary (model setup).

3.4.4 Triple correlation of potential temperature

The time-height evolution of the triple correlation of potential temperature is presented in Fig. 6d.

Around noon, the profile of $\theta' \theta' \theta'$ assumes a weak secondary maximum (>0) in the surface layer, decreasing above to a weak secondary minimum (>0), afterwards increasing again to assume an absolute maximum just below the CBL top and decreasing above to an absolute minimum (<0) in the entrainment layer.

This profile is in qualitatively good agreement with previous results from the LES of the CBL performed by Sorbjan (1996a, Fig. 10 for $\overline{\theta'\theta'\theta'}$) and from the second-order moment closure study of the CBL performed by Verver et al. (1997, Fig. 8 for $\overline{\theta'\theta'\theta'}$). Observed differences in the entrainment layer ($\overline{\theta'\theta'\theta'} \leq 0$ in Fig. 10 of Sorbjan (1996a) and ($\overline{\theta'\theta'\theta'} > 0$ in Fig. 8 of Verver et al., 1997) are due to the different strength of the CBL top inversion.

3.4.5 Vertical flux of humidity flux

Figure 6e shows the evolution pattern of the vertical flux of humidity flux.

For this simulation and for the following triple correlations,

no profiles from previous LES, wind tunnel or CBL observational studies could be found for comparison.

The vertical flux of humidity flux is nearly almost greater than zero, i.e., a downward directed humidity flux is downward transported by CBL turbulence, an upward directed humidity flux is upward transported. The flux of humidity flux assumes its maximum in the upper third of the CBL. It tends to well-mix the humidity flux throughout the CBL. Negative values occur in the entrainment layer. The vertical stripes, periodically appearing in the afternoon entrainment layer, are resulting from non-physical spurious oscillations, that were not fully damped.

3.4.6 Vertical flux of humidity variance

The vertical flux of humidity variance in Fig. 6f assumes a weak positive maximum in the lowest quarter of the CBL and a pronounced one in the entrainment layer. The low-level maximum results from upward transport of enhanced humidity variance in the surface layer by buoyant eddies. The maximum in the entrainment layer results from upward transport of enhanced humidity variance by large eddies penetrating into the free troposphere.

3.4.7 Vertical flux of potential temperature/water vapour mixing ratio correlation

The flux of temperature-humidity correlation, depicted in Fig. 7a, is positive throughout the CBL except for the entrainment layer, where it assumes negative values. Due to the commutativity of variables in cross-correlation terms, the flux of double correlation can be interpreted as a double correlation between the vertical component of the turbulent heat flux and the water vapour mixing ratio. Thus, in the lower third of the CBL the turbulent heat flux is positively correlated with the water vapour mixing ratio, i.e., the upwarddirected turbulent heat flux, originated in the surface layer, is associated with positive humidity fluctuations. In the entrainment layer both terms are anti-correlated. There, buoyant eddies penetrating into the free troposphere (w'>0) are correlated with positive fluctuations of the potential temperature, originating from entrainment of potentially warmer free-tropospheric air ($\theta' > 0$), and with negative fluctuations of the water vapour mixing ratio, originating from entrainment of drier free-tropospheric air (q' < 0). This results in a pronounced negative minimum of $\overline{w'\theta'q'}$ in the entrainment layer.

3.4.8 Correlation of potential temperature variance and water vapour mixing ratio as well as correlation of water vapour mixing ratio variance and potential temperature

The triple correlations $\overline{\theta'\theta'q'}$ (Fig. 7b) and $\overline{\theta'q'q'}$ (Fig. 7c) have a double-peak profile structure throughout the



Fig. 7. Triple correlations of temperature and humidity: (a) Flux of co-variance of potential temperature and water vapour mixing ratio; (b) Correlation of temperature variance and humidity; (c) Correlation of temperature and humidity variance; (d) Third-order moment of water vapour mixing ratio.

CBL. Just below the CBL top, entrainment of potentially warmer free-tropospheric air $(\theta'>0)$ is associated with temperature–humidity anti-correlation $(\overline{\theta'q'}<0)$ resulting in $\overline{\theta'\theta'q'}<0$. Just above, detrainment of potentially colder CBL air $(\theta'<0)$ leads to $\overline{\theta'\theta'q'}>0$. For $\overline{\theta'q'q'}$ the conditions are reversed. Just below the CBL top, entrainment of drier free-tropospheric air (q'<0) is associated with temperature–humidity anti-correlation $(\overline{\theta'q'}<0)$ resulting in $\overline{\theta'q'q'}>0$. Just above, the detrainment of moister CBL air (q'>0) results in $\overline{\theta'q'q'}<0$.

3.4.9 Triple correlation of water vapour mixing ratio

The double-peak structure in the entrainment layer can also be seen in the $\overline{q'q'q'}$ profile shown in Fig. 7d. Just below the CBL top $\overline{q'q'q'}$ becomes lower, just above the CBL top greater than zero. The strength of the double-peak pattern in the entrainment layer profiles of $\overline{\theta'\theta'\theta'}$ (Fig. 6d), $\overline{\theta'\theta'q'}$ (Fig. 7b), $\overline{\theta'q'q'}$ (Fig. 7c) and $\overline{q'q'q'}$ (Fig. 7d) is directly related to the strength of the capping inversion. The modelling of second-order and third-order moments just there deserves further tuning of the parameterisation and, perhaps, of the numerical scheme. For fine-tuning, additional reference data of third-order moments derived from LES, wind tunnel studies and/or in situ observations are necessary.

3.5 Surface layer properties

The turbulent vertical fluxes of sensible heat, latent heat and momentum in the surface layer are depicted in Figs. 8a–c. At night, the turbulent heat flux is negative, i.e., directed

toward the surface (Fig. 8a, red curve). After sunrise it increases, assuming its maximum around noon.

The humidity flux is negative at night, i.e., deposition of humidity occurs (dew) (Fig. 8a, blue curve). When the sun elevates above the horizon, a part of the incoming solar radiation contributes to evaporation, leading to an increase of the humidity flux synchronously to the diurnal variation of the sensible heat flux (Holtslag, 1987, p. 23–46) (surface layer parameterisation, see Paper I, Subsubsection 5.2.1 and Appendix D2.2).

The variance of the vertical velocity in the surface layer is shown in Fig. 8b (blue curve). The sharp drop of the initial value of $\overline{w'w'}$ at the beginning is related to the adaptation phase of the model. Afterwards, $\overline{w'w'}$ increases in the course of the day, exceeding its maximum in the early afternoon,

when CBL turbulence is well-developed. The vertical fluxes of the horizontal wind components in the surface layer, $\overline{w'u'}$ and $\overline{w'v'}$, are negative, i.e., owing to aerodynamic roughness the surface acts as a sink for the momentum flux.

The temperature and humidity evolution in the course of the day are shown in Fig. 8c. The temperature minimum and the maximum of the water vapour mixing ratio coincide and appear just before sunrise. Afterwards, the air temperature in the surface layer rises due to increasing flux of sensible heat. The rise of water vapour mixing ratio during the day due to increasing humidity flux is superimposed by turbulence-induced dilution. This results in a weak secondary maximum of \overline{q} around noon.

4 Summary and conclusion

Simulated first-, second- and third-order moments of the CBL agree well with previous results from LES and wind tunnel studies as well with with available in situ observations and remote sensing data. Doppler-SODAR, RADAR-RASS and water vapour DIAL provide a high potential of information for the evaluation of second-order moments. Differences in the behaviour of some third-order moments near the entrainment layer can be related to differences in the strength of the CBL top inversion. With respect to these differences it should be noted, that one part of the reference data directly confirms the present simulations, another does not. Hence, further investigations are deserved to calibrate the model. High-order moments, for which no comparative reference results are available, show a physically plausible behaviour. Altogether, the simulation performed here is a suitable base to study NPF in the CBL, especially to examine previous hypotheses on the role of turbulence in the evolution of NPF bursts. As the non-reactive part of the chemical and aerosoldynamical model equations are technically and per algorithm identical to the governing equations for the second-order and third-order moments of meteorological variables, the conducted model examination for meteorological flow properties may serve, to some degree, as a control for the computational feasibility of third-order modelling of both physicochemical and aerosoldynamical properties. Nevertheless, the turbulence model deserves further fine-tuning, explicit verification/validation and model inter-comparison studies using high-order moments, that are both directly derived from in situ observations and indirectly derived from remote sensing. Based on the CBL simulation presented here, in the subsequent Paper III a conceptual study on NPF in the anthropogenically influenced CBL will be performed. In Paper IV, the results will be discussed and compared with a number of in situ measurements of NPF under very different conditions to verify or falsify, respectively, a state-of-the-art hypothesis on the role of turbulence in NPF.



Fig. 8. Time series of meteorological variables in the surface layer: (a) Turbulent heat and humidity flux; (b) Turbulent moment fluxes; (c) Temperature and water vapour mixing ratio.

Acknowledgements. The work was realised at the IfT Modelling Department headed by E. Renner within the framework of IfT main research direction 1 "Evolution, transport and spatio-temporal distribution of the tropospheric aerosol". For the motivation and numerous discussions on the subject of the present Paper I am very indebted to D. Mironov, E. Renner, K. Bernhardt, E. Schaller, M. Kulmala, A. A. Lushnikov, M. Boy, R. Wolke, F. Stratmann, H. Siebert, T. Berndt and J. W. P. Schmelzer. Sincerest thanks are given to M. Kulmala and M. Boy for the opportunity to present and discuss previous results at the Department of Physics at the University of Helsinki. Many thanks go to the editor and to the three reviewers for their helpful comments and suggestions to improve the manuscript. Special thanks go also to N. Otto and N. Deisel for their strong support during the technical processing as well as to M. Reichelt for the proofreading of the manuscript.

Edited by: M. Kulmala

References

- Aalto, P., Hämeri, K., Becker, E., Weber, R., Salm, J., Mäkelä, J. M., Hoell, C., O'Dowd, C. D., Karlsson, H., Hansson, H.-C., Väkevä, M., Koponen, I. K., Buzorius, G., and Kulmala, M.: Physical characterization of aerosol particles during nucleation events, Tellus, 53B, 344–358, 2001.
- Abdella, K. and McFarlane, N.: A new second-order turbulence closure scheme for the planetary boundary layer, J. Atmos. Sci., 54, 1850–1867, 1997.
- André, J. C., De Moor, G., Lacarrère, P., Therry, G., and Du Vachat, R.: Modeling the 24-hour evolution of the mean and turbulent structures of the planetary boundary layer, J. Atmos. Sci., 35, 1861–1883, 1978.
- Birmili, W. and Wiedensohler, A.: New particle formation in the continental boundary layer: Meteorological and gas phase parameter influence, Geophys. Res. Lett., 27(20), 3325–3328, 2000.
- Birmili, W., Wiedensohler, A., Plass-Dülmer, C., and Berresheim, H.: Evolution of newly formed aerosol particles in the continental boundary layer: A case study including OH and H₂SO₄ measurements, Geophys. Res. Lett., 27(15), 2205–2208, 2000.
- Birmili, W., Berresheim, H., Plass-Dülmer, C., Elste, T., Gilge, S., Wiedensohler, A., and Uhrner, U.: The Hohenpeissenberg aerosol formation experiment (HAFEX): A long-term study including size-resolved aerosol, H₂SO₄, OH, and monoterpenes measurements, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 361–376, 2003, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/3/361/2003/.
- Bonn, B. and Moortgat, G. K.: New particle formation during α and β -pinene oxidation by O₃, OH and NO₃, and the influence of water vapour: Particle size distribution studies, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2, 183–196, 2002,

http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/2/183/2002/.

- Bonn, B. and Moortgat, G. K.: Sesquiterpene ozonolysis: Origin of atmospheric new particle formation from biogenic hydrocarbons, Geophys. Res. Lett., 30(11), 1585, doi:10.1029/2003GL017000, 2003.
- Bonn, B., Schuster, G., and Moortgat, G. K.: Influence of water vapor on the process of new particle formation during monoterpene ozonolysis, J. Phys. Chem. A, 106, 2869–2881, 2002.
- Bonn, B., v. Kuhlmann, R., and Lawrence, M. G.: High contribution of biogenic hydroperoxides to secondary organic aerosol formation, Geophys. Res. Lett., 31, L10108, doi:10.1029/2003GL019172, 2004.
- Boy, M.: Nucleation events in the continental planetary boundary layer – physical, chemical and meteorological influences, Report Series in Aerosol Science, No. 60, Division of Atmospheric Sciences, Department of Physical Sciences, Faculty of Sciences, University of Helsinki, Finland, academic dissertation, 2003.

- Boy, M. and Kulmala, M.: Nucleation events in the continental boundary layer: Influence of physical and meteorological parameters, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2, 1–16, 2002, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/2/1/2002/.
- Boy, M., Rannik, Ü., Lehtinen, K. E. J., Tarvainen, V., Hakola, H., and Kulmala, M.: Nucleation events in the continental boundary layer: Long-term statistical analyses of aerosol relevant characteristics, J. Geophys. Res., 108(D21), 4667, doi:10.1029/2003JD003838, 2003.
- Boy, M., Petäjä, T., Dal Maso, M., Rannik, Ü., Rinne, J., Aalto, P., Laaksonen, A., Vaattovaara, P., Joutsensaari, J., Hoffmann, T., Warnke, J., Apostolaki, M., Stephanou, E. G., Tsapakis, M., Kouvarakis, A., Pio, C., Carvalho, A., Römpp, A., Moortgat, G., Spirig, C., Guenther, A., Greenberg, J., Ciccioli, P., and Kulmala, M.: Overview of the field measurement campaign in Hyytiälä, August 2001 in the framework of the EU project OSOA, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 4, 657–678, 2004,

http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/4/657/2004/.

- Buzorius, G., Rannik, Ü., Nilsson, D., and Kulmala, M.: Vertical fluxes and micrometeorology during aerosol particle formation events, Tellus, 53B, 394–405, 2001.
- Buzorius, G., Rannik, Ü., Aalto, P., dal Maso, M., Nilsson, E. D., Lehtinen, K. E. J., and Kulmala, M.: On particle formation prediction in continental boreal forest using micrometeorological parameters, J. Geophys. Res., 108(D13), 4377, doi:10.1029/2002JD002850, 2003.
- Casadio, S., Di Sarra, A., Fiocco, G., Fuà, D., Lena, F., and Rao, M. P.: Convective characteristics of the nocturnal urban boundary layer as observed with Doppler sodar and Raman lidar, Boundary-Layer Meteorol., 79, 375–391, 1996.
- Caughey, S. J. and Palmer, S. G.: Some aspects of turbulence structure through the depth of the convective boundary layer, Quart. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc., 105, 811–827, 1979.
- Clement, C. F. and Ford, I. J.: Gas-to-particle conversion in the atmosphere: I. Evidence from empirical atmospheric aerosols, Atmos. Environ., 33, 475–487, 1999.
- Clement, C. F., Pirjola, L., dal Maso, M., Mäkelä, J. M., and Kulmala, M.: Analysis of particle formation bursts observed in Finland, J. Aerosol Sci., 32, 217–236, 2001.
- Coe, H., Williams, P. I., McFiggans, G., Gallagher, M. W., Beswick, K. M., Bower, K. N., and Choularton, T. W.: Behavior of ultrafine particles in continental and marine air masses at a rural site in the United Kingdom, J. Geophys. Res., 105(D22), 26891– 26905, 2000.
- Cohn, S. A. and Angevine, W. M.: Boundary layer height and entrainment zone thickness measured by Lidars and wind-profiling Radars, J. Appl. Meteorol., 39, 1233–1247, 2000.
- Cuijpers, J. W. M. and Holtslag, A. A. M.: Impact of skewness and nonlocal effects on scalar and buoyancy fluxes in convective boundary layers, J. Atmos. Sci., 55, 151–162, 1998.
- Dal Maso, M., Kulmala, M., Riipinen, I., Wagner, R., Hussein, T., Aalto, P. P., and Lehtinen, K. E. J.: Formation and growth of fresh atmospheric aerosols: Eight years of aerosol size distribution data from SMEAR II, Hyytiälä, Finland, Boreal Environ. Res., 10, 323–336, 2005.
- Easter, R. C. and Peters, L. K.: Binary homogeneous nucleation: Temperature and relative humidity fluctuations, nonlinearity, and aspects of new particle production in the atmosphere, J. Appl. Meteorol., 33, 775–784, 1994.

- Fedorovich, E., Kaiser, R., Rau, M., and Plate, E.: Wind tunnel study of turbulent flow structure in the convective boundary layer capped by a temperature inversion, J. Atmos. Sci., 53, 1273– 1289, 1996.
- Garratt, J. R.: The Atmospheric Boundary Layer, Cambridge atmospheric and space science series, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom, 1992.
- Gaydos, T. M., Stanier, C. O., and Pandis, S. N.: Modeling of in situ ultrafine atmospheric particle formation in the eastern United States, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D07S12, doi:10.1029/2004JD004683, 2005.
- Gerrity Jr., J. P.: Modeling the planetary boundary layer: Frictional influence, Office Note 131, U.S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Weather Service, National Meteorological Center, Development Division, 1976.
- Held, A., Nowak, A., Birmili, W., Wiedensohler, A., Forkel, R., and Klemm, O.: Observations of particle formation and growth in a mountainous forest region in central Europe, J. Geophys. Res., 109, D23204, doi:10.1029/2004JD005346, 2004.
- Hess, G. D.: Parameterisation of the atmospheric boundary layer: A retrospective look, 16th BMRC Modelling Workshop 2004, Bureau of Meteorology Research Centre, Melbourne, Australia, 2004.
- Holtslag, A. A. M.: Surface fluxes and boundary layer scaling. Models and applications, Scientific Report WR 87-2(FM), Koninklijk Nederlands Meteorologisch Instituut, De Bilt, 1987.
- Hyvönen, S., Junninen, H., Laakso, L., Dal Maso, M., Grönholm, T., Bonn, B., Keronen, P., Aalto, P., Hiltunen, V., Pohja, T., Launiainen, S., Hari, P., Mannila, H., and Kulmala, M.: A look at aerosol formation using data mining techniques, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 3345–3356, 2005,

http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/5/3345/2005/.

- Kulmala, M.: How particles nucleate and grow, Science, 302, 1000– 1001, 2003.
- Kulmala, M., Toivonen, A., Mäkelä, J. M., and Laaksonen, A.: Analysis of the growth of nucleation mode particles observed in boreal forest, Tellus, 50B, 449–462, 1998.
- Kulmala, M., Dal Maso, M., Mäkelä, J. M., Pirjola, L., Väkevä, M., Aalto, P., Miikkulainen, P., Hämeri, K., and O'Dowd, C. D.: On the formation, growth and composition of nucleation mode particles, Tellus, 53B, 479–490, 2001a.
- Kulmala, M., Hämeri, K., Aalto, P. P., Mäkelä, J. M., Pirjola, L., Nilsson, E. D., Buzorius, G., Rannik, Ü., Dal Maso, M., Seidl, W., Hoffman, T., Janson, R., Hansson, H.-C., Viisanen, Y., Laaksonen, A., and O'Dowd, C. D.: Overview of the international project on biogenic aerosol formation in the boreal forest (BIO-FOR), Tellus, 53B, 324–343, 2001b.
- Kulmala, M., Laakso, L., Lehtinen, K. E. J., Riipinen, I., Dal Maso, M., Anttila, T., Kerminen, V.-M., Hõrrak, U., Vana, M., and Tammet, H.: Initial steps of aerosol growth, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 4, 2553–2560, 2004a.
- Kulmala, M., Vehkamäki, H., Petäjä, T., Dal Maso, M., Lauri, A., Kerminen, V.-M., Birmili, W., and McMurry, P. H.: Formation and growth rates of ultrafine atmospheric particles: A review of observations, J. Aerosol Sci., 35, 143–176, 2004b.
- Kulmala, M., Lehtinen, K. E. J., Laakso, L., Mordas, G., and Hämeri, K.: On the existence of neutral atmospheric clusters, Boreal Environ. Res., 10, 79–87, 2005.

- Mason, P. J.: Large-eddy simulation of the convective atmospheric boundary layer, J. Atmos. Sci., 46, 1492–1516, 1989.
- Moeng, C.-H. and Wyngaard, J. C.: Spectral analysis of large-eddy simulations of the convective boundary layer, J. Atmos. Sci., 45, 3573–3587, 1988.
- Monin, A. S. and Obuchow, A. M.: Fundamentale Gesetzmäßigkeiten der turbulenten Vermischung in der bodennahen Schicht der Atmosphäre, in: Sammelband zur statistischen Theorie der Turbulenz, mit Beiträgen von A. N. Kolmogorov, A. M. Obuchow, A. M. Jaglom, A. S. Monin, edited by Goering, H., pp. 199–228, Deutsche Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1958.
- Monin, A. S. and Obukhov, A. M.: Basic laws of turbulent mixing in the atmosphere near the ground, reprinted from Trudy, Akademiia Nauk SSSR, Geofizicheskogo Instituta, Vol. 24(151), 163–187 (1954), in: Selected Papers on Turbulence in a Refractive Medium, edited by Andreas, E. L., SPIE Milestone Series, Volume MS 25, pp. 300–312, SPIE Optical Engineering Press, 1990.
- Muschinski, A., Sullivan, P. P., Wuertz, D. B., Hill, R. J., Cohn, S. A., Lenschow, D. H., and Doviak, R. J.: First synthesis of wind-profiler signals on the basis of large-eddy simulation data, Radio Science, 34, 1437–1459, 1999.
- Nilsson, E. D., Rannik, Ü., Paatero, J., Boy, M., O'Dowd, C., Buzorius, G., Laakso, L., and Kulmala, M.: Effects of synoptic weather and boundary layer dynamics on aerosol formation in the continental boundary layer, in: Abstracts of the European Aerosol Conference 2000, vol. 31, suppl. 1 of J. Aerosol Sci., pp. S600–S601, Pergamon, 2000.
- Nilsson, E. D., Paatero, J., and Boy, M.: Effects of air masses and synoptic weather on aerosol formation in the continental boundary layer, Tellus, 53B, 462–478, 2001a.
- Nilsson, E. D., Rannik, Ü., Kulmala, M., Buzorius, G., and O'Dowd, C. D.: Effects of continental boundary layer evolution, convection, turbulence and entrainment, on aerosol formation, Tellus, 53B, 441–461, 2001b.
- O'Dowd, C. D., Aalto, P. P., Yoon, Y. J., and Hämeri, K.: The use of the pulse height analyser ultrafine condensation particle counter (PHA-UCPC) technique applied to sizing of nucleation mode particles of differing chemical composition, J. Aerosol Sci., 35, 205–216, 2004.
- Prandtl, L.: Über die Flüssigkeitsbewegung bei sehr kleiner Reibung, in: Verhandlgn. d. III. Intern. Math. Kongr., Heidelberg, 8.-13. August 1904, pp. 485–491, B. G. Teubner Verlag, Leipzig, 1905.
- Prandtl, L.: Bericht über Untersuchungen zur ausgebildeten Turbulenz, Z. angew. Math. Mech., 5, 136–139, 1925.
- Siebert, H., Stratmann, F., and Wehner, B.: First observations of increased ultrafine particle number concentrations near the inversion of a continental planetary boundary layer and its relation to ground-based measurements, Geophys. Res. Lett., 31, L09102, doi:10.1029/2003GL019086, 2004.
- Sorbjan, Z.: Numerical study of penetrative and "solid lid" nonpenetrative convective boundary layers, J. Atmos. Sci., 53, 101–112, 1996a.
- Sorbjan, Z.: Effects caused by varying the strength of the capping inversion based on a large eddy simulation model of the shear-free convective boundary layer, J. Atmos. Sci., 53, 2015–2024, 1996b.

- Steinbrecher, R. and the BEWA2000-Team: Regional biogenic emissions of reactive volatile organic compounds (BVOC) from forests: Process studies, modelling and validation experiments (BEWA2000), AFO2000-Newsletter, 8(9-2004), 7–10, unpublished manuscript, 2004.
- Stratmann, F., Siebert, H., Spindler, G., Wehner, B., Althausen, D., Heintzenberg, J., Hellmuth, O., Rinke, R., Schmieder, U., Seidel, C., Tuch, T., Uhrner, U., Wiedensohler, A., Wandinger, U., Wendisch, M., Schell, D., and Stohl, A.: New-particle formation events in a continental boundary layer: First results from the SATURN experiment, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 1445–1459, 2003,

http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/3/1445/2003/.

- Stull, R. B.: An Introduction to Boundary Layer Meteorology, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1997.
- Sullivan, P. P., McWilliams, J. C., and Moeng, C.-H.: A grid nesting method for large-eddy simulation of planetary boundary-layer flows, Boundary-Layer Meteorol., 80, 167–202, 1996.
- Sullivan, P. P., Moeng, C.-H., Stevens, B., Lenschow, D. H., and Mayor, S. D.: Structure of the entrainment zone capping the convective atmospheric boundary layer, J. Atmos. Sci., 55, 3042– 3064, 1998.

- Turner, J. S.: Buoyancy Effects in Fluids, Cambridge University Press, 1973.
- Uhrner, U., Birmili, W., Stratmann, F., Wilck, M., Ackermann, I. J., and Berresheim, H.: Particle formation at a continental background site: Comparison of model results with observations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 347–359, 2003,

http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/3/347/2003/.

- Verver, G. H. L., van Dop, H., and Holtslag, A. A. M.: Turbulent mixing of reactive gases in the convective boundary layer, Boundary-Layer Meteorol., 85, 197–222, 1997.
- Wulfmeyer, V.: Investigation of turbulent processes in the lower troposphere with water vapor DIAL and radar-RASS, J. Atmos. Sci., 56, 1055–1076, 1999a.
- Wulfmeyer, V.: Investigations of humidity skewness and variance profiles in the convective boundary layer and comparison of the latter with large eddy simulation results, J. Atmos. Sci., 56, 1077–1087, 1999b.
- Zilitinkevich, S., Gryanik, V. M., Lykossov, V. N., and Mironov, D. V.: Third-order transport and nonlocal turbulence closures for convective boundary layers, J. Atmos. Sci., 56, 3463–3477, 1999.