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#### Abstract

We consider the Rényi entropy function for weakly $\psi$-mixing systems. The first main result proves existence and regularity properties. The second main result of the paper is to get the decay rate for the large deviation of the return time to cylinder sets. We show it to be exponential with a rate given by the Rényi entropy function. Finally we also obtain bounds for the free energy.


## 1 Introduction

The Rényi entropies [32] have been extensively studied in the eighties for their connections with various generalized spectra for dimensions of dynamically invariant sets, see for instance $[23,9,18,10,22$, 16, 29]. The commonly adopted definition generalizes the usual measure-theoretic entropy. Let $T$ be a transformation on the measurable space $\Omega$ and $\mu$ a $T$-invariant probability measure on $\Omega$. Assume $\Omega$ has a finite measurable partition $\mathcal{A}$ whose joins we denote by $\mathcal{A}^{k}=\bigvee_{j=0}^{k-1} T^{-j} \mathcal{A}, k=1,2, \ldots$ (the elements of $\mathcal{A}^{k}$ are commonly referred to as $n$-cylinders). We assume $\mathcal{A}$ is generating, i.e. the elements of $\mathcal{A}^{\infty}$ are single points. For $t>0$ we put

$$
Z_{n}(t)=\sum_{A_{n} \in \mathcal{A}^{n}} \mu\left(A_{n}\right)^{1+t}
$$

and define the Rényi entropy function $R_{\mathcal{A}}$ with respect to the partition $\mathcal{A}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\mathcal{A}}(t)=\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t n}\left|\log Z_{n}(t)\right| . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Up to now the limit above has been proved to exist only in a few special situations: Bernoulli measures, Markov measures and more generally for Gibbs measures with Hölder continuous potentials $\phi$ : in fact in these cases the Rényi entropies can be expressed easily in terms of the topological pressure $P(\phi)$ of $\phi$ (see sect. 2.2 below) independently of the partition $\mathcal{A}$ (provided it is generating).

The first main result of our paper (Theorem 1) is to show the existence of the limit (1) for a wide class of measures (dynamically weakly $\psi$-mixing measures, see sect. 2.1). Moreover we prove that for $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$the entropy $R_{\mathcal{A}}(t)$ converges to the metric-theoretic entropy $h(\mu)$, and that the function $t R_{\mathcal{A}}(t)$ is locally Lipschitz continuous.

In [34] Takens and Verbitsky suggested to define the Rényi entropy of order $t$ of the measurepreserving transformation $T$ as the function $\hat{R}(t)=\sup _{\mathcal{A}} R_{\mathcal{A}}(t)$ where the supremum is taken over all finite partitions $\mathcal{A}$ of $\Omega$. This achieves that $\hat{R}(t)$ is a measure-theoretic invariant, but at the same

[^0]time becomes trivial since it was shown [34] that for ergodic measures $\mu$ the function $\hat{R}(t)$ is (for all $t>0$ ) identically equal to the entropy $h(\mu)$. In order to "extract new information about the dynamics from the generalized entropies" [34], they introduced the correlations entropies by replacing cylinders by dynamical (Bowen) balls. The main application of correlation entropies was the complete characterization of the multifractal spectrum of local entropies for expansive homeomorphisms with specification [35] (see also [8,31] for another approach). In fact in the latter case the correlation entropies coincide with the Rényi entropies $R_{\mathcal{A}}(t)$ computed with respect to any generating partition $\mathcal{A}$.

For the remainder of the paper we denote the Rényi entropy by $R(t)$ assuming that a given finite generating partition $\mathcal{A}$ has been chosen once and for all. The second main result of this paper (Theorem 4 and Corollary 5) uses the Rényi entropies to compute the large deviations of the first returns of cylinders $A_{n}$ of length $n$. For this purpose let us introduce the return times function

$$
\tau_{A}(x)=\min \left\{k \geq 1: T^{k} x \in A\right\}
$$

which is finite for $\mu$-almost every $x \in A$ (Poincaré's theorem) and has expectation (on $A$ ) equal to 1 (Kac's theorem) when $\mu$ is ergodic.

For $n=1,2, \ldots$ let us put $\tau_{n}(x)=\min _{y \in A_{n}(x)} \tau_{A_{n}(x)}(y)$, where $A_{n}(x)$ denotes the $n$-cylinder that contains $x$. This quantity arose in several circumstances:
-Since it controls the short returns, it plays a crucial role to establish the asymptotic (exponential) distribution of the return times function $\tau_{A}(x)$ when the measure of the set $A$ goes to zero $[21,3,1,2$, $26,25,24]$.
-It has been used to define the recurrence dimension since it served as the gauge set function to construct a suitable Carathéodory measure [5, 30, 7].

- It has been related to the Algorithmic Information Content in [12].

The first result on the asymptotic behavior of $\tau_{n}(x)$ was proved in [33] (see also [6] for a different proof): for an ergodic measure $\mu$ of positive metric entropy $h(\mu)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tau_{n}(x)}{n} \geq 1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\mu$-almost every $x \in \Omega$. For systems which enjoy the specification property the preceding limit exists and is equal to 1 almost everywhere $[33,6]$. The same result holds for a large class of maps on the interval with indifferent fixed points [20].

The situation changes considerably for systems with zero entropy. In general the limit (2) does not exist anymore and the values of the liminf and limsup depend upon the arithmetic properties of the map: see $[27,28,14]$ for a careful investigation of Sturmian shifts and substitutive systems.

We will prove in Sect. 3 that the limit (2) exists almost surely and is equal to 1 even for weakly $\psi$-mixing measures. This leads immediately to the natural question of computing the large deviations for the process $\frac{\tau_{n}(x)}{n}$, namely to check the existence of the limit defining the lower deviation function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mu\left(x ; \tau_{n}(x) \leq[\delta n]\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\delta \leq 1$. The case $\delta>1$ is not interesting: it gives the value 0 to the above limit since $\tau_{n}(x) \leq n+\Delta$, where $\Delta$ is a constant independent of $x$ and $n$, see Sect. 3 .

The existence of the lower deviation function (3) was first established in [4] for classical $\psi$-mixing measures. These are special cases of the measures considered in this paper; they must satisfy the stronger mixing condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\mu\left(U \cap T^{-n-k} V\right)}{\mu(U) \mu(V)}-1\right| \leq \psi(k) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $U$ in $\sigma\left(\mathcal{A}^{n}\right)$, for all $n$ and all $V \in \sigma\left(\mathcal{A}^{*}\right)$ (the $\sigma$-algebra generated by $\mathcal{A}^{n}$ ), where we put $\mathcal{A}^{*}=\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}^{j}$ (compare this with the definition of weakly $\psi$-mixing measure introduced in subsection 2
below). The rate function $\psi(k), k \geq 0$ must converges to zero, and, moreover, in order to achieve the existence of the limit (3) the additional assumption $\psi(0)<1$ was required in [4]. This in particular implies (see Lemma 2.1 in [4]), that after having coded the elements of the initial finite partition (of cardinality $|\mathcal{A}|=M$, say) $\mathcal{A}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{M} A_{i}$ over the alphabet $G=\{1,2, \ldots, M\}$ then for every string $\left\{i_{0}, \ldots, i_{n-1}\right\} \in G^{n}, n \geq 1$ the cylinder $A_{i_{0}} \cap T^{-1} A_{i_{1}} \cap \ldots \cap T^{(n-1)} A_{i_{n-1}}$ has positive measure, which essentially means that the grammar associated to the coding is complete. We will not anymore need this condition even for our larger class of weakly $\psi$-mixing measure. The key result in [4] was to relate the lower deviation function to the Rényi entropies for any $\psi$-mixing measure verifying the condition $\psi(0)<1$, but in that paper the Rényi entropies were assumed to exist, since no general result was known.

It is well known that the deviation function could be computed as the Legendre transform of the free energy of the process, provided the free energy exists and is differentiable w.r.t. the parameter $\beta$ (see (5) below). We show in Sect. 4 that this is not the case for our process: the free energy will be continuous but not differentiable at the point $\beta=-\gamma_{\mu}$, where $\gamma_{\mu}$ is the exponential decay rate of the measures of $n$-cylinders from Theorem 1. Even if the free energy is not differentiable, one can still derive an upper bound for the lower deviation function, which we will show to be consistent with the rigorous expression of the lower deviation function in terms of the Rényi entropies. It is interesting to note that the free energy function was also computed in [4], but the proof needed an additional assumption, namely the existence of a sequence of cylinders whose measure decays exponentially to zero with a rate which is exactly the constant $\gamma_{\mu}$ and whose first return is sublinear. We do not need anymore this hypothesis since we will prove the existence of such a sequence in full generality.

We gladly acknowledge numerous fruitful discussions with M Abadi about the Rényi entropy and its applications.

## 2 Rényi entropy function

### 2.1 Existence and regularity

We say the $T$-invariant probability measure $\mu$ on $\Omega$ is weakly $\psi$-mixing with respect to the (finite) partition $\mathcal{A}$ if there exist positive functions $\psi^{-}, \psi^{+}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$, where $\psi^{-}(k)<1 \forall k \geq \Delta_{0}$ for some $\Delta_{0}$, so that

$$
1-\psi^{-}(k) \leq \frac{\mu\left(U \cap T^{-n-k} V\right)}{\mu(U) \mu(V)} \leq 1+\psi^{+}(k)
$$

for all $U$ in $\sigma\left(\mathcal{A}^{n}\right)$, for all $n$ and all $V \in \sigma\left(\mathcal{A}^{*}\right)$ (where $\left.\mathcal{A}^{*}=\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}^{j}\right)$. From now on we assume that the measure $\mu$ on $\Omega$ is a $T$-invariant non-atomic probability measure which is weakly $\psi$-mixing where the functions $1-\psi^{-}, 1+\psi^{+}$are subexponential, which means $\lim \sup _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n}\left|\log \left(1-\psi^{-}(k)\right)\right|=0$ and $\lim \sup _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left(1+\psi^{+}(k)\right)=0$. Lemma 3 shows that the measures of cylinder sets decay exponentially fast. Classical $\psi$-mixing measures correspond to the special case when $\psi^{-}(k)=\psi^{+}(k)=\psi(k)$ where $\psi(k) \searrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty[11,17,15]$. The classical $\psi$-mixing property implies in particular that $\mu$ cannot have any atoms.

Put $b_{n}=\max _{A_{n} \in \mathcal{A}^{n}} \mu\left(A_{n}\right)$ and let $\gamma_{\mu}=\liminf _{n} \frac{1}{n}\left|\log b_{n}\right|$ be the exponential decay rate of the measures of $n$-cylinders. We will now establish the following properties of the Rényi entropy:

Theorem 1 Assume the (non-atomic) measure $\mu$ is weakly $\psi$-mixing and the functions $1-\psi^{-}, 1+\psi^{+}$ are subexponential. Then
(I) The limit $R(t)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t n}\left|\log Z_{n}(t)\right|$ exists for $t>0$. Convergence is uniform for $t$ on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{+}$.
(II) The function $W(t)=t R(t)$ is locally Lipschitz continuous.
(III) $R(0)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} R(t)=h(\mu)$.
(IV) $R(t)$ is monotonically decreasing on $(0, \infty)$ and $R(t) \rightarrow \gamma_{\mu}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, where $\gamma_{\mu}=\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n}\left|\log b_{n}\right|$ is positive.

### 2.2 Examples

(I) Bernoulli shift. If $\Omega$ is the full shift space over a finite alphabet $\{1,2, \ldots, M\}, \sigma$ the left shift transformation, the partition $\mathcal{A}$ is the collection of one-element cylinders and the invariant probability measure $\mu$ is given by a probability vector $\vec{p}=\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{M}\right)\left(\sum_{i} p_{i}=1, p_{i}>0\right)$, then $Z_{n}(t)=$ $\left(\sum_{i} p_{i}^{1+t}\right)^{n}$ and the Rényi entropy is $R(t)=\frac{1}{t} \log \sum_{i} p_{i}^{1+t}$ for $t>0$ and equal to the metric entropy $h_{\mu}=\sum_{i} p_{i}\left|\log p_{i}\right|$ for $t=0$.
(II) Markov chains. Again $\Omega$ is the shift space over the alphabet $\{1,2, \ldots, M\}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ is the usual partition of one-element cylinders. The invariant probability measure $\mu$ is now given by an $M \times M$ stochastic matrix $P$ (we assume $P$ is irreducible) and probability vector $\vec{p}: \vec{p} P=\vec{p}$ and $P 1=1$. The cylinder set $U\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{n}$ which is given by the $n$-word $x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n}$ then has the measure $\mu\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{n}\right)=p_{x_{1}} P_{x_{1} x_{2}} P_{x_{2} x_{3}} \cdots P_{x_{n-1} x_{n}}$. Hence

$$
Z_{n}(t)=\sum_{x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n}} p_{x_{1}}^{1+t} P_{x_{1} x_{2}}^{1+t} \cdots P_{x_{n-1} x_{n}}^{1+t}
$$

where the sum is over all (admissable) $n$-words. The non-negative $M \times M$-matrix $P(t)$ whose entries are $P_{i j}(t)=P_{i j}^{1+t}$ has by the Perron-Frobenius theorem a single largest positive eigenvalue $\lambda_{t}$ and a strictly positive (and normalised) left eigen vector $\vec{w}(t)$. (Note that $\lambda_{t}$ is a continuous function of $t$ and $\lambda_{0}=1$.) Thus ( $\left.p_{i}(t)=p_{i}^{1+t}, i=1, \ldots M\right)$

$$
\lambda_{t}^{-n} \vec{p}(t) P(t)^{n} \rightarrow(\vec{p} \cdot \vec{w}(t)) \vec{w}(t)
$$

(exponentially fast) as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We thus obtain that $R(t)=\frac{1}{t} \log \lambda_{t}$ if $t$ is positive and $R(0)=h_{\mu}=$ $\sum_{i j} p_{i} P_{i j}\left|\log P_{i j}\right|$ if $t=0$.
(III) Gibbs measures. [34, 10] If $\mu$ is a Gibbs measure for the potential function $\phi$ [13], then the Rényi entropy $R(t)=\frac{1}{t}((1+t) P(\phi)-P((1+t) \phi)$ ) (where $P$ is the pressure function) is analytic for $t>0$.

### 2.3 Proof of Theorem 1

Before we proof Theorem 1 we will need the following technical lemma about $\psi$-mixing measures. The notation $\psi^{ \pm}$means that $\psi^{+}$applies when the left side inside the absolute value is positive and $\psi^{-}$ applies when the argument inside the absolute value is negative.

Lemma 2 Assume there are sets $B_{j} \in \sigma\left(\mathcal{A}^{n_{j}}\right), j=1,2, \ldots, k$ for some integers $n_{j}$. If $\mu$ is weakly $\psi$-mixing then

$$
\left|\mu\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^{k} T^{-N_{j}} B_{j}\right)-\prod_{j=1}^{k} \mu\left(B_{j}\right)\right| \leq\left(\left(1+\psi^{ \pm}(\Delta)\right)^{k-1}-1\right) \prod_{j=1}^{k} \mu\left(B_{j}\right)
$$

for all $\Delta \geq 0$, where $N_{j}=n_{1}+n_{2}+\cdots+n_{j-1}+(j-1) \Delta\left(N_{0}=0\right)$.
Proof. Put for $\ell=1,2, \ldots, k$ :

$$
D_{\ell}=\bigcap_{j=\ell}^{k} T^{-\left(N_{j}-N_{\ell}\right)} B_{j}
$$

In particular $\bigcap_{j=1}^{k} T^{-N_{j}} B_{j}=D_{1}, D_{k}=B_{k}$ and note that

$$
D_{\ell}=B_{\ell} \cap T^{-n_{\ell}-\Delta} D_{\ell+1}
$$

By the mixing property $\left|\mu\left(D_{\ell}\right)-\mu\left(B_{\ell}\right) \mu\left(D_{\ell+1}\right)\right| \leq \psi^{ \pm}(\Delta) \mu\left(B_{\ell}\right) \mu\left(D_{\ell+1}\right)$ which repeatedly applied yields by the triangle inequality:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mu\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^{k} T^{-N_{j}} B_{j}\right)-\prod_{j=1}^{k} \mu\left(B_{j}\right)\right| & \leq \psi^{ \pm}(\Delta) \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1} \mu\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^{\ell-1} T^{-N_{j}} B_{j}\right) \prod_{j=\ell}^{k-1} \mu\left(B_{j}\right) \\
& \leq\left(\left(1+\psi^{ \pm}(\Delta)\right)^{k-1}-1\right) \prod_{j=1}^{k} \mu\left(B_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3 There exists a constant $\eta \in(0,1)$ so that $\mu\left(A_{n}\right) \leq \eta^{n}$ for all $A_{n} \in \mathcal{A}^{n}$ and all $n$.
Proof. Fix a $\Delta>0$ and $m$ so that $b_{m}=\max _{A_{m} \in \mathcal{A}^{m}} \mu\left(A_{m}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\psi^{+}(\Delta)\right)^{-1}$ (note that $b_{m} \searrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ since $\mu$ has no atoms). Then for any $n$ (large) and $A_{n} \in \mathcal{A}^{n}$ one has $A_{n} \subset \bigcap_{j=0}^{k-1} T^{-k m^{\prime}} A_{m}\left(T^{j m^{\prime}} A_{n}\right)$, where $k=\left[\frac{n}{m^{\prime}}\right], m^{\prime}=m+\Delta$ and $A_{m}\left(T^{j m^{\prime}} A_{n}\right)$ is the $m$-cylinder that contains $T^{j m^{\prime}} A_{n}(j \leq k-1)$. By Lemma 2
$\mu\left(A_{n}\right) \leq \mu\left(\bigcap_{j=0}^{k-1} T^{-k m^{\prime}} A_{m}\left(T^{j m^{\prime}} A_{n}\right)\right) \leq\left(1+\psi^{+}(\Delta)\right)^{k-1} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \mu\left(A_{m}\left(T^{j m^{\prime}} A_{n}\right)\right) \leq\left(1+\psi^{+}(\Delta)\right)^{k} b_{m}^{k} \leq 2^{-k}$.
Hence $\eta \leq 2^{-\frac{1}{m^{\prime}}}$.
Remark. The exponential decay of cylinders implies in particular that the metric entropy of a weakly $\psi$-mixing measure $\mu$ is positive. In fact $h(\mu) \geq|\log \eta|>0$.

Proof of (I). Let $m$ and $\Delta \geq \Delta_{0}$ (the 'gap') be integers, put $m^{\prime}=m+\Delta$ and let $n=k m^{\prime}-\Delta$ be a large integer. Put $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{n}=\bigvee_{j=0}^{k-1} T^{-j m^{\prime}} \mathcal{A}^{m}$ define for some $\beta>1$

$$
\mathcal{G}_{n}=\left\{A_{n} \in \mathcal{A}^{n}: \mu\left(A_{n}\right) \geq e^{-k \Delta^{\beta}} \mu\left(\tilde{A}_{n}\right)\right\},
$$

where $\tilde{A}_{n}=\bigcap_{j=0}^{k-1} T^{-k m^{\prime}} A_{m}\left(T^{j m^{\prime}} A_{n}\right)$. Then for every $A_{n}$ one has

$$
\mu\left(\bigcup_{A_{n}^{\prime} \subset \tilde{A}_{n}, A_{n}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{n}} A_{n}^{\prime}\right)=\mu\left(\tilde{A}_{n}\right)-\mu\left(\bigcup_{A_{n}^{\prime} \subset \tilde{A}_{n}, A_{n}^{\prime} \notin \mathcal{G}_{n}} A_{n}^{\prime}\right) \geq\left(1-|\mathcal{A}|^{k \Delta} e^{-k \Delta^{\beta}}\right) \mu\left(\tilde{A}_{n}\right)
$$

as $\tilde{A}_{n}=\bigcup_{A_{n}^{\prime} \subset \tilde{A}_{n}, A_{n}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}^{n}} A_{n}^{\prime}$ has $k$ 'gaps' each of which is of length $\Delta$. This implies that if $|\mathcal{A}|^{\Delta} e^{-\Delta^{\beta}}<1$ then for every $\tilde{A}_{n} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{n}$ there exists an $A_{n}^{\prime} \subset \tilde{A}_{n}, A_{n}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}^{n}$ which also belongs to $\mathcal{G}_{n}$. As $\Delta \geq \Delta_{0}$ we get

$$
Z_{n}(t)=\sum_{A_{n} \in \mathcal{A}^{n}} \mu\left(A_{n}\right)^{1+t} \geq e^{-k \Delta^{\beta}(1+t)} \sum_{\tilde{A}_{n} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{n}} \mu\left(\tilde{A}_{n}\right)^{1+t}=e^{-k \Delta^{\beta}(1+t)} Z_{m}(t)^{k}\left(\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\psi^{-}(\Delta)\right)\right)^{k-1}\right)^{1+t}
$$

where we have used $\mu\left(\tilde{A}_{n}\right)=\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\psi^{ \pm}(\Delta)\right)\right)^{k-1} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \mu\left(A_{m}\left(T^{j m^{\prime}} \tilde{A}_{n}\right)\right)$ (mixing property). Hence we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\log Z_{n}(t)\right| & \leq k\left|\log Z_{m}(t)\right|+k \Delta^{\beta}(1+t)+(1+t)\left|\log \left(1-\psi^{-}(\Delta)\right)^{k-1}\right| \\
& \leq k\left|\log Z_{m}(t)\right|+\mathcal{O}\left(k \Delta^{\beta}(1+t)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

If we put $a_{n}=\left|\log Z_{n}(t)\right|$ then $a_{n} \leq k a_{m}+c k \Delta^{\beta}$ and

$$
\frac{a_{k m^{\prime}}}{k m^{\prime}} \leq \frac{a_{m}}{m^{\prime}}+c \frac{\Delta^{\beta}}{m^{\prime}}=\frac{m}{m^{\prime}} \frac{a_{m}}{m}+c \frac{\Delta^{\beta}}{m^{\prime}}
$$

If we put $\Delta \sim m^{\alpha}$ so that $\alpha \beta<1$ then $\limsup \sin _{n} \frac{a_{n}}{n} \leq \frac{m}{m+\Delta} \frac{a_{m}}{m}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\Delta^{\beta}}{m}\right)$ for all $m$. Hence limsup $\sin _{n} \frac{a_{n}}{n} \leq$ $\lim \inf _{m} \frac{a_{m}}{m}$.

We also have

$$
Z_{n}(t) \leq|\mathcal{A}|^{k \Delta} Z_{m}(t)^{k}\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\psi^{+}(\Delta)\right)\right)^{(k-1)(1+t)}
$$

which implies

$$
\left|\log Z_{n}(t)\right| \geq k\left|\log Z_{m}(t)\right|+\mathcal{O}(k \Delta(1+t))
$$

This ensures uniform convergence for $t$ in compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{+}$.
Proof of (II). For $t>0$ let us put $H_{n}(t)=\sum_{A_{n} \in \mathcal{A}^{n}} \mu\left(A_{n}\right)^{1+t}\left|\log \mu\left(A_{n}\right)\right|\left(\right.$ clearly $h(\mu)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H_{n}(0)$ and $\left.\frac{d}{d t} Z_{n}(t)=H_{n}(t)\right)$. As above let $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{n}=\bigvee_{j=0}^{k-1} T^{-j m^{\prime}} \mathcal{A}^{m}$ and, in order to cut $k$ gaps of lengths $\Delta$, put

$$
\mathcal{G}_{n}=\left\{A_{n} \in \mathcal{A}^{n}: \mu\left(A_{n}\right) \geq e^{-k \Delta^{\beta}} \mu\left(\tilde{A}_{n}\right)\right\},
$$

for some $\beta>1$ where $\tilde{A}_{n} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{n}$ is so that $A_{n} \subset \tilde{A}_{n}$ and $n=k m^{\prime}-\Delta\left(m^{\prime}=m+\Delta\right)$. The sum over $\mathcal{A}^{n}$ that defines $Z_{n}$ is split into two parts: (i) over $\mathcal{G}_{n}$ and (ii) over the complement of $\mathcal{G}_{n}$.
(i) On the set $\mathcal{A}^{n} \backslash \mathcal{G}_{n}$ we have $\mu\left(A_{n}\right) \leq e^{-k \Delta^{\beta}} \mu\left(\tilde{A}_{n}\right)$, where $A_{n} \in \mathcal{G}_{n}, A_{n} \subset \tilde{A}_{n} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{n}$. Choose $\gamma \in(1, \beta)$ and let $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{\prime}=\left\{A_{n}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}^{n}: \mu\left(A_{n}^{\prime}\right) \geq e^{-k \Delta^{\gamma}} \mu\left(\tilde{A}_{n}\right)\right\}$. Then we get for all $A_{n} \notin \mathcal{G}_{n}$ :

$$
\mu\left(A_{n}\right) \leq e^{-k \Delta^{\beta}} \mu\left(\tilde{A}_{n}\right) \leq e^{-k \Delta^{\beta}} e^{-k \Delta^{\gamma}} \mu\left(A_{n}^{\prime}\right),
$$

where $A_{n}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{n}^{\prime}$ is so that $A_{n}^{\prime} \subset \tilde{A}_{n}$ (such an $A_{n}^{\prime}$ exists since $|\mathcal{A}|^{\Delta} e^{-\Delta^{\gamma}}<1$ for $\Delta$ large enough). Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{A_{n} \notin \mathcal{G}_{n}}\left|\log \mu\left(A_{n}\right)\right| \mu\left(A_{n}\right)^{1+t} & \leq e^{-k(1+t) \Delta^{\beta}} \sum_{A_{n} \notin \mathcal{G}_{n}}\left|\log \mu\left(A_{n}\right)\right| \mu\left(\tilde{A}_{n}\right)^{1+t} \\
& \leq e^{-k(1+t)\left(\Delta^{\beta}-\Delta^{\gamma}\right)} \sum_{A_{n}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{n}^{\prime}}\left|\log \mu\left(A_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right| \mu\left(A_{n}^{\prime}\right)^{1+t} \\
& \leq e^{-k(1+t)\left(\Delta^{\beta}-\Delta^{\gamma}\right)} H_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) If $A_{n} \in \mathcal{G}_{n}$ then $\log \mu\left(A_{n}\right)=\log \mu\left(\tilde{A}_{n}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(k \Delta^{\beta}\right)$ and we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{n}(t) & =\sum_{A_{n} \in \mathcal{A}^{n}}\left|\log \mu\left(A_{n}\right)\right| \mu\left(A_{n}\right)^{1+t} \\
& =\sum_{A_{n} \in \mathcal{G}_{n}}\left(\left|\log \mu\left(\tilde{A}_{n}\right)\right|+\mathcal{O}\left(k \Delta^{\beta}\right)\right) \mu\left(A_{n}\right)^{1+t}+\sum_{A_{n} \notin \mathcal{G}_{n}}\left|\log \mu\left(A_{n}\right)\right| \mu\left(A_{n}\right)^{1+t} \\
& =\sum_{A_{n} \in \mathcal{G}_{n}}\left|\log \mu\left(\tilde{A}_{n}\right)\right| \mu\left(A_{n}\right)^{1+t}+\mathcal{O}\left(k \Delta^{\beta}\right) Z_{n}+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-k(1+t)\left(\Delta^{\beta}-\Delta^{\gamma}\right)}\right) H_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

(in the last step we used the estimate from part (i)).
The mixing property $\mu\left(\tilde{A}_{n}\right)=\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\psi^{ \pm}(\Delta)\right)\right)^{k-1} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \mu\left(A_{m} \circ T^{j m^{\prime}}\right)$ is applied to the principal term:

$$
\sum_{A_{n} \in \mathcal{A}^{n}}\left|\log \mu\left(\tilde{A}_{n}\right)\right| \mu\left(A_{n}\right)^{1+t}=\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} X^{j}+\mathcal{O}\left(k\left(\psi^{-}(\Delta)+\psi^{+}(\Delta)\right)\right),
$$

where $X^{j}=\sum_{A_{n} \in \mathcal{A}^{n}}\left|\log \mu\left(A_{m} \circ T^{j m^{\prime}}\right)\right| \mu\left(A_{n}\right)^{1+t}$. To further examine $X^{j}$ let us put

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{j}^{n}=\mathcal{A}^{j m^{\prime}-\Delta} \vee T^{-j m^{\prime}} \mathcal{A}^{m} \vee T^{-(j+1) m^{\prime}-\Delta} \mathcal{A}^{n-(j+1) m^{\prime}-\Delta}
$$

where we opened up two gaps of lengths $\Delta\left(\Delta \geq \Delta_{0}\right)$, the first after $j$ blocks and the second one after $j+1$ blocks $(j=0, \ldots, k-1)$ with the obvious modification if $j=0, k-1$ in which cases there is only a single gap. We now put

$$
\mathcal{G}_{n}^{j}=\left\{A_{n} \in \mathcal{A}^{n}: \mu\left(A_{n}\right) \geq e^{-\Delta^{\beta}} \mu\left(\tilde{A}_{n}^{j}\right)\right\}
$$

where $\tilde{A}_{n}^{j} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{j}^{n}$ is so that $A_{n} \subset \tilde{A}_{n}^{j}$. The sum in $X^{j}$ over $\mathcal{A}^{n}$ is split into two parts: (a) over $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{j}$ and (b) over its complement $\mathcal{A}^{n} \backslash \mathcal{G}_{n}^{j}$.
(a) For the sum over $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{j}$ the mixing property

$$
\mu\left(\tilde{A}_{n}^{j}\right)=(1+\mathcal{O}(\psi(\Delta))) \mu\left(A_{j m^{\prime}-\Delta}\right) \mu\left(A_{m} \circ T^{j m^{\prime}}\right) \mu\left(A_{n-(j+1) m^{\prime}-\Delta} \circ T^{-(j+1) m^{\prime}-\Delta}\right)
$$

for $\tilde{A}_{n}^{j} \in \mathcal{A}_{j}^{n}$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{A_{n} \in \mathcal{G}_{n}^{j}}\left|\log \mu\left(A_{m} \circ T^{j m^{\prime}}\right)\right| \mu\left(A_{n}\right)^{1+t} & \in\left[e^{-(1+t) \Delta^{\beta}},|\mathcal{A}|^{2 \Delta}\right] \sum_{\tilde{A}_{n} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{j}^{n}}\left|\log \mu\left(A_{m} \circ T^{j m^{\prime}}\right)\right| \mu\left(\tilde{A}_{n}^{j}\right)^{1+t} \\
& =\left[e^{-(1+t) \Delta^{\beta}},|\mathcal{A}|^{2 \Delta}\right]\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\psi^{ \pm}(\Delta)\right)\right) Z_{j m^{\prime}-\Delta} H_{m} Z_{n-(j+1) m^{\prime}-\Delta} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) For the sum over $\mathcal{A}^{n} \backslash \mathcal{G}_{n}^{j}$ we estimate as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{A_{n} \notin \mathcal{G}_{n}^{j}}\left|\log \mu\left(A_{m} \circ T^{j m^{\prime}}\right)\right| \mu\left(A_{n}\right)^{1+t} & \leq|\mathcal{A}|^{2 \Delta} e^{-(1+t) \Delta^{\beta}} \sum_{\tilde{A}_{n}^{j} \in \mathcal{A}_{j}^{n}}\left|\log \mu\left(A_{m} \circ T^{j m^{\prime}}\right)\right| \mu\left(\tilde{A}_{n}^{j}\right)^{1+t} \\
& \leq|\mathcal{A}|^{2 \Delta} e^{-(1+t) \Delta^{\beta}}\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\psi^{ \pm}(\Delta)\right)\right)^{1+t} Z_{j m^{\prime}-\Delta} H_{m} Z_{n-(j+1) m^{\prime}-\Delta}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly one shows that $Z_{n} \in\left[|\mathcal{A}|^{2 \Delta} e^{-(1+t) \Delta^{\beta}},|\mathcal{A}|^{2 \Delta}\right] Z_{j m^{\prime}-\Delta} Z_{m} Z_{n-(j+1) m^{\prime}-\Delta}$. Hence we get

$$
H_{n} \in\left[\frac{1}{c_{1}}, c_{1}\right] \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{Z_{j m^{\prime}-\Delta} H_{m} Z_{n-(j+1) m^{\prime}-\Delta}}{Z_{n}}+\mathcal{O}\left(k \Delta^{\beta}\right)
$$

for some constant $c_{1} \approx 2|\mathcal{A}|^{2 \Delta} e^{(1+t) \Delta^{\gamma}}$ and consequently

$$
H_{n} \in\left[\frac{1}{c_{1}^{2}}, c_{1}^{2}\right] k H_{m}+\mathcal{O}\left(k \Delta^{\beta}\right)
$$

This implies that $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H_{n} \leq c_{1}^{2} \frac{1}{m} H_{m}$ and similarly $\operatorname{lim~}_{\inf }^{n \rightarrow \infty}$ $\frac{1}{n} H_{n} \geq c_{1}^{-2} \frac{1}{m} H_{m}$. This implies that $c_{2} c_{1}^{-2}|s| \leq W(t+s)-W(t) \leq c_{2} c_{1}^{2}|s|$ for small $s($ e.g. $-t \leq s \leq 1)$ for some positive constant $c_{2}$ (equal to $\frac{1}{m} H_{m}(t)$ for some $m$ ).
Proof of (III). With $H_{n}(t)=\sum_{A_{n} \in \mathcal{A}^{n}} \mu\left(A_{n}\right)^{1+t}\left|\log \mu\left(A_{n}\right)\right|$ as above we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{n+m}(t) & =\sum_{A_{n+m} \in \mathcal{A}^{n+m}} \mu\left(A_{n+m}\right)^{1+t}\left|\log \frac{\mu\left(A_{n+m}\right)}{\mu\left(A_{m}\right)}+\log \mu\left(A_{m}\right)\right| \\
& =\sum_{A_{n+m} \in \mathcal{A}^{n+m}} \mu\left(A_{n+m}\right)^{1+t}\left|\log \mu\left(A_{m}\right)\right|+\frac{1}{1+t} \sum_{A_{n+m} \in \mathcal{A}^{n+m}} \mu\left(A_{n+m}\right)^{1+t}\left|\log \left(\frac{\mu\left(A_{n+m}\right)}{\mu\left(A_{m}\right)}\right)^{1+t}\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{A_{m} \in \mathcal{A}^{m}} \mu\left(A_{m}\right)^{1+t}\left|\log \mu\left(A_{m}\right)\right|+\frac{1}{1+t} \sum_{A_{n} \in \mathcal{A}^{n}} Z_{m}(t) \sum_{A_{m} \in \mathcal{A}^{m}} \frac{\mu\left(A_{m}\right)^{1+t}}{Z_{m}(t)} \phi\left(\left(\frac{\mu\left(A_{n+m}\right)}{\mu\left(A_{m}\right)}\right)^{1+t}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A_{n+m}$ stands for $A_{m} \cap T^{-m} A_{n}$ and $\phi(s)=-s \log s$ is concave on $(0,1)$ and increasing on $\left(0, \frac{1}{e}\right)$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{n+m}(t) & \leq H_{m}(t)+\frac{Z_{m}(t)}{1+t} \sum_{A_{n} \in \mathcal{A}^{n}} \phi\left(\sum_{A_{m} \in \mathcal{A}^{m}} \frac{\mu\left(A_{n+m}\right)^{1+t}}{Z_{m}(t)}\right) \\
& \leq H_{m}(t)+\frac{Z_{m}(t)}{1+t} \sum_{A_{n} \in \mathcal{A}^{n}} \phi\left(\frac{\mu\left(A_{n}\right)^{1+t}}{Z_{m}(t)}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

provided $\frac{\mu\left(A_{n}\right)^{1+t}}{Z_{m}(t)} \leq \frac{1}{e}$ for every $A_{n} \in \mathcal{A}^{n}$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{n+m}(t) & \leq H_{m}(t)+\frac{1}{1+t} \sum_{A_{n} \in \mathcal{A}^{n}} \mu\left(A_{n}\right)^{1+t}\left|\log \frac{\mu\left(A_{n}\right)^{1+t}}{Z_{m}(t)}\right| \\
& =H_{m}(t)+H_{n}(t)+\frac{1}{1+t} Z_{n}(t)\left|\log Z_{m}(t)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

(as $Z_{m} \leq 1$ ). Now we apply this estimate repeatedly. In order to satisfy the condition $\frac{\mu\left(A_{j m}\right)^{1+t}}{Z_{m}(t)} \leq \frac{1}{e}$ for every $A_{j m} \in \mathcal{A}^{j m}, j=1, \ldots, k$ let us note that the measure of the cylindersets goes to zero by Lemma 3. Hence for a given $m$ we can find an integer $J$ so that $\frac{\mu\left(A_{j m}\right)^{1+t}}{Z_{m}(t)} \leq \frac{1}{e}$ for every $A_{j m} \in \mathcal{A}^{j m}$, and all $j>J$. Moreover since $W(0)=0$ and $\frac{1}{n} \log Z_{n}(t)$ converge uniformly to $W(t)$ for $t \in(0, \delta)$ (for $\delta>0$ ), we can let $\varepsilon>0$ and choose $\delta>0$ so that $|W(t)|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ and $N$ so that $\left|\frac{1}{n} \log Z_{n}(t)-W(t)\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ for all $n \geq N$ and $t \in(0, \delta)$. Hence $1 \geq Z_{n}(t) \geq e^{-\varepsilon n}$ for $n \geq N, t \in(0, \delta)$. Assume $m>N$. Then we get almost subadditivity for the sequence $H_{n}(t)$ :

$$
H_{k m}(t)=H_{J m}(t)+(k-J) H_{m}(t)+\mathcal{O}(k \varepsilon m)
$$

and consequently (as $k \rightarrow \infty$ )

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H_{n}(t)}{n}=\frac{H_{m}(t)}{m}+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)
$$

for every $m>N$. Therefore if $t \in(0, \delta)$ :

$$
W(t)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log Z_{n}(t)}{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_{0}^{t} H_{n}(s) d s=\frac{1}{m} \int_{0}^{t} H_{m}(s) d s+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon t)
$$

and consequently

$$
R(0)=W^{\prime}(0)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{1}{t m} \int_{0}^{t} H_{m}(s) d s+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)=\frac{1}{m} H_{m}(0) d s+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)
$$

Since $\varepsilon>0$ was arbitrary we get that $R(0)=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} H_{m}(0)$ (we need that $m>N_{\varepsilon}$, where $N_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \infty$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$).

Proof of (IV). The fact that $R$ is decreasing was noted in e.g. [34, 9]. Since

$$
b_{n}^{1+t} \leq Z_{n}(t) \leq \sum_{A_{n} \in \mathcal{A}^{n}} \mu\left(A_{n}\right) b_{n}^{t}=b_{n}^{t}
$$

we obtain that $\frac{1}{n}\left|\log b_{n}\right| \leq R(t) \leq \frac{1+t}{t} \frac{1}{n}\left|\log b_{n}\right|$ for all $n$ (this estimate is true universally, independent of mixing properties). Hence $\gamma_{\mu} \leq R(t) \leq \frac{1+t}{t} \gamma_{\mu}$ for all $t>0$ where $\gamma_{\mu}$ is strictly positive since, by Lemma 3, $\gamma_{\mu} \geq|\log \eta|>0$.

As Lemma 3 shows the measure of cylinder sets always decays exponentially fast for weakly $\psi$-mixing measures. Clearly, if the measure of cylinder sets decays subexponentially (i.e. $\gamma_{\mu}=0$ ) then the Rényi entropy $R(t)$ is identically zero on $(0, \infty)$.

## 3 Short return times

In the introduction we recalled that for every ergodic measure $\mu$ with positive entropy $\lim \inf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \tau_{n}(x) \geq$ 1 almost everywhere. Since a weakly $\psi$-mixing measure $\mu$ has positive entropy (see the remark following Lemma 3), we obtain $\lim \inf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \tau_{n}(x) \geq 1$ for $\mu$-almost every $x \in \Omega$. In order to get the upper bound let $x \in \Omega$, let us note that by the weak $\psi$-mixing property

$$
\frac{\mu\left(A_{n}(x) \cap T^{-n-\Delta} A_{n}(x)\right)}{\mu\left(A_{n}(x)\right)^{2}} \geq 1-\psi^{-}(\Delta)>0
$$

for $\Delta \geq \Delta_{0}$. This implies $\tau_{n}(x) \leq n+\Delta$ and since $\Delta \geq \Delta_{0}$ is fixed we obtain that lim sup ${ }_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \tau_{n}(x) \leq$ 1 for every $x \in \Omega$. Hence

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \tau_{n}(x)=1
$$

almost everywhere. In this section we are concerned about the large deviations of the process $\tau_{n}$, namely we are interested in the asymptotic behavior of the distributions

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\tau_{n} \leq[\delta n]\right)=\mu\left(\left\{x: \tau_{n}(x) \leq[\delta n]\right\}\right) .
$$

Since $\tau_{n}(x)$ is obviously constant for all the points in the same cylinder around $x$, we could replace the set $\left\{x: \tau_{n}(x) \leq[\delta n]\right\}$ with the following one

$$
\mathcal{C}_{n}(\delta)=\left\{A_{n} \in \mathcal{A}^{n}: \quad \tau_{n}\left(A_{n}\right) \leq[\delta n]\right\}
$$

which measures the probability of points to have very short returns and where $\tau_{n}\left(A_{n}\right)=\min \{k \geq 1$ : $\left.A_{n} \cap T^{-k} A_{n} \neq \emptyset\right\}=\tau_{n}(x), x \in A_{n}$. In order to analyze its size let us, following [4], define the sets

$$
B_{n}(j)=\left\{A_{n} \in \mathcal{A}^{n}: \frac{j}{\tau_{n}\left(A_{n}\right)} \in \mathbb{N}\right\}
$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}, j=1, \ldots, n$. Clearly $B_{n}(j) \in \sigma\left(\mathcal{A}^{n}\right)$ for all $j$ and if we look at the symbolic representation of the $n$-cylinders in $B_{n}(j)$ we note that there are two cases, namely:
(i) If $j \leq \frac{n}{2}$ and $x$ is a point in $B_{n}(j)$ then the first $n$ symbols of points in it are

$$
\left(x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{j}\right)^{n^{\prime}} x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{r}
$$

where $n^{\prime}=[n / j]$ and $r=n-j[n / j](r<j)$.
(ii) If $j>\frac{n}{2}$ and $A_{n}$ is an $n$-cylinder in $B_{n}(j)$ then the first $n$ symbols of points in it are

$$
x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n-j} x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{2 j-n} x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n-j}
$$

where the (remainder) middle portion is of length $n-2(n-j)=2 j-n$.
Let us put

$$
\mathcal{S}_{n}(\lambda)=\left\{A_{n} \in \mathcal{A}^{n}: \tau_{n}\left(A_{n}\right)=[n \lambda]\right\} .
$$

The purpose of this section is to determine the decay rate of the measure of the set $\mathcal{S}_{n}(\lambda)$ as $n$ goes to infinity. As $\lambda$ varies over the unit interval we obtain the short recurrence spectrum for the measure $\mu$. Let us note that for every $n$ we have that $\mathcal{C}_{n}(\delta)=\bigcap_{\lambda<\delta} \mathcal{S}_{n}(\lambda)$

For $\lambda \in(0,1]$ we define the function

$$
M(\lambda)=(1-\lambda \ell)(W(\ell)-W(\ell-1))+\delta W(\ell-1)
$$

where $d=\left[\frac{1}{\delta}\right]\left(1-\delta d\right.$ linearly interpolates between the values $\frac{1}{k+1}$ and 0 on the interval $\left.\left(\frac{1}{k+1}, \frac{1}{k}\right)\right)$. The function $M(\lambda)$ is continuous on $(0,1)$, piecewise affine on the intevals $\left(\frac{1}{1+k}, \frac{1}{k}\right)$ and assumes the values $M\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)=\frac{1}{k} W(k-1), k=1,2, \ldots$ (in particular $M(1)=0$ ). The function $M(\lambda)$ interpolated $\hat{M}(\lambda)=\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda} W\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)$ between the values at the points $\lambda=\frac{1}{k}$ for $k=1,2, \ldots$. Changing coordinates to
$t=\frac{1+\lambda}{\lambda}$ we get $\hat{M}(\lambda)=\frac{1}{t} W(t-1)$. This function is increasing for $t>1$ as can be seen from the derivatives of the approximating functions. To wit

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \frac{1}{t n}\left|\log Z_{n}(t-1)\right|=\frac{1}{t^{2} Z_{n}(t-1)} \sum_{A_{n} \in \mathcal{A}^{n}} \mu\left(A_{n}\right)^{t}\left|\log \frac{\mu\left(A_{n}\right)^{t}}{Z_{n}(t-1)}\right|
$$

which is positive for every $n$. Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t n}\left|\log Z_{n}(t-1)\right|=\frac{1}{t} W(t-1)$ we conclude that $\frac{1}{t} W(t-1)$ is increasing on $(1, \infty)$. Hence $M(\lambda)$ is decreasing on $(0,1)$. We now prove our main result for the density of short returns.

## Theorem 4

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n}\left|\log \mu\left(\mathcal{S}_{n}(\lambda)\right)\right|=M(\lambda)
$$

The lower bound was proven in [4]. It remains to prove the upper bound. In [4] the bound was proven under the assumption that $\psi(0)$ be less than 1 which is essentially only satisfied for Bernoulli measures. Here we obtain the lower bound for all weakly $\psi$-mixing measures. Theorem 4 gives rise to the following corollary.

## Corollary 5

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n}\left|\log \mu\left(\mathcal{C}_{n}(\delta)\right)\right|=M(\delta)
$$

Proof. Clearly $\mathcal{C}_{n}(\delta) \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{[\delta n]} B_{n}(j)$ which implies that $\mathcal{C}_{n}(\delta) \subset \bigcup_{0<\lambda \leq \delta} \mathcal{S}_{n}(\lambda)$. The union in fact consists of no more than $n$ distinct sets. Hence

$$
\mu\left(\mathcal{C}_{n}(\delta)\right) \leq n \max _{0<\lambda \leq \delta} \mu\left(\mathcal{S}_{n}(\lambda)\right)
$$

which implies that $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n}\left|\log \mu\left(\mathcal{S}_{n}(\lambda)\right)\right| \leq \min _{0<\lambda \leq \delta} M(\lambda)$. The upper bound follows from the fact that $\mathcal{S}_{n}(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{C}_{n}(\delta)$ for every $\lambda \leq \delta$. The statement now follows because $M$ is monotonically decreasing on $(0,1)$.

## Proposition 6

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n}\left|\log \mu\left(\mathcal{S}_{n}(\lambda)\right)\right| \leq M(\lambda)
$$

Let us first prove the following inequality which by itself is of some interest.
Lemma 7 Let $\gamma \in(0,1)$. Then for all $\lambda \in(0,1)$ and all large enough $n$ :

$$
\mu\left(B_{n}(j)\right) \geq e^{\mathcal{O}\left(n^{\gamma}\right)} Z_{r}(w) Z_{j-r}(w-1)
$$

where $j=[\lambda n]$ and $n=w j+r, 0 \leq r<j, w=[n / j]$.
Proof. We do the two cases (A) $\lambda \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ and (B) $\lambda \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$ separately.
(A) Let us first deal with the case $0<\lambda \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Put $j=[\lambda n]$ and $w=\left[\frac{n}{j}\right]$. Then $n=w j+r$ where $r<j(r=0$ if $\lambda n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 / \lambda \in \mathbb{N})$. For an $n$-cylinder $A_{n} \subset B_{n}(j)$ one has the decomposition

$$
A_{n}=\left(\bigcap_{k=0}^{w-1} T^{-j k} A_{j}\left(A_{n}\right)\right) \cap T^{-w j} A_{r}\left(A_{n}\right)
$$

where $w=\left[\frac{n}{j}\right]$ and $A_{j}\left(A_{n}\right)$ is the $j$-cylinder that contains the $n$-cylinder $A_{n}$ etc. Let $\Delta \geq \Delta_{0}$ be so that $\Delta<r, j-r$ and put

$$
\tilde{A}_{n}=\left(\bigcap_{k=0}^{w} T^{-j k} A_{r-\Delta}\left(A_{n}\right)\right) \cap\left(\bigcap_{k=0}^{w} T^{-j k-r} A_{j-r-\Delta}\left(A_{n}\right)\right) .
$$

Here we opened up gaps of lengths $\Delta$ (i) at each occurrence of period after length $j$ and (ii) then cut each period of length $j$ into two pieces of lengths $r$ and $r-j$. Since $A_{n} \subset \tilde{A}_{n}$ clearly $\mu\left(\tilde{A}_{n}\right) \geq \mu\left(A_{n}\right)$ and in order to get a comparison in the opposite direction, let $\beta>1$ and put

$$
\mathcal{G}_{n, j}=\left\{A_{n} \in \mathcal{A}^{n}: A_{n} \subset B_{n}(j), \quad \mu\left(A_{n}\right) \geq e^{-2 w \Delta^{\beta}} \mu\left(\tilde{A}_{n}\right)\right\}
$$

for the 'good' $n$-cylinders in $B_{n}(j)$ whose measures are comparable to the measure of $\tilde{A}_{n}$. Put $G_{n, j}=$ $\bigcup_{A_{n} \in \mathcal{G}_{n, j}} A_{n}$. Then for every $A_{n} \subset B_{n}(j)$ one has

$$
\mu\left(\bigcup_{A_{n}^{\prime} \subset \tilde{A}_{n} \cap B_{n}(j), A_{n}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}^{n}} A_{n}^{\prime}\right) \geq\left(1-|\mathcal{A}|^{2 w \Delta} e^{-2 w \Delta^{\beta}}\right) \mu\left(\tilde{A}_{n} \cap B_{n}(j)\right)
$$

as $\tilde{A}_{n} \cap B_{n}(j)=\bigcup_{A_{n}^{\prime} \subset \tilde{A}_{n}, A_{n}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{n, j}} A_{n}^{\prime}$. This implies that if $|\mathcal{A}|^{2 w \Delta} e^{-2 w \Delta^{\beta}}<1$ then $\tilde{A}_{n} \cap B_{n}(j) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if there exists an $A_{n}^{\prime} \subset \tilde{A}_{n}, A_{n}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}^{n}$, which also belongs to $\mathcal{G}_{n, j}$. Hence

$$
\mu\left(B_{n}(j)\right) \geq \mu\left(G_{n, j}\right) \geq e^{-2 w \Delta^{\beta}} \sum_{\tilde{A}_{n}} \mu\left(\tilde{A}_{n}\right)
$$

where the sum is over all $\tilde{A}_{n}$ for which there is an $A_{n} \subset B_{n}(j)$. Since all $A_{n} \subset B_{n}(j)$ are of the form $\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{j}\right)^{w} x_{1} \ldots x_{r}$ where $x_{1} \ldots x_{j}$ runs through all possible periodic words of lengths $j$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\tilde{A}_{n}} \mu\left(\tilde{A}_{n}\right) & =\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\psi^{ \pm}(\Delta)\right)\right)^{2 w+1} \sum_{x_{1} \ldots x_{r-\Delta}} \sum_{x_{r+1} \ldots x_{j-\Delta}} \mu\left(A_{r-\Delta}\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{r-\Delta}\right)\right)^{w+1} \mu\left(A_{j-r-\Delta}\left(x_{r+1} \ldots x_{j-\Delta}\right)\right)^{w} \\
& =\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\psi^{ \pm}(\Delta)\right)\right)^{2 w+1} Z_{r-\Delta}(w) Z_{j-r-\Delta}(w-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the sum is over all $(r-\Delta)$-words $x_{1} \ldots x_{r-\Delta}$ and all $(j-n-\Delta)$-words $x_{r+1} \ldots x_{j-\Delta}$, where $Z_{m}(k)=\sum_{A_{m} \in \mathcal{A}^{m}} \mu\left(A_{m}\right)^{k+1}$. Hence

$$
\mu\left(B_{n}(j)\right) \geq c_{1} e^{-2 w \Delta^{\beta}} Z_{r-\Delta}(w) Z_{j-r-\Delta}(w-1)
$$

for some $c_{1}>0$. We have to choose $\Delta \geq \Delta_{0}$ and need to have $|\mathcal{A}|^{\Delta} e^{-\Delta^{\beta}}<1$. This requires $\beta$ to be bigger than 1 .

Next we compare $Z_{r-\Delta}(w)$ to $Z_{r}(w)$ as follows:

$$
Z_{r-\Delta}(w)=\sum_{x_{1} \ldots x_{r-\Delta}} \mu\left(A_{r-\Delta}\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{r-\Delta}\right)\right)^{w+1} \geq \frac{1}{|\mathcal{A}|^{\Delta}} \sum_{x_{1} \ldots x_{r}} \mu\left(A_{r-\Delta}\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{r}\right)\right)^{w+1}
$$

as $\mu\left(A_{r}\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{r}\right)\right) \leq \mu\left(A_{r-\Delta}\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{r-\Delta}\right)\right)$ and $\#\left\{A_{r}: A_{r} \subset A_{r-\Delta}\right\} \leq|\mathcal{A}|^{\Delta}$. Hence $Z_{r-\Delta}(w) \geq$ $|\mathcal{A}|^{-\Delta} Z_{r}(w)$ and similarly $Z_{j-r-\Delta}(w-1) \geq|\mathcal{A}|^{-\Delta} Z_{j-r}(w-1)$. This implies

$$
\mu\left(B_{n}(j)\right) \geq c_{1}|\mathcal{A}|^{-2 \Delta} e^{-2 w \Delta^{\beta}} Z_{r}(w) Z_{j-r}(w-1) \geq c_{1} e^{-c_{2} \Delta^{\alpha \beta}} Z_{r}(w) Z_{j-r}(w-1)
$$

if we choose $\Delta=\left[j^{\alpha}\right]$ for some $\alpha \in(0,1)$. If $\alpha$ is small enough then $\gamma \geq \alpha \beta$.
(B) The case when $\lambda \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$. Again we put $j=[n \lambda]$ and $n=j+r($ note $[1 / \lambda]=1)$. If $A_{n} \subset B_{n}(j)$ is an $n$-cylinder then $A_{n}=A_{r}\left(A_{n}\right) \cap T^{-j} A_{r}\left(A_{n}\right) \cap T^{-r} A_{n-2 r}\left(T^{r} A_{n}\right)$, where $n-2 r \geq 0$. Let $\Delta \geq \Delta_{0}$ (not too large) and define as above

$$
\tilde{A}_{n}=A_{r-\Delta}\left(A_{n}\right) \cap T^{-j} A_{r-\Delta}\left(A_{n}\right) \cap T^{-r} A_{n-2 r-\Delta}\left(T^{r} A_{n}\right)
$$

(of $n-2 r-\Delta>0$, otherwise we just put $\tilde{A}_{n}=A_{r-\Delta}\left(A_{n}\right) \cap T^{-j} A_{r-\Delta}\left(A_{n}\right)$ ). For $\beta>1$ we introduce as before the 'good set'

$$
\mathcal{G}_{n, j}=\left\{A_{n} \in \mathcal{A}^{n}: \quad A_{n} \subset B_{n}(j), \mu\left(A_{n}\right) \geq e^{-\Delta^{\beta}} \mu\left(\tilde{A}_{n}\right)\right\}
$$

If $|\mathcal{A}|^{2 \Delta} e^{-\Delta^{\beta}}<1$ then for every $\tilde{A}_{n}$ (of the form given above) there exists an $A_{n} \in \mathcal{G}_{n, j}$ so that $A_{n} \subset \tilde{A}_{n}$ and therefore

$$
\mu\left(B_{n}(j)\right) \geq \mu\left(G_{n, j}\right) \geq e^{-\Delta^{\beta}} \sum_{\tilde{A}_{n}} \mu\left(\tilde{A}_{n}\right)
$$

where the sum is over all $\tilde{A}_{n}=A_{r-\Delta}\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{r-\Delta}\right) \cap T^{-j} A_{r-\Delta}\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{r-\Delta}\right) \cap T^{-r} A_{r-\Delta}\left(x_{r+1} \ldots x_{n-j}\right)$ (in the case when $n-2 r-\Delta>0$ ) and $x_{1} \ldots x_{r-\Delta}, x_{r+1} \ldots x_{n-j}$ are arbitrary words. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu\left(B_{n}(j)\right) & \geq\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\psi^{-}(\Delta)\right)\right)^{2} e^{-\Delta^{\beta}} \sum_{x_{1} \ldots x_{r-\Delta}} \mu\left(A_{r-\Delta}\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{r-\Delta}\right)\right)^{2} \sum_{x_{r+1} \ldots x_{j-\Delta}} \mu\left(A_{j-r-\Delta}\left(x_{r+1} \ldots x_{j-\Delta}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\psi^{-}(\Delta)\right)\right)^{2} e^{-\Delta^{\beta}} Z_{r-\Delta}(1) Z_{j-r-\Delta}(0) \\
& =\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\psi^{-}(\Delta)\right)\right)^{2}|\mathcal{A}|^{-2 \Delta} e^{-\Delta^{\beta}} Z_{r}(1) Z_{j-r}(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last line we used the comparison from the end of part (A). Again we choose $\Delta=\left[j^{\alpha}\right.$ where $\alpha \in(0,1)$ can be chosen small enough so that $\gamma \geq \alpha \beta$.

Proof of Proposition 6. Obviously $\mu\left(\mathcal{S}_{n}(\lambda)\right) \geq B_{n}(j)$ and therefore by Lemma 7

$$
\frac{\log \mu\left(\mathcal{S}_{n}(\lambda)\right)}{n} \geq-\frac{\mathcal{O}\left(n^{\gamma}\right)}{n}+\frac{1}{n} \log Z_{r}(w)+\frac{1}{n} \log Z_{j-r}(w-1)
$$

where $\gamma<1$ can be chosen arbitrarily. As $n \rightarrow \infty$ the first term goes to zero. Thus

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mu\left(\mathcal{S}_{n}(\lambda)\right) \geq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log Z_{r}(w)+\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log Z_{j-r}(w-1)
$$

Now notice that (as $n=w[\lambda n]+r$ )

$$
\frac{1}{n} \log Z_{r}(w)=\frac{r}{n} \frac{1}{r} \log Z_{r}(w) \rightarrow(1-\lambda \ell) W(\ell)
$$

since $\frac{r}{n}=\frac{n-[\lambda n] w}{n}=1-\frac{[\lambda n]}{n} w \rightarrow 1-\lambda \ell$ and $w \rightarrow \ell$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $W$ is continuous by Theorem 1 . Similarly

$$
\frac{1}{n} \log Z_{j-r}(w)=\frac{j-r}{n} \frac{1}{j-r} \log Z_{j-r}(w) \rightarrow(\lambda(1+\ell)-1) W(\ell-1)
$$

since $\frac{j-r}{n}=\frac{[n \lambda]-(n-[\lambda n]) w}{n}=\frac{[n \lambda]}{n}(1+w)-1 \rightarrow \lambda(1+\ell)-1$. This implies the statement of the proposition.

## Lemma 8

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n}\left|\log \mu\left(\mathcal{S}_{n}(\lambda)\right)\right| \geq M(\lambda)
$$

Proof. Again we do the two cases (A) $\lambda \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ and (B) $\lambda \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$ separately.
(A) $0<\lambda \leq \frac{1}{2}$ : We decompose as above $n=w j+r$, where $j=[\lambda n]$ and $w=[n / j], 0 \leq r<j$. Since all $A_{n} \subset B_{n}(j)$ are of the form $\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{j}\right)^{w} x_{1} \ldots x_{r}$ where $x_{1} \ldots x_{j}$ runs through all possible periodic words of lengths $j$, we get (summing over such $A_{n}$ )

$$
\sum_{A_{n}} \mu\left(A_{n}\right) \leq\left(1+\psi^{+}(0)\right)^{2 w+1} \sum_{x_{1} \ldots x_{r}} \sum_{x_{r+1} \ldots x_{j}} \mu\left(A_{r}\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{r}\right)\right)^{w+1} \mu\left(A_{j-r}\left(x_{r+1} \ldots x_{j}\right)\right)^{w},
$$

where the sum is over all $r$-words $x_{1} \ldots x_{r}$ and all $(j-n)$-words $x_{r+1} \ldots x_{j}$. Hence

$$
\mu\left(\mathcal{S}_{n}(\lambda)\right) \leq\left(1+\psi^{+}(0)\right)^{2 w+1} Z_{r}(w) Z_{j-r}(w-1)
$$

and therefore as in the proof of Proposition 6

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left\lvert\, \log \mu\left(\left.\mathcal{S}_{n}(\lambda)\left|\geq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n}\right| \log Z_{r}(w)\left|+\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n}\right| \log Z_{r}(w-1) \right\rvert\,=M(\lambda) .\right.\right.
$$

(B) $\lambda \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$ : Again we put $j=[n \lambda]$ and $n=j+r, 0 \leq r<j$ (as $[1 / \lambda]=1$ ). If $A_{n} \subset B_{n}(j)$ is an $n$-cylinder then $A_{n}=A_{r}\left(A_{n}\right) \cap T^{-j} A_{r}\left(A_{n}\right) \cap T^{-r} A_{n-2 r}\left(T^{r} A_{n}\right)$, where $n-2 r \geq 0$. Hence

$$
\mu\left(B_{n}(j)\right) \leq\left(1+\psi^{+}(0)\right)^{2} \sum_{x_{1} \ldots x_{r}} \mu\left(A_{r}\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{r}\right)\right)^{2} \sum_{x_{r+1} \ldots x_{j}} \mu\left(A_{j-r}\left(x_{r+1} \ldots x_{j}\right)\right)
$$

for arbitrary words $x_{1} \ldots x_{r}, x_{r+1} \ldots x_{n-j}$. This implies $\mu\left(\mathcal{S}_{n}(\lambda)\right) \leq c_{1} Z_{r}(1) Z_{j-r}(0)\left(c_{1}>0\right)$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left\lvert\, \log \mu\left(\left.\mathcal{S}_{n}(\lambda)\left|\geq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n}\right| \log Z_{r}(1) \right\rvert\,=M(\lambda)\right.$. \right.

Proof of Theorem 4. The theorem now follows from Proposition 6 and Lemma 8.
Apart from the exact limiting behaviour we get from Theorem 4 we can also proof the following simpler bounds.

Lemma 9

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n}\left|\log \mu\left(\mathcal{C}_{n}(\delta)\right)\right| \leq h_{\mu}(1-\delta)
$$

for all $\delta \in(0,1)$.
Proof. As before $j=[\delta n]$ and for an $n$-cylinder $A_{n}$ in $B_{n}(j)$ we put $\tilde{A}_{n}=A_{r-\Delta}\left(A_{n}\right) \cap T^{-r} A_{n-r}\left(T^{r} A_{n}\right)$ for a gap of length $\Delta$ on the segment $[r-\Delta+1, r]$. As before we let $\beta>1$,

$$
\mathcal{G}=\left\{A_{n} \in \mathcal{A}^{n}: \quad A_{n} \subset B_{n}(j), \mu\left(A_{n}\right) \geq e^{-\Delta^{\beta}} \mu\left(\tilde{A}_{n}\right)\right\}
$$

and observe that if $|\mathcal{A}|^{\Delta} e^{-\Delta^{\beta}}<1$ then

$$
\tilde{A}_{n} \cap B_{n}(j) \neq \emptyset \Longleftrightarrow \exists A_{n}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}, A_{n}^{\prime} \subset \tilde{A}_{n}
$$

Hence $\left(G=\bigcup_{A_{n} \in \mathcal{G}} A_{n}\right)$ if $\Delta \geq \Delta_{0}$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu\left(B_{n}(j)\right) & \geq \mu(G) \\
& \geq e^{-\Delta^{\beta}} \sum_{\tilde{A}_{n}} \mu\left(\tilde{A}_{n}\right) \\
& \geq\left(\left(1-\psi^{-}(\Delta)\right)\right) e^{-\Delta^{\beta}} \sum_{x_{1} \ldots x_{j-\Delta}} \mu\left(A_{j-\Delta}\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{j-\Delta}\right)\right) \sum_{x_{j-\Delta+1} \ldots x_{j}} \mu\left(A_{n-j}\left(T^{j}\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{j}\right)^{\infty}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

as $\tilde{A}_{n}=A_{j-\Delta}\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{j-\Delta}\right) \cap A_{n-j}\left(\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{j}\right)^{\infty}\right)$. By the Shannon-McMillan-Breiman theorem [?] for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a set $\Omega_{\varepsilon} \subset \Omega$ with measure $\geq 1-\varepsilon$ and so that $\mu\left(A_{n-j}\left(\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{j}\right)^{\infty}\right)\right) \geq$ $e^{-(n-j)\left(h_{\mu}+\varepsilon\right)}$ for all $n$ large enough and for all $\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{j}\right)^{\infty}$ so that $A_{n-j}\left(\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{j}\right)^{\infty}\right) \cap \Omega_{\varepsilon} \neq \emptyset$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu\left(\mathcal{C}_{n}(\delta)\right) & \geq e^{-\Delta^{\beta}}\left(1-\psi^{-}(\Delta)\right) \sum_{A_{n} \in \mathcal{G}, T^{j} A_{n} \cap \Omega_{\varepsilon} \neq \emptyset} \mu\left(A_{j-\Delta}\left(T^{j} A_{n}\right)\right) e^{-(n-j)\left(h_{\mu}+\varepsilon\right)} \\
& \geq e^{-\Delta^{\beta}}\left(1-\psi^{-}(\Delta)\right) e^{-(n-j)\left(h_{\mu}+\varepsilon\right)}\left(\sum_{x_{1} \ldots x_{j-\Delta}} \mu\left(A_{j-\Delta}\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{j-\Delta}\right)\right)-\varepsilon\right) \\
& \geq e^{-\Delta^{\beta}-(n-j)\left(h_{\mu}+\varepsilon\right)}\left(1-\psi^{-}(\Delta)\right)(1-\varepsilon)
\end{aligned}
$$

and consequently $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mu\left(\mathcal{C}_{n}(\delta)\right) \geq-(1-\delta)\left(h_{\mu}+\varepsilon\right)$ if we take $\Delta=\left[n^{\alpha}\right]$ where $\alpha<1$ is so that $\beta \alpha<1$. Now let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$in order to get the result.
Lemma 10 Let $\gamma_{\mu}$ be as in Theorem 1. Then

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n}\left|\log \mu\left(\mathcal{C}_{n}(\delta)\right)\right| \geq \gamma_{\mu}(1-\delta)
$$

The proof is exactly the same as in [4] Proposition 1(a). It uses the mixing properties of $\psi$-mixing measures without the assumption $\psi(0)<1$.

## 4 Uniform decay rate of cylinders and the free energy

In this section we compute the free energy $F(\beta)$ of the process $\tau_{n}$ : it is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\beta) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \int_{\Omega} \exp \left(\beta \tau_{n}\left(A_{n}\right)\right) d \mu=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{\beta j} \mathbb{P}\left(\tau_{n}=j\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever the limit exist.
Theorem 11 Let $\mu$ be a weakly $\psi$-mixing measure. Then

$$
F(\beta)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\beta & \text { if }-\gamma_{\mu} \leq \beta<0 \\
-\gamma_{\mu} & \text { if } \beta \leq-\gamma_{\mu}
\end{array} .\right.
$$

Remark 1. Although $F(\beta)$ is not differentiable, one could still take its Legendre transform $\mathcal{L} F(\delta)$ and produce an upper bound for the deviation function $M(\delta)$ (see [19]). We immediately get

$$
M(\delta) \leq \mathcal{L} F(\delta)=-\gamma_{\mu}(1-\delta)
$$

which is consistent with the bound obtained in Lemma 10.
Remark 2. The proof of the theorem splits into two parts. The first consists in getting an upper bound for the sum $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{\beta j} \mathbb{P}\left(\tau_{n}=j\right)$ which is achieved by using the mixing properties of the measure. We defer to the proof of this bound in [4] Proposition 6 which applies verbatim (it does not require the stringent condition $\psi(0)<1$ ). This upper bound allows to show that the $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \int_{\Omega} \exp \left(\beta \tau_{n}\left(A_{n}\right)\right) d \mu$ is piecewise constant as prescribed in Theorem 11. However the lower bound is more interesting. Here we need an additional property of our measure, namely the existence of a sequence of cylinders whose measures decay exponentially to zero at a rate which is exactly the constant $\gamma_{\mu}$ given by Theorem 1 and whose first return is sublinear. This sequence is explicitly constructed in Lemma 13 below. We will give the proof of the lower bound after having proved Lemma 13.

As before let $\gamma_{\mu}=\liminf _{n} \frac{1}{n}\left|\log b_{n}\right|$ be the exponential decay rate of the measures of $n$-cylinders, where $b_{n}=\max _{A_{n} \in \mathcal{A}^{n}} \mu\left(A_{n}\right)$ and $0<|\log \eta| \leq \gamma_{\mu} \leq h_{\mu}$ by Lemma 3 .
Lemma 12 There exists a sequence of $n$-cylinders $A_{n}, n=1,2,3, \ldots$, so that $\gamma_{\mu}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n}\left|\log \mu\left(A_{n}\right)\right|$.
Proof. We have to show that lim inf is equal to the lim along a suitable sequence of cylinders. For this purpose let $A_{n_{j}}\left(n_{j}\right.$ increasing sequence) be a sequence of $n_{j}$-cylinders so that $\gamma_{\mu}=\lim { }_{j} \frac{1}{n_{j}}\left|\log \mu\left(A_{n_{j}}\right)\right|$. Let $\varepsilon>0$ and $J$ large enough so that $\left|\frac{1}{n_{j}}\right| \log \mu\left(A_{n_{j}}\right)\left|-\gamma_{\mu}\right|<\varepsilon / 2$ for all $j \geq J$. Let $\alpha \in(0,1)$ (to be determined below), $\Delta=\left[n_{j}^{\alpha}\right]\left(\Delta \geq \Delta_{0}\right)$ and put $\tilde{A}_{n_{j}+(k-1) \Delta}=\bigcap_{i=0}^{k-1} T^{-i\left(n_{j}+\Delta\right)} A_{n_{j}}$ which implies by Lemma 2 that

$$
\mu\left(\tilde{A}_{n_{j}+(k-1) \Delta}\right)=\mu\left(A_{n_{j}}\right)^{k}\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\psi^{ \pm}(\Delta)\right)\right)^{k-1}
$$

As before for $\beta>1$ we put

$$
\mathcal{G}_{k}=\left\{A_{k n_{j}+(k-1) \Delta} \subset \tilde{A}_{k n_{j}+(k-1) \Delta}: \mu\left(A_{k n_{j}+(k-1) \Delta}\right) \geq e^{-k \Delta^{\beta}} \mu\left(\tilde{A}_{k n_{j}+(k-1) \Delta}\right)\right\} .
$$

Then if $|\mathcal{A}|^{(k-1) \Delta} e^{-k \Delta^{\beta}}<1$ we get that $\exists$ at least one cylinder $A_{k n_{j}+(k-1) \Delta} \subset \tilde{A}_{k n_{j}+(k-1) \Delta}, A_{k n_{j}+(k-1) \Delta} \in$ $\mathcal{A}^{k n_{j}+(k-1) \Delta}$, so that $\mu\left(A_{k n_{j}+(k-1) \Delta}\right) \geq e^{-k \Delta^{\beta}} \mu\left(\tilde{A}_{k n_{j}+(k-1) \Delta}\right)$ and therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mu\left(A_{k n_{j}+(k-1) \Delta}\right)}{k n_{j}+(k-1) \Delta} & \geq-\frac{k \Delta^{\beta}}{k n_{j}+(k-1) \Delta}+\frac{k \log \mu\left(A_{n_{j}}\right)}{k n_{j}+(k-1) \Delta}+\frac{k \log \left(1-\psi^{-}(\Delta)\right)}{k n_{j}+(k-1) \Delta} \\
& \geq-2 \frac{\Delta^{\beta}}{n_{j}}+\frac{1}{1+\frac{\Delta}{n_{j}}} \frac{\log \mu\left(A_{n_{j}}\right)}{n_{j}}-2 \frac{\psi^{-}(\Delta)}{n_{j}} \\
& \geq-c n_{j}^{\beta \alpha-1}+\frac{\log \mu\left(A_{n_{j}}\right)}{n_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we put $\Delta=\left[n_{j}^{\alpha}\right]$ and $c \approx 3+2 \gamma_{\mu}$ (as $\frac{1}{1+\Delta / n_{j}} \leq 1+2 \frac{\Delta}{n_{j}}$ for $j$ large enough) Hence

$$
\left|\frac{\left|\log \mu\left(A_{k n_{j}+(k-1) \Delta}\right)\right|}{k n_{j}+(k-1) \Delta}-\gamma_{\mu}\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\frac{c}{n_{j}^{1-\beta \alpha}}<\varepsilon
$$

for all $k$ if $n_{j}$ is large enough. Choose $\beta$ so that $|\mathcal{A}|^{\Delta} e^{-\Delta^{\beta}}<1$ where $\Delta \sim n^{\alpha}\left(\Delta \geq \Delta_{0}\right)$. Then let $\alpha<1$ be so that $\alpha \beta<1$.

Lemma 13 There exists a sequence of cylinders $B_{j} \in \mathcal{A}^{j}$ so that

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{j}\left|\log \mu\left(B_{j}\right)\right|=\gamma_{\mu} \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{j} \tau\left(B_{j}\right)=0
$$

Proof. By Lemma 12 there exists a sequence of cylinders $A_{n} \in \mathcal{A}^{n}$ so that $\frac{1}{n}\left|\log \mu\left(A_{n}\right)\right| \rightarrow \gamma_{\mu}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\varepsilon>0$ and $N$ so that $\left|\frac{1}{n}\right| \log \mu\left(A_{n}\right)\left|-\gamma_{\mu}\right| \leq \varepsilon / 3 \forall n \geq N$. Let $\alpha, \alpha^{\prime} \in(0,1)$ put $k_{n}=\left[n^{\alpha^{\prime}}\right], \Delta_{n}=\left[n^{\alpha}\right]$ and put for simplicity $n^{\prime}=n+\Delta_{n},(n+1)^{\prime}=n+1+\Delta_{n+1}$. Then

$$
k_{n+1}(n+1)^{\prime}-\Delta_{n+1}-\left(k_{n} n^{\prime}-\Delta_{n}\right) \in\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
{[0,3]} & \text { if } & k_{n+1}=k_{n} \\
{\left[k_{n}+\Delta_{n}, k_{n}+\Delta_{n}+3\right]} & \text { if } & k_{n+1}=k_{n}+1
\end{array} .\right.
$$

Let $\epsilon_{n}=k_{n+1}-k_{n}\left(\epsilon_{n}=0,1\right)$ and for $j \in\left[k_{n} n^{\prime}-\Delta_{n}, k_{n+1}(n+1)^{\prime}-\Delta_{n+1}\right)$ put

$$
\mathcal{D}_{j}=\left\{D \in \mathcal{A}^{\left(k_{n}+\epsilon_{n}\right) n^{\prime}-\Delta_{n}}: D \subset \tilde{A}_{n}\right\}
$$

where

$$
\tilde{D}_{j}=\bigcap_{j=0}^{k_{n}+\epsilon_{n}-1} T^{-j n^{\prime}} A_{n} \in \bigvee_{j=0}^{k_{n}+\epsilon_{n}-1} T^{-j n^{\prime}} \mathcal{A}^{n}
$$

For $\beta>1$ we define the 'good' set of cylinders in $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{j}$ whose measures are comparable to the measure of $\tilde{D}_{n}$.

$$
\mathcal{G}_{j}=\left\{D \in \mathcal{A}^{j}: \quad \mu(D) \geq e^{-\left(k_{n}+\epsilon_{n}\right) \Delta_{n}^{\beta}} \mu\left(\tilde{D}_{j}\right)\right\}
$$

If $|\mathcal{A}|^{\Delta} e^{-\Delta^{\beta}}<1$ then $\mathcal{G}_{j} \neq \emptyset$. Hence we can find a $j$-cylinder $B_{j} \in \mathcal{G}_{j}$ so that $B_{j} \subset \tilde{D}_{j}$ and moreover has comparable measure: $\mu\left(B_{j}\right) \geq e^{-\left(k_{n}+\epsilon_{n}\right) \Delta_{n}^{\beta}} \mu\left(\tilde{D}_{j}\right)$. By the mixing property

$$
\mu\left(\tilde{D}_{j}\right)=\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\psi^{ \pm}\left(\Delta_{n}\right)\right)\right)^{k_{n}+\epsilon_{n}-1} \mu\left(A_{n}\right)^{k_{n}+\epsilon_{n}}
$$

which implies

$$
\log \mu\left(B_{j}\right) \geq-\left(k_{n}+\epsilon_{n}\right) \Delta_{n}^{\beta}+k_{n} \log \mu\left(A_{n}\right)+k_{n} \log \left(1-\psi^{-}\left(\Delta_{n}\right)\right)
$$

If $\alpha^{\prime}+\beta \alpha<1$ and $n$ is large enough then $\frac{1}{j}\left(k_{n}+\epsilon_{n}\right) \Delta_{n}^{\alpha}<\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ and $\frac{1}{j} k_{n} \log \left(1-\psi^{-}\left(\Delta_{n}\right)\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. Hence $\left|\frac{1}{j}\right| \log \mu\left(B_{j}\right)\left|-\gamma_{\mu}\right|<\varepsilon$ for all large enough $j$. Moreover we note that $\tau\left(A_{j}^{\prime}\right) \leq n+\Delta_{n}$ which implies $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{j} \tau\left(B_{j}\right)=0$.
Proof of Theorem 11 As described in Remark 2 it will be sufficient to show that

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \int_{\Omega} \exp \left(\beta \tau_{n}\left(A_{n}\right)\right) d \mu \geq\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\beta & \text { if }-\gamma_{\mu} \leq \beta<0 \\
-\gamma_{\mu} & \text { if } \beta \leq-\gamma_{\mu}
\end{array} .\right.
$$

We have two cases.
(i): $-\gamma_{\mu} \leq \beta<0$. The result immediately follows since

$$
\left.\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \exp (\beta j) \mathbb{P}\left(\tau_{n}=j\right)\right) \geq \exp (\beta n+\Delta)
$$

where $\Delta$ was introduced at the beginning of Sect. 3 .
(ii): $\beta<-\gamma_{\mu}$. Let us choose in any partition $\mathcal{A}^{n}$ a cylinder $A_{n}^{\prime}$ which verifies Lemma (13). Then

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{\beta j} \mathbb{P}\left(\tau_{n}=j\right) \geq \exp \left(\beta \tau_{n}\left(A^{\prime} n\right)\right) \mu\left(A_{n}^{\prime}\right)
$$

But $\mu\left(A_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ decays exponentially fast to zero with a rate given by $-\gamma_{\mu}$, while $\frac{1}{n} \tau_{n}\left(A^{\prime} n\right)$ goes to zero. This concludes the proof.
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