HAL
open science

Relaxed model for the hysteresis in micromagnetism

Gilles Carbou, Messoud Efendiev, Pierre Fabrie

To cite this version:

Gilles Carbou, Messoud Efendiev, Pierre Fabrie. Relaxed model for the hysteresis in micromagnetism. Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh: Section A, Mathematics, 2008, à paraître. hal-00293625

HAL Id: hal-00293625

https://hal.science/hal-00293625

Submitted on 7 Jul 2008

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Relaxed model for the hysteresis in micromagnetism

G. Carbou[1], M. Effendiev[2] and P. Fabrie[1]

[1] Institut de Mathématiques de Bordeaux, UMR 5251, Université de Bordeaux, 351 cours de la Libération, 33405 Talence cedex, France.
[2] Universität Stuttgart, Universitätsbereich Vaihingen, Pfaffenwaldring 57, 70550 Stuttgart, Germany.

Abstract : in this paper we study a model of ferromagnetic material with hysteresis effects. The magnetic moment behaviour is described by the non-linear Landau-Lifschitz equation with an additional term modelling the hysteresis. This term takes the form of a maximal monotone operator acting on the time derivative of the magnetic moment. In our model, it is approximated via a relaxing heat equation. For this relaxed model we prove local existence of regular solutions.

1 Introduction

The hysteresis properties of the ferromagnetic materials are a very wide domain in physics (see E. Della Torre [4]). The Preisach model describing the magnetic hysteresis is obtained by a phenomenological approach (see [9]). It is explained from the mathematical point of view by A. Visintin in [12]. Other models for rate-independent evolution in ferromagnetic materials are given in [10]. The same kind of models are used for other applications in [7] and [8].

With a physical approach, W. F. Brown developed in [2] the micromagnetism theory. The model described by Landau and Lifschitz in [6] is the following. The magnetic moment m is a unitary vector field linking the magnetic field and the magnetic induction by the relation $B = H + m$. The variations of m are described by the Landau-Lifschitz equation:

$$\frac{\partial m}{\partial t} = -m \wedge \mathcal{H}_{eff} - m \wedge (m \wedge \mathcal{H}_{eff}), \tag{1.1}$$

where the effective field is given by $\mathcal{H}_{eff} = \Delta m + h_d(m) + H_a + \Psi(m)$, and the demagnetizing field $h_d(m)$ is solution of the magnetostatic equations

$$\text{div } (h_d(m) + m) = 0 \text{ and curl } h_d(m) = 0, \tag{1.2}$$

where H_a is an applied magnetic field and where $\Psi(m)$ is an anisotropic term.

Micromagnetic modeling and Preisach modeling are two complementary approaches but the links between these two models are not clear. Using a two time-scales asymptotic method, J. Starynkévitch [11] gives a first answer to bring to the fore the hysteresis in Landau-Lifschitz model. We study here a model due to M. Effendiev. The hysteresis effect in Landau-Lifschitz equation is reinforced by an additional term in the effective field. This term is described with the maximal monotone operator β defined as follows

$$\beta(\xi) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{\xi}{|\xi|} \text{ if } \xi \neq 0, \\ \\ B(0,1) \text{ if } \xi = 0. \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

In this model the effective field is given by:

$$\mathcal{H}_{eff} = \Delta m + h_d(m) + H_a + \Psi(m) - \beta(\frac{\partial m}{\partial t}). \tag{1.4}$$

The existence of regular solutions for the system (1.1)-(1.4) is open. We propose here a relaxation model for this system:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial m}{\partial t} = m \wedge (\Delta m + h_d(m) + H_a + \Psi(m) - v) - m \wedge (m \wedge (\Delta m + h_d(m) + H_a + \Psi(m) - v)) \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \Delta v + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(\beta(\frac{\partial m}{\partial t}) - v) \\ \frac{\partial m}{\partial n} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \\ m(t=0) = m_0 \text{ and } v(t=0) = v_0 \text{ on } \Omega. \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

We prove an existence result of strong solutions for this relaxed system for $\varepsilon > 0$ fixed.
We assume that the initial data satisfies the following conditions:

$$\begin{cases} m_0 \in H^2(\Omega) \text{ and } v_0 \in H^1(\Omega), \\ |m_0| = 1 \text{ on } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial m_0}{\partial n} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

For regular solutions, the equation (1.5) with initial data satisfying (1.6) is equivalent to the following system (see [3]):

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial m}{\partial t} - \Delta m = m|\nabla m|^2 + m \wedge \Delta m + m \wedge (h_d(m) + H_a + \Psi(m) - v) \\ \qquad -m \wedge (m \wedge (h_d(m) + H_a + \Psi(m) - v)) \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \Delta v = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(\beta(\frac{\partial m}{\partial t}) - v) \\ \frac{\partial m}{\partial n} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \\ m(t=0) = m_0 \text{ and } v(t=0) = v_0 \text{ on } \Omega. \end{cases} \tag{1.7}$$

Indeed if (m, v) is a regular solution of (1.5) then the punctual norm of m is preserved and so $|m| = 1$. Then we have $\Delta|m|^2 = 0 = m \cdot \Delta m + |\nabla m|^2$.
So $m \wedge (m \wedge \Delta m) = (m \cdot \Delta m)m - |m|^2 \Delta m = -\Delta m - m|\nabla m|^2$.
In addition if (m, v) is a regular solution of (1.7) then $|m|^2$ satisfies a parabolic equation which unique solution is $|m|^2 \equiv 1$. Then the previous computation remains valid and (m, v) satisfies (1.5).

Our main result is the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1 *We fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Let (m_0, v_0) satisfying (1.6). Then there exists $T^* > 0$, there exists (m, v) solution of (1.7) such that for all $T < T^*$,*

$$m \in \mathcal{C}^0(0,T;H^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0,T;H^3(\Omega)), \quad v \in \mathcal{C}^0(0,T;H^1(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0,T;H^2(\Omega)).$$

In the following section we recall technical lemmas about equivalent norms in the H^p spaces, about the demagnetizing field h_d and about the maximal monotone operator β.
In the last section we prove Theorem 1.1.

2 Technical lemmas

2.1 Estimates tolls

The results of this subsection are proved in [3].

Lemma 2.1 *Let Ω be a bounded regular open set. There exists a constant C such that for all $u \in H^2(\Omega)$ satisfying $\dfrac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, we have*

$$\|u\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq C \left(\|u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\Delta u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\|\nabla u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C \left(\|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\Delta u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{2.2}$$

and for $u \in H^3(\Omega)$ such that $\dfrac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$,

$$\|\nabla u\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq C \left(\|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\Delta u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\nabla \Delta u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{2.3}$$

Using Lemma 2.1 and the classical interpolation inequality, we rewrite Sobolev and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities on the following form:

Lemma 2.2 *Let Ω be a regular bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^3$. There exists a constant C such that for all $u \in H^2(\Omega)$ such that $\dfrac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$,*

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C \left(\|u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\Delta u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^6(\Omega)} \leq C \left(\|u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\Delta u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\|\nabla u\|^2_{L^4(\Omega)} \leq C \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \left(\|u\|^2_{L^2} + \|\Delta u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{2.6}$$

and for all $u \in H^3(\Omega)$ such that $\dfrac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$,

$$\|D^2 u\|_{L^3(\Omega)} \leq C \left(\left(\|u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\Delta u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\|u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\Delta u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla \Delta u\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2(\Omega)} \right). \tag{2.7}$$

2.2 Demagnetizing field

We consider the operator $m \mapsto h_d(m)$ defined by (1.2). It satisfies

$$\begin{cases} h_d(m) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3), & \\ \text{curl } h_d(m) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \text{div } \big(h_d(m) + \bar{m}\big) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases}$$

where $\bar{m}$ is the extension of m by zero outside $\overline{\Omega}$.
We observe that $m \mapsto -h_d(m)$ is the orthogonal projection of $\bar{m}$ on the vector fields of gradients in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. We prove in [3] the following estimates concerning the operator h_d:

Lemma 2.3 *Let $p \in]1, +\infty[$. Then, if m belongs to $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ (resp. $W^{2.p}(\Omega)$), the restriction of $h_d(m)$ to Ω belongs to $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ (resp. $W^{2.p}(\Omega)$) and there exists a constant C such that*

$$\|h_d(m)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq c\|m\|_{L^p(\Omega)}, \quad 1 < p < +\infty. \tag{2.8}$$

$$\|h_d(m)\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq C\|m\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}, \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$\|h_d(m)\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq C\|m\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)}. \tag{2.10}$$

2.3 Maximal monotone operators tools

We remark that β is a maximal monotone operator. We recall usefull results proved in [1]. The first proposition is about the approximation of β by a continuous operator:

Proposition 2.1 *For $\lambda > 0$ we define β_λ by*

$$\beta_\lambda(\xi) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{\xi}{|\xi|} \text{ for } |\xi| \geq \lambda \\ \dfrac{\xi}{\lambda} \text{ for } |\xi| \leq \lambda. \end{cases}$$

*Then if ξ_λ tends to ξ uniformly on $[0, T] \times \Omega$ then extracting a subsequence, $\beta_\lambda(\xi_\lambda)$ tends to $\beta(\xi)$ in L^∞ weak *.*

In order to take the limit in a maximal monotone operator, we have the following lemma:

Proposition 2.2 *If A is a maximal monotone operator, if $y_n \in A(x_n)$, if $x_n \rightharpoonup x$ and $y_n \rightharpoonup y$, if lim sup $< x_n|y_n > \leq < x|y >$, then $y \in A(x)$ and $< x_n|y_n > \longrightarrow < x|y >$.*

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

3.1 First Step : Galerkin Approximation

We denote by V_n the finite dimension space built on the n first eigen-functions of $-\Delta + Id$ with domain $D(A) = \left\{ u \in H^2(\Omega),\ \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \right\}$, and by $\mathbf{P}_n$ the orthogonal projection from $L^2(\Omega)$ on V_n.

We first solve the Galerkine approximation for system (1.7). We fix n and we want to build (m_n, v_n) the solution of the following approximate problem:

$$\begin{cases} m_n \in \mathcal{C}^1([0,T_n[;V_n), \quad v_n \in \mathcal{C}^1([0,T_n[;V_n) \\ \\ \dfrac{\partial m_n}{\partial t} - \Delta m_n = \mathbf{P}_n\Big(m_n|\nabla m_n|^2 + \ m_n \wedge \Delta m_n + m_n \wedge (h_d(m_n) + H_a + \Psi(m_n) - v_n)\Big) \\ \qquad -\mathbf{P}_n\Big(m_n \wedge \ (m_n \wedge (h_d(m_n) + H_a + \Psi(m_n) - v_n))\Big) \\ \\ \dfrac{\partial v_n}{\partial t} - \dfrac{1}{\varepsilon}\mathbf{P}_n\left(\beta(\dfrac{\partial m_n}{\partial t})\right) - \Delta v_n = -\dfrac{1}{\varepsilon}v_n \\ \\ m_n(t=0) = \mathbf{P}_n(u_0), \quad v_n(t=0) = \mathbf{P}_n(v_0) \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

In order to solve this problem and to take into account the specificity of the maximal monotone operator β, we consider the approximation β_λ of β, described in the previous section, and we solve the following equation:

$$\begin{cases} m_n^\lambda \in \mathcal{C}^1([0,T_n^\lambda[;V_n), \quad v_n^\lambda \in \mathcal{C}^1([0,T_n^\lambda[;V_n) \\ \\ \dfrac{\partial m_n^\lambda}{\partial t} - \Delta m_n^\lambda = \mathbf{P}_n\Big(m_n^\lambda|\nabla m_n^\lambda|^2 + m_n^\lambda \wedge \Delta m_n^\lambda + m_n^\lambda \wedge (h_d(m_n^\lambda) + H_a + \Psi(m_n^\lambda) - v_n^\lambda)\Big) \\ \qquad -\mathbf{P}_n\Big(m_n^\lambda \wedge (m_n^\lambda \wedge (h_d(m_n^\lambda) + H_a + \Psi(m_n^\lambda) - v_n^\lambda))\Big) \\ \\ \dfrac{\partial v_n^\lambda}{\partial t} - \dfrac{1}{\varepsilon}\mathbf{P}_n\left(\beta_\lambda(\dfrac{\partial m_n^\lambda}{\partial t})\right) - \Delta v_n^\lambda = -\dfrac{1}{\varepsilon}v_n^\lambda \\ \\ m_n^\lambda(t=0) = \mathbf{P}_n(u_0), \quad v_n^\lambda(t=0) = \mathbf{P}_n(v_0) \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

This equation can be written on the following form:

$$\begin{cases} m_n^\lambda \in \mathcal{C}^1([0,T_n^\lambda[;V_n), \quad v_n^\lambda \in \mathcal{C}^1([0,T_n^\lambda[;V_n) \\ \\ \dfrac{\partial m_n^\lambda}{\partial t} = F_n(m_n^\lambda, v_n^\lambda) \\ \\ \dfrac{\partial v_n^\lambda}{\partial t} - \dfrac{1}{\varepsilon}\mathbf{P}_n\left(\beta_\lambda(\dfrac{\partial m_n^\lambda}{\partial t})\right) = G(v_n^\lambda) \\ \\ m_n^\lambda(t=0) = \mathbf{P}_n(u_0), \quad v_n^\lambda(t=0) = \mathbf{P}_n(v_0) \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

where $F_n : V_n \times V_n \longrightarrow V_n$ and $G : V_n \longrightarrow V_n$ are smooth. Since we can replace the second equation by

$$\frac{\partial v_n^\lambda}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\mathbf{P}_n(\beta_\lambda(F_n(m_n^\lambda, v_n^\lambda))) + G(v_n^\lambda) \tag{3.4}$$

for a fixed λ we can apply the Cauchy-Lisfchitz theorem on the finite dimensional space $V_n \times V_n$: there exists a unique solution for equation (3.3) defined on the maximal interval $[0, T_n^\lambda[$.
Since $\|\beta_\lambda(\xi)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq 1$, there exists K depending only on n such that for all $w \in V_n$ we have:

$$\|\mathbf{P}_n(\beta_\lambda(w))\|_{V_n} \leq K.$$

Since G is linear, we can obtain from (3.4) that there exists a constant C depending on n such that for all $t \leq T_n^\lambda$ we have:

$$\|v_n^\lambda\|_{V_n} \leq Ce^{Ct}.$$

Now, there exists a constant K depending on n such that for $(u, v) \in V_n \times V_n$ we have

$$\|F_n(u, v)\|_{V_n} \leq K_n'(\|u\|_{V_n}^4 + \|v\|_{V_n}^2).$$

By comparison lemma we then obtain that there exists a time $T^n > 0$ such that for all $\lambda > 0$, $T_n^\lambda \geq T^n$, and there exists a constant K_n such that for all λ,

$$\|m_n^\lambda\|_{L^\infty(0,T^n)} + \|v_n^\lambda\|_{L^\infty(0,T^n)} \leq K_n. \tag{3.5}$$

Using (3.5) in (3.3), we obtain a bound for $\dfrac{\partial m_n^\lambda}{\partial t}$ and $\dfrac{\partial v_n^\lambda}{\partial t}$, and derivating the first equation of (3.3) with respect to t, we obtain a bound of $\dfrac{\partial^2 u_n^\lambda}{\partial t^2}$. Thus there exists a constant K such that for all λ,

$$\|m_n^\lambda\|_{L^\infty(0,T^n)} + \|\frac{\partial m_n^\lambda}{\partial t}\|_{L^\infty(0,T^n)} + \|\frac{\partial^2 m_n^\lambda}{\partial t^2}\|_{L^\infty(0,T^n)} + \|v_n^\lambda\|_{L^\infty(0,T^n)} + \|\frac{\partial v_n^\lambda}{\partial t}\|_{L^\infty(0,T^n)} \leq K_n. \tag{3.6}$$

For a fixed n we take the limit when λ tends to zero. From (3.6) we obtain that there exists m_n and v_n such that

$$m_n^\lambda \longrightarrow m_n \text{ in } L^\infty(0, T_n)$$

$$\frac{\partial m_n^\lambda}{\partial t} \longrightarrow \frac{\partial m_n}{\partial t} \text{ in } L^\infty(0, T_n)$$

$$v_n^\lambda \longrightarrow v_n \text{ in } L^\infty(0, T_n)$$

In addition using Proposition 2.1, we have that $\beta^\lambda(\dfrac{\partial m_n^\lambda}{\partial t})$ tends to w_n and $w_n \in \beta(\dfrac{\partial m_n}{\partial t})$. Furthermore we can take the limit when λ tends to zero in Equation (3.2) and we obtain that there exist $T_n > 0$, $m_n \in \mathcal{C}^1([0, T_n[; V_n)$ and $v_n \in \mathcal{C}^1([0, T_n[; V_n)$ satisfying (3.1).

3.2 Estimates for m_n and v_n

Taking the inner product in $L^2(\Omega)$ of the first equation in (3.1) with m_n we obtain that

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\|m_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2\right) + \|\nabla m_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \|m_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2 \|\nabla m_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2. \tag{3.7}$$

Taking the inner product in $L^2(\Omega)$ of the second equation in (3.1) with v_n we obtain that

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\|v_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2\right) + \|\nabla v_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq K(1 + \|v_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2), \tag{3.8}$$

since $\left\|\beta(\dfrac{\partial m_n}{\partial t})\right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq K$.

We take the inner product in $L^2(\Omega)$ of the second equation in (3.1) with Δv_n. Integrating by part the right hand side, and absorbing $\|\Delta v_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ using that $\left\|\beta(\frac{\partial m_n}{\partial t})\right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq K$, we obtain that

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\|\nabla v_n\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right) + \|\Delta v_n\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq K(1 + \|\nabla v_n\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}). \tag{3.9}$$

We take the inner product in $L^2(\Omega)$ of the second equation in (3.1) with $\Delta^2 m_n$. We obtain that:

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\|\Delta m_n(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right) + \|\nabla\Delta m_n(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5$$

with

$$I_1 = \int_\Omega \nabla\left(|\nabla m_n|^2 m_n\right)\nabla\Delta m_n dx,$$

$$I_2 = \int_\Omega \nabla\Big(m_n \wedge \Delta m_n\Big)\nabla\Delta m_n dx,$$

$$I_3 = \int_\Omega \nabla\Big(m_n \wedge h_d(m_n) - m_n \wedge (m_n \wedge h_d(m_n))\Big)\nabla\Delta m_n dx,$$

$$I_4 = \int_\Omega \nabla\Big(m_n \wedge (H_a + \Psi(m_n)) - m_n \wedge (m_n \wedge (H_a + \Psi(m_n)))\Big)\nabla\Delta m_n dx,$$

$$I_5 = \int_\Omega \nabla\Big(m_n \wedge v_n - m_n \wedge (m_n \wedge v_n)\Big)\cdot\nabla\Delta m_n.$$

We bound separately each term.

• Estimate on I_1

$$\begin{aligned}|I_1| \leq & \int_\Omega |\nabla m_n|^3|\nabla\Delta m_n|dx + \int_\Omega |D^2 m_n||\nabla m_n||m_n||\nabla\Delta m_n|dx,\\ \leq & \|\nabla m_n\|^3_{L^6(\Omega)}\|\nabla\Delta m_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|m_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\|D^2 m_n\|_{L^3(\Omega)}\|\nabla m_n\|_{L^6(\Omega)}\|\nabla\Delta m_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\end{aligned}$$

hence using the Sobolev embeding and Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 we obtain that there exists a constant K independant of n such that

$$\begin{aligned}|I_1| \leq K\left(\|m_n\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\Delta m_n\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\|\nabla\Delta m_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\\ +K\left(\|m_n\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\Delta m_n\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)^{\frac{5}{4}}\|\nabla\Delta m_n\|^{\frac{3}{2}}_{L^2(\Omega)}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

• Estimate on I_2

By Sobolev embeddings and interpolation, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}|I_2| \leq & \|\nabla m_n\|_{L^6(\Omega)}\|\Delta m_n\|_{L^3(\Omega)}\|\|\nabla\Delta m_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\\ \leq & K\left(\|m_n\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\Delta m_n\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)\|\nabla\Delta m_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} +\\ & K\left(\|m_n\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\Delta m_n\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)^{\frac{3}{4}}\|\nabla\Delta m_n\|^{\frac{3}{2}}_{L^2(\Omega)}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

• Estimate on I_3

We have

$$|I_3| \leq (1+\|m_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}) \left(\|\nabla m_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|h_d(m_n)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|m_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\nabla h_d(m_n)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right) \|\nabla \Delta m_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)},$$

and using Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 we obtain that there exists a constant K such that

$$|I_3| \leq K \left(1 + \left(\|m_n\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\Delta m_n\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)\right) \left(\|m_n\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\Delta m_n\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right) \|\nabla \Delta m_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \tag{3.12}$$

• Estimate on I_4

From the linearity of Ψ we obtain that there exists a constant K such that

$$|I_4| \leq K \left(1 + \left(\|m_n\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\Delta m_n\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)\right) \left(\|m_n\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\Delta m_n\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right) \|\nabla \Delta m_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \tag{3.13}$$

• Estimate on I_5

We have

$$|I_5| \leq (\|m_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|m_n\|^2_{L^\infty(\Omega)})(\|v_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\nabla v_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) \|\nabla \Delta m_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

thus there exists a constant K such that

$$|I_5| \leq K(1 + \|m_n\|^2_{L^\infty(\Omega)})(\|v_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\nabla v_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) \|\nabla \Delta m_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \tag{3.14}$$

Using Gronwall lemma with the estimates (3.9) and (3.8) we obtain that for all T there exists a constant $C(T)$ such that for all n

$$\|v_n\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^1(\Omega))} + \|v_n\|_{L^2(0,T;H^2(\Omega))} \leq C(T) \tag{3.15}$$

Thus plugging this estimate on (3.14), adding up estimates (3.7), (3.10), (3.11), (3.12), (3.13) and (3.14), for all T there exists a constant $C(T)$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\|m_n\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\Delta m_n\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right) + \|\nabla \Delta m_n\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} &\leq C(T) \|\nabla \Delta m_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &+ C(T) \left(\|m_n\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\Delta m_n\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)^2 \|\nabla \Delta m_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &+ K \left(\|m_n\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\Delta m_n\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\nabla \Delta m_n\|^{\frac{3}{2}}_{L^2(\Omega)} \end{aligned}$$

and after absorption of $\|\nabla \Delta m_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ in the right hand side term we obtain that for all T there exists a constant $C(T)$ such that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\|m_n\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\Delta m_n\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right) + \|\nabla \Delta m_n\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C(T) \left(1 + \left(\|m_n\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\Delta m_n\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)^3\right) \tag{3.16}$$

We consider the solution of the following ordinary differential equation :

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{d}{dt}\xi = C(T)(1 + \xi^3) \\ \xi(0) = \left(\|m_0\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\Delta m_0\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right) \end{cases}$$

Since for all n, $\left(\|\mathbf{P}_n(m_0)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\Delta\mathbf{P}_n(m_0)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right) \leq \left(\|m_0\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\Delta m_0\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)$ we obtain that for all t and for all n, we have:

$$\left(\|m_n(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\Delta m_n(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right) \leq \xi(t),$$

and if we denote by T^* the lifespan of ξ, for all $T < T^*$, for all n, we have:

$$\|m_n\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^2(\Omega))} + \|m_n\|_{L^2(0,T;H^3(\Omega))} + \|v_n\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^1(\Omega))} + \|v_n\|_{L^2(0,T;H^2(\Omega))} \leq C(T) \quad (3.17)$$

In addition using the equation (3.1) we obtain a bound for $\dfrac{\partial m_n}{\partial t}$ and $\dfrac{\partial v_n}{\partial t}$:

$$\|\frac{\partial m_n}{\partial t}\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega))} + \|\frac{\partial v_n}{\partial t}\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \leq C(T). \quad (3.18)$$

3.3 Limit when n tends to $+\infty$

From (3.17) we obtain a uniform bound for m_n in $L^\infty(0,T;H^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0,T;H^3(\Omega))$ and using the first equation of (3.1) we obtain a uniform bound for $\dfrac{\partial m_n}{\partial t}$ in $L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega))$. Thus we can extract a subsequence such that

$$\begin{cases} m_n \rightharpoonup m \text{ in } L^\infty(0,T;H^2(\Omega)) \text{ weak}* \\ m_n \rightharpoonup m \text{ in } L^2(0,T;H^3(\Omega)) \text{ weak} \\ \dfrac{\partial m_n}{\partial t} \rightharpoonup \dfrac{\partial m}{\partial t} \text{ in } L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega)) \text{ weak} \end{cases}$$

In addition, concerning v_n we have by (3.17) a uniform bound in $L^\infty(0,T;H^1\Omega)) \cap L^2(0,T;H^2(\Omega))$ and using the second equation of (3.1) we obtain a uniform bound for $\dfrac{\partial v_n}{\partial t}$ in $L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))$. Thus we can extract a subsequence such that

$$\begin{cases} v_n \rightharpoonup v \text{ in } L^\infty(0,T;H^1(\Omega)) \text{ weak}* \\ v_n \rightharpoonup v \text{ in } L^2(0,T;H^2(\Omega)) \text{ weak} \\ \dfrac{\partial v_n}{\partial t} \rightharpoonup \dfrac{\partial v}{\partial t} \text{ in } L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega)) \text{ weak} \end{cases}$$

Since $\mathbf{P}_n(\beta(\frac{\partial m_n}{\partial t}))$ is uniformly bounded in $L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))$ we can assume that

$$\mathbf{P}_n(\beta(\frac{\partial m_n}{\partial t})) \rightharpoonup w \text{ in } L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega)) \text{ weak}*$$

Taking the limit in (3.1) we obtain that m, v and w satisfy the following system on the time interval $[0,T^*[$:

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial m}{\partial t} - \Delta m = m|\nabla m|^2 + m \wedge \Delta m + m \wedge (h_d(m) + H_a + \Psi(m) - v) \\ \qquad -m \wedge (m \wedge (h_d(m) + H_a + \Psi(m) - v)) \\ \dfrac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \dfrac{1}{\varepsilon} w - \Delta v = -\dfrac{1}{\varepsilon} v \\ m(t=0) = m_0, \quad v(t=0) = v_0 \end{cases} \quad (3.19)$$

It remains to prove that $w \in \beta(\frac{\partial m}{\partial t})$. We will prove that $\dfrac{\partial m_n}{\partial t}$ tends to $\dfrac{\partial m}{\partial t}$ strongly in $L^2(0,T\times\Omega)$. Then we will apply Proposition 2.2: since $< \dfrac{\partial m_n}{\partial t}|\beta(\dfrac{\partial m_n}{\partial t}) > \longrightarrow < \dfrac{\partial m}{\partial t}|w >$, then $w \in \beta(\dfrac{\partial m}{\partial t})$.

We know that $\dfrac{\partial m_n}{\partial t}$ is bounded in $L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega))$. In order to obtain compactness for $\dfrac{\partial m_n}{\partial t}$, we seek a bound on $\dfrac{\partial^2 m_n}{\partial t^2}$. We have :

$$\frac{\partial^2 m_n}{\partial t^2} = T_1 + \ldots + T_7$$

where

$$T_1 = \Delta \frac{\partial m_n}{\partial t}$$

$$T_2 = \mathbf{P}_n \left(m_n \wedge \Delta \frac{\partial m_n}{\partial t} \right)$$

$$T_3 = \mathbf{P}_n \left(\frac{\partial m_n}{\partial t} |\nabla m_n|^2 + \frac{\partial m_n}{\partial t} \wedge \Delta m_n \right)$$

$$T_4 = \mathbf{P}_n \left(2 m_n \nabla m_n \nabla \frac{\partial m_n}{\partial t} \right)$$

$$T_5 = \mathbf{P}_n \left(\frac{\partial m_n}{\partial t} \wedge (H(m_n) - v_n) - \frac{\partial m_n}{\partial t} \wedge (m_n \wedge (H(m_n) - v_n)) - m_n \wedge (\frac{\partial m_n}{\partial t} \wedge (H(m_n) - v_n) \right)$$

where $H(m_n) = h_d(m_n) + H_a + \Psi(m_n)$

$$T_6 = \mathbf{P}_n \left(m_n \wedge H(\frac{\partial m_n}{\partial t}) - m_n \wedge (m_n \wedge H(\frac{\partial m_n}{\partial t}) \right)$$

$$T_7 = \mathbf{P}_n \left(m_n \wedge \frac{\partial v_n}{\partial t} - m_n \wedge (m_n \wedge \frac{\partial v_n}{\partial t}) \right)$$

From (3.17) and (3.18) we estimate each term on the following way:

- $\|T_1\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{-1}(\Omega))} \leq K$
- We estimate the H^{-1} norm of T_2 by duality arguments: for $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^1([0,T[;H_0^1(\Omega))$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} < \mathbf{P}_n(m_n \wedge \Delta \frac{\partial m_n}{\partial t})|\varphi > = & \; - < \Delta \frac{\partial m_n}{\partial t} | m_n \wedge \mathbf{P}_n(\varphi) > \\ = & \; < \nabla \frac{\partial m_n}{\partial t} | \nabla m_n \wedge \mathbf{P}_n(\varphi) > + < \nabla \frac{\partial m_n}{\partial t} | m_n \wedge \nabla \mathbf{P}_n(\varphi) > \end{aligned}$$

We integrate in time and we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_0^T < T_2|\varphi >\right| \leq & \|\mathbf{P}_n(\nabla m_n \wedge \nabla \frac{\partial m_n}{\partial t})\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}(0,T;H^{-1}(\Omega))}\|\varphi\|_{L^4(0,T;H_0^1(\Omega))} \\ & +\|m_n \wedge \nabla \frac{\partial m_n}{\partial t}\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}\|\nabla \mathbf{P}_n(\varphi)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \\ \leq & \|\nabla m_n\|_{L^4(0,T;H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega))}\|\nabla \frac{\partial m_n}{\partial t}\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}\|\varphi\|_{L^4(0,T;H_0^1(\Omega))} \\ & +\|m_n\|_{L^\infty(0,T\times\Omega)}\|\nabla \frac{\partial m_n}{\partial t}\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}\|\nabla\varphi\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|T_2\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}(0,T;H^{-1}(\Omega))} \leq K$$

- we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\frac{\partial m_n}{\partial t}|\nabla m_n|^2\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \leq & \|\frac{\partial m_n}{\partial t}\|_{L^2(0,T;L^6(\Omega))}\|\nabla m_n\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^6(\Omega))} \\ \leq & \|\frac{\partial m_n}{\partial t}\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega))}\|\nabla m_n\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^1(\Omega))}.\end{aligned}$$

  In addition

$$\|\frac{\partial m_n}{\partial t} \wedge \Delta m_n\|_{L^2(0,T;L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega))} \leq \|\frac{\partial m_n}{\partial t}\|_{L^2(0,T;L^6(\Omega))}\|m_n\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^2(\Omega))}.$$

  Hence

$$\|T_3\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{-1}(\Omega))} \leq K.$$

- We have $\|\nabla m_n\|_{L^4(0,T;H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega))} \leq K$ by interpolation theorem. Hence, since for all $p < +\infty$, $L^4(0,T;H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)) \subset L^4(0,T;L^p(\Omega))$, we have that for all $\eta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|T_4\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}(0,T;H^{-1}(\Omega))} \leq & \|T_4\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}(0,T;L^{2-\eta}(\Omega))} \\ \leq & \|m_n\|_{L^\infty(0,T\times\Omega)}\|\nabla m_n\|_{L^4(0,T;H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega))}\|\nabla \frac{\partial m_n}{\partial t}\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \\ \leq & K.\end{aligned}$$

- $\frac{\partial m_n}{\partial t}$ is bounded in $L^2(0,T;L^6(\Omega))$, $H(m_n) - v_n$ is bounded in $L^\infty(0,T;L^6(\Omega))$, and m_n is bounded in $L^\infty(0,T\times\Omega)$. Hence T_5 is bounded in $L^2(0,T;L^3(\Omega))$, so there exists a constant K such that

$$\|T_5\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{-1}(\Omega))} \leq K.$$

- $\frac{\partial m_n}{\partial t}$ is bounded in $L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))$ hence by property of the operator h_d (see Proposition 2.3), since m_n is bounded in $L^\infty(0,T\times\Omega)$,

$$\|T_6\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \leq K.$$

- $\frac{\partial v_n}{\partial t}$ is bounded in $L^2(0,T;L^6(\Omega))$, therefore since m_n is bounded in $L^\infty(0,T\times\Omega)$,

$$\|T_7\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \leq K.$$

Therefore we obtain that there exists a constant K independant of n such that

$$\|\frac{\partial^2 m_n}{\partial t^2}\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}(0,T;H^{-1}(\Omega))} \leq K.$$

Now $\frac{\partial m_n}{\partial t}$ is bounded in $L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega))$. So by Simon's lemma,

$$\frac{\partial m_n}{\partial t} \longrightarrow \frac{\partial m}{\partial t} \text{ in } L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega)) \text{ strong.}$$

We have $w_n = \beta(\frac{\partial m_n}{\partial t}) \rightharpoonup w$ in $L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega)$. So

$$< w_n|\frac{\partial m_n}{\partial t} > \longrightarrow < w|\frac{\partial m}{\partial t} > .$$

Hence by Proposition 2.2, $w \in \beta(\frac{\partial m}{\partial t})$, which concludes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

References

[1] H. Brezis, Opérateurs maximaux monotones et semi-groupes de contractions dans les espaces de Hilbert, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, No. 5. Notas de Matemática (50). North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-London; American Elsevier Publishing Co., Inc., New York, 1973.

[2] W. F. Brown, Micromagnetics, Wiley, New York (1963).

[3] Gilles Carbou and Pierre Fabrie, *Regular solutions for Landau-Lifschitz equation in a bounded domain*, Differential Integral Equations, **14** (2), 213–229 (2001).

[4] E. Della Torre, *Problems in physical modeling of magnetic materials*, Physica B, 343:1–9, 2004.

[5] Stéphane Labbé and Pierre-Yves Bertin, *Microwave polarisability of ferrite particles with non-uniform magnetization*, Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials, **206**:93–105, 1999.

[6] L. Landau et E. Lifschitz, Electrodynamique des milieux continues, cours de physique théorique, tome VIII (ed. Mir) Moscou (1969).

[7] A. Mielke, Evolution of rate-independent systems. Evolutionary equations. Vol. II, 461–559, Handb. Differ. Equ., Elsevier/North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2005

[8] A. Mielke, F. Theil, V. I. Levitas. *A variational formulation of rate-independent phase transformations using an extremum principle.* Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **162** (2002), no. 2, 137–177.

[9] F. Preisach, Z. Phys, 94:277, 1935.

[10] T. Roubíček, M. Kružík. *Microstructure evolution model in micromagnetics.* Z. Angew. Math. Phys. **55** (2004), no. 1.

[11] J. Starynkevitch, Problèmes d'asymptotique en temps en ferromagnétisme, Thèse de l'Université Bordeaux 1, 2006.

[12] A. Visintin, *Six Talks on Hysteresis*, CRM Proceedings and Lecture Notes, vol 13, 1998.