

Strain-Control of the magnetic anisotropy in (Ga,Mn)(As,P) ferromagnetic semiconductor layers

Aristide Lemaître, Audrey Miard, Laurent Travers, Olivia Mauguin, Ludovic Largeau, Catherine Gourdon, Vincent Jeudy, Michael Tran, Jean-Marie

George

▶ To cite this version:

Aristide Lemaître, Audrey Miard, Laurent Travers, Olivia Mauguin, Ludovic Largeau, et al.. Strain-Control of the magnetic anisotropy in (Ga,Mn)(As,P) ferromagnetic semiconductor layers. Applied Physics Letters, 2008, 93, pp.021123. 10.1063/1.2963979 . hal-00293425

HAL Id: hal-00293425 https://hal.science/hal-00293425v1

Submitted on 4 Jul 2008

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Strain-Control of the magnetic anisotropy in (Ga,Mn)(As,P) ferromagnetic semiconductor layers

A. Lemaître,* A. Miard, L. Travers, O. Mauguin, and L. Largeau Laboratoire de Photonique et de Nanostructures, CNRS, route de Nozay, F-91460, Marcoussis, France

C. Gourdon and V. Jeudy

Institut des Nanosciences de Paris, Université Pierre et Marie Curie-Paris 6, CNRS-UMR7588, Paris, F-75015 France

M. Tran and J.-M. George

Unité Mixte de Physique CNRS-Thales, Route Départementale 128, F-91767 Palaiseau Cédex and Université Paris-Sud, F-91405, Orsay, France

(Dated: July 4, 2008)

Abstract

A small fraction of phosphorus (up to 10 %) was incorporated in ferromagnetic (Ga,Mn)As epilayers grown on a GaAs substrate. P incorporation allows reducing the epitaxial strain or even change its sign, resulting in strong modifications of the magnetic anisotropy. In particular a reorientation of the easy axis toward the growth direction is observed for high P concentration. It offers an interesting alternative to the metamorphic approach, in particular for magnetization reversal experiments where epitaxial defects stongly affect the domain wall propagation.

PACS numbers: 75.50.Pp, 75.30.Gw, 75.70.Ak, 75.60.Ch

^{*}Electronic address: aristide.lemaitre@lpn.cnrs.fr

The strong sensitivity of the magnetic anisotropy to the epitaxial strain is among the remarkable properties of the (Ga,Mn)As ferromagnetic semiconductor. This feature was early pointed out by Ohno et al. [1]. It stems from the carrier-induced nature of the ferromagnetism in this compound, resulting from the exchange interaction between the magnetic moment of the magnetic ions and the itinerant carriers in the valence band. [2, 3] The magnetic properties are therefore strongly related to the shape and the filling of this band. In particular, it has been demonstrated both theoretically [3, 4] and experimentally that the magnetic anisotropy is mainly governed by the valence band anisotropy. The epitaxial strain is a main source of anisotropy. Usually, compressive strain favors an in-plane easy magnetization, as in the case of a (Ga,Mn)As layer grown on a GaAs substrate, although this trend may be reversed at low carrier density. [5, 6, 7] In counterpart, under tensile strains the magnetization becomes spontaneously oriented along the normal to the film. Experimentally the latter situation is usually obtained by depositing a (Ga,Mn)As layer on top of a metamorphic (In,Ga)As buffer grown on a GaAs substrate.[8] This technique was employed to visualize domain walls, [9, 10, 11] and their spin-current induced propagation by Kerr imaging. [12, 13] However the metamorphic growth mode is associated with the formation of dislocations. The misfit dislocations, while remaining at the (In,Ga)As/GaAs interface, induce a cross-hatch pattern that gives rise to very anisotropic domain wall propagation. [10] The threading dislocations, emerging in the (Ga,Mn)As layer, also affect the domain wall propagation by creating pinning centers resulting in filamentary 360° domain wall structures. [9] It is therefore desirable to fabricate magnetic layers with tensile strain, using a pseudomorphic approach. This would extend the range of possibilities to fabricate advanced magnetic structures such as defect-free magnetic tracks for high-speed domain wall propagation, spin-polarized opto-electrical devices [14] with spin polarisation along the growth direction at zero magnetic field, multi-layer structures combining different magnetic anisotropies... In this letter we report on the effect of incorporating a small amount of phosphorus, less than 10 %, in substitution of the As atoms to modify the epitaxial strain within the magnetic (Ga,Mn)(As,P) layers grown by molecular beam epitaxy. Upon sufficient P incorporation we observe a flipping of the magnetization easy axis from in-plane to out-of-plane. Moreover we are also able to visualize the domain wall propagation by Kerr microscopy. We should note that a similar effect has already been recently reported in samples fabricated by combined Mn⁺-P⁺ ion implantation in GaAs substrates.[15]



FIG. 1: High resolution X-ray $\omega - 2\Theta$ curves around the (004) reflection for samples *ref* (grey) and *d* (black). Inset: (Ga,Mn)(As,P) lattice mismatch evolution with the BEP P_2/As_2 ratio.

A series of four (Ga,Mn)(As,P) samples, labelled a, b, c, d were grown with increasing P concentrations along with a reference (Ga,Mn)As sample (ref). They consist of a 50 nm thick magnetic layer deposited on a GaAs (001) substrate. They were prepared in a very similar conditions as the ones usually employed for (Ga,Mn)As layers. In particular the growth temperature was set around 250°C for the magnetic layer while the GaAs buffer was grown at 600° C. As₂ was supplied by a valved cracker cell, while P₂ was evaporated from a GaP effusion cell. The As flux was chosen so as to be close to the stoichiometric conditions with respect to the Ga and Mn flux for the reference sample. The As_2 flux was kept similar for all the samples. The P_2 flux was controlled by the cell temperature. The P_2/As_2 ratio reported in the following is the ratio of the beam equivalent pressures (BEP) measured by a nude ion gauge prior to the magnetic layer growth. In this series P_2/As_2 ranged from 0 to 0.1. For $P_2/As_2 = 0.1$ corresponding to sample d, the P concentration was estimated from an additional Ga(As,P) reference layer grown under the same conditions but without Mn. The lattice mismatch $(\Delta a/a_{\rm sub})_{\rm Ga(As,P)} = (a_{\rm perp} - a_{\rm sub})/a_{\rm sub} = -5790$ ppm was determined by high resolution X-ray diffraction (a_{perp}) is the lattice parameter along the growth axis of the strained layer, while $a_{\rm sub}$ is the GaAs lattice parameter). If the Vegard's law for Ga(As,P) compounds remains valid even at low growth temperature the P concentration would be around 8.8 %. The high resolution X-ray rocking curves around the (004) reflection are shown on Fig. 1 for samples ref and d. The sharp peak around 33.1° corresponds to the diffraction by the GaAs substrate. For the sample *ref* a wider peak is

also observed along with some small Pendellosung oscillations. Both features correspond to the diffraction by the (Ga,Mn)As layer of finite thickness. The (Ga,Mn)As diffraction peak is located on the lower angle side, compared to the GaAs peak. a_{perp} is therefore larger than a_{sub} ; the (Ga,Mn)As layer is under compressive strain on GaAs. In this sample $(\Delta a/a_{\rm sub})_{ref} = 7790$ ppm is high, indicating that a rather large amount of Mn atoms has been inserted in the matrix (close to 9.5 %). On the opposite, the (Ga,Mn)(As,P) layer exhibits a larger peak and on the higher angle side, which corresponds to a layer under tensile strain with $(\Delta a/a_{sub})_d = -3230$ ppm. $\Delta a/a_{sub}$ was measured for all the samples and is reported in the Fig. 1 inset as a function of P_2/As_2 . The strain varies linearly with P_2/As_2 . As P_2/As_2 increases the lattice mismatch decreases, goes close to zero and even changes its sign. Thus, it is possible, by directly controlling the P flux, to tune the amount and the sign of the strain inside the magnetic layer and even to cancel it. At this point, one should notice that the lattice mismatch measured for the highest P_2/As_2 layer is much larger, even with opposite sign, than the one expected from the sum of the lattice mismatches of the (Ga,Mn)As and Ga(As,P) layers grown with respectively similar Mn and P concentrations $(\Delta a/a_{sub})_{ref} + (\Delta a/a_{sub})_{Ga(As,P)} = +2000$ ppm. The origin of this decrepancy is still unclear. In (Ga,Mn)As the lattice mismatch is mostly induced by the incorporation of a non negligeable part of Mn interstitials. [16] These results would therefore suggest that P favors a rather large reduction of the interstitial concentration. This point obviously calls for further investigations.[17]

As mentionned above, a change of the strain sign would result in the change of the magnetic anisotropy. This is indeed what is observed. In the following, we compare the magnetic and transport properties of samples *ref* and *d*. In order to measure the longitudinal and transverse resistivities, the samples were processed into Hall bars. In thin (Ga,Mn)As layers low temperature annealing is highly beneficial to the magnetic and transport properties, as it results in the decrease of detrimental Mn interstitial. This is also the case in (Ga,Mn)(As,P). The samples were annealed for 1 hour at 250°C under N₂ atmosphere. As seen from the temperature dependence of the longitudinal resistivity (Fig. 2(a)), the as-grown sample exhibits a insulating character. In opposite the annealed sample resistivity only shows a moderate increase when lowering the temperature, with a characteristic peak at the ferromagnetic transition around 60 K. The Curie temperature $T_C \simeq 60$ K was determined from the remanent magnetization temperature dependance (Fig 2(a)) using a superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID). It is very close to the value determined from the longitudinal resistivity. No magnetic trace of MnAs clusters were detected at higher temperature. The annealed reference sample *ref* has a lower resistivity and the peak occurs at a higher temperature, around 143 K (Fig. 2(a)). P incorporation results therefore in the degradation of the conductivity and the Curie temperature. The latter has already been pointed out by Stone et al. [15] and attributed to stronger hole localization. Nevertheless, the samples in this series contain a rather large amount of Mn, requiring a corresponding large amount of P to reverse the strain sign. Lower Mn and P concentrations may result in higher T_C , while remaining in tensile strain.



FIG. 2: (a) Temperature dependence of the remanent magnetization (dash line, left axis) and the longitudinal resistivity (solid lines, right axis) for annealed sample d. For comparison, the resistivity is also plotted for as-grown sample d and annealed sample *ref*. (b) Transverse resistivity vs magnetic field, applied along the growth axis for the reference sample at 4 K. (c) Magnetization vs magnetic field, applied out-of-plane along [001] (squares) or in-plane along $\langle 110 \rangle$ (circles) at 10 K. (d) Transverse resistivity vs magnetic field, applied [001], for sample d at different temperatures.

We now turn to the magnetic anisotropy, investigated using the magnetic field dependence of the magnetization, measured by SQUID, and the Hall effect. The latter, in (Ga,Mn)As layers, is dominated by the anomalous term proportional to the perpendicular-to-the-film component of the magnetization. Fig. 2(b) shows, over a rather large magnetic field excursion (± 6 kOe), the tranverse resistivity of the reference sample, without P, at 4 K. The magnetic field was applied along the [001] direction. A smooth evolution is observed, corresponding the magnetization being orientated progressively away from its (in-plane) easy axis. Such a magnetization curve is observed up to T_C . In this case, the [001] direction is not an easy axis for the magnetization, as expected for (Ga,Mn)As layers in compressive strain on GaAs. Sample d, containing the largest P concentration, with a high tensile strain, exhibits a different behavior as seen in Figs. 2(c,d). Fig. 2(c) shows the magnetization vs magnetic field measured for both orientations, out-of-plane, along [001] and in-plane along (110) at 10 K. A well defined square hysteresis loop is now observed when the field is along the [001] growth direction, while the magnetization increases progressively for the $\langle 110 \rangle$ direction. Therefore, a reorientation of the easy axis, from in-plane to out-of-plane, has occured. It has been induced by the change of the strain sign upon P incorporation. A similar behavior is observed for the transverse resistivity, as seen in Fig 2(d), for all temperatures up to T_C , namely a square hysteresis loop when the magnetic field is applied along the growth axis. Let us note that the anisotropy field, required to orientate the magnetization along the hard axis, is lower in (Ga,Mn)(As,P) (~ 760 Oe) than in the reference sample (~ 4400 Oe). The strain absolute value is indeed smaller, the hole density probably lower (lower T_C) and therefore result in a lower anisotropy field. [3]



FIG. 3: Successive snapshots of the magnetization reversal in sample d at 5 K, observed by Kerr microscopy, after having applied a series of 2 (a), 6 (b), 10 (c) field pulses of amplitude -100 Oe and duration 1s.

Last, some preliminary Kerr microscopy measurements of magnetic domain reversal were performed to illustrate the potentiality of this technique. The experimental details were already published.[10, 18] The images shown on Fig. 3 are successive snapshots of the magnetization reversal taken after applying a series of -100 Oe pulses, with 1 second duration. A magnetic contrast is clearly visible, black and grey regions corresponding to domains with opposite perpendicular-to-the-plane magnetization. Upon magnetic pulses, the magnetic configuration changes, black domains growing at the expense of domains with magnetization opposite to the magnetic field direction. In conclusion, the incorporation of P in (Ga,Mn)As layers allows the fine tuning of the epitaxial strain. This results in turn in modification of the magnetic anisotropy, in particular a switching of the magnetic axis from in-plane to out-of-plane for sufficiently large P concentration. This effect is directly related to the carrier-mediated nature of the ferromagnetic phase.

This work has been supported in parts by the ANR PNano project MOMES.

- H. Ohno, A. Shen, F. Matsukura, A. Oiwa, A. Endo, S. Katsumoto, and Y. Iye, Appl. Phys. Lett. 69, 363 (1996).
- [2] T. Dietl, H. Ohno, F. Matsukura, J. Cibert, and D. Ferrand, Science 287, 1019 (2000).
- [3] T. Dietl, H. Ohno, and F. Matsukura, Phys. Rev. B 63, 195205 (2001).
- [4] M. Abolfath, T. Jungwirth, J. Brum, and A. H. MacDonald, Phys. Rev. B 63, 054418 (2001).
- [5] M. Sawicki, F. Matsukura, A. Idziaszek, T. Dietl, G. M. Schott, C. Ruester, C. Gould, G. Karczewski, G. Schmidt, and L. W. Molenkamp, Phys. Rev. B 70, 245325 (2004).
- [6] L. Thevenard, L. Largeau, O. Mauguin, A. Lemaître, and B. Theys, Appl. Phys. Lett. 87, 182506 (2005).
- [7] L. Thevenard, A. Miard, L. Vila, G. Faini, A. Lemaître, N. Vernier, J. Ferré, and S. Fusil, Appl. Phys. Lett. 91, 142511 (2007).
- [8] A. Shen, H. Ohno, F. Matsukura, Y. Sugawara, N. Akiba, T. Kuroiwa, A. Oiwa, A. Endo, S. Katsumoto, and Y. Iye, J. Cryst. Growth 175-176, 1069 (1997).
- [9] L. Thevenard, L. Largeau, O. Mauguin, G. Patriarche, A. Lemaître, N. Vernier, and J. Ferre, Phys. Rev. B 73, 195331 (2006).
- [10] A. Dourlat, V. Jeudy, C. Testelin, F. Bernardot, K. Khazen, C. Gourdon, L. Thevenard,
 L. Largeau, O. Mauguin, and A. Lemaître, J. Appl. Phys. 102, 023913 (2007).
- [11] K. Y. Wang, A. W. Rushforth, V. A. Grant, R. P. Campion, K. W. Edmonds, C. R. Staddon, C. T. Foxon, B. L. Gallagher, J. Wunderlich, and D. A. Williams, J. Appl. Phys. 101, 106101 (2007).
- [12] M. Yamanouchi, D. Chiba, F. Matsukura, and H. Ohno, Nature 428, 539 (2004).
- [13] M. Yamanouchi, D. Chiba, F. Matsukura, T. Dietl, and H. Ohno, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 096601 (2006).

- [14] Y. Ohno, D. K. Young, B. Beschoten, F. Matsukura, H. Ohno, and D. D. Awschalom, Nature 402, 790 (1999).
- [15] P. R. Stone, J. W. Beeman, K. M. Yub, and O. D. Dubon, Physica B 401–402, 454 (2007).
- [16] J. Masek and F. Maca, Phys. Rev. B 69, 165212 (2004).
- [17] F. Glas, G. Patriarche, L. Largeau, and A. Lemaître, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 086107 (2004).
- [18] C. Gourdon, A. Dourlat, V. Jeudy, K. Khazen, H. J. von Bardeleben, L. Thevenard, and A. Lemaître, Phys. Rev. B 76, 241301 (2007).