



**HAL**  
open science

## On exponentials of exponential generating series

Roland Bacher

► **To cite this version:**

| Roland Bacher. On exponentials of exponential generating series. 2009. hal-00292997v3

**HAL Id: hal-00292997**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00292997v3>**

Preprint submitted on 24 Aug 2009 (v3), last revised 18 Oct 2010 (v4)

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# On exponentials of exponential generating series

Roland Bacher

Roland.Bacher@ujf-grenoble.fr

*Abstract<sup>1</sup>: After identification of the algebra of exponential generating series with the shuffle algebra of ordinary formal power series, the exponential map  $\exp_1 : X\mathbb{K}[[X]] \rightarrow 1 + X\mathbb{K}[[X]]$  for the associated Lie group with multiplication given by the shuffle product is well-defined over an arbitrary field  $\mathbb{K}$  by a result going back to Hurwitz. The main result of this paper states that  $\exp_1$  (and its reciprocal map  $\log_1$ ) induces a group isomorphism between the subgroup of rational, respectively algebraic series of the additive group  $X\mathbb{K}[[X]]$  and the subgroup of rational, respectively algebraic series in the group  $1 + X\mathbb{K}[[X]]$  endowed with the shuffle product, if the field  $\mathbb{K}$  is a subfield of the algebraically closed field  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  of characteristic  $p$ .*

## 1 Introduction

The equality

$$\left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \frac{X^n}{n!} \right) \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n \frac{X^n}{n!} \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n+m}{n} \alpha_n \beta_m \frac{X^{n+m}}{(n+m)!}$$

shows that we can define an algebra structure on the vector space

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{K}) = \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \frac{X^n}{n!} \mid \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots \in \mathbb{K} \right\}$$

of formal exponential generating series with coefficients  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots$  in an arbitrary field or ring  $\mathbb{K}$ . For the sake of simplicity we work in the sequel only over fields. The expression  $\alpha_n/n!$  should be considered formally since the numerical value of  $n!$  is zero over a field of positive characteristic  $p \leq n$ .

We denote by

$$\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{E}} = \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \frac{X^n}{n!} \mid \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots \in \mathbb{K} \right\} \subset \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{K})$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Keywords: Bell numbers, exponential function, shuffle product, formal power series, rational series, algebraic series, homogeneous form, automaton sequence, Math. class: 11B73, 11B85, 11E08, 11E76, 22E65

the maximal ideal of the local algebra  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{K})$ . A straightforward computation already known to Hurwitz, see [7], shows that  $a^n/n!$  is always well-defined for  $a \in \mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{E}}$ . Endowing  $\mathbb{K}$  with the discrete topology and  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{K})$  with the topology given by coefficientwise convergency, the functions

$$\exp(a) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^n}{n!} \text{ and } \log(1+a) = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-a)^n}{n}$$

are always defined for  $a \in \mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{E}}$ .

Switching back to ordinary generating series

$$A = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n X^n, \quad B = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n X^n \in \mathfrak{m}$$

contained in the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} = X\mathbb{K}[[X]]$ , of (ordinary) formal power series, we write

$$\exp_!(A) = 1 + B$$

if

$$\exp \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \frac{X^n}{n!} \right) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n \frac{X^n}{n!} .$$

It is easy to see that  $\exp_!$  defines a one-to-one map between  $\mathfrak{m}$  and  $1 + \mathfrak{m}$  with reciprocal map

$$1 + B \longmapsto A = \log_!(1 + B) .$$

It satisfies

$$\exp_!(A + B) = \exp_!(A) \sqcup \exp_!(B)$$

for all  $A, B \in \mathfrak{m}$  where the shuffle product

$$\left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n X^n \right) \sqcup \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n X^n \right) = \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+m}{n} \alpha_n \beta_m X^{n+m}$$

corresponds to the ordinary product of the associated exponential generating series. The map  $\exp_!$  defines thus an isomorphism between the additive group  $(\mathfrak{m}, +)$  and the *special shuffle-group*  $(1 + \mathfrak{m}, \sqcup)$  with group-law given by the shuffle-product. It coincides with the familiar exponential map from the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{m}$  into the special shuffle group, considered as an infinite-dimensional Lie group.

The paper [6] of Fliess implies that rational, respectively algebraic elements form a subgroup in  $(1 + \mathfrak{m}, \sqcup)$  if one works over a subfield of  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ . It is thus natural to consider the corresponding subgroups (under the reciprocal map  $\log_!$  of the Lie-exponential  $\exp_! : \mathfrak{m} \longmapsto 1 + \mathfrak{m}$ ) in the isomorphic additive group  $(\mathfrak{m}, +)$  forming the Lie algebra of  $(1 + \mathfrak{m}, \sqcup)$ . The answer which is the main result of this paper is surprisingly simple: The corresponding subgroup is exactly the subgroup of all rational, respectively algebraic elements in the additive group  $\mathfrak{m}$ . We have thus:

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a subfield of the algebraically closed field  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  of positive characteristic  $p$ . Given a series  $A \in \mathfrak{m} = X\mathbb{K}[[X]]$  the following two assertions are equivalent:

- (i)  $A$  is rational.
- (ii)  $\exp_1(A)$  is rational.

**Example 1.2.** The Bell numbers  $B_0, B_1, B_2, \dots$ , see pages 45,46 in [5] or Example 5.2.4 in [9], are the natural integers defined by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \frac{x^n}{n!} = e^{e^x - 1}$$

and have combinatorial interpretations.

Since  $e^x - 1$  is the exponential generating series of the sequence  $0, 1, 1, \dots$ , we have  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n x^n = \exp_1(x/(1-x))$  for the ordinary generating series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n x^n = 1 + x + 2x^2 + 5x^3 + 15x^4 + 52x^5 + 203x^6 + 877x^7 + 4140x^8 + \dots$$

of the Bell numbers.

The reduction of  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n x^n$  modulo a prime  $p$  is thus always a rational element of  $\mathbb{F}_p[[x]]$ . A few such reductions are

$$\frac{1}{1+x+x^2} \pmod{2}, \quad \frac{1+x+x^2}{1-x^2-x^3} \pmod{3}, \quad \frac{1+x+2x^2-x^4}{1-x^4-x^5} \pmod{5}.$$

**Theorem 1.3.** Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a subfield of the algebraically closed field  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  of positive characteristic  $p$ . Given a series  $A \in \mathfrak{m} = X\mathbb{K}[[X]]$  the following two assertions are equivalent:

- (i)  $A$  is algebraic.
- (ii)  $\exp_1(A)$  is algebraic.

Theorem 1.1 and 1.3 are the main results of this paper and can be restated as follows.

**Corollary 1.4.** Over a subfield  $\mathbb{K} \subset \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ , the group isomorphism

$$\exp_1 : (\mathfrak{m}, +) \longrightarrow (1 + \mathfrak{m}, \sqcup)$$

restricts to an isomorphism between the subgroups of rational elements in  $(\mathfrak{m}, +)$  and in  $(1 + \mathfrak{m}, \sqcup)$ .

It restricts also to an isomorphism between the subgroups of algebraic elements in  $(\mathfrak{m}, +)$  and in  $(1 + \mathfrak{m}, \sqcup)$ .

In particular, the subgroup of rational, respectively algebraic elements in the shuffle group  $(1 + \mathfrak{m}, \sqcup)$  is a Lie-group whose Lie algebra (over  $\mathbb{K} \subset \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ ) is given by the additive subgroup of all rational, respectively algebraic elements in  $(\mathfrak{m}, +)$ .

Theorem 1.1 and 1.3 can be made more precise as follows.

Given a rational series  $A \in \mathbb{K}[[X]]$  represented by a reduced fraction  $f/g$  where  $f, g$  with  $g \neq 0$  are two coprime polynomials of degree  $\deg(f)$  and  $\deg(g)$ , we set  $\|A\| = \max(1 + \deg(f), \deg(g))$ , see also Proposition 2.1 for a well-known equivalent description of  $\|A\|$ .

**Theorem 1.5.** *We have*

$$\| \exp_1(A) \| \leq p^{q^{\|A\|}} \quad \text{and} \quad \| \log_1(1 + A) \| \leq 1 + \|1 + A\|^p$$

for a rational series  $A$  in  $\mathfrak{m} \subset \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[[X]]$  having all its coefficients in a finite subfield  $\mathbb{F}_q \subset \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  containing  $q = p^e$  elements.

The bounds for  $\log_1$  (and the analogous bounds in the algebraic case) can be improved, see Proposition 7.1.

Theorem 1.5 could be called an effective version of Theorem 1.1: Given a rational series represented by  $f/g \in \mathfrak{m}$  with  $f, g \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[X]$ , Theorem 1.1 ensures the existence of polynomials  $u, v$  such that  $\exp_1(f/g) = u/v$ . Theorem 1.5 shows that  $u$  and  $v$  are of degree at most  $p^{q^{\|f/g\|}}$ . They can thus be recovered as suitable Padé approximants from the series development of  $\exp_1(f/g)$  up to order  $2p^{q^{\|f/g\|}}$ . Experimentally, the number  $\| \exp_1(A) \|$  is generally much smaller.

Since the bounds for  $\log_1$  are better than for  $\exp_1$ , the determination of the rational series  $B = \exp_1(A)$  with  $A \in \mathfrak{m}$  rational is best done as follows: Start by “guessing” the rational series  $B$  and check (or improve the guess for  $B$  in case of failure) that  $A = \log_1(B)$  using the bounds for  $\log_1$ .

Given a prime  $p$  and a formal power series  $C = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n X^n$  in  $\mathbb{K}[[X]]$  with coefficients in a subfield  $\mathbb{K}$  of  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ , we define for  $f \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k < p^f$  the series

$$C_{k,f} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{k+np^f} X^n .$$

The vector space  $\mathcal{K}(C) = \mathbb{K}C + \sum_{k,f} \mathbb{K}C_{k,f}$  spanned by  $C$  and by all series of the form  $C_{k,f}$ ,  $k \in \{0, \dots, p^f - 1\}$ ,  $f \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$  is called the  $p$ -kernel of  $C$ . We denote its dimension by  $\kappa(C) = \dim(\mathcal{K}(C))$ .

Algebraic series in  $\mathbb{K}[[X]]$  for  $\mathbb{K}$  a subfield of  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  are characterised by a Theorem of Christol (see Theorem 12.2.5 in [1]) stating that a series  $C$  in  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[[X]]$  is algebraic if and only if its  $p$ -kernel  $\mathcal{K}(C)$  is of finite dimension  $\kappa(C) < \infty$ . We have  $\kappa(A + B) \leq \kappa(A) + \kappa(B)$  and an algebraic series  $A \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[[X]]$  has a minimal polynomial of degree at most  $p^{\kappa(A)}$  with respect to  $A$ .

**Theorem 1.6.** *We have*

$$\kappa(\exp_1(A)) \leq q^{\kappa(A)-1} p^{q^{\kappa(A)}} \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa(\log_1(1 + A)) \leq 1 + 4(\kappa(1 + A))^p$$

for a non-zero algebraic series  $A$  in  $\mathfrak{m} \subset \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[[X]]$  having all its coefficients in a finite subfield  $\mathbb{F}_q \subset \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  containing  $q = p^e$  elements.

Considerations similar to those made after Theorem 1.5 are valid and Theorem 1.6 can be turned into an algorithmically effective version of Theorem 1.3.

A map  $\mu : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$  between two  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector spaces is a homogeneous form of degree  $d$  if  $l \circ \mu : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  is homogeneous of degree  $d$  (given by a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $d$  with respect to coordinates) for every linear form  $l : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ .

A useful ingredient for proving Theorems 1.1, 1.3 and their effective versions is the following characterisation of  $\log_!$ :

**Proposition 1.7.** *Over a field  $\mathbb{K} \subset \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ , the application  $\log_! : 1 + \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$  extends to a homogeneous form of degree  $p$  from  $\mathbb{K}[[X]]$  into  $\mathfrak{m}$ .*

**Example 1.8.** *In characteristic 2, we have*

$$\log_! \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n X^n \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{2^n}^2 X^{2^{n+1}} + \sum_{0 \leq i < j} \binom{i+j}{i} \alpha_i \alpha_j X^{i+j}$$

for  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n X^n$  in  $1 + X\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2[[X]]$ .

Notice that Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 fail in characteristic zero: We have  $\log_!(1 - X) = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n-1)! X^n$  which is obviously transcendental.

**Remark 1.9.** *Defining  $f_!$  as*

$$f_! \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n X^n \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n X^n$$

if

$$f \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \frac{X^n}{n!} \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n \frac{X^n}{n!}$$

*Theorems 1.1, 1.3, 1.5 and 1.6 have analogs for the functions  $\sin_!$  and  $\tan_!$  (and for their reciprocal functions  $\arcsin_!$  and  $\arctan_!$ ).*

The rest of the paper has two parts. In a first part we recall a few definitions and well-known facts and prove all results mentioned above.

In a second part, starting at Section 8, we generalise Theorems 1.1 and 1.5 to formal power series in several non-commuting variables.

## 2 Rational and algebraic elements in $\mathbb{K}[[X]]$

This section recalls a few well-known facts concerning rational and algebraic elements in the algebra  $\mathbb{K}[[X]]$  of formal power series.

We denote by  $\tau : \mathbb{K}[[X]] \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}[[X]]$  the shift operator

$$\tau \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n X^n \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n+1} X^n$$

acting on formal power series. The following well-known result characterises rational series:

**Proposition 2.1.** *A formal power series  $A = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n X^n$  of  $\mathbb{K}[[X]]$  is rational if and only if the series  $A, \tau(A), \tau^2(A), \dots, \tau^k(A) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n+k} X^n, \dots$  span a finite-dimensional vector-space in  $\mathbb{K}[[X]]$ .*

*More precisely, the vector space spanned by  $A, \tau(A), \tau^2(A), \dots, \tau^i(A), \dots$  has dimension  $\| A \| = \max(1 + \deg(f), \deg(g))$  if  $f/g$  with  $f, g \in \mathbb{K}[X]$  is a reduced expression of a rational series  $A$ .*

The function  $A \longmapsto \| A \|$  satisfies the inequality

$$\| A + B \| \leq \| A \| + \| B \|$$

for rational series  $A, B$  in  $\mathbb{K}[[X]]$ . As a particular case we have

$$\| A \| - 1 \leq \| 1 + A \| \leq \| A \| + 1 .$$

Given a prime  $p$  and a formal power series  $C = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n X^n$  in  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[[X]]$  we denote by  $\kappa(C) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  the dimension of its  $p$ -kernel

$$\mathcal{K}(C) = \mathbb{K}C + \sum_{f,k} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p C_{k,f}$$

spanned  $C$  and by all series of the form

$$C_{k,f} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{k+npf} X^n$$

with  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $k < p^f$  for  $f \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ .

Algebraic series of  $\mathbb{K}[[X]]$  for  $\mathbb{K}$  a subfield of the algebraic closure  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  of finite prime characteristic  $p$  are characterised by the following Theorem of Christol (see [4] or Theorem 12.2.5 in [1]):

**Theorem 2.2.** *A formal power series  $C = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n X^n$  of  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[[X]]$  is algebraic if and only if the dimension  $\kappa(C) = \dim(\mathcal{K}(C))$  of its  $p$ -kernel  $\mathcal{K}(C)$  is finite.*

Finiteness of  $\kappa(C)$  amounts to recognisability of  $C$  which has the following well-known consequence.

**Corollary 2.3.** *An algebraic series of  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[[X]]$  has all its coefficients in a finite subfield of  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ .*

**Proposition 2.4.** Let  $C = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n X^n$  be an algebraic series with coefficients in a subfield  $\mathbb{K} \subset \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ .

(i) We have

$$\mathcal{K}(\tau(C)) \subset \mathcal{K}(C) + \tau(\mathcal{K}(C))$$

which implies

$$\kappa(\tau(C)) \leq 2\kappa(C) .$$

(ii) We have

$$\mathcal{K}(C) \subset \mathbb{K} + \mathcal{K}(\tau(C)) + X\mathcal{K}(\tau(C))$$

which implies

$$\kappa(C) \leq 1 + 2\kappa(\tau(C)) .$$

**Proof** Assertion (i) follows from an iterated application of the easy computations

$$(\tau(C))_{k,1} = C_{k+1,1}$$

if  $0 \leq k < p - 1$  and

$$(\tau(C))_{p-1,1} = \tau(C_{0,1}) .$$

The proof of assertion (ii) is similar. □

### 3 The shuffle algebra

This section recalls mostly well-known results concerning shuffle products of elements in the set  $\mathbb{K}[[X]]$  of formal power series over a commutative field  $\mathbb{K}$  which is arbitrary unless specified otherwise.

The *shuffle product*

$$A \sqcup B = C = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n X^n$$

of  $A = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n X^n$  and  $B = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n X^n$  is defined by

$$\gamma_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \alpha_k \beta_{n-k}$$

and corresponds to the usual product  $ab = c$  of the associated exponential generating series

$$a = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \frac{X^n}{n!}, \quad b = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n \frac{X^n}{n!}, \quad c = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n \frac{X^n}{n!} .$$

The *shuffle algebra* is the algebra  $(\mathbb{K}[[X]], \sqcup)$  obtained by endowing the vector space  $\mathbb{K}[[X]]$  of ordinary generating series with the shuffle product.



By construction, the shuffle algebra is isomorphic to the algebra  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{K})$  of exponential generating series. In characteristic zero, the trivial identity

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n X^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n! \alpha_n) \frac{X^n}{n!}$$

gives an isomorphism between the usual algebra  $\mathbb{K}[[X]]$  of ordinary generating series and the shuffle algebra  $(\mathbb{K}[[X]], \sqcup)$ .

The identity  $\left(\sum_{n \geq 0} \lambda^n X^n\right) \sqcup \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} \mu^n X^n\right) = \sum_{n \geq 0} (\lambda + \mu)^n X^n$ , equivalent to  $e^{\lambda X} e^{\mu X} = e^{(\lambda + \mu)X}$  implies that the convergency radius of the shuffle product of two complex series with strictly positive convergency radii  $\rho_1, \rho_2$  is at least the harmonic mean  $1 / \left(\frac{1}{\rho_1} + \frac{1}{\rho_2}\right)$  of  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *The shift operator  $\tau(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n X^n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n+1} X^n$  acts as a derivation on the shuffle algebra.*

**Proof** The map  $\tau$  is clearly linear. The computation

$$\begin{aligned} \tau \left( \sum_{i,j \geq 0} \binom{i+j}{i} \alpha_i \beta_j X^{i+j} \right) &= \sum_{i,j \geq 0} \binom{i+j}{i} \alpha_i \beta_j X^{i+j-1} = \\ &= \sum_{i,j \geq 0} \left( \binom{i+j-1}{i-1} + \binom{i+j-1}{j-1} \right) \alpha_i \beta_j X^{i+j-1} \end{aligned}$$

shows that  $\tau$  satisfies the Leibniz rule  $\tau(A \sqcup B) = \tau(A) \sqcup B + A \sqcup \tau(B)$ .  $\square$

Proposition 3.1 is trivial and well-known in characteristic zero: the usual derivation  $d/dX$  acts obviously as the shift operator on the algebra  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{K})$  of exponential generating series over a field of characteristic zero.

The following two results seem to be due to Fliess, cf. Proposition 6 in [6].

**Proposition 3.2.** *Shuffle products of rational power series are rational.*

*More precisely, we have*

$$\| A \sqcup B \| \leq \| A \| \| B \|$$

for two rational series  $A, B$  in  $\mathbb{K}[[X]]$ .

**Proof** Proposition 3.1 implies  $\tau^n(A \sqcup B) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \tau^k(A) \sqcup \tau^{n-k}(B)$ . The series  $\tau^n(A \sqcup B)$  belongs thus to the vector space spanned by shuffle products with factors in the vector-spaces  $\sum_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{K} \tau^n(A)$  and  $\sum_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{K} \tau^n(B)$ . This implies the inequality. Proposition 2.1 ends the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.3.** *Shuffle products of algebraic series in  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[[X]]$  are algebraic.*

*More precisely, we have*

$$\kappa(A \sqcup B) \leq \kappa(A) \kappa(B) .$$

**Proof** Denoting as in Section 2 by  $C_{k,f}$  the series

$$C_{k,f} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{k+np^f} X^n$$

associated to a series  $C = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n X^n$  and by  $\kappa(C)$  the dimension of the vector space  $\mathcal{K}(C) = \mathbb{K}C + \sum_{k,f} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p C_{k,f}$ , Lucas's identity (see [8])

$$\binom{n}{k} \equiv \prod_{i \geq 0} \binom{\nu_i}{\kappa_i} \pmod{p}$$

for  $n = \sum_{i \geq 0} \nu_i p^i$ ,  $k = \sum_{i \geq 0} \kappa_i p^i$  with  $\nu_i, \kappa_i \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$  implies

$$(A \sqcup B)_{k,1} = \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} A_{i,1} \sqcup B_{k-i,1}$$

for  $k = 0, \dots, p-1$ . Iteration of this formula shows that  $(A \sqcup B)_{k,f}$  (for arbitrary  $k, f \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $k < p^f$ ) belongs to the vector space spanned by shuffle products with factors in the vector spaces  $\mathcal{K}(A)$  and  $\mathcal{K}(B)$  of dimension  $\kappa(A)$  and  $\kappa(B)$ .

Christol's Theorem (Theorem 2.2) ends the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 3.4.** *Given a subfield  $\mathbb{K}$  of  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  let  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{K}[[X]]$  denote a vector space of finite dimension  $a = \dim(\mathcal{A})$  containing the  $p$ -kernel  $\mathcal{K}(A)$  of every element  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ .*

*We consider an element  $B = A_1 \sqcup A_2 \sqcup \dots \sqcup A_k$  given by the shuffle product of  $k$  series  $A_1, \dots, A_k \in \mathcal{A}$ . Expressing all elements  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  as linear combinations of elements in a fixed basis of  $\mathcal{A}$  and using commutativity of the shuffle product, the proof of Proposition 3.3 shows that the inequality  $\kappa(B) \leq \kappa(A_1)\kappa(A_2)\dots \leq a^k = (\dim(\mathcal{A}))^k$  can be improved to*

$$\kappa(B) \leq \binom{k+a-1}{a-1}$$

*where the binomial coefficient  $\binom{k+a-1}{a-1}$  encodes the dimension of the vector space of homogeneous polynomials of degree  $k$  in  $a$  (commuting) variables  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_a$ .*

## 4 The special shuffle-group

We call the group of units of the shuffle algebra  $(\mathbb{K}[[X]], \sqcup)$  the *shuffle-group*. Its elements are given by the set  $\mathbb{K}^* + X\mathbb{K}[[X]]$  underlying the multiplicative unit group. The shuffle-group is the direct product of the unit group  $\mathbb{K}^*$  of  $\mathbb{K}$  with the *special shuffle-group*  $(1 + X\mathbb{K}[[X]], \sqcup)$ .

The inverse in the shuffle group of  $1 - A \in (1 + X\mathbb{K}[[X]], \sqcup)$  is given by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A \sqcup^n = 1 + A + A \sqcup A + A \sqcup A \sqcup A + \dots$$

where  $A \sqcup^0 = 1$  and  $A \sqcup^{n+1} = A \sqcup A \sqcup^n$  for  $n \geq 1$ .

The trivial identity  $X \sqcup X^n = \binom{n+1}{1} X^{n+1} = (n+1)X^{n+1} \in \mathbb{K}[[X]]$  implies  $(1 - X) \sqcup (\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! X^n) = 1$ . Invertible rational (analytical) power series have thus generally a transcendental (non-analytical) shuffle-inverse over the complex numbers.

**Proposition 4.1.** *The special shuffle-group  $(1 + X\mathbb{K}[[X]], \sqcup)$  is isomorphic to an infinite-dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -vector-space if the field  $\mathbb{K}$  is of positive characteristic  $p$ .*

Proposition 4.1 shows that  $(1 + X\mathbb{K}[[X]], \sqcup)$  is not isomorphic to the multiplicative group structure on  $1 + X\mathbb{K}[[X]]$  if  $\mathbb{K}$  is of positive characteristic.

**Proof of Proposition 4.1** Follows from the fact that  $\exp_1$  is a group isomorphism between the  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -vector space  $\mathfrak{m}$  and the special shuffle group.  $\square$

Proposition 4.1 follows also as a special case from Proposition 8.1. This yields a different proof which is not based on properties of  $\exp_1$ .

**Remark 4.2.** *One can show that a rational fraction  $A \in 1 + X\mathbb{C}[[X]]$  has a rational inverse for the shuffle-product if and only if  $A = \frac{1}{1-\lambda X}$  with  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . (Compute  $A \sqcup B = 1$  using the decomposition into simple fractions of the rational series  $A, B$ .)*

## 5 The exponential and the logarithm for exponential generating functions

Hurwitz showed that  $\frac{1}{k!} a^k$  is well-defined for  $a \in \mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{E}}$  with coefficients in an arbitrary field or commutative ring, see Satz 1 in [7]. We give a different proof of this fact which implies that  $\exp_1$  and  $\log_1$  are well-defined over fields of positive characteristic.

**Proposition 5.1.** For all natural numbers  $j, k \geq 1$ , the set  $\{1, \dots, jk\}$  can be partitioned in exactly

$$\frac{(jk)!}{(j!)^k k!}$$

different ways into  $k$  unordered disjoint subsets of  $j$  elements.

In particular, the rational number  $(jk)!/((j!)^k k!)$  is an integer for all natural numbers  $j, k$  such that  $j \geq 1$ .

**Proof** The multinomial coefficient  $(jk)!/(j!)^k$  counts the number of ways of partitioning  $\{1, \dots, jk\}$  into an ordered sequence of  $k$  disjoint subset containing all  $j$  elements. Dividing by  $k!$  removes the order on these  $k$  subsets.

This proves that the formula defines an integer for all  $j, k \geq 1$  and integrality holds also obviously for  $k = 0$  and  $j \geq 1$ .  $\square$

**Remark 5.2.** A slightly different proof of Proposition 5.1 follows from the observation that  $(jk)!/((j!)^k k!)$  is the index in the symmetric group over  $jk$  elements of the subgroup formed by all permutations stabilising a partition of the set  $\{1, \dots, jk\}$  into  $k$  disjoint subsets of  $j$  elements.

**Proposition 5.3.** For any natural integer  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists polynomials  $P_{k,n} \in \mathbb{N}[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]$  such that

$$\frac{1}{k!} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \frac{X^n}{n!} \right)^k = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \frac{X^n}{n!} .$$

**Proof** The contribution of a monomial

$$\alpha_1^{j_1} \alpha_2^{j_2} \dots \alpha_s^{j_s} \frac{X^{\sum_{i=1}^s j_i}}{(\sum_{i=1}^s j_i)!}$$

with  $j_1 + j_2 + \dots + j_s = k$  to  $(1/k!) (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n X^n/n!)^k$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{k!} \frac{k!}{(j_1)!(j_2)! \dots (j_s)!} \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^s j_i)!}{\prod_{i=1}^s (i!)^{j_i}} \\ &= \left( \prod_{i=1}^s \frac{(j_i)!}{(i!)^{j_i} (j_i)!} \right) \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^s j_i)!}{\prod_{i=1}^s (j_i)!} \end{aligned}$$

and the last expression is a product of a natural integer by Proposition 5.1 and of a multinomial coefficient. It is thus a natural integer.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.4.** For  $a = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \frac{X^n}{n!}$  the formulae

$$\exp \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \frac{X^n}{n!} \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \frac{X^n}{n!}$$

and

$$\log \left( 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \frac{X^n}{n!} \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{k+1} (k-1)! P_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \frac{X^n}{n!}$$

define the exponential function and the logarithm of an exponential generating series in  $a \in \mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{E}}$  respectively  $1 + a \in 1 + \mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{E}}$  over an arbitrary field  $\mathbb{K}$ . These functions are one-to-one and mutually reciprocal.

The following result shows that the functions  $\exp!$  and  $\log!$  behave as expected under the derivation  $\tau : \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n X^n \mapsto \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n+1} X^n$  of the shuffle-algebra.

**Proposition 5.5.** *For all  $A \in \mathfrak{m} = X\mathbb{K}[[X]]$  over an arbitrary field  $\mathbb{K}$  we have*

$$\tau(\exp!(A)) = (\exp!(A)) \sqcup \tau(A)$$

and

$$\tau(\log!(1+A)) = (1+A) \sqcup^{-1} \tau(A)$$

where  $(1+A) \sqcup^{-1}$  denotes the shuffle inverse of  $(1+A)$ .

**Proof** Proposition 3.1 implies the formal identities

$$\tau \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{A \sqcup^n}{n!} \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n \frac{A \sqcup^{n-1}}{n!} \sqcup \tau(A) = \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{A \sqcup^n}{n!} \right) \sqcup \tau(A)$$

for  $A \in \mathfrak{m}$ . By Proposition 5.1, this identity holds over the ring  $\mathbb{Z}$  and thus over an arbitrary commutative field. This establishes the formula for  $\exp!$ .

For  $\log!$  we get similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \tau \left( - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-A) \sqcup^n}{n} \right) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \frac{(-A) \sqcup^{n-1}}{n} \sqcup \tau(A) \\ &= \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-A) \sqcup^n \right) \sqcup \tau(A) \end{aligned}$$

which implies the result by Proposition 5.1 and by the trivial identity  $(1+A) \sqcup^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-A) \sqcup^n$  for the shuffle inverse  $(1+A) \sqcup^{-1}$  of  $1+A \in 1 + \mathfrak{m}$ .  $\square$

## 6 The logarithm as a $p$ -homogeneous form over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[[x]]$

Given a fixed prime number  $p$ , Proposition 4.1 implies that there exists polynomials  $Q_{p,n} \in \mathbb{N}[\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n]$  for  $n \geq 1$  such that

$$\left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n X^n \right) \sqcup^p = \alpha_0^p + p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Q_{p,n}(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n) X^n .$$

The polynomials  $Q_{p,n}$  are homogeneous of degree  $p$  with respect to the variables  $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n$  and we denote by

$$\mu_p \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n X^n \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Q_{p,n}(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n) X^n$$

the  $p$ -homogeneous form defined by the ordinary generating series of the polynomials  $Q_{p,1}, Q_{p,2}, \dots$ .

**Proposition 6.1.** *The restriction of  $\mu_p$  to  $1 + \mathfrak{m} \subset \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[[X]]$  coincides with the function  $\log_!$ .*

**Proof** We have

$$\tau(\mu_p(1 + A)) = (1 + A) \sqcup^{p-1} \sqcup \tau(1 + A)$$

for  $A$  in  $\mathfrak{m}$  where  $\tau(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n X^n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n+1} X^n$  is the shift-operator of Proposition 3.1. This identity defines the restriction of the  $p$ -homogeneous form  $\mu_p$  to  $1 + \mathfrak{m}$ . Proposition 5.5 and the identity  $(1 + A) \sqcup^{p-1} \sqcup (1 + A) = 1$  show that the function  $\log_!$  satisfies the same equation

$$\tau(\log_!(1 + A)) = (1 + A) \sqcup^{p-1} \sqcup \tau(1 + A) .$$

Since both series  $\mu_p(1 + A)$  and  $\log_!(1 + A)$  are without constant term, the equality  $\tau(\mu_p(1 + A)) = \tau(\log_!(1 + A))$  implies the equality  $\mu_p(1 + A) = \log_!(1 + A)$ .  $\square$

## 7 Proofs

**Proposition 7.1.** *If  $A$  in  $X\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[[X]]$  is rational (respectively algebraic) then the formal power series  $\log_!(1 + A)$  is rational (respectively algebraic).*

*More precisely, we have*

$$\| \log_!(1 + A) \| \leq 1 + \binom{p+ \| 1 + A \| - 1}{p} \leq 1 + \| 1 + A \|^p$$

for  $A$  rational in  $\mathfrak{m} = X\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[[X]]$ , respectively

$$\kappa(\log_!(1+A)) \leq 1 + 4\kappa(A) \binom{p + \kappa(1+A) - 2}{p-1} \leq 1 + 4(\kappa(1+A))^p ,$$

for  $A$  algebraic in  $\mathfrak{m}$ .

**Proposition 7.2.** *If  $A$  in  $X\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[[X]]$  is rational (respectively algebraic) then  $\exp_!(A)$  is rational (respectively algebraic).*

*More precisely, denoting by  $q = p^e$  the cardinality of a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q \subset \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  containing all coefficients of  $A$  we have*

$$\| \exp_!(A) \| \leq p^{q^{\|A\|}}$$

for  $A$  rational in  $\mathfrak{m}$ , respectively

$$\kappa(\exp_!(A)) \leq q^{\kappa(A)-1} p^{q^{\kappa(A)}}$$

for  $A$  algebraic and non-zero in  $\mathfrak{m}$ .

Theorems 1.1, 1.3, 1.5 and 1.6 are now simple reformulations of Propositions 7.1 and 7.2.

**Proof of Proposition 7.1** The identity  $(1+A) \sqcup^p = 1$  following from Proposition 4.1 applied to the equality

$$\tau(\log_!(1+A)) = (1+A) \sqcup^{-1} \sqcup \tau(A)$$

of Proposition 5.5 establishes the equality

$$\tau(\log_!(1+A)) = (1+A) \sqcup^{p-1} \sqcup \tau(A)$$

already encountered in the proof of Proposition 6.1. This shows

$$\| \tau(\log_!(1+A)) \| \leq \| 1+A \|^{p-1} \| \tau(A) \| \leq \| 1+A \|^p$$

and implies

$$\| \log_!(1+A) \| \leq 1 + \| 1+A \|^p .$$

This proves the cruder inequality in the rational case. The finer inequality follows from the fact that all  $p$  factors of  $(1+A) \sqcup^{p-1} \sqcup \tau(A) = \tau(\log_!(1+A))$  belong to a common vector space of dimension  $\| 1+A \|$  which is closed for the shift map. The details are the same as for Remark 3.4.

For algebraic  $A$  we have similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa(\tau(\log_!(1+A))) &\leq (\kappa(1+A))^{p-1} \kappa(\tau(A)) = (\kappa(1+A))^{p-1} \kappa(\tau(1+A)) \leq \\ &\leq (\kappa(1+A))^{p-1} 2\kappa(1+A) \leq 2(\kappa(1+A))^p \end{aligned}$$

using assertion (i) of Proposition 2.4. This shows

$$\kappa(\log_1(1 + A)) \leq 1 + 2\kappa(\tau(\log_1(1 + A))) \leq 1 + 4(\kappa(1 + A))^p$$

by assertion (ii) of Proposition 2.4 and ends the proof for the cruder inequality.

The finer inequality follows from Proposition 2.4 combined with Remark 3.4.  $\square$

Given a vector-space  $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathbb{K}[[X]]$  containing  $\mathbb{K}$ , we denote by  $\Gamma(\mathcal{V})$  the shuffle-subgroup generated by all elements of  $\mathcal{V} \cap (1 + X\mathbb{K}[[X]])$ .

**Lemma 7.3.** *Every element of a vector space  $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathbb{K}[[X]]$  containing the field  $\mathbb{K}$  of constants can be written as a linear combination of elements in  $\Gamma(\mathcal{V})$ .*

**Proof** We have the identity

$$A = (1 - \epsilon(A) + A) + (\epsilon(A) - 1)$$

where  $\epsilon(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n X^n) = \alpha_0$  is the augmentation map and where  $(1 - \epsilon(A) + A)$  and the constant  $(\epsilon(A) - 1)$  are both in  $\mathbb{K}\Gamma(\mathcal{V})$  for  $A \in \mathcal{V}$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Proposition 7.2 for  $A$  rational** Corollary 2.3 shows that we can work over a finite subfield  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}_q$  of  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  consisting of  $q = p^e$  elements.

Given a rational series  $A$  in  $\mathfrak{m} = X\mathbb{K}[[X]]$ , we denote by  $\Gamma_A$  the shuffle-subgroup generated by all elements of the set

$$\left\{ \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} (\tau^n(A) + \mathbb{K}) \right\} \cap \{1 + X\mathbb{K}[[X]]\}.$$

This generating set of  $\Gamma_A$  contains at most  $q^{\|A\|}$  elements. Proposition 4.1 implies thus that  $\Gamma_A$  is a finite group having at most  $p^{q^{\|A\|}}$  elements. The subalgebra  $\mathbb{K}[\Gamma_A] \subset \mathbb{K}[[X]]$  spanned by all elements of  $\Gamma_A$  is thus of dimension  $\leq p^{q^{\|A\|}}$ . The identity

$$\tau(\exp_1(A)) = \exp_1(A) \sqcup \tau(A)$$

of Proposition 5.5 and the fact that the derivation  $\tau$  of  $\mathbb{K}[[X]]$  restricts to a derivation of the subalgebra  $\mathbb{K}[\Gamma_A]$  show the inclusion

$$\tau^n(\exp_1(A)) \in \exp_1(A) \sqcup \mathbb{K}[\Gamma_A]$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by Lemma 7.3. This ends the proof since the right-hand side is a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space of dimension at most  $p^{q^{\|A\|}}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 7.4.** *We have for every prime number  $p$  and for all natural integers  $j, k$  such that  $j \geq 1$  the identity*

$$\frac{(jk)!}{(j!)^k k!} \equiv \frac{(pjk)!}{((pj)!)^k k!} \pmod{p}.$$



**Proof** The number  $(pjk)!/(((pj)!)^k k!)$  of the right-hand-side yields the cardinality of the set  $\mathcal{E}$  of all partitions of  $\{1, \dots, pjk\}$  into  $k$  subsets of  $pj$  elements. Consider the group  $G$  generated by the  $jk$  cycles of length  $p$  of the form  $(i, i + jk, i + 2jk, \dots, i + (p - 1)jk)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, jk$ . The group  $G$  has  $p^{jk}$  elements and acts on the set of partitions by preserving their type defined as the multiset of cardinalities of all involved parts. In particular it acts by permutation on the set  $\mathcal{E}$ . A partition  $P \in \mathcal{E}$  is a fixpoint for  $G$  if and only if every part of  $P$  is a union of  $G$ -orbits. Choosing a bijection between  $\{1, \dots, jk\}$  and  $G$ -orbits of  $\{1, \dots, pjk\}$ , fixpoints of  $\mathcal{E}$  are in bijection with partitions of the set  $\{1, \dots, jk\}$  into  $k$  subsets of  $j$  elements. The number of fixpoints of the  $G$ -action on  $\mathcal{E}$  equals thus  $\frac{(jk)!}{(j!)^k k!}$ . Since  $G$  is a  $p$ -group, the cardinalities of all non-trivial  $G$ -orbits of  $\mathcal{E}$  are strictly positive powers of  $p$ . This ends the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 7.5.** *exp<sub>1</sub> and log<sub>1</sub> commute with the “Frobenius substitution”*

$$\varphi\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n X^n\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n X^{pn}$$

for series in  $X\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[[X]]$ , respectively in  $1 + X\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[[X]]$ .

This implies

$$(exp_1(A))_{0,f} = exp_1(A_{0,f})$$

where  $C_{k,f} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{k+npf} X^n$  for  $C = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n X^n$ .

**Lemma 7.6.** *We have*

$$(B \sqcup C)_{0,1} = B_{0,1} \sqcup C_{0,1}$$

**Proof** Follows from the identity

$$\binom{pn}{k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

if  $k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Proposition 7.2 for  $A$  algebraic** We work again over a finite subfield  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}_q \subset \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  containing all coefficients of  $A$ .

Let  $\Gamma_A$  denote the shuffle-subgroup generated by all elements in

$$(\mathcal{K}(A) + \mathbb{K}) \cap (1 + X\mathbb{K}[[X]])$$

where  $\mathcal{K}(A) = \mathbb{K}A + \sum_{k,f} \mathbb{K}A_{k,f}$  denotes the  $p$ -kernel of  $A$ . We denote by  $\mathbb{K}[\Gamma_A] \subset (\mathbb{K}[[X]], \sqcup)$  the shuffle-subalgebra of dimension at most  $p^{q^{\kappa(A)}}$  spanned by all elements of the group  $\Gamma_A \subset (1 + X\mathbb{K}[[X]], \sqcup)$ .

Using the convention  $A_{0,0} = A$ , we have for  $B \in \mathbb{K}[\Gamma(A)]$  and for  $k$  such that  $0 \leq k < p$

$$\begin{aligned} (\exp_!(A_{0,f}) \sqcup B)_{k,1} &= \left( \tau^k (\exp_!(A_{0,f}) \sqcup B) \right)_{0,1} = \\ &= \left( \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \tau^j (\exp_!(A_{0,f})) \sqcup \tau^{k-j}(B) \right)_{0,1} = \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (\tau^j (\exp_!(A_{0,f})))_{0,1} \sqcup B_{k-j,1} \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is due to Lemma 7.6 (and to the equality  $(\tau^k(C))_{0,1} = C_{k,1}$  for  $0 \leq k < p$ ).

Iteration of the identity  $\tau(\exp_!(A_{0,f})) = \exp_!(A_{0,f}) \sqcup \tau(A_{0,f})$  given by Proposition 5.5 shows that  $\tau^j(\exp_!(A_{0,f}))$  is of the form  $\exp_!(A_{0,f}) \sqcup F$  where  $F$  is a linear combination of shuffle-products involving at most  $j$  factors of the set  $\{\tau(A_{0,f}), \tau^2(A_{0,f}), \dots, \tau^j(A_{0,f})\}$ . Applying Lemma 7.6 we get

$$(\tau^j(\exp_!(A_{0,f})))_{0,1} = (\exp_{0,f+1}(A)) \sqcup F_{0,1} .$$

An iterated application of Lemma 7.6 shows now that  $F_{0,1}$  is a linear combination of shuffle-products involving at most  $j$  factors in  $\{A_{1,f+1}, \dots, A_{j,f+1}\}$ . We have thus  $F_{0,1} \in \mathbb{K}[\Gamma_A]$  by Lemma 7.3 and we get the inclusion

$$(\exp_!(A_{0,f}) \sqcup \mathbb{K}[\Gamma_A])_{k,1} \subset \exp_!(A_{0,f+1}) \sqcup \mathbb{K}[\Gamma_A]$$

for all  $f \in \mathbb{N}$  and for all  $k \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ .

Setting

$$E_A = \{\exp_!(B) \mid B \in \mathcal{K}(A) \cap X\mathbb{K}[[X]]\}$$

we have the inclusion

$$\mathcal{K}(\exp_!(A)) \subset E_A \sqcup \mathbb{K}[\Gamma_A] \subset \mathbb{K}[E_A] \sqcup \mathbb{K}[\Gamma_A]$$

where  $\mathcal{K}(\exp_!(A))$  denotes the  $p$ -kernel of  $\exp_!(A)$ . This implies

$$\kappa(\exp_!(A)) \leq \dim(\mathbb{K}[E_A]) \dim(\mathbb{K}[\Gamma_A]) .$$

We suppose now  $A$  non-zero. The vector space  $\mathcal{K}(A) \cap X\mathbb{K}[[X]]$  is thus of codimension 1 in  $\mathcal{K}(A)$ . The image  $E_A$  of  $\mathcal{K}(A) \cap X\mathbb{K}[[X]]$  under the group-isomorphism  $\exp_! : (X\mathbb{K}[[X]], +) \xrightarrow{\sim} (1 + X\mathbb{K}[[X]], \sqcup)$  is hence a subgroup of cardinality  $q^{\kappa(A)-1}$  in  $(1 + X\mathbb{K}[[X]], \sqcup)$ . We have thus

$$\kappa(\exp_!(A)) \leq \dim(\mathbb{K}[E_A]) \dim(\mathbb{K}[\Gamma_A]) \leq q^{\kappa(A)-1} p^{q^{\kappa(A)}}$$

which ends the proof.  $\square$

## 8 Power series in free non-commuting variables

This and the next section recall a few basic and well-known facts concerning (rational) power series in free non-commuting variables, see for instance [9], [3] or a similar book on the subject. We use however sometimes a different terminology, motivated by [2].

We denote by  $\mathcal{X}^*$  the free monoid on a finite set  $\mathcal{X} = \{X_1, \dots, X_k\}$ . We write 1 for the identity element and we use a boldface capital  $\mathbf{X}$  for a non-commutative monomial  $\mathbf{X} = X_{i_1} X_{i_2} \cdots X_{i_l} \in \mathcal{X}^*$ . We denote by

$$A = \sum_{\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}^*} (A, \mathbf{X}) \mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{K}\langle\langle X_1, \dots, X_k \rangle\rangle$$

a non-commutative formal power series where

$$\mathcal{X}^* \ni \mathbf{X} \longmapsto (A, \mathbf{X}) \in \mathbb{K}$$

stands for the coefficient function.

We denote by  $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathbb{K}\langle\langle X_1, \dots, X_k \rangle\rangle$  the maximal ideal consisting of formal power series without constant coefficient and by  $\mathbb{K}^* + \mathfrak{m} = \mathbb{K}\langle\langle X_1, \dots, X_k \rangle\rangle \setminus \mathfrak{m}$  the unit-group of the algebra  $\mathbb{K}\langle\langle X_1, \dots, X_k \rangle\rangle$  consisting of all (multiplicatively) invertible elements in  $\mathbb{K}\langle\langle X_1, \dots, X_k \rangle\rangle$ . The unit group is isomorphic to the direct product  $\mathbb{K}^* \times (1 + \mathfrak{m})$  where  $\mathbb{K}^*$  is the central subgroup consisting of non-zero constants and where  $1 + \mathfrak{m}$  denotes the multiplicative subgroup given by the affine subspace formed by power series with constant coefficient 1. We have  $(1 - A)^{-1} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A^n$  for the multiplicative inverse  $(1 - A)^{-1}$  of an element  $1 - A \in 1 + \mathfrak{m}$ .

### 8.1 The shuffle algebra

The *shuffle-product*  $\mathbf{X} \sqcup \mathbf{X}'$  of two non-commutative monomials  $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}' \in \mathcal{X}^*$  of degrees  $a = \deg(\mathbf{X})$  and  $b = \deg(\mathbf{X}')$  (for the obvious grading given by  $\deg(X_1) = \cdots = \deg(X_k) = 1$ ) is the sum of all  $\binom{a+b}{a}$  monomials of degree  $a+b$  obtained by “shuffling” in all possible ways the linear factors (elements of  $\mathcal{X}$ ) involved in  $\mathbf{X}$  with the linear factors of  $\mathbf{X}'$ . A monomial involved in  $\mathbf{X} \sqcup \mathbf{X}'$  can be thought of as a monomial of degree  $a+b$  whose linear factors are coloured by two colours with  $\mathbf{X}$  corresponding to the product of all linear factors of the first colour and  $\mathbf{X}'$  corresponding to the product of the remaining linear factors. The shuffle product  $\mathbf{X} \sqcup \mathbf{X}'$  can also be recursively defined by  $\mathbf{X} \sqcup 1 = 1 \sqcup \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}$  and

$$(\mathbf{X} X_s) \sqcup (\mathbf{X}' X_t) = (\mathbf{X} \sqcup (\mathbf{X}' X_t)) X_s + ((\mathbf{X} X_s) \sqcup \mathbf{X}') X_t$$

where  $X_s, X_t \in \mathcal{X} = \{X_1, \dots, X_k\}$  are monomials of degree 1.

Extending the shuffle-product in the obvious way to formal power series endows the vector space  $\mathbb{K}\langle\langle X_1, \dots, X_k \rangle\rangle$  with an associative and commutative algebra structure called the *shuffle-algebra*. In the case of one variable  $X = X_1$  we recover the definition of Section 3.

The group  $GL_k(\mathbb{K})$  acts on the vector-space  $\mathbb{K}\langle\langle X_1, \dots, X_k \rangle\rangle$  by linear substitutions. This action induces an automorphism of the multiplicative (non-commutative) algebra-structure or of the (commutative) shuffle algebra-structure underlying the vector space  $\mathbb{K}\langle\langle X_1, \dots, X_k \rangle\rangle$ .

Substitution of all variables  $X_j$  of formal power series in  $\mathbb{K}\langle\langle X_1, \dots, X_k \rangle\rangle$  by  $X$  (or more generally by arbitrary not necessarily equal formal power series without constant term) yields a homomorphism of (shuffle-)algebras into the commutative (shuffle-)algebra  $\mathbb{K}[[X]]$ .

The commutative unit group (set of invertible elements for the shuffle-product) of the shuffle algebra, given by the set  $\mathbb{K}^* + \mathfrak{m}$ , is isomorphic to the direct product  $\mathbb{K}^* \times (1 + \mathfrak{m})$  where  $1 + \mathfrak{m}$  is endowed with the shuffle product.

The inverse of an element  $1 - A \in (1 + \mathfrak{m}, \sqcup)$  is given by  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A \sqcup^n = 1 + A + A \sqcup A + A \sqcup A \sqcup A + \dots$

The following result generalises Proposition 4.1:

**Proposition 8.1.** *Over a field of positive characteristic  $p$ , the subgroup  $1 + \mathfrak{m}$  of the shuffle-group is an infinite-dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -vector space.*

**Proof** Contributions to a  $p$ -fold shuffle product  $A_1 \sqcup A_2 \sqcup \dots \sqcup A_p$  are given by monomials with linear factors coloured by  $p$  colours  $\{1, \dots, p\}$  keeping track of their “origin” with coefficients given by the product of the corresponding “monochromatic” coefficients in  $A_1, \dots, A_p$ . A permutation of the colours  $\{1, \dots, p\}$  (and in particular, a cyclic permutation of all colours) leaves such a contribution invariant if  $A_1 = \dots = A_p$ . Coefficients of strictly positive degree in  $A \sqcup^p$  are thus zero in characteristic  $p$ .  $\square$

As in the one variable case, one can prove that

$$\frac{1}{k!} A \sqcup^k$$

is defined over an arbitrary field  $\mathbb{K}$  for  $A \in \mathfrak{m}$ . Indeed, monomials contributing to  $A \sqcup^k$  can be considered as colored by  $k$  colours and the  $k!$  possible colour-permutations yield identical contributions.

For  $A \in \mathfrak{m}$ , we denote by

$$\exp_{\sqcup}(A) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} A \sqcup^n$$

the resulting exponential map from the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{m}$  into the infinite-dimensional commutatif Lie group  $(1 + \mathfrak{m}, \sqcup)$ . As expected, its reciprocal function is defined by

$$\log_{\sqcup}(1 + A) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} A \sqcup^n.$$

In the case of a field  $\mathbb{K}$  of positive characteristic  $p$  the function  $\log_l$  is again given by the restriction to  $1 + \mathfrak{m}$  of a  $p$ -homogeneous form  $\mu_p$ .

The form  $\mu_p$  has all its coefficients in  $\mathbb{N}$  and is again defined by the equality

$$A \sqcup^p = (A, 1)^p + p\mu_p(A)$$

over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . It can thus be defined over an arbitrary field.

## 9 Rational series

A formal power series  $A$  is *rational* if it belongs to the smallest subalgebra in  $\mathbb{K}\langle\langle X_1, \dots, X_k \rangle\rangle$  which contains the free associative algebra  $\mathbb{K}\langle X_1, \dots, X_k \rangle$  of non-commutative polynomials and intersects the multiplicative unit group of  $\mathbb{K}\langle\langle X_1, \dots, X_k \rangle\rangle$  in a subgroup.

Given a monomial  $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{X}^*$ , we denote by

$$\rho(\mathbf{T}) : \mathbb{K}\langle\langle X_1, \dots, X_k \rangle\rangle \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}\langle\langle X_1, \dots, X_k \rangle\rangle$$

the linear application defined by

$$\rho(\mathbf{T})A = \sum_{\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}^*} (A, \mathbf{X})\mathbf{X}$$

for  $A = \sum_{\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}^*} (A, \mathbf{X})\mathbf{X}$  in  $\mathbb{K}\langle\langle X_1, \dots, X_k \rangle\rangle$ . The identity  $\rho(\mathbf{T})(\rho(\mathbf{T}')A) = \rho(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{T}')A$  shows that we have a representation

$$\rho : \mathcal{X}^* \longrightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{K}\langle\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle\rangle)$$

of the free monoid  $\mathcal{X}^*$  on  $\mathcal{X}$ . The *recursive closure*  $\overline{A}$  of a power series  $A$  is the vector-space spanned by its orbit  $\rho(\mathcal{X}^*)A$  under  $\rho(\mathcal{X}^*)$ . We call the dimension  $\dim(\overline{A})$  of  $\overline{A}$  the *complexity* of  $A$ .

We call a subspace  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{K}\langle\langle X_1, \dots, X_k \rangle\rangle$  *recursively closed* if it contains the recursive closure of all its elements.

Rational series coincide with series of finite complexity by a Theorem of Schützenberger (cf. [3], Theorem 1 of page 22).

**Remark 9.1.** *In the case of one variable, the complexity  $\dim(\overline{A})$  of a reduced non-zero rational fraction  $A = \frac{f}{g}$  with  $f \in \mathbb{K}[X]$  and  $g \in 1 + X\mathbb{K}[X]$  equals  $\dim(\overline{A}) = \max(1 + \deg(f), \deg(g))$ .*

**Remark 9.2.** *The (generalised) Hankel matrix  $H = H(A)$  of*

$$A = \sum_{\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}^*} (A, \mathbf{X})\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{K}\langle\langle X_1, \dots, X_k \rangle\rangle$$

*is the infinite matrix with rows and columns indexed by the free monoid  $\mathcal{X}^*$  of monomials and entries  $H_{\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}'} = (A, \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}')$ . The rank  $\text{rank}(H)$  is given by the complexity  $\dim(\overline{A})$  of  $A$  and  $\overline{A}$  corresponds to the row-span of  $H$ .*

Given subspaces  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  of  $\mathbb{K}\langle\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle\rangle$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{A} \sqcup \mathcal{B}$  the vector space spanned by all products  $A \sqcup B$  with  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ .

**Proposition 9.3.** *We have the inclusion*

$$\overline{A \sqcup B} \subset \overline{A} \sqcup \overline{B}$$

for the shuffle product  $A \sqcup B$  of  $A, B \in \mathbb{K}\langle\langle X_1, \dots, X_k \rangle\rangle$ .

The following result is Proposition 4 of [6]:

**Corollary 9.4.** *We have*

$$\dim(\overline{A \sqcup B}) \leq \dim(\overline{A}) \dim(\overline{B})$$

for the shuffle product  $A \sqcup B$  of  $A, B \in \mathbb{K}\langle\langle X_1, \dots, X_k \rangle\rangle$ .

In particular, shuffle products of rational elements in  $\mathbb{K}\langle\langle X_1, \dots, X_k \rangle\rangle$  are rational.

**Proof of Proposition 9.3** For  $Y \in \overline{A}, Z \in \overline{B}$  and  $X$  in  $\{X_1, \dots, X_k\}$ , the recursive definition of the shuffle product given in Section 8.1 shows

$$\rho(X)(Y \sqcup Z) = (\rho(X)Y) \sqcup Z + Y \sqcup (\rho(X)Z) .$$

We have thus the inclusions

$$\rho(X)(Y \sqcup Z) \in \overline{A} \sqcup Z + Y \sqcup \overline{B} \subset \overline{A} \sqcup \overline{B}$$

which show that the vector space  $\overline{A} \sqcup \overline{B}$  is recursively closed. Proposition 9.3 follows now from the inclusion  $A \sqcup B \in \overline{A} \sqcup \overline{B}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 9.5.** *Similar arguments show that the set of rational elements in  $\mathbb{K}\langle\langle X_1, \dots, X_k \rangle\rangle$  is also closed under the ordinary product (and multiplicative inversion of invertible series), Hadamard product and composition (where one considers  $A \circ (B_1, \dots, B_k)$  with  $A \in \mathbb{K}\langle\langle X_1, \dots, X_k \rangle\rangle$  and  $B_1, \dots, B_k \in \mathfrak{m} \subset \mathbb{K}\langle\langle X_1, \dots, X_k \rangle\rangle$ ).*

**Remark 9.6.** *The shuffle inverse of a rational element in  $\mathbb{K}^* + \mathfrak{m}$  is in general not rational in characteristic 0. An exception is given by geometric progressions  $\frac{1}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j X_j} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j X_j \right)^n$  since we have*

$$\frac{1}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j X_j} \sqcup \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^k \mu_j X_j} = \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^k (\lambda_j + \mu_j) X_j}$$

corresponding to  $e^{\lambda X} e^{\mu X} = e^{(\lambda + \mu)X}$  in the one-variable case.

There are no other such elements in  $1 + \mathfrak{m} \subset \mathbb{K}[[X]]$ , see Remark 4.2. I ignore if the maximal rational shuffle subgroup of  $1 + \mathfrak{m} \subset \mathbb{C}\langle\langle X_1, \dots, X_k \rangle\rangle$  (defined as the set of all rational elements in  $1 + \mathfrak{m}$  with rational inverse for the shuffle product) contains other elements if  $k \geq 2$ .

**Remark 9.7.** Any finite set of rational elements in  $\mathbb{K}\langle\langle X_1, \dots, X_k \rangle\rangle$  over a field  $\mathbb{K}$  of positive characteristic is included in a unique minimal finite-dimensional recursively closed subspace of  $\mathbb{K}\langle\langle X_1, \dots, X_k \rangle\rangle$  which intersects the shuffle group  $(\mathbb{K}^* + \mathfrak{m}, \sqcup)$  in a subgroup.

## 10 Main result for generating series in non-commuting variables

The following statement is our main result in a non-commutative framework.

**Theorem 10.1.** Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a subfield of  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ . Given a non-commutative formal power series  $A \in \mathfrak{m} \subset \mathbb{K}\langle\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle\rangle$ , the following two assertions are equivalent:

- (i)  $A$  is rational.
- (ii)  $\exp_1(A)$  is rational.

More precisely, we have for a rational series  $A$  in  $\mathfrak{m}$  the inequalities

$$\dim \left( \overline{\log_1(1+A)} \right) \leq 1 + (\dim(\overline{1+A}))^p$$

and

$$\dim \left( \overline{\exp_1(A)} \right) \leq p^{q^{\dim(\overline{A})}}$$

where  $q = p^e$  is the cardinality of a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  containing all coefficients of  $A$ .

**Proof** The identity

$$\log_1(1+A) = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{X}} \left( (1+A) \sqcup^{p-1} \sqcup \rho(X)A \right) X$$

and Corollary 9.4 show

$$\dim \left( \overline{\log_1(1+A)} \right) \leq 1 + (\dim(\overline{1+A}))^p .$$

For the opposite direction we denote by  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}_q$  a finite subfield of  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  containing all coefficients of  $A$ . We have

$$\overline{\exp_1(A)} \subset \exp_1(A) \sqcup \mathbb{K}[\Gamma(A)]$$

where  $\mathbb{K}[\Gamma(A)]$  is the shuffle subalgebra of dimension  $\leq p^{q^{\dim(\overline{A})}}$  spanned by all elements of the group  $\Gamma$  generated by all elements of the form

$$(\overline{A} + \mathbb{K}) \cap (1 + \mathfrak{m}) .$$

This implies the inequality

$$\dim \left( \overline{\exp_1(A)} \right) \leq p^{q^{\dim(\overline{A})}}$$

which ends the proof.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements** I thank J-P. Allouche, M. Brion, A. Pantchichkine, T. Rivoal, B. Venkov and an anonymous referee for their interest and helpful remarks.

## References

- [1] J.-P. Allouche, J. Shallit, Automatic Sequences. Theory, Applications, Generalizations, Cambridge University Press (2003).
- [2] R. Bacher, *Determinants related to Dirichlet characters modulo 2, 4 and 8 of binomial coefficients and the algebra of recurrence matrices*, Int. J. of Alg. and Comp., vol. 18 No. 3 (2008), 535–566.
- [3] J. Berstel, C. Reutenauer, Rational Series and Their Languages, electronic book available at the author’s websites.
- [4] G. Christol, *Ensembles presque périodiques  $k$ -reconnaisables*. Theoret. Comput. Sci. **9** (1979), 141–145.
- [5] L. Comtet, Analyse combinatoire, Tome second, Presses Universitaires de France, (1970).
- [6] M. Fliess, *Sur divers produits de séries formelles*, Bull. Soc. math. France, vol. 102 (1974), 181–191.
- [7] A. Hurwitz, *Über die Entwicklungskoeffizienten der lemniskatischen Funktionen*, Math. Annalen, vol. 51 (1899), 196–226.
- [8] E. Lucas, *Sur les congruences des nombres eulériens et les coefficients différentiels des fonctions trigonométriques suivant un module premier*, Bull. Math. Soc. France **6** (1878) 49–54.
- [9] R.P. Stanley, Enumerative Combinatorics, Volume 2, Cambridge University Press (1999).

Roland BACHER  
INSTITUT FOURIER  
Laboratoire de Mathématiques  
UMR 5582 (UJF-CNRS)  
BP 74  
38402 St Martin d’Hères Cedex (France)  
e-mail: Roland.Bacher@ujf-grenoble.fr