

Modelling of passive electronic circuits with sensibility analysis dedicated to the sizing by optimisation

Denis Duret, Laurent Gerbaud, Frédéric Wurtz, Jean-Pierre Keradec, B.

Cogitore

▶ To cite this version:

Denis Duret, Laurent Gerbaud, Frédéric Wurtz, Jean-Pierre Keradec, B. Cogitore. Modelling of passive electronic circuits with sensibility analysis dedicated to the sizing by optimisation. KES2007 11th International Conference on Knowledge-Based and Intelligent Information & Engineering Systems, Sep 2007, Vetri sul Mare, Italy. pp.LNAI 4694, 422-430. hal-00290720

HAL Id: hal-00290720 https://hal.science/hal-00290720

Submitted on 13 Feb 2009 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Modeling of Passive Electronic Circuits with Sensitivity Analysis Dedicated to the Sizing by Optimization

Denis Duret^{1,2}, Laurent Gerbaud¹, Frederic Wurtz¹, Jean-Pierre Keradec¹, and Bruno Cogitore²

¹Grenoble Electrical Engineering Lab (G2ELAB), UMR CNRS **5**269, Grenoble-Université ENSIEG, 38402 Grenoble, France ²Microspire 16, Parc d'Activités du Beau Vallon, 57970 Illange, France denis.duret@g2elab.inpg.fr, laurent.gerbaud@g2elab.inpg.fr, frederic.wurtz@g2elab.inpg.fr, jean-pierre.keradec@g2elab.inpg.fr, bruno.cogitore@microspire.com

Abstract. The paper deals with an approach that automates the computation of frequential characteristics of passive electronic circuit and their associated sensitivities according to all the components of the circuit. This method enables the designer to focus on the physical behavior of the circuit since the modeling and computing tasks are automatically performed without any computer science skills. It is useful to size circuits with many constraints by using optimization based on gradient algorithm.

Keywords: Passive filter, modeling, simulation, sensitivity analysis, optimization.

1 Introduction

Sizing a device consists in finding values leading to an expected behavior. This task can be seen as a classical constrained optimization problem with criteria [C] depending on parameters [P]. Obviously, in a passive electronic circuit, the parameters are the frequency, the passive components (e.g. resistors, capacitors and inductors). Classical criteria in such a circuit are usually voltage ratio, impedances or current ratio valued for numerous frequencies. Several types of algorithms are able to deal with this optimization of such criteria. Among them, there are gradient based algorithms [1]. This kind of algorithms requires the derivative value of every criterion according to every parameter. This paper proposes an approach to compute these derivative values.

In the sizing area, some work has already been completed [2]. However, the originality of the paper is to show methods and tools easy to use in an industrial area.

The sizing step aims to constraint the values of such criteria according to the frequency, mainly for the resonance and antiresonance frequencies [3][4], by respecting curve envelop (see Fig.1).



Fig. 1. Example of envelop with 8 selected points (criteria) for the optimization

2 The Modeling Principle of a Passive Electronic Circuit

Before valuing the needed derivative values, the passive electronic circuit is modeled with a set of implicit analytical equations. In circuit theory and using Kirchhoff current law, a linear independent equation system is obtained. In our method, the chosen unknown variables are the voltage potentials of every node: so one node has to be selected as a reference. The leitmotiv of this modeling step is rather simple: for a circuit with **n** nodes, **n** independent expressions using the **n** voltage potentials at these **n** nodes have to be found.

2.1 Modeling Simple Circuit

Modeling circuit composed of one voltage source and passive components consists in splitting nodes in two sets (see Fig. 2).

The first set gathers nodes connected to the unique voltage source: there are two nodes: one used for the voltage source and the other for the circuit reference (i.e. the ground). The second set gathers all the other n-2 nodes of the circuit.

For the two nodes of the first set, two equations are provided. The first one refers to the definition of the voltage source, and the second expresses the location of the circuit reference.

For each node of this second set, an equation describing the current preservation (Kirchhoff's law) is obtained. This equation only requires the type of passive components that are connected to the selected node. Then, the sum of all the currents through these components is cancelled. Equation of node 6 in Fig. 2 is

$$0 = \frac{V0 - V6}{R1} + \frac{V2 - V6}{R2} + \frac{V9 - V6}{L1^* p} + (V3 - V6)^* C1^* p$$
(1)

Where VX is the voltage potential of the node X and p is the Laplace variable.



Fig. 2. A simple circuit

Hence, n-2 equations associated with the n-2 nodes of the second set are written. Finally a system, with \mathbf{n} equations and \mathbf{n} unknown voltage potentials, is obtained.

2.2 Modeling Circuit with Multi Voltage Sources

The modeling of circuits made of several voltage sources has also been investigated. Once again, nodes are split in two sets. The first set gathers s nodes connected to the s voltage sources. The second set has all the others (n-s) nodes of the circuit.

s equations referring to the definition of a voltage source are associated with nodes from the first set.

Then, a node is removed from the second set to express the location of the circuit reference.

Like the modeling of the previous circuit, Kirchhoff's law is applied on each node of the second set which currently contains **n-s-1** elements. As shown on Fig. 3, either passive components or voltage sources are connected to these nodes. These two kinds of elements require a modification to use Kirchhoff's law in the right way. On the first side, currents (I1, I2, I3 and I4) passing through passive component are already



Fig. 3. Kirchoff's law on a node gathering passive component and voltage source

known because they rely only on the passive component type. On the second side, current IX through a voltage source is not given by with the voltage source itself. So the solution consists in valuing the current coming from the other node of the voltage source.

At this end of the process (s + 1 + (n - s - 1)) = n equations are written linking the n unknown voltage potential of the circuit.

3 The Equation System Solving and Its Associated Sensitivities

3.1 Solving the Equation System Modeling the Circuit

In both cases, the equation system obtained after the modeling step is linear with the voltage potentials of the circuit (see Eq. (1)).

So, for a circuit using m parameters $[P] = \{P_j, 1 \le j \le m\}$ and n voltage potentials $[V] = \{V_i, 1 \le i \le n\}$, Every linear equation of the system can be written as followed:

$$\alpha_{1,i}([P]) * V_1 + \dots + \alpha_{n,i}([P]) * V_n = \beta_i([P])$$
(2)

Where $\{\alpha_{j,i}\}$ and $\{\beta_i\}$ depend only on the parameters. The linear equation system is:

$$[A][V] = [\beta], \text{where } [A] = \left[\alpha_{j,i}\right]_{1 \le j \le n}^{1 \le i \le n}$$
(3)

The paper proposes to value the solution of Eq.3, called [Vsol] by numerical methods [5]. It represents the real voltage potential of the circuit.

3.2 Calculation of the Sensitivities

The adjoint variable method [6] is classically used to extract the sensitivities of linear systems. However, another one relying on the theorem of implicit functions [7] is also available. This one has already been successfully used [2] before and is reused in this approach.

From every line of Eq. (2), an implicit function $F_i([V], [P])$, is defined:

$$F_{i}([V],[P]) = \alpha_{1,i}([P]) * V_{1} + ... + \alpha_{n,i}([P]) * V_{n} - \beta_{i}([P])$$
(4)

By definition of [Vsol], each function verifies:

$$F_{i}([Vsol], [P]) = 0 \quad \forall i \in [1, n]$$

$$(5)$$

Thanks to the theorem of implicit functions [7], the sensitivities (gradients) are valued by Eq. (6):

$$\left[\frac{\partial V \operatorname{sol}_{j}}{\partial P_{k}}\right] = \left[\left[\frac{\partial F_{i}}{\partial V_{j}}\right]_{V_{j}=V \operatorname{sol}_{j}}\right]^{-1} \left[\frac{\partial F_{i}}{\partial P_{k}}\right]$$
(6)

Moreover, the derivative of every function F_i , according to a voltage V_j is $\alpha_{j,i}$. So, by using Eq. (3), Eq. (6) becomes:

$$\left[\frac{\partial \text{Vsol}}{\partial P_k}\right] = \left[A\right]^{-1} \left[\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial P_k}\right]$$
(7)

Note that $[A]^{-1}$ is required to solve both equations Eq. (3) and Eq. (7), what enables to get the voltage values and their sensitivities.

4 Calculation of Sizing Criteria of the Circuit and Their Sensitivities

Once the linear equation system is obtained, the voltage potentials at every node, $[Vsol] = \{Vsol_i , 1 \le i \le n\}, \text{ and the associated sensitivities according to each}$ parameter $P_k: \left\{ \frac{\partial Vsol_i}{\partial P_k} \Big|_{1 \le k \le m}^{1 \le i \le n} \right\}$ are known.

Therefore, sizing criteria and theirs associated sensitivities according to the parameters [P] have to be valued.

4.1 Calculation of a Sizing Criterion

A criterion is usually the modulus of such a mathematical expression for several values of frequencies. Whatever, the mathematical expression complexity is, the computation is always simple because each value is known since mathematical library dealing with complex number is used [5].

Eq. (8) is an example of a sizing criterion. In such an equation, V prefixes voltage potentials, R and C are circuit parameters, p is the Laplace variable:

$$RL = \frac{\frac{V12}{V11 - V12} * \frac{R}{1 + R * C * p} + \frac{V19}{V19 - V20} * L * p}{\frac{V12}{V11 - V12} * \frac{R}{1 + R * C * p} - \frac{V19}{V19 - V20} * L * p}$$
(8)

To get RL, p is substituted by $2*j*\Pi*$ freq where freq is a specific frequency and j is the imaginary complex.

4.2 Calculation of the Sensitivities of a Sizing Criterion

To obtain the sensitivity of a sizing criterion, mathematical expressions like Eq. (9) have to be valued:

$$\frac{\partial \text{RL}([\text{V11}_{\text{sol}}, \text{V12}_{\text{sol}}, \text{V19}_{\text{sol}}, \text{V20}_{\text{sol}}], [\text{R}, \text{C}, \text{L}])}{\partial \text{C}}$$
(9)

Generally, the problem is: how to express $S(P_j) = \frac{\partial [g([Vsol], [P])]}{\partial P_j}$, where g is a

function used to calculate a criterion.

The derivative of a complex variable modulus according to its real part is:

$$\frac{\partial |\mathbf{z}|}{\partial \operatorname{Re}(\mathbf{z})} = \frac{\partial \sqrt{\operatorname{Re}(\mathbf{z})^2 + \operatorname{Im}(\mathbf{z})^2}}{\partial \operatorname{Re}(\mathbf{z})} = \frac{2 * \operatorname{Re}(\mathbf{z})}{2 * \sqrt{\operatorname{Re}(\mathbf{z})^2 + \operatorname{Im}(\mathbf{z})^2}} = \frac{\operatorname{Re}(\mathbf{z})}{|\mathbf{z}|}$$
(10)

An equivalent expression gives the derivative according to its imaginary part. Hence, another expression for $S(P_i)$ is obtained:

$$S(P_{j}) = \frac{1}{|g([Vsol], [P])|} \left(Re(X)^{*} \frac{\partial Re(X)}{\partial P_{j}} + Im(X)^{*} \frac{\partial Im(X)}{\partial P_{j}} \right)$$
(11)

Where X stands for g([Vsol], [P]). Because [P] is a set of real variables and thanks to simple properties on complex number, Eq. (11) becomes:

$$S(P_{j}) = \frac{1}{|g([Vsol], [P])|} \operatorname{Re}\left(g([Vsol], [P]) * \frac{\overline{\partial g([Vsol], [P])}}{\partial P_{j}}\right)$$
(12)

Where \overline{X} is the conjugate of the complex number X.

5 Software Implementation

Fig. 4 shows the workflow of the process that performs the modeling and the generation of executable code that computes each criterion and its sensitivity.



Fig. 4. Representation of the implementation

5.1 The Modeling Step (blocks 1 and 2)

First, a netlist from Pspice is provided. After an analysis of this netlist, a representation tree is built thanks to the XML technology [8]. Two successive transformations (thanks to XSLT technology [9]) lead to an analytical equation

system describing the studied circuit as presented in part 2 (block 1 - Fig. 4). The modeling step relies on a component library (block 2 - Fig. 4) describing the behavior of each passive component (resistor, capacitor, inductor).

5.2 Automated Generation of Criterion Computation (blocks 3 to 6)

The computation of each criterion relies on the analytical equation system, in the frequency domain, provided by the modeling step. First of all, thanks to a Graphical Interface User (GUI), the designer specifies all the sizing criteria of its circuit (block 3 - Fig. 4), e.g. as Eq. (8). The symbolic expressions given by the designer are checked 'on-line' meaning that tests check mathematical syntax and names of variables and parameters that are used ('error' window – left part of Fig. 4). Then, the code generation (block 4 - Fig. 4) begins. A "Core" file solves the system and gives the voltage potentials and their sensitivities (as described in part 3). This code uses a Java library (block 5 - Fig. 4) dedicated to matrix computations with complex variables. After, another executable code (block 6 - Fig. 4), named "External" is generated to solve sizing criteria given by the designer. "External" code uses methodology shown in part 4 to perform expected computations. This final code can be used for simulation and optimization, as in [3][4].

6 Example

This workflow has been used to model an industrial device: an Asymetrical Digital Subscriber Line (ADSL) splitter. This device allows simultaneous use of high speed internet and phones services. Basically, it is an electronic device composed of resistors, capacitors and inductors that must fulfill dedicated telecommunication specifications.

Defining all criteria for the sizing of the ADSL splitter required ten different circuits (a topology of such a circuit is shown on Fig. 5).



Fig. 5. A circuit using an ADSL splitter

Once all criteria are defined by the designer (nearly a hundred criteria using fifty parameters), the presented workflow is performed within few minutes on a current personal computer.

Once this workflow is completed, software from the CADES framework [10], dedicated to the design and the sizing of engineering systems are used (Fig. 6). Among them, Component Generator creates a dedicated software component and Component Optimizer proposes methods for the sizing of the splitter.



Fig. 6. ElectronicalCircuitModeler and CADES Framework

The Component Generator builds the software component computing all criteria needed for the sizing of the splitter. This software component is plugged in the Component Optimizer to size this device. Several kinds of strategies are available to reach an efficient sizing. For example there are a deterministic algorithm VF13 (available at www.cse.clrc.ac.uk/nag/hsl/hsl.shtml) and other none deterministic one based on genetic approach [10].

7 Conclusion

Other approaches have been proposed [3] [4], but the method proposed in the paper, allows to treat greater applications than [3], and it is more generic than [4]. Moreover, it is more accurate for the sizing step than simulation tools like Saber, Pspice, Simplorer (where finite differences are used to value the gradients), because the gradients are valued from analytical expressions. At the present time, the generated models are used to size circuits with some hundreds constraints by using optimization based on gradient algorithm.

References

- Powell, M.J.D.: On the quadratic programming algorithm of Goldfarb and Idnani. Mathematical Programming Study 25, 46–61 (1985)
- [2] Coutel, C., Wurtz, F., Bigeon, J., Chillet, C.: Constrained Optimisation of a Linear Actuator: Comparison of Two Methods to deal with Implicit Parameters in the Analytical Model. In: IEEE International Electric Machines and Drives Conference Record, Seattle, Washington, USA, May 9-12, 1999, pp. 625–627. IEEE, Los Alamitos (1999)

- [3] Wurtz, F., Gerbaud, L., Cogitore, B., Clavel, E.: Efficient sizing of passive analogic filters with methodologies and tools adapted to the designer. In: EPE'2003 (European conference on Power Electronics and Applications), Toulouse, France (2003)
- [4] Maruyama, T., Igaraski, H.: Automatic Generation of Robust analogue Filters Using Genetic Algorithms. In: OIPE'2006 (Optimization and Inverse Problems in Electromagnetism), Sorrento, Italy (September 13-15, 2006)
- [5] available at ftp://math.nist.gov/pub/Jampack/Jampack/
- [6] Gitosusastro, S., Coulomb, J.L., Sabonnadiere, J.C.: Performance Derivative Calculations And Optimization Process. IEEE Transactions on Magnetics 25(4), 2834–2839 (1989)
- [7] Ciarlet, P.G.: Introduction à l'Analyse Numérique Matricielle et à l'optimisation, Edition Masson, pp. 142–144 (1982)
- [8] see, http://www.w3.org/XML/
- [9] see, http://www.w3.org/TR/xslt
- [10] Delinchant, B., Duret, D., Estrabaut, L., Gerbaud, L., Nguyen Huu, H., Du Peloux, B., Rakotoarison, H.L., Verdiere, F.: An optimizer using software component paradigm for the optimization of engineering systems. The international journal for computation and mathematics in electrical and electronic engineering (COMPEL) 26(2), 368–379 (2007)