

Mean representation number of integers as the sum of primes

Gautami Bhowmik, Jan-Christoph Schlage-Puchta

▶ To cite this version:

Gautami Bhowmik, Jan-Christoph Schlage-Puchta. Mean representation number of integers as the sum of primes. Nagoya Mathematical Journal, 2010, pp.0-6. hal-00289430v3

HAL Id: hal-00289430 https://hal.science/hal-00289430v3

Submitted on 2 Mar 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

MEAN REPRESENTATION NUMBER OF INTEGERS AS THE SUM OF PRIMES

GAUTAMI BHOWMIK AND JAN-CHRISTOPH SCHLAGE-PUCHTA

ABSTRACT. Assuming the Riemann Hypothesis we obtain asymptotic estimates for the mean value of the number of representations of an integer as a sum of two primes. By proving a corresponding Ω -term, we show that our result is essentially the best possible.

1. Introduction and Results

When studying the Goldbach conjecture that every even integer larger than 2 is the sum of two primes it is natural to consider the corresponding problem for the von Mangoldt function Λ . Instead of showing that an even integer n is the sum of two primes, one aims at showing that $G(n) = \sum_{k_1+k_2=n} \Lambda(k_1)\Lambda(k_2)$ is sufficiently large, more precisely, $G(n) > C\sqrt{n}$ implies the Goldbach conjecture. It is known since long that this result is true for almost all n. It is easy to see that if f is an increasing function such that the Tchebychev function $\Psi(x) = x + \mathcal{O}(f(x))$, then the mean value of G(n) satisfies the relation

$$\sum_{n \le x} G(n) = x^2/2 + O(xf(x)).$$

If we consider the contribution of only one zero of the Riemann zeta function ζ , an error term of size $\mathcal{O}(f(x)^2)$ appears, which, under the current knowledge on zero free regions of ζ , would not be significantly better than $\mathcal{O}(xf(x))$. Fujii[3] studied the error term of this mean value under the Riemann Hypothesis (RH) and obtained

$$\sum_{n \le x} G(n) = x^2/2 + \mathcal{O}(x^{3/2})$$

which he later improved [4] to

(1)
$$\sum_{n \le x} G(n) = x^2/2 + H(x) + (\mathcal{O}(x \log x)^{4/3})$$

with $H(x) = -2\sum_{\rho} \frac{x^{1+\rho}}{\rho(1+\rho)}$, where the summation runs over all non-trivial zeros of ζ . In fact, the oscillatory term H(x) is present even without assuming RH, however, it is necessary for the error estimate above.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11P32, 11P55.

In this paper we prove that

Theorem 1.1. Suppose that the RH is true. Then we have

$$\sum_{n \le x} G(n) = \frac{1}{2}x^2 + H(x) + \mathcal{O}(x \log^5 x),$$

and

$$\sum_{n \le x} G(n) = \frac{1}{2}x^2 + H(x) + \Omega(x \log \log x).$$

This confirms a conjecture of Egami and Matsumoto[2, Conj. 2.2]. Recently, Granville[6] used (1) to obtain new characterisations of RH. The innovation of the present work is the idea to use the distribution of primes in short intervals to estimate exponential sums close to the point 0. Note that using the generalised Riemann Hypothesis one could similarly find bounds for the exponential sums in question in certain neighbourhoods of Farey fractions. Such a bound, for example, fixes a gap in the proof of [6, Theorem 1C]. This approach can further be used to study the meromorphic continuation of the generating Dirichlet-series $\sum G(n)n^{-s}$, as introduced by Egami and Matsumoto[2], a topic we deal with elsewhere[1].

The log-power in the error term can be improved, but reaching $\mathcal{O}(n \log^3 n)$ would probably require some new idea.

We would like to thank the referee for suggesting the use of Lemma 2 below, which lead to a substantial improvement.

2. Proofs.

To prove the first part of our theorem, we compute the sum using the circle method. We use the standard notation.

Fix a large real number x, set $e(\alpha) = e^{2\pi i \alpha}$ and let

$$S(\alpha) = \sum_{n \le x} \Lambda(n) e(\alpha n),$$

$$T_y(\alpha) = \sum_{n \le y} e(\alpha n),$$

$$T(\alpha) = T_x(\alpha),$$

$$R(\alpha) = S(\alpha) - T(\alpha).$$

The following is due to Selberg[8, eq. (13)].

Lemma 1. Assuming RH we have

$$\int_{1}^{x} |\Psi(t+h) - \Psi(t) - h|^{2} dt \ll xh \log^{2} x.$$

The following result is due to Gallagher, confer[7, Lemma 1.9] and put $T=y^{-1}, \delta=y/2$.

Lemma 2. Let c_1, \ldots, c_N be complex numbers, and set $S(t) = \sum_{n=1}^N c_n e(tn)$.

$$\int_{-1/y}^{1/y} |S(t)|^2 dt \ll y^{-2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |A(x)|^2 dx.$$

where

$$A(x) = \sum_{\substack{n \le N \\ |n-x| \le y/4}} c_n.$$

Our main technical result is the following.

Lemma 3. Suppose the RH. Then we have for $y \leq x$ the estimate

$$\int_{-y^{-1}}^{y^{-1}} |R(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \ll \frac{x}{y} \log^4 x.$$

Proof. We put N=x and $c_n=\Lambda(n)-1$ into Lemma 4. Putting

$$B(t) = \sum_{\substack{n \le x \\ t < n \le t + y/2}} c_n$$

we obtain

$$\int_{-y^{-1}}^{y^{-1}} |R(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \ll y^{-2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |B(t)|^2 dt = y^{-2} \int_{-y/2}^{N} |B(t)|^2 dt.$$

In the range -y/2 < t < 0 we have

$$\int_{-y/2}^{0} |B(t)|^2 dt = \int_{0}^{y/2} |\Psi(t) - [t]|^2 dt \ll y^2 \log^4 y.$$

For $0 \le t \le x - y/2$ we have $B(t) = \Psi(t + y/2) - \Psi(t) - y/2 + \mathcal{O}(1)$, thus we can apply Lemma 1 to obtain

$$\int_{0}^{x-y/2} |B(t)|^2 dt \ll x + \int_{0}^{x-y/2} |\Psi(t+y/2) - \Psi(t) - y/2|^2 dt \ll xy \log^2 x.$$

Finally for $x - y/2 \le x \le N$ we have $B(x) = \Psi(x) - \Psi(t) - (x - t) + \mathcal{O}(1)$. The RH being equivalent to $\Psi(x) = x + \mathcal{O}(x^{1/2} \log^2 x)$, this implies $B(x) \ll x^{1/2} \log^2 x$, and therefore

$$\int_{x-y/2}^{x} |B(t)|^2 dt \ll xy \log^4 x.$$

Collecting our estimates our claim follows.

Note that no non-trivial unconditional version of Lemma 3 can be proven without better understanding of the zeros of the Riemann ζ -function, since the existence of a single zero close to 1 would already blow up the left-hand side.

Writing $S^2(\alpha)$ as $(T(\alpha) + R(\alpha))^2$ we have

$$\sum_{n \le x} G(n) = \int_0^1 T(-\alpha)S^2(\alpha)d\alpha = \frac{1}{2}x^2 + 2\int_0^1 |T(\alpha)|^2 R(\alpha)d\alpha + \int_0^1 T(-\alpha)R^2(\alpha)d\alpha + \mathcal{O}(x).$$

We claim that the second term yields H(x), and the last one an error of admissible size. In fact, the second term can be written as

$$2\int_0^1 |T(\alpha)|^2 S(\alpha) d\alpha - 2\int_0^1 |T(\alpha)|^2 T(\alpha) d\alpha = 2\sum_{n \leq x} (\Lambda(n) - 1)([x] - n) = 2\sum_{n \leq x - 1} (\Psi(n) - n).$$

We now insert the explicit formula for $\Psi(n)$, and replace the sum over n by an integral to find that the second term is indeed $H(x) + \mathcal{O}(x)$.

We now consider the third term. We split the integral into an integral over $[-x^{-1},x^{-1}]$ and integrals of the form $[2^kx^{-1},2^{k+1}x^{-1}]$. On each interval we bound $T(\alpha)$ by $\min\{x,\frac{1}{\|\alpha\|}\}$, where $\|\alpha\|$ is the distance of α to the nearest integer, and $R(\alpha)$ using Lemma 3. For the first interval this yields

$$\int_{-x^{-1}}^{x^{-1}} T(-\alpha)R^2(\alpha)d\alpha \ll x \int_{-x^{-1}}^{x^{-1}} R^2(\alpha)d\alpha \ll x \log^4 x,$$

while for the other intervals we obtain

$$\int\limits_{2^k x^{-1}}^{2^{k+1} x^{-1}} T(-\alpha) R^2(\alpha) d\alpha \ll 2^{-k} x \int\limits_{2^k x^{-1}}^{2^{k+1} x^{-1}} R^2(\alpha) d\alpha \\ \ll 2^{-k} x \frac{x}{2^{-k} x} \log^4 x \ll x \log^4 x$$

There are $\mathcal{O}(\log x)$ summands, hence, the contribution of R^2 to the whole integral is $\mathcal{O}(x\log^5 x)$, and the first part of our theorem is proven.

We now turn to the proof of the Ω -result. To do so we show that $G(n) = \Omega(n \log \log n)$, hence, the left hand side of (1) has jumps of order $\Omega(n \log \log n)$. Since $x^2/2$ and H(x) are continuous, the error term cannot be $o(x \log \log x)$. By considering the average behaviour of H(n) - H(n-1), one can even show that the error term is of order $\Omega(x \log \log x)$ for integral x, however, we will only do the easier case of real x here.

The idea of the proof is that if an n is divisible by many small primes, then G(n) should be large. Let q_1 be the exceptional modulus for which a Siegel-zero for moduli up to Q might exist, and p_1 be some prime divisor of q_1 . For the sake of determinacy we put $p_1 = 2$, if no Siegel zero exists. We now use the following result due to Gallagher[5, Theorem 7].

Lemma 4. We have

$$\left| x - \sum_{x \le n \le x + h} \Lambda(n) \right| + \sum_{1 < q \le Q} \sum_{\chi}^* \left| \sum_{x \le n \le x + h} \Lambda(n) \chi(n) \right| \ll h \exp\left(-c \frac{\log x}{\log Q} \right),$$

provided that $x/Q \le h \le x$, $\exp(\log^{1/2} x) \le Q \le x^c$, c is an absolute positive constant, \sum^* denotes summation over primitive characters modulo q, and if there exists an exceptional character, for which a Siegel zero exists, this character has to be left out of the summation.

We put $Q = q = \prod_{p < h, p \neq p_1} p$. Then all characters χ modulo q is induced by some primitive character χ' modulo $q' \leq q$, and

$$\left| \sum_{x \le n \le x+h} \Lambda(n)\chi(n) - \sum_{x \le n \le x+h} \Lambda(n)\chi'(n) \right| \le \sum_{d|q} \Lambda(d) \le \log q,$$

which is negligible. Hence, it follows from Lemma 2, that

$$\left| x - \sum_{x \le n \le 2x} \Lambda(n) \chi_0(n) \right| + \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod{q} \\ \chi \ne \chi_0}} \left| \sum_{x \le n \le 2x} \Lambda(n) \chi(n) \right| \le \frac{x}{2},$$

where χ_0 is the principal character, provided that $q < x^{c'}$ for some absolute constant c'. It follows that for (a,q) = 1 we have

$$S(x,q,a) := \sum_{\substack{n \le x \\ n \equiv a \pmod{q}}} \Lambda(n) \ge \frac{x}{\varphi(q)}.$$

Now

$$\sum_{\substack{n \le 4x \\ a \mid n}} G(n) \ge \sum_{(a,q)=1} S(x,q,a)S(x,q,q-a) \ge \frac{x^2}{4\varphi(q)}.$$

On the left we take the average over $\ll \frac{x}{q}$ integers, hence, we obtain

$$\max_{n \le 4x} G(n) \gg \frac{x}{2\varphi(q)} = (1 - p_1^{-1}) \prod_{p \le h} (1 - p^{-1})^{-1} x \gg x \log \log x,$$

and our claim follows.

References

- [1] G. Bhowmik, J.-C. Schlage-Puchta, Meromorphic continuation of the Goldbach generating function, to appear.
- [2] S. Egami, K. Matsumoto, Convolutions of von Mangoldt functions and related Dirichlet series, Proceedings of the 4th China-Japan Seminar held at Shandong, 1–23, S. Kanemitsu and J.-Y. Liu eds., World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2007.
- [3] A. Fujii, An additive problem of prime numbers, Acta Arith. 58 (1991), 173-179.
- [4] A. Fujii, An additive problem of prime numbers, II, Proc. Japan Acad. 67A (1991), 248–252.

- [5] P.X. Gallagher, A Large Sieve Density Estimate near $\sigma=1,$ Invent. Math. 11 (1970), 329–339.
- [6] A. Granville, Refinements of Goldbach's Conjecture, and the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis, Functiones et Approximatio 37 (2007), 159–173; Corrigendum 38 (2008), 125–127.
- [7] H.Montgomery, Topics in multiplicative number theory, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 227, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [8] A. Selberg, On the normal density of primes in short intervals, and the difference between consecutive primes, Archiv for Mathematik og Naturvidenskab 47 (1943), 87–105.

Université de Lille 1, Laboratoire Paul Painlevé UMR CNRS 8524, 59655 VILLENEUVE D'ASCQ CEDEX, France

 $E\text{-}mail\ address: \verb|bhowmik@math.univ-lille1.fr|$

Universiteit Gent, Department of Pure Mathematics and Computer Algebra, Krijgslaan 281, Gebouw S22, 9000 Gent, Belgium

 $E\text{-}mail\ address{:}\ \texttt{jcsp@cage.ugent.be}$

¹added reference