HAL
open science

Distinguished principal series representations for GLn over a p-adic field

Nadir Matringe

To cite this version:

Nadir Matringe. Distinguished principal series representations for GLn over a p-adic field. 2008. hal-00286597v2

HAL Id: hal-00286597
https://hal.science/hal-00286597v2

Preprint submitted on 10 Jul 2008

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Distinguished principal series representations for $\mathbf{GL_n}$ over a $\mathbf{p-}$ adic field

Nadir Matringe

July 10, 2008

1 Introduction

For K/F a quadratic extension of p-adic fields, let σ be the conjugation relative to this extension, and $\eta_{K/F}$ be the character of F^* with kernel norms of K^*.
If π is a smooth irreducible representation of $GL(n,K)$, and χ a character of F^*, the dimension of the space of linear forms on its space, which transform by χ under $GL(n,F)$ (with respect to the action $[(L,g) \mapsto L \circ \pi(g)]$) , is known to be at most one (Proposition 11, [F]). One says that π is χ-distinguished if this dimension is one, and says that π is distinguished if it is 1-distinguished.
In this article, we give a description of distinguished principal series representations of $GL(n,K)$. The result (Theorem 3.2) is that the the irreducible distinguished representations of the principal series of $GL(n,K)$ are (up to isomorphism) those unitarily induced from a character $\chi = (\chi_1, ..., \chi_n)$ of the maximal torus of diagonal matrices, such that there exists $r \leq n/2$, for which $\chi_{i+1}^{\sigma} = {\chi_i}^{-1}$ for $i = 1, 3, .., 2r-1$, and $\chi_{i|F^*} = 1$ for $i > 2r$. For the quadratic extension $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}$, it is known (cf.[P]) that the analogous result is true for tempered representations.
For $n \geq 3$, this gives a counter-example (Corollary 3.1) to a conjecture of Jacquet (Conjecture 1 in [A]). This conjecture states that an irreducible representation π of $GL(n,K)$ with central character trivial on F^* is isomorphic to $\tilde{\pi}^{\sigma}$ if and only if it is distinguished or $\eta_{K/F}$-distinguished (where $\eta_{K/F}$ is the character of order 2 of F^*, attached by local class field theory to the extension K/F). For discrete series representations, the conjecture is verified, it was proved in [K].
Unitary irreducible distinguished principal series representations of $GL(2,K)$ were described in [H], and the general case of distinguished irreducible principal series representations of $GL(2,K)$ was treated in [F-H]. We use this occasion to give a different proof of the result for $GL(2,K)$ than the one in [F-H]. To do this, in Theorems 4.1 and 4.2, we extend a criterion of Hakim (th.4.1, [H]) characterising smooth unitary irreducible distinguished representations of $GL(2,K)$ in terms of γ factors at $1/2$, to all smooth irreducible distinguished representations of $GL(2,K)$.

2 Preliminaries

Let ϕ be a group automorphism, and x an element of the group, we sometimes note x^{ϕ} instead of $\phi(x)$, and $x^{-\phi}$ the inverse of x^{ϕ}. If $\phi = x \mapsto h^{-1}xh$ for h in the group, then x^h designs x^{ϕ}.

Let G be a locally compact totally disconnected group, H a closed subgroup of G.
We note Δ_G the module of G, given by the relation $d_G(gx) = \Delta_G(g)d_G(x)$, for a right Haar measure d_G on G.
Let X be a locally closed subspace of G, with $H.X \subset X$. If V is a complex vector space, we note $D(X, V)$ the space of smooth V-valued functions on X with compact support (if $V = \mathbb{C}$, we simply note it $D(X)$).
Let ρ be a a smooth representation of H in a complex vector space V_ρ, we note $D(H\backslash X, \rho, V_\rho)$ the space of smooth V_ρ-valued functions f on X, with compact support modulo H, which verify $f(hx) = \rho(h)f(x)$ for $h \in H$ and $x \in X$ (if ρ is a character, we note it $D(H\backslash X, \rho)$).
We note $ind_H^G(\rho)$ the representation by right translations of G in
$D(H\backslash G, (\Delta_G/\Delta_H)^{1/2}\rho, V_\rho)$.

Let F be a non archimedean local field of characteristic zero, and K a quadratic extension of F. We have $K = F(\delta)$ with δ^2 in F^*.
We note $|\ |_K$ and $|\ |_F$ the modules of K and F respectively.
We note σ the non trivial element of the Galois group $G(K/F)$ of K over F, and we use the same letter to design for its action on $M_n(K)$.
We note $N_{K/F}$ the norm of the extension K/F and we note $\eta_{K/F}$ the nontrivial character of F^* which is trivial on $N_{K/F}(K^*)$.
Whenever G is an algebraic group defined over F, we note $G(K)$ its K-points and $G(F)$ its F-points.

The group $GL(n)$ will be noted G_n, its standard Borel subgroup will be noted B_n, its unipotent radical U_n, and the standard maximal split torus of diagonal matrices T_n.

We note S the space of matrices M in $G_n(K)$ satisfying $MM^\sigma = 1$.

Everything in this paragraph is more or less contained in [F1], we give detailed proofs here for convenience of the reader.

Proposition 2.1. *([S], ch.10, prop.3)*
We have a homeomorphism between $G_n(K)/G_n(F)$ and S given by the map $S_n : g \mapsto g^\sigma g^{-1}$.

Proposition 2.2. *For its natural action on S, each orbit of $B_n(K)$ contains one and only one element of $\mathfrak{S}_n$ of order 2 or 1.*

Proof. We begin with the following:

Lemma 2.1. *Let w be an element of $\mathfrak{S}_n \subset G_n(K)$ of order at most 2.*
Let θ' be the involution of $T_n(K)$ given by $t \mapsto w^{-1}t^\sigma w$, then any $t \in T_n(K)$ with $t\theta'(t) = 1$ is of the form $a/\theta'(a)$ for some $a \in T_n(K)$.

Proof of Lemma 2.1. There exists $r \leq n/2$ such that up to conjugacy, w is
$(1, 2)(3, 4)...(2r - 1, 2r)$.

We write $t = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & & & & & & & \\ & {z_1}' & & & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & & & \\ & & & z_r & & & & \\ & & & & {z_r}' & & & \\ & & & & & z_{2r+1} & & \\ & & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & & z_n \end{pmatrix}$, hence for $i \leq r$, we have $z_i \sigma(z_i') = 1$, and $z_j \sigma(z_j) = 1$ for $j \geq 2r+1$.
Hilbert's Theorem 90 asserts that each $z_j, j \geq 2r+1$ is of the form $u_{j-2r}/\sigma(u_{j-2r})$, for some $u_{j-2r} \in K^*$.

We then take $a = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & & & & & & & \\ & 1 & & & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & & & \\ & & & z_r & & & & \\ & & & & 1 & & & \\ & & & & & u_1 & & \\ & & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & & u_{n-2r} \end{pmatrix}$. $\square$

Lemma 2.2. *Let N be an algebraic connected unipotent group over K. Let θ be an involutive automorphism of $N(K)$. If $x \in N(K)$, verifies $x\theta(x) = 1_N$ then, there is $a \in N$ such that $x = \theta(a^{-1})a$.*

Proof of Lemma 2.2: The group $N(K)$ has a composition series $1_N = N_0 \subset N_1 \subset ...N_{n-1} \subset N_n = N(K)$, such that each quotient N_{i+1}/N_i is isomorphic to $(K, +)$, and each commutator subgroup $[N, N_{i+1}]$ is a subgroup of N_i.
Now we prove the Lemma by induction on n:
If $n = 1$, then $N(K)$ is isomorphic to $(K, +)$, one concludes taking $a = x/2$.
$n \mapsto n+1$:
suppose the Lemma is true for every $N(K)$ of length n.
Let $N(K)$ be of length $n+1$, we note $\bar{x}$ the class of x in $N(K)/N_1$.
By induction hypothesis, one gets that there exists an element in $h \in N_1$, and an element u in $N(K)$ such that $x = \theta(u^{-1})uh$.
Here h lies in the center of $N(K)$, because $[N(K), N_1] = 1_N$.
As $x\theta(x) = 1$, we get $h\theta(h) = 1$. By induction hypothesis again, we get $h = \theta(b^{-1})b$ for $b \in N_1$.
We then take $a = ub$. $\square$

We get back to the proof of the Proposition 2.2.

For w in $\mathfrak{S}_n$, one notes U_w the subgroup of U_n generated by the elementary subgroups U_α, with α positive, and $w\alpha$ negative, and ${U_w}'$ the subgroup of U_n generated by the elementary subgroups U_α, with α positive, and $w\alpha$ positive. Then $U_n = {U_w}' U_w$.
Let s be in S. According to Bruhat's decomposition, there is w in $\mathfrak{S}_n$, and a in $T_n(K)$, n_1 in $U_n(K)$ and ${n_2}^+$ in U_w, such that $s = n_1 a w {n_2}^+$, with unicity of the decomposition.

Then $s = s^{-\sigma} = {n_2}^{+-\sigma} w^{-1} a^{-\sigma} {n_1}^{-\sigma}$.
Thus we have $aw = (aw)^{-\sigma}$, i.e. $w^2 = 1$ and $a^w = a^{-\sigma}$.
Now we write ${n_1}^{-\sigma} = u^- u^+$ with $u^- \in {U_w}'$ and $u^+ \in U_w$, comparing s and $s^{-\sigma}$, u^+ must be equal to ${n_2}^+$.
Hence $s = n_1 awu^{--1} {n_1}^{-\sigma}$, thus we suppose $s = awn$, with n in U'_w.
From $s = s^{-\sigma}$, one has the relation $awn(aw)^{-1} = n^{-\sigma}$, applying σ on each side, this becomes $(aw)^{-1} n^\sigma aw = n^{-1}$.
But $\theta : u \mapsto (aw)^{-1} u^\sigma aw$ is an involutive automorphism of U'_w, hence from Lemma 2.2, there is u' in U'_w such that $n = \theta(u^{-1})u$.
This gives $s = u^{-\sigma} awu$, so that we suppose $s = aw$. Again $wa^\sigma w = a^{-1}$, and applying Lemma 2.1 to $\theta' : x \mapsto wx^\sigma w$, we deduce that a is of the form $y\theta'(y^{-1})$, and $s = ywy^{-\sigma}$. □

Let u be the element $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\delta \\ 1 & \delta \end{pmatrix}$ of $M_2(K)$; one has $S_2(u) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ (cf. Proposition 2.1).
We notice for further use (cf. proof of Proposition 3.1), that if we note $\tilde{T}$ the subgroup $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & z^\sigma \end{pmatrix} \in G_2(K) | z \in K^* \right\}$, then $u^{-1}\tilde{T}u = T = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & \Delta y \\ y & x \end{pmatrix} \in G_2(F) | x, y \in F \right\}$.

For $r \leq n/2$, one notes U_r the $n \times n$ matrix given by the following block decomposition:
$$\begin{pmatrix} u & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & u & \\ & & & I_{n-2r} \end{pmatrix}$$
If w is an element of $\mathfrak{S}_n$ naturally injected in $G_n(K)$, one notes $U_r^w = w^{-1} U_r w$.

Corollary 2.1. *The elements U_r^w for $0 \leq r \leq n/2$, and $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ give a complete set of representatives of classes of $B_n(K) \backslash G_n(K) / G_n(F)$.*

Let $G_n = \coprod_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_n} B_n w B_n$ be the Bruhat decomposition of G_n. We call a double-class BwB a Bruhat cell.

Lemma 2.3. *One can order the Bruhat cells C_1, C_2,..., $C_{n!}$ so that for every $1 \leq i \leq n!$, the cell C_i is closed in $G_n - \coprod_{k=1}^{i-1} C_i$.*

Proof. Choose $C_1 = B_n$. It is closed in G_n. Now let w_2 be an element of $\mathfrak{S}_n - Id$, with minimal length. Then from 8.5.5. of [Sp], one has that the Bruhat cell Bw_2B is closed in $G_n - B_n$ with respect to the Zariski topology, hence for the p-adic topology, we call it C_2. We conclude by repeating this process. □

Corollary 2.2. *One can order the classes A_1,..., A_t of $B_n(K) \backslash G_n(K) / G_n(F)$, so that A_i is closed in $G_n(K) - \coprod_{k=1}^{i-1} A_i$.*

Proof. From the proof of Proposition 2.2, we know that if C is a Bruhat cell of G_n, then $S_n \cap C$ is either empty, or it corresponds through the homemorphism S_n to a class A of $B_n(K) \backslash G_n(K) / G_n(F)$. The conclusion follows the preceeding Lemma. □

Corollary 2.3. *Each A_i is locally closed in $G_n(K)$ for the Zariski topology.*

We will also need the following Lemma:

Lemma 2.4. *Let G, H,X, and (ρ, V_ρ) be as in the beginning of the section, the map Φ from $D(X) \otimes V_\rho$ to $D(H\backslash X, \rho, V_\rho)$ defined by $\Phi : f \otimes v \mapsto (x \mapsto \int_H f(hx)\rho(h^{-1})vdh)$ is surjective.*

Proof. Let $v \in V_\rho$, U an open subset of G that intersects X, small enough for $h \mapsto \rho(h)v$ to be trivial on $H \cap UU^{-1}$.
Let f' be the function with support in $H(X \cap U)$ defined by $hx \mapsto \rho(h)v$.
Such functions generate $D(H\backslash X, \rho, V_\rho)$ as a vector space.
Now let f be the function of $D(X, V_\rho)$ defined by $x \mapsto 1_{U\cap X}(x)v$, then $\Phi(f)$ is a multiple of f'.
But for x in $U \cap X$, $\Phi(f)(x) = \int_H \rho(h^{-1})f(hx)dh$ because $h \mapsto \rho(h)v$ is trivial on $H \cap UU^{-1}$, plus $h \mapsto f(hx)$ is a positive function that multiplies v, and $f(x) = V$, so $F(f)(x)$ is v multiplied by a strictly positive scalar. □

Corollary 2.4. *Let Y be a closed subset of X, H-stable, then the restriction map from $D(H\backslash X, \rho, V_\rho)$ to $D(H\backslash Y, \rho, V_\rho)$ is surjective.*

Proof. This is a consequence of the known surjectivity of the restriction map from $D(X)$ to $D(Y)$, which implies the surjectivity of the restriction from $D(X, V_\rho)$ to $D(Y, V_\rho)$ and of the commutativity of the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D(X) & \rightarrow & D(Y) \\ \downarrow \Phi & & \downarrow \Phi \\ D(H\backslash X, \rho) & \rightarrow & D(H\backslash Y, \rho) \end{array} .$$

□

3 Distinguished principal series

If π is a smooth representation of $G_n(K)$ of space V_π, and χ is a character of F^*, we say that π is χ-distinguished if there exists on V_π a nonzero linear form L such that $L(\pi(g)v) = \chi(det(g))L(v)$ whenever g is in $G_n(F)$ and v belongs to V_π. If χ is trivial, we simply say that π is distinguished.

We first recall the following:

Theorem 3.1. *([F], Proposition 12)*
Let π be a smooth irreducible distinguished representation of $G_n(K)$, then $\pi^\sigma \simeq \check{\pi}$.

Let $\chi_1, ..., \chi_n$ be n characters of K^*, with none of their quotients equal to $|\ |_K$. We note χ the character of $B_n(K)$ defined by $\chi \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & \star & \star \\ & \ddots & \star \\ & & b_n \end{pmatrix} = \chi_1(b_1)...\chi_n(b_n)$.
We note $\pi(\chi)$ the representation of $G_n(K)$ by right translation on the space of functions $D(B_n(K)\backslash G_n(K), {\Delta_{B_n}}^{-1/2}\chi)$.
This representation is smooth, irreducible and called the principal series attached to χ.
If π is a smooth representation of $G_n(K)$, we note $\check{pi}$ its smooth contragredient.

We will need the following Lemma:

Lemma 3.1. *(Proposition 26 in [F1]) Let $\bar{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_l)$ be a partition of a positive integer m, let $P_{\bar{m}}$ be the corresponding standard parabolic subgroup, and for each $1 \leq i \leq l$, let π_i be a smooth*

distinguished representation of $G_{m_i}(K)$, then $\pi_1 \times \cdots \times \pi_l = ind_{P_{\bar{m}}(K)}^{G_m(K)}(\Delta_{P_{\bar{m}}(K)}^{-1/2}(\pi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi_l))$ is distinguished.

We now come to the principal results:

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\chi = (\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_n)$ be a character of $T_n(K)$, suppose that the principal series representation $\pi(\chi)$ is distinguished, there exists a re-ordering of the χ_i's, and $r \leq n/2$, such that $\chi_{i+1}^{\sigma} = {\chi_i}^{-1}$ for $i = 1, 3, .., 2r-1$, and that $\chi_{i|F^*} = 1$ for $i > 2r$.*

Proof. We write $B = B_n(K)$, $G = G_n(K)$. We have from Corollary 2.2 and 2.4 the following exact sequence of smooth $G_n(F)$-modules:

$$D(B\backslash G - A_1, \Delta_B^{-1/2}\chi) \hookrightarrow D(B\backslash G, \Delta_B^{-1/2}\chi) \to D(B\backslash A_1, \Delta_B^{-1/2}\chi).$$

Hence there is a non zero distinguished linear form either on $D(B\backslash A_1, \Delta_B^{-1/2}\chi)$, or on $D(B\backslash G - A_1, \Delta_B^{-1/2}\chi)$.
In the second case we have the exact sequence

$$D(B\backslash G - A_1 \sqcup A_2, \Delta_B^{-1/2}\chi) \hookrightarrow D(B\backslash G - A_1, \Delta_B^{-1/2}\chi) \to D(B\backslash A_2, \Delta_B^{-1/2}\chi).$$

Repeating the process, we deduce the existence of a non zero distinguished linar form on one of the spaces $D(B\backslash A_i, \Delta_B^{-1/2}\chi)$.
From Corollary 2.1, we choose w in S_n and $r \leq n/2$ such that $A_i = BU_r^w G_n(F)$. The application $f \mapsto [x \mapsto f(U_r^w x)]$ gives an isomorphism of $G_n(F)$-modules between $D(B\backslash A_i, \Delta_B^{-1/2}\chi)$ and $D(U_r^{-w}BU_r^w \cap G_n(F)\backslash G_n(F), \Delta'\chi')$ where $\Delta'(x) = \Delta_B^{-1/2}(U_r^w x U_r^{-w})$ and $\chi'(x) = \chi(U_r^w x U_r^{-w})$.
Now there exists a nonzero $G_n(F)$-invariant linear form on $D(U_r^{-w}BU_r^w \cap G_n(F)\backslash G_n(F), \Delta'\chi')$ if and only if $\Delta'\chi'$ is equal to the inverse of the module of $U_r^{-w}BU_r^w \cap G_n(F)$ (cf.[B-H], ch.1, prop.3.4). From this we deduce that χ' is positive on $U_r^{-w}BU_r^w \cap G_n(F)$ or equivalently χ is positive on $B \cap U_r^w G_n(F) U_r^{-w}$.
Let $\bar{T}_r$ be the F-torus of matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} z_1 & & & & & & & & \\ & {z_1}^{\sigma} & & & & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & & & & \\ & & & z_r & & & & & \\ & & & & {z_r}^{\sigma} & & & & \\ & & & & & x_1 & & & \\ & & & & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & & & & x_t & \end{pmatrix}$$

with $2r + t = n$, $z_i \in K^*$, $x_i \in F^*$, then one has ${\bar{T}_r}^w \subset B \cap U_r^w G_n(F) U_r^{-w}$, so that χ must be positive on ${\bar{T}_r}^w$.
We remark that if χ is unitary, then χ is trivial on ${\bar{T}_r}^w$, and $\pi(\chi)$ is of the desired form.
For the general case, we deduce from Theorem 3.1, that there exists three integers $p \geq 0, q \geq 0, s \geq 0$ such that up to reordering, we have $\chi_{2i} = \chi_{2i-1}^{-\sigma}$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$, we have $\chi_{2p+k|F^*} = 1$ for $1 \leq k \leq q$

and these χ_{2p+k}'s are different (so that $\chi_{2p+k} \neq \chi_{2p+k'}^{-\sigma}$ for $k \neq k'$), and $\chi_{2p+q+j|F^*} = \eta_{K/F}$ for $1 \leq j \leq s$, these χ_{2p+q+j}'s being different.
We note $\mu_k = \chi_{2p+k}$ for $q \geq k \geq 1$, and $\nu'_k = \chi_{2p+q+k'}$ for $s \geq k' \geq 1$.
We show that if such a character χ is positive on a conjugate of $\bar{T_r}$ by an element of S_n, then $s = 0$.
Supose ν_1 appears, then either ν_1 is positive on F^*, but that is not possible, or it is coupled with another χ_i, and (ν_1, χ_i) is positive on elements (z, z^σ), for z in K^*.
Suppose $\chi_i = \nu_j$ for some $j \neq 1$, then (ν_1, χ_i) is unitary, so it must be trivial on couples (z, z^σ), which implies $\nu_1 = {\nu_j}^{-\sigma} = \nu_j$, which is absurd.
The character χ_i cannot be of the form μ_j, because it would imply $\nu_{1|F^*} = 1$.
The last case is $i \leq 2p$, then ${\nu_1}^{-\sigma} = \nu_1$ must be the unitary part of χ_i because of the positivity of (ν_1, χ_i) on the couples (z, z^σ).
But ${\chi_i}^{-\sigma}$ also appears and is not trivial on F^*, hence must be coupled with another character χ_j with $j \leq 2p$ and $j \neq i$, such that $({\chi_i}^{-\sigma}, \chi_j)$ is positive on the elements (z, z^σ), for z in K^*, which implies that χ_j has unitary part ${\nu_1}^{-\sigma} = \nu_1$. The character χ_j cannot be a μ_k because of its unitary part.
If it is a χ_k with $k \leq 2p$, we consider again ${\chi_k}^{-\sigma}$.
But repeating the process lengthily enough, we can suppose that χ_j is of the form ν_k, for $k \neq 1$.
Taking unitary parts, we see that $\nu_k = {\nu_1}^{-\sigma} = \nu_1$, which is in contradiction with the fact that all ν_i's are different. We conclude that $s = 0$. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\chi = (\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_n)$ be a character of $T_n(K)$, the principal series representation $\pi(\chi)$ is distinguished if and only if there exists $r \leq n/2$, such that $\chi_{i+1}^\sigma = {\chi_i}^{-1}$ for $i = 1, 3, .., 2r-1$, and that $\chi_{i|F^*} = 1$ for $i > 2r$.*

Proof. There is one implication left.
Suppose χ is of the desired form, then $\pi(\chi)$ is parabolically (unitarily) induced from representations of the type $\pi(\chi_i, {\chi_i}^{-\sigma})$ of $G_2(K)$, and distinguished characters of K^*.
Hence, because of Lemma 3.1 the Theorem will be proved if we know that the representations $\pi(\chi_i, {\chi_i}^{-\sigma})$ are distinguished, but this is Corollary 4.1 of the next paragraph. □

This gives a counter-example to a conjecture of Jacquet (conjecture 1 in [A]), asserting that if an irreducible admissible representation π of $G_n(K)$ verifies that $\check{\pi}$ is isomorphic to π^σ, then it is distinguished if n is odd, and it is distinguished or $\eta_{K/F}$-distinguished if n is even.

Corollary 3.1. *For $n \geq 3$, there exist smooth irreducible representations π of $G_n(K)$, with central character trivial on F^*, that are neither distinguished, nor $\eta_{K/F}$-distinguished, but verify that $\check{\pi}$ is isomorphic to π^σ.*

Proof. Take $\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_n$, all different, such that $\chi_{1|F^*} = \chi_{2|F^*} = \eta_{K/F}$, and $\chi_{j|F^*} = 1$ for $3 \leq j \leq n$.
Because each χ_i has trivial restriction to $N_{K/F}(K^*)$, it is equal to $\chi_i^{-\sigma}$, hence $\check{\pi}$ is isomorphic to π^σ.
Another consequence is that if k and l are two different integers between 1 and n, then $\chi_k \neq \chi_l^{-\sigma}$, because we supposed the χ_i's all different.
Then it follows from Theorem 3.2 that $\pi = \pi(\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_n)$ is neither distinguished, nor $\eta_{K/F}$-distinguished, but clearly, the central character of π is trivial on F^* and $\check{\pi}$ is isomorphic to π^σ. □

4 Distinction and gamma factors for $GL(2)$

As said in the introduction, in this section we generalize to smooth infinite dimensional irreducible representations of $G_2(K)$ a criterion of Hakim (cf. [H], Theorem 4.1) characterising smooth unitary irreducible distinguished representations of $G_2(K)$. In proof of Theorem 4.1 of [H], Hakim deals with unitary representations so that the integrals of Kirillov functions on F^* with respect to a Haar measure of F^* converge. We skip the convergence problems using Proposition 2.9 of chapter 1 of [J-L].

We note $M(K)$ the mirabolic subgroup of $G_2(K)$ of matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ with a in K^* and x in K, and $M(F)$ its intersection with $G_2(F)$. We note w the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.
Let π be a smooth infinite dimensional irreducible representation of $G_2(K)$, it is known that it is generic (cf.[Z] for example). Let $K(\pi, \psi)$ be its Kirillov model corresponding to ψ ([J-L], th. 2.13), it contains the subspace $D(K^*)$ of functions with compact support on the group K^*. If ϕ belongs to $K(\pi, \psi)$, and x belongs to K, then $\phi - \pi \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \phi$ belongs to $D(K^*)$ ([J-L], prop.2.9, ch.1), from this follows that $K(\pi, \psi) = D(K^*) + \pi(w)D(K^*)$.

We now recall a consequence of the functional equation at 1/2 for Kirillov representations (cf. [B], section 4.7).
Forall ϕ in $K(\pi, \psi)$ and χ character of K^*, we have whenever both sides converge absolutely:

$$\int_{K^*} \pi(w)\phi(x)(c_\pi \chi)^{-1}(x)d^*x = \gamma(\pi \otimes \chi, \psi) \int_{K^*} \phi(x)\chi(x)d^*x \tag{1}$$

where d^*x is a Haar measure on K^*, and c_π is the central character of π.

Theorem 4.1. *Let π be a smooth irreducible representation of $G_2(K)$ of infinite dimension with central character trivial on F^*, and ψ a nontrivial character of K trivial on F. If $\gamma(\pi \otimes \chi, \psi) = 1$ for every character χ of K^* trivial on F^*, then π is distinguished.*

Proof. In fact, using a Fourier inversion in functional equation 1 and the change of variable $x \mapsto x^{-1}$, we deduce that forall ϕ in $D(K^*) \cap \pi(w)D(K^*)$, we have

$$c_\pi(x) \int_{F^*} \pi(w)\phi(tx^{-1})d^*t = \int_{F^*} \phi(tx)d^*t$$

(d^*t is a Haar measure on F^*) which for $x = 1$ gives

$$\int_{F^*} \pi(w)\phi(t)d^*t = \int_{F^*} \phi(t)d^*t.$$

Now we define on $K(\pi, \psi)$ a linear form λ by:

$$\lambda(\phi_1 + \pi(w)\phi_2) = \int_{F^*} \phi_1(t)d^*t + \int_{F^*} \phi_2(t)d^*t$$

for ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 in $D(K^*)$, which is well defined because of the previous equality and the fact that $K(\pi,\psi) = D(K^*) + \pi(w)D(K^*)$.

It is clear that λ is w-invariant. As the central character of π is trivial on F^*, λ is also F^*-invariant. Because $GL_2(F)$ is generated by $M(F)$, its center, and w, it remains to show that λ is $M(F)$-invariant.
Since ψ is trivial on F, one has if $\phi \in D(K^*)$ and $m \in M(F)$ the equality $\lambda(\pi(m)\phi) = \lambda(\phi)$.
Now if $\phi = \pi(w)\phi_2 \in \pi(w)D(K^*)$, and if a belongs to F^*, then $\pi\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\pi(w)\phi_2 = \pi(w)\pi\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}\phi_2 = \pi(w)\pi\begin{pmatrix} a^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\phi_2$ because the central character of π is trivial on F^*, and $\lambda(\pi\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\phi) = \lambda(\phi)$.
If $x \in F$, then $\pi\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\phi - \phi$ is a function in $D(K^*)$, which vanishes on F^*, hence $\lambda\pi(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\phi - \phi) = 0$.

Eventually λ is $M(F)$-invariant, hence $G_2(F)$-invariant, it is clear that its restriction to $D(K^*)$ is non zero. □

Corollary 4.1. *Let μ be a character of K^*, then $\pi(\mu,\mu^{-\sigma})$ is distinguished.*

Proof. indeed, first we notice that the central character $\mu\mu^{-\sigma}$ of $\pi(\mu,\mu^{-\sigma})$ is trivial on F^*.
Now let χ be a character of K^*/F^*, then $\gamma(\pi(\mu,\mu^{-\sigma})\otimes\chi,\psi) = \gamma(\mu\chi,\psi)\gamma(\mu^{-\sigma}\chi,\psi) = \gamma(\mu\chi,\psi)\gamma(\mu^{-1}\chi^{\sigma},\psi^{\sigma})$, and as $\psi|F = 1$ and $\chi_{|F^*} = 1$, one has $\psi^{\sigma} = \psi^{-1}$ and $\chi^{\sigma} = \chi^{-1}$, so that $\gamma(\pi(\chi,\chi^{-\sigma}),\psi) = \gamma(\mu\chi,\psi)\gamma(\mu^{-1}\chi^{-1},\psi^{-1}) = 1$. The conclusion falls from Proposition 4.1. □

Assuming Theorem 1.2 of [A-G], the converse of Theorem 4.1 is also true:

Theorem 4.2. *Let π be a smooth irreducible representation of infinite dimension of $G_2(K)$ with central character trivial on F^* and ψ a non trivial character of K/F, it is distinguished if and only if $\gamma(\pi\otimes\chi,\psi) = 1$ for every character χ of K^* trivial on F^*.*

Proof. It suffices to show that if π is a smooth irreducible distinguished representation of infinite dimension of $G_2(K)$, and ψ a non trivial character of K/F, then $\gamma(\pi,\psi) = 1$. Suppose λ is a non zero $G_2(F)$-invariant linear form on $K(\pi,\psi)$, it is shown in the proof of the corollary of Proposition 3.3 in [H], that its restriction to $D(F^*)$ must be a multiple of the Haar measure on F^*. Hence for any function ϕ in $D(K^*)\cap\pi(w)D(K^*)$, we must have $\int_{F^*}\phi(t)d^*t = \int_{F^*}\pi(w)\phi(t)d^*t$.

From this one deduces that for any function in $D(K^*) \cap \pi(w)D(K^*)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{K^*} \pi(w)\phi(x)c_\pi^{-1}(x)d^*x &= \int_{K^*/F^*} c_\pi^{-1}(a) \int_{F^*} \pi(w)\phi(ta)d^*tda \\
&= \int_{K^*/F^*} c_\pi^{-1}(a) \int_{F^*} \pi(\begin{smallmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix})\pi(w)\phi(t)d^*tda \\
&= \int_{K^*/F^*} c_\pi^{-1}(a) \int_{F^*} \pi(w)c_\pi(a)\pi(\begin{smallmatrix} a^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix})\phi(t)d^*tda \\
&= \int_{K^*/F^*} \int_{F^*} \pi(\begin{smallmatrix} a^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix})\phi(t)d^*tda \qquad . \\
&= \int_{K^*/F^*} \int_{F^*} \phi(ta^{-1})d^*tda \\
&= \int_{K^*/F^*} \int_{F^*} \phi(ta)d^*tda \\
&= \int_{K^*} \phi(x)d^*x
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that either $\gamma(\pi, \psi)$ is equal to one, or $\int_{K^*} \phi(x)d^*x$ is equal to zero on $D(K^*) \cap \pi(w)D(K^*)$. In the second case, we could define two independant K^*-invariant linear forms on $K(\pi, \psi) = D(K^*) + \pi(w)D(K^*)$, given by $\phi_1 + \pi(w)\phi_2 \mapsto \int_{K^*} \phi_1(x)d^*x$, and $\phi_1 + \pi(w)\phi_2 \mapsto \int_{K^*} \phi_2(x)d^*x$. This would contradict Theorem 1.2 of [A-G]. $\square$

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Corinne Blondel and Paul Gérardin, for many helpfull comments and suggestions.

References

[A] U.K. Anandavardhanan, *Distinguished non-Archimedean representations*, Proc. Hyderabad Conference on Algebra and Number Theory, 2005, 183-192.

[A-G] A. Aizenbud and D. Gourevitch, *A proof of the multiplicity one conjecture for GL(n) in GL(n+1)*, preprint, Arxiv.

[B] D. Bump, *Automorphic forms and representations*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 55. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.

[B-H] C. Bushnell and G. Henniart, *The Local Langlands Conjecture for GL(2)*, Springer, 2006.

[F] Y. Flicker, *On distinguished representations*, Journal fr die reine und angewandte mathematik, **418** (1991), 139-172.

[F1] Y. Flicker, *Distinguished representations and a Fourier summation formula*, Bulletin de la S.M.F., **120**, (1992), 413-465.

[F-H] Y. Flicker and J. Hakim, *Quaternionic distinguished representations*, American Journal of Mathematics, **116** (1994), 683-736.

[H] J. Hakim, *Distinguished p-adic Representations*, Duke Math. J., **62** (1991), 1-22.

[J-L] H. Jacquet and R. Langlands, *Automorphic forms on GL(2)*, Lect. Notes in Math., **114**, Springer, 1970.

[K] A.C. Kable, *Asai L-functions and Jacquet's conjecture*, Amer. J. Math., **126**, (2004), 789-820.

[P] M-N. Panichi, *Caractrisations du spectre tempr de* $GL_n(\mathbb{C})/GL_n(\mathbb{R})$, Thse de Doctorat, Universit de Paris 7, 2001.

[S] J-P. Serre, *Corps locaux*, Hermann, 1997.

[Sp] T.A. Springer, *Linear algebraic groups*, Birkaser, 1998

[Z] A.V. Zelevinsky, *induced representations of reductive p-adic groups II*, Ann.Sc.E.N.S., 1980.