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# AUTOMORPHISMS OF COXETER GROUPS AND LUSZTIG'S CONJECTURES FOR HECKE ALGEBRAS WITH UNEQUAL PARAMETERS 

CÉDRIC BONNAFÉ


#### Abstract

Let $(W, S)$ be a Coxeter system, let $G$ be a finite solvable group of automorphisms of $(W, S)$ and let $\varphi$ be a weight function which is invariant under $G$. Let $\varphi_{G}$ denote the weight function on $W^{G}$ obtained by restriction from $\varphi$. The aim of this paper is to compare the a-function, the set of Duflo involutions and the Kazhdan-Lusztig cells associated to $(W, \varphi)$ and to $\left(W^{G}, \varphi_{G}\right)$, provided that Lusztig's Conjectures hold.
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Let $(W, S)$ be a Coxeter system, with $S$ finite, let $\Gamma$ be a totally ordered abelian group and let $\varphi: W \rightarrow \Gamma$ be a weight function such that $\varphi(s)>0$ for all $s \in S$.

Let $G$ be a group of automorphisms of $W$ stabilizing $S$ and $\varphi$. We denote by $\varphi_{G}$ the restriction of $\varphi$ to the fixed points subgroup $W^{G}$. If $\omega \in S / G$ (the orbit set) is
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such that $W_{\omega}(=\langle\omega\rangle)$ is finite, we denote by $s_{\omega}$ the longest element of the standard parabolic subgroup $W_{\omega}$ and we set $S_{G}=\left\{s_{\omega} \mid \omega \in S / G\right.$ and $W_{\omega}$ is finite $\}$. Then it is well-known that $\left(W^{G}, S_{G}\right)$ is a Coxeter system and that $\varphi_{G}: W^{G} \rightarrow \Gamma$ is a weight function (such that $\varphi_{G}\left(s_{\omega}\right)>0$ for all $\omega \in S / G$ ).

To the datum $(W, S, \Gamma, \varphi)$ are associated a Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(W, S, \Gamma, \varphi)$ over the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$, a Kazhdan-Lusztig basis $\left(C_{w}\right)_{w \in W}$ of $\mathcal{H}(W, S, \Gamma, \varphi)$, equivalence relations $\sim_{\mathcal{L}}, \sim_{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\sim_{\mathcal{L R}}$ and two functions a : $W \rightarrow \Gamma$ and $\Delta: W \rightarrow \Gamma$ (see 凹). We set $\mathcal{D}=\{w \in W \mid \mathbf{a}(w)=\Delta(w)\}$. To the datum $\left(W^{G}, S_{G}, \Gamma, \varphi_{G}\right)$, we associate similarly $\sim_{\mathcal{L}}^{G}, \sim_{\mathcal{R}}^{G}, \sim_{\mathcal{L} \mathcal{R}}^{G}, \mathbf{a}_{G}, \Delta_{G}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{G}$. The main result of this paper is the following:

Theorem A. Assume that $G$ is a finite solvable group and that Lusztig's conjectures $\left(P_{1}\right),\left(P_{2}\right),\left(P_{3}\right),\left(P_{4}\right)$ in $\mathbb{4}$, Chapter 14] hold for the datum $\left(W^{H}, S_{H}, \Gamma, \varphi_{H}\right)$ for all subgroups $H$ of $G$. Let $x, y \in W^{G}$. Then:
(a) $\mathbf{a}_{G}(x)=\mathbf{a}(x)$.
(b) $\mathcal{D}_{G}=\mathcal{D} \cap W^{G}$.
(c) Assume moreover that Lusztig's Conjecture $\left(P_{13}\right)$ in $\mathbb{L}$, Chapter 14] hold for the datum $\left(W^{H}, S_{H}, \Gamma, \varphi_{H}\right)$ for all subgroups $H$ of $G$. If $? \in\{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}\}$, then $x \sim_{?} y$ if and only if $x \sim_{?}^{G} y$.
(d) Assume moreover that Lusztig's Conjectures $\left(P_{9}\right)$ and $\left(P_{13}\right)$ in , Chapter 14] hold for the datum $\left(W^{H}, S_{H}, \Gamma, \varphi_{H}\right)$ for all subgroups $H$ of $G$. Then $x \sim_{\mathcal{L R}} y$ if and only if $x \sim_{\mathcal{L R}}^{G} y$.

The proof of this Theorem makes essential use of reduction modulo $p$. Indeed, an easy induction argument reduces immediately the problem to the case where $G$ is a $p$-group for some prime number $p$. The main ingredient is then the following: the natural stupid map $\mathcal{H}\left(W^{G}, S_{G}, \Gamma, \varphi_{G}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(W, S, \Gamma, \varphi)^{G}$ is not a morphism of algebras in general. However, if we denote by $\operatorname{Br}_{G}(\mathcal{H}(W, S, \Gamma, \varphi))$ the quotient of $\mathcal{H}(W, S, \Gamma, \varphi)^{G}$ by the two-sided ideal $\sum_{H<G} \operatorname{Tr}_{H}^{G}\left(\mathcal{H}(W, S, \Gamma, \varphi)^{H}\right)$ (Brauer's quotient, see for instance [1] Page 91]), then:

Proposition B. Assume that $G$ is a finite p-group. Then the natural linear map $\mathcal{H}\left(W^{G}, S_{G}, \Gamma, \varphi_{G}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Br}_{G}\left(\mathcal{H}(W, S, \Gamma, \varphi)^{G}\right)$ is a morphism of algebras whose kernel is generated by $p$. Moreover, it preserves the Kazhdan-Lusztig basis.

## 1. The set-up

1.A. The group $(\boldsymbol{W}, \boldsymbol{S})$. Let $(W, S)$ be a Coxeter system (with $S$ finite), let $\ell: W \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ denote the length function, let $\Gamma$ be a totally ordered abelian group and let $\varphi: W \rightarrow \Gamma$ be a weight function [L], §3.1] that is, a map such that $\varphi\left(w w^{\prime}\right)=$ $\varphi(w)+\varphi\left(w^{\prime}\right)$ whenever $\ell\left(w w^{\prime}\right)=\ell(w)+\ell\left(w^{\prime}\right)$.

Let $A$ be the group algebra $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$ : we will use an exponential notation for $A$, namely $A=\underset{\gamma \in \Gamma}{\oplus} \mathbb{Z} e^{\gamma}$, where $e^{\gamma} \cdot e^{\gamma^{\prime}}=e^{\gamma+\gamma^{\prime}}$ for all $\gamma, \gamma^{\prime} \in \Gamma$. If $a=\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a_{\gamma} e^{\gamma} \in A$, we denote by $\operatorname{deg} a$ (resp. val $a$ ) the degree (resp. the valuation) of $a$, that is, the element $\gamma$ of $\Gamma$ such that $a_{\gamma} \neq 0$ and which is maximal (resp. minimal) for this condition (by convention, $\operatorname{deg} 0=-\infty$ and val $0=+\infty$ ).

We shall denote by $\mathcal{H}$ the Hecke algebra associated to the datum ( $W, S, \Gamma, \varphi$ ). It is a free $A$-module, with standard basis $\left(T_{w}\right)_{w \in W}$, and the multiplication is entirely determined by the following rules:

$$
\begin{cases}T_{w} T_{w^{\prime}}=T_{w w^{\prime}} & \text { if } \ell\left(w w^{\prime}\right)=\ell(w)+\ell\left(w^{\prime}\right) ; \\ \left(T_{s}-e^{\varphi(s)}\right)\left(T_{s}+e^{-\varphi(s)}\right)=0 & \text { if } s \in S\end{cases}
$$

Note that this implies that $T_{w}$ is invertible in $\mathcal{H}$ for all $w \in W$. This algebra is endowed with an $A$-anti-linear involution ${ }^{-}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ which is determined by the following properties:

$$
\begin{cases}\overline{e^{\gamma}}=e^{-\gamma} & \text { if } \gamma \in \Gamma \\ \bar{T}_{w}=T_{w^{-1}}^{-1} & \text { if } w \in W\end{cases}
$$

By [14, Theorem 5.2], there exists a unique element $C_{w} \in \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\bar{C}_{w}=C_{w}, \\
C_{w} \equiv T_{w} \quad \bmod \mathcal{H}_{<0},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{<0}=\underset{w \in W}{\oplus} A_{<0} T_{w}$, and where $A_{<0}=\underset{\gamma<0}{\oplus} \mathbb{Z} e^{\gamma}$.
Let $\tau: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow A$ be the unique $A$-linear map such that

$$
\tau\left(T_{w}\right)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } w=1 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

If $w \in W$, we set

$$
\Delta(w)=-\operatorname{deg} \tau\left(C_{w}\right)
$$

and we denote by $n_{w}$ the coefficient of $e^{-\Delta(w)}$ in $\tau\left(C_{w}\right)$. Finally, if $x, y \in W$, we write

$$
C_{x} C_{y}=\sum_{z \in W} h_{x, y, z} C_{z},
$$

where the $h_{x, y, z}$ 's are in $A$ and satisfy $\overline{h_{x, y, z}}=h_{x, y, z}$.
1.B. The group $\left(\boldsymbol{W}^{G}, \boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{G}}\right)$. Let $G$ be a group of automorphisms of $W$ such that, for all $\sigma \in G$, we have

$$
\sigma(S)=S \quad \text { and } \quad \varphi \circ \sigma=\varphi
$$

If $I$ is a subset of $S$, we denote by $W_{I}$ the (standard parabolic) subgroup of $W$ generated by $I$. If $\omega \in S / G$ is such that $W_{\omega}$ is finite, we denote by $s_{\omega}$ the longest element of $W_{\omega}$. We denote by $S_{G}$ the set of $s_{\omega}$, where $\omega$ runs over the set of $G$-orbits
in $S$ such that $W_{\omega}$ is finite. Recall the following proposition H, Corollary 3.5 and Proof of Proposition 3.4]:

Proposition 1.1. $\left(W^{G}, S_{G}\right)$ is a Coxeter system. Let $\ell_{G}: W^{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ denote the corresponding length function. If $x$ and $y \in W^{G}$, then $\ell(x y)=\ell(x)+\ell(y)$ if and only if $\ell_{G}(x y)=\ell_{G}(x)+\ell_{G}(y)$.

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{G}: W^{G} & \longrightarrow \Gamma \\
w & \longmapsto \varphi(w)
\end{aligned}
$$

denote the restriction of $\varphi$ to $W^{G}$. Then, by Proposition 1.1, $\varphi_{G}$ is a weight function.
Therefore, we can define $\mathcal{H}_{G}, \mathcal{H}_{G,<0}, T_{w}^{G}, C_{w}^{G}, \tau_{G}, \Delta_{G}, n_{z}^{G}$ and $h_{x, y, z}^{G}$ with respect to $\left(W^{G}, S_{G}, \Gamma, \varphi_{G}\right)$ in a similar way as $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_{<0}, T_{w}, C_{w}, \tau, \Delta, n_{z}$ and $h_{x, y, z}$ were defined with respect to ( $W, S, \Gamma, \varphi$ ).

## 2. Brauer quotient

Hypothesis and notation. From now on, and until the end of this paper, we fix a prime number $p$ and we assume that $G$ is a finite p-group.
2.A. Definition. For all the facts contained in this subsection, the reader may refer to [1, §11]: even though this reference deals only with $\mathcal{O}$-algebras (where $\mathcal{O}$ is a commutative complete local noetherian $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-algebra) which are $\mathcal{O}$-modules of finite type, the proofs can be applied almost word by word to our more general situation.

Let $R$ be a commutative ring and let $M$ be an $R G$-module. If $H$ is a subgroup of $G$, we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Tr}_{H}^{G}: M^{H} & \longrightarrow M^{G} \\
m & \longmapsto \sum_{\sigma \in[G / H]} \sigma(m) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We also define

$$
\operatorname{Tr}(M)=\sum_{H<G} \operatorname{Tr}_{H}^{G}\left(M^{H}\right)
$$

This is an $R$-submodule of $M^{G}$, containing $p M^{G}$. The Brauer quotient $\operatorname{Br}_{G}(M)$ is then defined by

$$
\operatorname{Br}_{G}(M)=M^{G} / \operatorname{Tr}(M)
$$

and we denote by $\mathrm{br}_{G}: M^{G} \rightarrow \operatorname{Br}_{G}(M)$ the canonical map.

Lemma 2.1. Assume that $p R \neq R$ and that $M$ admits an $R$-basis $\mathcal{B}$ which is permuted by the action of $G$. Then $\operatorname{Br}_{G}(M)$ is a free $R / p R$-module with basis $\left(\operatorname{br}_{G}(b)\right)_{b \in \mathcal{B}^{G}}$.

If $M$ is an $R$-algebra and if $G$ acts on $M$ by automorphisms of algebra, then $\operatorname{Tr}(M)$ is a two-sided ideal of $M^{G}$ and so $\operatorname{Br}_{G}(M)$ is an $R$-algebra. Of course, $\operatorname{br}_{G}$ is a morphism of algebras in this case. We recall the following result:

Lemma 2.2. Assume that $p R \neq R$, that $M$ is an $R$-algebra, that $G$ acts on $M$ by automorphisms of algebra, that $M$ admits an $R$-basis $\mathcal{B}$ which is permuted by $G$ and let us write $a b=\sum_{c \in \mathcal{B}} \lambda_{a, b, c} c$ for $a, b \in \mathcal{B}$. If $a, b \in \mathcal{B}^{G}$, then

$$
\operatorname{br}_{G}(a) \operatorname{br}_{G}(b)=\sum_{c \in \mathcal{B}^{G}} \pi\left(\lambda_{a, b, c}\right) \operatorname{br}_{G}(c),
$$

where $\pi: R \rightarrow R / p R$ is the canonical morphism.
2.B. Applications to Hecke algebras. Since $G$ stabilizes $S$ and $\varphi$, it also acts on $\mathcal{H}$ by automorphisms of $A$-algebra (by $\sigma\left(T_{w}\right)=T_{\sigma(w)}$ for all $w \in W$ ). Moreover, it permutes the standard basis $\left(T_{w}\right)_{w \in W}$, so it follows from Lemma 2.1 that:

Corollary 2.3. $\left(\operatorname{br}_{G}\left(T_{w}\right)\right)_{w \in W^{G}}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{p}[\Gamma]$-basis of the $\mathbb{F}_{p}[\Gamma]$-algebra $\operatorname{Br}_{G}(\mathcal{H})$.

Now, let

$$
\operatorname{can}_{G}: \mathcal{H}_{G} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Br}_{G}(\mathcal{H})
$$

be the unique $A$-linear map such that

$$
\operatorname{can}_{G}\left(T_{w}^{G}\right)=\operatorname{br}_{G}\left(T_{w}\right)
$$

for all $w \in W^{G}$. The main result of this subsection is the following:

Proposition 2.4. The map $\operatorname{can}_{G}: \mathcal{H}_{G} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Br}_{G}(\mathcal{H})$ is a surjective morphism of $A$-algebras whose kernel is $p \mathcal{H}_{G}$.

Proof. It follows from Corollary 2.3 that $\operatorname{can}_{G}$ is surjective and that $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\operatorname{can}_{G}\right)=$ $p \mathcal{H}_{G}$. It remains to show that $\operatorname{can}_{G}$ is a morphism of algebras. First, note that if $x$, $y \in W^{G}$ satisfy $\ell_{G}(x y)=\ell_{G}(x)+\ell_{G}(y)$, then $\ell(x y)=\ell(x)+\ell(y)$ (by Proposition 1.1) and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{can}_{G}\left(T_{x}^{G} T_{y}^{G}\right)=\operatorname{can}_{G}\left(T_{x y}^{G}\right) & =\operatorname{br}_{G}\left(T_{x y}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{br}_{G}\left(T_{x} T_{y}\right)=\operatorname{br}_{G}\left(T_{x}\right) \operatorname{br}_{G}\left(T_{y}\right)=\operatorname{can}_{G}\left(T_{x}^{G}\right) \operatorname{can}_{G}\left(T_{y}^{G}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

So it remains to show that, if $\omega$ is a $G$-orbit in $S$ such that $W_{\omega}$ is finite, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{br}_{G}\left(\left(T_{s_{\omega}}-e^{\varphi\left(s_{\omega}\right)}\right)\left(T_{s_{\omega}}+e^{-\varphi\left(s_{\omega}\right)}\right)\right)=0 . \tag{?}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $s_{\omega}$ is the longest element of $W_{\omega}$, we have [, Corollary 12.2]

$$
C_{s_{\omega}}=\sum_{w \in W_{\omega}} e^{-\varphi(w)} T_{w}
$$

and $\boxed{L}$, Theorem 6.6 (b)]

$$
\left(T_{s_{\omega}}-e^{\varphi\left(s_{\omega}\right)}\right) C_{s_{\omega}}=0
$$

$\operatorname{But}\left(W_{\omega}\right)^{G}=\left\{1, s_{\omega}\right\}$. Since $\varphi(w)=\varphi(\sigma(w))$ for all $w \in W_{\omega}$ and all $\sigma \in G$, we have

$$
C_{s_{\omega}} \equiv T_{s_{\omega}}+e^{-\varphi\left(s_{\omega}\right)} \quad \bmod \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{H})
$$

This completes the proof of (?).

Corollary 2.5. $\mathbb{F}_{p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{H}_{G} \simeq \operatorname{Br}_{G}(\mathcal{H})$.

Corollary 2.6. If $h \in \mathcal{H}_{G}$ and $h^{\prime} \in \mathcal{H}$ are such that $\operatorname{can}_{G}(h)=\operatorname{br}_{G}\left(h^{\prime}\right)$, then $\tau_{G}(h) \equiv \tau\left(h^{\prime}\right) \bmod p A$.

Proposition 2.7. If $w \in W^{G}$, then $\operatorname{can}_{G}\left(C_{w}^{G}\right)=\operatorname{br}_{G}\left(C_{w}\right)$.

Proof. Let $C=\operatorname{can}_{G}\left(C_{w}^{G}\right)-\operatorname{br}_{G}\left(C_{w}\right)$. Then

$$
\bar{C}=C .
$$

where ${ }^{-}: \operatorname{Br}_{G}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Br}_{G}(\mathcal{H})$ is defined by $\overline{\operatorname{br}_{G}(h)}=\operatorname{br}_{G}(\bar{h})$ for all $h \in \mathcal{H}^{G}$. Moreover, there exists a family $\left(\alpha_{w}\right)_{w \in W^{G}}$ of elements of $\mathbb{F}_{p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A_{<0}$ such that

$$
C=\sum_{w \in W^{G}} \alpha_{w} \operatorname{br}_{G}\left(T_{w}\right) .
$$

Assume that $C \neq 0$ and let $w$ be maximal (for the Bruhat order) such that $\alpha_{w} \neq 0$. Then

$$
\bar{C}=\bar{\alpha}_{w} \operatorname{br}_{G}\left(T_{w^{-1}}^{-1}\right)+\sum_{\substack{x \in W^{G} \\ x \neq w}} \bar{\alpha}_{x} \operatorname{br}_{G}\left(T_{x^{-1}}^{-1}\right)
$$

Therefore, the coefficient of $\operatorname{br}_{G}\left(T_{w}\right)$ in $\bar{C}$ is equal to $\bar{\alpha}_{w}$. But $C=\bar{C}$, so $\alpha_{w}=\bar{\alpha}_{w}$. Since $\alpha_{w} \neq 0$ and $\alpha_{w} \in \mathbb{F}_{p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A_{<0}$, we get a contradiction. So $C=0$, as desired.

Corollary 2.8. If $x, y, z \in W^{G}$, then $h_{x, y, z} \equiv h_{x, y, z}^{G} \bmod p A$ and $\tau\left(C_{z}\right) \equiv \tau_{G}\left(C_{z}^{G}\right)$ $\bmod p A$.

Proof. This follows immediately from Proposition 2.7, from Lemma 2.2 and from Corollary 2.6.

## 3. Lusztig's CONJECTURES

3.A. Cells. To $(W, S, \Gamma, \varphi)$ are associated preorder relations $\leqslant_{\mathcal{L}}, \leqslant_{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\leqslant_{\mathcal{L R}}$ on $W$ as defined in [, §8.1]. The associated equivalence relations are denoted by $\sim_{\mathcal{L}}$, $\sim_{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\sim_{\mathcal{L R}}$ respectively. The equivalence classes for the relation $\sim_{\mathcal{L}}$ (respectively $\sim_{\mathcal{R}}$, respectively $\sim_{\mathcal{L R}}$ ) are called left (respectively right, respectively two-sided) cells of $W$ (or for $(W, S, \Gamma, \varphi)$ if it is necessary to emphasize the weight function).

Similarly, to $\left(W^{G}, S_{G}, \Gamma, \varphi_{G}\right)$ are associated preorder relations $\leqslant_{\mathcal{L}}^{G}, \leqslant_{\mathcal{R}}^{G}$ and $\leqslant_{\mathcal{L} \mathcal{R}}^{G}$ on $W$. The associated equivalence relations are denoted by $\sim_{\mathcal{L}}^{G}, \sim_{\mathcal{R}}^{G}$ and $\sim_{\mathcal{L} \mathcal{R}}^{G}$ respectively. We shall compare in this section the (left, right or two-sided) cells of $W$ and the ones of $W^{G}$.
3.B. Boundedness. Following Lusztig [1, §13.2], we say that $(W, S, \Gamma, \varphi)$ is bounded if there exists $\gamma_{0} \in \Gamma$ such that $\operatorname{deg} \tau\left(T_{x} T_{y} T_{z}\right) \leqslant \gamma_{0}$ for all $x, y$ and $z \in W$. Lusztig has conjectured $\underline{\square}$, Conjecture 13.4] that $(W, S, \Gamma, \varphi)$ is always bounded.

Hypothesis. From now on, and until the end of this paper, we assume that $(W, S, \Gamma, \varphi)$ and $\left(W^{G}, S_{G}, \Gamma, \varphi_{G}\right)$ are bounded. Recall that $p$ is a prime number and that $G$ is a finite p-group.

Remark - A finite group is of course bounded. An affine Weyl group is also bounded [1], §13.2].

By 四, Lemma 13.5 (b)], this hypothesis allows us to define Lusztig's function $\mathbf{a}: W \rightarrow \Gamma$ by

$$
\mathbf{a}(z)=\max _{x, y \in W}\left(\operatorname{deg} h_{x, y, z}\right)
$$

If $x, y, z \in W$, we shall denote by $\gamma_{x, y, z^{-1}}$ the unique element of $\mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$
h_{x, y, z} \equiv \gamma_{x, y, z^{-1}} e^{\mathbf{a}(z)} \quad \bmod \left(\underset{\gamma<\mathbf{a}(z)}{\oplus} \mathbb{Z} e^{\gamma}\right)
$$

Similarly, we define a function $\mathbf{a}_{G}: W^{G} \rightarrow \Gamma$ and elements $\gamma_{x, y, z^{-1}}^{G}$ of $\mathbb{Z}$ (for $x, y$, $z \in W^{G}$ ).

Let $\mathcal{D}=\{z \in W \mid \mathbf{a}(z)=\Delta(z)\}$. If $I \subseteq S$, we denote by $\mathbf{a}_{I}$ the analogue of the function a but defined for $W_{I}$ instead of $W$ : if $z \in W_{I}$, then

$$
\mathbf{a}_{I}(z)=\max _{x, y \in W_{I}} \operatorname{deg} h_{x, y, z}
$$

Lusztig's Conjectures for $(\boldsymbol{W}, \boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{\Gamma}, \boldsymbol{\varphi})$. With the above notation, we have:

```
    \(\boldsymbol{P}_{\mathbf{1}}\). If \(z \in W\), then \(\mathbf{a}(z) \leqslant \Delta(z)\).
    \(\boldsymbol{P}_{\mathbf{2}}\). If \(d \in \mathcal{D}\) and if \(x, y \in W\) satisfy \(\gamma_{x, y, d} \neq 0\), then \(x=y^{-1}\).
    \(\boldsymbol{P}_{\mathbf{3}}\). If \(y \in W\), then there exists a unique \(d \in \mathcal{D}\) such that \(\gamma_{y^{-1}, y, d} \neq 0\).
    \(\boldsymbol{P}_{4}\). If \(z^{\prime} \leqslant_{\mathcal{L} \mathcal{R}} z\), then \(\mathbf{a}(z) \leqslant \mathbf{a}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\). Therefore, if \(z \sim_{\mathcal{L R}} z^{\prime}\), then \(\mathbf{a}(z)=\mathbf{a}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\).
    \(\boldsymbol{P}_{\mathbf{5}}\). If \(d \in \mathcal{D}\) and \(y \in W\) satisfy \(\gamma_{y^{-1}, y, d} \neq 0\), then \(\gamma_{y^{-1}, y, d}=n_{d}= \pm 1\).
    \(\boldsymbol{P}_{\mathbf{6}}\). If \(d \in \mathcal{D}\), then \(d^{2}=1\).
    \(\boldsymbol{P}_{\mathbf{7}}\). If \(x, y, z \in W\), then \(\gamma_{x, y, z}=\gamma_{y, z, x}\).
    \(\boldsymbol{P}_{8}\). If \(x, y, z \in W\) satisfy \(\gamma_{x, y, z} \neq 0\), then \(x \sim_{\mathcal{L}} y^{-1}, y \sim_{\mathcal{L}} z^{-1}\) and \(z \sim_{\mathcal{L}} x^{-1}\).
    \(\boldsymbol{P}_{\mathbf{9}}\). If \(z^{\prime} \leqslant_{\mathcal{L}} z\) and \(\mathbf{a}\left(z^{\prime}\right)=\mathbf{a}(z)\), then \(z^{\prime} \sim_{\mathcal{L}} z\).
    \(\boldsymbol{P}_{\mathbf{1 0}}\). If \(z^{\prime} \leqslant_{\mathcal{R}} z\) and \(\mathbf{a}\left(z^{\prime}\right)=\mathbf{a}(z)\), then \(z^{\prime} \sim_{\mathcal{R}} z\).
    \(\boldsymbol{P}_{11}\). If \(z^{\prime} \leqslant_{\mathcal{L R}} z\) and \(\mathbf{a}\left(z^{\prime}\right)=\mathbf{a}(z)\), then \(z^{\prime} \sim_{\mathcal{L R}} z\).
    \(\boldsymbol{P}_{\mathbf{1 2}}\). If \(I \subset S\) and \(z \in W_{I}\), then \(\mathbf{a}_{I}(z)=\mathbf{a}(z)\).
    \(\boldsymbol{P}_{\mathbf{1 3}}\). Every left cell \(\mathcal{C}\) of \(W\) contains a unique element \(d \in \mathcal{D}\). If \(y \in \mathcal{C}\), then
        \(\gamma_{y^{-1}, y, d} \neq 0\).
    \(\boldsymbol{P}_{14}\). If \(z \in W\), then \(z \sim_{\mathcal{L R}} z^{-1}\).
    \(\boldsymbol{P}_{15}\). If \(x, x^{\prime}, y, w \in W\) are such that \(\mathbf{a}(y)=\mathbf{a}(w)\), then
```

$$
\sum_{y^{\prime} \in W} h_{w, x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} h_{x, y^{\prime}, y}=\sum_{y^{\prime} \in W} h_{y^{\prime}, x^{\prime}, y} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} h_{x, w, y^{\prime}}
$$

$$
\text { in } A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A
$$

Let us recall the following result:

Lemma 3.1. Assume that Lusztig's Conjectures $\left(P_{1}\right),\left(P_{2}\right),\left(P_{3}\right)$ and $\left(P_{4}\right)$ hold for $(W, S, \Gamma, \varphi)$. Then:
(a) Lusztig's Conjectures $\left(P_{5}\right),\left(P_{6}\right),\left(P_{7}\right)$ and $\left(P_{8}\right)$ hold for $(W, S, \Gamma, \varphi)$.
(b) If $d \in \mathcal{D}$, then $\gamma_{d, d, d}=n_{d}= \pm 1$.
(c) If $x \in W$ and if $d \in \mathcal{D}$ is the unique element of $W$ such that $\gamma_{x, x^{-1}, d} \neq 0$, then $\gamma_{d, x, x^{-1}}= \pm 1$.

Proof. (a) is proved in $\mathbb{1}$, Chapter 14].
(b) By $\left(P_{6}\right)$, we get that $d^{2}=1$. By $\left(P_{3}\right)$, there exists a unique $e \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\gamma_{d, d, e} \neq 0$. By $\left(P_{5}\right)$, this implies that $\gamma_{d, d, e}=n_{e}= \pm 1$. By $\left(P_{7}\right)$, this implies that $\gamma_{e, d, d}= \pm 1$. By $\left(P_{2}\right)$, we get that $e=d^{-1}=d$.
(c) If $x \in W$ and if $d \in \mathcal{D}$ is the unique element of $W$ such that $\gamma_{x^{-1}, x, d} \neq 0$, then $\gamma_{x, d, x^{-1}}=\gamma_{x^{-1}, x, d}= \pm 1$ by $\left(P_{7}\right)$ and $\left(P_{5}\right)$.

We can now state the main result of this paper:

Theorem 3.2. Recall that $G$ is a finite p-group. Assume that Lusztig's conjectures $\left(P_{1}\right),\left(P_{2}\right),\left(P_{3}\right)$ and $\left(P_{4}\right)$ hold for both $(W, S, \Gamma, \varphi)$ and $\left(W^{G}, S_{G}, \Gamma, \varphi_{G}\right)$. Let $x$ and $y$ be two elements of $W^{G}$. Then:
(a) $\mathbf{a}_{G}(x)=\mathbf{a}(x)$.
(b) $\mathcal{D}_{G}=\mathcal{D} \cap W^{G}\left(=\mathcal{D}^{G}\right)$.
(c) Assume moreover that Lusztig's Conjecture ( $P_{13}$ ) holds for both ( $W, S, \Gamma, \varphi$ ) and $\left(W^{G}, S_{G}, \Gamma, \varphi_{G}\right)$. Then $x \sim_{\mathcal{L}}^{G} y$ (respectively $\left.x \sim_{\mathcal{R}} y\right)$ if and only if $x \sim_{\mathcal{L}} y$ (respectively $x \sim_{\mathcal{R}} y$ ).
(d) Assume moreover that Lusztig's Conjectures $\left(P_{9}\right)$ and $\left(P_{13}\right)$ hold for both $(W, S, \Gamma, \varphi)$ and $\left(W^{G}, S_{G}, \Gamma, \varphi_{G}\right)$. Then $x \sim_{\mathcal{L} \mathcal{R}}^{G} y$ if and only if $x \sim_{\mathcal{L R}} y$.

Proof. (a) By Corollary 2.8, we have, for all $x, y, z \in W^{G}$ :
(1) If $\gamma_{x, y, z^{-1}} \not \equiv 0 \bmod p$, then $\mathbf{a}(z) \leqslant \mathbf{a}_{G}(z)$.
(2) If $\gamma_{x, y, z^{-1}}^{G} \not \equiv 0 \bmod p$, then $\mathbf{a}_{G}(z) \leqslant \mathbf{a}(z)$.

Now let $z \in W^{G}$. By $\left(P_{3}\right)$, there exists a unique $d \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\gamma_{z^{-1}, z, d} \neq 0$. From the uniqueness, we get that $d \in \mathcal{D}^{G} \subseteq W^{G}$. By Lemma 3.1 (c), we get that $\gamma_{z, d, z^{-1}}= \pm 1$. So $\mathbf{a}(z) \leqslant \mathbf{a}_{G}(z)$ by (1).

The same argument shows that there exists $d \in \mathcal{D}_{G}$ such that $\gamma_{z, d, z^{-1}}^{G}= \pm 1$, so (2) can be applied to get that $\mathbf{a}_{G}(z) \leqslant \mathbf{a}(z)$. The proof of (a) is complete.

Before going further, let us state the following consequence of (a):

Corollary 3.3. If $x, y, z \in W^{G}$, then $\gamma_{x, y, z} \equiv \gamma_{x, y, z}^{G} \bmod p$.
Proof. This follows easily from Theorem 3.2 (a) and Corollary 2.8.
(b) Let $d \in \mathcal{D}^{G}$. By Lemma 3.1 (b), we have $n_{d}= \pm 1$. Moreover, by Corollary 2.8, we have

$$
\tau\left(C_{d}\right) \equiv \tau_{G}\left(C_{d}^{G}\right) \quad \bmod p A
$$

This shows that the coefficient of $e^{\Delta(d)}$ in $\tau_{G}\left(C_{d}^{G}\right)$ is non-zero. So $\Delta_{G}(d) \leqslant \Delta(d)$. But, by $\left(P_{1}\right)$,

$$
\mathbf{a}_{G}(d) \leqslant \Delta_{G}(d) \leqslant \Delta(d)=\mathbf{a}(d)
$$

So $\mathbf{a}_{G}(d)=\Delta_{G}(d)=\Delta(d)=\mathbf{a}(d)$ by (a). In particular, $d \in \mathcal{D}_{G}$.
The same argument shows that, if $d \in \mathcal{D}_{G}$, then $\Delta(d) \leqslant \Delta_{G}(d)$ and again we get similarly that $d \in \mathcal{D}$. The proof of $(\mathrm{b})$ is complete.
(c) Let $d$ (respectively $e$ ) be the unique element of $\mathcal{D}$ such that $\gamma_{x^{-1}, x, d}= \pm 1$ (respectively $\gamma_{y^{-1}, y, e}= \pm 1$ ). By uniqueness, we have $d, e \in \mathcal{D}^{G}=\mathcal{D}_{G}$. By Corollary 3.3, we also get $\gamma_{x^{-1}, x, d}^{G} \neq 0$ and $\gamma_{y^{-1}, y, e}^{G} \neq 0$. Therefore, by $\left(P_{8}\right)$, we have

$$
x \sim_{\mathcal{L}} d, \quad x \sim_{\mathcal{L}}^{G} d, \quad y \sim_{\mathcal{L}} e \quad \text { and } \quad y \sim_{\mathcal{L}}^{G} e
$$

But, by $\left(P_{13}\right)$, we have $x \sim_{\mathcal{L}} y$ (respectively $x \sim_{\mathcal{L}}^{G} y$ ) if and only if $d=e$. This proves (c).
(d) Recall that $\left(P_{9}\right)$ implies $\left(P_{10}\right)$. Moreover, it follows easily from $\left(P_{4}\right),\left(P_{9}\right)$ and ( $P_{10}$ ) that $\sim_{\mathcal{L R}}$ (respectively $\sim_{\mathcal{L} \mathcal{R}}^{G}$ ) is the equivalence relation generated by $\sim_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\sim_{\mathcal{R}}$ (respectively $\sim_{\mathcal{L}}^{G}$ and $\sim_{\mathcal{R}}^{G}$ ). So (d) follows from (c).
3.C. Asymptotic algebra. Let $J$ (respectively $J_{G}$ ) be the free abelian group with basis $\left(t_{w}\right)_{w \in W}$ (respectively $\left.\left(t_{w}^{G}\right)_{w \in W}\right)$.

Hypothesis. In this subsection, and only in this subsection, we assume moreover that Lusztig's Conjectures $\left(P_{1}\right),\left(P_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(P_{15}\right)$ hold for $(W, S, \Gamma, \varphi)$ and $\left(W^{G}, S_{G}, \Gamma, \varphi_{G}\right)$.

By \&, §18.3], $J$ (respectively $J_{G}$ ) can be endowed with a structure of associative ring, the multiplication being defined by $t_{x} t_{y}=\sum_{z \in W} \gamma_{x, y, z^{-1}} t_{z}$ (respectively $t_{x}^{G} t_{y}^{G}=$ $\sum_{z \in W^{G}} \gamma_{x, y, z^{-1}}^{G} t_{z}^{G}$ ). Then it follows immediately from Corollary 3.3 and from Lemma 2.2 that:

Theorem 3.4. Assume that $G$ is a finite p-group and that Lusztig's Conjectures $\left(P_{1}\right),\left(P_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(P_{15}\right)$ hold for $(W, S, \Gamma, \varphi)$ and $\left(W^{G}, S_{G}, \Gamma, \varphi_{G}\right)$. Then

$$
\mathbb{F}_{p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} J_{G} \simeq \operatorname{Br}_{G}(J)
$$

## 4. Open questions

The results of this paper should be compared with [], Chapter 14], where the quasi-split case is considered: more particularly, see (L) Lemmas 16.5, 16.6 and 16.14]. This lead to the following questions:

- Does Theorem A hold if $G$ is not solvable? It is probably the case, but a proof should rely on completely different arguments.
- Let $z \in W^{G}$. Is it true that $\Delta_{G}(z) \leqslant \Delta(z)$ ? See $\mathbb{\square}$, Lemma 16.5] for the quasi-split case.
- Let $x, y \in W^{G}$ be such that $x \leqslant_{\mathcal{L}}^{G} y$. Is it true that $x \leqslant_{\mathcal{L}} y$ ? See [], 16.13 (a)] for the quasi-split case.
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