

# Capacitary estimates of solutions of semilinear parabolic equations

Moshe Marcus, Laurent Veron

## ▶ To cite this version:

Moshe Marcus, Laurent Veron. Capacitary estimates of solutions of semilinear parabolic equations. 2006. hal-00282315v1

# HAL Id: hal-00282315 https://hal.science/hal-00282315v1

Preprint submitted on 27 May 2008 (v1), last revised 17 Jun 2012 (v5)

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Capacitary estimates of solutions of semilinear parabolic equations

Moshe MarcusLaurent VeronDepartment of Mathematics,<br/>Technion, Haifa, ISRAELDepartment of Mathematics,<br/>Univ. of Tours, FRANCE

### 1 Introduction

Let  $T \in (0,\infty]$  and  $Q_T = \mathbb{R}^N \times (0,T]$   $(N \ge 1)$ . If q > 1 and  $u \in C^2(Q_T)$  is nonnegative and verifies

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u + u^q = 0 \quad \text{in } Q_T, \tag{1.1}$$

it has been proven by Marcus and Véron [20] that there exists a unique  $\nu \in \mathfrak{B}^{reg}_+(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , the set of outer-regular positive Borel measures in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , such that

$$\lim_{t \to 0} u(.,t) = \nu, \tag{1.2}$$

in the sense of Borel measures. To each such measure  $\nu$  is associated a unique couple  $(S_{\nu}, \mu_{\nu})$ (and we write  $\nu \approx (S_{\nu}, \mu_{\nu})$ ) where S is a closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , the singular part of  $\nu$ , and  $\mu_{\nu}$ , the regular part is a nonnegative Radon measure on  $\mathcal{R}_{\nu} = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus S_{\nu}$ . In this setting, relation (1.2) has the following meaning :

(i) 
$$\lim_{t \to 0} \int_{\mathcal{R}_{\nu}} u(.,t)\zeta dx = \int_{\mathcal{R}_{\nu}} \zeta d\mu_{\nu}, \qquad \forall \zeta \in C_0(\mathcal{R}_{\nu}),$$
  
(ii) 
$$\lim_{t \to 0} \int_{\mathcal{O}} u(.,t)dx = \infty, \qquad \forall \mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^N \text{ open, } \mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{S}_{\nu} \neq \emptyset.$$
 (1.3)

The measure  $\nu$  is by definition the initial trace of u and denoted by  $Tr_{\mathbb{R}^N}(u)$ . Conversely, in the subcritical range of exponents

$$1 < q < q_c = 1 + N/2$$

it is proven by the same authors that, for any  $\nu \in \mathfrak{B}^{reg}_+(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u + u^q = 0 & \text{in } Q_{\infty}, \\ Tr_{\mathbb{R}^N}(u) = \nu, \end{cases}$$
(1.4)

admits a unique solution. A key step for proving the uniqueness is the following inequalities

$$t^{-1/(q-1)}f(|x-a|/\sqrt{t}) \le u(x,t) \le ((q-1)t)^{-1/(q-1)} \qquad \forall (x,t) \in Q_{\infty},$$
(1.5)

for any  $a \in S_{\nu}$ , where f is the unique positive solution of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta f + \frac{1}{2}y \cdot Df + \frac{1}{q-1}f - f^q = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \\ \lim_{|y| \to \infty} |y|^{2/(q-1)} f(y) = 0. \end{cases}$$
(1.6)

The existence, the uniqueness and the asymptotics of f has been proved by Brezis, Peletier and Terman in [5]. The role of the critical exponent  $q_c$  was pointed out by Brezis and Friedman [6] who proved that if  $q \ge q_c$ , the supercritical range, any solution of (1.1) which vanishes at t = 0 for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$  must be identically zero. As a consequence, in this range of exponents, Problem (1.4) may admit no solution at all. If  $\nu \in \mathfrak{B}^{reg}_+(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $\nu \approx (\mathcal{S}_\nu, \mu_\nu)$ , the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a maximal solution  $u = \overline{u}_\nu$  to Problem (1.4) are obtained in [20], and expressed in terms of the the Bessel capacity  $C_{2/q,q'}$ , (with q' = q/(q-1)). Furthermore, uniqueness does not hold in general as it was pointed out by Le Gall [16]. In the particular case where  $\mathcal{S}_\nu = \emptyset$  and  $\nu \approx \mu_\nu$ , then the necessary and sufficient condition for solvability is that  $\mu_\nu$  does not charge Borel subsets with  $C_{2/q,q'}$ -capacity zero. This result was already proven by Baras and Pierre [4] in the particular case  $\nu$  bounded and extended by Marcus and Véron [20] in the general case. We shall denote by  $\mathfrak{M}^q_+(\mathbb{R}^N)$  the positive cone of the space  $\mathfrak{M}^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$  of Radon measures which does not charge Borel subsets with zero  $C_{2/q,q'}$ capacity Notice that  $W^{-2/q,q}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap \mathfrak{M}^b_+(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is a subset of  $\mathfrak{M}^q_+(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ; here  $\mathfrak{M}^b_+(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is the cone of positive bounded Radon mesures in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . For such measures, uniqueness always holds and we denote  $\overline{u}_\nu = u_\nu$ .

The associated stationary equation in a smooth bounded domain  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ 

$$-\Delta u + u^q = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{1.7}$$

has been intensively studied since 1993, both by probabilists (Le Gall, Dynkin, Kuznetsov) and by analysts (Marcus, Véron). The existence of a trace for positive solutions, in the class of outer-regular positive borel measures on  $\partial\Omega$  is proved by Le Gall [?], [?] in the case q = N = 2, by probabilistic methods, and then by Marcus and Véron in [20], [?]the general case q > 1, N > 1. The existence of a critical exponent  $q_e = (N + 1)/(N - 1)$  is due to Gmira and Véron. In [?] Dynkin introduced the notion of  $\sigma$ -moderate solution which means that u is a positive solution of (1.7) such that there exists an increasing sequence of positive Radon measures on  $\partial\Omega$  { $\mu_n$ } belonging to  $W^{-2/q,q'}(\partial\Omega)$  such that the corresponding solutions  $v = v_{\mu_n}$  of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v + v^q = 0 & \text{in } \Omega\\ v = \mu_n & \text{in } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$
(1.8)

converges to u locally uniformly in  $\Omega$ . This class of solutions plays a fundamental role because Dynkin and Kuznetsov proved that a  $\sigma$ -moderate solution of (1.7) is uniquely determined by its *fine trace*, a new notion of trace introduced in order to avoid the non-uniqueness phenomena. Later on, it is proved by Mselati (if q = 2 and then by Dynkin (if  $q_e \leq q \leq 2$ )), that all the positive solutions of (1.7) are  $\sigma$ -moderate. The key-stone element in their proof is the fact that the maximal solution  $\overline{u}_K$  of (1.7) the boundary trace of which vanishes outside a compact subset  $K\partial\Omega$  is indeed  $\sigma$ -moderate. This deep result was obtained by a combination of probabilistic and analytic methods by Mselati in the case q = 2 and by purely analytic methods by Marcus and Véron [21].

Following Dynkin we can define

**Definition 1.1** A positive solution u of (1.1) is called  $\sigma$ -moderate if their exists an increasing sequence  $\{\mu_n\} \subset W^{-2/q,q}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap \mathfrak{M}^b_+(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that the corresponding solution  $u := u_{\mu_n}$  of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u + u^q = 0 & \text{in } Q_\infty \\ u(x,0) = \mu_n & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases}$$
(1.9)

converges to u locally uniformly in  $Q_{\infty}$ .

If F is a closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , we denote by  $\overline{u}_F$  the maximal solution of (1.1) with an initial trace vanishing on  $F^c$ , and by  $\underline{u}_F$  the maximal  $\sigma$ -moderate solution of (1.1) with an initial trace vanishing on  $F^c$ . Thus  $\underline{u}_F$  is defined by

$$\underline{u}_F = \sup\{u_\mu : \mu \in \mathfrak{M}^q_+(\mathbb{R}^N), \mu(F^c) = 0\},$$
(1.10)

where  $\mathfrak{M}^{q}_{+}(\mathbb{R}^{N}) := W^{-2/q,q}(\mathbb{R}^{N}) \cap \mathfrak{M}^{b}_{+}(\mathbb{R}^{N})$ . One of the main goal of this article is to prove that  $\overline{u}_{F}$  is  $\sigma$ -moderate and more precisely,

**Theorem 1.2** For any q > 1 and any closed subset F of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $\overline{u}_F = \underline{u}_F$ .

We define below a set function which will play an important role in the sequel.

**Definition 1.3** Let F be a closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . The  $C_{2/q,q'}$ -capacitary potential  $W_F$  of F is defined by

$$W_F(x,t) = t^{-1/(q-1)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)^{N/2 - 1/(q-1)} e^{-n/4} C_{2/q,q'} \left(\frac{F_n}{\sqrt{(n+1)t}}\right) \quad \forall (x,t) \in Q_{\infty}, \quad (1.11)$$

where  $F_n = F_n(x,t) := \{ y \in F : \sqrt{nt} \le |x-y| \le \sqrt{(n+1)t} \}.$ 

One of the tool for proving Theorem 1.2 is the following bilateral estimate

**Theorem 1.4** For any  $q \ge q_c$  there exist two positive constants  $C_1 \ge C_2 > 0$ , depending only on N and q such that for any closed subset F of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , there holds

$$C_2 W_F(x,t) \le \underline{u}_F(x,t) \le \overline{u}_F(x,t) \le C_1 W_F(x,t) \quad \forall (x,t) \in Q_{\infty}.$$
(1.12)

This representation of  $\overline{u}_F$ , up to uniformly upper and lower bounded functions, is also interesting in the sense that it indicates precisely what are the blow-up point of  $\overline{u}_F$ . Introducing an integral expression comparable to  $W_F$  we show, in particular, the following results

$$\lim_{\tau \to 0} C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{F}{\tau} \cap B_1(x)\right) = \gamma \in [0,\infty) \Longrightarrow \lim_{t \to 0} t^{-1/(q-1)}\overline{u}_F(x,t) = C\gamma$$
(1.13)

for some C = C(N, q) > 0, and

$$\limsup_{\tau \to 0} \tau^{2/(q-1)} C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{F}{\tau} \cap B_1(x)\right) < \infty \Longrightarrow \limsup_{t \to 0} \overline{u}_F(x,t) < \infty.$$
(1.14)

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we obtain estimates from above on  $\overline{u}_F$ . In Section 3 we give estimates from below on  $\underline{u}_F$ . In Section 4 we prove the main theorems and expose various consequences. In Appendix we derive a series of sharp integral inequalities.

**Aknowledgements** The authors are grateful to the European RTN Contract  $N^{\circ}$  HPRN-CT-2002-00274 for the support provided in the realization of this work.

#### 2 Estimates from above

Some notations : Let  $\Omega$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with a compact  $C^2$  boundary and T > 0. Set  $B_r(a)$  the open ball of radius r > 0 and center a (and  $B_r(0) := B_r$ ) and

$$Q_T^{\Omega} := \Omega \times (0,T), \quad \partial_\ell Q_T^{\Omega} = \partial \Omega \times (0,T), \quad Q_T := Q_T^{\mathbb{R}^N}, \quad Q_\infty := Q_\infty^{\mathbb{R}^N}.$$

Let  $\mathbb{H}^{\Omega}[.]$  (resp.  $\mathbb{H}[.]$ ) denote the heat potential in  $\Omega$  with zero lateral boundary data (resp. the heat potential in  $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ ) with corresponding kernel

$$(x, y, t) \mapsto H^{\Omega}(x, y, t) \quad (\text{resp.}(x, y, t) \mapsto H(x, y, t) = (4\pi t)^{-N/2} \exp(-|x - y|^2/4t)).$$

We denote by  $q_c := 1 + 2/N$ , the parabolic critical exponent.

**Theorem 2.1** Let  $q \ge q_c$ . Then there exists a positive constant  $C_1 = C_1(N,q)$  such that for any closed subset F of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and any  $u \in C^2(Q_\infty) \cap C(\overline{Q_\infty} \setminus F)$  satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u + u^q = 0 & \text{in } Q_\infty \\ \lim_{t \to 0} u(x, t) = 0 & \text{locally uniformly in } F^c, \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

 $there \ holds$ 

$$u(x,t) \le C_1 W_F(x,t) \quad \forall (x,t) \in Q_{\infty},$$
(2.2)

where  $W_F$  is the (2/q, q')-capacitary potential of F defined by (1.11).

First we shall consider the case where F = K is compact and

$$K \subset B_r \subset \overline{B}_r,\tag{2.3}$$

and then we shall extend to the general case by a covering argument.

#### 2.1 Global $L^q$ -estimates

Let  $\rho > 0$ , we assume (2.3) holds and we put

$$\mathcal{T}_{r,\rho}(K) = \{\eta \in C_0^{\infty}(B_{r+\rho}), 0 \le \eta \le 1, \eta = 1 \text{ in a neighborhood of } K\}.$$
(2.4)

If  $\eta \in \mathcal{T}_{r,\rho}(K)$ , we set  $\eta^* = 1 - \eta$ ,  $\zeta = \mathbb{H}[\eta^*]^{2q'}$  and

$$R(\eta) = |\nabla \mathbb{H}[\eta]|^2 + |\partial_t \mathbb{H}[\eta] + \Delta \mathbb{H}[\eta]|.$$
(2.5)

We fix T > 0 and shall consider the equation on  $Q_T$ . Throughout this paper C will denote a generic positive constant, depending only on N, q and sometimes T, the value of which may vary from one ocurrence to another. Except in Lemma 2.12 the only assumption on q is q > 1.

**Lemma 2.2** There exists C = C(N, q, T) > 0 such that

$$\iint_{Q_T} (R(\eta))^{q'} \, dx \, dt \le C \|\eta\|_{W^{2/q,q'}}^{q'}. \tag{2.6}$$

*Proof.* There holds  $\partial_t \mathbb{H}[\eta] = \Delta \mathbb{H}[\eta]$ , and

$$\iint_{Q_T} |\partial_t \mathbb{H}[\eta]|^{q'} \, dx \, dt = \int_0^T \left\| t^{1-1/q} \partial_t \mathbb{H}[\eta] \right\|_{L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{q'} \frac{dt}{t} \le \|\eta\|_{[W^{2,q'}, L^{q'}]_{1/q,q'}}^{q'} \tag{2.7}$$

where  $\left[W^{2,q'}, L^{q'}\right]_{1/q,q'}$  indicates the real interpolation functor of degree 1/q between  $W^{2,q'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and  $L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  [29]. Similarly, and using the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality,

$$\iint_{Q_T} |\nabla(\mathbb{H}[\eta])|^{2q'} \, dx \, dt \le C \|\eta\|_{W^{2/q,q'}}^{q'} \|\eta\|_{L^{\infty}}^{q'} = C \|\eta\|_{W^{2/q,q'}}^{q'}. \tag{2.8}$$

Inequality (2.6) follows from (2.7) and (2.8).

**Lemma 2.3** There exists C = C(N, q, T) > 0 such that

$$\iint_{Q_T} u^q \zeta dx \, dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u\zeta)(x,T) dx \le C_2 \|\eta\|_{W^{2/q,q'}}^{q'}.$$
(2.9)

*Proof.* We recall that there always hold

$$0 \le u(x,t) \le \left(\frac{1}{t(q-1)}\right)^{1/(q-1)} \quad \forall (x,t) \in Q_{\infty}.$$
(2.10)

and (see [6] e.g.)

$$0 \le u(x,t) \le \left(\frac{C}{t+(|x|-r)^2}\right)^{1/(q-1)} \quad \forall (x,t) \in Q_{\infty} \setminus B_r.$$

$$(2.11)$$

Since  $\eta^*$  vanishes in an open neighborhood  $\mathcal{N}_1$ , for any open subset  $\mathcal{N}_2$  such that  $K \subset \mathcal{N}_2 \subset \overline{\mathcal{N}}_2 \subset \mathcal{N}_1$  there exist  $c_{\mathcal{N}_2} > 0$  and  $C_{\mathcal{N}_2} > 0$  such that

$$\mathbb{H}[\eta^*](x,t) \leq C_{\mathcal{N}_2} \exp(-c_{\mathcal{N}_2} t), \quad \forall (x,t) \in Q_T^{\mathcal{N}_2}$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u\zeta)(x,t) dx = 0,$$

and  $\zeta$  is an admissible test function, and one has

$$\iint_{Q_T} u^q \zeta dx \, dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u\zeta)(x,T) dx = \iint_{Q_T} u(\partial_t \zeta + \Delta \zeta) dx \, dt.$$
(2.12)

Notice that the three terms on the left-hand side are nonnegative. Put  $\mathbb{H}_{\eta^*} = \mathbb{H}[\eta^*]$ , then

$$\partial_t \zeta + \Delta \zeta = 2q' \mathbb{H}_{\eta^*}^{2q'-1} \left( \partial_t \mathbb{H}_{\eta^*} + \Delta \mathbb{H}_{\eta^*} \right) + 2q' (2q'-1) \mathbb{H}_{\eta^*}^{2q'-2} |\nabla \mathbb{H}_{\eta^*}|^2,$$
  
=  $2q' \mathbb{H}_{\eta^*}^{2q'-1} \left( \partial_t \mathbb{H}_{\eta} + \Delta \mathbb{H}_{\eta} \right) + 2q' (2q'-1) \mathbb{H}_{\eta}^{2q'-2} |\nabla \mathbb{H}_{\eta}|^2,$ 

because  $\mathbb{H}_{\eta^*} = 1 - \mathbb{H}_{\eta}$ , hence

$$u(\partial_t \zeta + \Delta \zeta) = u \mathbb{H}_{\eta^*}^{2q'/q} \left[ 2q'(2q'-1) \mathbb{H}_{\eta^*}^{2q'-2-2q'/q} |\nabla \mathbb{H}_{\eta}|^2 - 2q' \mathbb{H}_{\eta^*}^{2q'-1-2q'/q} (\Delta \mathbb{H}_{\eta} + \partial_t \mathbb{H}_{\eta}) \right].$$

Since 2q' - 2 - 2q'/q = 0 and  $0 \le \mathbb{H}_{\eta^*} \le 1$ ,

$$\left| \iint_{Q_T} u(\partial_t \zeta + \Delta \zeta) dx \, dt \right| \le C(q) \left( \iint_{Q_T} u^q \zeta dx \, dt \right)^{1/q} \left( \iint_{Q_T} R^{q'}(\eta) dx \, dt \right)^{1/q'},$$

where

$$R(\eta) = |\nabla \mathbb{H}_{\eta}|^{2} + |\Delta \mathbb{H}_{\eta} + \partial_{t} \mathbb{H}_{\eta}|$$

Using Lemma 2.2 one obtains (2.9 ).

**Proposition 2.4** Let r > 0,  $\rho > 0$ ,  $T \ge (r + \rho)^2$ 

$$\mathcal{E}_{r+\rho} := \{(x,t) : |x|^2 + t \le (r+\rho)^2\}$$

and  $Q_{r+\rho,T} = Q_T \setminus \mathcal{E}_{r+\rho}$ . There exists C = C(N,q,T) > 0 such that

$$\iint_{Q_{r+\rho,T}} u^q dx \, dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x,T) dx \le CC_{2/q,q'}^{B_{r+\rho}}(K).$$
(2.13)

*Proof.* Because  $K \subset B_r$  and  $\eta^* \equiv 1$  outside  $B_{r+\rho}$  and takes value between 0 and 1,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{H}[\eta^*](x,t) \ge \mathbb{H}[1-\chi_{B_{r+\rho}}](x,t) &= \left(\frac{1}{4\pi t}\right)^{N/2} \int_{|y|\ge r+\rho} \exp(-|x-y|^2/4t) dy, \\ &= 1 - \left(\frac{1}{4\pi t}\right)^{N/2} \int_{|y|\le r+\rho} \exp(-|x-y|^2/4t) dy. \end{split}$$

For  $(x,t) \in \mathcal{E}_{r+\rho}$ , put  $x = (r+\rho)\xi$ ,  $y = (r+\rho)v$  and  $t = (r+\rho)^2\tau$ . Then  $(\xi,\tau) \in \mathcal{E}_1$  and

$$\left(\frac{1}{4\pi t}\right)^{N/2} \int_{|y| \le r+\rho} \exp(-|x-y|^2/4t) dy = \left(\frac{1}{4\pi \tau}\right)^{N/2} \int_{|v| \le 1} \exp(-|\xi-v|^2/4\tau) dv.$$

	-		
	_		

We claim that

$$\max\left\{ \left(\frac{1}{4\pi\tau}\right)^{N/2} \int_{|v| \le 1} \exp(-|\xi - v|^2/4\tau) dv : (\xi, \tau) \in \mathcal{E}_1 \right\} = \ell,$$
(2.14)

and  $\ell = \ell(N) \in (0, 1]$ . We recall that

$$\left(\frac{1}{4\pi\tau}\right)^{N/2} \int_{|v| \le 1} \exp(-|\xi - v|^2/4\tau) dv < 1 \quad \forall \tau > 0.$$
(2.15)

If the maximum is achieved for some  $(\bar{\xi}, \bar{\tau}) \in \mathcal{E}_1$ , it is smaller that 1 and

$$\mathbb{H}[\eta^*](x,t) \ge \mathbb{H}[1-\chi_{B_{r+\rho}}](x,t) \ge 1-\ell > 0, \quad \forall (x,t) \in \mathcal{E}_{r+\rho}.$$
(2.16)

Let us assume that the maximum is achieved following a sequence  $\{(\xi_n, \tau_n)\}$  with  $\tau_n \to 0$  and  $|\xi_n| \downarrow 1$ . We can assume that  $\xi_n \to \bar{\xi}$  with  $|\bar{\xi}| = 1$ , then

$$\left(\frac{1}{4\pi\tau_n}\right)^{N/2} \int_{|v| \le 1} e^{-|\xi_n - v|^2/4\tau_n} dv = \left(\frac{1}{4\pi\tau_n}\right)^{N/2} \int_{B_1(\xi_n)} e^{-|v|^2/4\tau_n} dv.$$

But  $B_1(\xi_n) \cap B_1(-\xi_n) = \emptyset$ ,

$$\int_{B_1(\xi_n)} e^{-|v|^2/4\tau_n} dv + \int_{B_1(-\xi_n)} e^{-|v|^2/4\tau_n} dv < \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-|v|^2/4\tau_n} dv$$

and

$$\int_{B_1(\xi_n)} e^{-|v|^2/4\tau_n} dv = \int_{B_1(-\xi_n)} e^{-|v|^2/4\tau_n} dv.$$

This implies

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{4\pi\tau_n}\right)^{N/2} \int_{B_1(\xi_n)} e^{-|v|^2/4\tau_n} dv \le 1/2$$

If the maximum were achieved with a sequence  $\{(\xi_n, \tau_n)\}$  with  $|\tau_n| \to \infty$ , it would also imply (2.16), since the integral term in (2.15) is always bounded. Therefore (2.15) holds. Put  $C = (1 - \ell)^{-1}$ , then

$$\iint_{Q_{r,T}} u^{q} dx dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u(.,T) dx \le C \left\| \eta_{n} \right\|_{W^{2/q,q'}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}^{q'}.$$
(2.17)

If we replace  $\eta$  by  $\eta_n$ , a sequence of functions which satisfies

$$C_{2/q,q'}^{B_{r+\rho}}(K) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|\eta_n\|_{W^{2/q,q'}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{q'},$$

we obtain (2.13).

#### 2.2 Pointwise estimates

We give first a rough pointwise estimate.

**Lemma 2.5** There exists a constant C = C(N,q) > 0 such that

$$u(x, (r+2\rho)^2) \le \frac{CC_{2/q,q'}^{B_{r+\rho}}(K)}{(\rho(r+\rho))^{N/2}}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$
(2.18)

Proof. Step 1 We claim that

$$\int_{s}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u^{q} dx dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u(x, T) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u(x, s) dx \quad \forall T > s > 0.$$

$$(2.19)$$

By the maximum principle u is dominated by the solution v with initial trace the indicatrix function  $I_{B_r}$ . The function v is the limit, as  $k \to \infty$ , of the solutions  $v_k$  with initial data  $k\chi_{B_r}$ . Since  $v_k \leq k \mathbb{H}[\chi_{B_r}]$ , it follows Hence

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(.,s) dx \le C C_{2/q,q'}^{B_{r+\rho}}(K) \quad \forall T > s \ge (r+\rho)^2,$$
(2.20)

by Lemma 2.3. Using the fact that

$$u(x,\tau+s) \le \mathbb{H}[u(.,s)](x,\tau) \le \left(\frac{1}{4\pi\tau}\right)^{N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(.,s) dx,$$
  
with  $a = (r+s)^2$  and  $\tau = (r+2s)^2 - (r+s)^2 \simeq s(r+s)$ 

we obtain (2.18) with  $s = (r + \rho)^2$  and  $\tau = (r + 2\rho)^2 - (r + \rho)^2 \approx \rho(r + \rho)$ .

The above estimate does not take into account the fact that u(x,0) = 0 if  $|x| \ge r$ . It is mainly interesting if  $|x| \le r$ . In order to derive a sharper estimate which uses the localization of the singularity and not only its  $C_{2/q,q'}$ -capacity, we need some lateral boundary estimates.

**Lemma 2.6** Let  $\gamma \ge r + 2\rho$  and c > 0 and either N = 1 or 2 and  $0 \le t \le c\gamma^2$  for some c > 0, or  $N \ge 3$  and t > 0. Then there holds

$$\int_0^t \int_{\partial_\ell B_\gamma} u dS d\tau \le C_5 \gamma C_{2/q,q'}^{B_{r+\rho}}(K).$$
(2.21)

where C > 0 depends on N, q and c if N = 1, 2 or depends only on N and q if  $N \ge 3$ .

*Proof.* Let us assume that N = 1 or 2. Put  $G^{\gamma} := B^c_{\gamma} \times (-\infty, 0)$  and  $\partial_{\ell} G^{\gamma} = \partial_{\ell} B^c_{\gamma} \times (-\infty, 0)$ . Set

$$h_{\gamma}(x) = 1 - \frac{\gamma}{|x|}$$

and let  $\psi_{\gamma}$  be the solution of

$$\partial_{\tau}\psi_{\gamma} + \Delta\psi_{\gamma} = 0 \qquad \text{in } G^{\gamma},$$
  

$$\psi_{\gamma} = 0 \qquad \text{on } \partial_{\ell}G^{\gamma},$$
  

$$\psi_{\gamma}(.,0) = h_{\gamma} \qquad \text{in } B^{c}_{\gamma}.$$
  
(2.22)

Thus the function

satisfies

$$\tilde{\psi}(x,\tau) = \psi_{\gamma}(\gamma x, \gamma^{2}\tau)$$

$$\partial_{t}\tilde{\psi} + \Delta\tilde{\psi} = 0 \quad \text{in } G^{1}$$

$$\tilde{\psi} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial_{\ell}G^{1}$$

$$\tilde{\psi}(.,0) = \tilde{h} \quad \text{in } B_{1}^{c},$$
(2.23)

and  $\tilde{h}(x) = 1 - |x|^{-1}$ . By the maximum principle  $0 \leq \tilde{\psi} \leq 1$ , and by Hopf Lemma

$$-\frac{\partial\tilde{\psi}}{\partial\mathbf{n}}\Big|_{\partial B_1^c\times[-c,0]} \ge \theta > 0, \tag{2.24}$$

where  $\theta = \theta(N, c)$ . Then  $0 \le \psi_{\gamma} \le 1$  and

$$\frac{\partial \psi_{\gamma}}{\partial \mathbf{n}} \Big|_{\partial B_{\gamma}^{c} \times [-\gamma^{2}, 0]} \ge \theta / \gamma.$$
(2.25)

Multiplying (1.1 ) by  $\psi_{\gamma}(x,\tau-t) = \psi^*_{\gamma}(x,\tau)$  and integrating on  $B^c_{\gamma} \times (0,t)$  yields to

$$\int_{0}^{t} \int_{B_{\gamma}^{c}} u^{q} \psi_{r}^{*} dx d\tau + \int_{B_{\gamma}^{c}} (uh_{\gamma})(x,t) dx - \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\partial B_{\gamma}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{n}} \psi_{\gamma}^{*} dS d\tau = -\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\partial B_{\gamma}} \frac{\partial \psi_{\gamma}^{*}}{\partial \mathbf{n}} u d\sigma d\tau.$$
(2.26)

Since  $\psi_{\gamma}^*$  is bounded from above by 1, (2.21) follows from (2.25) and Proposition 2.4 (notice that  $B_{\gamma}^c \times (0,t) \subset \mathcal{E}_{\gamma}^c$ ), first by taking  $t = T = \gamma^2 \ge (r + 2\rho)^2$ , and then for any  $t \le \gamma^2$ .

If  $N \geq 3$ , we proceed as above except that we take

$$h_{\gamma}(x) = 1 - \left(\frac{\gamma}{|x|}\right)^{N-2}$$

Then  $\psi_{\gamma}(x,t) = h_{\gamma}(x)$  and  $\theta = N - 2$  is independent of the length of the time interval. This leads to the conclusion.

**Lemma 2.7** *I*- Let M, a > 0 and  $\eta \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that

$$0 \le \eta(x) \le M e^{-a|x|^2}, \quad a.e. \ in \ \mathbb{R}^N.$$
 (2.27)

Then, for any t > 0,

$$0 \le \mathbb{H}[\eta](x,t) \le \frac{M}{(4at+1)^{N/2}} e^{-a|x|^2/(4at+1)}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$
(2.28)

II- Let M, a, b > 0 and  $\eta \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that

$$0 \le \eta(x) \le M e^{-a(|x|-b)^2_+}, \quad a.e. \ in \ \mathbb{R}^N.$$
 (2.29)

Then, for any t > 0,

$$0 \le \mathbb{H}[\eta](x,t) \le \frac{Me^{-a(|x|-b)_+^2/(4at+1)}}{(4at+1)^{N/2}}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \,\forall t > 0.$$
(2.30)

*Proof.* For the first statement, put a = 1/4s. Then

$$0 \le \eta(x) \le M (4\pi s)^{N/2} \frac{1}{(4\pi s)^{N/2}} e^{-|x|^2/4s} = C (4\pi s)^{N/2} \mathbb{H}[\delta_0](x,s).$$

By the order property of the heat kernel,

$$0 \le \mathbb{H}[\eta](x,t) \le M(4\pi s)^{N/2} \mathbb{H}[\delta_0](x,t+s) = M\left(\frac{s}{t+s}\right)^{N/2} e^{-|x|^2/(4(t+s))},$$

and (2.28) follows by replacing s by 1/4a.

For the second statement, let  $\tilde{a} < a$  and  $R = \max\{e^{-a(r-b)^2_+ + \tilde{a}r^2} : r \ge 0\}$ . A direct computation gives  $R = e^{a\tilde{a}b^2/(a-\tilde{a})}$ , and (2.30) implies

$$0 \le \eta(x) \le M e^{a\tilde{a}b^2/(a-\tilde{a})} e^{-\tilde{a}|x|^2}.$$

Applying the statement I, we obtain

$$0 \le \mathbb{H}[\eta](x,t) \le \frac{Ce^{a\tilde{a}b^2/(a-\tilde{a})}}{(4\tilde{a}t+1)^{N/2}} e^{-\tilde{a}|x|^2/(4\tilde{a}t+1)}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \ \forall t > 0.$$
(2.31)

Since for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and t > 0,

$$(4\tilde{a}t+1)^{-N/2}e^{-\tilde{a}|x|^2/(4\tilde{a}t+1)} \le e^{-a\tilde{a}b^2/(a-\tilde{a})}(4at+1)^{-N/2}e^{-a(|x|-b)^2/(4at+1)},$$

(2.30 ) follows from (2.31 ).

**Lemma 2.8** There exists a constant C = C(N,q) > 0 such that

$$u(x, (r+2\rho)^2) \le C \max\left\{\frac{r+\rho}{(|x|-r-2\rho)^{N+1}}, \frac{|x|-r-2\rho}{(r+\rho)^{N+1}}\right\} e^{-(|x|-(r+2\rho))^2/4(r+2\rho)^2} C_{2/q,q'}^{B_{r+\rho}}(K),$$
(2.32)

for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{r+3\rho}$ .

*Proof.* We recall that the Dirichlet heat kernel  $H^{B_1^c}$  in the complement of  $B_1$  satisfies, for some C = C(N) > 0,

$$H^{B_1^c}(x',y',t',s') \le C_7(t'-s')^{-(N+2)/2}(|x'|-1)\exp(-|x'-y'|^2/4(t'-s')),$$
(2.33)

for t' > s'. By performing the change of variable  $x' \mapsto (r+2\rho)x'$ ,  $t' \mapsto (r+2\rho)^2 t'$ , for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{r+2\rho}$  and  $0 \le t \le T$ , one obtains

$$u(x,t) \le C(|x| - r - 2\rho) \int_0^t \int_{\partial B_{r+2\rho}} \frac{e^{-|x-y|^2/4(t-s)}}{(t-s)^{1+N/2}} u(y,s) d\sigma(y) ds.$$
(2.34)

The right-hand side term in (2.34) is smaller than

$$\max\left\{\frac{C(|x|-r-2\rho)}{(t-s)^{1+N/2}}e^{-(|x|-r-2\rho))^2/4(t-s)}: s \in (0,t)\right\} \int_0^t \int_{\partial B_{r+2\rho}} u(y,s)d\sigma(y)ds.$$

We fix  $t = (r + 2\rho)^2$  and  $|x| \ge r + 3\rho$ . Since

$$\max\left\{\frac{e^{-(|x|-r-2\rho)^2/4s}}{s^{1+N/2}}: s \in \left(0, (r+2\rho)^2\right)\right\}$$
$$= (|x|-r-2\rho)^{-2-N} \max\left\{\frac{e^{-1/4\sigma}}{\sigma^{1+N/2}}: 0 < \sigma < \left(\frac{r+2\rho}{|x|-r-2\rho}\right)^2\right\},$$

a direct computation gives

$$\max\left\{\frac{e^{-1/4\sigma}}{\sigma^{1+N/2}}: 0 < \sigma < \left(\frac{r+2\rho}{|x|-r-2\rho}\right)^2\right\}$$
$$= \left\{\begin{array}{ll} (2N+4)^{1+N/2}e^{-(N+2)/2} & \text{if } r+3\rho \le |x| \le (r+2\rho)(1+\sqrt{4+2N}),\\ \left(\frac{|x|-r-2\rho}{r+2\rho}\right)^{2+N}e^{-((|x|-r-2\rho)/(2r+4\rho))^2} & \text{if } |x| \ge (r+2\rho)(1+\sqrt{4+2N}).\end{array}\right.$$

Thus there exists a constant C(N) > 0 such that

$$\max\left\{\frac{e^{-(|x|-r-2\rho)^2/4s}}{s^{1+N/2}}: s \in \left(0, (r+2\rho)^2\right)\right\} \le C(N)\rho^{-2-N}e^{-(|x|-(r+2\rho))^2/4(r+2\rho)^2}.$$
 (2.35)

Combining this estimate with (2.21 ) with  $\gamma=r+2\rho$  and (2.34 ), one derives (2.32 ). 

**Lemma 2.9** There exists a constant C = C(N,q) > 0 such that

$$0 \le u(x, (r+2\rho)^2) \le C \max\left\{\frac{(r+\rho)^3}{\rho(|x|-r-2\rho)^{N+1}}, \frac{1}{(r+\rho)^{N-1}\rho}\right\} e^{-(|x|-r-3\rho)^2/4(r+2\rho)^2} C_{2/q,q'}^{B_{r+\rho}}(K),$$
(2.36)

for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{r+3\rho}$ .

*Proof.* This is a direct consequence of the inequality

$$(|x| - r - 2\rho)e^{-(|x| - (r+2\rho))^2/4(r+2\rho)^2} \le \frac{C(r+\rho)^2}{\rho}e^{-(|x| - (r+3\rho))^2/4(r+2\rho)^2}, \quad \forall x \in B_{r+2\rho}^c, \quad (2.37)$$
  
ad Lemma 2.8.

and Lemma 2.8.

**Lemma 2.10** There exists a constant C = C(N,q) > 0 such that the following estimate holds

$$u(x,t) \le \frac{C\tilde{M}e^{-(|x|-r-3\rho)_{+}^{2}/4t}}{t^{N/2}}C_{2/q,q'}^{B_{r+\rho}}(K), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, \,\forall t \ge (r+2\rho)^{2},$$
(2.38)

where

$$\tilde{M} = \tilde{M}(x, r, \rho) = \begin{cases} (1 + r/\rho)^{N/2} & \text{if } |x| < r + 3\rho \\ (r + \rho)^{N+3}/\rho(|x| - r - 2\rho)^{N+2} & \text{if } r + 3\rho \le |x| \le C_N(r + 2\rho) \\ 1 + r/\rho & \text{if } |x| \ge C_N(r + 2\rho) \end{cases}$$
(2.39)

with  $C_N = 1 + \sqrt{4 + 2N}$ .

*Proof.* It follows by the maximum principle

$$u(x,t) \le \mathbb{H}[u(.,(r+2\rho)^2)](x,t-(r+2\rho)^2).$$

for  $t \ge (r+2\rho)^2$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . By Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.9

$$u(x, (r+2\rho)^2) \le C_{10}\tilde{M}e^{-(|x|-r-3\rho)^2/4(r+2\rho)^2}C^{B_{r+2\rho}}_{2/q,q'}(K),$$

where

$$\tilde{M} = \begin{cases} ((r+\rho)\rho)^{-N/2} & \text{if } |x| < r+3\rho \\ (r+\rho)^3/\rho \left(|x|-r-2\rho\right)^{N+2} & \text{if } r+3\rho \le |x| \le C_N(r+2\rho) \\ 1/(r+\rho)^{N-1}\rho & \text{if } |x| \ge C_N(r+2\rho) \end{cases}$$

Applying Lemma 2.7 with  $a = (2r + 4\rho)^{-2}$ ,  $b = r + 3\rho$  and t replaced by  $t - (r + 2\rho)^2$  implies

$$u(x,t) \le C \frac{(r+2\rho)^N \tilde{M}}{t^{N/2}} e^{-(|x|-r-3\rho)^2/4t} C_{2/q,q'}^{B_{r+\rho}}(K),$$
(2.40)

for all  $x \in B_{r+3\rho}^c$  and  $t \ge (r+2\rho)^2$ , which is (2.38).

The next estimate gives a precise upper bound for u when t is not bounded from below.

**Lemma 2.11** Assume that  $0 < t \leq (r+2\rho)^2$  for some c > 0, then there exists a constant C = C(N,q) > 0 such that the following estimate holds

$$u(x,t) \le C(r+\rho) \max\left\{\frac{1}{(|x|-r-2\rho)^{N+1}}, \frac{1}{\rho t^{N/2}}\right\} e^{-(|x|-r-3\rho)^2/4t} C_{2/q,q'}^{B_{r+\rho}}(K),$$
(2.41)

for any  $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{r+3\rho} \times (0, (r+2\rho)^2].$ 

*Proof.* By using (2.21 ) the following estimate is a straightforward variant of (2.32 ) for any  $\gamma \ge r+2\rho$ ,

$$u(x,t) \le C_8(|x| - r - 2\rho)(r + 2\rho) \max\left\{\frac{e^{-(|x| - r - 2\rho)^2/4s}}{s^{1 + N/2}} : 0 < s \le t\right\} C_{2/q,q'}^{B_{r+2\rho}}(K).$$
(2.42)

Clearly

$$\max \left\{ \frac{e^{-(|x|-r-2\rho)^2/4s}}{s^{1+N/2}} : 0 < s \le t \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (2N+4)^{1+N/2} (|x|-r-2\rho)^{-N-2}e^{-(N+2)/2} & \text{if } 0 < |x| \le r+2\rho + \sqrt{2t(N+2)} \\ \frac{e^{-(|x|-r-2\rho)^2/4t}}{t^{1+N/2}} & \text{if } |x| > r+2\rho + \sqrt{2t(N+2)}. \end{array} \right.$$

By elementary analysis, if  $x \in B_{r+3\rho}^c$ ,

$$(|x| - r - 2\rho)e^{-(|x| - r - 2\rho)^2/4t} \le e^{-(|x| - r - 3\rho)^2/4t} \begin{cases} \rho e^{-\rho^2/4t} & \text{if } 2t < \rho^2 \\ \frac{2t}{\rho}e^{-1 + \rho^2/4t} & \text{if } \rho^2 \le 2t \le 2(r + 2\rho)^2. \end{cases}$$

However, since

$$\frac{\rho}{t}e^{-\rho^2/4t} \le \frac{4}{\rho}$$

we derive

$$(|x| - r - 2\rho)e^{-(|x| - r - 2\rho)^2/4t} \le \frac{Ct}{\rho}e^{-(|x| - r - 3\rho)^2/4t}$$

from which inequality (2.41) follows.

**Lemma 2.12** Assume  $q \ge q_c$ . Then there exists a constant C depending on N and q such that for any r > 0 and  $\rho > 0$ , and any Borel set  $E \subset B_r$ , there holds

$$C_{2/q,q'}^{B_{r+\rho}}(E) \le Cr^{N-2/(q-1)} \left(1 + \frac{r}{\rho}\right)^{2/(q-1)} C_{2/q,q'}(E/r),$$
(2.43)

where  $C_{2/q,q'}(E) := C_{2/q,q'}^{\mathbb{R}^N}(E)$ .

*Proof.* By the scaling property of Bessel capacities (see [1]), since  $q \ge q_c$ ,

$$C_{2/q,q'}^{B_{r+\rho}}(E) = r^{N-2/(q-1)} C_{2/q,q'}^{B_{1+\rho/r}}(E/r),$$

for any Borel set  $E \subset B_r$ . It is sufficient to prove (2.43) when  $E' = E/r \subset B_1$  is a compact set, thus

$$C_{2/q,q'}^{B_{1+r/\rho}}(E') = \inf\left\{ \|\zeta\|_{W^{2/q,q'}}^{q'} : \zeta \in C_0^2(B_{1+r/\rho}), 0 \le \zeta \le 1, \, \zeta \equiv 1 \text{ on } E' \right\}.$$

Let  $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  be a radial cut-off function such that  $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$ ,  $\rho = 1$  on  $B_1$ ,  $\rho = 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{1+\rho/r}$ ,  $|\nabla \phi| \leq Cr \rho^{-1} \chi_{B_{1+\rho/r} \setminus B_1}$  and  $|D^2 \phi| \leq Cr^2 \rho^{-2} \chi_{B_{1+\rho/r} \setminus B_1}$ , where C is independent of r and  $\rho$ . Let  $\zeta \in C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Then

$$\nabla(\zeta\phi) = \zeta\nabla\phi + \phi\nabla\zeta, \ D^2(\zeta\phi) = \zeta D^2\phi + \phi D^2\zeta + 2\nabla\phi \otimes \nabla\zeta.$$

Thus  $\|\zeta\phi\|_{L^{q'}(B_{1+\rho/r})} \le \|\zeta\|_{L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^N)},$ 

$$\int_{B_{1+\rho/r}} |\nabla(\zeta\phi)|^{q'} \, dx \le C \left(1 + \frac{r}{\rho}\right)^{q'} \|\zeta\|_{W^{1,q'}}^{q'}$$

and

$$\int_{B_{r+\rho}} \left| D^2(\zeta\phi) \right|^{q'} dx \le C \left( 1 + \frac{r^2}{\rho^2} \right)^{q'} \|\zeta\|_{W^{2,q'}}^{q'}$$

Finally

$$\|\zeta\phi\|_{W^{2/q,q'}} \le C\left(1+\frac{r^2}{\rho^2}\right)\|\zeta\|_{W^{2/q,q'}}.$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{T}$  the linear mapping  $\zeta \mapsto \zeta \phi$ . Because

$$W^{2/q,q'} = \left[ W^{2,q'}, L^{q'} \right]_{1/q,q'}$$

(here we use the Lions-Petree real interpolation notations and results from [17]), it follows

$$\|\mathcal{T}\|_{\mathcal{L}(W_0^{2/q,q'}(\mathbb{R}^N),W_0^{2/q,q'}(B_{1+\rho/r}))} \le C(q) \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{\rho^2}\right)^{1/q}$$

Therefore

$$C_{2/q,q'}^{B_{1+\rho/r}}(E') \le C\left(1+\frac{r^2}{\rho^2}\right)^{1/(q-1)} C_{2/q,q'}(E')$$

Thus we get (2.43).

*Remark.* In the subcritical case  $1 < q < q_c$ , estimate (2.43) becomes

$$C_{2/q,q'}^{B_{r+\rho}}(E) \le C \max\left\{r^N, \rho^N\right\} \left(1 + \rho^{-2/(q-1)}\right).$$
(2.44)

By using Lemma 2.11, it is easy to derive from this estimate that for any positive solution u of (2.1), the initial trace of which vanishes outside 0, there holds

$$u(x,t) \le Ct^{-1/(q-1)} \min\left\{1, \left(\frac{|x|}{\sqrt{t}}\right)^{2/(q-1)-N} e^{-|x|^2/4t}\right\} \quad \forall (x,t) \in Q_{\infty}.$$
 (2.45)

This upper estimate corresponds to the one obtained in [5]. If  $F = \overline{B}_r$ , the upper we estimate is less esthetic. However, it is proved in [20] by a barrier method that, if the initial trace of positive solution u of (2.1), vanishes outside F, and if 1 < q < 3, there holds

$$u(x,t) \le t^{-1/(q-1)} f_1((|x|-r)/\sqrt{t}) \quad \forall (x,t) \in Q_{\infty}, \ |x| \ge r,$$
(2.46)

where  $= f_1$  is the positive solution belonging to  $C^2([0,\infty))$  of

$$\begin{cases} f'' + \frac{y}{2}f' + \frac{1}{q-1}f - f^q = 0 & \text{in } (0,\infty) \\ f'(0) = 0, \ \lim_{y \to \infty} |y|^{2/(q-1)} f(y) = 0. \end{cases}$$
(2.47)

Notice that the existence of  $f_1$  follows from [5] since q is the critical exponent in 1 dim. Furthermore  $f_1$  has the following asymptotic expansion

$$f_1(y) = Cy^{(3-q)/(q-1)}e^{-y^2/4t}(1+o(1)))$$
 as  $y \to \infty$ .

#### 2.3 The upper Wiener test

**Definition 2.13** We define on  $\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$  the two *parabolic distances*  $\delta_2$  and  $\delta_{\infty}$  by

$$\delta_2[(x,t),(y,s)] := \sqrt{|x-y|^2 + |t-s|}, \qquad (2.48)$$

and

$$\delta_{\infty}[(x,t),(y,s)] := \max\{|x-y|,\sqrt{|t-s|}\}.$$
(2.49)

If  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $i = 2, \infty$ ,

$$\delta_i[(x,t),K] = \inf\{\delta_i[(x,t),(y,0)] : y \in K\} = \begin{cases} \max\{\operatorname{dist}(x,K),\sqrt{|t|}\} & \text{if } i = \infty, \\ \sqrt{\operatorname{dist}^2(x,K) + |t|} & \text{if } i = 2. \end{cases}$$

For  $\beta > 0$  and  $i = 2, \infty$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{B}^i_{\beta}(m)$  the parabolic ball of center m = (x, t) and radius  $\beta$  in the parabolic distance  $\delta_i$ .

Let K be any compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and  $\overline{u}_K$  the maximal solution of (1.1) which blows up on K. The function  $\overline{u}_K$  is obtained as the decreasing limit of the  $\overline{u}_{K_{\epsilon}}$  ( $\epsilon > 0$ ) when  $\epsilon \to 0$ , where

$$K_{\epsilon} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : \operatorname{dist}(x, K) \le \epsilon\}$$

and  $\overline{u}_{K_{\epsilon}} = \lim_{k \to \infty} u_{k,K_{\epsilon}} = \overline{u}_{K}$ , where  $u_{k}$  is the solution of the classical problem,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_k - \Delta u_k + u_k^q = 0 & \text{in } Q_T, \\ u_k = 0 & \text{on } \partial_\ell Q_T, \\ u_k(.,0) = k\chi_{\kappa_\epsilon} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases}$$
(2.50)

If  $(x,t) = m \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0,T]$ , we set  $d_K = \text{dist}(x,K)$ ,  $D_K = \max\{|x-y| : y \in K\}$  and  $\lambda = \sqrt{d_K^2 + t} = \delta_2[m,K]$ . We define a slicing of K, by setting  $d_n = d_n(K,t) := \sqrt{nt} \ (n \in \mathbb{N})$ ,

$$T_n = \overline{B}_{d_{n+1}}(x) \setminus B_{d_n}(x), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

thus  $T_0 = B_{\sqrt{t}}(x)$ , and

$$K_n(x) = K \cap T_n(x)$$
 for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_n(x) = K \cap B_{d_{n+1}}(x)$ .

When there is no ambiguity, we shall skip the x variable in the above sets. The main result of this section is the following discrete upper Wiener-type estimate.

**Theorem 2.14** Assume  $q \ge q_c$ . Then there exists C = C(N, q, T) > 0 such that

$$\overline{u}_{K}(x,t) \leq \frac{C}{t^{N/2}} \sum_{n=0}^{a_{t}} d_{n+1}^{N-2/(q-1)} e^{-n/4} C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{K_{n}}{d_{n+1}}\right) \quad \forall (x,t) \in Q_{T},$$
(2.51)

where  $a_t$  is the largest integer j such that  $K_j \neq \emptyset$ .

With no loss of generality, we can first assume that x = 0. Furthermore, in considering the scaling transformation  $u_{\ell}(y,t) = \ell^{1/(q-1)} u(\sqrt{\ell}y,\ell t)$ , with  $\ell > 0$ , we can assume t = 1. Thus the new compact singular set of the initial trace becomes  $K/\sqrt{\ell}$ , that we shall still denote K. We shall also set  $a_K = a_{K,1}$  Since for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{n+1}} \le d_{n+1} - d_n \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1}}$$

it is possible to exhibit a collection  $\Theta_n$  of points  $a_{n,j}$  with center on the sphere  $\Sigma_n = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : |y| = (d_{n+1} + d_n)/2\}$ , such that

$$T_n \subset \bigcup_{a_{n,j} \in \Theta_n} B_{1/\sqrt{n+1}}(a_{n,j}), \quad |a_{n,j} - a_{n,k}| \ge 1/2\sqrt{n+1} \text{ and } \#\Theta_n \le Cn^{N-1},$$

for some constant C = C(N). If  $K_{n,j} = K_n \cap B_{1/\sqrt{n+1}}(a_{n,j})$ , there holds

$$K = \bigcup_{0 \le n \le a_K} \bigcup_{a_{n,j} \in \Theta_n} K_{n,j}$$

The first intermediate step is related to the quasi-additivity property of capacities.

**Lemma 2.15** Let  $q \ge q_c$ . There exists a constant C = C(N,q) such that

$$\sum_{a_{n,j}\in\Theta_n} C_{2/q,q'}^{B_{n,j}}(K_{n,j}) \le C n^{1/(q-1)-N/2} C_{2/q,q'}\left(\sqrt{n} \, K_n\right) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_*,$$
(2.52)

where  $B_{n,j} = B_{2/\sqrt{n+1}}(a_{n,j})$  and  $C_{2/q,q'}$  stands for the capacity taken with respect to  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

*Proof.* The following result is proved in [2, Th 3]: if the spheres  $B_{\rho_j^{\theta}}(b_j)$  are disjoint in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and G is an analytic subset of  $\bigcup B_{\rho_j}(b_j)$  where the  $\rho_j$  are positive and smaller than some  $\rho^* > 0$ , there holds

$$C_{2/q,q'}(G) \le \sum_{j} C_{2/q,q'}(G \cap B_{\rho_j}(b_j)) \le AC_{2/q,q'}(G),$$
(2.53)

where  $\theta = 1 - 2/N(q - 1)$ , for some A depending on N, q and  $\rho^*$ . This property is called *quasi-additivity*. We define for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_*$ ,

$$\tilde{T}_n = \sqrt{n}T_n, \quad \tilde{K}_n = \sqrt{n}K_n \text{ and } \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_n = \sqrt{n}\mathcal{Q}_n.$$

Since  $K_{n,j} \subset B_{1/\sqrt{n+1}}(a_{n,j})$ , the  $C_{2/q,q'}$  capacities are taken with respect to the balls  $B_{2/\sqrt{n+1}}(a_{n,j}) = B_{n,j}$ . By Lemma 2.12 with  $r = \rho = \sqrt{n+1}$ 

$$C_{2/q,q'}^{B_{n,j}}(K_{n,j}) \le C n^{1/(q-1)-N/2} C_{2/q,q'}(\tilde{K}_{n,j}),$$
(2.54)

where  $\tilde{K}_{n,j} = \sqrt{n}K_{n,j}$  and  $\tilde{B}_{n,j} = \sqrt{n}B_{n,j}$ . For a fixed n > 0 and each repartition  $\Lambda$  of points  $\tilde{a}_{n,j} = \sqrt{n}a_{n,j}$  such that the balls  $B_{2^{\theta}}(\tilde{a}_{n,j})$  are disjoint, the quasi-additivity property holds in the following sense: if we set

$$K_{n,\Lambda} = \bigcup_{a_{n,j} \in \Lambda} K_{n,j}$$
,  $\tilde{K}_{n,\Lambda} = \sqrt{n} K_{n,\Lambda} = \bigcup_{a_{n,j} \in \Lambda} \tilde{K}_{n,j}$  and  $\tilde{K}_n = \sqrt{n} K_n$ ,

then

$$\sum_{a_{n,j}\in\Lambda} C_{2/q,q'}(\tilde{K}_{n,j}) \le AC_{2/q,q'}(\tilde{K}_{n,\Lambda}).$$
(2.55)

The maximal cardinal of any such repartition  $\Lambda$  is of the order of  $Cn^{N-1}$  for some positive constant C = C(N), therefore, the number of repartitions needed for a full covering of the set  $\tilde{T}_n$  is of finite order depending upon the dimension. Because  $\tilde{K}_n$  is the union of the  $\tilde{K}_{n,\Lambda}$ ,

$$\sum_{\Lambda} \sum_{a_{n,j} \in \Lambda} C_{2/q,q'}(\tilde{K}_{n,j}) \le C C_{2/q,q'}(\tilde{K}_n)$$
(2.56)

Combining (2.54) and (2.56), we obtain (2.52).

Proof of Theorem 2.14. Step 1. We first notice that

$$\overline{u}_K \le \sum_{0 \le n \le a_K} \sum_{a_{n,j} \in \Theta_n} \overline{u}_{K_{n,j}}.$$
(2.57)

Actually, since  $K = \bigcup_n \bigcup_{a_{n,j}} K_{n,j}$ , for any  $0 < \epsilon' < \epsilon$ , there holds  $\overline{K_{\epsilon'}} \subset \bigcup_n \bigcup_{a_{n,j}} K_{n,j\epsilon}$ . Because a finite sum of positive solutions of (1.1) is a super solution,

$$\overline{u}_{K_{\epsilon'}} \le \sum_{0 \le n \le a_K} \sum_{a_{n,j} \in \Theta_n} \overline{u}_{K_{n,j\,\epsilon}}.$$
(2.58)

Letting successively  $\epsilon'$  and  $\epsilon$  go to 0 implies (2.57).

Step 2. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $K_{n,j} \subset B_{1/\sqrt{n+1}}(a_{n,j})$  and  $|x - a_{n,j}| = (d_n + d_{n+1})/2 = (\sqrt{n+1} + \sqrt{n})/2$ , we can apply the previous lemmas with  $r = 1/\sqrt{n+1}$  and  $\rho = r$ . For  $n \ge n_N$  there holds  $t = 1 \ge (r+2\rho)^2 = 9/(n+1)$  and  $|x - a_{n,j}| = (\sqrt{n+1} - \sqrt{n})/2 \ge (2+C_N)(3/\sqrt{n+1})$  (notice that  $n_N \ge 8$ ). Thus

$$u_{K_{n,j}}(0,1) \leq C e^{(\sqrt{n}-3/\sqrt{n+1})^2/4} C_{2/q,q'}^{B_{n,j}}(K_{n,j})$$
  
$$\leq C e^{3/2} e^{-n/4} C_{2/q,q'}^{B_{n,j}}(K_{n,j})$$
  
$$\leq C n^{1/(q-1)-N/2} e^{-n/4} C_{2/q,q'}(\tilde{K}_{n,j}), \qquad (2.59)$$

which implies

$$\sum_{a_{n,j}\in\Theta_n} u_{K_{n,j}}(0,1) \le C n^{N/2 - 1/(q-1)} e^{-n/4} C_{2/q,q'}(\tilde{K}_n)$$

Using the fact that

$$C_{2/q,q'}\left(\tilde{K}_n\right) \approx \left(d_{n+1}\sqrt{n}\right)^{N-2/(q-1)} C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{K_n}{d_{n+1}}\right)$$

for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}_*$ , we derive

$$\sum_{n=n_N}^{a_K} \sum_{a_{n,j}\in\Theta_n} u_{K_{n,j}}(0,1) \le C \sum_{n=n_N}^{a_K} d_{n+1}^{N/2-1/(q-1)} e^{-n/4} C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{K_n}{d_{n+1}}\right).$$
(2.60)

Finally, we apply Lemma 2.5 if  $1 \le n < n_N$  and get

$$\sum_{1}^{n_{N}-1} \sum_{a_{n,j} \in \Theta_{n}} u_{K_{n,j}}(0,1) \leq C \sum_{1}^{n_{N}-1} C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{K_{n}}{d_{n+1}}\right)$$

$$\leq C' \sum_{1}^{n_{N}-1} d_{n+1}^{N/2-1/(q-1)} e^{-n/4} C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{K_{n}}{d_{n+1}}\right).$$
(2.61)

For n = 0, we proceed similarly, in splitting  $K_1$  in a finite number of  $K_{1,i}$ , depending only on the dimension, such that diam  $K_{1,i} < 1/3$ . Combining (2.60) and (2.61), we derive

$$\overline{u}_{K}(0,1) \leq C \sum_{n=0}^{a_{K}} d_{n+1}^{N/2-1/(q-1)} e^{-n/4} C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{K_{n}}{d_{n+1}}\right).$$
(2.62)

In order to derive the same result for any t > 0, we notice that

$$\overline{u}_K(y,t) = t^{-1/(q-1)} \overline{u}_{K\sqrt{t}}(y\sqrt{t},1)$$

Going back to the definition of  $d_n = d_n(K,t) = \sqrt{nt} = d_n(K\sqrt{t},1)$ , we derive from (2.62) and the fact that  $a_{K,t} = a_{K\sqrt{t},1}$ 

$$\overline{u}_{K}(0,t) \leq Ct^{-1/(q-1)} \sum_{n=0}^{a_{K}} (n+1)^{N/2 - 1/(q-1)} e^{-n/4} C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{K_{n}}{d_{n+1}}\right),$$
(2.63)

which can also read as (2.51 ) with x = 0, and a space translation leads to the final result.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let m > 0 and  $F_m = F \cap \overline{B}_m$ . We denote by  $U_{B_m^c}$  the maximal solution of (1.1) in  $Q_{\infty}$  the initial trace of which vanishes on  $B_m$ . Such a solution is actually the unique solution of (2.1) which satisfies

$$\lim_{t \to 0} u(x, t) = \infty$$

uniformly on  $B_{m'}^c$ , for any m' > m: this can be checked by noticing that

$$U_{B_m^c}(y,t) = \ell^{1/(q-1)} U_{B_m^c}(\sqrt{\ell}y,\ell t) = U_{B_{m/\sqrt{\ell}}^c}(y,t).$$

Furthermore

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} U_{B_m^c}(y, t) = \lim_{m \to \infty} m^{-2/(q-1)} U_{B_1^c}(y/m, t/m^2) = 0$$

uniformly on any compact subset of  $\overline{Q}_{\infty}$ . Since  $\overline{u}_{F_m} + U_{B_m^c}$  is a super-solution, it is larger that  $\overline{u}_F$  and therefore  $\overline{u}_{F_m} \uparrow \overline{u}_F$ . Because  $W_{F_m}(x,t) \leq W_F(x,t)$  and  $\overline{u}_{F_m} \leq C_1 W_{F_m}(x,t)$ , the result follows.

Theorem 2.1 admits the following integral expression.

**Theorem 2.16** Assume  $q \ge q_c$ . Then there exists a positive constant  $C_1^* = C^*(N, q, T)$  such that, for any closed subset F of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , there holds

$$\overline{u}_F(x,t) \le \frac{C_1^*}{t^{1+N/2}} \int_{\sqrt{t}}^{\sqrt{t(a_t+2)}} e^{-s^2/4t} s^{N-2/(q-1)} C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{1}{s}F \cap B_1(x)\right) s \, ds,\tag{2.64}$$

where  $a_t = \min\{n : F \subset B_{\sqrt{n+1}t}(x)\}.$ 

*Proof.* We first use

$$C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{F_n}{d_{n+1}}\right) \le C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{F}{d_{n+1}} \cap B_1\right),$$

and we denote

$$\Phi(s) = C_{2/q,q'} \left(\frac{F}{s} \cap B_1\right) \quad \forall s > 0.$$

$$(2.65)$$

Step 1. The following inequality holds (see [1] and [23])

$$c_1 \Phi(\alpha s) \le \Phi(s) \le c_2 \Phi(\beta s) \quad \forall s > 0, \ \forall 1/2 \le \alpha \le 1 \le \beta \le 2,$$
(2.66)

for some positive constants  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  depending on N and q. If  $\beta \in [1, 2]$ ,

$$\Phi(\beta s) = C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\left(\frac{F}{s} \cap B_{\beta}\right)\right) \approx C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{F}{s} \cap B_{\beta}\right) \ge c_1\Phi(s)$$

If  $\alpha \in [1/2, 1]$ ,

$$\Phi(\alpha s) = C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(\frac{F}{s} \cap B_{\alpha}\right)\right) \approx C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{F}{s} \cap B_{\alpha}\right) \leq c_2 \Phi(s).$$

Step 2. By (2.66)

$$C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{F}{d_{n+1}}\cap B_1\right) \le c_2 C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{F}{s}\cap B_1\right) \quad \forall \ s \in [d_{n+1}, d_{n+2}],$$

and  $n \leq a_t$ . Then

$$\begin{split} c_2 \int_{d_{n+1}}^{d_{n+2}} s^{N-2/(q-1)} e^{-s^2/4t} C_{2/q,q'} \left(\frac{F}{s} \cap B_1\right) s \, ds \\ & \geq C_{2/q,q'} \left(\frac{F}{d_{n+1}} \cap B_1\right) \! \int_{d_{n+1}}^{d_{n+2}} \! s^{N-2/(q-1)} e^{-s^2/4t} s \, ds. \end{split}$$

Using the fact that  $N - 2/(q - 1) \ge 0$ , we get,

$$\int_{d_{n+1}}^{d_{n+2}} s^{N-2/(q-1)} e^{-s^2/4t} s \, ds \ge e^{-(n+2)/4} d_{n+1}^{N-2/(q-1)+1} (d_{n+2} - d_{n+1}) \tag{2.67}$$

$$\geq \frac{t}{4e^2} d_{n+1}^{N-2/(q-1)} e^{-n/4}.$$
(2.68)

Thus

$$\overline{u}_{F}(x,t) \leq \frac{C}{t^{1+N/2}} \int_{\sqrt{t}}^{\sqrt{t(a_{t}+2)}} s^{N-2/(q-1)} e^{-s^{2}/4t} C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{1}{s}F \cap B_{1}\right) s \, ds, \tag{2.69}$$
  
the proof.

which ends the proof.

### 3 Estimate from below

If  $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}^q_{+}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap \mathfrak{M}^b(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , we denote  $u_{\mu} = u_{\mu,0}$ , that is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_\mu - \Delta u_\mu + u_\mu^q = 0 & \text{ in } Q_T, \\ u_\mu(.,0) = \mu & \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases}$$
(3.1)

The maximal  $\sigma$ -moderate solution of (1.1) which has an initial trace vanishing outside a closed set F is defined by

$$\underline{u}_F = \sup\left\{u_\mu : \mu \in \mathfrak{M}^q_+(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap \mathfrak{M}^b(\mathbb{R}^N), \ \mu(F^c) = 0\right\}.$$
(3.2)

The main result of this section is the next one

**Theorem 3.1** Assume  $q \ge q_c$ . There exists a constant  $C_2 = C_2(N, q, T) > 0$  such that, for any closed subset  $F \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ , there holds

$$\underline{u}_F(x,t) \ge C_2 W_F(x,t) \quad \forall (x,t) \in Q_T.$$
(3.3)

We first assume that F is compact, and we shall denote it by K. The first observation is that if  $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}^q_+(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $u_\mu \in L^q(Q_T)$  (see lemma below) and  $0 \leq u_\mu \leq \mathbb{H}[\mu] := \mathbb{H}_\mu$ . Therefore

$$u_{\mu} \ge \mathbb{H}_{\mu} - \mathbb{G}\left[\mathbb{H}_{\mu}^{q}\right],\tag{3.4}$$

where  $\mathbb{G}$  is the Green heat potential in  $Q_T$  defined by

$$\mathbb{G}[f](t) = \int_0^t \mathbb{H}[f(s)](t-s)ds = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H(.,y,t-s)f(y,s)dyds.$$

Since the details of the proof are very technical, we shall present its main line. The key idea is to construct, for any  $(x,t) \in Q_T$ , a measure  $\mu = \mu(x,t) \in \mathfrak{M}^q_+(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that there holds

$$\mathbb{H}_{\mu}(x,t) \ge CW_K(x,t) \quad \forall (x,t) \in Q_T,$$
(3.5)

and

$$\mathbb{G} \left(\mathbb{H}_{\mu}\right)^{q} \le C \,\mathbb{H}_{\mu} \quad \text{in } Q_{T},\tag{3.6}$$

with constants C depends only on N, q, and T, then to replace  $\mu$  by  $\mu_{\epsilon} = \epsilon \mu$  with  $\epsilon = (2C)^{-1/(q-1)}$  in order to derive

$$u_{\mu_{\epsilon}} \ge 2^{-1} \mathbb{H}_{\mu_{\epsilon}} \ge 2^{-1} C W_K. \tag{3.7}$$

From this follows

$$\underline{u}_K \ge 2^{-1} \mathbb{H}_{\mu_\epsilon} \ge 2^{-1} C W_K. \tag{3.8}$$

and the proof of Theorem 3.1 with  $C_2 = 2^{-1}C$ .

We recall the following regularity result which actually can be used for defining the norm in negative Besov spaces [29]

**Lemma 3.2** There exists a constant c > 0 such that

$$c^{-1} \|\mu\|_{W^{-2/q,q}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \le \|\mathbb{H}_{\mu}\|_{L^q(Q_T)} \le c \|\mu\|_{W^{-2/q,q}(\mathbb{R}^N)}$$
(3.9)

for any  $\mu \in W^{-2/q,q}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

#### 3.1 Estimate from below for the heat equation

#### 3.1.1 The extended slicing

If K is a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , m = (x, t), we define  $d_K$ ,  $\lambda$ ,  $d_n$  and  $a_t$  as in Section 2.3. Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  to be fixed later on, we define  $\mathcal{T}_n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  by

$$\mathcal{T}_n = \begin{cases} \mathcal{B}^2_{\sqrt{t(n+1)}}(m) \setminus \mathcal{B}^2_{\sqrt{tn}}(m) & \text{if } n \ge 1, \\ \mathcal{B}^2_{\alpha^{-n}\sqrt{t}}(m) \setminus \mathcal{B}^2_{\alpha^{1-n}\sqrt{t}}(m) & \text{if } n \le 0, \end{cases}$$

and put

$$\mathcal{T}_n^* = \mathcal{T}_n \cap \{s : 0 \le s \le t\}, \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We recall that for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_*$ ,

$$\mathcal{Q}_n = K \cap \mathcal{B}^2_{\sqrt{t(n+1)}}(m) = K \cap B_{d_n}(x)$$

and

$$K_n = K \cap \mathcal{T}_{n+1} = K \cap \left( B_{d_{n+1}}(x) \setminus B_{d_n}(x) \right)$$

Let  $\nu_n \in \mathfrak{M}_+(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap W^{-2/q,q}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  be the *q*-capacitary measure of the set  $K_n/d_{n+1}$  (see [1, Sec. 2.2]). Such a measure has support in  $K_n/d_{n+1}$  and

$$\nu_n(K_n/d_{n+1}) = C_{2/q,q'}(K_n/d_{n+1}) \text{ and } \|\nu_n\|_{W^{-2/q,q'}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \left(C_{2/q,q'}(K_n/d_{n+1})\right)^{1/q}.$$
 (3.10)

We define  $\mu_n$  as follows

$$\mu_n(A) = d_{n+1}^{N-2/(q-1)} \nu_n(A/d_{n+1}) \quad \forall A \subset K_n, \ A \ \text{Borel} \ , \tag{3.11}$$

and set

and

$$\mu_{t,K} = \sum_{n=0}^{a_t} \mu_n,$$

$$\mathbb{H}_{\mu_{t,K}} = \sum_{n=0}^{a_t} \mathbb{H}_{\mu_n} \tag{3.12}$$

**Proposition 3.3** Let  $q \ge q_c$ , then there holds

$$\mathbb{H}_{\mu_{t,K}}(x,t) \ge \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{N/2}} \sum_{n=0}^{a_t} e^{-(n+1)/4} d_{n+1}^{N-2/(q-1)} C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{K_n}{d_{n+1}}\right),\tag{3.13}$$

in  $\mathbb{R}^N \times (0,T)$ . Proof. Since

$$\mathbb{H}_{\mu_n}(x,t) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{N/2}} \int_{K_n} e^{-|x-y|^2/4t} d\mu_n, \qquad (3.14)$$

and

$$y \in K_n \Longrightarrow |x - y| \le d_{n+1},$$

(3.13 ) follows because of (3.11 ) and (3.12 ).

#### 3.2Estimate from above for the nonlinear term

We write (3.4) under the form

$$u_{\mu}(x,t) \ge \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{H}_{\mu_n}(x,t) - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H(x,y,t-s) \left[ \sum_{n \in A_K} \mathbb{H}_{\mu_n}(y,s) \right]^q dy ds$$

$$= I_1 - I_2.$$

$$(3.15)$$

\_

since  $\mu_n = 0$  if  $n \notin A_K = \mathbb{N} \cap [1, a_t]$ , and

$$I_{2} \leq \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{N/2}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} (t-s)^{-N/2} e^{-|x-y|^{2}/4(t-s)} \left[ \sum_{n \in A_{K}} \mathbb{H}_{\mu_{n}}(y,s) \right]^{q} dy ds$$
  
$$\leq \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{N/2}} (J_{\ell} + J_{\ell}'), \qquad (3.16)$$

for some  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}^*$  to be fixed later on, where

$$J_{\ell} = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \iint_{\mathcal{T}_{p}^{*}} (t-s)^{-N/2} e^{-|x-y|^{2}/4(t-s)} \left[ \sum_{n < p+\ell} \mathbb{H}_{\mu_{n}}(y,s) \right]^{q} dy ds,$$

and

$$J'_{\ell} = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \iint_{\mathcal{T}_p^*} (t-s)^{-N/2} e^{-|x-y|^2/4(t-s)} \left[ \sum_{n \ge p+\ell} \mathbb{H}_{\mu_n}(y,s) \right]^q dy ds.$$

The next estimate will be used several times in the sequel.

**Lemma 3.4** Let 0 < a < b and t > 0, then,

$$\max\left\{\sigma^{-N/2}e^{-\rho^2/4\sigma}: 0 \le \sigma \le t, \ at \le \rho^2 + \sigma \le bt\right\} = e^{1/4} \begin{cases} t^{-N/2}e^{-a/4} & \text{if } \frac{a}{2N} > 1, \\ \left(\frac{2N}{at}\right)^{N/2}e^{-N/2} & \text{if } \frac{a}{2N} \le 1 \end{cases}$$

Proof. Set

$$\mathcal{J}(\rho,\sigma) = \sigma^{-N/2} e^{-\rho^2/4\sigma}$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}_{a,b,t} = \left\{ (\rho,\sigma) \in [0,\infty) \times (0,t] : at \le \rho^2 + \sigma \le bt \right\}$$

We first notice that, for fixed  $\sigma$ , the maximum of  $\mathcal{J}(.,\sigma)$  is achieved for  $\rho$  minimal. If  $\sigma \in [at, bt]$ the minimal value of  $\rho$  is 0, while if  $\sigma \in (0, at)$ , the minimum of  $\rho$  is  $\sqrt{at-s}$ .

- Assume first  $a \ge 1$ , then  $\mathcal{J}(\sqrt{at-\sigma}, \sigma) = e^{1/4}\sigma^{-N/4}e^{-at/4\sigma}$ , thus, if  $1 \le a/2N$  the minimal value of  $\mathcal{J}(\sqrt{at-\sigma}, \sigma)$  is  $e^{(1-2N)/4}(2N/at)^{N/2}$ , while, if  $a/2N < 1 \le a$ , the minimum is  $e^{1/4}t^{-N/2}e^{-a/4}$ .

- Assume now  $a \leq 1$ . Then

$$\max\{\mathcal{J}(\rho,\sigma): (\rho,\sigma) \in \mathcal{K}_{a,b,t}\} = \max\left\{\max_{\sigma \in (at,t]} \mathcal{J}(0,\sigma), \max_{\sigma \in (0,at]} \mathcal{J}(\sqrt{at-\sigma},\sigma)\right\}$$
$$= \max\left\{(at)^{-N/2}, e^{(1-2N)/4}(2N/at)^{N/2}\right\}$$
$$= e^{(1-2N)/4}(2N/at)^{N/2}.$$

Combining these two estimates, we derive the result.

*Remark.* The following variant of Lemma 3.4 will be useful in the sequel: For any  $\theta \ge 1/2N$ 

there holds  

$$\max\{\mathcal{J}(\rho,\sigma): \ (\rho,\sigma) \in \mathcal{K}(a,b,t)\} \le e^{1/4} \left(\frac{2N\theta}{t}\right)^{N/2} e^{-a/4} \quad \text{if } \theta a \ge 1.$$
(3.17)

**Lemma 3.5** There exists a positive constant  $C = C(N, \ell, q)$  such that

$$J_{\ell} \le Ct^{-N/2} \sum_{n=1}^{a_t} d_{n+1}^{N-2/(q-1)} e^{-(1+(n-\ell)_+)/4} C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{K_n}{d_{n+1}}\right).$$
(3.18)

*Proof.* The set of p for the summation in  $J_{\ell}$  is reduced to  $\mathbb{Z} \cap [-\ell + 2, \infty)$  and we write

$$J_\ell = J_{1,\ell} + J_{2,\ell}$$

where

$$J_{1,\ell} = \sum_{p=2-\ell}^{0} \iint_{\mathcal{T}_p^*} (t-s)^{-N/2} e^{-|x-y|^2/4(t-s)} \left[ \sum_{n < p+\ell} \mathbb{H}_{\mu_n}(y,s) \right]^q$$

and

$$J_{2,\ell} = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \iint_{\mathcal{T}_p^*} (t-s)^{-N/2} e^{-|x-y|^2/4(t-s)} \left[ \sum_{n < p+\ell} \mathbb{H}_{\mu_n}(y,s) \right]^q$$

If  $p = 2 - \ell, ..., 0$ ,

$$(y,s) \in \mathcal{T}_p^* \Longrightarrow t\alpha^{2-2p} \le |x-y|^2 + t - s \le t\alpha^{-2p},$$

and, if  $p\geq 1$ 

$$(y,s) \in \mathcal{T}_p^* \Longrightarrow pt \le |x-y|^2 + t - s \le (p+1)t.$$

By Lemma 3.4 and (3.17 ), there exists  $C=C(N,\ell,\alpha)>0$  such that

$$\max\left\{(t-s)^{-N/2}e^{-|x-y|^2/4(t-s)}:(y,s)\in\mathcal{T}_p^*\right\}\le Ct^{-N/2}e^{-\alpha^{2-2p}/4},\tag{3.19}$$

if  $p = 2 - \ell, ..., 0$ , and

$$\max\left\{(t-s)^{-N/2}e^{-|x-y|^2/4(t-s)}:(y,s)\in\mathcal{T}_p^*\right\}\le Ct^{-N/2}e^{-p/4},\tag{3.20}$$

if  $p \ge 1$ . When  $p = 2 - \ell, \ldots, 0$ 

$$\left[\sum_{1}^{p+\ell-1} \mathbb{H}_{\mu_n}(y,s)\right]^q \le C \sum_{1}^{p+\ell-1} \mathbb{H}_{\mu_n}^q(y,s).$$
(3.21)

for some  $C = C(\ell, q) > 0$ , thus

$$J_{1,\ell} \leq Ct^{-N/2} \sum_{p=2-\ell}^{0} e^{-\alpha^{2-2p}/4} \sum_{n=1}^{p+\ell-1} \|\mathbb{H}_{\mu_n}\|_{L^q(Q_t)}^q$$
  
$$\leq Ct^{-N/2} \sum_{n=1}^{\ell-1} \|\mathbb{H}_{\mu_n}\|_{L^q(Q_t)}^q \sum_{p=n-\ell+1}^{0} e^{-\alpha^{2-2p}/4}$$
  
$$\leq Ct^{-N/2} e^{-\alpha^{2\ell-2}/4} \sum_{n=1}^{\ell-1} \|\mathbb{H}_{\mu_n}\|_{L^q(Q_t)}^q.$$
  
(3.22)

If the set of p's is not upper bounded, we introduce  $\delta > 0$  to be made precise later on. Then

$$\left[\sum_{1}^{p+\ell-1} \mathbb{H}_{\mu_n}(y,s)\right]^q \le \left[\sum_{1}^{p+\ell-1} e^{\delta q'n/4}\right]^{q/q'} \sum_{1}^{p+\ell-1} e^{-\delta qn/4} \mathbb{H}_{\mu_n}^q(y,s),$$
(3.23)

with q' = q/(q-1). If, by convention  $\mu_n = 0$  whenever  $n > a_t$ , we obtain, for some C > 0 which depends also on  $\delta$ ,

$$J_{2,\ell} \leq Ct^{-N/2} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} e^{(\delta(p+\ell-1)q-p)/4} \sum_{n=1}^{p+\ell-1} e^{-\delta q n/4} \|\mathbb{H}_{\mu_n}\|_{L^q(Q_t)}^q$$

$$\leq Ct^{-N/2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\mathbb{H}_{\mu_n}\|_{L^q(Q_t)}^q e^{-\delta q n/4} \sum_{p=(n-\ell+1)\vee 1}^{\infty} e^{(\delta(p+\ell-1)q-p)/4} \qquad (3.24)$$

$$\leq Ct^{-N/2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-(1+(n-\ell)+)/4} \|\mathbb{H}_{\mu_n}\|_{L^q(Q_t)}^q.$$

Notice that we choose  $\delta$  such that  $\delta \ell q < 1$ . Combining (3.22) and (3.24), we derive (3.18) from Lemma 3.2, (3.10) and (3.11).

The set of indices p for which the  $\mu_n$  terms are not zero in  $J'_{\ell}$  is  $\mathbb{Z} \cap (-\infty, a_t - \ell]$ . We write

$$J'_{\ell} = J'_{1,\ell} + J'_{2,\ell},$$

where

$$J_{1,\ell}' = \sum_{p=-\infty}^{0} \iint_{\mathcal{T}_p^*} (t-s)^{-N/2} e^{-|x-y|^2/4(t-s)} \left[ \sum_{n=1 \lor p+\ell}^{\infty} \mathbb{H}_{\mu_n}(y,s) \right]^q dy ds,$$

and

$$J_{2,\ell}' = \sum_{p=1}^{a_t-\ell} \iint_{\mathcal{T}_p^*} (t-s)^{-N/2} e^{-|x-y|^2/4(t-s)} \left[ \sum_{n=p+\ell}^{\infty} \mathbb{H}_{\mu_n}(y,s) \right]^q dy ds$$

**Lemma 3.6** There exists a constant  $C = C(N, q, \ell) > 0$  such that

$$J_{1,\ell}' \le Ct^{1-Nq/2} \sum_{n=0}^{a_t} e^{-(1+\beta_0)(n-h)_+/4} d_{n+1}^{Nq-2q'} C_{2/q,q'}^q \left(\frac{K_n}{d_{n+1}}\right), \qquad (3.25)$$

where  $\beta_0 = (q-1)/4$  and  $h = 2q(q+1)/(q-1)^2$ .

Proof. Since

$$(y,s) \in \mathcal{T}_p^*, \text{ and } (z,0) \in K_n \Longrightarrow |y-z| \ge (\sqrt{n} - \alpha^{-p})\sqrt{t},$$
 (3.26)

there holds

$$\mathbb{H}_{\mu_n}(y,s) \le (4\pi s)^{-N/2} e^{-(\sqrt{n}-\alpha^{-p})^2 t/4s} \mu_n(K_n) \le C t^{-N/2} e^{-(\sqrt{n}-\alpha^{-p})^2/4} \mu_n(K_n).$$

by Lemma 3.4. Let  $\epsilon_n > 0$  such that

$$A_{\epsilon} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_n^{q'} < \infty,$$

then

$$J_{1,\ell}' \leq CA_{\epsilon}^{q/q'} t^{-Nq/2} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{0} \iint_{\mathcal{T}_{p}^{*}} (t-s)^{-N/2} e^{-|x-y|^{2}/4(t-s)} \sum_{n=1\vee(p+\ell)}^{\infty} \epsilon_{n}^{-q} e^{-q(\sqrt{n}-\alpha^{-p})^{2}/4} \mu_{n}^{q}(K_{n}) ds \, dy$$

$$\leq CA_{\epsilon}^{q/q'} t^{-Nq/2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_{n}^{-q} \mu_{n}^{q}(K_{n}) \sum_{-\infty}^{p=0\wedge(n-\ell)} e^{-q(\sqrt{n}-\alpha^{-p})^{2}/4} \iint_{\mathcal{T}_{p}^{*}} (t-s)^{-N/2} e^{-|x-y|^{2}/4(t-s)} ds \, dy$$

$$\leq CA_{\epsilon}^{q/q'} t^{-Nq/2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_{n}^{-q} \mu_{n}^{q}(K_{n}) e^{-q(\sqrt{n}-1)^{2}/4} \iint_{\bigcup_{p\leq0}\mathcal{T}_{p}^{*}} (t-s)^{-N/2} e^{-|x-y|^{2}/4(t-s)} ds \, dy$$

$$\leq CA_{\epsilon}^{q/q'} t^{1-Nq/2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_{n}^{-q} \mu_{n}^{q}(K_{n}) e^{-q(\sqrt{n}-1)^{2}/4}.$$
(3.27)

(3.27) Set  $h = 2q(q+1)/(q-1)^2$  and Q = (1+q)/2, then  $q(\sqrt{n}-1)^2 \ge Q(n-h)_+$  for any  $n \ge 1$ . If we choose  $\epsilon_n = e^{-(q-1)(n-h)_+/16q}$ , there holds  $\epsilon_n^{-q} e^{-q(\sqrt{n}-1)^2/4} \le e^{(q+3)(n-h)_+/16}$ . Finally

$$J_{1,\ell}' \le Ct^{1-Nq/2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{(1+\epsilon_0)(n-h)_+/4} \mu_n^q(K_n),$$

with  $\beta_0 = (q-1)/4$ , which yields to (3.25 ) by the choice of the  $\mu_n$ .

In order to make easier the obtention of the estimate of the term  $J'_{2,\ell}$ , we first give the proof in dimension 1.

**Lemma 3.7** Assume N = 1 and  $\ell$  is an integer larger than 1. There exists a positive constant  $C = C(q, \ell) > 0$  such that

$$J_{2,\ell}' \le Ct^{-1/2} \sum_{n=\ell}^{a_t} e^{-n/4} d_{n+1}^{(q-3)/(q-1)} C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{K_n}{d_{n+1}}\right).$$
(3.28)

*Proof.* If  $(y,s) \in \mathcal{T}_p^*$  and  $z \in K_n$   $(p \ge 1, n \ge p = \ell)$ , there holds  $|x-y| \ge \sqrt{t}\sqrt{p}$  and  $|y-z| \ge \sqrt{t}(\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{p+1})$ . Therefore

$$J_{2,\ell}' \leq C\sqrt{t} \sum_{p=1}^{a_t-\ell} \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \int_0^t e^{-pt/4(t-s)} \left( \sum_{n=p+\ell}^{a_t} s^{-1/2} e^{-(\sqrt{n}-\sqrt{p+1})^2 t/4s} \mu_n(K_n) \right)^q.$$

If  $\epsilon \in (0,q)$  is some positive parameter which will be made more precise later on, there holds

$$\left(\sum_{n=p+\ell}^{a_t} s^{-1/2} e^{-(\sqrt{n}-\sqrt{p+1})^2 t/4s} \mu_n(K_n)\right)^q \\ \leq \left(\sum_{n=p+\ell}^{a_t} e^{-\epsilon q'(\sqrt{n}-\sqrt{p+1})^2 t/4s}\right)^{q/q'} \sum_{n=p+\ell}^{a_t} s^{-q/2} e^{-(q-\epsilon)(\sqrt{n}-\sqrt{p+1})^2)t/4s} \mu_n^q(K_n),$$

by Hölder's inequality. By comparison between series and integrals and using Gauss' integral

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=p+\ell}^{a_t} e^{-\epsilon q'(\sqrt{n}-\sqrt{p+1})^2 t/4s} &\leq \int_{p+\ell}^{\infty} e^{-\epsilon q'(\sqrt{x}-\sqrt{p+1})^2 t/4s} dx \\ &= 2 \int_{\sqrt{p+\ell}-\sqrt{p+1}}^{\infty} e^{-\epsilon q' x^2 t/4s} (x+\sqrt{p+1}) dx \\ &\leq \frac{4s}{\epsilon q' t} e^{-\epsilon q'(\sqrt{p+\ell}-\sqrt{p+1})^2 t/4s} + 2\sqrt{p+1} \int_{\sqrt{p+\ell}-\sqrt{p+1}}^{\infty} e^{-\epsilon q' x^2 t/4s} dx \\ &\leq C \sqrt{\frac{(p+1)s}{t}} e^{-\epsilon q'(\sqrt{p+\ell}-\sqrt{p+1})^2 t/2s} \\ &\leq C \sqrt{\frac{(p+1)s}{t}}. \end{split}$$

If we set  $q_{\epsilon} = q - \epsilon$ , then

$$J_{2,\ell}' \leq C\epsilon^{-q'/q} t^{1-q/2} \sum_{n=\ell+1}^{\infty} \mu_n^q(K_n) \sum_{p=1}^{n-\ell} p^{(q-2)/2} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-1/2} s^{-1/2} e^{-pt/4(t-s)} e^{-q\epsilon(\sqrt{n}-\sqrt{p+1})^2)t/4s} ds.$$

where  $C = C(\epsilon, q) > 0$ . Since

$$\int_0^t (t-s)^{-1/2} s^{-1/2} e^{-pt/4(t-s)} e^{-q_\epsilon(\sqrt{n}-\sqrt{p+1})^2)t/4s} ds$$
  
= 
$$\int_0^1 (1-s)^{-1/2} s^{-1/2} e^{-p/4(1-s)} e^{-q_\epsilon(\sqrt{n}-\sqrt{p+1})^2/4s} ds,$$

we can apply Lemma A.1 with a = 1/2, b = 1/2,  $A = \sqrt{p}$  and  $B = \sqrt{q_{\epsilon}}(\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{p+1})$ . In this range of indices  $B \ge \sqrt{q_{\epsilon}}(\sqrt{p+\ell} - \sqrt{p+1}) \ge \sqrt{q_{\epsilon}}(\ell-1)\sqrt{p}$ , thus  $\kappa = \sqrt{q_{\epsilon}}(\ell-1)$  and

$$\sqrt{\frac{A}{A+B}}\sqrt{\frac{B}{A+B}} \le p^{1/4}n^{-1/2}(\sqrt{n}-\sqrt{p})^{1/2}.$$

Therefore

$$\int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{-1/2} s^{-q/2} e^{-pt/4(t-s)} e^{-q(\sqrt{n}-\sqrt{p+1})^2 t/4s} ds \le \frac{Cp^{1/4}(\sqrt{n}-\sqrt{p})^{1/2}}{\sqrt{n}} e^{-(\sqrt{p}+\sqrt{q\epsilon}(\sqrt{n}-\sqrt{p+1}))^2/4},$$
(3.29)

which implies

$$J_{2,\ell}' \le Ct^{1-q/2} \sum_{n=\ell+1}^{a_t} \frac{\mu_n^q(K_n)}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{p=1}^{n-\ell} p^{(2q-3)/4} (\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{p})^{1/2} e^{-(\sqrt{p} + \sqrt{q_\epsilon}(\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{p_+1}))^{2/4}},$$
(3.30)

where C depends of  $\epsilon$ , q and  $\ell$ . By Lemma A.2

$$J_{2,\ell}' \le Ct^{1-q/2} \sum_{n=\ell+1}^{a_t} n^{(q-3)/2} e^{-n/4} \mu_n^q(K_n)$$
(3.31)

Because  $\mu_n(K_n) = d_{n+1}^{(q-3)/(q-1)} C_{2/q,q'}(K_n/d_{n+1})$  (remember N = 1) and diam  $K_n/d_{n+1} \le 1/n$ , there holds

$$\mu_n^q(K_n) \le C(\sqrt{t}/\sqrt{n})^{q-3}\mu_n(K_n) = C(\sqrt{t}/\sqrt{n})^{q-3}d_{n+1}^{(q-3)/(q-1)}C_{2/q,q'}(K_n/d_{n+1})$$
(3.32)  
nequality (3.28) follows.

and inequality (3.28) follows.

Next we give the general proof. For this task we shall use again the quasi-additivity with separated partitions.

**Lemma 3.8** Assume  $N \geq 2$  and  $\ell$  is an integer larger than 1. There exist a positive constant  $C_1 = C_1(q, N, \ell) > 0$  such that f

$$J_{2,\ell}' \le C_1 t^{-N/2} \sum_{n=\ell}^{a_t} e^{-n/4} d_{n+1}^{N-2/(q-1)} C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{K_n}{d_{n+1}}\right).$$
(3.33)

*Proof.* As in the proof of Theorem 2.14, we know that there exists a finite number J, depending only on the dimension N, of separated sub-partitions  $\{\#\Theta_{t,n}^h\}_{h=1}^J$  of the sets  $T_n$  by the N-dim balls  $B_{\sqrt{t}/\sqrt{n+1}}(a_{n,j})$  where  $|a_{n,j}| = (d_{n+1}+d_n)/2$  and  $|a_{n,j}-a_{n,k}| \ge \sqrt{t}/2\sqrt{n+1}$ . Furthermore  $\#\Theta_{t,n}^h \leq Cn^{N-1}$ . We denote  $K_{n,j} = K_n \cap B_{\sqrt{t}/\sqrt{n+1}}(a_{n,j})$ . We write  $\mu_n = \sum_{h=1}^J \mu_n^h$ , and accordingly

 $J'_{2,\ell} = \sum_{h=1}^{J} J'^{h}_{2,\ell}$ , where  $\mu_n^h = \sum_{j \in \Theta_{t,n}^h} \mu_{n,j}$ , and  $\mu_{n,j}$  are the capacitary measures of  $K_{n,j}$  relative to  $B_{n,j} = B_{6t/5\sqrt{n}}(a_n, j)$ , which means

$$\nu_{n,j}(K_{n,j}) = C_{2/q,q'}^{B_{n,j}}(K_{n,j}) \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nu_{n,j}\|_{W^{-2/q,q'}(B_{n,j})} = \left(C_{2/q,q'}^{B_{n,j}}(K_{n,j})\right)^{1/q}.$$
(3.34)

Thus

$$J_{2,\ell}' = \sum_{p=1}^{a_t-\ell} \iint_{\mathcal{T}_p^*} (t-s)^{-N/2} e^{-|x-y|^2/4(t-s)} \left[ \sum_{n=p+\ell}^{\infty} \sum_{h=1}^J \sum_{j\in\Theta_{t,n}^h} \mathbb{H}_{\mu_{n,j}}(y,s) \right]^q dy ds$$

We denote

$$J_{2,\ell}^{\prime h} = \sum_{p=1}^{a_t-\ell} \iint_{\mathcal{T}_p^*} (t-s)^{-N/2} e^{-|x-y|^2/4(t-s)} \left[ \sum_{n=p+\ell}^{\infty} \sum_{j\in\Theta_{t,n}^h} \mathbb{H}_{\mu_{n,j}}(y,s) \right]^q dy ds,$$

and clearly

$$J_{2,\ell}' \le C \sum_{h=1}^{J} J_{2,\ell}'^{h}, \tag{3.35}$$

where C depends only on N and q. For integers n and p such that  $n \ge \ell + 1$ , we set

$$\lambda_{n,j,y} = \inf\{|y-z| : z \in B_{\sqrt{t}/\sqrt{n+1}}(a_{n,j})\} = |y-a_{n,j}| - \sqrt{t}/\sqrt{n+1}.$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{n=p+\ell}^{a_t} \int_{K_n} e^{-|y-z|^2/4s} d\mu_n^h(z) = \sum_{n=p+\ell}^{a_t} \sum_{j \in \Theta_{t,n}^h} \int_{K_{n,j}} e^{-|y-z|^2/4s} d\mu_{n,j}(z)$$

$$\leq \left( \sum_{n=p+\ell}^{a_t} \sum_{j \in \Theta_{t,n}^h} e^{-\epsilon q' \lambda_{n,j,y}^2/4s} \right)^{1/q'} \left( \sum_{n=p+\ell}^{a_t} \sum_{j \in \Theta_{t,n}^h} e^{-q \lambda_{n,j,y}^2(1-\epsilon)/4s} \mu_{n,j}^q(K_{n,j}) \right)^{1/q}$$

where  $\epsilon > 0$  will be made precise later on.

Step 1 We claim that

$$\sum_{n=p+\ell}^{a_t} \sum_{j \in \Theta_{t,n}} e^{-\epsilon q' \lambda_{n,j,y}^2/4s} \le C \sqrt{\frac{ps}{t}}$$
(3.36)

where C depends on  $\epsilon$ , q and N. If y is fixed in  $T_p$ , we denote by  $z_y$  the point of  $T_n$  which solves  $|y - z_y| = \text{dist}(y, T_n)$ . Thus

$$\sqrt{t}(\sqrt{n}-\sqrt{p+1}) \le |y-z_y| \le t(\sqrt{n}-\sqrt{p}).$$

Let  $Y = y\sqrt{t(p+1)}/|y|$ . On the axis  $\overrightarrow{0Y}$  we set  $\mathbf{e} = Y/|Y|$ , consider the points  $b_k = (k\sqrt{t}/\sqrt{n})\mathbf{e}$ where  $-n \leq k \leq n$  and denote by  $G_{n,k}$  the spherical shell obtain by intersecting the spherical shell  $T_n$  with the domain  $H_{n,k}$  which is the set of points in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  limited by the hyperplanes orthogonal to  $\overrightarrow{0Y}$  going through  $((k+1)\sqrt{t}/\sqrt{n})\mathbf{e}$  and  $((k-1)\sqrt{t}/\sqrt{n})\mathbf{e}$ . The number of points  $a_{n,j} \in G_{n,k}$  is smaller than  $C(n+1-|k|)^{N-2}$ , where C depends only on N, and we denote by  $\Lambda_{n,k}$  the set of  $j \in \Theta_{t,n}$  such that  $a_{n,j} \in G_{n,k}$ . Furthermore, if  $a_{n,j} \in G_{n,k}$  elementary geometric considerations (Pythagore's theorem) imply that  $\lambda_{n,j,y}^2$  is greater than  $t(n+p+1-2k\sqrt{p+1}/\sqrt{n})$ . Therefore

$$\sum_{n=p+\ell}^{a_t} \sum_{j \in \Theta_{t,n}} e^{-\epsilon q' \lambda_{n,j,y}^2/4s} \le C \sum_{n=p+\ell}^{a_t} \sum_{k=-n}^n (n+1-|k|)^{N-2} e^{-\epsilon q' \left(n+p+1-2k\sqrt{p+1}/\right)t/4s\sqrt{n}}$$
(3.37)

Case N = 2. By summing a geometric series and using the inequality  $e^u/(e^u - 1) \le 1 + 1/u$  for u > 0, we obtain

$$\sum_{k=-n}^{n} e^{\epsilon q' \left(k\sqrt{p+1}/\right)t/2s\sqrt{n}} \leq e^{\epsilon q' t\sqrt{n(p+1)}/2s} \frac{e^{\epsilon q' t\sqrt{p+1}/2s\sqrt{n}}}{e^{\epsilon q' t\sqrt{p+1}/2s\sqrt{n}} - 1}$$

$$\leq e^{\epsilon q' t\sqrt{n(p+1)}/2s} \left(1 + \frac{2s\sqrt{n}}{\epsilon q' t\sqrt{p+1}}\right).$$
(3.38)

Thus, by comparison between series and integrals,

$$\sum_{n=p+\ell}^{a_t} \sum_{j \in \Theta_{t,n}} e^{-\epsilon q' \lambda_{n,j,y}^2/4s} \le C \sum_{n=p+\ell}^{a_t} \left( 1 + \frac{s\sqrt{n}}{t\sqrt{p}} \right) e^{-\epsilon q' (\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{p+1})^2 t/4s} \\ \le C \int_{p+1}^{\infty} e^{-\epsilon q' (\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{p+1})^2 t/4s} dx \\ + \frac{Cs}{t\sqrt{p}} \int_{p+1}^{\infty} \sqrt{x} e^{-\epsilon q' (\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{p+1})^2 t/4s} dx.$$
(3.39)

Next

$$\begin{split} \int_{p+1}^{\infty} e^{-\epsilon q' (\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{p+1})^2 t/4s} dx &= 2 \int_{\sqrt{p+1}}^{\infty} e^{-\epsilon q' (y - \sqrt{p+1})^2 t/4s} y dy \\ &= 2 \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\epsilon q' y^2 t/4s} y dy + 2 \sqrt{p+1} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\epsilon q' y^2 t/4s} dy \\ &= \frac{2s}{t} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\epsilon q' z^2/4} z dz + 2 \sqrt{\frac{(p+1)s}{t}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\epsilon q' z^2/4} dz, \end{split}$$
(3.40)

and

$$\int_{p+1}^{\infty} \sqrt{x} e^{-\epsilon q' (\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{p+1})^2 t/4s} dx = 2 \int_{\sqrt{p+1}}^{\infty} e^{-\epsilon q' (y - \sqrt{p+1})^2 t/4s} y^2 dy$$
  
=  $2 \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\epsilon q' y^2 t/4s} (y + \sqrt{p+1})^2 dy$   
 $\leq 4 \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\epsilon q' y^2 t/4s} y^2 dy + 4(p+1) \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\epsilon q' y^2 t/4s} dy$   
 $\leq 4 \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^{3/2} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\epsilon q' z^2/4} z^2 dz + 4(p+1) \sqrt{\frac{s}{t}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\epsilon q' z^2/4} dz$  (3.41)

Jointly with (3.39), these inequalities imply

$$\sum_{n=p+\ell}^{a_t} \sum_{j \in \Theta_{t,n}} e^{-\epsilon q' \lambda_{n,j,y}^2/4s} \le C \sqrt{\frac{ps}{t}}$$
(3.42)

Case N > 2 Because the value of the right-hand side of (3.37) is an increasing value of N, it is sufficient to prove (3.36) when N is even, say  $(N-2)/2 = d \in \mathbb{N}_*$ . There holds

$$\sum_{k=-n}^{n} (n+1-|k|)^d e^{\epsilon q' \left(k\sqrt{p+1}/\right)t/2s\sqrt{n}} \le 2\sum_{k=0}^{n} (n+1-k)^d e^{\epsilon q' \left(k\sqrt{p+1}/\right)t/2s\sqrt{n}}$$
(3.43)

We set

$$\alpha = \epsilon q' \left(\sqrt{p+1}/\right) t/2s\sqrt{n}$$
 and  $I_d = \sum_{k=0}^n (n+1-k)^d e^{k\alpha}$ .

Since

$$e^{k\alpha} = \frac{e^{(k+1)\alpha} - e^{k\alpha}}{e^{\alpha} - 1}$$

we use Abel's transform to obtain

$$I_{d} = \frac{1}{e^{\alpha} - 1} \left( e^{(n+1)\alpha} - (n+1)^{d} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( (n+2-k)^{d} - (n+1-k)^{d} \right) e^{k\alpha} \right)$$
  
$$\leq \frac{1}{e^{\alpha} - 1} \left( (1-d)e^{(n+1)\alpha} - (n+1)^{d} + de^{\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( (n+1-k)^{d-1} \right) e^{k\alpha} \right).$$

Therefore the following induction holds

$$I_d \le \frac{de^{\alpha}}{e^{\alpha} - 1} I_{d-1}.$$
(3.44)

In (3.38), we have already used the fact that

$$\frac{de^{\alpha}}{e^{\alpha}-1} \le C\left(1+\frac{s\sqrt{n}}{t\sqrt{p}}\right),$$

and

$$I_d \le C \left( 1 + \left( \frac{s\sqrt{n}}{t\sqrt{p}} \right)^{d+1} \right) I_0.$$

Thus (3.39) is replaced by

$$\sum_{n=p+\ell}^{a_t} \sum_{j \in \Theta_{t,n}} e^{-\epsilon q' \lambda_{n,j,y}^2/4s} \le C \sum_{n=p+\ell}^{a_t} \left( 1 + \left(\frac{s\sqrt{n}}{t\sqrt{p}}\right)^{d+1} \right) e^{-\epsilon q' (\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{p+1})^2 t/4s} \\ \le C \int_{p+1}^{\infty} e^{-\epsilon q' (\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{p+1})^2 t/4s} dx \\ + \left(\frac{Cs}{t\sqrt{p}}\right)^{d+1} \int_{p+1}^{\infty} x^{(d+1)/2} e^{-\epsilon q' (\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{p+1})^2 t/4s} dx.$$
(3.45)

The first integral on the right-hand side has already been estimated in (3.40), for the second integral, there holds

$$\int_{p+1}^{\infty} x^{(d+1)/2} e^{-\epsilon q' (\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{p+1})^2 t/4s} dx = \int_{0}^{\infty} (y + \sqrt{p+1})^{d+2} e^{-\epsilon q' y^2 t/4s} dx$$

$$\leq C \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{d+2} e^{-\epsilon q' y^2 t/4s} dy + C p^{(d+2)/2} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\epsilon q' y^2 t/4s} dy$$

$$\leq C \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^{2+d/2} \int_{0}^{\infty} z^{(d+1)/2} e^{-\epsilon q' z^2/4} dz$$

$$+ C \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^{3/2} p^{(d+2)/2} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\epsilon q' z^2/4} dz.$$
(3.46)

Combining (3.40), (3.45) and (3.46), we derive (3.36).

Step 2 Since  $\mathcal{T}_p^* \subset \Gamma_p \times [0, t]$  where  $\Gamma_p = B_{d_{p+1}}(x) \setminus B_{d_{p-1}}(x), (y, s) \in \mathcal{T}_p^*$  implies that  $|x - y|^2 \ge (p-1)t$ , thus  $J_{2,\ell}^{\prime h}$  satisfies

$$J_{2,\ell}^{\prime h} \leq Ct^{(1-q)/2} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} p^{(q-1)/2} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Gamma_{p}} (t-s)^{-N/2} s^{-(q(N-1)+1)/2} e^{-|x-y|^{2}/4(t-s)} \\ \times \sum_{n=p+\ell}^{a_{t}} \sum_{j\in\Theta_{t,n}^{h}} e^{-q\lambda_{n,j,y}^{2}(1-\epsilon)/4s} \mu_{n,j}^{q}(K_{n,j}) dsdy \\ \leq Ct^{(1-q)/2} \sum_{n=\ell+1}^{a_{t}} \sum_{j\in\Theta_{t,n}^{h}} \mu_{n,j}^{q}(K_{n,j}) \\ \times \sum_{p=1}^{n-\ell} p^{(q-1)/2} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Gamma_{p}} (t-s)^{-N/2} s^{-(q(N-1)+1)/2} e^{-|x-y|^{2}/4(t-s)} e^{-q\lambda_{n,j,y}^{2}(1-\epsilon)/4s} dsdy$$
(3.47)

and the constant C depends on N, q and  $\epsilon$ . Next we set  $q_{\epsilon} = (1 - \epsilon)q$ . Writting

$$|y - a_{n,j}|^2 = |x - y|^2 + |x - a_{n,j}|^2 - 2\langle y - x, a_{n,j} - x \rangle \ge pt + |x - a_{n,j}|^2 - 2\langle y - x, a_{n,j} - x \rangle,$$

we get

$$\int_{\Gamma_p} e^{-q_{\epsilon}|y-a_{n,j}|^2/4s} dy = e^{-q_{\epsilon}|x-a_{n,j}|^2/4s} \int_{\sqrt{tp}}^{\sqrt{t(p+1)}} e^{-q_{\epsilon}r^2/4s} \int_{|x-y|=r} e^{2q_{\epsilon}\langle y-x,a_{n,j}-x\rangle/4s} dS_r(y) dr.$$

For estimating the value of the spherical integral, we can assume that  $a_{n,j}-x = (0, \ldots, 0, |a_{n,j}-x|)$ ,  $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_N)$  and, using spherical coordinates with center at x, that the unit sphere has the representation  $S^{N-1} = \{(\sin \phi. \sigma, \cos \phi) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \times \mathbb{R} : \sigma \in S^{N-2}, \phi \in [0, \pi]\}$ . With this representation,  $dS_r = r^{N-1} \sin^{N-2} \phi \, d\phi \, d\sigma$  and  $\langle y - x, a_{n,j} - x \rangle = |a_{n,j} - x| \, |y - x| \cos \phi$ . Therefore

$$\int_{|x-y|=r} e^{2q_{\epsilon}\langle y-x,a_{n,j}-x\rangle/4s} dS_r(y) = r^{N-1} \left| S^{N-2} \right| \int_0^{\pi} e^{2q_{\epsilon}|a_{n,j}-x|r\cos\phi/4s} \sin^{N-2}\phi \, d\phi.$$

By Lemma A.3

$$\int_{|x-y|=r} e^{2q_{\epsilon}\langle y-x,a_{n,j}-x\rangle/4s} dS_r(y) \leq C \frac{r^{N-1}e^{2q_{\epsilon}r|a_{n,j}-x|/4s}}{(1+r|a_{n,j}-x|/s)^{(N-1)/2}} \leq Cs^{(N-1)/2} \left(\frac{r}{|a_{n,j}-x|}\right)^{(N-1)/2} e^{2q_{\epsilon}r|a_{n,j}-x|/4s}.$$
(3.48)

Therefore

$$\int_{\Gamma_p} e^{-q_{\epsilon}|y-a_{n,j}|^2/4s} dy \le Ct^{(N+1)/4} p^{(N-3)/4} \frac{s^{(N-1)/2} e^{-q_{\epsilon}(|a_{n,j}-x|-\sqrt{t(p+1)}\,)^2/4s}}{|a_{n,j}-x|^{(N-1)/2}},$$
(3.49)

and, since  $|a_{n,j} - x| \ge \sqrt{tn}$ ,

$$\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Gamma_{p}} (t-s)^{-N/2} s^{-(q(N-1)+1)/2} e^{-|x-y|^{2}/4(t-s)} e^{-q_{\epsilon}\lambda_{n,j,y}^{2}/4s} dy ds 
\leq C \frac{\sqrt{t} p^{(N-3)/4}}{n^{(N-1)/4}} \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{-N/2} s^{-((q-1)(N-1)+1)/2} e^{-pt/4(t-s)} e^{-q_{\epsilon}(\sqrt{tn}-\sqrt{t(p+1)})^{2}/4s} ds 
\leq C \frac{t^{(1-q(N-1))/2} p^{(N-3)/4}}{n^{(N-1)/4}} \int_{0}^{1} (1-s)^{-N/2} s^{-((q-1)(N-1)+1)/2} e^{-p/4(1-s)} e^{-q_{\epsilon}(\sqrt{n}-\sqrt{p+1})^{2}/4s} 
(3.50)$$

We apply Lemma A.1, with  $A = \sqrt{p}$ ,  $B = \sqrt{q_{\epsilon}}(\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{p+1})$ , b = ((q-1)(N-1) + 1)/2, a = N/2 and  $\kappa = \sqrt{q_{\epsilon}}(\ell-1)/8$  as in the case N = 1, and noticing that, for these specific values,

$$\begin{split} A^{1-a}B^{1-b}(A+B)^{a+b-2} &= p^{(2-N)/4} (\sqrt{q_{\epsilon}}(\sqrt{n}-\sqrt{p+1}))^{(1-(q-1)(N-1)/2} \\ &\times (\sqrt{p}+\sqrt{q_{\epsilon}}(\sqrt{n}-\sqrt{p+1}))^{((q-1)(N-1)+N-3)/2} \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{n}{p}\right)^{N/4-1/2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}-\sqrt{p}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{(1-(q-1)(N-1)/2}, \end{split}$$

,

where C depends on N, q and  $\kappa$ . Therefore

$$\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Gamma_{p}} (t-s)^{-N/2} s^{-N/2} e^{-|x-y|^{2}/4(t-s)} e^{-q_{\epsilon}|y-z|^{2}/4s} dy ds \\
\leq C \frac{t^{(1-q(N-1))/2} p^{(N-3)/4}}{n^{(N-1)/4}} \left(\frac{n}{p}\right)^{N/4-1/2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}-\sqrt{p}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{(1-(q-1)(N-1)/2} e^{-(\sqrt{p}+\sqrt{q_{\epsilon}}(\sqrt{n}-\sqrt{p+1}))^{2}/4} \\
\leq C t^{(1-q(N-1))/2} p^{-1/4} n^{((q-1)(N-1)-2)/4} (\sqrt{n}-\sqrt{p})^{(1-(q-1)(N-1)/2} e^{-(\sqrt{p}+\sqrt{q_{\epsilon}}(\sqrt{n}-\sqrt{p+1}))^{2}/4}. \tag{3.51}$$

We derive from (3.47), (3.51),

$$J_{2,\ell}^{\prime h} \leq Ct^{1-Nq/2} \times \sum_{n=\ell+1}^{a_t} \sum_{j \in \Theta_{t,n}^h} n^{((q-1)(N-1)-2)/4} \mu_{n,j}^q(K_{n,j}) \sum_{p=1}^{n-\ell} p^{(2q-3)/4} (\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{p})^{(1-(q-1)(N-1)/2} e^{-(\sqrt{p} + \sqrt{q_{\epsilon}}(\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{p+1}))^2/4}.$$
(3.52)

By Lemma A.2 with  $\alpha = (2q-3)/4$ ,  $\beta = (1-(q-1)(N-1)/2)$ ,  $\delta = 1/4$  and  $\gamma = q_{\epsilon}$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{p=1}^{n-\ell} p^{(2q-3)/4} (\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{p})^{(1-(q-1)(N-1)/2} e^{-(\sqrt{p} + \sqrt{q_{\epsilon}}(\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{p+1}))^2/4} \le C n^{(N(q-1)+q-3)/4} e^{-n/4},$$
(3.53)

thus

$$J_{2,\ell}^{\prime h} \leq Ct^{1-Nq/2} \sum_{n=\ell+1}^{a_t} n^{N(q-1)/2-1} e^{-n/4} \sum_{j \in \Theta_{t,n}^h} \mu_{n,j}^q(K_{n,j}).$$
(3.54)

Because

$$\mu_{n,j}(K_{n,j}) = C_{2/q,q'}^{B_{n,j}}(K_{n,j}) \approx \left(\frac{t}{n+1}\right)^{N/2 - 1/(q-1)} C_{2/q,q'}(\sqrt{n+1}K_{n,j}/\sqrt{t})$$

and diam  $(\sqrt{n+1}K_{n,j}/\sqrt{t}) \leq 2$ , there holds

$$\mu_{n,j}^{q}(K_{n,j}) \le \left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{N(q-1)/2-1} C_{2/q,q'}^{B_{n,j}}(K_{n,j}), \tag{3.55}$$

we obtain

$$J_{2,\ell}^{\prime h} \leq Ct^{-N/2} \sum_{n=\ell+1}^{a_t} e^{-n/4} \sum_{j \in \Theta_{t,n}^h} C_{2/q,q'}^{B_{n,j}}(K_{n,j})$$

$$\leq Ct^{-N/2} \sum_{n=\ell+1}^{a_t} e^{-n/4} \left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{N/2 - 1/(q-1)} C_{2/q,q'}(\sqrt{n}K_n/\sqrt{t}).$$
(3.56)

by using (2.52) in Lemma 2.15. Since  $C_{2/q,q'}(\sqrt{n}K_n/\sqrt{t}) \leq (d_{n+1}\sqrt{n}/\sqrt{t})^{N-2/(q-1)}C_{2/q,q'}(K_n/d_{n+1})$ , we finally derive

$$J_{2,\ell}^{\prime h} \leq Ct^{-N/2} \sum_{n=\ell+1}^{a_t} d_{n+1}^{N-2/(q-1)} e^{-n/4} \sum_{j \in \Theta_{t,n}^h} \mu_{n,j}^q(K_{n,j}).$$
(3.57)

Using again the quasi-additivity and the fact that  $J'_{2,\ell} = \sum_{h=1}^{J} J'^{h}_{2,\ell}$ , we deduce

$$J_{2,\ell} \le C' t^{-N/2} \sum_{n=\ell+1}^{a_t} d_{n+1}^{N-2/(q-1)} e^{-n/4} C_{2/q,q'}(K_n/d_{n+1}),$$
(3.58)

which implies (3.33).

The proof of Theorem 3.1 follows from the previous estimates on  $J_1$  and  $J_2$ . Furthermore the following integral expression holds **Theorem 3.9** Assume  $q \ge q_c$ . Then there exists a positive constants  $C_2^*$ , depending on N,q and T, such that for any closed set F, there holds

$$\underline{u}_{F}(x,t) \ge \frac{C_{2}^{*}}{t^{1+N/2}} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{ta_{t}}} e^{-s^{2}/4t} s^{N-2/(q-1)} C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{F}{s} \cap B_{1}(x)\right) s \, ds, \tag{3.59}$$

where  $a_t$  is the smallest integer j such that  $F \subset B_{\sqrt{jt}}(x)$ .

*Proof.* We shall distinguish according  $q = q_c$ , or  $q > q_c$ , and for simplicity we shall denote  $B_r = B_r(x)$  for the various values of r.

Case 1:  $q = q_c \iff N - 2/(q - 1) = 0$ . Because  $F_n = F \cap (B_{d_{n+1}} \setminus B_{d_n})$  there holds

$$C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{F_n}{d_{n+1}}\right) \ge C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{F}{d_{n+1}} \cap B_1\right) - C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{F \cap B_{d_n}}{d_{n+1}}\right),$$

Furthermore, since  $d_{n+1} \ge d_n$ ,

$$C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{F\cap B_{d_n}}{d_{n+1}}\right) = C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{d_n}{d_{n+1}}\frac{F\cap B_{d_n}}{d_n}\right) \le C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{F}{d_n}\cap B_1\right)$$

thus

$$C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{F_n}{d_{n+1}}\right) \ge C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{F}{d_{n+1}} \cap B_1\right) - C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{F}{d_n} \cap B_1\right),$$

it follows

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=1}^{a_t} e^{-n/4} C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{F_n}{d_{n+1}}\right) &\geq \sum_{n=1}^{a_t} e^{-n/4} C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{F}{d_{n+1}} \cap B_1\right) - \sum_{n=1}^{a_t} e^{-n/4} C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{F}{d_n} \cap B_1\right) \\ &\geq \sum_{n=1}^{a_t} e^{-n/4} C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{F}{d_{n+1}} \cap B_1\right) - e^{-1/4} \sum_{n=0}^{a_t-1} e^{-n/4} C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{F}{d_{n+1}} \cap B_1\right) \\ &\geq (1 - e^{-1/4}) \sum_{n=1}^{a_t-1} e^{-n/4} C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{F}{d_{n+1}} \cap B_1\right) - e^{-1/4} C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{F}{\sqrt{t}} \cap B_1\right). \end{split}$$

Since, by (2.66),

$$C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{F}{s'}\cap B_1\right) \ge C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{F}{d_{n+1}}\cap B_1\right) \ge C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{F}{s}\cap B_1\right),$$

for any  $s' \in [d_{n+1}, d_{n+2}]$  and  $s \in [d_n, d_{n+1}]$ , there holds

$$te^{-n/4}C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{F}{d_{n+1}}\cap B_1\right) \ge C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{F}{d_{n+1}}\cap B_1\right)\int_{d_n}^{d_{n+1}} e^{-s^2/4t}s\,ds$$
$$\ge \int_{d_n}^{d_{n+1}} e^{-s^2/4t}C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{F}{s}\cap B_1\right)s\,ds.$$

This implies

$$W_F(x,t) \ge (1 - e^{-1/4})t^{-(1+N/2)} \int_0^{\sqrt{ta_t}} e^{-s^2/4t} C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{F}{s} \cap B_1\right) s \, ds.$$

Case 2:  $q > q_c \iff N - 2/(q - 1) > 0$ . In that case it is known [1] that

$$C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{F_n}{d_{n+1}}\right) \approx d_{n+1}^{2/(q-1)-N} C_{2/q,q'}\left(F_n\right)$$

thus

$$W_F(x,t) \approx t^{-1-N/2} \sum_{n=0}^{a_t} e^{-n/4} C_{2/q,q'}(F_n).$$

Since

$$C_{2/q,q'}(F_n) \ge C_{2/q,q'}(F \cap B_{d_{n+1}}) - C_{2/q,q'}(F \cap B_{d_n}),$$

and again

$$t^{-N/2} \sum_{n=0}^{a_t} e^{-n/4} C_{2/q,q'}(F_n) \ge (1 - e^{-1/4}) t^{-N/2} \sum_{n=0}^{a_t-1} e^{-n/4} C_{2/q,q'}(F \cap B_{d_{n+1}})$$
$$\ge (1 - e^{-1/4}) t^{-(1+N/2)} \int_0^{\sqrt{ta_t}} e^{-s^2/4t} C_{2/q,q'}(F \cap B_s) s \, ds.$$

Because  $C_{2/q,q'}(F \cap B_s) \approx s^{N-2/(q-1)}C_{2/q,q'}(s^{-1}F \cap B_1)$ , (3.59) follows.

#### 4 Applications

The first result of this section is the following

**Theorem 4.1** Assume  $N \ge 1$  and q > 1. Then  $\overline{u}_K = \underline{u}_K$ .

*Proof.* If  $1 < q < q_c$ , the result is already proved in [20]. The proof in the super-critical case is an adaptation that we shall recall, for the sake of completeness. By Theorem 2.16 and Theorem 3.9 there exists a positive constant C, depending on N, q and T such that

$$\overline{u}_F(x,t) \le \underline{u}_F(x,t) \quad \forall (x,t) \in Q_T.$$

By convexity  $\tilde{u} = \underline{u}_F - \frac{1}{2C}(\overline{u}_F - \underline{u}_F)$  is a super-solution, which is smaller than  $\underline{u}_F$  if we assume that  $\overline{u}_F \neq \underline{u}_F$ . If we set  $\theta := 1/2 + 1/(2C)$ , then  $u_\theta = \theta \overline{u}_F$  is a subsolution. Therefore there exists a solution  $u_1$  of (1.1) in  $Q_\infty$  such that  $u_\theta \leq u_1 \leq \tilde{u} < \underline{u}_F$ . If  $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}^q_+(\mathbb{R}^N)$  satisfies  $\mu(F^c) = 0$ , then  $u_{\theta\mu}$  is the smallest solution of (1.1) which is above the subsolution  $\theta u_\mu$ . Thus  $u_{\theta\mu} \leq u_1 < \underline{u}_F$  and finally  $\underline{u}_F \leq u_1 < \underline{u}_F$ , a contradiction.

If we combine Theorem 2.16 and Theorem 3.9 we derive the following integral approximation of the capacitary potential

**Proposition 4.2** Assume  $q \ge q_c$ . Then there exist two positive constants  $C_1^{\dagger}$ ,  $C_2^{\dagger}$ , depending only on N, q and T such that

$$C_{2}^{\dagger}t^{-(1+N/2)} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{ta_{t}}} s^{N-2/(q-1)} e^{-s^{2}/4t} C_{2/q,q'} \left(\frac{F}{s} \cap B_{1}(x)\right) s \, ds \leq W_{F}(x,t)$$

$$\leq C_{1}^{\dagger}t^{-(1+N/2)} \int_{\sqrt{t}}^{\sqrt{t(a_{t}+2)}} s^{N-2/(q-1)} e^{-s^{2}/4t} C_{2/q,q'} \left(\frac{F}{s} \cap B_{1}(x)\right) s \, ds$$

$$(4.60)$$

for any  $(x,t) \in Q_T$ .

**Definition 4.3** If F is a closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , we define the (2/q, q') integral capacitary potential  $\mathcal{W}_F$  by

$$\mathcal{W}_F(x,t) = t^{-1-N/2} \int_0^{D_F(x)} s^{N-2/(q-1)} e^{-s^2/4t} C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{F}{s} \cap B_1(x)\right) s \, ds \quad \forall (x,t) \in Q_\infty, \quad (4.61)$$

where  $D_F(x) = \max\{|x - y| : y \in F\}.$ 

An easy computation shows that

$$0 \leq \mathcal{W}_{F}(x,t) - t^{-(1+N/2)} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{ta_{t}}} s^{N-2/(q-1)} e^{-s^{2}/4t} C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{F}{s} \cap B_{1}(x)\right) s \, ds$$

$$\leq C \frac{t^{(q-3)/2(q-1)}}{D_{F}(x)} e^{-D_{F}^{2}(x)/4t},$$

$$(4.62)$$

and

$$0 \leq t^{-(1+N/2)} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{t(a_t}+2)} s^{N-2/(q-1)} e^{-s^2/4t} C_{2/q,q'} \left(\frac{F}{s} \cap B_1(x)\right) s \, ds - \mathcal{W}_F(x,t)$$

$$\leq C \frac{t^{(q-3)/2(q-1)}}{D_F(x)} e^{-D_F^2(x)/4t},$$

$$(4.63)$$

for some C = C(N,q) > 0. Furthermore

$$\mathcal{W}_F(x,t) = t^{-1/(q-1)} \int_0^{D_F(x)/\sqrt{t}} s^{N-2/(q-1)} e^{-s^2/4} C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{F}{s\sqrt{t}} \cap B_1(x)\right) s \, ds. \tag{4.64}$$

The following result gives a sufficient condition in order  $\overline{u}_F$  has not a strong blow-up at some point x.

**Proposition 4.4** Assume  $q \ge q_c$  and F is a closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . If there exists  $\gamma \in [0, \infty)$  such that

$$\lim_{\tau \to 0} C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{F}{\tau} \cap B_1(x)\right) = \gamma, \tag{4.65}$$

then

$$\lim_{t \to 0} t^{1/(q-1)} \overline{u}_F(x,t) = C\gamma,$$
(4.66)

for some C = C(N,q) > 0.

*Proof.* Clearly, condition (4.65) implies

$$\lim_{t \to 0} C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{F}{\sqrt{ts}} \cap B_1(x)\right) = \gamma$$

for any s > 0. Then (4.66) follows by Lebesgue's theorem. Notice also that the set of  $\gamma$  is bounded from above by a constant depending on N and q.

In the next result we give a condition in order the solution remains bounded at some point x. The proof is similar to the previous one.

**Proposition 4.5** Assume  $q \ge q_c$  and F is a closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . If

$$\limsup_{\tau \to 0} \tau^{-2/(q-1)} C_{2/q,q'}\left(\frac{F}{\tau} \cap B_1(x)\right) < \infty,$$
(4.67)

then  $\overline{u}_F(x,t)$  remains bounded when  $t \to 0$ .

# A Appendix

The next estimate is crucial in the study of semilinear parabolic equations.

**Lemma A.1** Let a and b be two real numbers, a > 0 and  $\kappa > 0$ . Then there exists a constant  $C = C(a, b, \kappa) > 0$  such that for any A > 0,  $B > \kappa/A$  there holds

$$\int_0^1 (1-x)^{-a} x^{-b} e^{-A^2/4(1-x)} e^{-B^2/4x} dx \le C e^{-(A+B)^2/4} A^{1-a} B^{1-b} (A+B)^{a+b-2}.$$
 (A.1)

*Proof.* We first notice that

$$\max\{e^{-A^2/4(1-x)}e^{-B^2/4x}: 0 \le x \le 1\} = e^{-(A+B)^2/4},\tag{A.2}$$

and it is achieved for  $x_0 = B/(A+B)$ . Set  $\Phi(x) = (1-x)^{-a}x^{-b}e^{-A^2/4(1-x)}e^{-B^2/4x}$ , thus

$$\int_0^1 \Phi(x) dx = \int_0^{x_0} \Phi(x) dx + \int_{x_0}^1 \Phi(x) dx = I_{a,b} + J_{a,b}.$$

Put

$$u = \frac{A^2}{4(1-x)} + \frac{B^2}{4x},\tag{A.3}$$

then

$$4ux^{2} - (4u + B^{2} - A^{2})x + B^{2} = 0.$$
 (A.4)

If  $0 < x < x_0$  this equation admits the solution

$$x = x(u) = \frac{1}{8u} \left( 4u + B^2 - A^2 - \sqrt{16u^2 - 8u(A^2 + B^2) + (A^2 - B^2)^2} \right)$$
$$\int_0^{x_0} (1-x)^{-a} x^{-b} e^{-A^2/4(1-x) - B^2/4x} dx = -\int_{(A+B)^2/4}^{\infty} (1-x(u))^{-a} x(u)^{-b} e^{-u} x'(u) du$$

Putting x' = x'(u) and differentiating (A.4),

$$4x^{2} + 8uxx' - (4u + B^{2} - A^{2})x' - 4x = 0 \Longrightarrow -x' = \frac{4x(1-x)}{4u + B^{2} - A^{2} - 8ux}.$$

Thus

$$\int_0^{x_0} \Phi(x) dx = 4 \int_{(A+B)^2/4}^{\infty} \frac{(1-x(u))^{-a+1} x(u)^{-b+1} e^{-u} du}{4u+B^2 - A^2 - 8ux(u)}.$$
 (A.5)

Using the explicit value of the root x(u), we finally get

$$\int_0^{x_0} \Phi(x) dx = 4 \int_{(A+B)^2/4}^{\infty} \frac{(1-x(u))^{-a+1} x(u)^{-b+1} e^{-u} du}{\sqrt{16u^2 - 8u(A^2 + B^2) + (A^2 - B^2)^2}},$$
(A.6)

and the factorization below holds

$$16u^{2} - 8u(A^{2} + B^{2}) + (A^{2} - B^{2})^{2} = 16(u - (A + B)^{2}/4)(u - (A - B)^{2}/4)$$

We set  $u = v + (A + B)^2/4$  and obtain

$$x(u) = \frac{v + (AB + B^2)/2 - \sqrt{v(v + AB)}}{2(v + (A + B)^2/4)},$$

and

$$1 - x(u) = \frac{v + (A^2 + AB)/2 + \sqrt{v(v + AB)}}{2(v + (A + B)^2/4)}.$$

We introduce the relation  $\approx$  linking two positive quantities depending on A and B. It means that the two sided-inequalities up to multiplicative constants independent of A and B. Therefore

$$\tilde{\Phi}(v) = \frac{\int_{0}^{x_{0}} \Phi(x)dx = 2^{a-b-4}e^{-(A+B)^{2}/4} \int_{0}^{\infty} \tilde{\Phi}(v)dv \quad \text{where}}{\left(v + (AB + B^{2})/2 - \sqrt{v(v+AB)}\right)^{1-b} \left(v + (A^{2} + AB)/2 + \sqrt{v(v+AB)}\right)^{1-a}}{\left(v + (A+B)^{2}/4\right)^{2-a-b} \sqrt{v(v+AB)}} e^{-v}dv.$$
(A.7)

Case 1:  $a \ge 1, b \ge 1$ . First

$$\frac{\left(v + (A+B)^2/4\right)^{a+b-2}}{\sqrt{v(v+AB)}} \le \frac{\left(v + (A+B)^2/4\right)^{a+b-2}}{\sqrt{v(v+\kappa)}} \approx \frac{\left(v + (A+B)^2\right)^{a+b-2}}{\sqrt{v(v+\kappa)}}$$
(A.8)

since  $a + b - 2 \ge 0$  and  $AB \ge \kappa$ . Next

$$\left(v + (A^2 + AB)/2 + \sqrt{v(v + AB)}\right)^{1-a} \approx (v + A(A + B))^{1-a}.$$
 (A.9)

Furthermore

$$v + (AB + B^{2})/2 - \sqrt{v(v + AB)} = B^{2} \frac{v + (A + B)^{2}/4}{v + B(A + B)/2 + \sqrt{v(v + AB)}}$$

$$\approx B^{2} \frac{v + (A + B)^{2}}{v + B(A + B)}.$$
(A.10)

Then

$$\left(v + (AB + B^2)/2 - \sqrt{v(v + AB)}\right)^{1-b} \approx B^{2-2b} \left(\frac{v + B(A + B)}{v + (A + B)^2}\right)^{b-1}$$
(A.11)

It follows

$$\tilde{\Phi}(v) \le CB^{2-2b} \left(\frac{v + (A+B)^2}{v + A(A+B)}\right)^{a-1} \frac{(v + B(A+B))^{b-1}}{\sqrt{v(v+\kappa)}} \le CB^{2-2b} \left(\frac{v + (A+B)^2}{v + A(A+B)}\right)^{a-1} \frac{v^{b-1} + (B^2 + AB)^{b-1}}{\sqrt{v(v+\kappa)}}$$
(A.12)

where C depends on a, b and  $\kappa$ . The function  $v \mapsto (v + (A+B)^2)/(v + A(A+B))$  is decreasing on  $(0, \infty)$ . If we set

$$C_1 = \int_0^\infty \frac{v^{b-1} e^{-v} dv}{\sqrt{v(v+\kappa)}} \quad \text{and} \quad C_2 = \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-v} dv}{\sqrt{v(v+\kappa)}}$$

then

$$C_1 \le K(B^2 + AB)^{b-1}C_2$$

with  $K = C_1 \kappa^{1-b} / C_2$ . Therefore

$$\int_0^{x_0} \Phi(x) dx \le C e^{-(A+B)^2/4} B^{1-b} A^{1-a} (A+B)^{a+b-2}.$$
(A.13)

The estimate of  $J_{a,b}$  is obtained by exchanging (A, a) with (B, b) and replacing x by 1 - x. Mutadis mutandis, this yields directly to the same expression as in A.13 and finally

$$\int_0^1 \Phi(x) dx \le C e^{-(A+B)^2/4} A^{1-a} B^{1-b} (A+B)^{a+b-2}.$$
 (A.14)

Case 2:  $a \ge 1, b < 1$ . Estimates (A.7 ), (A.8 ), (A.9 ), (A.10 ) and (A.11 ) are valid. Because  $v \mapsto (v + B(A + B))^{b-1}$  is decreasing, (A.12 ) has to be replaced by

$$\tilde{\Phi}(v) \le CB^{2-2b} \left(\frac{v + (A+B)^2}{v + A(A+B)}\right)^{a-1} \frac{\left(AB + B^2\right)^{b-1}}{\sqrt{v(v+\kappa)}}.$$
(A.15)

This implies (A.13 ) directly. The estimate of  $J_{a,b}$  is performed by the change of variable  $x \mapsto 1 - x$ . If  $x_1 = 1 - x_0$ , there holds

$$J_{a,b} = \int_0^{x_1} x^{-a} (1-x)^{-b} e^{-A^2/4x} e^{-B^2/4(1-x)} dx = \int_0^{x_1} \Psi(x) dx.$$

Then

$$\tilde{\Psi}(v) = \frac{\int_{0}^{x_{1}} \Psi(x) dx = 2^{b-a-4} e^{-(A+B)^{2}/4} \int_{0}^{x_{1}} \tilde{\Psi}(v) dv \quad \text{where}}{\left(v + (AB + A^{2})/2 - \sqrt{v(v+AB)}\right)^{1-a} \left(v + (B^{2} + AB)/2 + \sqrt{v(v+AB)}\right)^{1-b}} e^{-v} dv.$$

$$(v + (A+B)^{2}/4)^{2-a-b} \sqrt{v(v+AB)}$$
(A.16)

Equivalence (A.8) is unchanged; (A.9) is replaced by

$$\left(v + (B^2 + AB)/2 + \sqrt{v(v + AB)}\right)^{1-b} \approx \left(v + B(A + B)\right)^{1-b},$$
 (A.17)

(A.10) by

$$v + (AB + A^2)/2 - \sqrt{v(v + AB)} \approx A^2 \frac{v + (A + B)^2}{v + A(A + B)},$$
 (A.18)

and (A.11 ) by

$$\left(v + (AB + A^2)/2 - \sqrt{v(v + AB)}\right)^{1-a} \approx A^{2-2a} \left(\frac{v + A(A + B)}{v + (A + B)^2}\right)^{a-1}.$$
 (A.19)

Because a > 1, (A.12) turns into

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\Psi}(v) &\leq CA^{2-2b}(v + (A+B)^2)^{b-1} \frac{(v+A^2+AB)^{a-1}(v+B^2+AB)^{1-b}}{\sqrt{v(v+\kappa)}} \\ &\leq Ce^{-(A+B)^2/4} A^{2-2b}(A+B)^{2b-2} \\ &\times \frac{v^{a-b} + (A^2+AB)^{a-1}v^{1-b} + (B^2+AB)^{1-b}v^{a-1} + A^{a-1}B^{1-b}(A+B)^{a-b}}{\sqrt{v(v+\kappa)}}. \end{split}$$

$$(A.20)$$

Because  $AB \ge \kappa$ , there exists a positive constant C, depending on  $\kappa$ , such that

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{v^{a-b} + (A^{2} + AB)^{a-1} v^{1-b} + (B^{2} + AB)^{1-b} v^{a-1}}{\sqrt{v(v+\kappa)}} e^{-v} dv$$

$$\leq CA^{a-1}B^{1-b}(A+B)^{a-b} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-v} dv}{\sqrt{v(v+\kappa)}}.$$
(A.21)

Combining (A.20) and (A.21) yields to

$$\int_0^{x_1} \Psi(x) dx \le C e^{-(A+B)^2/4} A^{1-a} B^{1-b} (A+B)^{a+b-2}.$$
 (A.22)

This, again, implies that (A.1) holds.

Case 3:  $\max\{a,b\}<1.$  Inequalities (A.7 )-(A.11 ) hold, but (A.12 ) has to be replaced by

$$\tilde{\Phi}(v) \leq CB^{2-2b} \left(\frac{v + (A+B)^2}{v + A(A+B)}\right)^{a-1} \frac{\left(v + B^2 + AB\right)^{b-1}}{\sqrt{v(v+\kappa)}} \\
\leq CB^{1-b}(A+B)^{2a+b-3} \frac{v^{1-a} + \left(A^2 + AB\right)^{1-a}}{\sqrt{v(v+\kappa)}}$$
(A.23)

Noticing that

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{v^{1-a} e^{-v} dv}{\sqrt{v(v+\kappa)}} \le C \left(A^2 + AB\right)^{1-a} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-v} dv}{\sqrt{v(v+\kappa)}},$$

it follows that (A.13) holds. Finally (A.14) holds by exchanging (A, a) and (B, b).

**Lemma A.2** . Let  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\delta$  be real numbers and  $\ell$  an integer. We assume  $\gamma > 1$ ,  $\delta > 0$  and  $\ell \ge 2$ . Then there exists a positive constant C such that, for any integer  $n > \ell$ 

$$\sum_{p=1}^{n-\ell} p^{\alpha} (\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{p})^{\beta} e^{-\delta(\sqrt{p} + \sqrt{\gamma}(\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{p+1}))^2} \le C n^{\alpha - \beta/2} e^{-\delta n}.$$
(A.24)

*Proof.* The function  $x \mapsto (\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{\gamma}(\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{x+1}))^2$  is decreasing on  $[(\gamma-1)^{-1}, \infty)$ . Furthermore there exists C > 0 depending on  $\ell$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that  $p^{\alpha}(\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{p})^{\beta} \leq Cx^{\alpha}(\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{x+1})^{\beta}$  for  $x \in [p, p+1]$  If we denote by  $p_0$  the smallest integer larger than  $(\gamma-1)^{-1}$ , we derive

$$\begin{split} S &= \sum_{p=1}^{n-\ell} p^{\alpha} (\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{p})^{\beta} e^{-(\sqrt{p} + \sqrt{\gamma}(\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{p+1}))^2/4} = \sum_{p=1}^{p_0-1} + \sum_{p_0}^{n-\ell} p^{\alpha} (\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{p})^{\beta} e^{-\delta(\sqrt{p} + \sqrt{\gamma}(\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{p+1}))^2} \\ &\leq \sum_{p=1}^{p_0-1} p^{\alpha} (\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{p})^{\beta} e^{-\delta(\sqrt{p} + \sqrt{\gamma}(\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{p+1}))^2} \\ &\quad + C \int_{p_0}^{n+1-\ell} x^{\alpha} (\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{x})^{\beta} e^{-\delta(\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{\gamma}(\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{x+1}))^2} dx, \end{split}$$

(notice that  $\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{x} \approx \sqrt{n} - \sqrt{x+1}$  for  $x \leq n-\ell$ ). Clearly

$$\sum_{p=1}^{p_0-1} p^{\alpha} (\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{p})^{\beta} e^{-\delta(\sqrt{p} + \sqrt{\gamma}(\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{p+1}))^2} \le C_0 n^{\alpha} (\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{n-\ell})^{\beta} e^{-\delta n}$$
(A.25)

for some  $C_0$  independent of n. We set  $y = y(x) = \sqrt{x+1} - \sqrt{x}/\sqrt{\gamma}$ . Obviously

$$y'(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{x+1}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}\sqrt{x}} \right) \quad \forall x \ge p_0,$$

and their exists  $\epsilon = \epsilon(\delta, \gamma) > 0$  such that  $\sqrt{2}\sqrt{x} \ge y(x) \ge \epsilon\sqrt{x}$  and  $y'(x) \ge \epsilon/\sqrt{x}$ . Furthermore

$$\begin{split} \sqrt{x} &= \frac{\sqrt{\gamma} \left( y + \sqrt{\gamma y^2 + 1 - \gamma} \right)}{\gamma - 1}, \\ \sqrt{n} - \sqrt{x} &= \frac{\sqrt{n}(\gamma - 1) - \sqrt{\gamma} y - \sqrt{\gamma} \sqrt{\gamma y^2 + 1 - \gamma}}{\gamma - 1} \\ &= \frac{n(\gamma - 1) + \gamma - 2y\sqrt{\gamma n} - \gamma y^2}{\sqrt{n}(\gamma - 1) - \sqrt{\gamma} y + \sqrt{\gamma} \sqrt{\gamma y^2 + 1 - \gamma}} \\ &\approx \frac{n(\gamma - 1) + \gamma - 2y\sqrt{\gamma n} - \gamma y^2}{\sqrt{n}} \end{split}$$

since  $y(x) \leq \sqrt{n}$ . Furthermore

$$\begin{split} n(\gamma-1) + \gamma - 2y\sqrt{\gamma n} - \gamma y^2 &= \gamma (\sqrt{n+1} + \sqrt{n}/\sqrt{\gamma} + y)(\sqrt{n+1} - \sqrt{n}/\sqrt{\gamma} - y) \\ &\approx \sqrt{n}(\sqrt{n+1} - \sqrt{n}/\sqrt{\gamma} - y), \end{split}$$

because y ranges between  $\sqrt{n+2-\ell} - \sqrt{n+1-\ell}\sqrt{\gamma} \approx \sqrt{n}$  and  $\sqrt{p_0+1} - \sqrt{p_0}\sqrt{\gamma}$ . Thus

$$(\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{x})^{\beta} \approx (\sqrt{n+1} - \sqrt{n}/\sqrt{\gamma} - y)^{\beta}$$

This implies

$$\int_{p_{0}}^{n+1-\ell} x^{\alpha} (\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{x})^{\beta} e^{-\delta(\sqrt{x} + \gamma(\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{x+1}))^{2}} dx \\
\leq C \int_{y(p_{0})}^{y(n+1-\ell)} y^{2\alpha+1} (\sqrt{n+1} - \sqrt{n}/\sqrt{\gamma} - y)^{\beta} e^{-\gamma\delta(\sqrt{n}-y)^{2}} dy \\
\leq C n^{\alpha+\beta/2+1} \int_{1-y(n+1-\ell)/\sqrt{n}}^{1-y(p_{0})/\sqrt{n}} (1-z)^{2\alpha+1} (z + \sqrt{1+1/n} - 1 - 1/\sqrt{\gamma})^{\beta} e^{-\gamma\delta nz^{2}} dz.$$
(A.26)

Moreover

$$1 - \frac{y(p_0)}{\sqrt{n}} = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left( \sqrt{p_0 + 1} - \frac{\sqrt{p_0}}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \right),$$
  

$$1 - \frac{y(n - \ell + 1)}{\sqrt{n}} = 1 - \frac{\sqrt{n - \ell + 2}}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{\sqrt{n - \ell + 1}}{\sqrt{n\gamma}}$$
  

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \left( 1 + \frac{\sqrt{\gamma} \left(\ell - 2\right) - \ell + 1}{2n} + \frac{\sqrt{\gamma} \left(\ell - 2\right)^2 - \left(\ell - 1\right)^2}{8n^2} \right) + O(n^{-3}).$$
  
(A.27)

Let  $\theta$  fixed such that  $1 - \frac{y(n-\ell+1)}{\sqrt{n}} < \theta < 1 - \frac{y(p_0)}{\sqrt{n}}$  for any  $n > p_0$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \int_{\theta}^{1-y(p_0)/\sqrt{n}} &(1-z)^{2\alpha+1}(z+\sqrt{1+1/n}-1-1/\sqrt{\gamma})^{\beta}e^{-\gamma\delta nz^2}dz \leq C_{\theta} \int_{\theta}^{1-y(p_0)/\sqrt{n}} &(1-z)^{2\alpha+1}e^{-\gamma\delta nz^2}dz \\ &\leq C_{\theta} \ e^{-\gamma\delta n\theta^2} \int_{\theta}^{1-y(p_0)/\sqrt{n}} &(1-z)^{2\alpha+1}dz \\ &\leq C \ e^{-\gamma\delta n\theta^2} \max\{1, n^{-\alpha-1/2}\}. \end{split}$$

Because  $\gamma \theta^2 > 1$  we derive

$$\int_{\theta}^{1-y(p_0)/\sqrt{n}} (1-z)^{2\alpha+1} (z+\sqrt{1+1/n}-1-1/\sqrt{\gamma})^{\beta} e^{-\gamma\delta n z^2} dz \le C n^{-\beta} e^{-\delta n},$$
(A.28)

for some constant C > 0. On the other hand

$$\begin{split} \int_{1-y(n+1-\ell)/\sqrt{n}}^{\theta} &(1-z)^{2\alpha+1}(z+\sqrt{1+1/n}-1-1/\sqrt{\gamma})^{\beta}e^{-\gamma\delta nz^{2}}dz \\ &\leq C_{\theta}' \int_{1-y(n+1-\ell)/\sqrt{n}}^{\theta} &(z+\sqrt{1+1/n}-1-1/\sqrt{\gamma})^{\beta}e^{-\gamma\delta nz^{2}}dz. \end{split}$$

The minimum of  $z \mapsto (z + \sqrt{1 + 1/n} - 1 - 1/\sqrt{\gamma})^{\beta}$  is achieved at  $1 - y(n + 1 - \ell)$  with value

$$\frac{\sqrt{\gamma}(\ell+1)+1-\ell}{2n\sqrt{\gamma}}+O(n^{-2}),$$

and the maximum of the exponential term is achieved at the same point with value

$$e^{-n\delta + ((\ell-2)\sqrt{\gamma} + 1 - \ell)/2} (1 + o(1)) = C_{\gamma} e^{-n\delta} (1 + o(1)).$$

We denote

$$z_{\gamma,n} = 1 + 1/\sqrt{\gamma} - \sqrt{1 + 1/n}$$
 and  $I_{\beta} = \int_{1-y(n+1-\ell)/\sqrt{n}}^{\theta} (z - z_{\gamma,n})^{\beta} e^{-\gamma \delta n z^2} dz$ .

Since  $1 - y(n + 1 - \ell) \ge 1/\sqrt{2\gamma}$  for n large enough,

$$I_{\beta} \leq \sqrt{2\gamma} \int_{1-y(n+1-\ell)/\sqrt{n}}^{\theta} (z-z_{\gamma,n})^{\beta} z e^{-\gamma \delta n z^{2}} dz$$
  
$$\leq \frac{-\sqrt{2\gamma}}{2n\gamma\delta} \left[ (z-z_{\gamma,n})^{\beta} e^{-\gamma \delta n z^{2}} \right]_{1-y(n+1-\ell)/\sqrt{n}}^{\theta} + \frac{\beta \sqrt{2\gamma}}{2n\gamma\delta} \int_{1-y(n+1-\ell)/\sqrt{n}}^{\theta} (z-z_{\gamma,n})^{\beta-1} z e^{-\gamma \delta n z^{2}} dz$$

But  $1 - y(n+1-\ell)/\sqrt{n} - z_{\gamma,n} = (\ell-1)(1-1/\sqrt{\gamma})/2n$ , therefore

 $I_{\beta} \le C_1 n^{-\beta - 1} e^{-\delta n} + \beta C_1' n^{-1} I_{\beta - 1}.$ (A.29)

If  $\beta \leq 0$  , we derive

$$I_{\beta} \le C_1 n^{-\beta - 1} e^{-\delta n}$$

which inequality, combined with (A.26 ) and (A.28 ), yields to (A.24 ). If  $\beta>0,$  we iterate and get

$$I_{\beta} \le C_1 n^{-\beta - 1} e^{-\delta n} + C_1' n^{-1} (C_1 n^{-\beta} e^{-\delta n} + (\beta - 1) C_1' n^{-1} I_{\beta - 2})$$

If  $\beta - 1 \leq 0$  we derive

$$I_{\beta} \le C_1 n^{-\beta - 1} e^{-\delta n} + C_1 C_1' n^{-1 - \beta} e^{-\delta n} = C_2 n^{-\beta - 1} e^{-\delta n},$$

which again yields to (A.24 ). If  $\beta - 1 > 0$ , we continue up we find a positive integer k such that  $\beta - k \le 0$ , which again yields to

$$I_{\beta} \le C_k n^{-\beta - 1} e^{-\delta n}$$

and to (A.24).

The next estimate is fundamental in deriving the N-dimensional estimate.

**Lemma A.3** For any integer  $N \ge 2$  there exists a constant  $c_N > 0$  such that

$$\int_{0}^{\pi} e^{m\cos\theta} \sin^{N-2}\theta \, d\theta \le c_N \frac{e^m}{(1+m)^{(N-1)/2}} \quad \forall m > 0.$$
 (A.30)

Proof. Put 
$$\mathcal{I}_N(m) = \int_0^{\pi} e^{m\cos\theta} \sin^{N-2}\theta \, d\theta$$
. Then  $\mathcal{I}'_2(m) = \int_0^{\pi} e^{m\cos\theta} \cos\theta \, d\theta$  and  
 $\mathcal{I}''_2(m) = \int_0^{\pi} e^{m\cos\theta} \cos^2\theta \, d\theta = \mathcal{I}_2(m) - \int_0^{\pi} e^{m\cos\theta} \sin^2\theta \, d\theta$   
 $= \mathcal{I}_2(m) - \frac{1}{m} \int_0^{\pi} e^{m\cos\theta} \cos\theta \, d\theta$   
 $= \mathcal{I}_2(m) - \frac{1}{m} \mathcal{I}'_2(m).$ 

Thus  $\mathcal{I}_2$  satisfies a Bessel equation of order 0. Since  $\mathcal{I}_2(0) = \pi$  and  $\mathcal{I}'_2(0) = 0$ ,  $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{I}_2$  is the modified Bessel function of index 0 (usually denoted by  $I_0$ ) the asymptotic behaviour of which is well known, thus (A.30) holds. If N = 3

$$\mathcal{I}_3(m) = \int_0^{\pi} e^{m\cos\theta} \sin\theta \, d\theta = \left[\frac{-e^{m\cos\theta}}{m}\right]_0^{\pi} = \frac{2\sinh m}{m}.$$

For N > 3 arbitrary

$$\mathcal{I}_N(m) = \int_0^\pi \frac{-1}{m} \frac{d}{d\theta} (e^{m\cos\theta}) \sin^{N-3}\theta \, d\theta = \frac{N-3}{m} \int_0^\pi e^{m\cos\theta} \cos\theta \sin^{N-4}\theta \, d\theta. \tag{A.31}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{I}_4(m) = \frac{1}{m} \int_0^{\pi} e^{m \cos \theta} \cos \theta \, d\theta = \mathcal{I}'_2(m),$$

and, again (A.30 ) holds since  $I_0'(m)$  has the same behaviour as  $I_0(m)$  at infinity. For  $N \ge 5$ 

$$\mathcal{I}_N(m) = \frac{3-N}{m^2} \left[ e^{m\cos\theta}\cos\theta\sin^{N-5}\theta \right]_0^\pi + \frac{N-3}{m^2} \int_0^\pi e^{m\cos\theta} \frac{d}{d\theta} \left(\cos\theta\sin^{N-5}\theta\right) d\theta.$$

Differentiating  $\cos\theta\sin^{N-5}\theta$  and using (A.31 ), we obtain

$$\mathcal{I}_5(m) = \frac{4\sinh m}{m^2} - \frac{4\sinh m}{m^3},$$

while

$$\mathcal{I}_N(m) = \frac{(N-3)(N-5)}{m^2} \left( \mathcal{I}_{N-4}(m) - \mathcal{I}_{N-2}(m) \right), \tag{A.32}$$

for  $N \geq 6$ . Since the estimate (A.30) for  $\mathcal{I}_2$ ,  $\mathcal{I}_3$ ,  $\mathcal{I}_4$  and  $\mathcal{I}_5$  has already been obtained, a straightforward induction yields to the general result.

*Remark.* Although it does not has any importance for our use, it must be noticed that  $\mathcal{I}_N$  can be expressed either with hyperbolic functions if N is odd, or with Bessel functions if N is even.

### References

 Adams D. R. and Hedberg L. I., Function spaces and potential theory, Grundlehren Math. Wissen. 314, Springer (1996).

- [2] Aikawa H. and Borichev A.A., Quasiadditivity and measure property of capacity and the tangential boundary behavior of harmonic functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 348, 1013-1030 (1996).
- [3] P. Baras & M. Pierre, Singularités éliminables pour des équations semilinéaires, Ann. Inst. Fourier 34, 185-206 (1984).
- [4] P. Baras & M. Pierre, Problèmes paraboliques semi-linéaires avec données mesures, Applicable Anal. 18, 111-149 (1984).
- [5] H. Brezis, L. A. Peletier & D. Terman, A very singular solution of the heat equation with absorption, Arch. rat. Mech. Anal. 95, 185-209 (1986).
- [6] H. Brezis & A. Friedman, Nonlinear parabolic equations involving measures as initial conditions, J. Math. Pures Appl. 62, 73-97 (1983).
- [7] Dynkin E. B. and Kuznetsov S. E. Superdiffusions and removable singularities for quasilinear partial differential equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 49, 125-176 (1996).
- [8] Dynkin E. B. and Kuznetsov S. E. Solutions of  $Lu = u^{\alpha}$  dominated by harmonic functions, J. Analyse Math. 68, 15-37 (1996).
- [9] G. Grillo, Lower bounds for the Dirichlet heat kernel, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. 48, 203-211 (1997).
- [10] Grisvard P., Commutativité de deux foncteurs d'interpolation et applications, J. Math. Pures et Appl., 45, 143-290 (1966).
- [11] Khavin V. P. and Maz'ya V. G., Nonlinear Potential Theory, Russian Math. Surveys 27, 71-148 (1972).
- [12] S.E. Kuznetsov, Polar boundary set for superdiffusions and removable lateral singularities for nonlinear parabolic PDEs, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 51, 303-340 (1998).
- [13] Labutin D. A., Wiener regularity for large solutions of nonlinear equations, Archiv för Math. (à paraître).
- [14] O.A. Ladyzhenskaya, V.A. Solonnikov& N.N. Ural'tseva, Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type, Nauka, Moscow (1967). English transl. Amer. Math. Soc. Providence R.I. (1968).
- [15] Legall J. F., The Brownian snake and solutions of  $\Delta u = u^2$  in a domain, Probab. Th. Rel. Fields **102**, 393-432 (1995).
- [16] Legall J. F., A probabilistic approach to the trace at the boundary for solutions of a semilinear parabolic partial differential equation, J. Appl. Math. Stochastic Anal. 9, 399-414 (1996).
- [17] Lions J. L. & Petree J. Espaces d'interpolation, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. (1964).

- [18] M. Marcus & L. Véron, The boundary trace of positive solutions of semilinear elliptic equations: the subcritical case, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 144, 201-231 (1998).
- [19] Marcus M. and Véron L., The boundary trace of positive solutions of semilinear elliptic equations: the supercritical case, J. Math. Pures Appl. 77, 481-524 (1998).
- [20] M. Marcus & L. Véron, The initial trace of positive solutions of semilinear parabolic equations, Comm. Part. Diff. Equ. 24, 1445-1499 (1999).
- [21] Marcus M. and Véron L., Removable singularities and boundary trace, J. Math. Pures Appl. 80, 879-900 (2000).
- [22] Marcus M. and Véron L., Capacitary estimates of solutions of a class of nonlinear elliptic equations, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 336, 913-918 (2003).
- [23] Marcus M. and Véron L., Capacitary estimates of positive solutions of semilinear elliptic equations with absorption, J. Europ. Math. Soc., to appear.
- [24] M. Marcus & L. Véron, Semilinear parabolic equations with measure boundary data and isolated singularities, J. Analyse Mathématique (2001).
- [25] M. Marcus & L. Véron, Capacitary representation of positive solutions of semilinear parabolic equations, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, to appear.
- [26] Mselati B., Classification et représentation probabiliste des solutions positives de  $\Delta u = u^2$ dans un domaine. Thèse de Doctorat, Université Paris 6, (2002).
- [27] Pierre M., Problèmes semi-linéaires avec données mesures, Séminaire Goulaouic-Meyer-Schwartz (1982-1983) XIII.
- [28] Stein E. M., Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions, Princeton Univ. Press 30 (1970).
- [29] Triebel H., Interpolation theory, function spaces, Differential operators, North-Holland Publ. Co., (1978).
- [30] Whittaker E. T. & Watson G. N., A course of Modern Analysis, Cambridge University Press, 4th Ed. (1927), Chapter XXI.