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#### Abstract

We derive a model for the conduction in an $\varepsilon$-periodic structure containing highly conductive thin layers. The case of plane thin layers is first considered. It is shown that the resulting model displays an increased conductivity along the directions of the layers planes. The more involved case of tubular layers yields a similar result with an increase of the conductivity along the direction of the tubes, while the presence of highly conductive thin layers confined between spheres of $\varepsilon$-order radii does not increase the macroscopic conductivity in any direction. It seems that the presence of $\varepsilon$-periodic highly conductive thin layers determines an increase of the macroscopic conductivity in a certain direction only if these layers cover entirely segment lines of unity order having this direction.
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## 1 Introduction

The study of layered materials is one main achievement of homogenization theory, beside Darcy's law in fluid mechanics and the modelling of composite materials in elasticity. The foundations of layered materials were laid down by Murat and Tartar in their pioneering work [9]. It states that given some characteristic coefficients $a_{\varepsilon}$, under some additional assumptions on the inverse $1 / a_{\varepsilon}$, the reduced model can be explicited. In [6], the theory still works in the framework of weaker topologies. The case of $B V$-functions and sequences of measures is worked out in [5]. The engineering point of view favors geometric considerations and industrial achievements. In that respect, measures provide a more realistic tool when they are confined to the description of the critical part of a system as in [1], [2]. Later, the control-zone method introduced in [3], [4] and designed for Sobolev spaces, proved efficient in the modelling of fine substructures where small particles of high density influence the behavior of the global problem in spite of their vanishing volume. The asymptotic treatment reveals the apparent paradox between an obviously disappearing element and its everlasting action on their environment.

The paper is organized as follows. The problem of plane thin layers is con-
sidered in Section 2. Subsection 2.1 is devoted to the main notations and to the description of the initial problem. The functional framework is introduced through the space $W_{1}$ in (11)-(54) and yields the existence and unicity of the solution. Subsection 2.2 introduces the main tools of a control-zone (18) characterized by two layers widths $r_{\varepsilon}$ and $R_{\varepsilon}$. Then, the problem reduces to studying the action of both local operators $G_{R_{\varepsilon}}$ and $G_{r_{\varepsilon}}$ on the solution $u_{\varepsilon}$ of the initial problem. The homogenization procedure is described in Subsection 2.3. The main theorem 3.21 emphasizes the influence of the geometry of the fissures on the global behavior of the mixture in the form of an enforcing multiplicative coefficient in the plane direction. Interestingly, we observe that unlike the case of a geometry involving a capacity criterium, there is no discriminant parameter and the result holds however very small the thickness $r_{\varepsilon}$ of a layer is in comparison to the size of the distribution period.

The more involved case of tubular layers is studied in Section 3. The arguments follow the same lines with an exchange in the respective parts of the dimensions, namely the plane dimension containing the periodic distribution of the tubes where the homogenization actually takes place and the dimension corresponding to the direction of the tubes. This is explained in Subsection 3.1 which is the analogue of Subsection 2.1. The control tools are presented in Subsection 3.2 where the new definition of local operators involves the mean value upon both interior and exterior boundaries of the tubular layers. From a practical point of view, the mere exchange in the respective parts of the plane and longitudinal coordinates corresponds to an improved theoretical material. In the main Theorem of Subsection 3.3, the respective parts of the thin tubular fissures and the surrounding mixture is emphasized through the introduction of a scaled conductivity outside the fissures. It eventually shows that the global conductivity is increased in the direction of the fissures and that this increase adds to the global conductivity that is classically derived in the presence of a periodic in-plane distribution.

The same arguments apply to the homogenization of thin layers confined between spheres of $\varepsilon$-order radii, but with no increase of the macroscopic conductivity, in any direction. Indeed, the macroscopic conductivity takes into account both components of the original system only if the thin layers cover segment lines of unity order having a certain direction. Eventually, the increase of the macroscopic conductivity shows up along this distinguished directions of the thin layers.

## 2 The case of separating thin plane layers

### 2.1 The conduction problem

We consider $\Omega=I \times D$ with $I=(0,1), D \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{N}, N \geq 1$ a bounded Lipschitz domain occupied by a mixture of two different materials, one of them forming the ambiental connected phase and the other being concentrated in a periodical
distribution of plane thin layers. Let us denote

$$
\begin{gather*}
I:=\left(-\frac{1}{2},+\frac{1}{2}\right)  \tag{1}\\
I_{\varepsilon}^{k}:=\varepsilon k+\varepsilon I, \quad k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}:=\{\ell \in \mathrm{N}, 0<\varepsilon \ell<1\} . \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

The distribution of fissures is defined by the following reunion

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\varepsilon}:=\cup_{k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}} I_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{k} \times D \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<r_{\varepsilon} \ll \varepsilon$ and $I_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{k}:=\varepsilon k+2 r_{\varepsilon} I$. Obviously,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|T_{\varepsilon}\right|=2 r_{\varepsilon} \operatorname{card} \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}|D| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also use the following notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{\varepsilon}=\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, 1-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \times D, \quad \partial_{1} \Omega:=\{0,1\} \times D, \quad \partial_{D} \Omega:=(0,1) \times \partial D \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider the problem which governs the conduction process throughout our binary mixture. Denoting by $a_{\varepsilon}>0$ the relative conductivity of the thin layers, then, its non-dimensional form is the following:

To find $u_{\varepsilon}$ solution of

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\operatorname{div}\left(A_{\varepsilon} \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right)=f_{\varepsilon} \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{6}\\
u_{\varepsilon}=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \partial_{1} D  \tag{7}\\
\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \nu}=0, \quad \nu=\left(0, \nu^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { on } \quad \partial_{D} \Omega  \tag{8}\\
u_{\varepsilon}=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\nu^{\prime}$ is the normal on $\partial D$ in the outward direction, $f_{\varepsilon} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ and

$$
A_{\varepsilon}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \text { if } & x \in \Omega \backslash T_{\varepsilon}  \tag{10}\\
a_{\varepsilon} & \text { if } & x \in T_{\varepsilon} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $W_{1}$ be the Hilbert space

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{1}:=\left\{v \in H^{1}(\Omega), \quad v=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \partial_{1} D\right\} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

endowed with the scalar product

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u, v)_{W_{1}}:=(\nabla u, \nabla v)_{\Omega} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we can present the variational formulation of the problem (6)-(10).
To find $u_{\varepsilon} \in W_{1}$ satisfying the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega \backslash T_{\varepsilon}} \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \nabla v+a_{\varepsilon} \int_{T_{\varepsilon}} \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \nabla v=\left\langle f_{\varepsilon}, v\right\rangle, \quad \forall w \in W_{1} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ denotes the duality product between $W_{1}$ and $W_{1}^{\prime}$.

Theorem 2.1 Under the above hypotheses and notations, problem (13) has a unique solution.

In the following we consider that the conductivity of the layers is much higher than that of the surrounding phase. The specific feature of our mixture is given by the following relation which describes the fact that the conductivity of the thin layers is balanced by their vanishing volume:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} a_{\varepsilon}\left|T_{\varepsilon}\right|=\eta>0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

As for the data, we assume that there exists $f \in W_{1}^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup f \quad \text { in } \quad W_{1}^{\prime} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, we denote

$$
f_{D} \cdot d x=\frac{1}{|D|} \int_{D} \cdot d x
$$

Proposition 2.2 We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon} \text { is bounded in } W_{1} \text {. } \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, there exists $C>0$, independent of $\varepsilon$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{T_{\varepsilon}}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \leq C \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Substituting $w=u_{\varepsilon}$ in the variational problem (13), and taking into account that

$$
|v|_{W_{1}} \leq C|\nabla v|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}, \quad \forall v \in W_{1},
$$

we get:

$$
C \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \leq \int_{T_{\varepsilon}}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+a_{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega \backslash T_{\varepsilon}}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \leq C\left|f_{\varepsilon}\right|_{W_{1}^{\prime}}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}
$$

There results:

$$
\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C
$$

and the proof is completed.

### 2.2 Specific tools

The set of control-sequences is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}=\left\{\left(R_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon>0}, \quad r_{\varepsilon} \ll R_{\varepsilon} \ll \varepsilon\right\} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is $\left(R_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon>0} \in \mathcal{R}$ iff

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{r_{\varepsilon}}{R_{\varepsilon}}=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{R_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}=0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $\left(R_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{R}$, we denote the domain confined between the layers of widths $r_{\varepsilon}$ and $R_{\varepsilon}$ as the union of all subsets constructed from

$$
\mathcal{C}_{R_{\varepsilon}}^{k}:=I_{R_{\varepsilon}}^{k} \backslash \overline{I_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{k}}, \quad \text { where } \quad I_{R_{\varepsilon}}^{k}:=\varepsilon k+2 R_{\varepsilon} I
$$

namely:

$$
\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}:=\left(\cup_{k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}} \mathcal{C}_{R_{\varepsilon}}^{k}\right) \times D
$$

Then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}\right|=\operatorname{card} \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}\left(R_{\varepsilon}-r_{\varepsilon}\right)|D| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2.3 For any $\left(R_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{R}$, we define $w_{\varepsilon} \in W_{1}$ by

$$
w_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{1}, x^{\prime}\right):= \begin{cases}1-\frac{r_{\varepsilon}}{R_{\varepsilon}} & \text { if }\left(x_{1}, x^{\prime}\right) \in \bar{T}_{\varepsilon}  \tag{21}\\ 1-\frac{1}{R_{\varepsilon}}\left|x_{1}-\varepsilon k\right| & \text { if }\left(x_{1}, x^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{C}_{R_{\varepsilon}}^{k} \times D, \quad k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}, \\ 0 & \text { if }\left(x_{1}, x^{\prime}\right) \in \Omega \backslash\left(\bar{T}_{\varepsilon} \cup \mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}\right)\end{cases}
$$

We remark here the following properties of $w_{\varepsilon}$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
w_{\varepsilon} \in W_{1}  \tag{22}\\
0 \leq w_{\varepsilon}(x)<1, \quad \forall x \in \Omega  \tag{23}\\
\left|w_{\varepsilon}\right|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq\left|T_{\varepsilon} \cup \mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}\right|^{1 / 2} \leq C \sqrt{\frac{R_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}} \rightarrow 0 \tag{24}
\end{gather*}
$$

Definition 2.4 For any $u \in W_{1}$ and any $\left(b_{\varepsilon}\right)_{n \in \mathrm{~N}}$ with $0<b_{\varepsilon}<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, we define $G_{b_{\varepsilon}}^{k}(u) \in H^{1 / 2}(D)$ and $G_{b_{\varepsilon}}(u) \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{b_{\varepsilon}}^{k}(u)\left(x^{\prime}\right) & =\frac{1}{2}\left(u\left(\varepsilon k-b_{\varepsilon}, x^{\prime}\right)+u\left(\varepsilon k+b_{\varepsilon}, x^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
G_{b_{\varepsilon}}(u)\left(x_{1}, x^{\prime}\right) & =\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}} G_{b_{\varepsilon}}^{k}(u)\left(x^{\prime}\right) 1_{I_{\varepsilon}^{k}}\left(x_{1}\right), \quad\left(x_{1}, x^{\prime}\right) \in \Omega .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 2.5 For any $u \in W_{1}$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{T_{\varepsilon}}\left|G_{r_{\varepsilon}}(u)\right|^{2}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon \operatorname{card} \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}} f_{\Omega}\left|G_{r_{\varepsilon}}(u)\right|^{2} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We have

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|G_{r_{\varepsilon}}(u)\right|^{2}=\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}} \int_{I \times D}\left|G_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{k}(u)\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} 1_{I_{\varepsilon}^{k}}=\varepsilon \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}} \int_{D}\left|G_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{k}(u)\right|^{2}
$$

Moreover:

$$
f_{T_{\varepsilon}}\left|G_{r_{\varepsilon}}(u)\right|^{2}=\frac{1}{2 \operatorname{card} \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon} r_{\varepsilon}|D|} \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}} \int_{I_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{k} \times D}\left|G_{r_{\varepsilon}}(u)\right|^{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right) 1_{I_{\varepsilon}^{k}}\left(x_{1}\right)=
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{\operatorname{card} \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}|D|} \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}} \int_{D}\left|G_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{k}(u)\right|^{2}
$$

This achieves the proof.
Proposition 2.6 For any $u \in W_{1}$, there holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{I_{\varepsilon}^{k} \times D}\left|u-G_{b_{\varepsilon}}^{k}(u)\right|^{2} \leq \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2} \int_{I_{\varepsilon}^{k} \times D}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\right|^{2} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Consider the quantity

$$
J_{\varepsilon}^{k}=\int_{I_{\varepsilon}^{k} \times D}\left|u-G_{b_{\varepsilon}}^{k}(u)\right|^{2}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{\varepsilon}^{k}=\int_{I_{\varepsilon}^{k}} d x_{1} \int_{D}\left|u\left(x_{1}, x^{\prime}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(u\left(\varepsilon k-b_{\varepsilon}, x^{\prime}\right)+u\left(\varepsilon k+b_{\varepsilon}, x^{\prime}\right)\right)\right|^{2} d x^{\prime}= \\
=\frac{1}{4} \int_{I_{\varepsilon}^{k}} d x_{1} \int_{D}\left|\int_{\varepsilon k-b_{\varepsilon}}^{x_{1}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\left(t, x^{\prime}\right) d t-\int_{x_{1}}^{\varepsilon k+b_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\left(t, x^{\prime}\right) d t\right|^{2} d x^{\prime} \leq \\
\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{I_{\varepsilon}^{k}} d x_{1} \int_{D}\left(\left|\int_{\varepsilon k-b_{\varepsilon}}^{x_{1}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\left(t, x^{\prime}\right) d t\right|^{2}+\left|\int_{x_{1}}^{\varepsilon k+b_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\left(t, x^{\prime}\right) d t\right|^{2}\right) d x^{\prime} \leq \\
\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{I_{\varepsilon}^{k}} d x_{1} \int_{D}\left[\left(x_{1}-\varepsilon k+b_{\varepsilon}\right) \int_{\varepsilon k-b_{\varepsilon}}^{x_{1}}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\left(t, x^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} d t+\left(\varepsilon k+b_{\varepsilon}-x_{1}\right) \int_{x_{1}}^{\varepsilon k+b_{\varepsilon}}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\left(t, x^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} d t\right] d x^{\prime} .
\end{gathered}
$$

There results

$$
J_{\varepsilon}^{k} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{I_{\varepsilon}^{k}} d x_{1} \underbrace{\int_{D} d x^{\prime} \int_{\varepsilon k-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}^{\varepsilon k+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\left(t, x^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} d t}_{\text {independent of } x_{1}}=\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2} \int_{I_{\varepsilon}^{k} \times D}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\right|^{2}
$$

Proposition 2.7 For any $u \in W_{1}$, there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{I_{b_{\varepsilon}}^{k} \times D}\left|u-G_{b_{\varepsilon}}^{k}(u)\right|^{2} \leq 2 b_{\varepsilon}^{2} \int_{I_{b_{\varepsilon}}^{k} \times D}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\right|^{2} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{I_{b_{\varepsilon}}^{k} \times D}\left|u-G_{b_{\varepsilon}}^{k}(u)\right|^{2}=\int_{I_{b_{\varepsilon}}^{k}} d x_{1} \int_{D}\left|u\left(x_{1}, x^{\prime}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(u\left(\varepsilon k-b_{\varepsilon}, x^{\prime}\right)+u\left(\varepsilon k+b_{\varepsilon}, x^{\prime}\right)\right)\right|^{2} d x^{\prime} d x_{1} \leq \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{I_{b_{\varepsilon}}^{k}} \int_{D}\left(\left|\int_{\varepsilon k-b_{\varepsilon}}^{x_{1}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\left(t, x^{\prime}\right) d t\right|^{2}+\left|\int_{x_{1}}^{\varepsilon k+b_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\left(t, x^{\prime}\right) d t\right|^{2}\right) d x^{\prime} \leq 2 b_{\varepsilon}^{2} \int_{I_{b_{\varepsilon}}^{k} \times D}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 2.8 For any $u \in W_{1}$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G_{r_{\varepsilon}}(u)-G_{R_{\varepsilon}}(u)\right|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq\left(\varepsilon R_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\right|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}\right)} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For each $k, k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}$, there holds:

$$
\int_{D}\left|G_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{k}(u)\left(x^{\prime}\right)-G_{R_{\varepsilon}}^{k}(u)\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} d x^{\prime} \leq\left(R_{\varepsilon}-r_{\varepsilon}\right) \int_{\mathcal{C}_{R_{\varepsilon}}^{k} \times D}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\right|^{2}
$$

Indeed, let $k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}$. We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{D}\left|G_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{k}(u)\left(x^{\prime}\right)-G_{R_{\varepsilon}}^{k}(u)\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} d x^{\prime} \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{D}\left(\left|\int_{\varepsilon k-R_{\varepsilon}}^{\varepsilon k-r_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\left(t, x^{\prime}\right) d t\right|^{2}+\left|\int_{\varepsilon k+r_{\varepsilon}}^{\varepsilon k+R_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\left(t, x^{\prime}\right) d t\right|^{2}\right) d x^{\prime} \leq \\
\leq\left(R_{\varepsilon}-r_{\varepsilon}\right) \int_{\mathcal{C}_{R_{\varepsilon}}^{k} \times D}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\right|^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

To conclude about (28), we write:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|G_{r_{\varepsilon}}(u)-G_{R_{\varepsilon}}(u)\right|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}=\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}} \int_{I_{\varepsilon}^{k} \times D}\left|G_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{k}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)-G_{R_{\varepsilon}}^{k}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)\right|^{2}= \\
=\varepsilon \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}} \int_{D}\left|G_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{k}(u)\left(x^{\prime}\right)-G_{R_{\varepsilon}}^{k}(u)\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} d x^{\prime} \leq \varepsilon\left(R_{\varepsilon}-r_{\varepsilon}\right) \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{R_{\varepsilon}}^{k} \times D}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\right|^{2} \leq \varepsilon R_{\varepsilon}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\right|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}\right)}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Proposition 2.9 The following estimate holds true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{T_{\varepsilon}}|u|^{2} \leq C|\nabla u|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}, \quad \forall u \in W_{1} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $C>0$ independent of $\varepsilon$.
Proof. From (25) and (27), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{T_{\varepsilon}}|u|^{2} \leq 2 f_{T_{\varepsilon}}\left|u-G_{r_{\varepsilon}}(u)\right|^{2}+2 f_{T_{\varepsilon}}\left|G_{r_{\varepsilon}}(u)\right|^{2} \leq \\
& \quad \leq C \varepsilon r_{\varepsilon}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\right|_{L^{2}\left(T_{\varepsilon}\right)}^{2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon \operatorname{card} \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}} f_{\Omega}\left|G_{r_{\varepsilon}}(u)\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, using (28) and the Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality in $\Omega$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|G_{r_{\varepsilon}}(u)\right|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} & \leq\left|G_{r_{\varepsilon}}(u)-G_{R_{\varepsilon}}(u)\right|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\left|G_{R_{\varepsilon}}(u)-u\right|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+|u|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq \\
& \leq\left(\varepsilon R_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\right|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}\right)}+\varepsilon\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\right|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+C|\nabla u|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 2.10 The following estimate holds true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{T_{\varepsilon}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \leq C \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $C>0$ independent of $\varepsilon$.
Definition 2.11 For every $\varphi \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \cap W_{1}$, define

$$
M_{r_{\varepsilon}}(\varphi)=\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}}\left(f_{I_{\varepsilon}^{k}} \varphi\left(t, x^{\prime}\right) d t\right) 1_{I_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{k}}\left(x_{1}\right)
$$

Proposition 2.12 There holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} f_{T_{\varepsilon}}\left|\varphi-M_{r_{\varepsilon}}(\varphi)\right|^{2}=0, \quad \forall \varphi \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \cap W_{1} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\varphi \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \cap W_{1}$ and let $\delta>0$. The uniform continuity of $\varphi$ yields the existence of some $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that:

$$
\int_{I_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{k}}\left|\varphi\left(x_{1}, x^{\prime}\right)-\int_{I_{\varepsilon}^{k}} \varphi\left(t, x^{\prime}\right) d t\right|^{2}<\delta, \quad \forall x^{\prime} \in D, \quad \forall k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}, \quad \forall \varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)
$$

There results

$$
f_{T_{\varepsilon}}\left|\varphi-M_{r_{\varepsilon}}(\varphi)\right|=\frac{1}{\left|T_{\varepsilon}\right|} \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}} \int_{I_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{k} \times D}\left|\varphi\left(x_{1}, x^{\prime}\right)-\int_{I_{\varepsilon}^{k}} \varphi\left(t, x^{\prime}\right) d t\right| \leq \delta, \forall \varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)
$$

Proposition 2.13 There holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{T_{\varepsilon}} G_{r_{\varepsilon}}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) M_{r_{\varepsilon}}(\varphi)=\frac{1}{\varepsilon \operatorname{card} \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}} \int_{\Omega} G_{r_{\varepsilon}}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) \varphi, \quad \forall \varphi \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \cap W_{1} . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\varphi \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \cap W_{1}$. We have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{T_{\varepsilon}} G_{r_{\varepsilon}}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) M_{r_{\varepsilon}}(\varphi)=\frac{1}{\left|T_{\varepsilon}\right|} \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}} \int_{I_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{k} \times D} G_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{k}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(x^{\prime}\right)\left(f_{I_{\varepsilon}^{k}} \varphi\left(t, x^{\prime}\right) d t\right) d x= \\
=\frac{2 r_{\varepsilon}}{2 \varepsilon \operatorname{card} \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon} r_{\varepsilon}|D|} \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}} \int_{I_{\varepsilon}^{k} \times D} G_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{k}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(x^{\prime}\right) \varphi\left(t, x^{\prime}\right) d x^{\prime} d t= \\
=\frac{1}{\varepsilon \operatorname{card} \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}|D|} \int_{\Omega} G_{r_{\varepsilon}}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) \varphi
\end{gathered}
$$

### 2.3 The homogenization result

A preliminary result is the following:
Proposition 2.14 There exists $u \in W_{1}$ such that, on some subsequence,

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup u \quad \text { in } \quad H^{1}(\Omega) .  \tag{33}\\
G_{R_{\varepsilon}}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) \rightarrow u \quad \text { in } \quad L^{2}(\Omega)  \tag{34}\\
G_{r_{\varepsilon}}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) \rightarrow u \quad \text { in } \quad L^{2}(\Omega) \tag{35}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. From (16), we get, on some subsequence, the convergence (33). Moreover, from (26), we have:

$$
\left|u_{\varepsilon}-G_{R_{\varepsilon}}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)\right|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}=\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}} \int_{I_{\varepsilon}^{k} \times D}\left|u_{\varepsilon}-G_{R_{\varepsilon}}^{k}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)\right|^{2} \leq \varepsilon^{2}\left|\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{1}}\right|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq C \varepsilon^{2}
$$

and the proof of (34) is complete.
In order to prove (35), we recall (28), which yields:

$$
\left|G_{r_{\varepsilon}}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)-G_{R_{\varepsilon}}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)\right|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C\left(\varepsilon R_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2} \rightarrow 0
$$

and the conclusion follows from (34).

Proposition 2.15 For any $\varphi \in W_{1}$, the following convergences hold true on some subsequence:

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{T_{\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon} \varphi \rightarrow f_{\Omega} u \varphi  \tag{36}\\
f_{T_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{i}} \varphi \rightarrow f_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \varphi, \quad i=2,3, \cdots \tag{37}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $u$ was introduced by (33).
Proof. Let $\varphi \in C(\Omega) \cap W_{1}$. We have
$f_{T_{\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon} \varphi=f_{T_{\varepsilon}}\left(u_{\varepsilon}-G_{r_{\varepsilon}}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)\right) \varphi+f_{T_{\varepsilon}} G_{r_{\varepsilon}}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\varphi-M_{r_{\varepsilon}}(\varphi)\right)+f_{T_{\varepsilon}} G_{r_{\varepsilon}}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) M_{r_{\varepsilon}}(\varphi)$.
Taking into account (27) and (31), we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|f_{T_{\varepsilon}}\left(u_{\varepsilon}-G_{r_{\varepsilon}}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)\right) \varphi\right| \leq C r_{\varepsilon}\left(f_{T_{\varepsilon}}\left|\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{1}}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}|\varphi|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C r_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0 \\
\left|f_{T_{\varepsilon}} G_{r_{\varepsilon}}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\varphi-M_{r_{\varepsilon}}(\varphi)\right)\right| \leq\left|G_{r_{\varepsilon}}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)\right|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\left(f_{T_{\varepsilon}}\left|\varphi-M_{r_{\varepsilon}}(\varphi)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \rightarrow 0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then, using (32), we get

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} f_{T_{\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon} \varphi=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon \operatorname{card} \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}} f_{\Omega} G_{r_{\varepsilon}}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) \varphi=f_{\Omega} u \varphi
$$

In order to conclude (36), we notice that $C(\Omega) \cap W_{1}$ is dense in $W_{1}$ and that the following estimate proves the continuity of the mapping $\varphi \mapsto f_{T_{\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon} \varphi$ :

$$
\left|f_{T_{\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon} \varphi\right| \leq\left(f_{T_{\varepsilon}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(f_{T_{\varepsilon}}|\varphi|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq C|\nabla \varphi|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}
$$

For $i=2,3, \cdots$, and for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, we have

$$
\int_{T_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(u_{\varepsilon} \varphi\right)=\int_{\partial T_{\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon} \varphi \nu_{i}=\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}} \int_{\partial \mathcal{C}_{R_{\varepsilon}}^{k} \times D} u_{\varepsilon} \varphi \nu_{i}=0
$$

as $\varphi=0$ on $\partial_{D} \Omega$ and $\nu_{i}=0$ on $\partial_{1} \Omega$. There results:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{T_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{i}} \varphi+f_{T_{\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{i}}=0 \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a consequence of the estimate (30), there exist $v_{2}$ and $v_{3} \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$ such that:

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} f_{T_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{i}} \varphi=\left\langle v_{i}, \varphi\right\rangle
$$

Then, passing to the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle v_{i}, \varphi\right\rangle+f_{\Omega} u \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{i}}=0 \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

As (39) holds for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, we infer that $v_{i}=\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ and the proof is completed.

Definition 2.16 For any $\varphi \in W_{1} \cap C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$, we define $\hat{\varphi}_{\varepsilon} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ by

$$
\hat{\varphi}_{\varepsilon}:=\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}} \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{k}\left(x^{\prime}\right) 1_{I_{\varepsilon}^{k}}\left(x_{1}\right)
$$

where

$$
\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{k}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\varphi\left(\varepsilon k, x^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { if } \quad x^{\prime} \in I_{R_{\varepsilon}}^{k}, k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon} \\
0 \quad \text { elsewhere }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let us mention the following straightforward property of $\hat{\varphi}_{\varepsilon}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\varphi-\hat{\varphi}_{\varepsilon}\right|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}\right)} \leq R_{\varepsilon}|\nabla \varphi|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are in the position to state the main result:
Theorem 2.17 The limit $u \in W_{1}$ of (33) verifies (in a weak sense) the following problem:

$$
-\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}-\left(1+\frac{\eta}{|D|}\right) \Delta_{x^{\prime}} u=f \quad \text { in } \quad \Omega
$$

Proof. For any $\left(R_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{R}$ and $\varphi \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega}) \cap W_{1}$, let us denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\varepsilon}=\left(1-\frac{r_{\varepsilon}}{R_{\varepsilon}}-w_{\varepsilon}\right) \varphi+w_{\varepsilon} \hat{\varphi}_{\varepsilon} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a straightforward consequence of the definitions we have $\Phi_{\varepsilon} \in W_{1}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left|\Phi_{\varepsilon}-\varphi\right|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}=0 \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we set in (13) $w=\Phi_{\varepsilon}$ where $\Phi_{\varepsilon}$ is defined by (41).
Then, we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\Omega \backslash T_{\varepsilon}} \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\left(-\nabla w_{\varepsilon}\right) \varphi+\int_{\Omega \backslash T_{\varepsilon}} \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\left(1-\frac{r_{\varepsilon}}{R_{\varepsilon}}-w_{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla \varphi+ \\
+\int_{\Omega \backslash T_{\varepsilon}} \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \nabla w_{\varepsilon} \hat{\varphi}_{\varepsilon}+\int_{\Omega \backslash T_{\varepsilon}} \nabla u_{\varepsilon} w_{\varepsilon} \nabla \hat{\varphi}_{\varepsilon}+a_{\varepsilon} \int_{T_{\varepsilon}} \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \nabla \hat{\varphi}_{\varepsilon}= \\
=\left(1-\frac{r_{\varepsilon}}{R_{\varepsilon}}\right)\left\langle f_{\varepsilon}, \varphi\right\rangle+\left\langle f_{\varepsilon}, w_{\varepsilon}\left(\hat{\varphi}_{\varepsilon}-\varphi\right)\right\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

Concerning the sum between the first and the third terms of the left-hand side of the previous relation, we have:
$\left|\int_{\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}} \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \nabla w_{\varepsilon}\left(\hat{\varphi}_{\varepsilon}-\varphi\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{R_{\varepsilon}}\left|\hat{\varphi}_{\varepsilon}-\varphi\right|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}\right)}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|_{L^{1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}\right)} \leq C\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}\right)} \sqrt{\left|\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}\right|} \rightarrow 0$,
where we have used (40).
As a consequence of (24) and (4), the second term converges straightly to

$$
\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla \varphi
$$

The fourth term converges to zero by (20) and (23) as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\int_{\Omega \backslash T_{\varepsilon}}\left(\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right) w_{\varepsilon} \nabla \hat{\varphi}_{\varepsilon}\right|=\left|\int_{\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}} \nabla_{x^{\prime}} u_{\varepsilon}\left(\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \hat{\varphi}_{\varepsilon}\right) w_{\varepsilon}\right| \leq \\
\leq C \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}}\left|\nabla_{x^{\prime}} u_{\varepsilon}\right| \leq C\left|\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}\right|^{1 / 2} \rightarrow 0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

For the fifth one, taking in account (37) and the uniform continuity of $\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \varphi$, we have

$$
a_{\varepsilon} \int_{T_{\varepsilon}} \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \nabla \hat{\varphi}^{\varepsilon}=a_{\varepsilon}\left|T_{\varepsilon}\right| f_{T_{\varepsilon}} \nabla_{x^{\prime}} u_{\varepsilon} \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \hat{\varphi}_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \eta f_{\Omega} \nabla_{x^{\prime}} u \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \varphi
$$

Then, the left-hand side tends to

$$
\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla \varphi+\eta f_{\Omega} \nabla_{x^{\prime}} u \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \varphi
$$

As for the right-hand side, we have $\left\langle f_{\varepsilon}, \varphi\right\rangle \rightarrow\langle f, \varphi\rangle$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left\langle f_{\varepsilon}, w_{\varepsilon}\left(\hat{\varphi}_{\varepsilon}-\varphi\right)\right\rangle\right| \leq & C\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}\right| \mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}\left|\hat{\varphi}_{\varepsilon}-\varphi\right|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}\right)}+C\left|w_{\varepsilon}\right|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}\right)}|\varphi|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}\right)} \\
& \leq C \sqrt{\left|\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}\right|}+C\left|w_{\varepsilon}\right|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}\right)} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

which achieves the proof.

## 3 The case of separating tubular thin layers

### 3.1 The conduction problem

We consider the same problem as in the previous section, only this time $\Omega=$ $D \times I$ with $I=(0,1)$ and $D$ is a bounded Lipschitz domain in $\mathbf{R}^{2}$. Denoting

$$
\begin{gather*}
Y:=\left(-\frac{1}{2},+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}, \quad B_{1}:=B(0,1)=\left\{y \in \mathbf{R}^{2},|y|<1\right\}  \tag{43}\\
Y_{\varepsilon}^{k}:=\varepsilon k+\varepsilon Y, \quad T_{\varepsilon}^{k}:=B_{2}\left(\varepsilon k, \varepsilon d+r_{\varepsilon}\right) \backslash \bar{B}_{2}\left(\varepsilon k, \varepsilon d-r_{\varepsilon}\right), \quad k \in \mathbf{Z}^{2}, \tag{44}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $B_{2}(\varepsilon k, r)$ denotes the ball of radius $r>0$ centered at $\varepsilon k$ in $\mathbf{R}^{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}:=\left\{k \in \mathbf{Z}^{2}, \quad Y_{\varepsilon}^{k} \subset D\right\} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $d \in(0,1 / 2)$. The distribution of the thin tubes is defined by the following reunion

$$
T_{\varepsilon}=\left(\cup_{k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}} T_{\varepsilon}^{k}\right) \times I
$$

where $0<r_{\varepsilon} \ll \varepsilon$. Notice that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|T_{\varepsilon}\right| \simeq 4 \pi d|D|\left(\frac{r_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also use the following notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{\varepsilon}=\cup_{k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}} Y_{\varepsilon}^{k} \times I, \quad \partial_{D} \Omega:=\partial D \times(0,1), \quad \partial_{3} \Omega:=D \times\{0,1\} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, the conduction problem is the following:
To find $u_{\varepsilon}$ solution of

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\operatorname{div}\left(A_{\varepsilon} \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right)=f_{\varepsilon} \quad \text { in } \quad \Omega  \tag{48}\\
u_{\varepsilon}=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \partial_{3} D  \tag{49}\\
\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \nu}=0, \quad \nu=\left(\nu^{\prime}, 0\right) \quad \text { on } \quad \partial_{D} \Omega  \tag{50}\\
u_{\varepsilon}=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega \tag{51}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\nu^{\prime}$ is the normal on $\partial D$ in the outward direction,

$$
A_{\varepsilon}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cll}
a_{\varepsilon}\left(x^{\prime}\right) & \text { if } & x \in \Omega \backslash T_{\varepsilon}  \tag{52}\\
\frac{\eta}{|T \varepsilon|} & \text { if } & x \in T_{\varepsilon}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $a_{\varepsilon}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=a\left(\frac{x^{\prime}}{\varepsilon}\right)$ for some

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \in L_{\mathrm{per}}^{\infty}(Y) \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $a(y) \geq a_{0}>0$ for any $y \in Y$.
Let $W_{2}$ be the Hilbert space

$$
W_{2}:=\left\{v \in H^{1}(\Omega), \quad v=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \partial_{3} D\right\}
$$

endowed with the scalar product

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u, v)_{W_{2}}:=(\nabla u, \nabla v)_{\Omega} . \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we can present the variational formulation of the problem (48)-(52). To find $u_{\varepsilon} \in W_{2}$ satisfying the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega \backslash T_{\varepsilon}} a_{\varepsilon} \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \nabla v+\eta f_{T_{\varepsilon}} \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \nabla v=\left\langle f_{\varepsilon}, v\right\rangle, \quad \forall w \in W_{2} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ denotes the duality product between $W_{2}$ and $W_{2}^{\prime}$.
Theorem 3.1 Under the above hypotheses and notations, problem (55) has a unique solution.

Regarding the relative conductivity outside the fissures, we only assume:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{\varepsilon} \geq a_{0}>0, \quad \forall \varepsilon>0 \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

As for the data, we assume that there exists $f \in W_{2}^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup f \quad \text { in } \quad W_{2}^{\prime} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 3.2 We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon} \text { is bounded in } W_{2} . \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, there exists $C>0$, independent of $\varepsilon>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{T_{\varepsilon}}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \leq C \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The proof follows the same lines as that of Proposition 2.2.
Proposition 3.3 Let

$$
p_{i}^{\varepsilon}(x):=a\left(\frac{x^{\prime}}{\varepsilon}\right) \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{i}}(x), \quad i=1,2,3 .
$$

Then, there exists $p_{i} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ such that, at least on some subsequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i}^{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup p_{i} \quad \text { in } \quad L^{2}(\Omega) \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. This is a consequence of (58) and (53).

### 3.2 Specific tools

For any $\left(R_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{R}$ with $\mathcal{R}$ defined by (18), we define the domain confined between the cylinders of radii $\varepsilon d \pm r_{\varepsilon}$ and $\varepsilon d \pm R_{\varepsilon}$ centered at $\varepsilon k, k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}$, by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{C}_{R_{\varepsilon}}^{k}:=B_{2}^{k}\left(\varepsilon k, \varepsilon d+R_{\varepsilon}\right) \backslash \bar{B}_{2}^{k}\left(\varepsilon k, \varepsilon d+r_{\varepsilon}\right) \\
& \mathcal{C}_{-R_{\varepsilon}}^{k}:=B_{2}^{k}\left(\varepsilon k, \varepsilon d-R_{\varepsilon}\right) \backslash \bar{B}_{2}^{k}\left(\varepsilon k, \varepsilon d-r_{\varepsilon}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively. Then, setting

$$
\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{k}:=\mathcal{C}_{R_{\varepsilon}}^{k} \cup \mathcal{C}_{-R_{\varepsilon}}^{k}
$$

we define the control zone of our method as the union

$$
\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}:=\left(\cup_{k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}} \mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{k}\right) \times(0,1)
$$

A straightforward computation yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}\right|=4 \pi d|D|\left(\frac{R_{\varepsilon}-r_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 3.4 For any $\left(R_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{R}$, we define $w_{\varepsilon} \in W_{2}$ by

$$
w_{\varepsilon}(x)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } x \in \bar{T}_{\varepsilon} \\ \frac{\ln \left(\varepsilon d+R_{\varepsilon}\right)-\ln \left|x^{\prime}-\varepsilon k\right|}{\ln \left(\varepsilon d+R_{\varepsilon}\right)-\ln \left(\varepsilon d+r_{\varepsilon}\right)} & \text { if } x \in \mathcal{C}_{R_{\varepsilon}}^{k} \times I \\ \frac{\ln \left|x^{\prime}-\varepsilon k\right|-\ln \left(\varepsilon d-R_{\varepsilon}\right)}{\ln \left(\varepsilon d-r_{\varepsilon}\right)-\ln \left(\varepsilon d-R_{\varepsilon}\right)} & \text { if } x \in \mathcal{C}_{-R_{\varepsilon}}^{k} \times I \\ 0 & \text { if } x \in \Omega \backslash\left(\bar{T}_{\varepsilon} \cup \mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}\right)\end{cases}
$$

## Proposition 3.5

$$
\begin{gather*}
w_{\varepsilon} \in W_{2} \\
0 \leq w_{\varepsilon}(x) \leq 1, \quad \forall x \in \Omega  \tag{62}\\
\left|w_{\varepsilon}\right|_{\Omega} \leq\left|T_{\varepsilon} \cup \mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}\right|^{1 / 2} \leq C \sqrt{\frac{R_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}} \rightarrow 0 \\
\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}\right|_{\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}}^{2} \simeq \frac{4 \pi d|D|}{\varepsilon\left(R_{\varepsilon}-r_{\varepsilon}\right)} \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon R_{\varepsilon}}
\end{gather*}
$$

Definition 3.6 For any $u \in W_{2}$ and any $\left(s_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon>0}$ with $0<\varepsilon d+s_{\varepsilon}<\varepsilon$, we define $G_{s_{\varepsilon}}^{k}(u) \in H^{1 / 2}(I)$ and $G_{s_{\varepsilon}}(u) \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ by

$$
G_{s_{\varepsilon}}^{k}(u)\left(x_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(f_{\partial B_{s_{\varepsilon}}^{k}} u d \sigma+f_{\partial B_{-s_{\varepsilon}}^{k}} u d \sigma\right)
$$

where $B_{ \pm s_{\varepsilon}}^{k}=B_{2}\left(\varepsilon k, \varepsilon d \pm s_{\varepsilon}\right)$,

$$
G_{s_{\varepsilon}}(u)\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right)=\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}} G_{s_{\varepsilon}}^{k}(u)\left(x_{3}\right) 1_{Y_{\varepsilon}^{k}}\left(x^{\prime}\right), \quad\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right) \in \Omega
$$

Proposition 3.7 For any $u \in W_{2}$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{T_{\varepsilon}}\left|G_{S_{\varepsilon}}(u)\right|^{2}=f_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}\left|G_{S_{\varepsilon}}(u)\right|^{2} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$ is defined by (47).
Proof. We have

$$
f_{T_{\varepsilon}}\left|G_{s_{\varepsilon}}(u)\right|^{2}=\frac{\left|T_{\varepsilon}^{0}\right|}{\left|T_{\varepsilon}\right|} \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}} \int_{I}\left|G_{s_{\varepsilon}}^{k}(u)\right|^{2}=\frac{1}{\left|\Omega_{\varepsilon}\right|} \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}} \int_{D \times I}\left(G_{s_{\varepsilon}}^{k}(u)\right)^{2} 1_{Y_{\varepsilon}^{k}}
$$

as $\left|T_{\varepsilon}\right|=\operatorname{card} \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}\left|T_{\varepsilon}^{k}\right|, \forall k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon^{2} \operatorname{card} \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}=\left|\Omega_{\varepsilon}\right|$.

Proposition 3.8 For a.e. $x_{3} \in I$ and for any $u \in W_{2}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\mathcal{C}_{ \pm R_{\varepsilon}}^{k}} \nabla u \nabla w_{\varepsilon}=\frac{ \pm 2 \pi}{\ln \left(\frac{\varepsilon d \pm r_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon d \pm R_{\varepsilon}}\right)}\left(f_{\partial B_{ \pm R_{\varepsilon}}^{k}} u d \sigma-f_{\partial B_{ \pm r_{\varepsilon}}^{k}} u d \sigma\right) .  \tag{64}\\
\int_{I}\left|G_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{k}(u)-G_{R_{\varepsilon}}^{k}(u)\right|^{2}\left(x_{3}\right) d x_{3} \leq C \frac{R_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{k} \times I}|\nabla u|^{2} \tag{65}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. The identity (64) results from the following direct computation:

$$
\int_{\mathcal{C}_{-R_{\varepsilon}}^{k}} \nabla u \nabla w_{\varepsilon}=\int_{\mathcal{C}_{-R_{\varepsilon}}^{k}} \operatorname{div}_{x^{\prime}}\left(u \nabla_{x^{\prime}} w_{\varepsilon}\right)=\int_{\mathcal{C}_{-R_{\varepsilon}}^{k}} u \frac{\partial w_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \nu^{\prime}}
$$

and likewise in $\mathcal{C}_{R_{\varepsilon}}^{k}$.
As for (65), we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{I}\left|G_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{k}(u)-G_{R_{\varepsilon}}^{k}(u)\right|^{2}\left(x_{3}\right) d x_{3} \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{I}\left|f_{\partial B_{-r_{\varepsilon}}^{k}}^{u-f_{\partial B_{-R_{\varepsilon}}^{k}} u}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{I}\left|f_{\partial B_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{k}}^{u} u f_{\partial B_{R_{\varepsilon}}^{k}}^{u}\right|^{2} \\
\leq C\left|\ln \left(\frac{\varepsilon d-r_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon d-R_{\varepsilon}}\right)\right|^{2} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{-R_{\varepsilon}}^{k} \times I}|\nabla u|^{2} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{-R_{\varepsilon}}^{k}}\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+C\left|\ln \left(\frac{\varepsilon d+R_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon d+r_{\varepsilon}}\right)\right|^{2} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{R_{\varepsilon}}^{k} \times I}|\nabla u|^{2} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{R_{\varepsilon}}^{k}}\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \\
\leq C\left|\ln \left(1+\frac{R_{\varepsilon}-r_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon d-R_{\varepsilon}}\right)\right| \int_{\mathcal{C}_{-R_{\varepsilon}}^{k} \times I}|\nabla u|^{2}+C\left|\ln \left(1+\frac{R_{\varepsilon}-r_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon d+r_{\varepsilon}}\right)\right| \int_{\mathcal{C}_{R_{\varepsilon}}^{k} \times I}|\nabla u|^{2} \\
\leq C \frac{R_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{k} \times I}|\nabla u|^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Proposition 3.9 For any $u \in W_{2}$, there holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G_{R_{\varepsilon}}(u)-G_{r_{\varepsilon}}(u)\right|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq C \varepsilon R_{\varepsilon}|\nabla u|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}\right)}^{2} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid G_{R_{\varepsilon}}(u)- & \left.G_{r_{\varepsilon}}(u)\right|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} ^{2}=\varepsilon^{2} \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}} \int_{I}\left|G_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{k}(u)-G_{R_{\varepsilon}}^{k}(u)\right|^{2}\left(x_{3}\right) d x_{3} \leq \\
& \leq C \varepsilon^{2} \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}} \frac{R_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{k} \times I}|\nabla u|^{2} \leq C \varepsilon R_{\varepsilon}|\nabla u|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}\right)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 3.10 For any $u \in W_{2}$, there holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u-G_{R_{\varepsilon}}(u)\right|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon}\right)} \leq C \varepsilon|\nabla u|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} . \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}} \int_{Y_{\varepsilon}^{k} \times I}\left|u-G_{R_{\varepsilon}}^{k}(u)\right|^{2} \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}}\left(\int_{B_{2}(\varepsilon k, \varepsilon / \sqrt{2})}\left|u-f_{\partial B_{-R_{\varepsilon}}^{k}} u\right|^{2}+\int_{B_{2}(\varepsilon k, \varepsilon / \sqrt{2})}\left|u-f_{\partial B_{R_{\varepsilon}}^{k}} u\right|^{2}\right) \\
\leq C \varepsilon^{2} \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}} \int_{B_{2}(\varepsilon k, \varepsilon / \sqrt{2}) \times I}|\nabla u|^{2} \leq C \varepsilon^{2}|\nabla u|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $B_{2}(\varepsilon k, \varepsilon / \sqrt{2})$ denotes the ball of $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ of radius $\frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}}$ centered at $\varepsilon k, k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}$.
A straightforward computation shows that the first eigenvalue of the Neumann problem in $T_{\varepsilon}^{k}$ is of $r_{\varepsilon}^{-2}$ order. Then, the following variant of PoincaréWirtinger inequality holds true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u-G_{0}^{k}(u)\right|_{L^{2}\left(T_{\varepsilon}^{k}\right)} \leq C r_{\varepsilon}|\nabla u|_{L^{2}\left(T_{\varepsilon}^{k}\right)}, \quad \forall u \in H^{1}\left(T_{\varepsilon}^{k}\right), \quad k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon} \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 3.11 For any $u \in W_{2}$ and for any $k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{T_{\varepsilon}^{k} \times I}\left|u-G_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{k}(u)\right|^{2} \leq C \varepsilon r_{\varepsilon}\left|\nabla_{x^{\prime}} u\right|_{T_{\varepsilon}^{k} \times I}^{2} \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}$. We have:

$$
\int_{T_{\varepsilon}^{k} \times I}\left|u-G_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{k}(u)\right|^{2} \leq 2 \int_{I}\left(\int_{T_{\varepsilon}^{k}}\left|u-G_{0}^{k}(u)\right|^{2}+\int_{T_{\varepsilon}^{k}}\left|G_{0}^{k}(u)-G_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{k}(u)\right|^{2}\right)
$$

The first integral of the right-hand side is estimated through (68) and for the second, we use the same argument as in (66).

Proposition 3.12 For any $u \in W_{2}$, there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u-G_{r_{\varepsilon}}(u)\right|_{L^{2}\left(T_{\varepsilon}\right)}^{2} \leq C \varepsilon r_{\varepsilon}\left|\nabla_{x^{\prime}} u\right|_{L^{2}\left(T_{\varepsilon}\right)}^{2} . \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We have

$$
\left|u-G_{r_{\varepsilon}}(u)\right|_{L^{2}\left(T_{\varepsilon}\right)}^{2} \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}} \int_{T_{\varepsilon}^{k} \times I}\left|u-G_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{k}(u)\right|^{2} \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}} C \varepsilon r_{\varepsilon}\left|\nabla_{x^{\prime}} u\right|_{T_{\varepsilon}^{k} \times I}^{2}
$$

Proposition 3.13 For any $u \in W_{2}$, there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{T_{\varepsilon}}|u|^{2} \leq C|\nabla u|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{T_{\varepsilon}}|u|^{2} \leq 2 f_{T_{\varepsilon}}\left|u-G_{r_{\varepsilon}}(u)\right|^{2}+2 f_{T_{\varepsilon}}\left|G_{r_{\varepsilon}}(u)\right|^{2} \\
\leq 2 f_{T_{\varepsilon}}\left|u-G_{r_{\varepsilon}}(u)\right|^{2}+2 f_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}\left|G_{r_{\varepsilon}}(u)\right|^{2} \\
\leq C \varepsilon r_{\varepsilon} f_{T_{\varepsilon}}|\nabla u|^{2}+2 f_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}\left|G_{r_{\varepsilon}}(u)\right|^{2} \\
\leq C\left(\frac{\varepsilon r_{\varepsilon}}{\left|T_{\varepsilon}\right|} \int_{T_{\varepsilon}}|\nabla u|^{2}+\left|G_{r_{\varepsilon}}(u)-G_{R_{\varepsilon}}(u)\right|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon}\right)}^{2}+\left|G_{R_{\varepsilon}}(u)-u\right|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon}\right)}^{2}+|u|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}\right) \\
\leq C|\nabla u|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

where we have used (66), (67) and (70).

Corollary 3.14 The following estimate holds true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{T_{\varepsilon}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \leq C \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $C>0$ independent of $\varepsilon>0$.
Definition 3.15 For every $\varphi \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \cap W_{2}$, define

$$
M_{r_{\varepsilon}}(\varphi)=\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}}\left(f_{Y_{\varepsilon}^{k}} \varphi\left(y, x_{3}\right) d y\right) 1_{T_{\varepsilon}^{k}}\left(x^{\prime}\right)
$$

Proposition 3.16 There holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} f_{T_{\varepsilon}}\left|\varphi-M_{r_{\varepsilon}}(\varphi)\right|^{2}=0, \quad \forall \varphi \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \cap W_{2} \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\varphi \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \cap W_{2}$ and let $\delta>0$. The uniform continuity of $\varphi$ yields the existence of some $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that:

$$
\forall k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}, \forall x_{3} \in I, \int_{T_{\varepsilon}^{k}}\left|\varphi\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right)-\int_{Y_{\varepsilon}^{k}} \varphi\left(y, x_{3}\right) d y\right|^{2} d x^{\prime} \leq \delta, \forall \varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)
$$

There results

$$
f_{T_{\varepsilon}}\left|\varphi-M_{r_{\varepsilon}}(\varphi)\right|^{2} \leq \delta, \quad \forall \varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)
$$

Proposition 3.17 There holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{T_{\varepsilon}} G_{r_{\varepsilon}}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) M_{r_{\varepsilon}}(\varphi)=\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} G_{r_{\varepsilon}}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) \varphi, \quad \forall \varphi \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \cap W_{2} . \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\varphi \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \cap W_{2}$. We have:

$$
f_{T_{\varepsilon}} G_{r_{\varepsilon}}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) M_{r_{\varepsilon}}(\varphi)=\frac{\left|T_{\varepsilon}^{0}\right|}{\left|T_{\varepsilon}\right|} \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}} \int_{I} G_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{k}(u)\left(x_{3}\right)\left(f_{Y_{\varepsilon}^{k}} \varphi\right)=\frac{\left|T_{\varepsilon}^{0}\right|}{\varepsilon^{2}\left|T_{\varepsilon}\right|} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} G_{r_{\varepsilon}}(u) \varphi .
$$

### 3.3 The homogenization result

A preliminary result is the following:
Proposition 3.18 There exists $u \in W_{2}$ such that, on some subsequence,

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup u \quad \text { in } \quad H^{1}(\Omega) .  \tag{75}\\
G_{R_{\varepsilon}}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) \rightarrow u \quad \text { in } \quad L^{2}(\Omega)  \tag{76}\\
G_{r_{\varepsilon}}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) \rightarrow u \quad \text { in } \quad L^{2}(\Omega) \tag{77}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. From (58), we get, on some subsequence, the convergence (75). The convergence (76) follows from (75) and (67). Finally, the convergence (77) is a consequence of (76) and (66).

Proposition 3.19 For any $\varphi \in W_{2}$, the following convergences hold true on some subsequence:

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{T_{\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon} \varphi & \rightarrow f_{\Omega} u \varphi  \tag{78}\\
f_{T_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{3}} \varphi & \rightarrow f_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{3}} \varphi \tag{79}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u$ was introduced by (75).
Proof. Let $\varphi \in C(\Omega) \cap W_{2}$. We have
$f_{T_{\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon} \varphi=f_{T_{\varepsilon}}\left(u_{\varepsilon}-G_{r_{\varepsilon}}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)\right) \varphi+f_{T_{\varepsilon}} G_{r_{\varepsilon}}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\varphi-M_{r_{\varepsilon}}(\varphi)\right)+f_{T_{\varepsilon}} G_{r_{\varepsilon}}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) M_{r_{\varepsilon}}(\varphi)$
where, taking into account $(70),(73),(75)$ and (77), we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|f_{T_{\varepsilon}}\left(u_{\varepsilon}-G_{r_{\varepsilon}}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)\right) \varphi\right| \leq\left(f_{T_{\varepsilon}}\left|u-G_{r_{\varepsilon}}(u)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(f_{T_{\varepsilon}}|\varphi|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
\leq\left(\varepsilon r_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}|\varphi|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\left(f_{T_{\varepsilon}}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq C \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \\
\left|f_{T_{\varepsilon}} G_{r_{\varepsilon}}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\varphi-M_{r_{\varepsilon}}(\varphi)\right)\right| \leq\left|G_{r_{\varepsilon}}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)\right|_{\Omega}\left(f_{T_{\varepsilon}}\left|\varphi-M_{r_{\varepsilon}}(\varphi)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \rightarrow 0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then, using (74), we get

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} f_{T_{\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon} \varphi=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} f_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} G_{r_{\varepsilon}}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) \varphi=f_{\Omega} u \varphi .
$$

To conclude, we notice that $C(\Omega) \cap W_{2}$ is dense in $W_{2}$ and that the following estimate yields the continuity of $\varphi \mapsto f_{T_{\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon} \varphi$ as a mapping:

$$
\left|f_{T_{\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon} \varphi\right| \leq\left(f_{T_{\varepsilon}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(f_{T_{\varepsilon}}|\varphi|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq C|\nabla \varphi|_{\Omega}
$$

For $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, we have
$\int_{T_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{3}} \varphi=-\int_{T_{\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{3}}+\int_{\partial T_{\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon} \varphi \nu_{3}=-\int_{T_{\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{3}}+\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}} \int_{\partial \mathcal{C}_{R_{\varepsilon}}^{k} \times(0,1)} u_{\varepsilon} \varphi \nu_{3}=$
As $\nu_{3}=0$ on $\partial \mathcal{C}_{R_{\varepsilon}}^{k} \times(0,1), k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}$, we infer that

$$
f_{T_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{3}} \varphi+f_{T_{\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{3}}=0
$$

There results:

$$
\left|f_{T_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{3}} \varphi\right| \leq \sqrt{f_{T_{\varepsilon}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}|\nabla \varphi|_{\Omega}, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega), ~(\Omega)}
$$

and (72) yields the existence of some $v_{3} \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{\left|T_{\varepsilon}\right|} 1_{T_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{3}} \rightharpoonup v_{3} \quad \text { in } \quad H^{-1}(\Omega)
$$

Then, passing to the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle v_{3}, \varphi\right\rangle+f_{\Omega} u \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{3}}=0 \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, $v_{3}=\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{3}} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$.

Definition 3.20 For each $i \in\{1,2\}$, let $w^{i}$ denote the solution of:

$$
-\operatorname{div}_{y}\left(a(y) \nabla_{y} w^{i}\right)=\frac{\partial a}{\partial y_{i}} \quad \text { in } \quad Y
$$

$$
w^{i} \in H_{\mathrm{per}}^{1}(Y), \quad f_{Y} w^{i}=0
$$

Now, we are in the position to state our main result:
Theorem 3.21 The limit $u \in W_{2}$ of (75) verifies (in a weak sense) the following problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{div}_{x^{\prime}}\left(A^{\mathrm{hom}} \nabla_{x^{\prime}} u\right)-\left(f_{Y} a(y) d y+\frac{\eta}{|D|}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{3}^{2}}=f \quad \text { in } \quad \Omega, \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the homogenized matrix $A^{\text {hom }} \in \mathbf{R}^{2 \times 2}$ is defined by its coefficients:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i j}^{\mathrm{hom}}=f_{Y} a(y)\left(\delta_{i j}+\frac{\partial w^{i}}{\partial y_{j}}\right) d y, \quad i, j \in\{1,2\} . \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For any $\left(R_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{R}$ and $\varphi \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega}) \cap W_{2}$, let us denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\varepsilon}=\left(1-w_{\varepsilon}\right) \varphi+w_{\varepsilon} G_{0}(\varphi) . \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a straightforward consequence of the definition, we have $\Phi_{\varepsilon} \in W_{2}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left|\Phi_{\varepsilon}-\varphi\right|_{\Omega}=0 \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we set in (55) $w=\Phi_{\varepsilon}$ where $\Phi_{\varepsilon}$ is defined by (83).
Then, we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\Omega \backslash T_{\varepsilon}} a_{\varepsilon} \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\left(-\nabla w_{\varepsilon}\right) \varphi+\int_{\Omega \backslash T_{\varepsilon}} a_{\varepsilon} \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\left(1-w_{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla \varphi+ \\
+\int_{\Omega \backslash T_{\varepsilon}} a_{\varepsilon} \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \nabla w_{\varepsilon} G_{0}(\varphi)+\int_{\Omega \backslash T_{\varepsilon}} a_{\varepsilon} \nabla u_{\varepsilon} w_{\varepsilon} \nabla G_{0}(\varphi)+\eta f_{T_{\varepsilon}} \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \nabla G_{0}(\varphi)= \\
=\left\langle f_{\varepsilon}, \varphi\right\rangle+\left\langle f_{\varepsilon}, w_{\varepsilon}\left(G_{0}(\varphi)-\varphi\right)\right\rangle .
\end{gathered}
$$

Concerning the sum between the first and the third terms of the left-hand side of the previous relation, we have:

$$
\left|\int_{\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}} a_{\varepsilon} \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \nabla w_{\varepsilon}\left(G_{0}(\varphi)-\varphi\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon R_{\varepsilon}}}\left|G_{0}(\varphi)-\varphi\right|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}\right)}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}\right)} \leq C \sqrt{\frac{R_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

As for the second term, we have:

$$
\int_{\Omega \backslash T_{\varepsilon}} a_{\varepsilon} \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\left(1-w_{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla \varphi=\int_{\Omega \backslash \mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}} a_{\varepsilon} \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \nabla \varphi+\int_{\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon} \backslash T_{\varepsilon}} a_{\varepsilon} \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\left(1-w_{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla \varphi
$$

with

$$
\left|\int_{\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon} \backslash T_{\varepsilon}} a_{\varepsilon} \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\left(1-w_{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla \varphi\right| \leq C\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}|\nabla \varphi|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}\right)} \leq C|\nabla \varphi|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}\right)} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Moreover:

$$
\int_{\Omega \backslash \mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}} a_{\varepsilon} \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \nabla \varphi=\int_{\Omega} p^{\varepsilon} \nabla \varphi 1_{\Omega \backslash \mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}} \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} p \nabla \varphi .
$$

where $p$ is defined by (60). Next, we shall identify $p$. For this, we denote $w_{\varepsilon}^{i}\left(x^{\prime}\right):=w^{i}\left(\frac{x^{\prime}}{\varepsilon}\right)$, the periodization of $w^{i}$. For $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ and $i=1,2$, we have:

$$
\int_{\Omega} p_{i}^{\varepsilon} \psi=\int_{\Omega} a_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{i}} \psi=\sum_{j=1,2}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(a_{\varepsilon} \delta_{i j}+\varepsilon a_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial w_{\varepsilon}^{i}}{\partial x_{j}}\right) \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{j}} \psi-\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} a_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial w_{\varepsilon}^{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{j}} \psi\right)
$$

The second term converges to zero because:

$$
\left|\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} a_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial w_{\varepsilon}^{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{j}} \psi\right| \leq C \sqrt{\varepsilon}\left|\nabla_{x^{\prime}} u_{\varepsilon}\right|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C \sqrt{\varepsilon} .
$$

Noticing that

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\left(a_{\varepsilon} \delta_{i j}+\varepsilon a_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial w_{\varepsilon}^{i}}{\partial x_{j}}\right)=0 \quad \text { in } \quad \mathcal{D}^{\prime}(D), \quad i=1,2,
$$

and using the Curl-Div lemma (see [7], [8]) we obtain by passing to the limit:

$$
\int_{\Omega} p_{i} \psi=\int_{\Omega} a_{i j}^{\mathrm{hom}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} \psi,
$$

that is,

$$
p_{i}=\sum_{j=1,2} a_{i j}^{\mathrm{hom}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}}, \quad i=1,2 .
$$

The identification of $p_{3}$ follows from

$$
p_{3}^{\varepsilon}=a_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{3}} \rightharpoonup\left(f_{Y} a(y) d y\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{3}} \text { in } L^{2}(\Omega) .
$$

The fourth term converges to zero by (62) and (61) as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\int_{\Omega \backslash T_{\varepsilon}} \nabla u_{\varepsilon} w_{\varepsilon} \nabla G_{0}(\varphi)\right| \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}}\left|\int_{Y_{\varepsilon}^{k} \backslash T_{\varepsilon}^{k}} \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{3}} w_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial G_{0}^{k}(\varphi)}{\partial x_{3}}\right| \leq \\
\leq \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\varepsilon}} \int_{I}\left|\int_{\mathcal{C}_{R_{\varepsilon}}^{k} \backslash T_{\varepsilon}^{k}} \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{3}} w_{\varepsilon} G_{0}^{k}\left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{3}}\right)\right| \leq\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\left|\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{3}}\right|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \sqrt{\left|\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}\right|} \rightarrow 0
\end{gathered}
$$

For the fifth one, taking in account (79) and the uniform continuity of $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{3}}$, we have

$$
\eta f_{T_{\varepsilon}} \nabla u \nabla G_{0}(\varphi)=\eta f_{T_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{3}} \frac{\partial G_{0}(\varphi)}{\partial x_{3}}=\eta f_{T_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{3}} G_{0}\left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{3}}\right) \rightarrow \eta f_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{3}} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{3}} .
$$

Then, the left-hand side tends to the left-hand side of (81).
As for the right-hand side, we have $\left\langle f_{\varepsilon}, \varphi\right\rangle \rightarrow\langle f, \varphi\rangle$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\left\langle f_{\varepsilon}, w_{\varepsilon}\left(G_{0}(\varphi)-\varphi\right)\right\rangle\right| \leq C\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}\right| \mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}\left|G_{0}(\varphi)-\varphi\right|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}\right)}+C\left|w_{\varepsilon}\right|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon} \cup \bar{T}_{\varepsilon}\right)}|\varphi|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon} \cup \bar{T}_{\varepsilon}\right)} \\
\leq \sqrt{\frac{R_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}}|\varphi|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0,
\end{gathered}
$$

which achieves the proof.

Remark 3.22 The conduction problem in the presence of $\varepsilon$-periodic highly conductive thin layers confined between spheres of $\varepsilon$-order radii can be homogenized similarly. In that case the macroscopic system does not present any increase of the conductivity. Therefore, we conjecture that the presence of $\varepsilon$-periodic highly conductive thin layers determines an increase of the macroscopic conductivity in a certain direction only if these layers cover entirely segment lines of unity order having this direction.
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