

The General Vector Addition System Reachability Problem by Presburger Inductive Invariants

Jérôme Leroux

► To cite this version:

Jérôme Leroux. The General Vector Addition System Reachability Problem by Presburger Inductive Invariants. 2008. hal-00272667v8

HAL Id: hal-00272667 https://hal.science/hal-00272667v8

Preprint submitted on 29 Oct 2008 (v8), last revised 8 Jun 2009 (v12)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

The General Vector Addition System Reachability Problem by Presburger Inductive Invariants

Jérôme Leroux Laboratoire Bordelais de Recherche en Informatique, CNRS, Talence, France leroux@labri.fr

Abstract

The reachability problem for Vector Addition Systems (VAS) is a central problem of net theory. The general problem is known decidable by algorithms exclusively based on the classical Kosaraju-Lambert-Mayr-Sacerdote-Tenney decomposition. This decomposition is used in this paper to prove that Parikh images of languages accepted by VAS are semi-pseudo-linear; a class of sets that can be precisely over-approximated by sets definable in the Presburger arithmetic. We provide an application of this result; we prove that if a final configuration is not reachable from an initial one, there exists a Presburger inductive invariant proving this property. Since we can decide with any decision procedure for the Presburger arithmetic if formulas denote inductive invariants, we deduce that there exist checkable certificates of non-reachability. In particular, there exists a simple algorithm for deciding the general VAS reachability problem based on two semi-algorithms. A first one that tries to prove the reachability by fairly enumerating finite sequence of actions and a second one that tries to prove the non-reachability by fairly enumerating Presburger formulas.

1 Introduction

Vector Addition Systems (VAS) or equivalently Petri Nets are one of the most popular formal methods for the representation and the analysis of parallel processes [2]. The reachability problem is central since many computational problems (even outside the parallel processes) reduce to the reachability problem. Sacerdote and Tenney provided in [10] a partial proof of the decidability of this problem. The proof was completed in 1981 by Mayr [9] and simplified by Kosaraju [7] from [10, 9]. Ten years later [8], Lambert provided a more simplified version based on [7]. This last proof still remains difficult and the upper-bound complexity of the corresponding algorithm is just known non-primitive recursive. Nowadays, the exact complexity of the reachability problem for VAS is still an open-problem. Even an elementary upper-bound complexity is open. In fact, the known general reachability algorithms are exclusively based on the Kosaraju-Lambert-Mayr-Sacerdote-Tenney (KLMST) decomposition.

In this paper, by using the KLMST decomposition we prove that Parikh images of languages accepted by VAS are semi-pseudo-linear, a class of sets that can be preciselly over-approximated by Presburger sets, or equivalently by semi-linear sets [3]. We provide an application of this result; we prove that if a final configuration is not reachable from an initial one, there exists a Presburger inductive invariant proving this property. Since we can decide with any decision procedure for the Presburger arithmetic if Presburger formulas denote inductive invariants, we deduce that there exist checkable certificates of non-reachability. In particular, there exists a simple algorithm for deciding the general VAS reachability problem based on two semialgorithms. A first one that tries to prove the reachability by fairly enumerating finite sequence of actions and a second one that tries to prove the non-reachability by fairly enumerating Presburger formulas. Note [5] that in general, reachability sets are not definable in the Presburger arithmetic. Presburger inductive invariants are obtained by observing that reachability sets are semi-pseudo-linear.

Outline of the paper: In Section 2 we introduce the VAS. In Section 3 we define the pseudo-linear sets, a class of sets that can be precisely over-approximated by linear sets called *linearization*. We also define the finite union of pseudo-linear sets called semi-pseudo-linear sets. In Section 4 the class of pseudo-linear sets is proved stable by linear function images. In Section 5 Parikh images of languages accepted by VAS are proved semi-pseudo-linear. In Section 6 we introduce a dimension function dim : $P(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \{-\infty, 0, \ldots, n\}$ and we provide few properties satisfied by this function. In Section 7 we prove that $\dim(L_1 \cap L_2) < \dim(X_1 \cup X_2)$ where L_1, L_2 are linearizations of pseudo-linear sets X_1, X_2 such that $X_1 \cap X_2 = \emptyset$. Finally in section 8 we show that if a final configuration is

not reachable from an initial one, there exists a Presburger inductive invariant proving this property.

2 Vector Addition Systems

In this section, we recall the definition of language accepted by a Vector Addition System.

Some notations : As usual we denote by $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}_+, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{N}$ respectively the set of rational values, non-negative rational values, the set of integers and the set of non-negative integers. The *cardinal* of a finite set X is denoted by |X|. The components of a vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ are denoted by $(\mathbf{x}[1], \dots, \mathbf{x}[n])$. Given a function $f : E \to F$ where E, F are sets, we denote by $f(X) = \{f(x) \mid x \in X\}$ for any subset $X \subseteq E$. This definition naturally defines sets $X_1 + X_2$ where $X_1, X_2 \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^n$. With slight abuse of notation, $\{\mathbf{x_1}\} + X_2$ and $X_1 + \{\mathbf{x_2}\}$ are simply denoted by $\mathbf{x_1} + X_2$ and $X_1 + \mathbf{x_2}$. The total order \leq over \mathbb{Q} is extended component-wise to the partial order \leq over \mathbb{Q}^n satisfying $\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{x}'$ if and only if $\mathbf{x}[i] \leq \mathbf{x}'[i]$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$. The set of minimal elements for \leq of a set $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$ is denoted by $\min(X)$. As (\mathbb{N}^n, \leq) is a well partially ordered set, note that $\min(X)$ is finite and $X \subseteq \min(X) + \mathbb{N}^n$ for any $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$. An *alphabet* is a non-empty finite set Σ . Set of words over Σ are denoted by Σ^* . The number of occurrences $a \in \Sigma$ in a word $\sigma \in \Sigma^*$ is denoted by $|\sigma|_a$. A Parikh image of a language $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \Sigma^*$ is a set $X = \{ (|\sigma|_{a_1}, \dots, |\sigma|_{a_n}) \mid \sigma \in \mathcal{L} \}$ where a_1, \dots, a_n is a finite sequence in Σ .

A Vector Addition System (VAS) is a tuple $\mathcal{V} = (\Sigma, n, \delta)$ where Σ is an alphabet, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is the dimension, and $\delta \in \Sigma \to \mathbb{Z}^n$ is the displacement function. A configuration is a vector in \mathbb{N}^n . The binary relation $\xrightarrow{a}_{\mathcal{V}}$ where $a \in \Sigma$ over the set of configurations is defined by $\mathbf{s} \xrightarrow{a}_{\mathcal{V}} \mathbf{s}'$ if and only if $\mathbf{s}' = \mathbf{s} + \delta(a)$. Given a word $\sigma = a_1 \dots a_k$ of $k \in \mathbb{N}$ elements $a_i \in \Sigma$, we denote by $\xrightarrow{\sigma}_{\mathcal{V}}$ the binary relation over the set of configurations that is equal to the concatenation $\xrightarrow{a_1}_{\mathcal{V}} \dots \xrightarrow{a_k}_{\mathcal{V}}$ if $k \geq 1$ and that is equal to the identity binary relation if k = 0. We also denote by $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{V}}$ the *reachability binary relation* over the set of configurations defined by $\mathbf{s} \rightarrow_{\mathcal{V}} \mathbf{s}'$ if and only if there exists $\sigma \in \Sigma^*$ such that $\mathbf{s} \xrightarrow{\sigma}_{\mathcal{V}} \mathbf{s}'$. The *language accepted* by a tuple $(\mathbf{s}, \mathcal{V}, \mathbf{s}')$ where $(\mathbf{s}, \mathcal{V}, \mathbf{s}') = \{\sigma \in \Sigma^* \mid \mathbf{s} \xrightarrow{\sigma}_{\mathcal{V}} \mathbf{s}'\}$.

3 Semi-pseudo-linear Sets

In this section we recall the definition of semi-linear sets and we introduce the class of *pseudo-linear sets* and *semipseudo-linear sets*. Intuitively, a pseudo-linear set X is a set that can be precisely over-approximated by a *linear set* L called a *linearization* of X, and a semi-pseudo-linear set is a finite union of pseudo-linear sets.

Let us first recall the definition of *semi-linear sets*. The *sub-monoïd* of $(\mathbb{Z}^n, +)$ generated by a set $X \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ is denoted by $X^* = \{\mathbf{0}\} \cup \{\sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{x_i} \mid k \ge 1 \mathbf{x_i} \in X\}$. A finite set $P \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ is called a *set of periods*. A set $L \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ is said *linear* [3] if there exists a vector $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and a set of periods $P \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $L = \mathbf{b} + P^*$. A *semi-linear set* $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ is a finite union of linear sets $L_i \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$. Recall [3] that sets definable in FO $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \leq)$ are exactly the semi-linear sets and sets definable in FO $(\mathbb{N}, +, \leq)$ also called *Presburger sets* are exactly the non-negative semi-linear sets.

The definition of pseudo-linear sets is based on the notion of the *interior of monoïds*. The *interior of a monoïd* Mis the set $\mathcal{I}(M)$ of vectors $\mathbf{a} \in M$ such that for any $\mathbf{x} \in M$ there exists an integer $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $N\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{x} + M$. We denote by $\mathcal{I}(M)$ the *interior* of M. The following Lemma 3.1 characterizes the set $\mathcal{I}(P^*)$ where P is a set of periods. In particular, this lemma shows that $\mathcal{I}(P^*)$ is non empty.

Lemma 3.1 Let $P = {\mathbf{p_1}, \dots, \mathbf{p_k}}$ be a set of periods with $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We have $\mathcal{I}(P^*) = {\mathbf{0}}$ if k = 0 and $\mathcal{I}(P^*) = P^* \cap ((\mathbb{Q}_+ \setminus {0}) \mathbf{p_1} + \dots + (\mathbb{Q}_+ \setminus {0}) \mathbf{p_k})$ if $k \ge 1$.

Proof: Since the case k = 0 is immediate, we assume that $k \ge 1$. Let us first consider an interior vector $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{I}(P^*)$. As $\sum_{j=1}^{k} \mathbf{p_j} \in P^*$ and $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{I}(P^*)$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $N\mathbf{a} \in (\sum_{j=1}^{k} \mathbf{p_j}) + P^*$. Let $\mathbf{p} \in P^*$ such that $N\mathbf{a} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \mathbf{p_j} + \mathbf{p}$. As $\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{a} \in P^*$, there exists a sequence $(N_j)_{1 \le j \le k}$ of elements in \mathbb{N} such that $\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{a} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} N_j \mathbf{p_j}$. Combining this equality with the previous one provides $\mathbf{a} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1+N_j}{1+N} \mathbf{p_j}$. Thus $\mathbf{a} \in (\mathbb{Q}_+ \setminus \{0\})\mathbf{p_1} + \dots + (\mathbb{Q}_+ \setminus \{0\})\mathbf{p_k}$. Conversely, let us consider $\mathbf{a} \in P^* \cap ((\mathbb{Q}_+ \setminus \{0\})\mathbf{p_1} + \dots + (\mathbb{Q}_+ \setminus \{0\})\mathbf{p_k})$. Observe that there exists an integer $d \ge 1$ large enough such that $d\mathbf{a} \in (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\})\mathbf{p_1} + \dots + (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\})\mathbf{p_k}$. In particular for any $\mathbf{x} \in P^*$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $Nd\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{x} + P^*$. □

Example 3.2 Let $P = \{(1,1), (1,0)\}$. We have $P^* = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{N}^2 \mid \mathbf{x}[2] \leq \mathbf{x}[1]\}$ and the interior of P^* is equal to $\mathcal{I}(P^*) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{N}^2 \mid 0 < \mathbf{x}[2] < \mathbf{x}[1]\}$.

A set $X \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ is said *pseudo-linear* if there exists $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and a set of periods $P \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $X \subseteq \mathbf{b} + P^*$ and such that for any finite set $R \subseteq \mathcal{I}(P^*)$ there exists $\mathbf{x} \in X$ such that $\mathbf{x}+R^* \subseteq X$. In this case, P is called a *linearizator* of X and the linear set $L = \mathbf{b} + P^*$ is called a *linearization* of X. A *semi-pseudo-linear set* is a finite union of *pseudo-linear sets*.

Example 3.3 The set $P = \{(1,1), (1,0)\}$ is a linearizator of the pseudo-linear set $X = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{N}^2 \mid \mathbf{x}[2] \leq \mathbf{x}[1] \leq 2^{\mathbf{x}[2]}\}$. Moreover P^* is a linearization of X.

4 Pseudo-Linear Set Images

In this section, the class of pseudo-linear sets is proved stable by linear function images.

A function $f : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^{n'}$ is said *linear* if there exists a matrix $M \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times n'}$ and a vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n'}$ such that $f(\mathbf{x}) = M\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{v}$ for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Proposition 4.1 Images X' = f(X) of pseudo-linear sets X by a linear function f are pseudo-linear. Moreover the linear set L' = f(L) is a linearization of X' for any linearization L of X.

Proof : Let us consider a linear function $f : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^{n'}$ defined by a matrix $M \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times n'}$ and a vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n'}$. Let us consider a pseudo-linear set $X \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$. As X is pseudolinear, there exists a linearization L of X. We are going to prove that L' = f(L) is a linearization of X' = f(X). There exists a vector $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and a set of periods $P \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $L = \mathbf{b} + P^*$. Let us consider $\mathbf{b}' = f(\mathbf{b})$ and $P' = \{M\mathbf{p} \mid \mathbf{p} \in P\}$ and observe that $L' = \mathbf{b}' + (P')^*$. In particular L' is a linear set. Since $X \subseteq L$ we deduce that $X' \subseteq L'$. Let us consider a set $R' = {\mathbf{r}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_d}$ included in the interior of $(P')^*$. As $\mathbf{r}'_{\mathbf{i}} \in (P')^*$ there exists $\mathbf{p_i} \in P^*$ such that $\mathbf{r'_i} = M\mathbf{p_i}$. Lemma 3.1 shows that $\mathbf{r'_i}$ is a sum of vectors of the form $\lambda_{i,\mathbf{p}}M\mathbf{p}$ over all $\mathbf{p} \in P$ where $\lambda_{i,\mathbf{p}} > 0$ is a rational value. There exists an integer $n_i \geq 1$ large enough such that $n_i \lambda_{i,\mathbf{p}} \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ for any $\mathbf{p} \in P$. We deduce that $\mathbf{r}_i = \sum_{\mathbf{p} \in P} n_i \lambda_{i,\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{p}$ is a vector in P^* . Moreover, form Lemma 3.1 we deduce that r_i is in the interior of P^* . Observe that $n_i \mathbf{r}'_i = M \mathbf{r}_i$. Let us consider the set R of vectors $\mathbf{r_i} + k_i \mathbf{p_i}$ where k_i is an integer such that $0 \leq k_i < n_i$. As $\mathbf{r_i}$ is in the interior of P^* and $\mathbf{p_i} \in$ P^* we deduce that $\mathbf{r_i} + k_i \mathbf{p_i}$ is also in the interior of P^* . We have proved that $R \subseteq \mathcal{I}(P^*)$. As L is a linearization of X, there exists $\mathbf{x} \in X$ such that $\mathbf{x} + R^* \subseteq X$. We deduce that $f(\mathbf{x}) + MR^* \subseteq X'$. Let us consider $\mathbf{x}' =$ $f(\mathbf{x}) + M(\sum_{i=1}^{d} \mathbf{r}_i)$ and let us prove that $\mathbf{x}' + (R')^* \subseteq X'$. Consider $\mathbf{r}' \in (R')^*$. There exists a sequence $(\mu'_i)_{1 \le i \le d}$ of integers in N such that $\mathbf{r}' = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \mu'_i \mathbf{r}'_i$. The Euclid division of μ'_i by n_i shows that $\mu'_i = k_i + n_i \mu_i$ where $\mu_i \in$ N and $0 \le k_i < n_i$. From $n_i \mathbf{r}'_i = M \mathbf{r}_i$ we deduce that $\mathbf{x}' + \mathbf{r}' = f(\mathbf{x}) + M(\sum_{i=1}^d (\mathbf{r}_i + k_i \mathbf{p}_i) + \sum_{i=1}^d \mu_i \mathbf{r}_i)$. Observe that $\mathbf{r_i} + k_i \mathbf{p_i}$ and $\mathbf{r_i}$ are both in R. We have proved that $\mathbf{x}' + \mathbf{r}' \in f(\mathbf{x}) + MR^*$. Thus $\mathbf{x}' + (R')^* \subseteq X'$. We have proved that L' is a linearization of X'. \square

5 Parikh Images

Let \mathcal{V} be a VAS and let \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{s}' be two configurations. In this section, we prove that Parikh images of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{s}, \mathcal{V}, \mathbf{s}')$ are semi-pseudo-linear. In sub-section 5.1 we recall the classical Kosaraju-Lambert-Mayr-Sacerdote-Tenney (KLMST) decomposition. This decomposition is used in the next subsection 5.2 to establish the semi-pseudo-linearity of Parikh images of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{s}, \mathcal{V}, \mathbf{s}')$.

5.1 The KLMST decomposition

We recall the KLMST decomposition by following notations introduced by Lambert [8].

We first extend the set of integers \mathbb{Z} with an additional element \top . The addition function $+ : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ is extended to the totally-defined function in $(\mathbb{Z} \cup \{\top\}) \times (\mathbb{Z} \cup \{\top\}) \to (\mathbb{Z} \cup \{\top\})$ satisfying $x_1 + x_2 = \top$ if $x_1 = \top$ or $x_2 = \top$. With slight abuse of notation we denote by $\top - x$ the element \top when $x \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In the sequel, the element \top is either interpreted as a "very large integer" or a "don't care integer". More formally, we denote by \mathbb{N}_{\top} the set $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\top\}$. The total order \leq over \mathbb{N} is extended over \mathbb{N}_{\top} by $x_1 \leq x_2$ if and only if $x_2 = \top \lor (x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{N} \land x_1 \leq x_2)$. The equality = over \mathbb{N} is also extended to a partial order \trianglelefteq over \mathbb{N}_{\top} by $x_1 \leq x_2$ if and only if $x_2 = \top \lor (x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{N} \land x_1 \leq x_2)$. Intuitively element \top denotes a "very large integer" for the total order \leq whereas it denotes a "don't care integer" for the partial order \trianglelefteq . Given a sequence $(x_i)_{i\geq 0}$ in \mathbb{N}_{\top} , we denote by $x = \lim_{i \to +\infty} x_i$ the element $x = \top$ if for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $i_0 \geq 0$ such that $x_i \geq r$ for any $i \geq i_0$ and the element $x \in \mathbb{N}$ if there exists $i_0 \geq 0$ such that $x_i = x$ for any $i \geq i_0$. When $x = \lim_{i \to +\infty} x_i$ exists we say that $(x_i)_{i\geq 0}$ converges toward x.

We also extends the semantics of VAS. A vector in \mathbb{N}^n_{\top} is called an *extended configuration* of \mathcal{V} . With slight abuse of notation, the binary relation $\xrightarrow{a}_{\mathcal{V}}$ where $a \in \Sigma$ is extended over the set of extended configurations by $\mathbf{x} \xrightarrow{a}_{\mathcal{V}} \mathbf{x}'$ if and only if $\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{x} + \delta(a)$. Given a word $\sigma = a_1 \dots a_k$ of $k \in \mathbb{N}$ elements $a_i \in \Sigma$, we denote by $\xrightarrow{\sigma}_{\mathcal{V}}$ the binary relation over the set of extended configurations that is equal to the concatenation $\xrightarrow{a_1}_{\mathcal{V}} \dots \xrightarrow{a_k}_{\mathcal{V}}$ if $k \ge 1$ and that is equal to the identity binary relation if k = 0. Given an extended configuration \mathbf{x} we denote by $\mathbf{x} \xrightarrow{\sigma}_{\mathcal{V}}$ if there exists an extended configuration \mathbf{x}' such that $\mathbf{x} \xrightarrow{\sigma}_{\mathcal{V}} \mathbf{x}'$ and symmetrically for any extended configuration \mathbf{x} we denote by $\xrightarrow{\sigma}_{\mathcal{V}} \mathbf{x}'$ if there exists an extended configuration \mathbf{x} such that $\mathbf{x} \xrightarrow{\sigma}_{\mathcal{V}} \mathbf{x}'$.

Next we recall some elements of graph theory. A graph G is a tuple $G = (Q, \Sigma, T)$ where Q is a non-empty finite set of *states*, Σ is an alphabet, and $T \subseteq Q \times \Sigma \times Q$ is a finite

set of *transitions*. A path π is a word $\pi = t_1 \dots t_k$ of $k \in \mathbb{N}$ transitions $t_i \in T$ such that there exists $q_0, \dots, q_k \in Q$ and $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \Sigma$ such that $t_i = (q_{j-1}, a_j, q_j)$ for any $1 \leq j \leq k$. In this case we say that π is a path from q_0 to q_k labelled by $\sigma = a_1 \dots a_k$ and we denote π by $q_0 \xrightarrow{\sigma} G q_k$ or simply $q_0 \rightarrow_G q_k$. Given a transition $t \in T$, we denote by $|\pi|_t$ the number of occurrences of t in π . When $q_0 = q_k$, the path π is called a *cycle*. Let us recall the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1 (Euler Cycles) Let $G = (Q, \Sigma, T)$ be a strongly connected graph. For any sequence $(\mu_t)_{t \in T}$ of integers $\mu_t > 0$ satisfying the following equality for any state $q_0 \in Q$, there exists a cycle π such that $|\pi|_t = \mu_t$ for any transition $t \in T$:

$$\sum_{t=(q,a,q_0)\in T} \mu_t = \sum_{t'=(q_0,a,q')\in T} \mu_{t'}$$

A graph vector $G = (Q, \Sigma, T)$ for \mathcal{V} is a graph such that $Q \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n_{\top}$ is a non-empty finite set of extended configurations, and $T \subseteq Q \times \Sigma \times Q$ is a finite set of transitions $(\mathbf{x}, a, \mathbf{x}')$ such that $\mathbf{x} \xrightarrow{a}_{\mathcal{V}} \mathbf{x}'$. Even if the proof of the following lemma is immediate by induction over the length of σ , it is central in the KLMST decomposition. In fact a path $\mathbf{x} \xrightarrow{\sigma}_G \mathbf{x}'$ implies the relation $\mathbf{x} \xrightarrow{\sigma}_{\mathcal{V}} \mathbf{x}'$.

Lemma 5.2 (Graph vector paths) For any $\mathbf{x} \xrightarrow{\sigma}_{\mathcal{V}} \mathbf{x}'$, for any sequences $(\mathbf{x}_c)_{c \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(\mathbf{x}'_c)_{c \in \mathbb{N}}$ of extended configurations that converge toward $\mathbf{x} = \lim_{c \to +\infty} \mathbf{x}_c$ and $\mathbf{x}' = \lim_{c \to +\infty} \mathbf{x}'_c$, there exists $c_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathbf{x}_c \xrightarrow{\sigma}_{\mathcal{V}}$ and $\xrightarrow{\sigma}_{\mathcal{V}} \mathbf{x}'_c$ for any $c \geq c_0$.

A marked graph vector for \mathcal{V} is a tuple $(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{x}, G, \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{m}')$ where G is a graph vector, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' are two states of this graph vector, and $\mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{m}' \leq \mathbf{x}'$ are two extended configurations.

A marked graph vector sequences (MGVS) for $(\mathbf{s}, \mathcal{V}, \mathbf{s}')$ is an alternating sequence \mathcal{U} of marked graph vectors for \mathcal{V} and actions of the following form where $\mathbf{m}_0 = \mathbf{s}$ and $\mathbf{m}'_{\mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{s}'$:

$$(\mathbf{m}_0, \mathbf{x}_0, G_0, \mathbf{x}'_0, \mathbf{m}'_0), a_1, \dots, a_k, (\mathbf{m}_k, \mathbf{x}_k, G_k, \mathbf{x}'_k, \mathbf{m}'_k)$$

The *language accepted* by a MGVS \mathcal{U} is the set $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U})$ of words of the form $\sigma_0 a_1 \sigma_1 \dots a_k \sigma_k$ such that for any $0 \leq j \leq k$ there exists a path $\mathbf{x_j} \xrightarrow{\sigma_j} G_j \mathbf{x'_j}$ and there exists two configurations $\mathbf{s_j} \leq \mathbf{m_j}$ and $\mathbf{s'_j} \leq \mathbf{m'_j}$ such that:

$$\mathbf{s_0} \xrightarrow{\sigma_0} \mathcal{V} \mathbf{s'_0} \xrightarrow{a_1} \mathcal{V} \mathbf{s_1} \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} \mathcal{V} \mathbf{s'_1} \dots \mathbf{s'_{k-1}} \xrightarrow{a_k} \mathcal{V} \mathbf{s_k} \xrightarrow{\sigma_k} \mathcal{V} \mathbf{s'_k}$$
We observe that $\int (\mathcal{U}) \subset \int (c \mathcal{V} c')$ since $(c \mathcal{S'}) = c$

We observe that $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{s}, \mathcal{V}, \mathbf{s}')$ since $(\mathbf{s_0}, \mathbf{s_k'}) = (\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{s}')$.

We now associate a characteristic linear system to a MGVS \mathcal{U} . Denoting by $\mu_{i,t}$ the number of occurrences of

a transition $t \in T_j$ in the path $\mathbf{x}_j \xrightarrow{\sigma_j} G_j \mathbf{x}'_j$ we get a nonnegative sequence $(\mu_{j,t})_t$ indexed by $t \in T_j$. We also obtain a sequence $\xi = (\mathbf{s}_j, (\mu_{j,t})_t, \mathbf{s}'_j)_j$ indexed by $0 \le j \le k$ said associated to σ . We observe that ξ is a non-negative integral solution of the following linear system called the *characteristic system* of the MGVS \mathcal{U} where $\chi_{\mathbf{x}}(q) = 1$ if $q = \mathbf{x}$ and where $\chi_{\mathbf{x}}(q) = 0$ otherwise:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\text{for all } 1 \leq j \leq k}{\mathbf{s}'_{\mathbf{j}-1} + \delta(a_j) = \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{j}}} \\ &\frac{\text{for all } 0 \leq j \leq k}{\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{j}} + \sum_{t=(q,a,q')\in T_j}} \mu_{j,t} \delta(a) = \mathbf{s}'_{\mathbf{j}} \\ &\frac{\text{for all } 0 \leq j \leq k \text{ and for all } 1 \leq i \leq n}{\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{j}}[i] = \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{j}}[i] \text{ if } \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{j}}[i] \in \mathbb{N}} \\ &\mathbf{s}'_{\mathbf{j}}[i] = \mathbf{m}'_{\mathbf{j}}[i] \text{ if } \mathbf{m}'_{\mathbf{j}}[i] \in \mathbb{N} \\ &\frac{\text{for all } 0 \leq j \leq k \text{ and for all } q_j \in Q_j}{\chi_{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}}}(q_j) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mu_{j,t} = \chi_{\mathbf{x}'_{\mathbf{j}}}(q_j) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mu_{j,t} = \chi_{\mathbf{x}'_{\mathbf{x}}}(q_j) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mu_{j,t} = \chi_{\mathbf{x}'_{\mathbf{x}'_{\mathbf{x}}}(q_j) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mu_{j,t} = \chi_{\mathbf{x}'_{\mathbf{x}}}(q_j) + \sum_{j=$$

Naturally there exists non-negative integral solutions ξ of the characteristic system that are not associated to accepted words. In particular even if there exists non-negative integral solutions of the characteristic linear system we cannot conclude that $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}) \neq \emptyset$. However, under the following *perfect* condition, we can prove that $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}) \neq \emptyset$.

 $t' = (q_j, a, q') \in T$

The homogeneous form of the characteristic system, obtained by replacing constants by zero is called the *homogeneous characteristic system of* \mathcal{U} . In the sequel, a solution of the homogeneous characteristic system is denoted by $\xi_0 = (\mathbf{s}_{0,j}, (\mu_{0,j,t})_t, \mathbf{s}_{0,j}')_j$.

A *perfect* MGVS \mathcal{U} is an MGVS such that the graph G_j is strongly connected and $\mathbf{x_j} = \mathbf{x'_j}$ for any $0 \le j \le k$, the characteristic system has an integral solution, there exists a non-negative rational solution $\xi_0 = (\mathbf{s_{0,j}}, (\mu_{0,j,t})_t, \mathbf{s_{0,j'}})_j$ of the homogeneous characteristic system satisfying the following additional inequalities where $0 \le j \le k$ and $1 \le i \le n$:

• $\mathbf{s}_{0,j}[i] > 0$ if $\mathbf{m}_{j}[i] = \top$, and

 $t = (q, a, q_j) \in T$

- $\mathbf{s}'_{0,\mathbf{i}}[i] > 0$ if $\mathbf{m}'_{\mathbf{i}}[i] = \top$, and
- $\mu_{0,j,t} > 0$ for any $t \in T_j$.

and such that for any $0 \le j \le k$ and $1 \le i \le n$:

• there exists a cycle $\theta_j = (\mathbf{x_j} \xrightarrow{w_j}_{G_j} \mathbf{x_j})$ such that $\mathbf{m_j} \xrightarrow{w_j}_{\mathcal{V}}$ and such that $\mathbf{m_j} + \delta(w_j) \ge \mathbf{m_j}$ and $\delta(w_j)[i] > 0$ if $\mathbf{m_j}[i] \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{x_j}[i] = \top$, and

• there exists a cycle $\theta'_j = (\mathbf{x}'_j \xrightarrow{w'_j}_{G_j} \mathbf{x}'_j)$ such that $\xrightarrow{w'_j}_{\mathcal{V}} \mathbf{m}'_j$ and such that $\mathbf{m}'_j - \delta(w'_j) \ge \mathbf{m}'_j$ and $-\delta(w'_j)[i] > 0$ if $\mathbf{m}'_j[i] \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{x}'_j[i] = \top$.

In the sequel, even if $\mathbf{x}_j = \mathbf{x}'_j$ for any $0 \le j \le k$, we still use both notations \mathbf{x}_j and \mathbf{x}'_j in order to keep results symmetrical.

Let us recall without proof the fundamental decomposition theorem.

Theorem 5.3 (Fundamental Decomposition[8]) For any tuple $(\mathbf{s}, \mathcal{V}, \mathbf{s}')$, we can effectively compute a finite sequence of perfect MGVS $\mathcal{U}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{U}_l$ for this tuple such that:

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{s}, \mathcal{V}, \mathbf{s}') = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}_1) \cup \ldots \cup \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}_l)$$

In the remaining of this section, we associate to a perfect MGVS \mathcal{U} , a non-negative integral solution ξ of its characteristic system and a non-negative integral solution ξ_0 of its homogeneous characteristic system that explains why $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}) \neq \emptyset$. These two solutions ξ and ξ_0 are respectively defined in Lemma 5.4 and Lemma 5.5.

Lemma 5.4 There exists a non-negative integral solution $\xi = (\mathbf{s_j}, (\mu_{j,t})_t, \mathbf{s'_j})_j$ of the characteristic system of a perfect MGVS such that $\mu_{j,t} > 0$ for any $0 \le j \le k$ and $t \in T_j$ and such that $\mathbf{s_j} \xrightarrow{w_j}_{\mathcal{V}}$ and $\overrightarrow{w'_j}_{\mathcal{V}} \mathbf{s'_j}$ for any $0 \le j \le k$.

Lemma 5.5 There exists a non-negative integral solution $\xi_0 = (\mathbf{s}_{0,\mathbf{j}}, (\mu_{0,j,t})_t, \mathbf{s}'_{0,\mathbf{j}})_j$ of the homogeneous characteristic system of a perfect MGVS \mathcal{U} such that $\mu_{0,j,t} > |\theta_j|_t + |\theta'_j|_t$ for any $0 \le j \le k$ and $t \in T_j$, and such that for any $0 \le j \le k$ and $1 \le i \le n$:

- $\mathbf{s}_{0,\mathbf{j}} \geq \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{s}_{0,\mathbf{j}}[i] > 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{j}}[i] = \top$.
- $\mathbf{s}'_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{j}} \ge \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{s}'_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{j}}[i] > 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{m}'_{\mathbf{j}}[i] = \top$.
- $\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{j}} + \delta(w_j) \ge \mathbf{0}$ and $(\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{j}} + \delta(w_j))[i] > 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}}[i] = \top$.
- $\mathbf{s}'_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{j}} \delta(w'_j) \ge \mathbf{0}$ and $(\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{j}'} \delta(w'_j))[i] > 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{x}'_{\mathbf{j}}[i] = \top$.

Now, let us consider a perfect MGVS \mathcal{U} and let us fix two tuples $\xi = (\mathbf{s_j}, (\mu_{j,t})_t, \mathbf{s'_j})_j$ and $\xi_0 = (\mathbf{s_{0,j}}, (\mu_{0,j,t})_t, \mathbf{s'_{0,j}})_j$ satisfying respectively Lemma 5.4 and Lemma 5.5. As $\mu_{j,t} > 0$ for any $t \in T_j$ and G_j is strongly connected, Lemma 5.1 shows that there exists a cycle $\pi_j = (\mathbf{x_j} \xrightarrow{\sigma_j} G_j \mathbf{x'_j})$ such that $\mu_{j,t} = |\pi_j|_t$ for any $t \in T_j$. Note that we have $\mathbf{s_j} + \delta(\sigma_j) = \mathbf{s'_j}$. Moreover, as $\mu_{j,t} - |\theta_j|_t + |\theta_{j'}|_t > 0$ for any $t \in T_j$ we also deduce that there exists a cycle $\pi_{0,j} =$ $(\mathbf{x_j} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{0,j}} G_j \mathbf{x'_j})$ such that $|\pi_{0,j}|_t = \mu_{0,j,t} - (|\theta_j|_t + |\theta_{j'}|_t)$ for any $t \in T_j$. Note that we have $\mathbf{s_{0,j}} + \delta(w_j) + \delta(\sigma_{0,j}) + \delta(w'_i) = \mathbf{s'_{0,i}}$.

In the sequel we provide technical lemmas that prove together that $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}) \neq \emptyset$. These lemmas are also used in the next sub-section 5.2.

Lemma 5.6 For any $c \ge 0$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s_j} + c\mathbf{s_{0,j}} & \xrightarrow{w_j^c} \mathcal{V} \quad \mathbf{s_j} + c(\mathbf{s_{0,j}} + \delta(w_j)) \\ \mathbf{s'_j} + c(\mathbf{s'_{0,j}} - \delta(w'_j)) & \xrightarrow{(w'_j)^c} \mathcal{V} \quad \mathbf{s'_j} + c\mathbf{s'_{0,j}} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.7 There exists $c_0 \ge 0$ such that for any $c \ge c_0$:

$$\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{j}} + c(\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{j}} + \delta(w_j)) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{0,j}^c} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{j}} + c(\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{j}}' - \delta(w_j'))$$

Lemma 5.8 There exists $c' \ge 0$ such that for any $c \ge c'$:

$$\mathbf{s_j} + c(\mathbf{s'_{0,j}} - \delta(w'_j)) \xrightarrow{\sigma_j} \mathbf{v} \quad \mathbf{s'_j} + c(\mathbf{s'_{0,j}} - \delta(w'_j))$$

Now, let us consider an integer $c \ge 0$ satisfying $c \ge c_0$ and $c \ge c'$ where c_0 and c' are respectively defined by Lemma 5.7 and Lemma 5.8. Note that we have proved the following relation:

$$\mathbf{s_j} + c\mathbf{s_{0,j}} \quad \xrightarrow{w_j^c \sigma_{0,j}^c \sigma_j(w_j')^c} \mathcal{V} \quad \mathbf{s'_j} + c\mathbf{s'_{0,j}}$$

Therefore there exists a word in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U})$ associated to $\xi + c\xi_0$. In particular we have proved that $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}) \neq \emptyset$.

5.2 Parikh images of perfect MGVS

Parikh images of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U})$ are proved pseudo-linear for any perfect MGVS \mathcal{U} for $(\mathbf{s}, \mathcal{V}, \mathbf{s}')$. From Theorem 5.3 we deduce that Parikh images of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{s}, \mathcal{V}, \mathbf{s}')$ are semi-pseudo-linear.

Let us consider a perfect MGVS \mathcal{U} for $(\mathbf{s}, \mathcal{V}, \mathbf{s}')$:

 $(\mathbf{m}_0, \mathbf{x}_0, G_0, \mathbf{x}'_0, \mathbf{m}'_0), a_1, \dots, a_k, (\mathbf{m}_k, \mathbf{x}_k, G_k, \mathbf{x}'_k, \mathbf{m}'_k)$

We denote by H the non-negative integral solutions of the characteristic system of \mathcal{U} and we denote by H' the subset of H corresponding to the sequence ξ associated to a word in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U})$. Observe that Parikh images of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U})$ are images by linear functions of H'. From Proposition 4.1 it is sufficient to prove that H' is pseudo-linear. Intuitively, a linearizator for H' is obtained by considering the set H_0 of non-negative integral solutions of the homogeneous characteristic system. More formally, we are going to prove that $P_0 = \min(H_0 \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\})$ is a linearizator for H'.

Let us consider $\xi \in H$ and $\xi_0 \in H_0$ satisfying the following Lemma 5.9. Since $H_0 = P_0^*$, we deduce that H' is included in the linear set $\xi - \xi_0 + P_0^*$.

Lemma 5.9 There exists $\xi \in H$ and $\xi_0 \in H_0$ such that $\xi_0 + H \subseteq \xi + H_0$.

Now, let us consider a set $R_0 = \{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_d\}$ included in the interior of H_0 . We are going to prove that there exists $\xi' \in H'$ such that $\xi' + R_0^* \subseteq H'$. We first prove the following lemma.

Lemma 5.10 For any $\xi_{\mathbf{i}} = ((\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}})_j, (\mu_{i,j,t})_{j,t}, (\mathbf{s}'_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}})_j)$ interior vector of H_0 there exists a cycle $\pi_{i,j} = (\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{i,j}} G_j \mathbf{x}'_{\mathbf{j}})$ such that $\mu_{i,j,t} = |\pi_{i,j}|_t$ for any $t \in T_j$ and any $0 \le j \le k$.

Now, let us consider a solution ξ of the characteristic system and a solution ξ_0 of the homogeneous characteristic system satisfying respectively Lemma 5.4 and Lemma 5.5.

Lemma 5.11 *There exists* $c_i \ge 0$ *such that for any* $1 \le j \le k$ *and* $c \ge c_i$:

$$\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{j}} + c(\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{j}} + \delta(w_j)) + \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$$
$$\xrightarrow{\sigma_{i,j}}_{\mathcal{V}}$$
$$\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{j}} + c(\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{j}} + \delta(w_j)) + \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{i}}'$$

Now, let us consider an integer $c \ge 0$ such that $c \ge c_0$, $c \ge c'$ and $c \ge c_i$ for any $1 \le i \le d$ where c_0, c', c_i are respectively defined in Lemma 5.7, Lemma 5.8 and Lemma 5.11. From these lemmas and Lemma 5.6 we deduce that for any sequence $n_1, \ldots, n_d \in \mathbb{N}$ we have the following relation:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{s_j} + c \mathbf{s_{0,j}} + \sum_{i=1}^d n_i \mathbf{s_{i,j}} \\ \xrightarrow{w_j^c \sigma_{1,j}^{n_1} \dots \sigma_{d,j}^{n_d} \sigma_j \sigma_{0,j}^c (w_j')^c} \\ \xrightarrow{w_j^c \mathbf{s_{1,j}^{n_1} \dots \sigma_{d,j}^{n_d} \sigma_j \sigma_{0,j}^c (w_j')^c} \\ \mathbf{s_j'} + c \mathbf{s_{0,j}'} + \sum_{i=1}^d n_i \mathbf{s_{i,j}'} \end{split}$$

We have proved that there exists a word in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U})$ associated with $\xi + c\xi_0 + \sum_{i=1}^d n_i\xi_i$. Let $\xi' = \xi + c\xi_0$. We deduce that $\xi' + R_0^* \subseteq H'$. Thus H' is pseudo-linear. We deduce the following proposition:

Proposition 5.12 *Parikh images of* $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U})$ *are pseudo-linear for any perfect MGVS U for* $(\mathbf{s}, \mathcal{V}, \mathbf{s}')$.

From Theorem 5.3 and the previous Proposition 5.12, we get the following Theorem 5.13.

Theorem 5.13 Parikh images of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{s}, \mathcal{V}, \mathbf{s}')$ are semipseudo-linear.

6 Dimension

In this section, we introduce a *dimension function* dim : $P(\mathbb{Z}^n) \to \{-\infty, 0, \dots, n\}.$

The dimension dim(X) of a non-empty set $X \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ is the minimal integer $d \in \{0, ..., n\}$ such that:

$$\sup_{k>0} \frac{|X \cap \{-k, \dots, k\}^n|}{|\{-k, \dots, k\}^d|} < +\infty$$

The dimension of the empty-set set is denoted by $\dim(\emptyset) = -\infty$. Let us observe some immediate properties satisfied by the dimension function. First of all, we have $\dim(X) \leq 0$ if and only if X is finite. The dimension function is monotonic $\dim(X_1) \leq \dim(X_2)$ for any $X_1 \subseteq X_2$. Moreover it satisfies $\dim(X_1 \cup X_2) = \max\{\dim(X_1), \dim(X_2)\}$ and $\dim(X_1 + X_2) \leq \dim(X_1) + \dim(X_2)$. In particular $\dim(\mathbf{v} + X) = \dim(X)$ for any $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and for any $X \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$.

7 Pseudo-linear Intersections

In this section we prove that linearizations L_1, L_2 of two pseudo-linear sets X_1, X_2 with an empty intersection $X_1 \cap X_2 = \emptyset$ satisfy the strict inequality $\dim(L_1 \cap L_2) < \dim(X_1 \cup X_2)$. In sub-section 7.1 we characterize the dimension of linear sets and pseudo-linear sets. This characterization is used in the next sub-section 7.2 to prove the strict inequality.

In these two sub-sections, *vector spaces* are used. A *vec*tor space V of \mathbb{Q}^n is a subset $V \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^n$ that contains the zero vector $\mathbf{0} \in V$, that is stable by addition $V + V \subseteq V$ and that is stable by product $\lambda \mathbf{v} \in V$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$ and for any $\mathbf{v} \in V$. Observe that for any set $X \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^n$ the set $V = \{\mathbf{0}\} \cup \{\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \mathbf{x}_i \mid k \ge 1 \ \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Q} \ \mathbf{x}_i \in X\}$ is the unique minimal for the inclusion vector space that contains X. This vector space is called the vector space generated by X. Recall that for any vector space V of \mathbb{Q}^n there exists a finite set $X \subseteq V$ that generates V. The minimal for \le integer $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that there exists a finite set X that generated V is called the *rank* of V and it is denoted by $\operatorname{rank}(V)$.

7.1 Dimension of (pseudo-)linear sets

In this section, we prove that the dimension of a pseudolinear set X is equal to the rank of the vector space V generated by any linearizator P of X.

We first prove the following Lemmas 7.1.

Lemma 7.1 We have $\dim(M) = rank(V)$ where V is the vector space generated by a monoïd M.

Proof: Since $M \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n \cap V$ it is sufficient to prove that dim(M) ≥ rank(V) and dim($\mathbb{Z}^n \cap V$) ≤ rank(V). Let us denote by $||\mathbf{x}||_{\infty} = \max\{|\mathbf{x}[1]|, \ldots, |\mathbf{x}[k]|\}$ the usual ∞-norm of a vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Q}^n$. As M generates the vector space V recall that there exists a sequence $\mathbf{m}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{m}_d \in M$ with $d = \operatorname{rank}(V)$ that generates V. Since the case d = 0 is immediate we assume that $d \ge 1$. We denote by $f : \mathbb{Q}^d \to V$ the rational linear function $f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^d \mathbf{x}[i]\mathbf{m}_i$.

Let us first prove that $\dim(M) \ge d$. By minimality of $d = \operatorname{rank}(V)$ note that f is injective. In particular the cardinal of $f(\{0, \ldots, k\}^d)$ is equal to $(1 + k)^d$. Note that a vector **m** in this set satisfies $||\mathbf{m}||_{\infty} \le k \sum_{i=1}^d ||\mathbf{m}_i||_{\infty}$ and $\mathbf{m} \in M$. We deduce that $\dim(M) \ge d$.

Now, let us prove that $\dim(\mathbb{Z}^n \cap V) \leq d$. Since for any matrix, the rank of the column vectors is equal to the rank of the line vectors, there exists a sequence $1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_d \leq n$ such that the rational linear function $g: \mathbb{Q}^n \to \mathbb{Q}^d$ defined by $g(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{x}[j_1], \dots, \mathbf{x}[j_d])$ satisfies $h = g \circ f$ is a bijective rational linear function. In particular we deduce that for any $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \cap V \cap \{-k, \dots, k\}^n$ there exists a vector $\mathbf{x} = g(\mathbf{v}) \in \{-k, \dots, k\}^d$ such that $\mathbf{v} = f \circ h^{-1}(\mathbf{x})$. Therefore $|\mathbb{Z}^n \cap V \cap \{-k, \dots, k\}^n| \leq (1 + 2k)^d$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We deduce that $\dim(\mathbb{Z}^n \cap V) \leq d$.

Lemma 7.2 For any pseudo-linear set $X \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$, we have $\dim(X) = \operatorname{rank}(V)$ where V is the vector space generated by any linearizator P of X.

Proof : Let *P* be a linearizator of a pseudo-linear set *X* and let *V* be the vector space generated by *P*. Note that there exists a vector $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $X \subseteq \mathbf{b} + P^*$. From Lemma 7.1 we have dim $(\mathbf{b}+P^*) = \operatorname{rank}(V)$. In particular dim $(X) \leq \operatorname{rank}(V)$. Conversely, let us consider an interior vector $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{I}(P^*)$ and observe that $R = \{\mathbf{a}\} \cup (\mathbf{a} + P) \subseteq \mathcal{I}(P^*)$. As *X* is pseudo-linear, there exists $\mathbf{x} \in X$ such that $\mathbf{x} + R^* \subseteq X$. Note that the vector space generated by *R* is equal to *V*. Thus, from Lemma 7.1 we deduce that dim $(\mathbf{x} + R^*) = \operatorname{rank}(V)$. In particular dim $(X) \geq \operatorname{rank}(V)$. We have proved the equality dim $(X) = \operatorname{rank}(V)$. □

7.2 Pseudo-linear sets intersection

In this section we prove that linearizations L_1, L_2 of two pseudo-linear sets X_1, X_2 with an empty intersection $X_1 \cap X_2 = \emptyset$ satisfy $\dim(L_1 \cap L_2) < \dim(X_1 \cup X_2)$.

We first characterize the intersection of two linear sets.

Lemma 7.3 For any set of periods P_1, P_2 there exists a set of periods P such that $P_1^* \cap P_2^* = P^*$. Moreover, for any $\mathbf{b_1}, \mathbf{b_2} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, there exists a finite set $B \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $(\mathbf{b_1} + P_1^*) \cap (\mathbf{b_2} + P_2^*) = B + (P_1^* \cap P_2^*).$ *Proof*: Let us consider an enumeration $\mathbf{p}_{i,1}, \ldots, \mathbf{p}_{i,k_i}$ of the $k_i \ge 0$ vectors in P_i where $i \in \{1,2\}$. If $k_1 = 0$ or if $k_2 = 0$ then $P_1^* = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ or $P_2^* = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ and the lemma is immediate. Thus, we can assume that $k_1, k_2 \ge 1$.

Let us consider the set X of vectors $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{N}^{k_1} \times \mathbb{N}^{k_2}$ such that $\mathbf{b_1} + \sum_{j=1}^{k_1} \lambda_1[j]\mathbf{p_{1,j}} = \mathbf{b_2} + \sum_{j=1}^{k_2} \lambda_2[j]\mathbf{p_{2,j}}$. Let us also consider the set X_0 of vectors $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{N}^{k_1} \times \mathbb{N}^{k_2}$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{k_1} \lambda_1[j]\mathbf{p_{1,j}} = \sum_{j=1}^{k_2} \lambda_2[j]\mathbf{p_{2,j}}$. Observe that $X = Z + X_0$ where Z is the finite set $Z = \min(X)$ and $X_0 = Z_0^*$ where Z_0 is the finite set $Z_0 = \min(X_0 \setminus \{0\})$.

Let us denote by B the finite set of vectors $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that there exists $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in Z$ satisfying $\mathbf{b_1} + \sum_{j=1}^{k_1} \lambda_1[j] \mathbf{p_{1,j}} = \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b_2} + \sum_{j=1}^{k_2} \lambda_2[j] \mathbf{p_{2,j}}$. Let us also denote by P the finite set of vectors $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that there exists $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in Z_0$ satisfying $\sum_{j=1}^{k_1} \lambda_1[j] \mathbf{p_{1,j}} = \mathbf{p} = \sum_{j=1}^{k_2} \lambda_2[j] \mathbf{p_{2,j}}$. Remark that $(\mathbf{b_1} + P_1^*) \cap (\mathbf{b_2} + P_2^*) = B + P^*$ and $P_1^* \cap P_2^* = P^*$.

In order to prove the following proposition, we introduce the definition of groups. A group G of \mathbb{Z}^n is a monoïd of \mathbb{Z}^n such that any element admits an inverse $-G \subseteq G$. Observe that for any set $X \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$, the set $G = X^* - X^*$ is the unique minimal for the inclusion sub-group of $(\mathbb{Z}^n, +)$ that contains X. This group is called the sub-group of \mathbb{Z}^n generated by X. Now, let us consider the group G = M - Mgenerated by a monoïd M and observe that a vector \mathbf{a} is in the interior of M if and only if for any $\mathbf{g} \in G$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathbf{g} + N\mathbf{a} \in M$.

Lemma 7.4 For any vector $\mathbf{v} \in V$ where V is the vector space generated by a group G, there exists an integer $d \ge 1$ such that $d\mathbf{v} \in G$.

Proof: A vector $\mathbf{v} \in V$ can be decomposed into a sum $\mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i \mathbf{g}_i$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbf{g}_i \in G$. Let us consider an integer $d \ge 1$ such that $d\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and observe that $d\mathbf{v} \in G$.

Now, we prove the main result of this section.

Proposition 7.5 Let L_1, L_2 be linearizations of pseudolinear sets $X_1, X_2 \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ with an empty intersection $X_1 \cap X_2 = \emptyset$. We have:

$$\dim(L_1 \cap L_2) < \dim(X_1 \cup X_2)$$

Proof: Let L_1, L_2 be linearizations of two pseudo-linear sets $X_1, X_2 \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$. For the moment, we do not assume that $X_1 \cap X_2$ is empty. There exists some linearizators P_1, P_2 of the pseudo-linear sets X_1, X_2 and vectors $\mathbf{b_1}, \mathbf{b_2} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$

such that $L_1 = \mathbf{b_1} + P_1^*$ and $L_2 = \mathbf{b_2} + P_2^*$ are linearizations of X_1, X_2 . Let us denote by V_1, V_2 the vector spaces generated by P_1, P_2 . Lemma 7.2 shows that $\dim(X_1) = \operatorname{rank}(V_1)$ and $\dim(X_2) = \operatorname{rank}(V_2)$. From Lemma 7.3 there exists a set of periods P and a finite set $B \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $P_1^* \cap P_2^* = P^*$ and $L_1 \cap L_2 = B + P^*$. Observe that if $B = \emptyset$ the proposition is immediate. Thus, we can assume that there exists $\mathbf{b} \in B$. Let V be the vector space generated by P. Lemma 7.1 shows that $\dim(B + P^*) = \operatorname{rank}(V)$. Observe that $V \subseteq V_1 \cap V_2$. Thus, if there exists $j \in \{1, 2\}$ such that V is strictly included in V_j then $\operatorname{rank}(V) < \operatorname{rank}(V_j)$ and in this case $\dim(L_1 \cap L_2) < \max\{\dim(X_1), \dim(X_2)\} = \dim(X_1 \cup X_2)$.

So we can assume that $V_1 = V = V_2$. We prove in the sequel that $X_1 \cap X_2 \neq \emptyset$. We denote by G_1, G, G_2 the groups generated respectively by P_1, P, P_2 . Note that the vector spaces generated by G_1, G, G_2 are equal to V_1, V, V_2 .

Let **a** be an interior vector of P^* and let us prove that $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{I}(P_1^*) \cap \mathcal{I}(P_2^*)$. Let $j \in \{1, 2\}$. Note that $\mathbf{a} \in P^* \subseteq P_j^*$. Let $\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{I}(P_j^*)$. Since $-\mathbf{p} \in V$ and V is the vector space generated by G, Lemma 7.4 shows that there exists an integer $d \ge 1$ such that $-d\mathbf{p} \in G$. From $\mathbf{a} - d\mathbf{p} \in G$ and $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{I}(P^*)$ we deduce that there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathbf{a} - d\mathbf{p} + N\mathbf{a} \in P^*$. From $P^* \subseteq P_j^*$ we deduce that $\mathbf{a} \in \frac{1}{1+N}(d\mathbf{p} + P_j^*)$. From $\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{I}(P_j^*)$ and Lemma 3.1 we get $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{I}(P_j^*)$.

Let $R_j = \{\mathbf{a}\} \cup (\mathbf{a} + P_j)$. From $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{I}(P_j^*)$, Lemma 3.1 shows that $R_j \subseteq \mathcal{I}(P_j^*)$. As X_j is pseudo-linear, there exists $\mathbf{x_j} \in X_j$ such that $\mathbf{x_j} + R_j^* \subseteq X_j$. From $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x_j} \in$ $\mathbf{b_j} + P_j^*$ we deduce that $\mathbf{x_j} - \mathbf{b} \in G_j$. As the group generated by R_j is equal to G_j , there exists $\mathbf{r_j}, \mathbf{r'_j} \in R_j^*$ such that $\mathbf{x_j} + \mathbf{r_j} = \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{r'_j}$.

As V is the vector space generated by G_1 and $\mathbf{r}'_2 \in R_2^* \subseteq V_2 = V$, Lemma 7.4 shows that there exists an integer $d_1 \ge 1$ such that $d_1\mathbf{r}'_2 \in G_1$. As $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{I}(P_1^*)$, there exists an integer $N_1 \ge 0$ such that $d_1\mathbf{r}'_2 + N_1\mathbf{a} \in P_1^*$. As $P_1^* \subseteq R_1^* - \mathbb{N}\mathbf{a}$, we deduce that there exists an integer $N'_1 \ge 0$ such that $d_1\mathbf{r}'_2 + (N_1 + N'_1)\mathbf{a} \in R_1^*$. We denote by \mathbf{r}''_1 this vector. Symmetrically, there exist some integers $d_2 \ge 1$ and $N_2, N'_2 \ge 0$ such that the vector $d_2\mathbf{r}'_1 + (N_2 + N'_2)\mathbf{a}$ denoted by \mathbf{r}''_2 is in R_2^* . We get:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x_1} + \mathbf{r_1} + (d_2 - 1)\mathbf{r'_1} + \mathbf{r''_1} + (N_2 + N'_2)\mathbf{a} \\ &= \mathbf{b} + d_2\mathbf{r'_1} + d_1\mathbf{r'_2} + (N_1 + N'_1 + N_2 + N'_2)\mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{x_2} + \mathbf{r_2} + (d_1 - 1)\mathbf{r'_2} + \mathbf{r''_2} + (N_1 + N'_1)\mathbf{a} \\ &= \mathbf{b} + d_1\mathbf{r'_2} + d_2\mathbf{r'_1} + (N_2 + N'_2 + N_1 + N'_1)\mathbf{a} \end{aligned}$$

We have proved that these two last vectors are equal. We deduce that $(\mathbf{x_1} + R_1^*) \cap (\mathbf{x_2} + R_2^*)$ is non empty. In particular $X_1 \cap X_2 \neq \emptyset$.

8 Separators

The reachability problem for a tuple $(\mathbf{s}, \mathcal{V}, \mathbf{s}')$ consists to decide if $\mathbf{s} \to_{\mathcal{V}} \mathbf{s}'$. This problem can be reformulated by introducing the definition of separators. A pair (S, S') of configuration sets is called a *separator* for \mathcal{V} if $\text{post}_{\mathcal{V}}^*(S) \cap \text{pre}_{\mathcal{V}}^*(S') = \emptyset$ where $\text{post}_{\mathcal{V}}^*(S)$ and $\text{pre}_{\mathcal{V}}^*(S')$ are respectively the set of *reachable states from* S and the set of *co-reachable states from* S' formally defined by:

$$post_{\mathcal{V}}^*(S) = \{ \mathbf{s}' \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid \exists \mathbf{s} \in S \quad \mathbf{s} \to_{\mathcal{V}} \mathbf{s}' \}$$
$$pre_{\mathcal{V}}^*(S') = \{ \mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid \exists \mathbf{s}' \in S' \quad \mathbf{s} \to_{\mathcal{V}} \mathbf{s}' \}$$

Naturally, a pair $(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{s}')$ is in the complement of the reachability relation $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{V}}$ if and only if the pair $(\{\mathbf{s}\}, \{\mathbf{s}'\})$ is a separator. A separator (I, I') is said *inductive* if I is a *forward invariant* $\operatorname{post}^a_{\mathcal{V}}(I) \subseteq I$ and I' is a *backward invariant* $\operatorname{pre}^a_{\mathcal{V}}(I') \subseteq I'$ for any $a \in \Sigma$ where $\operatorname{post}^a_{\mathcal{V}}(S)$ and $\operatorname{pre}^a_{\mathcal{V}}(S')$ are defined for any $S, S' \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$ by:

$$post_{\mathcal{V}}^{a}(S) = \{ \mathbf{s}' \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \mid \exists \mathbf{s} \in S \quad \mathbf{s} \xrightarrow{a}_{\mathcal{V}} \mathbf{s}' \}$$
$$pre_{\mathcal{V}}^{a}(S') = \{ \mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \mid \exists \mathbf{s}' \in S' \quad \mathbf{s} \xrightarrow{a}_{\mathcal{V}} \mathbf{s}' \}$$

As $(\text{post}^*_{\mathcal{V}}(S), \text{pre}^*_{\mathcal{V}}(S'))$ is an inductive separator for any separator (S, S'), we deduce that separators are included into inductive separators.

We are interested in inductive separators definable in the decidable Presburger logic FO $(\mathbb{N}, +, \leq)$. Note that a pair $(\psi(\mathbf{x}), \psi'(\mathbf{x}))$ of Presburger formulas denotes an inductive separator (I, I') if and only if $\psi(\mathbf{x}) \wedge \psi'(\mathbf{x})$ and the following formulas are unsatisfiable for any $a \in \Sigma$. In particular we can effectively decide if $(\psi(\mathbf{x}), \psi'(\mathbf{x}))$ denotes an inductive separator.

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) \wedge \mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{x} + \delta(a) \wedge \neg \psi(\mathbf{x}')$$

$$\psi'(\mathbf{x}') \wedge \mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{x} + \delta(a) \wedge \neg \psi'(\mathbf{x})$$

That means a pair $(\phi(\mathbf{x}), \phi(\mathbf{x}'))$ of Presburger formulas denoting an inductive separators provides a *checkable certificate of non-reachability* for any pair (c, c') of configurations satisfying $(\phi(\mathbf{x}), \phi(\mathbf{x}'))$.

Reachability $(\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{N}^n, \mathcal{V} = (\Sigma, n, T)$ a VAS, $\mathbf{s}' \in \mathbb{N}^n$)
repeat forever
fairly select $\sigma \in \Sigma^*$
$\texttt{if} \ \mathbf{s} \xrightarrow{\sigma}_{\mathcal{V}} \mathbf{s}'$
return "reachable"
fairly select $(\psi(\mathbf{x}), \psi'(\mathbf{x}))$
formulas in FO $(\mathbb{N}, +, \leq)$
if $(\psi(\mathbf{x}),\psi'(\mathbf{x}))$
inductive separator for $({s}, {s'})$
return "unreachable"

10

In this section we prove that Presburger separators are included in Presburger inductive separators. We deduce that algorithm Reachability($\mathbf{s}, \mathcal{V}, \mathbf{s}'$) decides the reachability problem. The termination is guaranteed by the previous result. Note [5] that in general ($\text{post}^*_{\mathcal{V}}(S), \text{pre}^*_{\mathcal{V}}(S')$) is not Presburger even if S and S' are reduced to single vectors $S = \{\mathbf{s}\}$ and $S' = \{\mathbf{s}'\}$. That means, this inductive separator must be over-approximated by another inductive separator. Intuitively, the approximation is obtained by observing that for any Presburger sets S, S' of configurations, the sets $\text{post}^*_{\mathcal{V}}(S) \cap S'$ and $S \cap \text{pre}^*_{\mathcal{V}}(S')$ are *semi-pseudo-linear*. This property is proved in sub-section 8.1. In the next subsection 8.2, we provide an induction to compute Presburger inductive separators that over-approximate Presburger separators.

8.1 Reachability sets

We prove that $\text{post}^*_{\mathcal{V}}(S) \cap S'$ and $S \cap \text{pre}^*_{\mathcal{V}}(S')$ are semipseudo-linear for any semi-linear sets $S, S' \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$.

Since semi-linear sets are finite unions of linear sets we only prove this result for the special case of two linear sets $S = \mathbf{s} + P^*$ and $S' = \mathbf{s}' + (P')^*$ where $\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{s}' \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and where P, P' are two set of periods of \mathbb{N}^n . We consider two alphabets $\Sigma_P, \Sigma_{P'}$ disjoint of Σ and a displacement function $\overline{\delta}$ defined over $\overline{\Sigma} = \Sigma_P \cup \Sigma \cup \Sigma_{P'}$ that extends δ such that:

$$P = \{\bar{\delta}(a) \mid a \in \Sigma_P\} \qquad P' = \{-\bar{\delta}(a) \mid a \in \Sigma_{P'}\}$$

We consider the VAS $\overline{\mathcal{V}} = (\overline{\Sigma}, n, \overline{\delta})$. Let us consider the displacement functions $\overline{\delta}_P$ and $\overline{\delta}_{P'}$ defined over $\overline{\Sigma}$ by:

$$\bar{\delta}_P(a) = \begin{cases} \bar{\delta}(a) & \text{if } a \in \Sigma_P \\ \mathbf{0} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
$$\bar{\delta}_{P'}(a) = \begin{cases} -\bar{\delta}(a) & \text{if } a \in \Sigma_P \\ \mathbf{0} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Just observe that $\text{post}^*_{\mathcal{V}}(S) \cap S' = \mathbf{s}' + \bar{\delta}_{P'}(\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{s}, \bar{\mathcal{V}}, \mathbf{s}'))$ and $S \cap \text{pre}^*_{\mathcal{V}}(S') = \mathbf{s} + \bar{\delta}_P(\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{s}, \bar{\mathcal{V}}, \mathbf{s}'))$. In particular these two sets are images by linear functions of Parikh images of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{s}, \bar{\mathcal{V}}, \mathbf{s}')$. Theorem 5.13 shows that Parikh images of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{s}, \bar{\mathcal{V}}, \mathbf{s}')$ are semi-pseudo-linear. From Proposition 4.1 we deduce the following theorem:

Theorem 8.1 For any semi-linear sets $S, S' \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$, the sets $\text{post}^*_{\mathcal{V}}(S) \cap S'$ and $S \cap \text{pre}^*_{\mathcal{V}}(S')$ are semi-pseudo-linear.

8.2 Induction with domains

Given a pair $(S, S') \subseteq (\mathbb{N}^n, \mathbb{N}^n)$ of disjoint sets, the set $D = \mathbb{N}^n \setminus (S \cup S')$ is called the *domain* of (S, S'). Note that

there exists inductive separators with non-empty domains. However, a separator with an empty domain is necessary inductive.

The Presburger inductive separator that overapproximate a Presburger separator (S_0, S'_0) is obtain inductively. We build a non-decreasing sequence $(S_j, S'_j)_{j\geq 0}$ of Presburger separators starting from the initial Presburger separator (S_0, S'_0) such that the dimension of the domain $D_j = \mathbb{N}^n \setminus (S_j \cup S'_j)$ is strictly decreasing. In order to obtain this sequence, observe that it is sufficient to show that for any Presburger separator (S_0, S'_0) with a non-empty domain D_0 , there exists a Presburger separator $(S, S') \supseteq (S_0, S'_0)$ with a domain Dsuch that dim $(D) < \dim(D_0)$.

We first define a set S' that over-approximates S'_0 and such that (S_0, S') remains a separator. As S_0 and D_0 are Presburger, Theorem 8.1 shows that $\text{post}^*_{\mathcal{V}}(S_0) \cap D_0$ is equal to a finite union of pseudo-linear sets X_1, \ldots, X_k . Let us consider some linearizations L_1, \ldots, L_k of these pseudolinear sets and let us define the following Presburger set S'.

$$S' = S'_0 \cup (D_0 \setminus (\bigcup_{j=1}^k L_j))$$

We observe that $\operatorname{post}^*_{\mathcal{V}}(S_0) \cap S' = \emptyset$ since $\operatorname{post}^*_{\mathcal{V}}(S_0) \cap S'_0 = \emptyset$ and $\operatorname{post}^*_{\mathcal{V}}(S_0) \cap D_0 \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^k L_j$. Thus $\operatorname{post}^*_{\mathcal{V}}(S_0) \cap \operatorname{pre}^*_{\mathcal{V}}(S') = \emptyset$ and we have proved that S' contains S'_0 and (S_0, S') is a separator.

Now we define symmetrically a set S that overapproximates S_0 and such that (S, S') remains a separator. As D_0 and S' are Presburger, Theorem 8.1 shows that $D_0 \cap \operatorname{pre}^*_{\mathcal{V}}(S')$ is equal to a finite union of pseudolinear sets $X'_1, \ldots, X'_{k'}$. Let us consider some linearizations $L'_1, \ldots, L'_{k'}$ of these pseudo-linear sets and let us define the following Presburger set S.

$$S = S_0 \cup (D_0 \setminus (\bigcup_{j'=1}^{k'} L'_{j'}))$$

Once again, note that $S \cap \operatorname{pre}^*_{\mathcal{V}}(S') = \emptyset$. Thus S contains S_0 and (S, S') is a separator.

Let D be the domain of the separator (S, S'). From $D_0 = \mathbb{N}^n \setminus (S_0 \cup S'_0)$, we get the following equality.

$$D = D_0 \cap \left(\bigcup_{\substack{1 \le j \le k \\ 1 \le j' \le k'}} (L_j \cap L'_{j'}) \right)$$

From $X_j, X'_{j'} \subseteq D_0$ we get $\dim(X_j \cup X'_{j'}) \leq \dim(D_0)$. As $X_j \subseteq \text{post}^*_{\mathcal{V}}(S_0) \subseteq \text{post}^*_{\mathcal{V}}(S)$ and $X'_{j'} \subseteq \text{pre}^*_{\mathcal{V}}(S')$ and (S, S') is a separator, we deduce that X_j and $X'_{j'}$ are two pseudo-linear sets with an empty intersection. From the main result proved in section 3, we get $\dim(L_j \cap L'_{j'}) < \dim(X_j \cup X'_{j'})$. We deduce $\dim(D) < \dim(D_0)$. We have proved the following theorem.

Theorem 8.2 *Presburger separators are included in Presburger inductive separators.*

9 Conclusion

Thanks to the classical KLMST decomposition we have proved that Parikh Images of languages accepted by VASs are semi-pseudo-linear.

As application, we have proved the termination of a simple algorithm for deciding the reachability problem for VAS. Even tough the proof of termination is based on the classical KLMST decomposition, the complexity of the algorithm does not depend on this decomposition. In fact, the complexity depends on the size of the minimal pair of Presburger formulas denoting an inductive separator when $(\{c\}, \{c'\})$ is separable and the size of a minimal $\sigma \in \Sigma^*$ such that $c \xrightarrow{\sigma}_{\mathcal{V}} c'$ otherwise. This algorithm is the *very first one* that does not require the KLMST decomposition for its implementation.

We left as an open question the problem of computing a lower bound and a upper bound of the size of a pair of Presburger formulas denoting an inductive separator. Note that the VAS exhibiting a large (Ackermann size) but finite reachability set given in [4] does not directly provide a lower-bound for this size since inductive separators can over-approximate reachability sets.

We also left as an open question the problem of adapting such an algorithm to obtain a complete *Counter Example Guided Abstract Refinement* approach [1] for the VAS reachability problem based for instance on *interpolants* [6] for FO $(\mathbb{N}, +, \leq)$. In practice, such an algorithm should be more efficient than the enumeration-based algorithm provided in this paper.

Acknowledgment: We thank *Jean Luc Lambert* for a fruitful discussion during a Post-doc in 2005 at IRISA (INRIA Rennes, France) and for his work on semi-linear VAS.

References

[1] E. M. Clarke, O. Grumberg, S. Jha, Y. Lu, and H. Veith. Counterexample-guided abstraction refinement. In E. A. Emerson and A. P. Sistla, editors, *Computer Aided Verification, 12th International Conference, CAV 2000, Chicago, IL, USA, July 15-19, 2000, Proceedings*, volume 1855 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 154–169. Springer, 2000.

- [2] J. Esparza and M. Nielsen. Decidability issues for petri nets - a survey. Bulletin of the European Association for Theoretical Computer Science, 52:245–262, 1994.
- [3] S. Ginsburg and E. H. Spanier. Semigroups, Presburger formulas and languages. *Pacific Journal of Math.*, 16(2):285–296, 1966.
- [4] M. Hack. The recursive equivalence of the reachability problem and the liveness problem for petri nets and vector addition systems. In 15th Annual Symposium on Switching and Automata Theory, 14-16 October 1974, The University of New Orleans, USA, pages 156–164. IEEE, 1974.
- [5] J. E. Hopcroft and J.-J. Pansiot. On the reachability problem for 5-dimensional vector addition systems. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 8:135–159, 1979.
- [6] R. Jhala and K. L. McMillan. A Practical and Complete Approach to Predicate Refinement. In Proc. of 12th Conf. on Tools and Algorithms for the Construction and Analysis of Systems (TACAS'06), volume 3920 of LNCS, pages 459–473. Springer, 2006.
- [7] S. R. Kosaraju. Decidability of reachability in vector addition systems (preliminary version). In Proceedings of the Fourteenth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, (STOC 1982), 5-7 May 1982, San Francisco, California, USA, pages 267– 281. ACM, 1982.
- [8] J. L. Lambert. A structure to decide reachability in petri nets. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 99(1):79– 104, 1992.
- [9] E. W. Mayr. An algorithm for the general petri net reachability problem. In Conference Proceedings of the Thirteenth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computation, (STOC 1981), 11-13 May 1981, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA, pages 238–246. ACM, 1981.
- [10] G. S. Sacerdote and R. L. Tenney. The decidability of the reachability problem for vector addition systems (preliminary version). In *Conference Record of the Ninth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, 2-4 May 1977, Boulder, Colorado, USA, pages 61–76. ACM, 1977.

A Proofs of Section 5.1

Lemma 5.4 There exists a non-negative integral solution $\xi = (\mathbf{s_j}, (\mu_{j,t})_t, \mathbf{s'_j})_j$ of the characteristic system of a perfect MGVS such that $\mu_{j,t} > 0$ for any $0 \le j \le k$ and $t \in T_j$ and such that $\mathbf{s_j} \xrightarrow{w_j}_{\mathcal{V}}$ and $\overrightarrow{w'_j}_{\mathcal{V}} \mathbf{s'_j}$ for any $0 \le j \le k$.

Proof : The definition of perfect MGVS requires that there exists an integral solution $\xi = (\mathbf{s}_{j}, (\mu_{j,t})_{t}, \mathbf{s}'_{j})_{j}$ of its characteristic system. This solution is non-necessary nonnegative. However, there exists a non-negative rational solution $\xi_{\mathbf{0}} = (\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{j}}, (\mu_{0,j,t})_t, \mathbf{s}'_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{j}})_j$ of the homogeneous characteristic system satisfying the perfect MGVS condition. Naturally, by replacing ξ_0 by a sequence in $(\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}) \xi_0$ we can assume that ξ_0 is a non-negative integral solution also satisfying the perfect MGVS condition. Now, just observe that there exists an integer $c_0 \geq 0$ large enough such that $\xi + c_0 \xi_0$ is a non-negative integral solution of the characteristic system satisfying $\mu_{j,t} + c_0 \mu_{0,j,t} > 0$ for any $t \in T_j$ and for any $0 \le j \le k$. Moreover, as $\lim_{c \to +\infty} (\mathbf{s_j} + c\mathbf{s_{0,j}}) =$ $\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{j}}$ and $\lim_{c \to +\infty} (\mathbf{s}'_{\mathbf{j}} + c\mathbf{s}'_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{j}}) = \mathbf{m}'_{\mathbf{j}}$, the relations $\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{j}} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mathbf{j}}} \mathcal{V}$ and $\xrightarrow{\sigma_j}_{\mathcal{V}} \mathbf{m}'_i$ and Lemma 5.2 shows that there exists an integer $c \geq c_0$ large enough such that $(\mathbf{s_i} + c\mathbf{s_{0,i}}) \xrightarrow{w_j} \mathcal{V}$ and $\xrightarrow{w'_j} \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{s}'_j + c\mathbf{s}'_{0,j})$. Therefore $\xi + c\xi_0$ is a non-negative integral solution of the characteristic system satisfying the lemma. \square

Lemma 5.5 There exists a non-negative integral solution $\xi_0 = (\mathbf{s}_{0,\mathbf{j}}, (\mu_{0,j,t})_t, \mathbf{s}'_{0,\mathbf{j}})_j$ of the homogeneous characteristic system of a perfect MGVS \mathcal{U} such that $\mu_{0,j,t} > |\theta_j|_t + |\theta'_j|_t$ for any $0 \le j \le k$ and $t \in T_j$, and such that for any $0 \le j \le k$ and $1 \le i \le n$:

- $\mathbf{s}_{0,j} \ge \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{s}_{0,j}[i] > 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{m}_j[i] = \top$.
- $\mathbf{s}'_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{i}} \geq \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{s}'_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{i}}[i] > 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{m}'_{\mathbf{i}}[i] = \top$.
- $\mathbf{s}_{0,\mathbf{j}} + \delta(w_j) \ge \mathbf{0}$ and $(\mathbf{s}_{0,\mathbf{j}} + \delta(w_j))[i] > 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}}[i] = \top$.
- $\mathbf{s}'_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{j}} \delta(w'_j) \ge \mathbf{0}$ and $(\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{j}'} \delta(w'_j))[i] > 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{x}'_{\mathbf{j}}[i] = \top$.

Proof : Let $\xi_0 = (\mathbf{s}_{0,\mathbf{j}}, (\mu_{0,j,t})_t, \mathbf{s}'_{0,\mathbf{j}})_j$ be a non-negative rational solution of the homogeneous characteristic system satisfying the perfect MGVS condition. By replacing ξ_0 by $(\mathbb{N}\setminus\{0\})\xi_0$ we can assume that ξ_0 is a non-negative integral solution satisfying the perfect condition. We are going to prove that there exists an integer $c \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough such that $c\xi_0$ satisfies the lemma.

First of all, observe that for any $c \ge 1$ and for any $1 \le i \le n$, we have:

- $c\mathbf{s}_{0,\mathbf{j}} \ge \mathbf{0}$ and $c\mathbf{s}_{0,\mathbf{j}}[i] > 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{j}}[i] = \top$.
- $c\mathbf{s}'_{0,\mathbf{j}} \ge \mathbf{0}$ and $c\mathbf{s}'_{0,\mathbf{j}}[i] > 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{m}'_{\mathbf{j}}[i] = \top$.

Let us consider $1 \leq i \leq n$ and let us prove that there exists an integer $c_i \ge 0$ such that for any $c \ge c_i$ we have $(c\mathbf{s}_{0,j}+\delta(w_j))[i] \ge 0$ and $(c\mathbf{s}_{0,j}+\delta(w_j))[i] > 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}[i] = \top$. Note that $\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{i}}[i] \leq \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}[i]$ thus either $\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{i}}[i] =$ $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}}[i] \in \mathbb{N}$, or $(\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{j}}[i], \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}}[i]) \in \mathbb{N} \times \{\top\}$, or $\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{j}}[i] = \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}}[i] =$ \top . We separate the proof following these three cases. Let us first consider the case $\mathbf{m_j}[i] = \mathbf{x_j}[i] \in \mathbb{N}$. As $\mathbf{m_j}[i] \in \mathbb{N}$ and ξ_0 is solution of the homogeneous characteristic system, we get $\mathbf{s}_{0,\mathbf{j}}[i] = 0$. Moreover the cycle $\theta_j = (\mathbf{x}_j \xrightarrow{w_j} G_j \mathbf{x}_j)$ shows that $\mathbf{x}_j + \delta(w_j) = \mathbf{x}_j$. From $\mathbf{x}_j[i] \in \mathbb{N}$ we deduce that $\delta(w_j)[i] = 0$. In particular $(c\mathbf{s}_{0,j} + \delta(w_j))[i] = 0$ and we have proved the case $\mathbf{m_j}[i] = \mathbf{x_j}[i] \in \mathbb{N}$ by considering $c_i = 0$. Let us consider the second case $(\mathbf{m}_i[i], \mathbf{x}_i[i]) \in \mathbb{N} \times$ $\{\top\}$. As in the previous case, since $\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{i}}[i] \in \mathbb{N}$ we deduce that $\mathbf{s}_{0,j}[i] = 0$. Note that the perfect condition shows that $\delta(w_j)[i] > 0$ in this case. In particular for any $c \ge 0$ we have $(c\mathbf{s}_{0,\mathbf{j}} + \delta(w_j))[i] > 0$ and we have proved the case $(\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{j}}[i], \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}}[i]) \in \mathbb{N} \times \{\top\}$ by considering $c_i = 0$. Finally, let us consider the case $\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{j}}[i] = \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}}[i] = \top$. As $\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{j}}[i] = \top$ we deduce that $\mathbf{s}_{0,j}[i] > 0$ in particular there exists an integer $c_i \geq 0$ large enough such that $(c\mathbf{s}_{0,j} + \delta(w_j))[i] > 0$ for any $c \ge c_i$. We have proved the three cases.

Symmetrically, for any $1 \le i \le n$, there exists an integer $c'_i \ge 0$ such that for any $c \ge c'_i$ we have $(c\mathbf{s}'_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{j}} - \delta(w'_j))[i] \ge 0$ and $(c\mathbf{s}'_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{j}} - \delta(w_j))[i] > 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{x}'_{\mathbf{j}}[i] = \top$.

Finally, as $\mu_{0,j,t} > 0$ for any $t \in T_j$ and for any $0 \le j \le k$, we deduce that there exists an integer $c \ge 0$ large enough such that $c\mu_{0,j,t} > |\theta_j|_t + |\theta_{j'}|_t$ for any $t \in T_j$ for any $0 \le j \le k$. Naturally, we can also assume that $c \ge 1$, $c \ge c_i$ and $c \ge c'_i$ for any $1 \le i \le n$. We deduce that $c\xi_0$ satisfies the lemma.

Lemma 5.6 For any $c \ge 0$ we have:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{s_j} + c \mathbf{s_{0,j}} & \xrightarrow{w_j^c} \mathcal{V} \quad \mathbf{s_j} + c (\mathbf{s_{0,j}} + \delta(w_j)) \\ \mathbf{s'_j} + c (\mathbf{s'_{0,j}} - \delta(w'_j)) & \xrightarrow{(w'_j)^c} \mathcal{V} \quad \mathbf{s'_j} + c \mathbf{s'_{0,j}} \end{split}$$

Proof: Since the two relations are symmetrical, we just prove the first one. The choice of ξ satisfying Lemma 5.4 shows that $\mathbf{s_j} \xrightarrow{w_j} \mathcal{V}$. The conditions $\mathbf{s_{0,j}} \ge \mathbf{0}$, $\mathbf{s_{0,j}} + \delta(w_j) \ge \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{s_j} \xrightarrow{w_j} \mathcal{V}$ with an immediate induction on the integer $c \ge 0$ provides the required relation. \Box

Lemma 5.7 There exists $c_0 \ge 0$ such that for any $c \ge c_0$:

$$\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{j}} + c(\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{j}} + \delta(w_j)) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mathbf{0},j}^c} \mathbf{v} \quad \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{j}} + c(\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{j}}' - \delta(w_j'))$$

s

Proof: Let us recall that $\mathbf{x}_{i} = \mathbf{x}'_{i}$. We denote by \mathbf{u} the vector in $\{0, 1\}^{n}$ satisfying $\mathbf{u}[i] = 1$ if $\mathbf{x}_{i}[i] = \top = \mathbf{x}'_{i}[i]$ and satisfying $\mathbf{u}[i] = 0$ otherwise. From the choice of ξ_{0} satisfying Lemma 5.5, we observe that $\mathbf{s}_{0,\mathbf{j}} + \delta(w_{j}) \geq \mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{s}'_{0,\mathbf{j}} - \delta(w'_{j}) \geq \mathbf{u}$. Note that $\lim_{c \to +\infty} (\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{j}} + c\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}}$. As $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{0,j}} G_{j} \mathbf{x}'_{\mathbf{j}}$, Lemma 5.2 proves that there exists an integer $c_{0} \geq 0$ such that $\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{j}} + c_{0}\mathbf{u} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{0,j}} \mathcal{V}$. Now, let us consider integers $c \geq 1$ and $c' \geq 0$ such that $c + c' \geq c_{0}$ and let us prove the relation:

$$\mathbf{s_j} + c(\mathbf{s_{0,j}} + \delta(w_j)) + c'(\mathbf{s'_{0,j}} - \delta(w'_j))$$

$$\xrightarrow{\sigma_{0,j}} \mathcal{V}$$

$$+ (c-1)(\mathbf{s_{0,j}} + \delta(w_j)) + (c'+1)(\mathbf{s'_{0,j}} - \delta(w'_j))$$

From $\mathbf{s_{0,j}} + \delta(w_j) \geq \mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{s'_{0,j}} - \delta(w'_j) \geq \mathbf{u}$ we deduce that $c(\mathbf{s_{0,j}} + \delta(w_j)) + c'(\mathbf{s'_{0,j}} - \delta(w'_j)) \geq (c + c')\mathbf{u} \geq c_0\mathbf{u}$. Thus, the previous relation directly comes from $\mathbf{s_j} + c_0\mathbf{u} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{0,j}} v$ and $\mathbf{s_{0,j}} + \delta(w_j) + \delta(\sigma_{0,j}) + \delta(w'_j) = \mathbf{s'_{0,j}}$. Now, an immediate induction provides $\mathbf{s_j} + c(\mathbf{s_{0,j}} + \delta(w_j)) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{0,j}^c} v$ $\mathbf{s_j} + c(\mathbf{s'_{0,j}} - \delta(w'_j))$ for any $c \geq c_0$.

Lemma 5.8 There exists $c' \ge 0$ such that for any $c \ge c'$:

$$\mathbf{s_j} + c(\mathbf{s'_{0,j}} - \delta(w'_j)) \quad \xrightarrow{\sigma_j} \mathcal{V} \quad \mathbf{s'_j} + c(\mathbf{s'_{0,j}} - \delta(w'_j))$$

 $\begin{array}{lll} \textit{Proof}: \ \text{As } \lim_{c \to +\infty} (\mathbf{s'_j} + c(\mathbf{s'_{0,j}} - \delta(w'_j))) &= \mathbf{x'_j} \ \text{and} \\ \mathbf{x_j} & \xrightarrow{\sigma_j}_{G_j} \mathbf{x'_j}, \ \text{Lemma 5.2 proves that there exists } c' \geq 0 \\ \text{such that} & \xrightarrow{\sigma_j}_{\mathcal{V}} (\mathbf{s'_j} + c(\mathbf{s'_{0,j}} - \delta(w'_j))) \ \text{for any } c \geq c'. \ \text{Since} \\ \mathbf{s_j} + \delta(\sigma_j) &= \mathbf{s'_j} \ \text{we are done.} \end{array}$

B Proofs of Section 5.2

s_j -

Lemma 5.9 There exists $\xi \in H$ and $\xi_0 \in H_0$ such that $\xi_0 + H \subseteq \xi + H_0$.

Proof: As the MGVS \mathcal{U} is perfect the set H is non empty. Let us consider the set I of components i such that $\xi[i]$ is independent of $\xi \in H$. As the MGVS is perfect we deduce that for any integer $c \geq 0$ there exists $\xi \in H$ such that $\xi[i] \geq c$ for any $i \notin I$. As $\min(H)$ is finite, we deduce that there exists $\xi \in H$ such that $\xi \geq \xi'$ for any $\xi' \in \min(H)$. In particular $\xi_0 = \sum_{\xi' \in \min(H)} (\xi - \xi')$ is in H_0 . Let us prove that $\xi_0 + H \subseteq \xi + H_0$. Consider $\xi'' \in H$. By definition of $\min(H)$, there exists $\xi''' \in \min(H)$ such that $\xi''' \leq \xi''$. The definition of ξ_0 shows that $\xi_0 - (\xi - \xi''')$ is equal to a sum of terms $(\xi - \xi')$ indexed by $\xi' \in \min(H) \setminus \{\xi'''\}$. Therefore $\xi_0 - (\xi - \xi''') \in H_0$. As $\xi'' - \xi''' \in H_0$ we have proved that the sum of $\xi_0 - (\xi - \xi''')$ and $\xi'' - \xi'''$ is also in H_0 . Note that this sum is equal to $\xi_0 - \xi + \xi''$. We have proved that $\xi_0 + \xi'' \in \xi + H_0$. Therefore $\xi_0 + H \subseteq \xi + H_0$. **Lemma 5.10** For any $\xi_{\mathbf{i}} = ((\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}})_j, (\mu_{i,j,t})_{j,t}, (\mathbf{s}'_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}})_j)$ interior vector of H_0 there exists a cycle $\pi_{i,j} = (\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{i,j}}_{G_j} \mathbf{x}'_{\mathbf{j}})$ such that $\mu_{i,j,t} = |\pi_{i,j}|_t$ for any $t \in T_j$ and any $0 \le j \le k$.

Proof : Since *U* is perfect, for any *t* ∈ *T_j*, there exists a solution $\xi_0 = (\mathbf{s}_{0,j}, (\mu_{0,j,t})_t, \mathbf{s}'_{0,j})_j$ in *H*₀ such that $\mu_{0,j,t} > 0$. As $H_0 = P_0^*$, for any *t* ∈ *T_j* there exists $\xi_0 \in P_0$ satisfying the same property. Lemma 3.1 shows that $\xi_i = (\mathbf{s}_{i,j}, (\mu_{i,j,t})_t, \mathbf{s}'_{i,j})_j$ is a sum over all solutions $\xi_0 \in P_0$ of terms of the form $\lambda \xi_0$ where $\lambda > 0$ is a rational value that naturally depends on ξ_0 . In particular we deduce that $\mu_{i,j,t} > 0$ for any *t* ∈ *T_j* and for any $0 \le j \le k$. Lemma 5.1 shows that there exists a cycle $\pi_{i,j} = (\mathbf{x}_j \xrightarrow{\sigma_{i,j}} G_j \mathbf{x}'_j)$ such that $\mu_{i,j,t} = |\pi_{i,j}|_t$ for any $t \in T_j$ and any $1 \le j \le k$.

Lemma 5.11 *There exists* $c_i \ge 0$ *such that for any* $1 \le j \le k$ *and* $c \ge c_i$:

$$\mathbf{s_j} + c(\mathbf{s_{0,j}} + \delta(w_j)) + \mathbf{s_{i,j}}$$
$$\xrightarrow{\sigma_{i,j}} \mathcal{V}$$
$$\mathbf{s_j} + c(\mathbf{s_{0,j}} + \delta(w_j)) + \mathbf{s'_{i,j}}$$

Proof: As $\lim_{c\to+\infty} (\mathbf{s_j} + c(\mathbf{s_{0,j}} + \delta(w_j))) = \mathbf{x_j}$ and $\mathbf{x_j} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{i,j}} G_j \mathbf{x_j}$, Lemma 5.2 proves that there exists an integer $c_i \geq 0$ such that $(\mathbf{s_j} + c(\mathbf{s_{0,j}} + \delta(w_j))) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{i,j}} V$ for any $c \geq c_i$ and for any $0 \leq j \leq k$. As $\mathbf{s_{i,j}} \geq \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{s_{i,j}} + \delta(\sigma_{i,j}) = \mathbf{s'_{i,j}} \geq \mathbf{0}$ we deduce the lemma. □