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#### Abstract

A fast algorithm for computing the two-dimensional (2-D) forward and inverse modified discrete cosine transform (MDCT and IMDCT) is proposed. The algorithm converts the 2-D MDCT and IMDCT with block size $M \times N$ into four 2-D discrete cosine transforms (DCTs) with block size $(M / 4) \times(N / 4)$. It is based on an algorithm recently presented by Cho [7] for the efficient calculation of one-dimensional MDCT and IMDCT. Comparison of the computational complexity with the traditional row-column method shows that the proposed algorithm reduces significantly the number of arithmetic operations.
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## I. Introduction

The forward and inverse modified discrete cosine transform (MDCT and IMDCT) are extensively used to realize the analysis/synthesis filter banks of time domain aliasing cancellation scheme for subband coding [1]. Such a filter bank is equivalent to the modulated lapped transform (MLT) introduced by Malvar [2]. Many fast algorithms have been reported in the literature for computing the one-dimensional (1-D) MDCT/IMDCT (or MLT/MLT ${ }^{-1}$ ). For example, Britanak and Rao [3] proposed an efficient approach for implementing the $M$-point MDCT and IMDCT based on the $M / 4$-point DCT/DST and corresponding $M / 4$-point IDCT/IDST, respectively. Lee [4] then suggested an improvement in the computational speed of this algorithm. By using a matrix representation, Cheng and Hsu [5] presented various approaches for efficient implementation of the MDCT and IMDCT. Recently, Truong et al. [6] developed a fast algorithm for computing the $M$-point MDCT and IMDCT through $M / 2$-point DCT. Among these approaches, the algorithms reported in [4], [5] and [6] are probably the most efficient for computing the MDCT in terms of the arithmetic complexity. However, the algorithm presented by Cho et al in [7], which does not contain recursive structure, seems to achieve a good balance between the arithmetic complexity and computational structure. A comprehensive list of references on this subject is available in [8] and [9]. The two-dimensional (2-D) MDCT/IMDCT (or MLT/MLT ${ }^{-1}$ ), belonging to the lapped transforms, have a better performance compared to the non-lapped transforms (like the 2-D DCT/IDCT), not only because they have higher coding gains, but also they lead to a strong reduction in "blocking effects" in image coding [10]. Therefore, the 2-D MDCT/IMDCT have found their applications in image coding [11, 12], spectral image analysis [13] and digital image
watermarking [14].

During the past decades, many fast algorithms for computing the 1-D and 2-D DCT have been proposed [15-43]. A comprehensive survey of DCT algorithms can be found in [44] and comments on various fast algorithms for 2-D DCT was given in [45]. For the 1-D case, Lee's algorithm [15] and Hou's algorithm [16] are probably the most attractive radix-2 algorithms for computing the $2^{m}$-point DCT. Loeffler et al. [17] presented a fast algorithm for computing the 8 - and 16 -point DCT with minimum computational complexity. Chan and Siu [18] presented a mixed radix-3/6 algorithm to realize the DCT of length- $M=2^{m} 3^{n}, m, n \geq 1$. Kok [19] then suggested a generalized radix- 2 algorithm that can be used to compute the even-length DCT. Recently, Bi and Yu [20] derived an efficient mixed-radix algorithm for computing the DCT of composite sequence length $M=p \cdot 2^{m}$ where $p$ is an odd integer.

For the 2-D case, fast DCT algorithms can be classified into three categories: indirect algorithms, direct algorithms and optimal algorithms based on complexity theory or tensor approach. The indirect algorithms calculate the 2-D DCT through other transforms such as 2-D fast Fourier transform (FFT) [21, 22], 4-D FFT [23], or polynomial transform [24-26]. Among them, by using a polynomial transform (PT), Duhamel and Guillemot [24] developed the most efficient 2-D DCT algorithm for the block sizes $2^{m} \times 2^{m}, m \geq 3$. Zeng et al. [26] also presented a PT-based multidimensional DCT algorithm, which can be used to compute the 2-D DCT for the rectangular block sizes $2^{m} \times 2^{n}, m, n \geq 2$. Tatsaki et al. [23] derived a prime-factor DCT algorithm for computing the 2-D DCT of the block size $N \times N$ with $N \neq 2^{m}$. The direct algorithms include the calculation of 2-D DCT through $N$ sets of $N$-point 1-D DCTs plus a post-addition stage [27-31], matrix factorization or recursive computation [32-37],
constant geometry algorithm [38,39], and Chebyshev polynomial [40]. Among them, Britanak and Rao [36] developed an efficient recursive 2-D DCT algorithm for a rectangular $2^{m} \times 2^{n}$ block sizes. Bi et al. [37] suggested an algorithm that supports transform sizes $p \cdot 2^{m} \times q \cdot 2^{n}$, where $p$ and $q$ are odd integers. Note that the algorithms reported in [28]-[30] and [35] require the same number of multiplications and similar number of additions as that of the algorithm presented in [24] for computing the $2^{m} \times 2^{m}$-point DCT, but they have more regular computational structures compared to [24]. The optimal algorithms based on complexity theory or tensor approach [41-43] are mainly proposed to reach the minimum multiplicative complexity. For example, by using the 1-D DCT-based tensor approach, Feig and Winograd [41] obtained the lower bound of the multiplicative complexity which is $2^{m}\left(2^{m+1}-m-2\right)$ for the $2^{m} \times 2^{m}$ block sizes DCT. That is to say, the lower bounds of the multiplicative complexity for $8 \times 8$ - and $16 \times 16$-point DCT are 88 and 416 , respectively. As noted in [45], by combining Loteffler's 1-D DCT algorithm [17] with Cho's 2-D DCT algorithm [28], the multiplications needed for the $8 \times 8$ - and $16 \times 16$-point DCT are 88 and 496 , respectively. Recently, by using the shifted Fourier transform-based tensor approach, Grigoryan and Agaian [43] proposed an approach in which 84 and 460 multiplications are required for computing the $8 \times 8$ - and $16 \times 16$-point DCTs, respectively. By utilizing the distributed arithmetic (DA) structure of the 2-D DCT, Pan [46] reported that only 64 multiplications are required for the computation of $8 \times 8$-point DCT. Although many algorithms have been reported to reduce the arithmetic complexity of 2-D DCT, to the authors' knowledge, little attention has been paid on the fast computation of 2-D MDCT/IMDCT. Frantzeskakis and Karathanasis [47] developed a time-recursive approach for real-time computation of the 2-D MLT. In most cases, the 2-D

MDCT/IMDCT are calculated with the row-column method [11-14], which requires evaluating $M$ sets of $N$-point MDCTs/IMDCTs and $N$ sets of $M$-point MDCTs/IMDCTs for an $M \times N$-point 2-D MDCT/IMDCT. As noted in [24], the true 2-D techniques are more efficient than the row-column approach. Therefore, proper 2-D algorithms need to be developed.

In this paper, the 1-D MDCT/IMDCT algorithm presented in [7] is extended to two dimensions to obtain a new 2-D MDCT/IMDCT algorithm. In section II, a simple variation of the algorithm in [7] is described. The algorithm is then generalized to 2-D in Section III. The computational complexity of the method is analyzed and compared to the row-column method in Section IV. Section V concludes the work.

## II. 1-D MDCT/IMDCT algorithm

Let $\{x(m)\}, m \in[0, M-1]$ denote a windowed input data sequence. The unnormalized 1-D forward and inverse MDCT are respectively defined as [1]

$$
\begin{align*}
& X(k)=\sum_{m=0}^{M-1} x(m) \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2 M}\left(2 m+1+\frac{M}{2}\right)(2 k+1)\right], k \in[0, M / 2-1],  \tag{1}\\
& \hat{x}(m)=\sum_{k=0}^{M / 2-1} X(k) \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2 M}\left(2 m+1+\frac{M}{2}\right)(2 k+1)\right], m \in[0, M-1], \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $M$ is assumed to be divisible by 4, i.e., $M=4 p$.

In this section, we briefly describe the algorithm proposed in [7]. Using the following permutations introduced in [4] and [7]

$$
w(m)= \begin{cases}-x(3 M / 4-1-m)-x(3 M / 4+m), & m \in[0, M / 4-1]  \tag{3}\\ x(m-M / 4)-x(3 M / 4-1-m), & m \in[M / 4, M / 2-1],\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
y(m)= \begin{cases}-\hat{x}(3 M / 4+m), & m \in[0, M / 4-1]  \tag{4}\\ \hat{x}(m-M / 4), & m \in[M / 4, M-1] .\end{cases}
$$

Equations (1) and (2) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& X(k)=\sum_{m=0}^{M / 2-1} w(m) \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2 M}(2 m+1)(2 k+1)\right], k \in[0, M / 2-1]  \tag{5}\\
& y(m)=\sum_{k=0}^{M / 2-1} X(k) \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2 M}(2 m+1)(2 k+1)\right], m \in[0, M / 2-1] \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

The above equations show that the forward and inverse MDCT can be realized by the same DCT-IV algorithm.

Equation (5) can further be computed as follows.

$$
\begin{align*}
& A(k)=X(2 k)+X(2 k-1)=2 \sum_{m=0}^{M / 4-1} u(m) \cos \left[\frac{2 \pi}{M}(2 m+1) k\right], k \in[0, M / 4-1]  \tag{7}\\
& B(k)=X(2 k)-X(2 k-1)=2 \sum_{m=0}^{M / 4-1} v(m) \sin \left[\frac{2 \pi}{M}(2 m+1) k\right], k \in[1, M / 4] \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(m)=w(m) \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2 M}(2 m+1)\right]+w(M / 2-1-m) \sin \left[\frac{\pi}{2 M}(2 m+1)\right], m \in[0, M / 4-1]  \tag{9}\\
& v(m)=-w(m) \sin \left[\frac{\pi}{2 M}(2 m+1)\right]+w(M / 2-1-m) \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2 M}(2 m+1)\right], m \in[0, M / 4-1] \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

with the initial conditions $X(0)=A(0)$ and $X(M / 2-1)=-B(M / 4)$.

## III. 2-D MDCT/IMDCT algorithm

The corresponding 2-D MDCT and IMDCT are respectively defined by

$$
\begin{gather*}
X(k, l)=\sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(m, n) \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2 M}\left(2 m+1+\frac{M}{2}\right)(2 k+1)\right] \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2 N}\left(2 n+1+\frac{N}{2}\right)(2 l+1)\right]  \tag{11}\\
k \in[0, M / 2-1], l \in[0, N / 2-1]
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{x}(m, n)=\sum_{k=0}^{M / 2-1} \sum_{l=0}^{N / 2-1} X(k, l) \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2 M}\left(2 m+1+\frac{M}{2}\right)(2 k+1)\right] \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2 N}\left(2 n+1+\frac{N}{2}\right)(2 l+1)\right], \\
m \in[0, M-1], n \in[0, N-1],
\end{gathered}
$$

where $M$ and $N$ are both assumed to be divisible by 4 .

Step 1: Mapping $M \times N$-point forward and inverse MDCT to $(M / 2) \times(N / 2)-p o i n t$ DCT-IV.

Letting

$$
\begin{align*}
& w(m, n) \\
& \int\left[x\left(\frac{3 M}{4}-1-m, \frac{3 N}{4}-1-n\right)+x\left(\frac{3 M}{4}-1-m, \frac{3 N}{4}+n\right)\right]+\left[x\left(\frac{3 M}{4}+m, \frac{3 N}{4}-1-n\right)\right. \\
& \left.+x\left(\frac{3 M}{4}+m, \frac{3 N}{4}+n\right)\right], \quad m \in\left[0, \frac{M}{4}-1\right], n \in\left[0, \frac{N}{4}-1\right] \\
& {\left[x\left(\frac{3 M}{4}-1-m, \frac{3 N}{4}-1-n\right)-x\left(\frac{3 M}{4}-1-m, n-\frac{N}{4}\right)\right]+\left[x\left(\frac{3 M}{4}+m, \frac{3 N}{4}-1-n\right)\right.} \\
& \begin{array}{c}
\left.-x\left(\frac{3 M}{4}+m, n-\frac{N}{4}\right)\right], \quad m \in\left[0, \frac{M}{4}-1\right], n \in\left[\frac{N}{4}, \frac{N}{2}-1\right] \\
\left.\left.\frac{3 M}{4}-1-m, \frac{3 N}{4}-1-n\right)+x\left(\frac{3 M}{4}-1-m, \frac{3 N}{4}+n\right)\right]-\left[x\left(m-\frac{M}{4}, \frac{3 N}{4}-1-n\right)\right.
\end{array} \\
& \left.+x\left(m-\frac{M}{4}, \frac{3 N}{4}+n\right)\right], \quad m \in\left[\frac{M}{4}, \frac{M}{2}-1\right], n \in\left[0, \frac{N}{4}-1\right] \\
& {\left[x\left(\frac{3 M}{4}-1-m, \frac{3 N}{4}-1-n\right)-x\left(\frac{3 M}{4}-1-m, n-\frac{N}{4}\right)\right]-\left[x\left(m-\frac{M}{4}, \frac{3}{4} N-1-n\right)\right.} \\
& \left.-x\left(m-\frac{M}{4}, n-\frac{N}{4}\right)\right], \quad m \in\left[\frac{M}{4}, \frac{M}{2}-1\right], n \in\left[\frac{N}{4}, \frac{N}{2}-1\right], \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Eq. (11) becomes

$$
\begin{array}{r}
X(k, l)=\sum_{m=0}^{M / 2-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N / 2-1} w(m, n) \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2 M}(2 m+1)(2 k+1)\right] \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2 N}(2 n+1)(2 l+1)\right],  \tag{14}\\
k \in[0, M / 2-1], l \in[0, N / 2-1] .
\end{array}
$$

Using a mapping analogous to (4)

$$
y(m, n)= \begin{cases}\hat{x}(3 M / 4+m, 3 N / 4+n), & m \in[0, M / 4-1], n \in[0, N / 4-1]  \tag{15}\\ -\hat{x}(3 M / 4+m, n-N / 4), & m \in[0, M / 4-1], n \in[N / 4, N-1] \\ -\hat{x}(m-M / 4,3 N / 4+n), & m \in[M / 4, M-1], n \in[0, N / 4-1] \\ \hat{x}(m-M / 4, n-N / 4), & m \in[M / 4, M-1], n \in[N / 4, N-1]\end{cases}
$$

Equation (12) can be written as

$$
\begin{gather*}
y(m, n)=\sum_{k=0}^{M / 2-1} \sum_{l=0}^{N / 2-1} X(k, l) \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2 M}(2 m+1)(2 k+1)\right] \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2 N}(2 n+1)(2 l+1)\right],  \tag{16}\\
m \in[0, M-1], n \in[0, N-1]
\end{gather*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
y(m, n)=-y(M-1-m, n)=-y(m, N-1-n)=y(M-1-m, N-1-n), \\
m \in[0, M / 2-1], n \in[0, N / 2-1] . \tag{17}
\end{array}
$$

Therefore, only $y(m, n), m \in[0, M / 2-1], n \in[0, N / 2-1]$ needs to calculate. Equations (14) and (16) show that the 2-D forward and inverse MDCT can be realized by the same 2-D DCT-IV algorithm.

## Step 2: Decomposing (M/2) $\times(N / 2)-$ point DCT-IV into four (M/4) $\times(N / 4)-$ point DCTs.

Instead of computing (14) directly, we propose in this subsection an algorithm suitable for fast computation.

## Letting

$$
\begin{align*}
& C(k, l)=C_{1}(k, l)+C_{2}(k, l),  \tag{18}\\
& D(k, l)=C_{1}(k, l)-C_{2}(k, l),  \tag{19}\\
& C^{\prime}(k, l)=C_{1}^{\prime}(k, l)+C_{2}^{\prime}(k, l),  \tag{20}\\
& D^{\prime}(k, l)=C_{1}^{\prime}(k, l)-C_{2}^{\prime}(k, l), \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
C_{1}(k, l)=\frac{1}{4}[X(2 k, 2 l)+X(2 k, 2 l-1)], & k \in[0, M / 2-1], l \in[0, N / 2], \\
C_{2}(k, l)=\frac{1}{4}[X(2 k-1,2 l)+X(2 k-1,2 l-1)], & k \in[1, M / 2], l \in[0, N / 2], \\
C_{1}^{\prime}(k, l)=\frac{1}{4}[X(2 k, 2 l)-X(2 k, 2 l-1)], & k \in[0, M / 2-1], l \in[0, N / 2], \\
C_{2}^{\prime}(k, l)=\frac{1}{4}[X(2 k-1,2 l)-X(2 k-1,2 l-1)], & k \in[1, M / 2], l \in[0, N / 2] . \tag{25}
\end{array}
$$

## A. Computation of $C(k, l)$ and $D(k, l)$.

1) Computation of $C_{1}(k, l)$ and $C_{2}(k, l)$.

From (22), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{1}(k, l)= & \frac{1}{4}
\end{align*} \sum_{m=0}^{M / 2-1} \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2 M}(2 m+1)(4 k+1)\right] \sum_{n=0}^{N / 2-1} w(m, n)\left\{\cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2 N}(2 n+1)(4 l+1)\right]\right.
$$

Using (7), we can easily get

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}(k, l)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{N / 4-1} \cos \left[\frac{2 \pi}{N}(2 n+1) l\right] \sum_{m=0}^{M / 2-1} u(m, n) \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2 M}(2 m+1)(4 k+1)\right], \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(m, n)=w(m, n) \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2 N}(2 n+1)\right]+w(m, N / 2-1-n) \sin \left[\frac{\pi}{2 N}(2 n+1)\right] . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2}(k, l)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{N / 4-1} \cos \left[\frac{2 \pi}{N}(2 n+1) l\right] \sum_{m=0}^{M / 2-1} u(m, n) \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2 M}(2 m+1)(4 k-1)\right] . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

2) Computation of $C(k, l)$ and $D(k, l)$.

Substituting (27) and (29) into (18), we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{r}
C(k, l)=\sum_{m=0}^{M / 4-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N / 4-1} u_{u}(m, n) \cos \left[\frac{2 \pi}{M}(2 m+1) k\right] \cos \left[\frac{2 \pi}{N}(2 n+1) l\right],  \tag{30}\\
k \in[0, M / 4-1], l \in[0, N / 4-1],
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{u}(m, n)=u(m, n) \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2 M}(2 m+1)\right]+u(M / 2-1-m, n) \sin \left[\frac{\pi}{2 M}(2 m+1)\right] . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the computation of $D(k, l)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
D(k, l)= & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{N / 4-1} \cos \left[\frac{2 \pi}{N}(2 n+1) l\right] \sum_{m=0}^{M / 2-1} u(m, n)\left\{\cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2 M}(2 m+1)(4 k+1)\right]\right. \\
& \left.-\cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2 M}(2 m+1)(4 k-1)\right]\right\} \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

By using (8), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(k, l)=\sum_{m=0}^{M / 4-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N / 4-1} v_{u}(m, n) \sin \left[\frac{2 \pi}{M}(2 m+1) k\right] \cos \left[\frac{2 \pi}{N}(2 n+1) l\right] \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{u}(m, n)=-u(m, n) \sin \left[\frac{\pi}{2 M}(2 m+1)\right]+u(M / 2-1-m, n) \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2 M}(2 m+1)\right] \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (33) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
D\left(\frac{M}{4}-k, l\right)=\sum_{m=0}^{M / 4-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N / 4-1}(-1)^{m} v_{u}(m, n) \cos \left[\frac{2 \pi}{M}(2 m+1) k\right] \cos \left[\frac{2 \pi}{N}(2 n+1) l\right],  \tag{35}\\
k \in[0, M / 4-1], l \in[0, N / 4-1] .
\end{array}
$$

## B. Computation of $C^{\prime}(k, l)$ and $D^{\prime}(k, l)$.

1) Computation of $C_{1}^{\prime}(k, l)$ and $C_{2}^{\prime}(k, l)$.

By proceeding in a similar way as for $C_{1}(k, l)$ and $C_{2}(k, l)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{1}^{\prime}(k, l)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{N / 4-1} \sin \left[\frac{2 \pi}{N}(2 n+1) l \sum_{m=0}^{M / 2-1} v(m, n) \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2 M}(2 m+1)(4 k+1)\right]\right.  \tag{36}\\
& C_{2}^{\prime}(k, l)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{N / 4-1} \sin \left[\frac{2 \pi}{N}(2 n+1) l\right] \sum_{m=0}^{M / 2-1} v(m, n) \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2 M}(2 m+1)(4 k-1)\right], \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(m, n)=-w(m, n) \sin \left[\frac{\pi}{2 N}(2 n+1)\right]+w(m, N / 2-1-n) \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2 N}(2 n+1)\right] . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

2) Computation of $C^{\prime}(k, l)$ and $D^{\prime}(k, l)$.

By proceeding in a similar way as for $C(k, l)$ and $D(k, l)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& C^{\prime}(k, l)=\sum_{m=0}^{M / 4-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N / 4-1} u_{v}(m, n) \cos \left[\frac{2 \pi}{M}(2 m+1) k\right] \sin \left[\frac{2 \pi}{N}(2 n+1) l\right]  \tag{39}\\
& D^{\prime}(k, l)=\sum_{m=0}^{M / 4-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N / 4-1} v_{v}(m, n) \sin \left[\frac{2 \pi}{M}(2 m+1) k\right] \sin \left[\frac{2 \pi}{N}(2 n+1) l\right] \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{v}(m, n)=v(m, n) \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2 M}(2 m+1)\right]+v(M / 2-1-m, n) \sin \left[\frac{\pi}{2 M}(2 m+1)\right]  \tag{41}\\
& v_{v}(m, n)=-v(m, n) \sin \left[\frac{\pi}{2 M}(2 m+1)\right]+v(M / 2-1-m, n) \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2 M}(2 m+1)\right] . \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (39) and (40) can also be rewritten as

$$
\begin{gather*}
C^{\prime}\left(k, \frac{N}{4}-l\right)=\sum_{m=0}^{M / 4-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N / 4-1}(-1)^{n} u_{v}(m, n) \cos \left[\frac{2 \pi}{M}(2 m+1) k\right] \cos \left[\frac{2 \pi}{N}(2 n+1) l\right]  \tag{43}\\
k \in[0, M / 4-1], l \in[0, N / 4-1] \\
D^{\prime}\left(\frac{M}{4}-k, \frac{N}{4}-l\right)=\sum_{m=0}^{M / 4-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N / 4-1}(-1)^{m+n} v_{v}(m, n) \cos \left[\frac{2 \pi}{M}(2 m+1) k\right] \cos \left[\frac{2 \pi}{N}(2 n+1) l\right],  \tag{44}\\
k \in[0, M / 4-1], l \in[0, N / 4-1] .
\end{gather*}
$$

The final outputs of (11) can be obtained by

$$
\begin{align*}
& X(2 k, 2 l)=C(k, l)+D(k, l)+C^{\prime}(k, l)+D^{\prime}(k, l), \quad k \in[0, M / 4-1], l \in[0, N / 4-1] \\
& X(2 k, 2 l-1)=C(k, l)+D(k, l)-C^{\prime}(k, l)-D^{\prime}(k, l), \quad k \in[0, M / 4-1], l \in[1, N / 4] \\
& X(2 k-1,2 l)=C(k, l)-D(k, l)+C^{\prime}(k, l)-D^{\prime}(k, l), \quad k \in[1, M / 4], l \in[0, N / 4-1]  \tag{45}\\
& X(2 k-1,2 l-1)=C(k, l)-D(k, l)-C^{\prime}(k, l)+D^{\prime}(k, l), \quad k \in[1, M / 4], l \in[1, N / 4] .
\end{align*}
$$

For some special values of $k$ and $l$, equation (45) can be further simplified as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
X(2 k, 0)=C(k, 0)+D(k, 0), & \\
X(2 k-1,0)=C(k, 0)-D(k, 0), & \\
X(2 k, N / 2-1)=-\left[C^{\prime}(k, N / 4)+D^{\prime}(k, N / 4)\right], & \\
X(2 k-1, N / 2-1)=-\left[C^{\prime}(k, N / 4)-D^{\prime}(k, N / 4)\right], & \\
X(0,2 l)=C(0, l)+C^{\prime}(0, l) & \\
X(0,2 l-1)=C(0, l)-C^{\prime}(0, l), & l \in[0, N / 4] \\
X(M / 2-1,2 l)=-\left[D(M / 4, l)+D^{\prime}(M / 4, l)\right], &  \tag{47}\\
X(M / 2-1,2 l-1)=-\left[D(M / 4, l)-D^{\prime}(M / 4, l)\right], &
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& X(0,0)=C(0,0) \\
& X(0, N / 2-1)=-C^{\prime}(0, N / 4) \\
& X(M / 2-1,0)=-D(M / 4,0)  \tag{48}\\
& X(M / 2-1, N / 2-1)=D^{\prime}(M / 4, N / 4)
\end{align*}
$$

## IV. Computational complexity and comparison analysis

In this section, we analyze the computational complexity of our proposed 2-D MDCT/IMDCT algorithm and compare it with the traditional row-column method. Assuming that a butterfly computation is implemented with 3 multiplications and 3 additions, then the decomposition costs are given as follows

1) $3 M N / 4$ additions for $w(m, n)$ in (13).
2) $3 M N / 8$ multiplications and $3 M N / 8$ additions for (28) and (38).
3) $3 M N / 8$ multiplications and $3 M N / 8$ additions for (31), (34), (41), and (42).
4) $M N / 2-M-N$ additions for (45)-(48).

In summary, the computational complexity of the proposed 2-D MDCT algorithm is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{M \times N}^{\mathrm{MDCT}}=4 M_{(M / 4) \times(N / 4)}^{\mathrm{DCT}}+3 M N / 4,  \tag{49}\\
& A_{M \times N}^{\mathrm{MDCT}}=4 A_{(M / 4) \times(N / 4)}^{\mathrm{DCT}}+2 M N-M-N . \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

For the computation of 2-D IMDCT, the manipulation in (15) is just a process of data shift, $3 M N / 4$ additions can thus be saved. Fig. 1 shows the block diagram of the proposed 2-D MDCT algorithm for the case of $M=N=8$.

The time-recursive algorithm presented in [47] belonging to the recursive algorithm, is not efficient in terms of arithmetic complexity, but its regressive structure provides an efficient scheme for the parallel VLSI implementation [9]. For this reason, we compare only our algorithms with the traditional row-column method whose computational complexity is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{M \times N}^{\mathrm{MDCT}}=M M_{N}^{\mathrm{MDCT}}+N M_{M}^{\mathrm{MDCT}}  \tag{51}\\
& A_{M \times N}^{\mathrm{MDCT}}=M A_{N}^{\mathrm{MDCT}}+N A_{M}^{\mathrm{MDCT}} \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that the above equations are also valid for the 2-D IMDCT.

In the following, we only give the comparison results of 2-D MDCT algorithm, since the results of 2-D IMDCT algorithm are only different in additions. We first consider the case where the data sequence length satisfies $M=N=2^{m}, m \geq 4$. For the proposed algorithm, we convert $M \times N$ MDCT into four $(M / 4) \times(N / 4)$ DCTs, which are then computed by the fast 2-D DCT algorithms presented in [28]-[30] or [35]. For the row-column method, we combine Lee's algorithm [4] or Cheng and Hsu's algorithm [5] with Kok's algorithm [19] for the fast computation of 1-D MDCT. The comparison result is listed in table I. Note that for $8 \times 8$-point MDCT, we used the initial values $M_{2 \times 2}^{\mathrm{DCT}}=2$ and $A_{2 \times 2}^{\mathrm{DCT}}=8 \quad[32,34]$ in table I. Then, for the case $M=N$, both $M$ and $N$ being multiple of 4, but not power of two, we combine our 2-D MDCT algorithm with Tatsaki's algorithm [23], and compare it with row-column method, which uses the algorithm presented in [4] or [5] and Bi’s algorithm [20] for the efficient computation of 1-D MDCT. The comparison result is shown in table II. For some image compression applications (e.g., [48]), it may require adaptive block sizes in different dimensions, so, we also consider the case where $M \neq N$. For the case $M=2^{m}, N=2^{n}, m \geq 3, n$ $\geq 4$, we combine our 2-D MDCT algorithm with Zeng et al's algorithm [26]. For the case $M=p \cdot 2^{m}, N=q \cdot 2^{n}, m \geq 5, n \geq 3$, we combine our 2-D MDCT algorithm with Bi et al's algorithm [37]. For the row-column method, we still use the algorithm presented in [4] or [5] and Bi's algorithm [20] for the efficient computation of 1-D MDCT. The comparison results are shown in table III and table IV, respectively. It can be observed from these tables that the proposed 2-D MDCT algorithm significantly reduces the number of arithmetic operations in both multiplications and additions compared to the row-column method. Since the block sizes $8 \times 8$ - and $16 \times 16$-point DCT are commonly used in image compression, we also consider the
computational complexity of the $8 \times 8$ - and $16 \times 16$-point MDCT. It can be easily seen from table I that our algorithm needs only 56 (or 256 ) multiplications and 144 (or 776) additions for $8 \times 8$ (or $16 \times 16$ )-point DCT. However, if we use the row-column method, 128 (or 640 ) multiplications and 256 (or 1408) additions are required.

## V. Conclusions

A fast algorithm for the computation of 2-D MDCT/IMDCT is presented. It is an extended version of an 1-D MDCT/IMDCT algorithm recently introduced by Cho et al. The algorithm reduces significantly the number of arithmetic operations compared to the row-column method. Therefore, it could find its application in multi-signal and image processing tasks.
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Fig. 1. The block diagram of the $8 \times 8$-point MDCT.

Table I Required number of arithmetic operations for 2-D MDCT with block size $M \times N$, where $M=N=2^{m}, m \geq 3$.

| Block <br> Size | Proposed algorithm |  |  | Row-column method ([4] or [5]) |  |  | save |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 56 | 144 | 200 | 128 | 256 | 384 |  |
| $16 \times 16$ | 256 | 776 | 1032 | 640 | 1408 | 2048 | $50 \%$ |
| $32 \times 32$ | 1152 | 3848 | 5000 | 3072 | 7168 | 10240 | $51 \%$ |
| $64 \times 64$ | 5120 | 18184 | 23304 | 14336 | 34816 | 49152 | $53 \%$ |

Table II Required number of arithmetic operations for 2-D MDCT with block size $M \times N$, where $M=N \neq 2^{m}$.

| Block <br> Size | Proposed algorithm |  |  | Row-column method ([4] or [5]) |  |  | save |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 568 | 1848 | 2416 | 1248 | 3456 | 4704 |  |
| $40 \times 40$ | 1784 | 5752 | 7536 | 4480 | 11840 | 16320 | $54 \%$ |
| $48 \times 48$ | 2560 | 9000 | 11560 | 6144 | 17280 | 23424 | $51 \%$ |
| $56 \times 56$ | 3768 | 12728 | 16496 | 14336 | 25984 | 40320 | $59 \%$ |

Table III Required number of arithmetic operations for 2-D MDCT with block size $M \times N$, where $M=2^{m}, N=2^{n}, m \geq 3, n \geq 4$.

| Block <br> Size | Proposed algorithm |  |  | Row-column method ([4] or [5]) |  |  | save |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 128 | 348 | 476 | 288 | 608 | 896 |  |
| $16 \times 32$ | 576 | 1776 | 2352 | 1408 | 3200 | 4608 | $49 \%$ |
| $32 \times 64$ | 2560 | 8536 | 11096 | 6656 | 15872 | 22528 | $51 \%$ |
| $64 \times 128$ | 11264 | 39592 | 50856 | 30720 | 75776 | 106496 | $52 \%$ |

Table IV Required number of arithmetic operations for 2-D MDCT with block size $M \times N$, where
$M=p \cdot 2^{m}, N=q \cdot 2^{n}, p=1, q=3, m \geq 5, n \geq 3$.

| Block <br> Size | Proposed algorithm |  |  | Row-column method ([4] or [5]) |  |  | save |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mul | Add | Total | Mul | Add | Total |  |
| $32 \times 24$ | 984 | 2616 | 3600 | 1984 | 4992 | 6976 | $48 \%$ |
| $64 \times 48$ | 4704 | 12320 | 17024 | 9472 | 24576 | 34048 | $50 \%$ |


| $128 \times 96$ | 21648 | 57616 | 79264 | 44032 | 116736 | 160768 | $51 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $256 \times 192$ | 99600 | 262000 | 361600 | 200704 | 540672 | 741376 | $51 \%$ |

