

# Strong cleanness of matrix rings over commutative rings Francois Couchot

### ▶ To cite this version:

Francois Couchot. Strong cleanness of matrix rings over commutative rings. Communications in Algebra, 2008, 36 (2), pp.346-351. hal-00271101

HAL Id: hal-00271101

https://hal.science/hal-00271101

Submitted on 8 Apr 2008

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## STRONG CLEANNESS OF MATRIX RINGS OVER COMMUTATIVE RINGS

### FRANÇOIS COUCHOT

ABSTRACT. Let R be a commutative local ring. It is proved that R is Henselian if and only if each R-algebra which is a direct limit of module finite R-algebras is strongly clean. So, the matrix ring  $\mathbb{M}_n(R)$  is strongly clean for each integer n>0 if R is Henselian and we show that the converse holds if either the residue class field of R is algebraically closed or R is an integrally closed domain or R is a valuation ring. It is also shown that each R-algebra which is locally a direct limit of module-finite algebras, is strongly clean if R is a  $\pi$ -regular commutative ring.

As in [10] a ring R is called **clean** if each element of R is the sum of an idempotent and a unit. In [8] Han and Nicholson proved that a ring R is clean if and only if  $\mathbb{M}_n(R)$  is clean for every integer n > 1. It is easy to check that each local ring is clean and consequently every matrix ring over a local ring is clean. On the other hand a ring R is called **strongly clean** if each element of R is the sum of an idempotent and a unit that commute. Recently, in [12], Chen and Wang gave an example of a commutative local ring R with  $M_2(R)$  not strongly clean. This motivates the following interesting question: what are the commutative local rings R for which  $\mathbb{M}_n(R)$  is strongly clean for each integer  $n \geq 1$ ? In [4], Chen, Yang and Zhou gave a complete characterization of commutative local rings R with  $\mathbb{M}_2(R)$  strongly clean. So, from their results and their examples, it is reasonable to conjecture that the Henselian rings are the only commutative local rings R with  $\mathbb{M}_n(R)$  strongly clean for each integer  $n \geq 1$ . In this note we give a partial answer to this problem. If R is Henselian then  $\mathbb{M}_n(R)$  is strongly clean for each integer  $n \geq 1$  and the converse holds if R is an integrally closed domain, a valuation ring or if its residue class field is algebraically closed.

All rings in this paper are associative with unity. By [11, Chapitre I] a commutative local ring R is said to be **Henselian** if each commutative module-finite R-algebra is a finite product of local rings. It was G. Azumaya ([1]) who first studied this property which was then developed by M. Nagata ([9]). The following theorem gives a new characterization of Henselian rings.

**Theorem 1.** Let R be a commutative local ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) R is Henselian;
- (2) For each R-algebra A which is a direct limit of module-finite algebras and for each integer  $n \ge 1$ , the matrix ring  $\mathbb{M}_n(A)$  is strongly clean;
- (3) Each R-algebra A which is a direct limit of module-finite algebras is clean.

1

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 13H99, 16U99.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$  clean ring, strongly clean ring, local ring, Henselian ring, matrix ring, valuation ring.

**Proof.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Let A be a direct limit of module-finite R-algebras and  $a \in \mathbb{M}_n(A)$ . Then R[a] is a commutative module-finite R-algebra. Since R is Henselian, R[a] is a finite direct product of local rings. So R[a] is clean. Hence a is a sum of an idempotent and a unit that commute.

It is obvious that  $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ .

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ . Let A be a commutative module-finite R-algebra and let J(A) be its Jacobson radical. Since  $J(R)A \subseteq J(A)$ , where J(R) is the Jacobson radical of R, we deduce that A/J(A) is semisimple artinian. By [10, Propositions 1.8 and 1.5] idempotents can be lifted modulo J(A). Hence A is semi-perfect. It follows that A is a finite product of local rings, whence R is Henselian.

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a ring property. We say that an algebra A over a commutative ring R is **locally**  $\mathcal{P}$  if  $A_P$  satisfies  $\mathcal{P}$  for each maximal ideal P of R.

Corollary 2. Let R be a commutative ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) R is clean and locally Henselian;
- (2) For each R-algebra A which is locally a direct limit of module-finite algebras and for each integer  $n \ge 1$ ,  $\mathbb{M}_n(A)$  is strongly clean;
- (3) Each R-algebra A which is locally a direct limit of module-finite algebras is clean.
- **Proof.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Let A be an R-algebra which is locally a direct limit of module-finite algebras and  $a \in \mathbb{M}_n(A)$ . Consider the following polynomial equations: E + U = a,  $E^2 = E$ , UV = 1, VU = 1, EU = UE. By Theorem 1 these equations have a solution in  $\mathbb{M}_n(A_P)$ , for each maximal ideal P of R. So, by [5, Theorem I.1] they have a solution in  $\mathbb{M}_n(A)$  too.

It is obvious that  $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ .

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ . Let P be a maximal ideal of R and let A be a module-finite  $R_P$ -algebra. Since R is clean, the natural map  $R \to R_P$  is surjective by [5, Theorem I.1 and Proposition III.1]. So A is a module-finite R-algebra. It follows that A is clean. By Theorem 1  $R_P$  is Henselian.

A ring R is said to be **strongly**  $\pi$ -regular if, for each  $r \in R$ , there exist  $s \in R$  and an integer  $q \ge 1$  such that  $r^q = r^{q+1}s$ .

Corollary 3. Let R be a strongly  $\pi$ -regular commutative ring. Then, for each R-algebra A which is locally a direct limit of module-finite algebras and for each integer  $n \geq 1$ , the matrix ring  $\mathbb{M}_n(A)$  is strongly clean.

**Proof.** It is known that R is clean and that each prime ideal is maximal. So, for every maximal P,  $PR_P$  is a nilideal of  $R_P$ . Hence  $R_P$  is Henselian. We conclude by Corollary 2.

By [6, Théorème 1] each strongly  $\pi$ -regular R satisfies the following condition: for each  $r \in R$ , there exist  $s \in R$  and an integer  $q \geq 1$  such that  $r^q = sr^{q+1}$ . Moreover, by [3, Proposition 2.6.iii)] each strongly  $\pi$ -regular ring is strongly clean. So, Corollary 3 is also a consequence of the following proposition. (Probably, this proposition is already known).

**Proposition 4.** Let R be a strongly  $\pi$ -regular commutative ring. Then, for each R-algebra A which is locally a direct limit of module-finite algebras and for each integer  $n \geq 1$ , the matrix ring  $\mathbb{M}_n(A)$  is strongly  $\pi$ -regular.

**Proof.** Let  $S = \mathbb{M}_n(A)$  and  $s \in S$ . Then R[s] is locally a module-finite algebra. It is easy to prove that each prime ideal of R[s] is maximal. Consequently R[s] is strongly  $\pi$ -regular. So, S is strongly  $\pi$ -regular too.

The following lemma will be useful in the sequel.

**Lemma 5.** Let R be a commutative local ring with maximal ideal P. Let n be an integer > 1 such that  $\mathbb{M}_n(R)$  is strongly clean. Let f be a monic polynomial of degree n with coefficients in R such that  $f(0) \in P$  and  $f(a) \in P$  for some  $a \in R \setminus P$ . Then f is reducible.

**Proof.** Let  $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(R)$  such that its characteristic polynomial is f, i.e.  $f = \det(XI_n - A)$ , where  $I_n$  is the unit element of  $\mathbb{M}_n(R)$ . Then A = E + U where E is idempotent, U is invertible and EU = UE. First we assume that a = 1. So, 0 and 1 are eigenvalues of  $\overline{A}$  the reduction of A modulo P. Consequently A and  $A - I_n$  are not invertible. It follows that  $E \neq I_n$  and  $E \neq 0_{n,n}$  where  $0_{p,q}$  is the  $p \times q$  matrix whose coefficients are 0. Let F be a free R-module of rank R and let R be the endomorphism of R for which R is the matrix associated with respect to some basis. Then R is R in that R is local. Consequently there exists a R in invertible matrix R such that:

$$QEQ^{-1} = B = \begin{pmatrix} I_p & 0_{p,q} \\ 0_{q,p} & 0_{q,q} \end{pmatrix}$$

where p is an integer such that 0 and <math>q = n - p. Since E and A commute, then B and  $QAQ^{-1}$  commute too. So,  $QAQ^{-1}$  is of the form:

$$QAQ^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} C & 0_{p,q} \\ 0_{q,p} & D \end{pmatrix}$$

where C is a  $p \times p$  matrix and D is a  $q \times q$  matrix. We deduce that f is the product of the characteristic polynomial g of C with the characteristic polynomial g of G. Let us observe that  $(C-I_p)$  and G are invertible. So,  $G(1) \notin P$ ,  $G(1) \notin P$ ,  $G(1) \notin P$ , and  $G(1) \notin P$ . Now suppose that  $G(1) \notin P$  and  $G(1) \notin P$ 

A commutative ring R is a valuation ring (respectively arithmetic) if its lattice of ideals is totally ordered by inclusion (respectively distributive).

**Theorem 6.** Let R be a local commutative ring with maximal ideal P and with residue class field k. Consider the following two conditions:

- (1) R is Henselian;
- (2) the matrix ring  $\mathbb{M}_n(R)$  is strongly clean  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .

Then  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$  and the converse holds if R satisfies one of the following properties:

- (a) k is algebraically closed;
- (b) R is an integrally closed domain;
- (c) R is a valuation ring.

**Proof.** By Theorem 1 it remains to prove that (2) implies (1) when one of (a), (b) or (c) is valid. We will use [2, Theorem 1.4] and [7, Theorem II.7.3.(iv)]. Consider the polynomial  $f = X^n + c_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \cdots + c_1X + c_0$  and assume that  $\exists m, 1 \leq m < n$  such that  $c_m \notin P$  and  $c_i \in P$ ,  $\forall i < m$ . Since  $c_0 \in P$ , we see that  $f(0) \in P$ .

Hence, if k is algebraically closed,  $\exists a \in R \setminus P$  such that  $f(a) \in P$ . By Lemma 5 f is reducible. So, by [2, Theorem 1.4] R is Henselian.

If R is an integrally closed domain, we take m = n - 1 for proving the condition (iv) of [7, Theorem II.7.3]. In this case  $f(-c_{n-1}) \in P$ . By Lemma 5 (possibly applied several times) f satisfies the condition (iv) of [7, Theorem II.7.3]. Hence R is Henselian.

Assume that R is a valuation ring. Let N be the nilradical of R and let R' = R/N. We know that R is Henselian if and only if R' is Henselian too. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $\mathbb{M}_n(R')$  is strongly clean. Since R' is a valuation domain, R' is integrally closed. It follows that R' and R are Henselian.

**Corollary 7.** Let R be an arithmetic commutative ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) R is clean and locally Henselian;
- (2) the matrix ring  $\mathbb{M}_n(R)$  is strongly clean  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .

**Proof.** By Corollary 2 it remains to show  $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ . Let P be a maximal ideal of R. Since R is clean the natural map  $R \to R_P$  is surjective by [5, Theorem I.1 and Proposition III.1]. So,  $\mathbb{M}_n(R_P)$  is strongly clean  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Theorem 6 can be applied because  $R_P$  is a valuation ring. We conclude that  $R_P$  is Henselian.  $\square$ 

The following generalization of [4, Theorem 8] holds even if the properties (a), (b), (c) of Theorem 6 are not satisfied.

**Theorem 8.** Let R be a local commutative ring with maximal ideal P and with residue class field k. Let p be an integer such that  $2 \le p \le 5$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $\mathbb{M}_n(R)$  is strongly clean  $\forall n, \ 2 \leq n \leq p$ ;
- (2) each monic polynomial f of degree n,  $2 \le n \le p$ , for which  $f(0) \in P$  and  $f(1) \in P$ , is reducible.

**Proof.** By Lemma 5 it remains to prove that  $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ . Let  $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(R)$ . We denote by f the characteristic polynomial of A. If A is invertible then A = $0_{n,n} + A$ . If  $A - I_n$  is invertible then  $A = I_n + (A - I_n)$ . So, we may assume that A and  $(A - I_n)$  are not invertible. It follows that  $f(0) \in P$  and  $f(1) \in P$ . Then, f = gh where g and h are monic polynomials of degree  $\geq 1$ . We may assume that  $g(0) \in P, \ g(1) \notin P, \ h(0) \notin P \text{ and } h(1) \in P \text{ (possibly by applying condition (2)}$ several times). We denote by  $\bar{f}$ ,  $\bar{g}$ ,  $\bar{h}$  the images of f, g, h by the natural map  $R[X] \to k[X]$ . If  $\bar{g}$  and  $\bar{h}$  have a common factor of degree  $\geq 1$  then this factor is of degree 1 because  $n \leq 5$ . In this case  $\exists a \in R \setminus P$  such that  $g(a) \in P$  and  $h(a) \in P$ . As in the proof of Lemma 5 we show that q is reducible. Hence, after changing q and h, we get that  $\bar{q}$  and  $\bar{h}$  have no common divisor of degree  $\geq 1$ . It follows that there exist two polynomials u and v with coefficients in R such that  $\bar{u}\bar{q} + \bar{v}h = 1$ . Since PR[A] is contained in the Jacobson radical of R[A], we may assume that  $u(A)g(A) + v(A)h(A) = I_n$ . We put e = vh. Then we easily check that e(A) is idempotent. It remains to show that (A - e(A)) is invertible. It is enough to prove that  $(\bar{A} - \bar{e}(\bar{A}))$  is invertible because  $P\mathbb{M}_n(R)$  is the Jacobson radical of  $\mathbb{M}_n(R)$ . Let V be a vector space of dimension n over k and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a basis of V. Let  $\alpha$  be the endomorphism of V for which  $\bar{A}$  is the matrix associated with respect to  $\mathcal{B}$ . We put  $\epsilon = \bar{e}(\alpha)$ . Since V has finite dimension, it is sufficient to show that  $(\alpha - \epsilon)$  is injective. Let  $w \in V$  such that  $\alpha(w) = \epsilon(w)$ . It follows that

 $\alpha(\epsilon(w)) = \epsilon(\alpha(w)) = \epsilon^2(w) = \epsilon(w)$ . Since  $\bar{e}$  is divisible by  $(X - \bar{1})$  we get that  $\epsilon(w) = 0$ . So,  $\alpha(w) = 0$ . We deduce that  $\epsilon(w) = w$  because  $\bar{e} - \bar{1}$  is divisible by X. Hence w = 0.

#### References

- [1] G. Azumaya. On maximally central algebras. Nagoya J. Math., 2:119–150, (1951).
- [2] M. V. Bondarko. The Krull-Schmidt theorem for Henselian rings. J. Math. Sci., 112, (2002).
- [3] W.D Burgess and P. Menal. On strongly  $\pi$ -regular rings and homomorphism into them. Comm. Algebra, 18(8):1701–1725, (1988).
- [4] J. Chen, X. Yang, and Y. Zhou. When is the 2 × 2 matrix ring over a commutative local ring strongly clean? J. Algebra, 301:280–293, (2006).
- [5] F. Couchot. Indecomposable modules and Gelfand rings. Comm. Algebra, 35(1):231–241, (2007).
- [6] F. Dischinger. Sur les anneaux fortement  $\pi$ -réguliers. C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, 283:571–573, (1976).
- [7] L. Fuchs and L. Salce. Modules over Non-Noetherian Domains. Number 84 in Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. American Mathematical Society, Providence, (2001).
- [8] J. Han and W.K. Nicholson. Extensions of clean rings. Comm. Algebra, 29:2589-2595, (2001).
- [9] M. Nagata. Local rings. Intersciences Publishers, New York and London, (1962).
- [10] W. K. Nicholson. Lifting idempotents and exchange rings. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 229:269–278, (1977).
- [11] M. Raynaud. Anneaux locaux henséliens, volume 169 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, (1970).
- [12] Z. Wang and J. Chen. On two problems about strongly clean rings. Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 70:279–282, (2004).

Laboratoire de Mathématiques Nicolas Oresme, CNRS UMR 6139, Département de mathématiques et mécanique, 14032 Caen cedex, France

E-mail address: couchot@math.unicaen.fr