

Grothendieck Group and Generalized Mutation Rule for 2-Calabi–Yau Triangulated Categories

Yann Palu

▶ To cite this version:

Yann Palu. Grothendieck Group and Generalized Mutation Rule for 2-Calabi–Yau Triangulated Categories. 2008. hal-00267367v1

HAL Id: hal-00267367 https://hal.science/hal-00267367v1

Preprint submitted on 27 Mar 2008 (v1), last revised 11 Apr 2008 (v3)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

GROTHENDIECK GROUP AND GENERALIZED MUTATION RULE FOR 2-CALABI-YAU TRIANGULATED CATEGORIES

YANN PALU

ABSTRACT. We compute the Grothendieck group of certain 2-Calabi–Yau triangulated categories appearing naturally in the study of the link between quiver representations and Fomin–Zelevinsky's cluster algebras. In this setup, we also prove a generalization of Fomin–Zelevinsky's mutation rule.

INTRODUCTION

In their study [5] of the connections between cluster algebras (see [21]) and quiver representations, P. Caldero and B. Keller conjectured that a certain antisymmetric bilinear form is well-defined on the Grothendieck group of a cluster-tilted algebra associated with a finite-dimensional hereditary algebra. The conjecture was proved in [18] in the more general context of Hom-finite 2-Calabi–Yau triangulated categories. It was used in order to study the existence of a cluster character on such a category C, by using a formula proposed by Caldero–Keller.

In the present paper, we restrict to the case where C is algebraic (i.e. is the stable category of a Frobenius category). We first use this bilinear form to prove a generalized mutation rule for quivers of cluster-tilting subcategories in C. When the cluster-tilting subcategories are related by a single mutation, this shows, via the method of [8], that their quivers are related by the Fomin-Zelevinsky mutation rule. This special case was already proved in [2], without assuming C to be algebraic.

We also compute the Grothendieck group of the triangulated category C. In particular, this allows us to improve on results by M. Barot, D. Kussin and H. Lenzing: We compare the Grothendieck group of a cluster category C_A with the group $\overline{K}_0(C_A)$. The latter group was defined in [1] by only considering the triangles in C_A which are induced by those of the derived category. More precisely, we prove that those two groups are isomorphic for any cluster category associated with a finite dimensional hereditary algebra, with its triangulated structure defined by B. Keller in [15].

This paper is organized as follows: The first section is dedicated to notation and necessary background from [7], [8], [16], [18]. In section 2, we compute the Grothendieck group of the triangulated category C. In section 3, we prove a generalized mutation rule for quivers of cluster-tilting subcategories in C. In particular, this yields a new proof of the Fomin–Zelevinsky mutation rule, under the restriction that C is algebraic. We finally show that $K_0(C_A) = \overline{K}_0(C_A)$ for any finite dimensional hereditary algebra A.

Acknowledgements

This article is part of my PhD thesis, under the supervision of Professor B. Keller. I would like to thank him deeply for introducing me to the subject and for his infinite patience.

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
Acknowledgements	1
1. Notations and background	2
1.1. Fomin–Zelevinsky mutation for matrices	2
1.2. Cluster-tilting subcategories	3
1.3. The antisymmetric bilinear form	3
2. Grothendieck groups of algebraic 2-CY categories with a cluster-tilting	
subcategory	4
2.1. A short exact sequence of triangulated categories	4
2.2. Invariance under mutation	5
2.3. Grothendieck groups	8
3. The generalized mutation rule	9
3.1. The rule	10
3.2. Examples	12
3.3. Back to the mutation rule	13
3.4. Cluster categories	14
References	14

1. NOTATIONS AND BACKGROUND

Let \mathcal{E} be a Frobenius category whose idempotents split and which is linear over a given algebraically closed field k. By a result of Happel [9], its stable category $\mathcal{C} = \underline{\mathcal{E}}$ is triangulated. We assume moreover, that \mathcal{C} is Hom-finite, 2-Calabi–Yau and has a cluster-tilting subcategory (see section 1.2), and we denote by Σ its suspension functor. Note that we do not assume that \mathcal{E} is Hom-finite.

We write $\mathcal{X}(,)$, or $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}}(,)$, for the morphisms in a category \mathcal{X} and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}}(,)$ for the morphisms in the category of \mathcal{X} -modules. We also denote by $X^{\widehat{}}$ the projective \mathcal{X} -module represented by $X: X^{\widehat{}} = \mathcal{X}(?, X)$.

1.1. Fomin–Zelevinsky mutation for matrices. Let $B = (b_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ be a finite or infinite matrix, and let k be in I. The Fomin and Zelevinsky mutation of B (see [7]) in direction k is the matrix

$$\mu_k(B) = (b'_{ij})$$

defined by

$$b'_{ij} = \begin{cases} -b_{ij} & \text{if } i = k \text{ or } j = k\\ b_{ij} + \frac{|b_{ik}|b_{kj} + b_{ik}|b_{kj}|}{2} & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Note that $\mu_k(\mu_k(B)) = B$ and that if B is skew-symmetric, then so is $\mu_k(B)$.

We recall some results from [8, section 7], stated for infinite matrices, which will be useful in section 3. Let $S = (s_{ij})$ be the matrix defined by

$$s_{ij} = \begin{cases} -\delta_{ij} + \frac{|b_{ij}| - b_{ij}}{2} & \text{if } i = k, \\ \delta_{ij} & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 7.1 [8, Geiss–Leclerc–Schröer]: Assume that B is skew-symmetric. Then, $S^2 = 1$ and the (i, j)-entry of the transpose of the matrix S is given by

$$s_{ij}^{t} = \begin{cases} -\delta_{ij} + \frac{|b_{ij}| + b_{ij}}{2} & \text{if } j = k, \\ \delta_{ij} & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

The matrix S yields a convienent way to describe the mutation of B in the direction k:

 $\mathbf{2}$

Lemma 7.2 [8, Geiss-Leclerc-Schröer] : Assume that B is skew-symmetric. Then we have:

$$\mu_k(B) = S^{\mathrm{t}}BS.$$

Note that the product is well-defined since the matrix S has a finite number of non vanishing entries in each column.

1.2. Cluster-tilting subcategories. A cluster-tilting subcategory (see [16]) of C is a full subcategory T such that

- a) \mathcal{T} is a linear subcategory;
- b) for any object X in C, the contravariant functor $\mathcal{C}(?, X)|_{\mathcal{T}}$ is finitely generated;
- c) for any object X in C, we have $C(X, \Sigma T) = 0$ for all T in T if and only if X belongs to T.

We now recall some results from [16], which we will use in the sequel. Let \mathcal{T} be a cluster-tilting subcategory of \mathcal{C} , and denote by \mathcal{M} its preimage in \mathcal{E} . In particular \mathcal{M} contains the full subcategory \mathcal{P} of \mathcal{E} formed by the projective-injective objects, and we have $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{T}$.

The following proposition will be used implicitly, extensively in this paper. **Proposition** [16, Keller–Reiten] :

- a) The category $\operatorname{mod} \underline{\mathcal{M}}$ of finitely presented $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ -modules is abelian.
- b) For each object $X \in C$, there is a triangle

$$\Sigma^{-1}X \longrightarrow T_1^X \longrightarrow T_0^X \longrightarrow X$$

of \mathcal{C} , with T_0^X and T_1^X in \mathcal{T} .

Recall that the perfect derived category per \mathcal{M} is the full triangulated subcategory of the derived category of $\mathcal{D} \operatorname{Mod} \mathcal{M}$ generated by the finitely generated projective \mathcal{M} -modules.

Proposition [16, Keller–Reiten] :

a) For each $X \in \mathcal{E}$, there are conflations

$$0 \longrightarrow M_1 \longrightarrow M_0 \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow 0 \quad and \quad 0 \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow M^0 \longrightarrow M^1 \longrightarrow 0$$

in \mathcal{E} , with M_0 , M_1 , M^0 and M^1 in \mathcal{M} .

b) Let Z be in mod $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$. Then Z considered as an \mathcal{M} -module lies in the perfect derived category per \mathcal{M} and we have canonical isomorphisms

$$D(\operatorname{per} \mathcal{M})(Z, ?) \simeq (\operatorname{per} \mathcal{M})(?, Z[3]).$$

1.3. The antisymmetric bilinear form. In section 3, we will use the existence of the antisymmetric bilinear form \langle , \rangle_a on $K_0 \pmod{\underline{\mathcal{M}}}$. We thus recall its definition from [5].

Let \langle , \rangle be a truncated Euler form on mod $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ defined by

$$\langle M, N \rangle = \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(M, N) - \dim \operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{\mathcal{M}}(M, N)$$

for any $M, N \in \text{mod } \underline{\mathcal{M}}$. Define $\langle \ , \ \rangle_a$ to be the antisymmetrization of this form:

$$\langle M, N \rangle_a = \langle M, N \rangle - \langle N, M \rangle.$$

This bilinear form descends to the Grothendieck group $K_0 \pmod{\underline{\mathcal{M}}}$: Lemma [18, section 3] : The antisymmetric bilinear form

$$\langle M, N \rangle_a : \mathrm{K}_0(\mathrm{mod}\,\underline{\mathcal{M}}) \times \mathrm{K}_0(\mathrm{mod}\,\underline{\mathcal{M}}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

is well-defined.

2. GROTHENDIECK GROUPS OF ALGEBRAIC 2-CY CATEGORIES WITH A CLUSTER-TILTING SUBCATEGORY

We fix a cluster-tilting subcategory \mathcal{T} of \mathcal{C} , and we denote by \mathcal{M} its preimage in \mathcal{E} . In particular \mathcal{M} contains the full subcategory \mathcal{P} of \mathcal{E} formed by the projective-injective objects, and we have $\underline{\mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{T}$.

We denote by $\mathcal{H}^{b}(\mathcal{E})$ and $\mathcal{D}^{b}(\mathcal{E})$ respectively the bounded homotopy category and the bounded derived category of \mathcal{E} . We also denote by $\mathcal{H}^{b}_{\mathcal{E}-ac}(\mathcal{E})$, $\mathcal{H}^{b}(\mathcal{P})$, $\mathcal{H}^{b}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\mathcal{H}^{b}_{\mathcal{E}-ac}(\mathcal{M})$ the full subcategories of $\mathcal{H}^{b}(\mathcal{E})$ whose objects are the \mathcal{E} acyclic complexes, the complexes of projective objects in \mathcal{E} , the complexes of objects of \mathcal{M} and the \mathcal{E} -acyclic complexes of objects of \mathcal{M} , respectively.

2.1. A short exact sequence of triangulated categories.

Lemma 1. Let \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 be thick, full triangulated subcategories of a triangulated category \mathcal{A} and let \mathcal{B} be $\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2$. Assume that for any object X in \mathcal{A} there is a triangle $X_1 \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow X_2 \longrightarrow \Sigma X_1$ in \mathcal{A} , with X_1 in \mathcal{A}_1 and X_2 in \mathcal{A}_2 . Then the induced functor $\mathcal{A}_1/\mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}_2$ is a triangle equivalence.

Proof. Under these assumptions, denote by F the induced triangle functor from $\mathcal{A}_1/\mathcal{B}$ to $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}_2$. We are going to show that the functor F is a full, conservative, dense functor. Since any full conservative triangle functor is fully faithful, F will then be an equivalence of categories.

We first show that F is full. Let X_1 and X'_1 be two objects in \mathcal{A}_1 . Let f be a morphism from X_1 to X'_1 in $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}_2$ and let



be a left fraction which represents f. The morphism w is in the multiplicative system associated with \mathcal{A}_2 and thus yields a triangle $\Sigma^{-1}A_2 \to Y \xrightarrow{w} X'_1 \to A_2$ where A_2 lies in the subcategory \mathcal{A}_2 . Moreover, by assumption, there exists a triangle $Y_1 \to Y \to Y_2 \to \Sigma Y_1$ with Y_i in \mathcal{A}_i . Applying the octahedral axiom to the composition $Y_1 \to Y \to X'_1$ yields a commutative diagram whose two middle rows and columns are triangles in \mathcal{A}



Since Y_2 and A_2 belong to \mathcal{A}_2 , so does Z. And since X'_1 and Y_1 belong to \mathcal{A}_1 , so does Z. This implies, that Z belongs to \mathcal{B} . The morphism $Y_1 \to X'_1$ is in the multiplicative system of \mathcal{A}_1 associated with \mathcal{B} and the diagram



is a left fraction which represents f. This implies that f is the image of a morphism in $\mathcal{A}_1/\mathcal{B}$. Therefore the functor F is full.

We now show that F is conservative. Let $X_1 \xrightarrow{f} Y_1 \to Z_1 \to \Sigma X_1$ be a triangle in \mathcal{A}_1 . Assume that Ff is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}_2$, which implies that Z_1 is an object of \mathcal{A}_2 . Therefore, Z_1 is an object of \mathcal{B} and f is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{A}_1/\mathcal{B}$.

We finally show that F is dense. Let X be an object of the category $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}_2$, and let $X_1 \to X \to X_2 \to \Sigma X_1$ be a triangle in \mathcal{A} with X_i in \mathcal{A}_i . Since X_2 belongs to \mathcal{A}_2 , the image of the morphism $X_1 \to X$ in $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}_2$ is an isomorphism. Thus X is isomorphic to the image by F of an object in $\mathcal{A}_1/\mathcal{B}$.

As a corollary, we have the following:

Lemma 2. The following sequence of triangulated categories is short exact:

 $0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{b}_{\mathcal{E}-ac}\left(\mathcal{M}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{b}\left(\mathcal{M}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}^{b}\left(\mathcal{E}\right) \longrightarrow 0.$

Remark: This lemma remains true if C is *d*-Calabi–Yau and $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ is (d-1)-cluster–tilting, using section 5.4 of [16].

Proof. For any object X in $\mathcal{H}^{b}(\mathcal{E})$, the existence of an object M in $\mathcal{H}^{b}(\mathcal{M})$ and of a quasi-isomorphism w from M to X is obtained using the approximation conflations of Keller–Reiten (see section 1.2). Since the cone of the morphism w belongs to $\mathcal{H}^{b}_{\mathcal{E}-ac}(\mathcal{E})$, lemma 1 applies to the subcategories $\mathcal{H}^{b}_{\mathcal{E}-ac}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{H}^{b}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\mathcal{H}^{b}_{\mathcal{E}-ac}(\mathcal{E})$ of $\mathcal{H}^{b}(\mathcal{E})$.

Proposition 3. The following diagram is commutative with exact rows and columns:

Proof. The column on the right side has been shown to be exact in [17] and [19]. The second row is exact by lemma 2. The subcategories $\mathcal{H}^{b}_{\mathcal{E}-ac}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\mathcal{H}^{b}(\mathcal{P})$ of $\mathcal{H}^{b}(\mathcal{M})$ are left and right orthogonal to each other. This implies that the induced functors $i_{\mathcal{M}}$ and $i_{\mathcal{P}}$ are fully faithful and that taking the quotient of $\mathcal{H}^{b}(\mathcal{M})$ by those two subcategories either in one order or in the other gives the same category. Therefore the first row is exact.

2.2. Invariance under mutation. A natural question is then to which extent the diagram (D) depends on the choice of a particular cluster-tilting subcategory. Let thus \mathcal{T}' be another cluster-tilting subcategory of \mathcal{C} , and let \mathcal{M}' be its preimage in \mathcal{E} . Let Mod \mathcal{M} (resp. Mod \mathcal{M}') be the category of \mathcal{M} -modules (resp. \mathcal{M}' -modules), i.e. of k-linear contravariant functors from \mathcal{M} (resp. \mathcal{M}') to the category of k-vector spaces.

Let X be the \mathcal{M} - \mathcal{M}' -bimodule which sends the pair of objects (M, M') to the k-vector space $\mathcal{E}(M, M')$. The bimodule X induces a functor $F = ? \otimes_{\mathcal{M}'} X :$ Mod $\mathcal{M}' \longrightarrow \text{Mod } \mathcal{M}$ denoted by T_X in [14, section 6.1].

Recall that the perfect derived category per \mathcal{M} is the full triangulated subcategory of the derived category $\mathcal{D} \operatorname{Mod} \mathcal{M}$ generated by the finitely generated projective \mathcal{M} -modules.

Proposition 4. The left derived functor

 $\mathbb{L}F: \mathcal{D} \operatorname{Mod} \mathcal{M}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{D} \operatorname{Mod} \mathcal{M}$

is an equivalence of categories.

Proof. Recall that if X is an object in a category \mathcal{X} , we denote by $X^{\hat{}}$ the functor $\mathcal{X}(?, X)$ represented by X. By [14, 6.1], it is enough to check the following three properties:

- 1. For all objects M', M'' of \mathcal{M} , the group $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}\operatorname{Mod}}\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{L}FM', \mathbb{L}FM''[n])$ vanishes for $n \neq 0$ and identifies with $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}'}(M', M'')$ for n = 0;
- 2. for any object M' of \mathcal{M}' , the complex $\mathbb{L}FM'$ belongs to per \mathcal{M} ;
- 3. the set { $\mathbb{L}FM'$, $M' \in \mathcal{M}'$ } generates $\mathcal{D} \operatorname{Mod} \mathcal{M}$ as a triangulated category with infinite sums.

Let M' be an object of \mathcal{M}' , and let $M_1 \rightarrow M_0 \rightarrow M'$ be a conflation in \mathcal{E} , with M_0 and M_1 in \mathcal{M} , and whose deflation is a right \mathcal{M} -approximation (c.f. section 4 of [16]). The surjectivity of the map $M_0^{\hat{}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(?, M')|_{\mathcal{M}}$ implies that the complex $P = (\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow M_1^{\hat{}} \rightarrow M_0^{\hat{}} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots)$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathbb{L}FM'^{\hat{}} = \mathcal{E}(?, M')|_{\mathcal{M}}$. Therefore $\mathbb{L}FM'$ belongs to the subcategory per \mathcal{M} of \mathcal{D} Mod \mathcal{M} . Moreover, we have, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and any $M'' \in \mathcal{M}'$, the equality

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}\operatorname{Mod}}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbb{L}FM'^{},\mathbb{L}FM''^{}[n]) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{b}}\operatorname{Mod}}_{\mathcal{M}}(P,\mathcal{E}(?,M'')|_{\mathcal{M}}[n])$

where the right-hand side vanishes for $n \neq 0, 1$. In case n = 1 it also vanishes, since $\text{Ext}^{1}_{\mathcal{E}}(M', M'')$ vanishes. Now,

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{b}} \operatorname{Mod} \mathcal{M}} (P, \mathcal{E}(?, M'')|_{\mathcal{M}}) \simeq \operatorname{Ker} (\mathcal{E}(M_0, M'') \to \mathcal{E}(M_1, M'')) \\ \simeq \mathcal{E}(M', M'').$$

It only remains to be shown that the set $R = \{\mathbb{L}FM', M' \in \mathcal{M}'\}$ generates $\mathcal{D} \operatorname{Mod} \mathcal{M}$. Denote by \mathcal{R} the full triangulated subcategory with infinite sums of $\mathcal{D} \operatorname{Mod} \mathcal{M}$ generated by the set R. The set $\{M, M \in \mathcal{M}\}$ generates $\mathcal{D} \operatorname{Mod} \mathcal{M}$ as a triangulated category with infinite sums. Thus it is enough to show that, for any object M of \mathcal{M} , the complex M concentrated in degree 0 belongs to the subcategory \mathcal{R} . Let M be an object of \mathcal{M} , and let $M > \stackrel{i}{\longrightarrow} M'_0 \stackrel{p}{\longrightarrow} M'_1$ be a conflation of \mathcal{E} with M'_0 and M'_1 in \mathcal{M}' . Since $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{E}}(?, M)|_{\mathcal{M}}$ vanishes, we have a short exact sequence of \mathcal{M} -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(?, M)|_{\mathcal{M}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(?, M'_0)|_{\mathcal{M}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(?, M'_1)|_{\mathcal{M}} \longrightarrow 0,$$

which yields the triangle

$$M^{\hat{}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}FM_0^{\hat{}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}FM_1^{\hat{}} \longrightarrow \Sigma M^{\hat{}}.$$

As a corollary of proposition 4, up to equivalence the diagram (D) does not depend on the choice of a cluster-tilting subcategory. To be more precise: Let Gbe the functor which sends an object X in the category $\mathcal{H}^b(\mathcal{M}')$ to a representative of $(\mathbb{L}F)X^{\hat{}}$ in $\mathcal{H}^b(\mathcal{M})$, and a morphism in $\mathcal{H}^b(\mathcal{M}')$ to the induced one in $\mathcal{H}^b(\mathcal{M})$.

6





and the functor G is an equivalence of categories.

We denote by $\operatorname{per}_{\underline{\mathcal{M}}} \mathcal{M}$ the full subcategory of $\operatorname{per} \mathcal{M}$ whose objects are the complexes with homologies in $\operatorname{mod} \underline{\mathcal{M}}$. The following lemma will allow us to compute the Grothendieck group of $\operatorname{per}_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{M}$ in section 2.3:

Lemma 6. The canonical t-structure on \mathcal{D} Mod \mathcal{M} restricts to a t-structure on $\operatorname{per}_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{M}$, whose heart is $\operatorname{mod} \mathcal{M}$.

Proof. By [12], it is enough to show that for any object M^{\bullet} of $\operatorname{per}_{\underline{M}} \mathcal{M}$, its truncation $\tau_{\leq 0}M^{\bullet}$ in \mathcal{D} Mod \mathcal{M} belongs to $\operatorname{per}_{\underline{M}} \mathcal{M}$. Since M^{\bullet} is in $\operatorname{per}_{\underline{M}} \mathcal{M}$, $\tau_{\leq 0}M^{\bullet}$ is bounded, and is thus formed from the complexes $\operatorname{H}^{i}(M^{\bullet})$ concentrated in one degree by taking iterated extensions. But, for any i, the \mathcal{M} -module $\operatorname{H}^{i}(M^{\bullet})$ actually is an $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ -module. Therefore, by [16] (see section 1.2), it is perfect as an \mathcal{M} -module and it lies in $\operatorname{per}_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{M}$.

The next lemma already appears in [20]. For the convenience of the reader, we include a proof.

Lemma 7. The Yoneda equivalence of triangulated categories $\mathcal{H}^{b}(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \text{per } \mathcal{M}$ induces a triangle equivalence $\mathcal{H}^{b}_{\mathcal{E}-ac}(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \text{per}_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{M}$.

Proof. We first show that the cohomology groups of an \mathcal{E} -acyclic bounded complex M vanish on \mathcal{P} . Let P be a projective object in \mathcal{E} and let E be a kernel in \mathcal{E} of the map $M^n \longrightarrow M^{n+1}$. Since M is \mathcal{E} -acyclic, such an object exists, and moreover, it is an image of the map $M^{n-1} \longrightarrow M^n$. Any map from P to M^n whose composition with $M^n \to M^{n+1}$ vanishes factors through the kernel $E \rightarrowtail M^n$. Since P is projective, this factorization factors through the deflation $M^{n-1} \longrightarrow E$.



Therefore, we have $\operatorname{H}^{n}(M)(P) = 0$ for all projective objects P, and $\operatorname{H}^{n}(M)$ belongs to $\operatorname{mod} \underline{\mathcal{M}}$. Thus the Yoneda functor induces a fully faithful functor from $\mathcal{H}^{b}_{\mathcal{E}-ac}(\mathcal{M})$ to $\operatorname{per}_{\underline{\mathcal{M}}}\mathcal{M}$. To prove that it is dense, it is enough to prove that any object of the heart $\operatorname{mod} \underline{\mathcal{M}}$ of the t-structure on $\operatorname{per}_{\underline{\mathcal{M}}}\mathcal{M}$ is in its essential image. But this was proved in [16, section 4] (see section 1.2). **Proposition 8.** There is a triangle equivalence of categories

$$\operatorname{per}_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \operatorname{per}_{\mathcal{M}'} \mathcal{M}'$$

Proof. Since the categories $\mathcal{H}^{b}(\mathcal{P})$ and $\mathcal{H}^{b}_{\mathcal{E}-ac}(\mathcal{M})$ are left-right orthogonal in $\mathcal{H}^{b}(\mathcal{M})$, this is immediate from corollary 5 and lemma 7.

2.3. Grothendieck groups. For a triangulated (resp. additive, resp. abelian) category \mathcal{A} , we denote by $\mathrm{K}_0^{\mathrm{tri}}(\mathcal{A})$ or simply $\mathrm{K}_0(\mathcal{A})$ (resp. $\mathrm{K}_0^{\mathrm{add}}(\mathcal{A})$, resp. $\mathrm{K}_0^{\mathrm{ab}}(\mathcal{A})$) its Grothendieck group (with respect to the mentioned structure of the category). For an object \mathcal{A} in \mathcal{A} , we also denote by $[\mathcal{A}]$ its class in the Grothendieck group of \mathcal{A} .

The short exact sequence of triangulated categories

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{b}_{\mathcal{E}-ac}\left(\mathcal{M}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{b}\left(\mathcal{M}\right) / \mathcal{H}^{b}\left(\mathcal{P}\right) \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{E}} \longrightarrow 0$$

given by proposition 3 induces an exact sequence in the Grothendieck groups

$$(*) \quad \mathrm{K}_{0}\left(\mathcal{H}^{b}_{\mathcal{E}-ac}\left(\mathcal{M}\right)\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{K}_{0}\left(\mathcal{H}^{b}\left(\mathcal{M}\right)/\mathcal{H}^{b}\left(\mathcal{P}\right)\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{K}_{0}\left(\underline{\mathcal{E}}\right) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Lemma 9. The exact sequence (*) is isomorphic to an exact sequence

 $(**) \qquad \mathrm{K}_{0}^{ab}\left(\operatorname{mod} \underline{\mathcal{M}} \right) \stackrel{\varphi}{\longrightarrow} \mathrm{K}_{0}^{add}\left(\underline{\mathcal{M}} \right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{K}_{0}^{tri}\left(\underline{\mathcal{E}} \right) \longrightarrow 0.$

Proof. First, note that, by [20], see also lemma 7, we have an isomorphism between the Grothendieck groups $K_0(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{E}-ac}^b(\mathcal{M}))$ and $K_0(\operatorname{per}_{\underline{\mathcal{M}}}\mathcal{M})$. The t-structure on $\operatorname{per}_{\underline{\mathcal{M}}}\mathcal{M}$ whose heart is $\operatorname{mod}_{\underline{\mathcal{M}}}$, see lemma 6, in turn yields an isomorphism between the Grothendieck groups $K_0^{\operatorname{tri}}(\operatorname{per}_{\underline{\mathcal{M}}}\mathcal{M})$ and $K_0^{\operatorname{ab}}(\operatorname{mod}_{\underline{\mathcal{M}}})$. Next, we show that the canonical additive functor $\underline{\mathcal{M}} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{H}^b(\mathcal{M})/\mathcal{H}^b(\mathcal{P})$ induces an isomorphism between the Grothendieck groups $K_0^{\operatorname{add}}(\underline{\mathcal{M}})$ and $K_0^{\operatorname{tri}}(\mathcal{H}^b(\mathcal{M})/\mathcal{H}^b(\mathcal{P}))$. For this, let us consider the canonical additive functor $\underline{\mathcal{M}} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{H}^b(\underline{\mathcal{M}})$ and the triangle functor $\mathcal{H}^b(\mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathcal{H}^b(\underline{\mathcal{M}})$. The following diagram describes the situation:

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{H}^{b}\left(\underline{\mathcal{M}}\right) \xleftarrow{\gamma} \mathcal{H}^{b}\left(\mathcal{M}\right) \\ \downarrow^{\beta} & \downarrow^{\gamma} & \downarrow^{\gamma} \\ \underline{\mathcal{M}} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{H}^{b}\left(\mathcal{M}\right) / \mathcal{H}^{b}\left(\mathcal{P}\right) \end{array}$$

The functor γ vanishes on the full subcategory $\mathcal{H}^{b}(\mathcal{P})$, thus inducing a triangle functor, still denoted by γ , from $\mathcal{H}^{b}(\mathcal{M})/\mathcal{H}^{b}(\mathcal{P})$ to $\mathcal{H}^{b}(\mathcal{M})$. Furthermore, the functor β induces an isomorphism at the level of Grothendieck groups, whose inverse $K_{0}(\beta)^{-1}$ is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{K}_{0}^{\mathrm{tri}}\left(\mathcal{H}^{b}\left(\underline{\mathcal{M}}\right)\right) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{K}_{0}^{\mathrm{add}}\left(\underline{\mathcal{M}}\right) \\ [M] & \longmapsto & \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^{i}[M^{i}]. \end{array}$$

As the group $K_0^{tri}(\mathcal{H}^b(\mathcal{M})/\mathcal{H}^b(\mathcal{P}))$ is generated by objects concentrated in degree 0, it is straightforward to check that the morphisms $K_0(\alpha)$ and $K_0(\beta)^{-1} K_0(\gamma)$ are inverse to each other.

As a consequence of the exact sequence (**), we have an isomorphism between $K_0^{\text{tri}}(\underline{\mathcal{E}})$ and $K_0^{\text{add}}(\underline{\mathcal{M}})/\operatorname{Im} \varphi$. In order to compute $K_0^{\text{tri}}(\underline{\mathcal{E}})$, the map φ has to be made explicit. We first recall some results from Iyama–Yoshino [11] which generalize results from [3]: For any indecomposable M of $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ not in \mathcal{P} , there exists M^* unique up to isomorphism such that (M, M^*) is an exchange pair. This means that M and M^* are not isomorphic and that the full additive subcategory of \mathcal{C} generated

by all the indecomposable objects of $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ but those isomorphic to M, and by the indecomposable objects isomorphic to M^* is again a cluster-tilting subcategory. Moreover, dim $\underline{\mathcal{E}}(M, \Sigma M^*) = 1$. We can thus fix two (non-split) exchange triangles

$$M^* \to B_M \to M \to \Sigma M^*$$
 and $M \to B_{M^*} \to M^* \to \Sigma M$.

We may now state the following:

Theorem 10. The Grothendieck group of the triangulated category $\underline{\mathcal{E}}$ is the quotient of that of the additive subcategory $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ by all relations $[B_{M^*}] - [B_M]$:

$$\mathrm{K}_{0}^{tri}\left(\underline{\mathcal{E}}\right)\simeq\mathrm{K}_{0}^{add}\left(\underline{\mathcal{M}}\right)/([B_{M^{*}}]-[B_{M}])_{M}.$$

Proof. We denote by S_M the simple $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ -module associated to the indecomposable object M. This means that $S_M(M')$ vanishes for all indecomposable objects M' in $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ not isomorphic to M and that $S_M(M)$ is isomorphic to k. The abelian group $\mathrm{K}_0^{\mathrm{ab}} \pmod{\underline{\mathcal{M}}}$ is generated by all classes $[S_M]$. In view of lemma 9, it is sufficient to prove that the image of the class $[S_M]$ under φ is $[B_{M^*}] - [B_M]$. First note that the \mathcal{M} -module $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{E}}^1(?, M^*)|_{\mathcal{M}}$ vanishes on the projectives ; it can thus be viewed as an $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ -module, and as such, is isomorphic to S_M . After replacing B_M and $B_{M'}$ by isomorphic objects of $\underline{\mathcal{E}}$, we can assume that the exchange triangles $M^* \to B_M \to M \to \Sigma M^*$ and $M \to B_{M^*} \to M^* \to \Sigma M$ come from conflations $M^* \longrightarrow B_M \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} M$ and $M \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} B_{M^*} \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} M^*$. The spliced complex

$$(\dots \to 0 \to M \to B_{M^*} \to B_M \to M \to 0 \to \dots)$$

denoted by C^{\bullet} , is then an \mathcal{E} -acyclic complex, and it is the image of S_M under the functor $\operatorname{mod} \underline{\mathcal{M}} \subset \operatorname{per}_{\underline{\mathcal{M}}} \mathcal{\mathcal{M}} \simeq \mathcal{H}^b_{\mathcal{E}-ac}(\mathcal{M})$. Indeed, we have two long exact sequences induced by the conflations above:

$$0 \to \mathcal{M}(?, M) \to \mathcal{M}(?, B_{M^*}) \to \mathcal{E}(?, M^*)|_{\mathcal{M}} \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{E}}(?, M)|_{\mathcal{M}} = 0$$
 and

 $0 \to \mathcal{E}(?, M^*)|_{\mathcal{M}} \to \mathcal{M}(?, B_M) \to \mathcal{M}(?, M) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{E}}(?, M^*)|_{\mathcal{M}} \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{E}}(?, B_M)|_{\mathcal{M}}.$ Since B_M belongs to \mathcal{M} , the functor $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{E}}(?, B_M)$ vanishes on \mathcal{M} , and the complex:

$$(C^{\hat{}}): \qquad (\dots \to 0 \to M^{\hat{}} \to (B_{M^*})^{\hat{}} \to (B_M)^{\hat{}} \to M^{\hat{}} \to 0 \to \dots)$$

is quasi-isomorphic to S_M .

Now, in the notations of the proof of lemma 9, $\varphi[S_M]$ is the image of the class of the \mathcal{E} -acyclic complex complex C^{\bullet} under the morphism $\mathrm{K}_0(\beta)^{-1} \mathrm{K}_0(\gamma)$. This is $[M] - [B_M] + [B_{M^*}] - [M]$ which equals $[B_{M^*}] - [B_M]$ as claimed. \Box

3. The generalized mutation rule

Let \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{T}' be two cluster-tilting subcategories of \mathcal{C} . Let Q and Q' be the quivers obtained from their Auslander-Reiten quivers by removing all loops and oriented 2-cycles.

Our aim, in this section, is to give a rule relating Q' to Q, and to prove that it generalizes the Fomin–Zelevinsky mutation rule. *Remark:*

- . Assume that C has cluster-tilting objects. Then it is proved in [2, Theorem I.1.6], without assuming that C is algebraic, that the Auslander-Reiten quivers of two cluster-tilting objects having all but one indecomposable direct summands in common (up to isomorphism) are related by the Fomin-Zelevinsky mutation rule.
- . To prove that the generalized mutation rule actually generalizes the Fomin–Zelevinsky mutation rule, we use the ideas of section 7 of [8].

3.1. The rule. As in section 2, we fix a cluster-tilting subcategory \mathcal{T} of \mathcal{C} , and write \mathcal{M} for its preimage in \mathcal{E} , so that $\mathcal{T} = \underline{\mathcal{M}}$. Define Q to be the quiver obtained from the Auslander-Reiten quiver of $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ by deleting its loops and its oriented 2-cycles. Its vertex corresponding to an indecomposable object L will also be labeled by L. We denote by a_{LN} the number of arrows from vertex L to vertex N in the quiver Q. Let $B_{\mathcal{M}}$ be the matrix whose entries are given by $b_{LN} = a_{LN} - a_{NL}$.

Let $R_{\mathcal{M}}$ be the matrix of $\langle , \rangle_a : \mathrm{K}_0(\mathrm{mod}\,\underline{\mathcal{M}}) \times \mathrm{K}_0(\mathrm{mod}\,\underline{\mathcal{M}}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ in the basis given by the classes of the simple modules.

Lemma 11. The matrices $R_{\mathcal{M}}$ and $B_{\mathcal{M}}$ are equal: $R_{\mathcal{M}} = B_{\mathcal{M}}$.

Proof. Let L and N be two non-projective indecomposable objects in \mathcal{M} . Then dim Hom (S_L, S_N) – dim Hom $(S_N, S_L) = 0$ and we have:

$$\langle [S_L], [S_N] \rangle_a = \dim \operatorname{Ext}^1(S_N, S_L) - \dim \operatorname{Ext}^1(S_L, S_N) = b_{L,N}.$$

Let \mathcal{T}' be another cluster-tilting subcategory of \mathcal{C} , and let \mathcal{M}' be its preimage in the Frobenius category \mathcal{E} . Let $(M'_i)_{i \in I}$ (resp. $(M_j)_{j \in J}$) be representatives for the isoclasses of non-projective indecomposable objects in \mathcal{M}' (resp. \mathcal{M}). The equivalence of categories $\operatorname{per}_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{per}_{\mathcal{M}'} \mathcal{M}'$ of proposition 8 induces an isomorphism between the Grothendieck groups $K_0 \pmod{\mathcal{M}}$ and $K_0 \pmod{\mathcal{M}'}$ whose matrix, in the bases given by the classes of the simple modules, is denoted by S. The equivalence of categories $\mathcal{D} \operatorname{Mod} \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D} \operatorname{Mod} \mathcal{M}'$ restricts to the identity on $\mathcal{H}^b(\mathcal{P})$, so that it induces an equivalence per $\mathcal{M}/\operatorname{per} \mathcal{P} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{per} \mathcal{M}'/\operatorname{per} \mathcal{P}$. Let T be the matrix of the induced isomorphism from $K_0(\operatorname{proj} \mathcal{M})/K_0(\operatorname{proj} \mathcal{P})$ to $K_0(\operatorname{proj} \mathcal{M}')/K_0(\operatorname{proj} \mathcal{P})$, in the bases given by the classes $[\mathcal{M}(?, M_j)], j \in J$, and $[\mathcal{M}'(?, M'_i)], i \in I$. The matrix T is much easier to compute than the matrix S. Its entries t_{ij} are given by the approximation triangles of Keller and Reiten in the following way: For all j, there exists a triangle of the form

$$\Sigma^{-1}M_j \longrightarrow \bigoplus_i \beta_{ij}M'_i \longrightarrow \bigoplus_i \alpha_{ij}M'_i \longrightarrow M_j.$$

Then, we have:

Theorem 12. a) (Generalized mutation rule) *The following equalities hold:*

$$t_{ij} = \alpha_{ij} - \beta_{ij}$$

and

$$B_{\mathcal{M}'} = T B_{\mathcal{M}} T^{\mathrm{t}}.$$

- b) The category C has a cluster-tilting object if and only if all its cluster-tilting subcategories have a finite number of pairwise non-isomorphic indecomposable objects.
- c) All cluster-tilting objects of C have the same number of indecomposable direct summands (up to isomorphism).

Note that point c) was shown in [10, 5.3.3(1)] (see also [2, I.1.8]) and, in a more general context, in [6]. Note also that, for the generalized mutation rule to hold, the cluster-tilting subcategories do not need to be related by a sequence of mutation.

Proof. Assertions b) and c) are consequences of the existence of an isomorphism between the Grothendieck groups $K_0 \pmod{\underline{\mathcal{M}}}$ and $K_0 \pmod{\underline{\mathcal{M}}'}$. Let us prove the equalities a). Recall from [18, section 3.3], that the antisymmetric bilinear form

 $\langle \ , \ \rangle_a$ on mod $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ is induced by the usual Euler form $\langle \ , \ \rangle_E$ on per $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$. The following commutative diagram



thus induces a commutative diagram

$$\operatorname{K}_{0}(\operatorname{mod} \underline{\mathcal{M}}) \times \operatorname{K}_{0}(\operatorname{mod} \underline{\mathcal{M}}) \xrightarrow{S \times S} \operatorname{K}_{0}(\operatorname{mod} \underline{\mathcal{M}}') \times \operatorname{K}_{0}(\operatorname{mod} \underline{\mathcal{M}}')$$

This proves the equality $R_{\mathcal{M}} = S^{\mathrm{t}} R_{\mathcal{M}'} S$, or, by lemma 11,

1)
$$B_{\mathcal{M}} = S^{\mathrm{t}} B_{\mathcal{M}'} S.$$

Any object of $\operatorname{per}_{\underline{\mathcal{M}}} \mathcal{M}$ becomes an object of $\operatorname{per} \mathcal{M}/\operatorname{per} \mathcal{P}$ through the composition $\operatorname{per}_{\underline{\mathcal{M}}} \mathcal{M} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{per} \mathcal{M} \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{per} \mathcal{M}/\operatorname{per} \mathcal{P}$. Let M and N be two non-projective indecomposable objects in \mathcal{M} . Since S_N vanishes on \mathcal{P} , we have

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{per} \mathcal{M}/\operatorname{per} \mathcal{P}} \left(\mathcal{M}(?, M), S_N \right) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{per} \mathcal{M}} \left(\mathcal{M}(?, M), S_N \right) \\ = \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Mod} \mathcal{M}} \left(\mathcal{M}(?, M), S_N \right) \\ = S_N(M).$$

Thus dim Hom_{per $\mathcal{M}/\text{per }\mathcal{P}\left(\mathcal{M}(?,M),S_N\right) = \delta_{MN}$, and the commutative diagram}

induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{K}_{0}(\operatorname{proj} \mathcal{M})/\operatorname{K}_{0}(\operatorname{proj} \mathcal{P})\times\operatorname{K}_{0}(\operatorname{mod} \underline{\mathcal{M}}) \xrightarrow{T \times S} \operatorname{K}_{0}(\operatorname{proj} \mathcal{M}')/\operatorname{K}_{0}(\operatorname{proj} \mathcal{P})\times\operatorname{K}_{0}(\operatorname{mod} \underline{\mathcal{M}}') \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & &$$

In other words, the matrix S is the inverse of the transpose of T:

(2)
$$S = T^{-t}$$

Equalities (1) and (2) imply what was claimed, that is

$$B_{\mathcal{M}'} = TB_{\mathcal{M}}T^t.$$

Let us compute the matrix T: Let M be indecomposable non-projective in \mathcal{M} , and let

$$\Sigma^{-1}M \longrightarrow M'_1 \longrightarrow M'_0 \longrightarrow M$$

be a Keller–Reiten approximation triangle of M with respect to \mathcal{M}' , which we may assume to come from a conflation in \mathcal{E} . This conflation yields a projective resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow (M'_1) \widehat{} \longrightarrow (M'_0) \widehat{} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(?, M)|_{\mathcal{M}'} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{E}}(?, M'_1)|_{\mathcal{M}'} = 0.$$

so that T sends the class of M to $[(M'_0)] - [(M'_1)]$. Therefore, t_{ij} equals $\alpha_{ij} - \beta_{ij}$. \Box

3.2. Examples.

3.2.1. As a first example, let C be the cluster category associated with the quiver of type A_4 : $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4$. Its Auslander–Reiten quiver is the Moebius strip:



Let $M = M_1 \oplus M_2 \oplus M_3 \oplus M_4$, where the indecomposable M_i corresponds to the vertex labelled by i in the picture. Let also $M' = M'_1 \oplus M'_2 \oplus M'_3 \oplus M'_4$, where $M'_1 = M_1$, and where the indecomposable M'_i corresponds to the vertex labelled by i' if $i \neq 1$. One easily computes the following Keller–Reiten approximation triangles:

$$\begin{split} \Sigma^{-1}M_1 &\longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow M'_1 \longrightarrow M_1, \\ \Sigma^{-1}M_2 &\longrightarrow M'_2 \longrightarrow M'_1 \longrightarrow M_2, \\ \Sigma^{-1}M_3 &\longrightarrow M'_4 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow M_4 \text{ and} \\ \Sigma^{-1}M_4 \longrightarrow M'_4 \longrightarrow M'_3 \longrightarrow M_4; \\ \text{so that the matrix } T \text{ is given by:} \end{split}$$

We also have

$$B_{M'} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let maple compute

$$T^{-1}B_{M'}T^{-t} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which is B_M .

3.2.2. Let us look at a more interesting example, where one cannot easily read the quiver of M' from the Auslander–Reiten quiver of C. Let C be the cluster category associated with the quiver Q:



For i = 0, 1, 2, let M_i be (the image in \mathcal{C} of) the projective indecomposable (right) kQ-module associated with vertex i. Their dimension vectors are respectively [1,0,0], [2,1,0] and [2,0,1]. Let M be the direct sum $M_0 \oplus M_1 \oplus M_2$. Let M' be the direct sum $M'_0 \oplus M'_1 \oplus M'_2$, where M'_0, M'_1 and M'_2 are (the images in \mathcal{C} of) the indecomposable regular kQ-modules with dimension vectors [1,2,0], [0,1,0]

12

and [2, 4, 1] respectively. As one can check, using [13], M and M' are two clustertilting objects of C. To compute Keller–Reiten's approximation triangles, amounts to computing projective resolutions in mod kQ, viewed as mod $\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(M)$. One easily computes these projective resolutions, by considering dimension vectors:

 $\begin{array}{cccc} 0 \longrightarrow 8M_0 \longrightarrow M_2 \oplus 4M_1 \longrightarrow M'_2 \longrightarrow 0, \\ 0 \longrightarrow 2M_0 \longrightarrow M_1 \longrightarrow M'_1 \longrightarrow 0 \text{ and} \\ 0 \longrightarrow 2M_0 \longrightarrow M_1 \longrightarrow M'_1 \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$

$$0 \longrightarrow 3M_0 \longrightarrow 2M_1 \longrightarrow M'_0 \longrightarrow 0.$$

By applying the generalized mutation rule, one gets the following quiver



which is therefore the quiver of $\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(M')$ since by [4], there are no loops or 2-cycles in the quiver of the endomorphism algebra of a cluster-tilting object in a cluster category.

3.3. Back to the mutation rule. We assume in this section that the Auslander– Reiten quiver of \mathcal{T} has no loops nor 2-cycles. Under the notations of section 3.1, let k be in I and let (M_k, M'_k) be an exchange pair (see section 2.3). We choose $\underline{\mathcal{M}}'$ to be the cluster-tilting subcategory of \mathcal{C} obtained from $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ by replacing M_k by M'_k , so that $M'_i = M_i$ for all $i \neq k$. Recall that T is the matrix of the isomorphism $K_0(\text{proj }\mathcal{M})/K_0(\text{proj }\mathcal{P}) \longrightarrow K_0(\text{proj }\mathcal{M}')/K_0(\text{proj }\mathcal{P}).$

Lemma 13. Then, the (i, j)-entry of the matrix T is given by

$$t_{ij} = \begin{cases} -\delta_{ij} + \frac{|b_{ij}| + b_{ij}}{2} & \text{if } j = k\\ \delta_{ij} & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let us apply theorem 12 to compute the matrix T. For all $j \neq k$, the triangle $\Sigma^{-1}M_j \to 0 \to M'_j = M_j$ is a Keller–Reiten approximation triangle of M_j with respect to \mathcal{M}' . We thus have $t_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ for all $j \neq k$. There is a triangle unique up to isomorphism

$$M'_k \longrightarrow B_{M_k} \longrightarrow M_k \longrightarrow \Sigma M'_k$$

where $B_{M_k} \longrightarrow M_k$ is a right $\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{T}'$ -approximation. Since the Auslander–Reiten quiver of \mathcal{T} has no loops and no 2-cycles, B_{M_k} is isomorphic to the direct sum: $\bigoplus_{i \in I} (M'_j)^{a_{ik}}$. We thus have $t_{ik} = -\delta_{ik} + a_{ik}$, which equals $\frac{|b_{ik}| + b_{ik}}{2}$. Remark that, by lemma 7.1 of [8], as stated in section 1.1, we have $T^2 = Id$, so that $S = T^t$ and

$$s_{ij} = \begin{cases} -\delta_{ij} + \frac{|b_{ij}| - b_{ij}}{2} & \text{if } i = k \\ \delta_{ij} & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 14. The matrix $B_{\mathcal{M}'}$ is obtained from the matrix $B_{\mathcal{M}}$ by the Fomin–Zelevinski mutation rule in the direction M.

Proof. By lemma 7.2 of [8], as it is stated in section 1.1, and by lemma 13, we know that the mutation of the matrix $B_{\mathcal{M}}$ in direction M is given by $TB_{\mathcal{M}'}T^{\mathrm{t}}$, which is $B_{\mathcal{M}}$, by the generalized mutation rule (theorem 12).

3.4. Cluster categories. In [1], the authors study the Grothendieck group of the cluster category C_A associated to an algebra A which is either hereditary or canonical, endowed with any admissible triangulated structure. A triangulated structure on the category C_A is called admissible in [1] if the projection functor from the bounded derived category $\mathcal{D}^{\rm b}(\operatorname{mod} A)$ to C_A is exact (triangulated). They define a Grothendieck group $\overline{\mathrm{K}}_0(\mathcal{C}_A)$ with respect to the triangles induced by those of $\mathcal{D}^{\rm b}(\operatorname{mod} A)$, and show that it coincides with the usual Grothendieck group of the cluster category in many cases:

Theorem 15. [Barot–Kussin–Lenzing] We have $K_0(\mathcal{C}_A) = \overline{K}_0(\mathcal{C}_A)$ in each of the following three cases:

- (i) A is canonical with weight sequence (p_1, \ldots, p_t) having at least one even weight.
- (ii) A is tubular,
- (iii) A is hereditary of finite representation type.

Under some restriction on the triangulated structure of C_A , we have the following generalization of case (iii) of theorem 15:

Theorem 16. Let A be a finite-dimensional hereditary algebra, and let C_A be the associated cluster category with its triangulated structure defined in [15]. Then we have $K_0(C_A) = \overline{K}_0(C_A)$.

Proof. By lemma 3.2 in [1], this theorem is a corollary of the following lemma. \Box

Lemma 17. Under the assumptions of section 3.1, and if moreover $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ has a finite number n of non-isomorphic indecomposable objects, then we have an isomorphism $K_0(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n / \operatorname{Im} B_{\mathcal{M}}.$

Proof. This is a restatement of theorem 10.

References

- M. Barot, D. Kussin, and H. Lenzing. The Grothendieck group of a cluster category. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 212(1):33–46, 2008.
- [2] Aslak Bakke Buan, Osamu Iyama, Idun Reiten, and Jeanne Scott. Cluster structures for 2-Calabi–Yau categories and unipotent groups. preprint arXiv: math/0701557[math.RT].
- [3] Aslak Bakke Buan, Robert Marsh, Markus Reineke, Idun Reiten, and Gordana Todorov. Tilting theory and cluster combinatorics. Adv. Math., 204(2):572–618, 2006.
- [4] Aslak Bakke Buan, Robert J. Marsh, and Idun Reiten. Cluster mutation via quiver representations. Comment. Math. Helv., 83(1):143–177, 2008.
- [5] Philippe Caldero and Bernhard Keller. From triangulated categories to cluster algebras. to appear in Invent.Math. arXiv: math/0506018[math.RT].
- [6] Raika Dehy and Bernhard Keller. On the combinatorics of rigid objects in 2-Calabi-Yau categories. to appear in Int. Math. Res. Not. preprint arXiv: math/0709.0882[math.RT].
- [7] Sergey Fomin and Andrei Zelevinsky. Cluster algebras. I. Foundations. J. Amer. Math. Soc., 15(2):497–529 (electronic), 2002.
- [8] Christof Gei
 ß, Bernard Leclerc, and Jan Schröer. Rigid modules over preprojective algebras. Invent. Math., 165(3):589–632, 2006.
- [9] Dieter Happel. Triangulated categories in the representation theory of finite-dimensional algebras, volume 119 of London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988.
- [10] Osamu Iyama. Auslander correspondence. Adv. Math., 210(1):51–82, 2007.
- [11] Osamu Iyama and Yuji Yoshino. Mutation in triangulated categories and rigid Cohen-Macaulay modules. to appear in Invent. Math. preprint arXiv: math/0607736[math.RT].
- [12] B. Keller and D. Vossieck. Aisles in derived categories. Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. Sér. A, 40(2):239–253, 1988. Deuxième Contact Franco-Belge en Algèbre (Faulx-les-Tombes, 1987).
- [13] Bernhard Keller. Quiver mutation in Java. Java applet available at B. Keller's home page.
 [14] Bernhard Keller. Deriving DG categories. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4), 27(1):63–102, 1994.
- [15] Bernhard Keller. On triangulated orbit categories. Doc. Math., 10:551-581 (electronic), 2005.

14

- [16] Bernhard Keller and Idun Reiten. Cluster-tilted algebras are Gorenstein and stably Calabi-Yau. Adv. Math., 211(1):123–151, 2007.
- [17] Bernhard Keller and Dieter Vossieck. Sous les catégories dérivées. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math., 305(6):225–228, 1987.
- [18] Yann Palu. Cluster characters for triangulated 2-Calabi-Yau categories. To appear in Ann. Inst. Fourier, preprint arXiv: math/0703540v2 [math.RT].
- [19] Jeremy Rickard. Derived equivalences as derived functors. J. London Math. Soc. (2), 43(1):37–48, 1991.
- [20] Gonçalo Tabuada. On the structure of Calabi-Yau categories with a cluster tilting subcategory. Doc. Math., 12:193–213 (electronic), 2007.
- [21] Andrei Zelevinsky. What is ... a cluster algebra? Notices Amer. Math. Soc., 54(11):1494– 1495, 2007.

UNIVERSITÉ PARIS 7 - DENIS DIDEROT, UMR 7586 DU CNRS, CASE 7012, 2 PLACE JUSSIEU, 75251 PARIS CEDEX 05, FRANCE.

E-mail address: palu@math.jussieu.fr