

Edge connectivity in graphs: an expansion theorem José Ignacio Alvarez-Hamelin, Jorge Rodolfo Busch

▶ To cite this version:

José Ignacio Alvarez-Hamelin, Jorge Rodolfo Busch. Edge connectivity in graphs: an expansion theorem. 2008. hal-00266074v2

HAL Id: hal-00266074 https://hal.science/hal-00266074v2

Preprint submitted on 21 May 2008

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

EDGE CONNECTIVITY IN GRAPHS: AN EXPANSION THEOREM

ALVAREZ-HAMELIN, JOSÉ IGNACIO AND BUSCH, JORGE RODOLFO

ABSTRACT. We show that if a graph is k-edge-connected, and we adjoin to it another graph satisfying a "contracted diameter ≤ 2 " condition, with minimal degree $\geq k$, and some natural hypothesis on the edges connecting one graph to the other, the resulting graph is also k-edge-connected.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a simple graph (*i.e.* a graph with no loops, no multiple edges) with vertex set V(G) and edge set E(G) (we follow in notation the book [Wes01]). Given $A, B \subset V(G)$, [A, B] is the set of edges of the form ab, joining a vertex $a \in A$ to a vertex $b \in B$. As we consider edges without orientation, [A, B] = [B, A]. Abusing of notation, for $v \in V(G)$, $A \subset V(G)$, we write [v, A] instead of $[\{v\}, A]$. The *degree* of a vertex $v \in V(G)$ is $\deg_G(v) \doteq |[v, V(G)]|$. The *neighbourhood* of a vertex v, N(v), is the set of vertexes w such that $vw \in E(G)$. Given $A \subset V(G)$, G(A) is the graph G' such that V(G') = A and E(G') is the set of edges in E(G) having both endpoints in A. Given $v, w \in V(G)$, $d_G(v, w)$ is the distance in G from v to w, that is the minimum length of a path from v to w. If $v \in V(G), A \subset V(G)$ we set $d_G(v, A) \doteq \min_{w \in A} d_G(v, w)$.

An edge cut in G is a set of edges $[S, \overline{S}]$, where $S \subset V(G)$ is non void, and $\overline{S} = V(G) \setminus S$ is also assumed to be non void.

The edge-connectivity of G, k'(G), is the minimum cardinal of the cuts in G. We say that G is k-edge-connected if $k'(G) \ge k$. Menger's theorem has as a consequence that given two vertices v, w in V(G), if G is k-edge-connected there are at least k-edge-disjoint paths joining v to w (see [Wes01], pp.153-169).

In this paper we address the following expansion problem: given a k-edgeconnected graph G_2 , give conditions under which the result of adjoining to G_2 a graph G_1 will be also k edge-connected (see Corollary 1 below).

2. An expansion theorem

Let G be a simple graph. Let $V_1 \subset V(G), V_2 \doteq V(G) \setminus V_1$, and set $G_1 = G(V_1), G_2 = G(V_2)$. We assume in the sequel that V_1 and V_2 are non void. We define, for $x, y \in V_1$, the *contracted distance*

$$\delta(x, y) \doteq \min\{d_{G_1}(x, y), d_G(x, V_2) + d_G(y, V_2)\}$$

and for $x \in V, y \in V_2$

$$\delta(x,y) = \delta(y,x) \doteq d_G(x,V_2)$$

If $x \in V$ and $A \subset V$, we set $\delta(x, A) \doteq \min_{a \in A} \delta(x, a)$.

Notice that with these definitions, if $\delta(x, y) = 2$ for some $x, y \in V$, then there exists $z \in V$ such that $\delta(x, z) = \delta(z, y) = 1$.

We shall also use the notations

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \partial^{j}V_{1} &\doteq& \{x \in V_{1}: |[x,V_{2}]| \geq j\}\\ i^{j}V_{1} &\doteq& \{x \in V_{1}: |[x,V_{2}]| < j\} \end{array}$$

Under these settings, we consider also

$$\Phi \doteq \sum_{x \in V_1} \min\{\max\{1, |[x, i^2V_1]|\}, |[x, V_2]|\}$$

In this general framework, we have

Theorem 1. If $\max_{x,y\in V} \delta(x,y) \leq 2$ (i.e. the contracted diameter of V is ≤ 2), $[S, \overline{S}]$ is an edge cut in G such that $V_2 \subset S$, and $k \doteq \min_{x \in V_1} \deg_G(v) > |[S, \overline{S}]|$, then

(1)
$$\exists \bar{s} \in S : \delta(\bar{s}, S) = 2.$$

- (2) $\forall s \in S : \delta(s, \bar{S}) = 1.$
- $\begin{array}{l} (3) & |S \cap V_1| < |[S,\bar{S}]| < k < |\bar{S}|. \\ (4) & S \cap V_1 \subset \underline{\partial}^2 V_1, \bar{S} \supset i^2 V_1. \end{array}$
- (5) $\Phi \leq |[S, \overline{S}]|$.

(See the examples in Figure 1.) Proof.

(1) Arguing by contradiction, suppose that for any $\bar{s} \in \bar{S}$: $\delta(\bar{s}, S) = 1$. Let $\bar{s} \in \bar{S}$. Then we have k_1 edges $\bar{s}s_i, 1 \leq i \leq k_1$ with $s_i \in S$ and (eventually) k_2 edges $\bar{s}\bar{s}_j, \bar{s}_j \in \bar{S}$. But each \bar{s}_j satisfies $\delta(\bar{s}_j, S) = 1$, thus we have k_2 new edges (here we used that G is simple, because we assumed that the vertices \bar{s}_j are different) $\bar{s}_j s'_j$, with $s'_j \in S$, whence

$$|[S,S]| \ge k_1 + k_2 = \deg_G(\bar{s}) \ge k$$

which contradicts our hypothesis.

- (2) Let $\bar{s}_0 \in \bar{S}$ be such that $\delta(\bar{s}_0, S) = 2$. Then for each $s \in S$, as $\delta(\bar{s}_0, s) = 2$, there exists \bar{s}' such that $\delta(\bar{s}_0, \bar{s}') = \delta(\bar{s}', s) = 1$. But, again, as $\delta(\bar{s}_0, \bar{S}) = 2$, it follows that $\bar{s}' \in \bar{S}$, hence $\delta(s, \bar{S}) = 1$.
- (3) By the previous point, we have for each $s \in S \cap V_1$ some edge in $[S, \overline{S}]$ incident in s, and for some $v \in V_2$ we have also some edge in $[S, \overline{S}]$ incident in v, thus

$$|S \cap V_1| + 1 \le |[S, \bar{S}]|$$

On the other hand, if $\bar{s} \in \bar{S}$ satisfies $\delta(\bar{s}, S) = 2$ (such \bar{s} exists by our first point), then $N(\bar{s}) \subset \bar{S}$ (recall that $N(\bar{s})$ is the neighbourhood of \bar{s}), whence

$$|\bar{S}| \ge 1 + |N(\bar{s})| \ge 1 + k$$

and our statement follows.

(4) Let $s \in S \cap V_1$. By our second point, and using again that there is at least one edge in $[\bar{S}, V_2]$, we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} N(s) \cap V_1 | + |[s,\bar{S}]| & \leq & |[S,\bar{S}]| - 1 \\ & < & \deg_G(s) - 1 \end{array}$$

and the first of our statements follows if we notice that

$$\deg_G(s) = |N(s) \cap V_1| + |[s, \bar{S}]| + |[s, V_2]|$$

Now, $\bar{S} = V_1 \setminus S \cap V_1$, and our second statement follows immediately.

2

(5) By our previous points, if $s \in S \cap V_1$ then

$$|[s,\bar{S}]| \ge \max\{1, |[s,i^2V_1]|\}$$

and of course for $\bar{s} \in \bar{S}$, $|[\bar{s}, S]| \ge |[\bar{s}, V_2]|$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} |[S,\bar{S}]| &= |[S \cap V_1,\bar{S}]| + |[\bar{S},V_2]| \\ &\geq \sum_{s \in S \cap V_1} \max\{1, |[s,i^2V_1]|\} + \sum_{\bar{s} \in \bar{S}} |[\bar{s},V_2]| \\ &\geq \Phi \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 1. Assume that

- (1) $\deg_G(x) \ge k, x \in V(G)$
- (2) G_2 is k-edge connected
- (3) $\max_{x,y\in V} \delta(x,y) \le 2$

Then any of the following

- (1) $\Phi > k$
- (2) $|\partial^1 V_1| \ge k$
- (3) $V_1 = \partial^1 V_1$

implies that G is k-edge-connected.

(See the examples in Figure 2.)

Proof. Let $[S, \overline{S}]$ be any cut in G. We shall show that, under the listed hypotheses and any of the alternatives, $|[S, \overline{S}]| \ge k$.

If $S \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$ and $\overline{S} \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$, then, as

$$[S \cap V_2, \bar{S} \cap V_2] \subset [S, \bar{S}]$$

is a cut in G_2 , which we assumed to be k-edge connected, we obtain $|[S, \overline{S}]| \ge k$.

Without loss of generality, we assume in the sequel that $V_2 \subset S$. We argue by contradiction assuming that there exists some S such that $|[S, \overline{S}]| < k$, so that we are under the hypothesis of Theorem 1.

The first of our alternative hypothesis contradicts the last of the conclusions of Theorem 1.

When $x \in \partial^1 V_1$,

$$\min\{\max\{1, |[x, i^2V_1]|\}, |[x, V_2]|\} \ge 1$$

so that we have $|\partial^1 V_1| \leq \Phi$ *i.e.* the second of our alternative hypothesis implies the first one.

To finish our proof, notice that if $V_1 = \partial^1 V_1$, as $\overline{S} \subset V_1$, we have $\delta(\overline{s}, S) = 1$ for any $\overline{s} \in \overline{S}$, contradicting the first of the conclusions in Theorem 1.

3. FINAL REMARKS

Corollary 1 is related to a well known theorem of Plesník (see [Ple75], Theorem 6), which states that in a simple graph of diameter 2 the edge connectivity is equal to the minimum degree.

References

[Ple75] J. Plesník. Critical graphs of a given diameter. Acta Fac. Rerum Natur. Univ. Comenian. Math., 30:71–93, 1975.

[Wes01] Douglas B. West. Introduction to Graph Theory. Prentice Hall, 2001.



FIGURE 1. **Conventions:** 1.Filled polygons represent cliques, and curved arcs represent edges. 2.The dotted line separates G_2 (the upper graph) from G_1 (the lower graph). 3. The widest arc shows the cut $[S, \bar{S}]$. **Descriptions:** (a) Here $|[S, \bar{S}]| = 3 < k = 4$, $|S \cap V_1| = 2$, $|\bar{S}| = 5$, $\Phi = 3$, $S \cap V_1 = \partial^2 V_1$. (b) Here $|[S, \bar{S}]| =$ 3 < k = 4, $|S \cap V_1| = 1$, $|\bar{S}| = 5$, $\Phi = 3$, $S \cap V_1 \neq \partial^2 V_1$. (c) Here $|[S, \bar{S}]| = 4 < k = 5$, $|S \cap V_1| = 1$, $|\bar{S}| = 6$, $\Phi = 3$, $S \cap V_1 \neq \partial^2 V_1$. (d) Here $|[S, \bar{S}]| = 1 < k = 2$, $|S \cap V_1| = 0$, $|\bar{S}| = 3$, $\Phi = 1$, $S \cap V_1 = \partial^2 V_1 = \emptyset$.



FIGURE 2. **Conventions:** 1.Filled polygons represent cliques, and curved arcs represent edges. 2.The dotted line separates G_2 (the upper graph) from G_1 (the lower graph). 3. The widest arc shows a minimal cut $[S, \bar{S}]$. **Descriptions:** (a) Here $|[S, \bar{S}]| = k =$ $4, \Phi = 4, |\partial^1 V_1| = 3$. (b) Here $|[S, \bar{S}]| = k = 3, \Phi = 1, |\partial^1 V_1| = 1,$ $V_1 = \partial^1 V_1$. This example shows that Corollary 1 includes an edgeconnectivity version of the Expansion Lemma in [Wes01], Lemma 4.2.3.