



HAL
open science

A family of solvable non-rational conformal field theories

Sylvain Ribault

► **To cite this version:**

| Sylvain Ribault. A family of solvable non-rational conformal field theories. 2008. hal-00263673v1

HAL Id: hal-00263673

<https://hal.science/hal-00263673v1>

Preprint submitted on 13 Mar 2008 (v1), last revised 21 May 2008 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A family of solvable non-rational conformal field theories

Sylvain Ribault

*Laboratoire de Physique Théorique et Astroparticules, UMR5207 CNRS-UM2,
Université Montpellier II, Place E. Bataillon,
34095 Montpellier Cedex 05, France
ribault@lpta.univ-montp2.fr*

ABSTRACT: We find non-rational conformal field theories in two dimensions, which are solvable due to their correlators being related to correlators of Liouville theory. Their symmetry algebra consists of the dimension-two stress-energy tensor, and two dimension-one fields. The theories come in a family with two parameters: the central charge c and a complex number m . The special case $m = 0$ corresponds to Liouville theory (plus two free bosons), and $m = 1$ corresponds to the H_3^+ model. In the case $m = 2$ we show that the correlators obey third-order differential equations, which are however not associated to null vectors.

Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. An ansatz for the correlation functions	2
2.1 Reminder of the H_3^+ -Liouville relation	2
2.2 Motivation of the ansatz	4
3. Symmetry algebra of the new theories	5
3.1 Lagrangian description	5
3.2 Symmetry algebra	7
4. Differential equation in the case $m = 2$	9
4.1 Third-order BPZ equation	9
4.2 Reformulation of the BPZ equation in terms of symmetry generators	11
4.3 Differential equation from the symmetry algebra	12
5. Concluding remarks	13

1. Introduction

Conformal field theories in two dimensions have many applications in string theory and condensed-matter physics. In some applications the system under consideration, say strings in a given space-time, dictates the theory to be studied. In some other cases however, a CFT is used as a phenomenological theory for a system without a known first-principles description. The relevant theory must then be chosen among the known CFTs according to the desired properties of the system. This approach relies on the existence of a large enough number of CFTs among which to choose, and on a good enough knowledge of their properties. Many rational CFTs are known, and their properties are often well-understood. However most CFTs are non-rational, and only a few non-rational CFTs have been solved so far, among them Liouville theory [1] and the H_3^+ model [2]. It is therefore interesting to expand the small family of solvable non-rational CFTs.

The inspiration for the present article comes from the relation [3] between the correlation functions of the H_3^+ model and correlation functions of Liouville theory. Namely, correlators of generic H_3^+ primary fields are related to correlators involving both generic Liouville primary fields, and the particular field $V_{-\frac{1}{2b}}$ where b is related to the central charge of Liouville theory by $c = 1 + 6(b + b^{-1})^2$. This field is known to be a degenerate field with a null vector at level two, which implies that Liouville correlators involving this field obey second-order differential equations [4]. But there is an infinite series of degenerate fields in Liouville theory, which lead to higher-order differential equations. It is therefore natural to wonder whether Liouville correlators

involving such degenerate fields – or even generic fields – can be related to correlators in some models which would be generalizations of the H_3^+ model.

We will propose a positive answer to this question, and sketch some properties of the resulting models. In contrast to the usual situation in the study of conformal field theory, we start with the solution of the models, namely an ansatz for their correlators in terms of Liouville correlators. The problem is then to reconstruct the models, and in particular their symmetry algebra, from their correlators. This is a priori a non-trivial problem, because our ansatz gives us only correlators of primary fields, but the notion of a primary field is not even defined if we do not know the symmetry algebra. Fortunately, the correct symmetry algebra will be suggested by the Lagrangian description of the models, which is very similar to the free-field description of the H_3^+ model. (The new Lagrangians however do not correspond to sigma models.) We will then check that this symmetry algebra is consistent with the properties of the correlators, in particular in the case when they obey third-order differential equations.

2. An ansatz for the correlation functions

Our ansatz will be directly inspired by the H_3^+ -Liouville relation, we will therefore begin by a reminder of this relation (2.12). More details and references can be found in [3].

2.1 Reminder of the H_3^+ -Liouville relation

In the conformal bootstrap approach, the H_3^+ model is defined by its symmetry algebra, and primary fields which transform in a certain way with respect to this algebra. Solving the model means finding the correlation functions of the primary fields; these correlation functions are subject to symmetry and consistency conditions. Let us briefly review these objects. The chiral symmetry algebra of the H_3^+ model is the affine Lie algebra \widehat{sl}_2 , which is generated by three currents $J^3(z), J^+(z), J^-(z)$ with the following operator product expansions (OPEs):

$$J^3(z)J^3(w) = -\frac{\frac{k}{2}}{(z-w)^2} + O(1), \quad (2.1)$$

$$J^3(z)J^\pm(w) = \pm \frac{J^\pm(w)}{z-w} + O(1), \quad (2.2)$$

$$J^+(z)J^-(w) = -\frac{k}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{2J^3(w)}{z-w} + O(1), \quad (2.3)$$

where $k > 2$ is called the level of the affine Lie algebra. As a consequence, the H_3^+ model is a conformal field theory with central charge $c = \frac{3k}{k-2}$; the stress-energy tensor which generates the conformal transformations can be deduced from the currents by the Sugawara construction [5]

$$T = \frac{1}{2(k-2)} [(J^+ J^-) + (J^- J^+) - 2(J^3 J^3)], \quad (2.4)$$

where we use the following definition of the normal-ordered product of operators:

$$(AB)(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_z dx \frac{dx}{x-z} A(x)B(z). \quad (2.5)$$

Affine primary operators $\Phi^j(\mu|w)$ with spin j , isospin μ and worldsheet position w are defined by their OPEs with the currents, from which their OPEs with the stress-energy tensor follows:

$$J^-(z)\Phi^j(\mu|w) = \frac{\mu}{z-w}\Phi^j(\mu|w) + O(1), \quad (2.6)$$

$$J^3(z)\Phi^j(\mu|w) = \frac{\mu\frac{\partial}{\partial\mu}}{z-w}\Phi^j(\mu|w) + O(1), \quad (2.7)$$

$$J^+(z)\Phi^j(\mu|w) = \frac{\mu\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\mu^2} - \frac{j(j+1)}{\mu}}{z-w}\Phi^j(\mu|w) + O(1), \quad (2.8)$$

$$T(z)\Phi^j(\mu|w) = \frac{\Delta_j}{(z-w)^2}\Phi^j(\mu|w) + \frac{1}{z-w}\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\Phi^j(\mu|w) + O(1), \quad (2.9)$$

where the conformal dimension of the field $\Phi^j(\mu|w)$ is

$$\Delta_j = -\frac{j(j+1)}{k-2}. \quad (2.10)$$

The fields $\Phi^j(\mu|w)$ have similar OPEs with the antiholomorphic currents $\bar{J}^a(\bar{z})$ and stress-energy tensor $\bar{T}(\bar{z})$, but their dependences on \bar{z} and $\bar{\mu}$ will be kept implicit. The spin takes values $j \in -\frac{1}{2} + i\mathbb{R}$, corresponding to continuous representations of the algebra \widehat{sl}_2 .

In the H_3^+ model all fields are either affine primaries or affine descendents thereof, and correlators of affine descendents can be deduced from correlators of affine primaries by the Ward identities. Therefore, in order to solve the model on the Riemann sphere with coordinates z, \bar{z} , it is enough to determine the n -point functions of affine primaries for all n :

$$\Omega_n \equiv \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^n \Phi^{j_i}(\mu_i|z_i) \right\rangle. \quad (2.11)$$

Now all such correlators of affine primaries are related to Liouville theory correlators as follows:

$$\Omega_n = \delta^{(2)}(\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i) |u|^2 |\Theta_n|^{\frac{1}{b^2}} \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^n V_{\alpha_i}(z_i) \prod_{a=1}^{n-2} V_{-\frac{1}{2b}}(y_a) \right\rangle, \quad (2.12)$$

where we consider Liouville theory at parameter

$$b = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k-2}}, \quad (2.13)$$

with primary operators $V_\alpha(z)$ with momenta

$$\alpha = b(j+1) + \frac{1}{2b} \quad (2.14)$$

and conformal dimensions

$$\Delta_\alpha = \alpha(b + b^{-1} - \alpha). \quad (2.15)$$

The objects u and Θ are defined by

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i z_i, \quad \Theta_n = \frac{\prod_{i<j} z_{ij} \prod_{a<b} y_{ab}}{\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{a=1}^{n-2} (y_a - z_i)}, \quad (2.16)$$

while the positions y_a of the operators $V_{-\frac{1}{2b}}(y_a)$ are defined by Sklyanin's change of variables

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu_i}{t - z_i} = u \frac{\prod_{a=1}^{n-2} (t - y_a)}{\prod_{i=1}^n (t - z_i)}. \quad (2.17)$$

2.2 Motivation of the ansatz

Let us replace the fields $V_{-\frac{1}{2b}}$ in the H_3^+ -Liouville relation (2.12) with more general fields $V_{-\frac{m}{2b}}$ with $m \in \mathbb{C}$, and modify the prefactors as well:

$$\boxed{\Omega_n^{(m)} = \delta^{(2)}(\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i) |u|^{2\lambda} |\Theta_n|^{2\theta} \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^n V_{\alpha_i}(z_i) \prod_{a=1}^{n-2} V_{-\frac{m}{2b}}(y_a) \right\rangle}, \quad (2.18)$$

where λ and θ are numbers to be determined. Can we still interpret $\Omega_n^{(m)}$ as an n -point function (2.11) of fields $\Phi^j(\mu|z)$ in some conformal field theory? In the process we keep the definitions of u, Θ and y_a eqs. (2.16, 2.17), but the nature of the operator $\Phi^j(\mu|z)$ will be modified: it will now be a primary field with respect to an unknown symmetry algebra, with an unknown conformal dimension $\Delta_j^{(m)}$ with respect to a Virasoro algebra with an unknown central charge.

We hope that this interpretation of $\Omega_n^{(m)}$ exists for any choice of m , but we expect the values of the extra parameters λ, θ in (2.18) to be determined in terms of m by symmetry and other requirements. Let us first consider the constraints on the three-point function due to global conformal invariance. This requires that the dependence of $\Omega_3^{(m)}$ on the worldsheet coordinates z_1, z_2, z_3 should be

$$\Omega_3^{(m)} \propto |z_{12}|^{-2(\Delta_{j_1}^{(m)} + \Delta_{j_2}^{(m)} - \Delta_{j_3}^{(m)})} |z_{13}|^{-2(\Delta_{j_1}^{(m)} + \Delta_{j_3}^{(m)} - \Delta_{j_2}^{(m)})} |z_{23}|^{-2(\Delta_{j_2}^{(m)} + \Delta_{j_3}^{(m)} - \Delta_{j_1}^{(m)})}, \quad (2.19)$$

with an arbitrary prefactor depending on μ_1, μ_2, μ_3 . According to our ansatz, $\Omega_3^{(m)}$ is actually related to a four-point Liouville correlator, which behaves as

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle V_{\alpha_1}(z_1) V_{\alpha_2}(z_2) V_{\alpha_3}(z_3) V_{-\frac{m}{2b}}(y_1) \right\rangle \\ &= |z_{12}|^{2\Delta_{-\frac{m}{2b}} - 2\Delta_{12}} |z_{13}|^{-2\Delta_{-\frac{m}{2b}} - 2\Delta_{13}} |z_{23}|^{2\Delta_{-\frac{m}{2b}} - 2\Delta_{23}} |y_1 - z_2|^{-4\Delta_{-\frac{m}{2b}}} \mathcal{G}(z), \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

where we use the notations $\Delta_{12} = \Delta_{\alpha_1} + \Delta_{\alpha_2} - \Delta_{\alpha_3}$ for the Liouville conformal dimensions, and $\mathcal{G}(z)$ for a known function of the cross-ratio $z = \frac{z_{32}(y - z_1)}{z_{31}(y - z_2)}$ whose precise form is not needed here. From the change of variables (2.17) we have $u(y - z_2) = \mu_2 z_{12} z_{23}$ (in the limit $t \rightarrow z_2$), and in addition $z = 1 + \frac{\mu_3}{\mu_2}$ actually does not depend on z_1, z_2, z_3 . Thus, (2.20) implies

$$\Omega_3^{(m)} \propto |u|^{2\lambda + 6\theta + 4\Delta_{-\frac{m}{2b}}} |z_{12}|^{-2\theta - 2\Delta_{-\frac{m}{2b}} - 2\Delta_{12}^2} |z_{13}|^{-2\theta - 2\Delta_{-\frac{m}{2b}} - 2\Delta_{13}^2} |z_{23}|^{-2\theta - 2\Delta_{-\frac{m}{2b}} - 2\Delta_{23}^1} \quad (2.21)$$

Comparing with the condition (2.19) reveals that global conformal invariance requires

$$\lambda + 3\theta + 2\Delta_{-\frac{m}{2b}} = 0, \quad \Delta_{j_i} = \Delta_{\alpha_i} + \Delta_{-\frac{m}{2b}} + \theta. \quad (2.22)$$

In order to derive the extra relation which we need for fully determining λ, θ and Δ_{j_i} , we will use a more heuristic reasoning. Consider the behaviour of our ansatz (2.18) near $y_a = y_b$. In the H_3^+

case $m = 1$, correlators are continuous at such points, a property which is particularly important in the study of the H_3^+ model on the disc [6]. More generally, in the cases when the Liouville field $V_{-\frac{m}{2b}}$ is degenerate, the leading behaviour of two such fields when coming close is

$$V_{-\frac{m}{2b}}(y_a)V_{-\frac{m}{2b}}(y_b) \underset{y_{ab} \rightarrow 0}{\sim} |y_{ab}|^{-4\Delta_{-\frac{m}{2b}}+2\Delta_{-\frac{m}{b}}} V_{-\frac{m}{b}}(y_a), \quad (2.23)$$

and the behaviour of our ansatz is thus

$$\Omega_n^{(m)} \underset{y_{ab} \rightarrow 0}{\sim} |y_{ab}|^{-4\Delta_{-\frac{m}{2b}}+2\Delta_{-\frac{m}{b}}+2\theta}. \quad (2.24)$$

Assuming the critical exponent $-4\Delta_{-\frac{m}{2b}} + 2\Delta_{-\frac{m}{b}} + 2\theta$ to vanish, and solving eq. (2.22) as well, we obtain

$$\boxed{\theta = \frac{m^2}{2b^2}, \quad \lambda = m(1 + b^{-2}(1 - m)), \quad \Delta_j = \Delta_\alpha - \frac{m}{4}(2 + 2b^{-2} - b^{-2}m)}. \quad (2.25)$$

These relations will be assumed to hold not only when the Liouville field $V_{-\frac{m}{2b}}$ is degenerate, but in all cases. Notice that it is possible to define a ‘‘spin’’ variable j such that

$$\Delta_j^{(m)} = -(j + 1)(b^2 j + m - 1), \quad (2.26)$$

in which case the momentum α of the corresponding Liouville field $V_\alpha(z)$ is

$$\alpha = b(j + 1) + \frac{m}{2b}. \quad (2.27)$$

In the following we will argue that the ansatz (2.18), together with the particular values (2.25) for the parameters λ, θ and the conformal weights of the fields $\Phi^j(\mu|z)$, has a meaning in terms of correlators in a conformal field theory.

3. Symmetry algebra of the new theories

We have checked that our ansatz is consistent with global conformal invariance, let us now study the rest of the conformal symmetry, and the possible extra symmetries which underlie our ansatz. To do this, it will be convenient to introduce a Lagrangian description of the corresponding theories. We will therefore propose such a description, check that it reproduces the relation (2.18) with Liouville theory, and then use it for deriving the symmetries.

3.1 Lagrangian description

We use the same bosonic fields (ϕ, β, γ) as in the H_3^+ model [7], and we propose the following Lagrangian and stress-energy tensor:

$$\mathcal{L}^{(m)} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\partial\phi\bar{\partial}\phi + \beta\bar{\partial}\gamma + \bar{\beta}\partial\bar{\gamma} + b^2(-\beta\bar{\beta})^m e^{2b\phi} \right], \quad (3.1)$$

$$T^{(m)} = -\beta\bar{\partial}\gamma - (\partial\phi)^2 + (b + b^{-1}(1 - m))\partial^2\phi, \quad (3.2)$$

where $-\beta\bar{\beta}$ is assumed to be real positive.

The interaction term $\mathcal{L}_{int}^{(m)} = (-\beta\bar{\beta})^m e^{2b\phi}$ is marginal with respect to the stress-energy tensor $T^{(m)}$. In the cases $m = 0$ (Liouville theory) and $m = 1$ (H_3^+ model) it is known to be exactly marginal. This follows from the dependence of the interaction term on ϕ , which involves a single exponential term $e^{2b\phi}$, a feature which is still present for arbitrary values of m . In perturbative calculations, many terms in the series expansion of $\exp - \int \mathcal{L}_{int}^{(m)}$ will then yield vanishing contributions due to ϕ -momentum conservation in the free theory. We therefore expect the interaction to be exactly marginal, which implies that the corresponding theories have conformal symmetry.

In the case $m = 1$ of the H_3^+ model, integrating out the non-dynamical fields $\beta, \bar{\beta}$ in the path integral $\int \mathcal{D}\phi \mathcal{D}\beta \mathcal{D}\bar{\beta} \mathcal{D}\gamma \mathcal{D}\bar{\gamma} e^{-\int d^2w \mathcal{L}^{(m)}}$ yields a sigma model, whose target space is indeed H_3^+ . In the general case, it is still possible to integrate out $\beta, \bar{\beta}$ if $\Re m > \frac{1}{2}$, but the resulting Lagrangian has no sigma model interpretation because it involves higher powers of $\bar{\partial}\gamma\partial\bar{\gamma}$.

The relation between H_3^+ and Liouville correlators has been rederived by Hikida and Schomerus using a path-integral computation [8]. We will now emulate this calculation in order to rederive our ansatz (2.18) from the path-integral definition of a theory with the Lagrangian (3.1). Of course, this path-integral definition will be complete only after we specify the fields $\Phi^j(\mu|z)$ in terms of (ϕ, β, γ) :

$$\Phi^j(\mu|z) = |\mu|^{2m(j+1)} e^{\mu\gamma - \bar{\mu}\bar{\gamma}} e^{2b(j+1)\phi}. \quad (3.3)$$

The path-integral definition of the n -point function is then

$$\Omega_n^{(m)} = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \mathcal{D}\beta \mathcal{D}\bar{\beta} \mathcal{D}\gamma \mathcal{D}\bar{\gamma} e^{-\int d^2w \mathcal{L}^{(m)}} \prod_{i=1}^n \Phi^{j_i}(\mu_i|z_i). \quad (3.4)$$

Let us perform the integrations over γ and $\bar{\gamma}$, and then β and $\bar{\beta}$:

$$\Omega_n^{(m)} = \delta^{(2)}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i\right) \int \mathcal{D}\phi e^{-\frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2w \left(\partial\phi\bar{\partial}\phi + b^2 \left|u \sum_i \frac{\mu_i}{w-z_i}\right|^{2m} e^{2b\phi}\right)} \prod_{i=1}^n |\mu_i|^{2m(j_i+1)} e^{2b(j_i+1)\phi} \quad (3.5)$$

where we still have $u = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i z_i$. We then perform the change of integration variable

$$\phi \rightarrow \phi - mb^{-1} \log |u|. \quad (3.6)$$

This produces a global factor $|u|^{2m(1+b^{-2}(1-m))}$, due to an implicit worldsheet curvature term in the Lagrangian, which corresponds to the linear dilaton term $(b + b^{-1}(1-m))\partial^2\phi$ in the stress-energy tensor $T^{(m)}$, eq. (3.2). (For simplicity we have used flat space as a model of the Riemann sphere and omitted the worldsheet curvature term. This subtlety is dealt with in [8].) Then, we define y_a as the zeroes of $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu_i}{w-z_i}$ as in eq. (2.17), and perform the change of integration variable

$$\varphi(w) = \phi(w) + mb^{-1} \log \left| \frac{\prod_{a=1}^{n-2} (w - y_a)}{\prod_{i=1}^n (w - z_i)} \right|. \quad (3.7)$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_n^{(m)} &= \delta^{(2)}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i\right) |u|^{2m(1+b^{-2}(1-m))} |\Theta_n|^{\frac{m^2}{b^2}} \\ &\times \int \mathcal{D}\varphi e^{-\frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2w (\partial\varphi\bar{\partial}\varphi + b^2 e^{2b\varphi})} \prod_{i=1}^n e^{(2b(j_i+1) + \frac{m}{b})\varphi(z_i)} \prod_{a=1}^{n-2} e^{-\frac{m}{b}\varphi(y_a)}, \quad (3.8) \end{aligned}$$

where Θ_n was defined in eq. (2.16). The second line of this formula is the path-integral version of the Liouville theory correlator which appears in our ansatz (2.18), with the expected values for the Liouville momenta $\alpha_i = b(j_i + 1) + \frac{m}{2b}$. And the prefactors also agree with the expectations (2.25).

Therefore, the path-integral calculation provides a Lagrangian definition (3.1) for the new theories whose correlators we conjectured. This definition will allow us to easily study the symmetries of these theories.

3.2 Symmetry algebra

Let us interpret the theories with Lagrangian (3.1) as free theories of the fields $(\phi, \beta, \gamma, \bar{\beta}, \bar{\gamma})$ with contractions

$$\langle \phi(z)\phi(w) \rangle = -\log|z-w| \quad , \quad \langle \beta(z)\gamma(w) \rangle = \frac{1}{w-z} \quad , \quad (3.9)$$

deformed by the interaction term $\mathcal{L}_{int}^{(m)} = (-\beta\bar{\beta})^m e^{2b\phi}$. The chiral symmetry algebra is then the set of holomorphic fields whose OPEs with $\mathcal{L}_{int}^{(m)}$ vanish up to total derivatives. Such chiral fields can be constructed from the basic holomorphic fields $\partial\phi, \beta, \gamma$ by using the normal-ordered product (2.5), see for instance [9] for the rules of computing with this product.

We already know one chiral field, namely the stress-energy tensor $T^{(m)}$ (3.2), which generates a Virasoro algebra with central charge

$$c^{(m)} = 3 + 6(b + b^{-1}(1 - m))^2 \quad . \quad (3.10)$$

But in the case of the H_3^+ model ($m = 1$) we know that the creation modes of this Virasoro algebra are not enough for generating the full spectrum of the model from the fields $\Phi^j(\mu|z)$. These fields are indeed affine primaries, that is primary fields with respect to the much larger symmetry algebra \widehat{sl}_2 . Can we find a larger algebra for general values of m ? Let us introduce the following holomorphic currents:

$$J^- = \beta \quad , \quad (3.11)$$

$$J^3 = -\beta\gamma - mb^{-1}\partial\phi \quad , \quad (3.12)$$

$$J^+ = \beta\gamma^2 + 2mb^{-1}\gamma\partial\phi - (m^2b^{-2} + 2)\partial\gamma \quad , \quad (3.13)$$

where normal ordering is implicitly assumed when needed. The OPEs of these currents obey the relations (2.1-2.3) of an \widehat{sl}_2 algebra at level $k = 2 + m^2b^{-2}$. However, only the currents J^- and J^3 are symmetries of our model. The current J^+ indeed has a nontrivial OPE with $\mathcal{L}_{int}^{(m)}$, and so has the stress-energy tensor which we could build from these currents by the Sugawara construction (2.4). The correct stress-energy tensor such that $\mathcal{L}_{int}^{(m)}$ is marginal is instead (3.2). The chiral symmetry algebra is therefore generated by the three fields T, J^3, J^- (where from now on we omit

the superscript of $T^{(m)}$), and we compute their OPEs as

$$T(z)T(w) = \frac{\frac{1}{2}c^{(m)}}{(z-w)^4} + \frac{2T(w)}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{\partial T(w)}{z-w} + O(1), \quad (3.14)$$

$$T(z)J^-(w) = \frac{J^-(w)}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{\partial J^-(w)}{z-w} + O(1), \quad (3.15)$$

$$T(z)J^3(w) = \frac{(1-m)(1-mb^{-2})}{(z-w)^3} + \frac{J^3(w)}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{\partial J^3(w)}{z-w} + O(1), \quad (3.16)$$

$$J^-(z)J^-(w) = O(1), \quad (3.17)$$

$$J^3(z)J^-(w) = \frac{J^-(w)}{w-z} + O(1), \quad (3.18)$$

$$J^3(z)J^3(w) = -\frac{1 + \frac{1}{2}m^2b^{-2}}{(z-w)^2} + O(1). \quad (3.19)$$

This looks very much like the subalgebra of the affine algebra \widehat{sl}_2 obtained by removing the current J^+ , except that there is now a central term in the TJ^3 OPE, so that J^3 is no longer a primary field. Notice that this algebra, unlike the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}^{(m)}$, is invariant under the duality

$$b \rightarrow b^{-1}, \quad m \rightarrow mb^{-2}. \quad (3.20)$$

Notice also that setting $J^- = 0$ yields a smaller algebra generated by T and J^3 , which might be interesting as well.¹

It can be checked that the fields $\Phi^j(\mu|z)$ are primary with respect to our chiral algebra. We can indeed compute the OPEs of their free-field realization (3.3) with J^- , J^3 and T , which respectively reproduces the OPEs (2.6), (2.7) and (2.9) with however the conformal dimension $\Delta_j^{(m)}$ (2.26). And we can check that the correlators (2.18) have the correct behaviour under global symmetry transformations. We already performed this analysis in the case of the global conformal transformations of the three-point function $\Omega_3^{(m)}$, this is how we found $\Delta_j^{(m)}$ in the first place. The global Ward identity for J^- is $(\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i)\Omega_n^{(m)} = 0$, which is obviously satisfied. We furthermore compute $(\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_i})\Omega_n^{(m)} = -(1-m)(1-mb^{-2})\Omega_n^{(m)}$. This is what is expected knowing that J^3 is no longer primary, see the TJ^3 OPE (3.16).

These simple consistency checks leave two questions about our determination of the symmetry algebra:

1. **Is the symmetry algebra large enough?** We still have to show that the descendent fields obtained by repeatedly acting on the primaries $\Phi^j(\mu|z)$ with the creation modes of T , J^3 , J^- do span the spectrum. In particular, can the $\Phi^{j_1}(\mu_1|z_1)\Phi^{j_2}(\mu_2|z_2)$ OPE be written as a sum over such descendants? In principle, we could address this issue by studying the $z_{12} \rightarrow 0$ expansion of our ansatz (2.18) for the correlators $\Omega_n^{(m)}$. This would however be tedious. Instead, let us focus on the $m = 1$ case. The spectrum of the H_3^+ model is known to be generated by the

¹We could even consider a three-parameter family of T, J^3 algebras with arbitrary central terms $T(z)T(w) = \frac{\frac{1}{2}c}{(z-w)^4} + \dots$, $T(z)J^3(w) = \frac{Q}{(z-w)^3} + \dots$ and $J^3(z)J^3(w) = -\frac{k}{(z-w)^2} + \dots$. The relation $c = 1 - 6\frac{Q^2}{k}$ defines a subfamily where the identification $T = -\frac{1}{k}(J^3J^3) + \frac{Q}{k}\partial J^3$ is allowed. After introducing a boson φ such that $J^3 = \partial\varphi$ this corresponds to a linear dilaton theory. Our parameters m, b parametrize a different subfamily.

modes of J^3, J^+, J^- , but we will now argue that the modes of T, J^3, J^- are actually enough. Let us define these modes by $T(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L_n z^{-n-2}$ and $J^a(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} J_n^a z^{-n-1}$; creation modes are $J_{n < 0}^a$ and $L_{n < 0}$, while primary fields $\Phi^j(\mu|z)$ correspond to primary states $|p\rangle$ such that $L_{n > 0}|p\rangle = J_{n > 0}^a|p\rangle = 0$. Any level one J^+ -descendent state can by definition be written as $|d\rangle = J_{-1}^+|p'\rangle$ with $|p'\rangle$ an affine primary state in the H_3^+ spectrum. The spectrum being made of continuous representations, this primary can be rewritten as $|p'\rangle = J_0^-|p\rangle$ with $|p\rangle$ another primary. Using the Sugawara construction (2.4), we then have

$$|d\rangle = J_{-1}^+ J_0^- |p\rangle = [-J_{-1}^- J_0^+ + 2J_{-1}^3 J_0^3 + (k-2)L_{-1}] |p\rangle, \quad (3.21)$$

which is manifestly a combination of $L_{-1}, J_{-1}^3, J_{-1}^-$ -descendants of affine primary states. This reasoning can be iterated to higher level J^+ -descendent states. This proof is special to $m = 1$ and cannot be generalized, but it demonstrates that our T, J^3, J^- symmetry algebra is likely large enough.

2. **Are the chiral fields algebraically independent?** In the H_3^+ model, the fact that the chiral fields T, J^+, J^3, J^- are not independent but related by the Sugawara construction implies that the correlators obey Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov differential equations. In our generalized theories with parameter m , correlators (2.18) do obey differential equations for values of m such that the field $V_{-\frac{m}{2b}}$ is a Liouville degenerate field. This happens if

$$m = p + b^2 q, \quad p, q = 0, 1, 2 \dots \quad (3.22)$$

We therefore expect that the structure of our chiral algebra becomes in some sense reducible for these values of m , so that differential equations can be derived for the correlators. This is what we will explicitly demonstrate in the case $m = 2$.

4. Differential equation in the case $m = 2$

We will first derive the third-order equations satisfied by $\Omega_n^{(2)}$ from the BPZ equations satisfied by the corresponding Liouville correlators, and then check that these equations can be recovered from our symmetry algebra.

4.1 Third-order BPZ equation

The Virasoro module generated by the Liouville field $V_{-\frac{1}{b}}$ has a null vector at level three [4]:

$$\chi_3 = \left[(-1 + 2b^{-2})L_{-3} + 2L_{-1}L_{-2} + \frac{1}{2}b^2 L_{-1}^3 \right] V_{-\frac{1}{b}}. \quad (4.1)$$

Assuming that this null vector vanishes implies that correlators involving $V_{-\frac{1}{b}}$ obey third-order BPZ differential equations. In particular, let us write the BPZ equation associated to a degenerate field $V_{-\frac{1}{b}}(y)$ in the Liouville correlator which appears in our ansatz (2.18). We call $y_b \neq y$ the insertion points of the other degenerate fields so that $y_a = (y, y_b)$; moreover we call $z_I = (z_i, y_b)$

the positions of all fields except $V_{-\frac{1}{b}}(y)$. The BPZ equation $\mathcal{D} = 0$ then involves the following differential operator:

$$\mathcal{D} = \frac{b^2}{2} \frac{\partial^3}{\partial y^3} + \sum_I \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \frac{2}{y - z_I} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_I} + \frac{2\Delta_{\alpha_I}}{(y - z_I)^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right] + \sum_I \left[\frac{\Delta_{-\frac{1}{b}} + 2}{(y - z_I)^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_I} + \frac{2\Delta_{-\frac{1}{b}} \Delta_{\alpha_I}}{(y - z_I)^3} \right] \quad (4.2)$$

This BPZ equation implies $\mathcal{D}' \Omega_n^{(2)} = 0$ where $\mathcal{D}' = \Theta_n^{\frac{2}{b^2}} \mathcal{D} \Theta_n^{-\frac{2}{b^2}}$, with Θ_n defined by eq. (2.16). We thus wish to compute \mathcal{D}' ; at the same time we should perform the change of variables $(z_i, y_a) \rightarrow (z_i, \mu_i)$ defined by eq. (2.17). In particular we should rewrite $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \Big|_{y_a}$ in terms of $\frac{\delta}{\delta z_i} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \Big|_{\mu_j}$. This will be done thanks to the identity [3]

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{y - z_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} + \sum_b \frac{1}{y - y_b} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_b} - X \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{y - z_i} \frac{\delta}{\delta z_i}, \quad (4.3)$$

where we defined

$$X \equiv \sum_b \frac{1}{y - y_b} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{y - z_i} = - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu_i}{(y - z_i)^3}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu_i}{(y - z_i)^2}}. \quad (4.4)$$

We also use

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu_i}{y - z_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_i}. \quad (4.5)$$

Explicit calculations yield

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}' &= \frac{b^2}{2} \frac{\partial^3}{\partial y^3} - X \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} L_{-2}(y) - 4b^{-2} X L_{-2}(y) \\ &+ (2b^{-2} - 1) \left[L_{-3}(y) - \sum_i \frac{\mu_i}{(y - z_i)^3} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_i} - X \sum_i \frac{\mu_i}{(y - z_i)^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_i} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

where we defined

$$L_{-2}(y) = \sum_i \frac{1}{y - z_i} \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta z_i} + \frac{\Delta_{j_i}}{y - z_i} \right), \quad (4.7)$$

$$L_{-3}(y) = - \sum_i \frac{1}{(y - z_i)^2} \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta z_i} + \frac{2\Delta_{j_i}}{y - z_i} \right), \quad (4.8)$$

where the conformal dimensions Δ_{j_i} and Δ_{α_i} are related by eq. (2.25).

The operator \mathcal{D}' can be understood as a generalization of the $s\ell_2$ Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov differential operator, which in our notations can be written as [3]

$$\mathcal{D}^{KZ} = b^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + L_{-2}(y). \quad (4.9)$$

4.2 Reformulation of the BPZ equation in terms of symmetry generators

If our identification of the symmetry algebra is correct, the differential equation $\mathcal{D}'\Omega_n^{(2)} = 0$ should have a reformulation in terms of the chiral fields T, J^3, J^- . This is a non-trivial requirement on \mathcal{D}' , and we will now show that it is satisfied.

Let us denote $\langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle = \langle \prod \Phi^{j_i}(\mu_i|z_i) \rangle$. The actions of differential operators $\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, $L_{-2}(y)$ and $L_{-3}(y)$ on $\langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle$ have immediate interpretations as insertions of the chiral fields $J^3(y), T(y)$ and $\partial T(y)$, for instance

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle = \left\langle \sum_i \oint_{z_i} dt \frac{J^3(t)}{y-t} \mathcal{Q} \right\rangle = \left\langle \oint_y dt \frac{J^3(t)}{t-y} \mathcal{Q} \right\rangle = \langle J^3(y) \mathcal{Q} \rangle, \quad (4.10)$$

where we used the formula (4.5) for $\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ and the OPE (2.7) of J^3 with $\Phi^j(\mu|z)$. Iterating the actions of such differential operators yields results like

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} L_{-2}(y) \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \langle T(y) \mathcal{Q} \rangle = \langle \partial T \mathcal{Q} \rangle + \langle (J^3 T)(y) \mathcal{Q} \rangle, \quad (4.11)$$

where the normal-ordered product $(J^3 T)$ is defined as previously, see eq. (2.5). Moreover, we have

$$\sum_i \frac{\mu_i}{(y-z_i)^3} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_i} \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \sum_i \oint_{z_i} dt \frac{\partial^2 J^3(t)}{y-t} \mathcal{Q} \right\rangle = \langle \frac{1}{2} \partial^2 J^3(y) \mathcal{Q} \rangle. \quad (4.12)$$

Finally, the factors of X in \mathcal{D}' can be related to insertions of ∂J^- and $\partial^2 J^-$, thanks to eq. (4.4) and identities of the type

$$\sum_i \frac{\mu_i}{(y-z_i)^3} \langle \mathcal{P}(y) \mathcal{Q} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \oint_y dt \frac{\partial^2 J^-(t)}{t-y} \mathcal{P}(y) \mathcal{Q} \right\rangle = \langle \frac{1}{2} (\partial^2 J^- \mathcal{P})(y) \mathcal{Q} \rangle, \quad (4.13)$$

which is valid for any operator \mathcal{P} .

Therefore, the equation $\mathcal{D}'\Omega_n^{(2)} = 0$ with the operator \mathcal{D}' given in eq. (4.6) can be rewritten as $\langle \mathcal{R}(y) \prod \Phi^{j_i}(\mu_i|z_i) \rangle = 0$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R} = & \frac{1}{2} b^2 (\partial J^- (J^3 (J^3 J^3))) + 2 (\partial J^- (J^3 T)) + [2b^{-2} + 1] (\partial J^- \partial T) \\ & + \frac{3}{2} b^2 (\partial J^- (J^3 \partial J^3)) + \frac{1}{2} [b^2 + 1 - 2b^{-2}] (\partial J^- \partial^2 J^3) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} (\partial^2 J^- (J^3 J^3)) + [-1 + b^{-2}] (\partial^2 J^- \partial J^3) - 2b^{-2} (\partial^2 J^- T). \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

We can already conjecture that, for all the values (3.22) of m such that the fields $V_{-\frac{m}{2b}}$ is degenerate, the BPZ equations can similarly be rewritten in terms of operators T, J^-, J^3 . It is however not clear how to deduce our operator \mathcal{R} from a null vector like (4.1), without performing the explicit calculations as we did.

The equation $\langle \mathcal{R}(y) \prod \Phi^{j_i}(\mu_i|z_i) \rangle = 0$ of course does not mean that the operator \mathcal{R} should be set to zero, because this equation is valid only at special points $y = y_1 \cdots y_{n-2}$. These points were defined (2.17) as the zeroes of $\varphi(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu_i}{t-z_i}$; they can be characterized in terms of the operator J^- by

$$\left\langle J^-(y) \prod_{i=1}^n \Phi^{j_i}(\mu_i|z_i) \right\rangle = 0. \quad (4.15)$$

Actually, for any operator \mathcal{P} we have $\langle (J^- \mathcal{P})(y) \prod_{i=1}^n \Phi^{j_i}(\mu_i | z_i) \rangle = 0$. Therefore, the operator \mathcal{R} is expected to vanish modulo operators of the type $(J^- \mathcal{P})$.

We will test this expectation in our symmetry algebra generated by T, J^3, J^- . Let us however first clarify the meaning of the expected vanishing. Consider the case of the affine Lie algebra \widehat{sl}_2 , where the operator $\mathcal{S} = T - \frac{1}{2(k-2)} [(J^+ J^-) + (J^- J^+) - 2(J^3 J^3)]$ is assumed to vanish by the Sugawara construction (2.4). This is possible because the operator \mathcal{S} generates a nontrivial ideal of the algebra generated by T, J^3, J^+, J^- , so that a smaller algebra, namely the affine algebra \widehat{sl}_2 , can be obtained by modding out this ideal. The fact that \mathcal{S} generates a nontrivial ideal could in principle be deduced from the OPEs of T, J^3, J^+, J^- , but a second method is to compute \mathcal{S} in terms of the free fields (ϕ, β, γ) , a computation which yields zero. This amounts to characterizing the ideal generated by \mathcal{S} as the kernel of a morphism of algebras.

In our case $m = 2$, we will also use this second method, and compute the operator \mathcal{R} (4.14) in terms of the fields (ϕ, β, γ) .

4.3 Differential equation from the symmetry algebra

Generalizing the operator (4.14) above, let us introduce arbitrary coefficients $\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_8$ and the operator

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{\{\lambda_i\}} = & \lambda_1 (\partial J^- J^3 J^3 J^3) + \lambda_2 (\partial J^- J^3 T) + \lambda_3 (\partial J^- \partial T) + \lambda_4 (\partial J^- J^3 \partial J^3) + \lambda_5 (\partial J^- \partial^2 J^3) \\ & + \lambda_6 (\partial^2 J^- J^3 J^3) + \lambda_7 (\partial^2 J^- \partial J^3) + \lambda_8 (\partial^2 J^- T). \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

Here and in the following we use the shorthand notation $ABCD = (ABCD) = (A(B(CD)))$ for multiple normal orderings, see [9] for more details on calculations involving such expressions.

Let us compute the operator $\mathcal{R}_{\{\lambda_i\}}$ in terms of the fields (ϕ, β, γ) , using the expressions (3.11), (3.12) and (3.2) for the operators J^-, J^3 and T respectively. The computation should be done modulo operators of the type $(J^- \mathcal{P}) = (\beta \mathcal{P})$. We will denote by \simeq the equality of operators modulo such terms. For example, we find

$$(J^3 (J^3 J^3)) \simeq 2\partial\beta\partial\gamma - \frac{1}{2}\partial^2\beta\gamma - 3mb^{-1}\partial\beta\gamma\partial\phi - m^3b^{-3}\partial\phi^3, \quad (4.17)$$

$$(J^3 T) \simeq \partial\beta\partial\gamma + mb^{-1}\partial\phi^3 - m(1+b^{-2}(1-m))\partial\phi\partial^2\phi, \quad (4.18)$$

$$(J^3 \partial J^3) \simeq \frac{1}{2}\partial^2\beta\gamma + mb^{-1}\partial\beta\gamma\partial\phi + m^2b^{-2}\partial\phi\partial^2\phi, \quad (4.19)$$

$$(\partial T) \simeq -\partial\beta\partial\gamma - 2\partial\phi\partial^2\phi + (b+b^{-1}(1-m))\partial^3\phi, \quad (4.20)$$

$$(\partial^2 J^3) \simeq -\partial^2\beta\gamma - 2\partial\beta\partial\gamma - mb^{-1}\partial^3\phi. \quad (4.21)$$

We then compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{\{\lambda_i\}} \simeq & [2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - \lambda_3 - 2\lambda_5] \partial\beta^2\partial\gamma + [-3mb^{-1}\lambda_1 + mb^{-1}\lambda_4] \partial\beta^2\gamma\partial\phi \\ & + [-m^3b^{-3}\lambda_1 + mb^{-1}\lambda_2] \partial\beta\partial\phi^3 + [-m(1+b^{-2}(1-m))\lambda_2 - 2\lambda_3 + m^2b^{-2}\lambda_4] \partial\beta\partial\phi\partial^2\phi \\ & + [(b+b^{-1}(1-m))\lambda_3 - mb^{-1}\lambda_5] \partial\beta\partial^3\phi + [-\frac{1}{2}\lambda_1 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_4 - \lambda_5 + \lambda_6 - \lambda_7] \partial\beta\partial^2\beta\gamma \\ & + [m^2b^{-2}\lambda_6 - \lambda_8] \partial^2\beta\partial\phi^2 + [-mb^{-1}\lambda_7 + (b+b^{-1}(1-m))\lambda_8] \partial^2\beta\partial^2\phi. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

Let us solve the equation $\mathcal{R}_{\{\lambda_i\}} \simeq 0$. This leads to a system of 8 linear equations for the 8 unknowns $\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_8$. Actually, the first five equations form a closed subsystem of equations for $\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_5$. This

subsystem has a nonzero solution only if its determinant vanishes, that is if

$$(m-1)(m-2)(m-b^2)(m-2b^2) = 0. \quad (4.23)$$

The four solutions of this equation correspond to the Liouville operators $V_{-\frac{m}{2b}}$ being degenerate, leading to BPZ differential equations of order 2 or 3. This is therefore a strong check of our claim that the ansatz (2.18) does define conformal field theories with symmetry algebras generated by T, J^3, J^- . Let us perform a more detailed check in the case $m = 2$, by explicitly computing the nonzero solution to the system $\mathcal{R}_{\{\lambda_i\}} = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2}b^2, \quad \lambda_2 = 2, \quad \lambda_3 = 2b^{-2} + 1, \quad \lambda_4 = \frac{3}{2}b^2, \quad \lambda_5 = \frac{1}{2}(b^2 + 1 - 2b^{-2}), \\ \lambda_6 = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad \lambda_7 = -1 + b^{-2}, \quad \lambda_8 = -2b^{-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

With these values of λ_i , the operator $\mathcal{R}_{\{\lambda_i\}}$ (4.16) does agree with the operator \mathcal{R} (4.14), which we found by reformulating the third-order BPZ equation.

5. Concluding remarks

We have argued that for any choice of m and the central charge c , the object $\Omega_n^{(m)}$ of eq. (2.18) can be interpreted as an n -point correlation function in a conformal field theory with a chiral symmetry algebra generated by the fields T, J^3, J^- with OPEs (3.14-3.19). This conformal field theory is solvable in the sense that its correlation functions are known in terms of Liouville correlation functions. Liouville theory itself has been solved in the sense that its three-point function on the sphere is explicitly known, while its n -point functions on arbitrary Riemann surfaces can in principle be deduced thanks to the conformal symmetry of the theory. (See [1] for a review.) The solution of the new theory is therefore not very explicit, and no closed formula can be written for its three-point function except for some “degenerate” values of m (3.22) when it satisfies a differential equation.

This differential equation provided the most non-trivial test of our claims. In the case $m = 2$, we compared the differential equation deduced from our chiral symmetry algebra with the third-order BPZ equation, and found explicit agreement. We expect such an agreement to hold for all degenerate values of m , and it would be interesting to check this beyond case-by-case calculations. A general understanding of this issue would probably require some clarification of the status of the differential equation, which from the point of view of the T, J^3, J^- algebra is not a null-vector equation. The object \mathcal{R} (4.14), which is at the origin of this differential equation, only becomes a null vector if we mod out the (nilpotent) ideal generated by J^- . But this would yield a different symmetry algebra, which may well be interesting in its own right, but is not relevant to our purposes.

There may exist other theories based on the same symmetry algebra. For instance, in the case $m = 1$, the non-rational, non-unitary H_3^+ model shares its symmetry algebra with the unitary AdS_3 WZW model and with the rational SU_2 WZW models. For general values of m , our model remains non-rational and non-unitary. (The lack of unitarity still follows from Gawedzki’s H_3^+ -model argument [2], although it is not quite clear what the scalar product on the spectrum is, as J^- has no conjugate field.) It would be interesting to construct rational or unitary theories based on the

same symmetry algebra. The correlators of such theories would however probably not be related to correlators of Liouville theory or minimal models. This is because our reasoning around eq. (3.21), which argued that the modes of T , J^- and J^3 did generate the whole H_3^+ spectrum, relied on the fact that the spectrum is purely continuous. But the AdS_3 and SU_2 WZW models involve discrete and finite-dimensional representations respectively, which presumably cannot be generated from the modes of T , J^- and J^3 . Therefore, a rational theory based on this algebra would probably differ from the SU_2 WZW model and have a smaller spectrum.

We have not assumed any restrictions on the choice of the parameters m and c . For some purposes it might be useful to restrict say the central charge of the theory and the conformal dimensions of the fields to be real. Such restrictions could be dictated by particular applications. From the point of view of conformal field theory, the new theories are however well-defined, and their correlators manifestly satisfy crossing symmetry, a very stringent constraint. It is therefore possible to study issues like the solution of these theories on Riemann surfaces with boundaries.

Acknowledgments

I am grateful to Volker Schomerus, Thomas Quella and Vladimir Fateev for useful discussions and comments on the manuscript, and to DESY, Hamburg for hospitality while part of this work was done. In addition, I thank the theoretical physics groups at King's College London and Sogang University in Seoul for listening and reacting to informal talks on this subject.

References

- [1] J. Teschner, *Liouville theory revisited*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **18** (2001) R153–R222 [hep-th/0104158].
- [2] K. Gawedzki, *Noncompact wzw conformal field theories*, hep-th/9110076.
- [3] S. Ribault and J. Teschner, h_3^+ correlators from liouville theory, *JHEP* **06** (2005) 014 [hep-th/0502048].
- [4] A. A. Belavin, A. M. Polyakov and A. B. Zamolodchikov, *Infinite conformal symmetry in two-dimensional quantum field theory*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B241** (1984) 333–380.
- [5] P. Di Francesco, P. Mathieu and D. Senechal, *Conformal field theory*, . New York, USA: Springer (1997) 890 p.
- [6] K. Hosomichi and S. Ribault, *Solution of the h_3^+ model on a disc*, *JHEP* **01** (2007) 057 [hep-th/0610117].
- [7] K. Hosomichi, K. Okuyama and Y. Satoh, *Free field approach to string theory on $ads(3)$* , *Nucl. Phys.* **B598** (2001) 451–466 [hep-th/0009107].
- [8] Y. Hikida and V. Schomerus, h_3^+ wznw model from liouville field theory, *JHEP* **10** (2007) 064 [arXiv:0706.1030 [hep-th]].
- [9] P. Bouwknegt and K. Schoutens, *W symmetry in conformal field theory*, *Phys. Rept.* **223** (1993) 183–276 [hep-th/9210010].