



HAL
open science

The boundary motive: definition and basic properties

Jörg Wildeshaus

► **To cite this version:**

Jörg Wildeshaus. The boundary motive: definition and basic properties. *Compositio Mathematica*, 2006, 142, pp.631-656. 10.1112/S0010437X06001989 . hal-00262306

HAL Id: hal-00262306

<https://hal.science/hal-00262306>

Submitted on 11 Mar 2008

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

The boundary motive: definition and basic properties

by

Jörg Wildeshaus

Institut Galilée
Université Paris 13
Avenue Jean-Baptiste Clément
F-93430 Villetaneuse
France

wildesh@math.univ-paris13.fr

April 2, 2005

Abstract

We introduce the notion of the boundary motive of a scheme X over a perfect field. By definition, it measures the difference between the motive $M_{gm}(X)$ and the motive with compact support $M_{gm}^c(X)$, as defined and studied in [VSF]. We develop three tools to compute the boundary motive in terms of the geometry of a compactification of X : co-localization, invariance under abstract blow-up, and analytical invariance. We then prove auto-duality of the boundary motive of a smooth scheme X . As a formal consequence of this, and of co-localization, we obtain a fourth computational tool, namely localization for the boundary motive. In a sequel to this work [W], these tools will be applied to Shimura varieties.

Keywords: finite correspondences, Nisnevich sheaves with transfers, motives, motives with compact support.

Math. Subj. Class. (2000) numbers: 14F42 (14C25, 19E15).

Contents

0	Introduction	2
1	Notations and conventions	5
2	Definition of the boundary motive	7
3	Co-localization	8
4	Invariance under abstract blow-up	10
5	Analytical invariance	11
6	Auto-duality	15
7	Localization	27

0 Introduction

In this paper, we define the boundary motive $\partial M_{gm}(X)$ of a scheme X over a perfect field k . By its very construction, it is part of an exact triangle

$$\partial M_{gm}(X) \longrightarrow M_{gm}(X) \longrightarrow M_{gm}^c(X) \longrightarrow \partial M_{gm}(X)[1],$$

where $M_{gm}(X)$ and $M_{gm}^c(X)$ denote the motive of X and its motive with compact support, respectively. The exact triangle is in the triangulated category $DM_-^{eff}(k)$ of effective motivic complexes which, as $M_{gm}(X)$ and $M_{gm}^c(X)$ was defined in [VSF]. We refer to Section 1 for a review of these constructions. We expect this exact triangle to be of a certain interest. First, it induces long exact sequences for motivic homology and cohomology. More generally, any exact functor to a triangulated category D will induce long exact sequences of Ext-groups in D which are a priori compatible with the sequence in motivic homology resp. cohomology. Second, the exact triangle itself can be employed to construct explicit extensions of objects in $DM_-^{eff}(k)$, i.e., classes in motivic cohomology.

Note that most of the existing attempts to prove the Beilinson or Bloch–Kato conjectures on special values of L -functions necessitate the explicit construction of elements in motivic cohomology. Furthermore, the realizations (Betti, de Rham, étale...) of these elements can often be constructed out from the cohomology of non-compact varieties, using the respective realizations of our exact triangle

$$\partial M_{gm}(X) \longrightarrow M_{gm}(X) \longrightarrow M_{gm}^c(X) \longrightarrow \partial M_{gm}(X)[1].$$

This approach is clearly present e.g. in Harder’s work on special values. Our definition may thus be seen as an attempt to give a rigorous motivic meaning to these constructions.

In order to efficiently apply our new notion, one is naturally led to look for means to identify the boundary motive. We develop three tools to compute $\partial M_{gm}(X)$: co-localization (Section 3), invariance under abstract blow-up (Section 4), and analytical invariance (Section 5). In a sequel to this work [W], they will be applied to Shimura varieties, yielding in particular a motivic version of Pink’s theorem on higher direct images of étale sheaves in the Baily–Borel compactification.

All our tools are based on the identification of $\partial M_{gm}(X)$ with the motive associated to the diagram of schemes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \emptyset & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \partial\bar{X} & \longrightarrow & \bar{X} \end{array}$$

for a compactification \bar{X} of X , with $\partial\bar{X} := \bar{X} - X$ (see Proposition 2.4 for the precise statement). Let us insist that the definition of $\partial M_{gm}(X)$ does not involve such a compactification.

Co-localization is the motivic analogue of the dual of the localization spectral sequence associated to a stratification of $\partial\bar{X}$. In the context of Betti cohomology (say), a good stratification $\partial\bar{X} = \coprod Y_m$ induces a spectral sequence converging to the cohomology of $\partial\bar{X}$, and with E_2 -terms given by cohomology with compact support of the Y_m . If one wants to express the analogue of the E_2 -terms as motives rather than motives with compact support, one is led to imitate the dual spectral sequence, whose E_2 -terms are equal to usual cohomology of Y_m , but with coefficients given by the exceptional inverse images of the coefficients on $\partial\bar{X}$. This explains our choice of notation for the motives “with coefficients” occurring in Sections 3–5, and more importantly, the exact nature of their behaviour. It turns out that in order to get a clean statement, co-localization (Theorem 3.4) has to be formulated more generally for diagrams of the type

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y' - Y & \longrightarrow & W - Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y' & \longrightarrow & W \end{array}$$

and for stratifications of Y , where $Y \hookrightarrow Y' \hookrightarrow W$ are closed immersions of not necessarily proper schemes over k . This diagram should be seen as modeling cohomology of the “motivic sheaf” $i_Y^! j_! \mathbb{Z}$, where $i_Y : Y \hookrightarrow W$ and $j : W - Y' \hookrightarrow W$. Due to the lack of sufficient covariance properties of the functor M_{gm}^c , it does not seem obvious to geometrically model cohomology

with compact support of $i_Y^* j_* \mathbb{Z}$. We refer to Definition 7.1 for a partial remedy to this problem.

Given the sheaf theoretical point of view, invariance under abstract blow-up and analytical invariance come as no surprise: in the context of complex spaces (say), the cohomology of $i_Y^! j_! \mathbb{Z}$ can be computed after proper base change to a second diagram as above, provided that this base change induces an isomorphism above the complement $W - Y'$. Theorem 4.1 is the motivic analogue of this invariance. Verdier's theory of the specialization functor shows that the sheaf $i_Y^! j_! \mathbb{Z}$ on Y can be computed on the normal cone of Y along W . Since analytically isomorphic situations near Y lead to the same normal cone, this implies that $i_Y^! j_! \mathbb{Z}$ can be computed with respect to a second set of closed immersions $Y \hookrightarrow Y'_2 \hookrightarrow W_2$, provided that the formal completions of W_2 , resp. of Y'_2 along Y agree with those of W , resp. of Y' along Y . Theorem 5.1 states that the motivic analogue of this latter statement holds. While co-localization and invariance under abstract blow-up are direct consequences of the material contained in [VSF], the proof of analytical invariance uses in addition the full force of Artin approximation.

In Section 6, we generalize duality for bivariant cycle cohomology [VSF, Thm. IV.7.4], in order to establish an important structural property of the boundary motive $\partial M_{gm}(X)$ of a smooth scheme X of pure dimension n : it is canonically isomorphic to its own dual $\partial M_{gm}(X)^*$, twisted by n and shifted by $2n - 1$ (Theorem 6.1). As one formal consequence of this autoduality, and of co-localization, we obtain a fourth tool to compute $\partial M_{gm}(X)$, namely localization (Theorem 7.3, Corollary 7.4) in the context of stratifications $\partial \overline{X} = \coprod Y_m$, for a compactification \overline{X} of a smooth scheme X (with $\partial \overline{X} = \overline{X} - X$ as above).

Sections 3–6 are logically independent of each other. Section 1 serves as basis for all that is to follow, and Section 7 uses everything said before. All results from Sections 4, 6 and 7 require resolution of singularities for the base field k .

This work was done while I was enjoying a *délégation auprès du CNRS*, and during visits to the *Sonderforschungsbereich 478* of the University of Münster, and to the *Institut de Matemàtica* of the University of Barcelona. I am grateful to all three institutions. I also wish to thank J. Barge, C. Deninger, F. Lemma, M. Levine and F. Morel for useful discussions and comments.

1 Notations and conventions

Our main and almost only reference is the book [VSF]. When citing a result from its Chapter n , we shall precede the numbering used in [loc. cit.] by N , where N is the symbol representing n in the Roman number system. Example: Proposition 3.1.3 from Chapter 5 from [VSF] will be cited as [VSF, Prop. V.3.1.3].

We follow the notation of [VSF]. Fix a perfect base field k . Denote by Sch/k the category of schemes which are separated and of finite type over k , and by Sm/k the full sub-category of objects which are smooth over k . Recall the definition of the category $SmCor(k)$ [VSF, p. 190]: its objects are those of Sm/k . Morphisms from Y to X are given by the group $c(Y, X)$ of *finite correspondences* from Y to X , defined as the free Abelian group on the symbols (Z) , where Z runs through the integral closed sub-schemes of $Y \times_k X$ which are finite over Y and surjective over a connected component of Y . Note for later use that the definition of $c(Y, X)$ still makes sense when $X \in Sch/k$ is not necessarily smooth. The category $Shv_{Nis}(SmCor(k))$ of *Nisnevich sheaves with transfers* [VSF, Def. V.3.1.1] is the category of those contravariant additive functors from $SmCor(k)$ to Abelian groups, whose restriction to Sm/k is a sheaf for the Nisnevich topology. This category is Abelian [VSF, Thm. V.3.1.4]. Inside the derived category $D^-(Shv_{Nis}(SmCor(k)))$ of complexes bounded from above, one defines the full sub-category $DM_-^{eff}(k)$ of *effective motivic complexes* over k [VSF, p. 205] as the one consisting of objects whose cohomology sheaves are *homotopy invariant* [VSF, Def. V.3.1.10]. Since k is supposed to be perfect, this sub-category is triangulated [VSF, Prop. V.3.1.13]. According to [VSF, Prop. V.3.2.3], the inclusion of $DM_-^{eff}(k)$ into $D^-(Shv_{Nis}(SmCor(k)))$ admits a left adjoint \mathbf{RC} , which is induced from the functor

$$\underline{C}_* : Shv_{Nis}(SmCor(k)) \longrightarrow C^-(Shv_{Nis}(SmCor(k)))$$

which maps F to the simple complex associated to the *singular simplicial complex* [VSF, p. 207]. Its n -th term (in homological numbering) $\underline{C}_n(F)$ sends X to $F(X \times_k \Delta^n)$.

One defines two functors L and L^c from Sch/k to $Shv_{Nis}(SmCor(k))$ [VSF, pp. 223, 224]: the functor L associates to X the Nisnevich sheaf with transfers $c(\bullet, X)$. The functor L^c maps X to

$$Y \longmapsto z(Y, X) ,$$

$z(Y, X)$ being defined as the free Abelian group on the symbols (Z) , where Z runs through the integral closed sub-schemes of $Y \times_k X$ which are quasi-finite over Y and dominant over a connected component of Y . One defines the *motive* $M_{gm}(X)$ of $X \in Sch/k$ as $\mathbf{RC}(L(X))$, and the *motive with compact support* $M_{gm}^c(X)$ as $\mathbf{RC}(L^c(X))$.

For certain applications, it is of interest to enlarge the domain of the functor L : denote by Sch^∞/k the category of schemes which are separated and *locally* of finite type over k . The functor L extends, with the same definition of $c(\bullet, X)$ as above. This identifies $L(X)$ with the filtered direct limit of the $L(U)$, with U running through the open sub-schemes of X which are of finite type over k . This observation allows to use certain results from [VSF] also for the motives $M_{gm}(X) := \mathbf{RC}(L(X)) \in DM_-^{eff}(k)$, with X in Sch^∞/k .

We shall also use another, more geometric approach to motives, i.e., the one developed in [VSF, V.2.1]. There, the triangulated category $DM_{gm}^{eff}(k)$ of *effective geometrical motives* over k is defined. There is a canonical full triangulated embedding of $DM_{gm}^{eff}(k)$ into $DM_-^{eff}(k)$ [VSF, Thm. V.3.2.6], which maps the geometrical motive of $X \in Sm/k$ [VSF, Def. V.2.1.1] to $M_{gm}(X)$. Using this embedding, we consider $M_{gm}(X)$ as an object of $DM_{gm}^{eff}(k)$. Finally, the category $DM_{gm}(k)$ of *geometrical motives* over k is obtained from $DM_{gm}^{eff}(k)$ by inverting the *Tate motive* $\mathbb{Z}(1)$ [VSF, p. 192]. All four categories $DM_{gm}^{eff}(k)$, $DM_{gm}(k)$, $D^-(Shv_{Nis}(SmCor(k)))$, and $DM_-^{eff}(k)$ are tensor triangulated, and admit unit objects [VSF, Prop. V.2.1.3, Cor. V.2.1.5, p. 206, Thm. V.3.2.6]. These tensor structures are such that for all $X, Y \in Sm/k$, one has

$$M_{gm}(X) \otimes M_{gm}(Y) = M_{gm}(X \times_k Y)$$

in $DM_{gm}^{eff}(k)$, and

$$L(X) \otimes L(Y) = L(X \times_k Y)$$

in $D^-(Shv_{Nis}(SmCor(k)))$. The unit object of $DM_{gm}^{eff}(k)$ is $M_{gm}(\text{Spec } k)$, and that of $D^-(Shv_{Nis}(SmCor(k)))$ is $L(\text{Spec } k)$. Both of them are denoted by $\mathbb{Z}(0)$. For $M \in DM_{gm}(k)$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, write $M(n)$ for the tensor product $M \otimes \mathbb{Z}(n)$. The three functors $DM_{gm}^{eff}(k) \hookrightarrow DM_-^{eff}(k)$, $DM_{gm}^{eff}(k) \rightarrow DM_{gm}(k)$, and $\mathbf{RC} : D^-(Shv_{Nis}(SmCor(k))) \rightarrow DM_-^{eff}(k)$ are compatible with the tensor structure. (By contrast, the embedding of $DM_{gm}^{eff}(k)$ into $D^-(Shv_{Nis}(SmCor(k)))$ is not; see [VSF, Remark on p. 206].) According to [VSF, Thm. V.4.3.1], the functor $DM_{gm}^{eff}(k) \rightarrow DM_{gm}(k)$ is a full triangulated embedding if k admits resolution of singularities.

Convention 1.1. Whenever we speak about resolution of singularities, it will be taken in the sense of [VSF, Def. IV.3.4].

Convention 1.2. We shall use the same symbol for $M_{gm}(X) \in DM_-^{eff}(k)$ and for its canonical representative $\underline{C}_*(L(X))$ in $C^-(Shv_{Nis}(SmCor(k)))$; similarly for $M_{gm}^c(X)$. Whenever we speak about cones of morphisms between motives, we mean the class of the cone of the morphism between the

canonical representatives. For a commutative diagram

$$\mathfrak{X} = \begin{array}{ccc} X' & \longrightarrow & X_1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_2 & \longrightarrow & X'' \end{array}$$

in Sch^∞/k , define its motive $M_{gm}(\mathfrak{X}) \in DM_-^{eff}(k)$ as \mathbf{RC} applied to the simple complex $sL(\mathfrak{X})$ associated to $L(\mathfrak{X})$, i.e., to the complex

$$L(X') \longrightarrow L(X_1) \oplus L(X_2) \longrightarrow L(X'') ,$$

which we normalize by assigning degree zero to the component $L(X'')$. A similar construction is possible for commutative diagrams in Sch^∞/k of “dimension” greater than two, provided that there are not more than two schemes on any of the lines in the diagram.

2 Definition of the boundary motive

Let $X \in Sch/k$. Note that the inclusion $c(\bullet, X) \hookrightarrow z(\bullet, X)$ induces a monomorphism

$$\iota_X : L(X) \hookrightarrow L^c(X) .$$

Definition 2.1. The *boundary motive* of X is defined as

$$\partial M_{gm}(X) := \mathbf{RC}(\mathrm{Coker} \iota_X)[-1] .$$

Note that there is a canonical quasi-isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Cone}(M_{gm}(X) \longrightarrow M_{gm}^c(X)) \longrightarrow \partial M_{gm}(X) ,$$

where the cone is to be understood as in Convention 1.2. We have:

Proposition 2.2. *There is an exact triangle*

$$\partial M_{gm}(X) \longrightarrow M_{gm}(X) \longrightarrow M_{gm}^c(X) \longrightarrow \partial M_{gm}(X)[1]$$

in $DM_-^{eff}(k)$.

Corollary 2.3. *Assume that k admits resolution of singularities. Then the boundary motive $\partial M_{gm}(X)$ belongs to $DM_{gm}^{eff}(k)$.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 2.2, the fact that the embedding of $DM_{gm}^{eff}(k)$ into $DM_-^{eff}(k)$ is triangulated, and [VSF, Cor. V.4.1.4 and Cor. V.4.1.6]. **q.e.d.**

The definition of the boundary motive does not involve a compactification of X . However, the tools to compute $\partial M_{gm}(X)$ which we shall develop in the sequel are based on the following:

Proposition 2.4. *Let \overline{X} be a compactification of $X \in \text{Sch}/k$, and define $\partial\overline{X}$ as the complement $\overline{X} - X$, equipped with the reduced scheme structure. There is a canonical morphism*

$$\text{Cone} \left(M_{gm} \left(X \coprod \partial\overline{X} \right) \rightarrow M_{gm}(\overline{X}) \right) \longrightarrow \partial M_{gm}(X)$$

in $C^-(\text{Shv}_{\text{Nis}}(\text{SmCor}(k)))$, which becomes an isomorphism in $DM_-^{eff}(k)$ if k admits resolution of singularities.

Proof. Consider the exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow L(X) \longrightarrow L^c(X) \longrightarrow \text{Coker } \iota_X \longrightarrow 0,$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow L^c(\partial\overline{X}) \longrightarrow L^c(\overline{X}) \longrightarrow L^c(X).$$

Observe that since $\partial\overline{X}$ and \overline{X} are proper, we have $L(\partial\overline{X}) = L^c(\partial\overline{X})$ and $L(\overline{X}) = L^c(\overline{X})$. The monomorphism $L(X) \hookrightarrow L^c(X)$ factors through $L(\overline{X})$. Hence the exact sequences induce a monomorphism between the quotient $L(\overline{X})/(L(X) \coprod \partial\overline{X})$ and $\text{Coker } \iota_X$, whose cokernel is identical to that of the restriction $L(\overline{X}) = L^c(\overline{X}) \rightarrow L^c(X)$. According to [VSF, Prop. V.4.1.5], this latter cokernel has trivial image under \mathbf{RC} if k admits resolution of singularities. **q.e.d.**

3 Co-localization

Consider the geometric situation of Proposition 2.4: let $X \in \text{Sch}/k$, choose a compactification \overline{X} of X , and define $\partial\overline{X} := \overline{X} - X$. In this section, we develop the motivic analogue of the dual of the localization spectral sequence associated to a stratification of $\partial\overline{X}$. It turns out to be useful to consider a more general geometric situation: fix closed immersions $Y \hookrightarrow Y' \hookrightarrow W$ in Sch^∞/k . Write j for the open immersion of $W - Y'$, and i_Y for the closed immersion of Y into W . Denote by \mathfrak{Y} the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y' - Y & \longrightarrow & W - Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y' & \longrightarrow & W \end{array}$$

Definition 3.1. The *motivic of Y with coefficients in $i_Y^! j_! \mathbb{Z}$* is defined as

$$M_{gm}(Y, i_Y^! j_! \mathbb{Z}) := M_{gm}(\mathfrak{Y})$$

(see Convention 1.2).

Remark 3.2. Note that $M_{gm}(Y, i_Y^! j_! \mathbb{Z})$ lies in $DM_{gm}^{eff}(k)$ if W and Y' are in Sm/k . If W is in Sch/k , then the same is true if k admits resolution of singularities [VSF, Cor. V.4.1.4].

Remark 3.3. If $Y = Y'$, i.e., if i_Y is complementary to j , then \mathfrak{Y} acquires the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \emptyset & \longrightarrow & W - Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y & \longrightarrow & W \end{array}$$

and we have

$$M_{gm}(Y, i_Y^! j! \mathbb{Z}) = \text{Cone} \left(M_{gm} \left((W - Y) \amalg Y \right) \rightarrow M_{gm}(W) \right) [1].$$

If in addition W is proper, then Proposition 2.4 relates $M_{gm}(Y, i_Y^! j! \mathbb{Z})$ to $\partial M_{gm}(W - Y)[1]$.

Now assume given a filtration

$$\emptyset = \mathfrak{F}_{-1}Y \subset \mathfrak{F}_0Y \subset \dots \subset \mathfrak{F}_dY = Y$$

of Y by closed sub-schemes. It induces a stratification of Y by locally closed sub-schemes $Y_m := \mathfrak{F}_mY - \mathfrak{F}_{m-1}Y$, for $m = 0, \dots, d$. Define W^m as the complement of $\mathfrak{F}_{m-1}Y$ in W . This gives a descending partial filtration of W by open sub-schemes. Note in particular that we have $W^0 = W$ and $W^{d+1} = W - Y$. Write i_{Y_m} for the closed immersion of Y_m into W^m . By abuse of notation, we use the letter j to denote also the open immersions of $W - Y'$ into W^m .

Theorem 3.4 (Co-localization). *There is a canonical chain of morphisms*

$$M^{d+1} = 0 \xrightarrow{\gamma^d} M^d \xrightarrow{\gamma^{d-1}} M^{d-1} \xrightarrow{\gamma^{d-2}} \dots \xrightarrow{\gamma^0} M^0 = M_{gm}(Y, i_Y^! j! \mathbb{Z})$$

in $C^-(\text{Shv}_{\text{Nis}}(\text{SmCor}(k)))$. For each $m \in \{0, \dots, d\}$, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\text{Cone } \gamma^m \cong M_{gm}(Y_m, i_{Y_m}^! j! \mathbb{Z})[-1]$$

and hence, a canonical exact triangle

$$M_{gm}(Y_m, i_{Y_m}^! j! \mathbb{Z})[-1] \longrightarrow M^{m+1} \xrightarrow{\gamma^m} M^m \longrightarrow M_{gm}(Y_m, i_{Y_m}^! j! \mathbb{Z})$$

in $DM_-^{eff}(k)$. In particular, all the M^m represent objects in $DM_-^{eff}(k)$. If k admits resolution of singularities, then all the M^m represent objects in $DM_{gm}^{eff}(k)$.

Proof. Consider the induced filtration $Y'^m := Y' - \mathfrak{F}_{m-1}Y = W^m \cap Y'$, and define $M^m := M_{gm}(\mathfrak{Y}^m)$, where \mathfrak{Y}^m is the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y' - Y & \longrightarrow & W - Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y'^m & \longrightarrow & W^m \end{array}$$

Now note that $M_{gm}(Y_m, i_{Y_m}^! j_! \mathbb{Z})$ is the motive associated to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y^{m+1} & \longrightarrow & W^{m+1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y^m & \longrightarrow & W^m \end{array}$$

q.e.d.

4 Invariance under abstract blow-up

Fix a proper morphism $\pi : W_1 \rightarrow W_2$, and closed immersions $Y_2 \hookrightarrow Y_2' \hookrightarrow W_2$ in Sch^∞/k . Write $Y_1 \hookrightarrow Y_1' \hookrightarrow W_1$ for the base change of these immersions, j_m for the open immersion of $W_m - Y_m'$, and i_{Y_m} for the closed immersion of Y_m into W_m :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Y_1 & \xrightarrow{i_{Y_1}} & W_1 & \xleftarrow{j_1} & W_1 - Y_1' \\ \downarrow & & \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ Y_2 & \xrightarrow{i_{Y_2}} & W_2 & \xleftarrow{j_2} & W_2 - Y_2' \end{array}$$

Theorem 4.1 (Invariance under abstract blow-up). *Assume that*

$$\pi : W_1 - Y_1' \longrightarrow W_2 - Y_2'$$

is an isomorphism. If k admits resolution of singularities, then the map

$$\pi : M_{gm}(Y_1, i_{Y_1}^! j_{1!} \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow M_{gm}(Y_2, i_{Y_2}^! j_{2!} \mathbb{Z})$$

is an isomorphism.

Recall that $M_{gm}(Y_m, i_{Y_m}^! j_m! \mathbb{Z})$ is associated to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_m' - Y_m & \longrightarrow & W_m - Y_m \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y_m' & \longrightarrow & W_m \end{array}$$

Since the two rows are of the same nature, Theorem 4.1 is a formal consequence of the following:

Theorem 4.2. *Consider closed immersions $Y_1' \hookrightarrow W_1$ and $Y_2' \hookrightarrow W_2$ in Sch^∞/k , and a proper morphism $\pi : W_1 \rightarrow W_2$ identifying Y_1' with the fibre product $W_1 \times_{W_2} Y_2'$, and inducing an isomorphism from $W_1 - Y_1'$ to $W_2 - Y_2'$. If k admits resolution of singularities, then the monomorphism*

$$\pi : L(W_1)/L(Y_1') \longrightarrow L(W_2)/L(Y_2')$$

in $Shv_{Nis}(SmCor(k))$ induces an isomorphism $\mathbf{RC}(\pi)$ in $DM_-^{eff}(k)$.

Proof. (See [VSF, Prop. V.4.1.3] and its proof.) The sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow L(Y'_1) \longrightarrow L(W_1) \oplus L(Y'_2) \longrightarrow L(W_2)$$

is exact, and the quotient $L(W_2)/(L(W_1) + L(Y'_2))$ satisfies the condition of [VSF, Thm. V.4.1.2]. **q.e.d.**

5 Analytical invariance

Fix closed immersions $Y \hookrightarrow Y'_1 \hookrightarrow W_1$ and $Y \hookrightarrow Y'_2 \hookrightarrow W_2$ in Sch^∞/k . Write j_m for the open immersion of $W_m - Y'_m$, and $i_{Y,m}$ for the closed immersion of Y into W_m . The aim of this section is to prove the following:

Theorem 5.1 (Analytical invariance). *Assume given an isomorphism*

$$f : (W_1)_Y \xrightarrow{\sim} (W_2)_Y$$

of formal completions along Y inducing an isomorphism $(Y'_1)_Y \cong (Y'_2)_Y$, and compatible with the immersions $i_{Y,m}$ of Y . Then f induces an isomorphism

$$M_{gm}(Y, i_{Y,1}^! j_{1!} \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{gm}(Y, i_{Y,2}^! j_{2!} \mathbb{Z})$$

in $DM_-^{eff}(k)$.

Remark 5.2. Using Proposition 2.4 together with Remark 3.3, we deduce the following statement from Theorem 5.1, assuming that k admits resolution of singularities: let \overline{X}_m be a compactification of $X_m \in Sch/k$, $m = 1, 2$, and set $\partial\overline{X}_m := \overline{X}_m - X_m$ (with the reduced scheme structure). Assume that there is an isomorphism $\partial\overline{X}_1 \cong \partial\overline{X}_2$, which can be extended to an isomorphism between the formal completions of \overline{X}_m along $\partial\overline{X}_m$. Then $\partial M_{gm}(X_1)$ and $\partial M_{gm}(X_2)$ are isomorphic.

Note however that in practice, it may not always be possible to identify the formal completion of $\partial\overline{X}$ along a given compactification \overline{X} of a scheme X . Actually, one might control the formal completion of an abstract blow-up of each stratum belonging to a stratification of $\partial\overline{X}$. In order to compute $\partial M_{gm}(X)$ in such a situation, one first applies co-localization with respect to the stratification, then uses invariance under abstract blow-up for each stratum, and finally analytical invariance as stated in the above generality.

The main technical ingredient of the proof of Theorem 5.1 is the following consequence of Artin approximation:

Theorem 5.3 (Artin). *Let S be the spectrum of a field or of an excellent Dedekind domain, W_1 and W_2 two S -schemes which are locally of finite type, Y_m closed sub-schemes of W_m , $y_m \in Y_m$, and \mathfrak{a}_m the ideal of Y_m in the Henselization \mathcal{O}_{W_m, y_m}^h of the local ring \mathcal{O}_{W_m, y_m} . Denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{W_m, y_m}$ the*

completion of \mathcal{O}_{W_m, y_m}^h with respect to \mathfrak{a}_m , for $m = 1, 2$.

(a) If $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{W_1, y_1} \cong \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{W_2, y_2}$ over \mathcal{O}_S , then y_1 and y_2 have a common Nisnevich neighbourhood: there exists an S -scheme W' , a point $y' \in W'$, and étale morphisms $W' \rightarrow W_m$ mapping y' to y_m , for $m = 1, 2$, which identify the residue fields $\kappa(y_1) \cong \kappa(y') \cong \kappa(y_2)$.

(b) Assume that in addition we are given an isomorphism $Y_1 \cong Y_2$. Assume that the isomorphism in (a) maps the completed ideal $\widehat{\mathfrak{a}}_1$ isomorphically to $\widehat{\mathfrak{a}}_2$, and that the induced isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{Y_1, y_1}^h \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y_2, y_2}^h$ is compatible with the given isomorphism $Y_1 \cong Y_2$. Then the Nisnevich neighbourhood W' in (a) can be chosen such that in addition

$$Y' := W' \times_{W_1} Y_1 = W' \times_{W_2} Y_2$$

as sub-schemes of W' , and the induced étale morphisms $Y' \rightarrow Y_m$ are compatible with the isomorphism $Y_1 \cong Y_2$.

Proof. This is a variant of [A, Cor. (2.6)]. In fact, the results stated in Section 2 of [loc. cit.] are the translations of the main results of Section 1 only in the case when the ideal of definition is the maximal ideal of the point in question. In order to deduce the variant from [A, Thm. (1.12)], one faithfully imitates the proof of [A, Cor. (2.6)]. **q.e.d.**

Corollary 5.4. *With S , W_m and Y_m as in Theorem 5.3, assume given an isomorphism $Y_1 \cong Y_2$, which extends to an isomorphism*

$$f : (W_1)_{Y_1} \xrightarrow{\sim} (W_2)_{Y_2}$$

of formal completions. Then there are Nisnevich coverings \mathfrak{W}_m of W_m of the form

$$\mathfrak{W}_1 = \{W'_i \mid i \in I\} \coprod \{W_1 - Y_1\} \quad , \quad \mathfrak{W}_2 = \{W'_i \mid i \in I\} \coprod \{W_2 - Y_2\}$$

(with the same W'_i !) such that for any $i \in I$, one has

$$Y'_i := W'_i \times_{W_1} Y_1 = W'_i \times_{W_2} Y_2$$

as sub-schemes of W'_i , and the induced étale morphisms $Y'_i \rightarrow Y_m$ are compatible with the isomorphism $Y_1 \cong Y_2$.

We turn to the proof of Theorem 5.1. Recall that $M_{gm}(Y, i_{Y,m}^! j_{m!} \mathbb{Z})$ is associated to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y'_m - Y & \longrightarrow & W_m - Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y'_m & \longrightarrow & W_m \end{array}$$

Since the two columns are of the same nature, Theorem 5.1 is a formal consequence of parts (a) and (b) of the following:

Theorem 5.5. Consider closed immersions $Y \hookrightarrow W_1$ and $Y \hookrightarrow W_2$ in Sch^∞/k , which extend to an isomorphism

$$f : (W_1)_Y \xrightarrow{\sim} (W_2)_Y$$

of formal completions along Y .

(a) There is an isomorphism

$$L(W_1)/L(W_1 - Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} L(W_2)/L(W_2 - Y)$$

of Nisnevich sheaves with transfers, and depending only on f .

(b) The isomorphism $L(W_1)/L(W_1 - Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} L(W_2)/L(W_2 - Y)$ is compatible with restriction of the W_m to sub-schemes containing Y .

(c) The isomorphism $L(W_1)/L(W_1 - Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} L(W_2)/L(W_2 - Y)$ is compatible with restriction of Y .

Proof. Choose Nisnevich coverings

$$\mathfrak{W}_m = \{W'_i \mid i \in I\} \amalg \{W_m - Y\}$$

as in Corollary 5.4. Set

$$W' := \coprod_{i \in I} W'_i,$$

and write α_m for the coproduct of the étale morphisms from the W'_i to W_m , for $m = 1, 2$. By Corollary 5.4, we have

$$Y' := W' \times_{W_1} Y = W' \times_{W_2} Y,$$

and α_1 and α_2 coincide on Y' . Using [VSF, Prop. V.3.1.3], we see that we have exact sequences

$$\frac{L(W' \times_{W_1} W')}{L((W' - Y') \times_{W_1} (W' - Y'))} \xrightarrow{pr_*^1 - pr_*^2} \frac{L(W')}{L(W' - Y')} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{1*}} \frac{L(W_1)}{L(W_1 - Y)} \longrightarrow 0,$$

$$\frac{L(W' \times_{W_2} W')}{L((W' - Y') \times_{W_2} (W' - Y'))} \longrightarrow \frac{L(W')}{L(W' - Y')} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{2*}} \frac{L(W_2)}{L(W_2 - Y)} \longrightarrow 0,$$

of Nisnevich sheaves with transfers. Let us show that the map α_{2*} is zero on the image of $L(W' \times_{W_1} W')/L((W' - Y') \times_{W_1} (W' - Y'))$. We imitate the proof of [VSF, Prop. II.4.3.9]. It is sufficient to show the following claim:

(*) For any local Henselian scheme S which is smooth over k , the composition

$$c(S, W' \times_{W_1} W') \xrightarrow{pr_*^1 - pr_*^2} c(S, W') \xrightarrow{\alpha_{2*}} \frac{c(S, W_2)}{c(S, W_2 - Y)}$$

is trivial.

Note that the presheaves $U \mapsto c(U, T)$, for $T \in \text{Sch}^\infty/k$, can be extended in an obvious way to the category of smooth k -schemes which are not necessarily of finite type. For the proof of (*), we shall repeatedly apply the following principle, valid since S is Henselian: for any $T \in \text{Sch}^\infty/k$, the support of any element of $c(S, T)$ is a disjoint union of local Henselian schemes. This principle reduces us to consider only cycles in $c(S, W' \times_{W_1} W')$ of the form (Z) , where Z is a local Henselian sub-scheme of $S \times_k (W' \times_{W_1} W')$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that the closed point of Z lies over Y' , hence over Y . Write $pr_*^l(Z) = n^l \cdot (Z^l)$, with local Henselian sub-schemes Z^l of $S \times_k W'$, for $l = 1, 2$. We have $\alpha_{1*} pr_*^1 = \alpha_{1*} pr_*^2$, hence the $\alpha_{1*}(Z^l)$ are multiples of (Z_1) , for *one* local Henselian sub-scheme (Z_1) of $S \times_k W_1$. In order to show the analogous statement for the $\alpha_{2*}(Z^l)$, note first that the closed point y of Z_1 belongs to $S \times_k Y$. The support of

$$\alpha_{2*}(pr_*^1 - pr_*^2)(Z) = \alpha_{2*}(n^1 \cdot (Z^1) - n^2 \cdot (Z^2))$$

is a disjoint union of local Henselian schemes, parametrized by their closed points. But since α_1 and α_2 coincide on Y' , this support must be local, and we have indeed

$$\alpha_{2*}(pr_*^1 - pr_*^2)(Z) = r \cdot (Z_2) ,$$

for a local Henselian sub-scheme (Z_2) of $S \times_k W_2$, whose closed point is y . In order to show that $r = 0$, consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & c(S, W') & \\ \alpha_{1*} \swarrow & & \searrow \alpha_{2*} \\ c(S, W_1) & & c(S, W_2) \\ w_{1*} \searrow & & \swarrow w_{2*} \\ & c(S, \text{Spec } k) & \end{array}$$

w_m denoting the structure morphism of W_m . On the one hand,

$$w_{2*} \alpha_{2*}(pr_*^1 - pr_*^2)(Z) = w_{1*} \alpha_{1*}(pr_*^1 - pr_*^2)(Z) = w_{1*}(0) = 0 .$$

On the other hand, $w_{2*}(Z_2)$ is non-zero since Z_2 is finite over S . Hence r must indeed be zero, and thus

$$\alpha_{2*}(pr_*^1 - pr_*^2)(Z) = 0 .$$

This shows that α_{2*} is zero on the image of $pr_*^1 - pr_*^2$. By symmetry, we see that the identity on $L(W')/L(W' - Y')$ factors to give an isomorphism

$$L(W_1)/L(W_1 - Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} L(W_2)/L(W_2 - Y) .$$

In order to prove that it does not depend on the choice of the Nisnevich coverings \mathfrak{W}_m as in Corollary 5.4, use the fact that the system of such coverings is filtering. **q.e.d.**

6 Auto-duality

Throughout this section, we assume that k admits resolution of singularities. Under this assumption, $DM_{gm}(k)$ is a rigid tensor triangulated category [VSF, Thm. V.4.3.7 1. and 2.]. In particular, there exists an internal Hom functor

$$\underline{Hom} : DM_{gm}(k) \times DM_{gm}(k) \longrightarrow DM_{gm}(k) .$$

Writing $M^* := \underline{Hom}(M, \mathbb{Z}(0))$, we thus have $M = (M^*)^*$ for all $M \in DM_{gm}(k)$.

Now fix $X \in Sm/k$, and assume that X is of pure dimension n . According to [VSF, Thm. V.4.3.7 3.], there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\mu_X : M_{gm}^c(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{gm}(X)^*(n)[2n] ,$$

hence by duality, a canonical isomorphism

$$\nu_X := \mu_X^*(n)[2n] : M_{gm}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{gm}^c(X)^*(n)[2n] .$$

The aim of this section is to prove the following:

Theorem 6.1 (Auto-duality). *There exists a canonical isomorphism*

$$\eta_X : \partial M_{gm}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \partial M_{gm}(X)^*(n)[2n-1] .$$

It fits into a morphism of exact triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial M_{gm}(X) & \xrightarrow{\eta_X} & \partial M_{gm}(X)^*(n)[2n-1] \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma^*(n)[2n] \\ M_{gm}(X) & \xrightarrow{\nu_X} & M_{gm}^c(X)^*(n)[2n] \\ \beta \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta^*(n)[2n] \\ M_{gm}^c(X) & \xrightarrow{\mu_X} & M_{gm}(X)^*(n)[2n] \\ \gamma \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha^*(n)[2n] \\ \partial M_{gm}(X)[1] & \xrightarrow{\eta_X[1]} & \partial M_{gm}(X)^*(n)[2n] \end{array}$$

Furthermore, it is itself auto-dual in the sense that the equality

$$\eta_X = \eta_X^*(n)[2n-1]$$

holds.

First observe that the existence of *some* isomorphism η_X fitting into the above diagram of exact triangles is a consequence of the axioms of triangulated categories, and the commutativity of

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_{gm}(X) & \xrightarrow{\nu_X} & M_{gm}^c(X)^*(n)[2n] \\ \beta \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta^*(n)[2n] \\ M_{gm}^c(X) & \xrightarrow{\mu_X} & M_{gm}(X)^*(n)[2n] \end{array}$$

Thus, the point of auto-duality is that η_X can be defined canonically, and that this definition is itself auto-dual.

For the proof of Theorem 6.1, observe that by adjunction, the construction of η_X is equivalent to the construction of a pairing

$$(\bullet, \bullet) : \partial M_{gm}(X) \otimes \partial M_{gm}(X)[1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}(n)[2n] .$$

We are thus led to investigate morphisms in $DM_{gm}^{eff}(k)$ whose target is $\mathbb{Z}(n)[2n]$. The statement we are aiming at is Theorem 6.14. It is a generalization of [VSF, Cor. V.4.2.5]. In the above geometric context, it implies that certain codimension n -cycles on the self product $\overline{X} \times_k \overline{X}$, where \overline{X} is a smooth compactification of X , yield morphisms of the type of (\bullet, \bullet) . In order to prepare Theorem 6.14, we need to prove a variant of duality for bivariate cycle cohomology (Theorem 6.11). Let us start by recalling the definition of certain variants of the Nisnevich sheaves with transfers $L^c(V) = z(\bullet, V)$ (see [VSF, p. 228]):

Definition 6.2. Let $V \in Sch/k$, $W \in Sm/k$, and $r \geq 0$.

(a) The Nisnevich sheaf with transfers $z_{equi}(V, r)$ associates to $U \in Sm/k$ the free Abelian group on the symbols (Z) , where Z runs through the integral closed sub-schemes of $U \times_k V$ which are equidimensional of relative dimension r over U and dominant over a connected component of U .

(b) The Nisnevich sheaf with transfers $z_{equi}(W, V, r)$ maps $U \in Sm/k$ to $z_{equi}(V, r)(U \times_k W)$.

Note that the sheaves $z_{equi}(W, V, r)$ are contravariant in the first variable. Recall [VSF, Cor. V.4.1.8]:

Proposition 6.3. *The object $\mathbb{Z}(n)[2n]$ of $DM_{gm}^{eff}(k) \subset DM_-^{eff}(k)$ is represented by the complex*

$$M_{gm}^c(\mathbb{A}^n) = \underline{C}_*(z(\bullet, \mathbb{A}^n)) = \underline{C}_*(z_{equi}(\mathbb{A}^n, 0)) .$$

More generally, if $W \in Sm/k$, then the complex

$$\underline{C}_*(z_{equi}(W, \mathbb{A}^n, 0))$$

represents the functor

$$\underline{Hom}_{DM_-^{eff}(k)}(M_{gm}(W), \mathbb{Z}(n)[2n])$$

on $DM_-^{eff}(k)$ [VSF, Cor. V.4.2.7]. We need a variant of this statement:

Definition 6.4. Let $V \in Sch/k$, $W \in Sm/k$, and $r \geq 0$. Assume further that $Y \subset W$ is a closed sub-scheme.

(a) Define

$$M_{gm}(W/Y) := \underline{C}_*(L(Y \rightarrow W)) ,$$

where $L(Y \rightarrow W)$ the complex given by $L(Y)$ in degree -1 and $L(W)$ in degree zero, the differential being induced by the immersion of Y .

(b) Assume in addition that arbitrary intersections of the components Y_j of Y are smooth. Define

$$z_{equi}(Y^\bullet \rightarrow W, V, r)$$

as the complex of Nisnevich sheaves with transfers whose zeroeth component is $z_{equi}(W, V, r)$, and whose m -th component, for $m \geq 1$, is the direct sum of the $z_{equi}(Y_J, \mathbb{A}^n, 0)$, for all m -fold intersections Y_J of the Y_j . The differentials are induced by contravariance of the sheaves $z_{equi}(\bullet, V, r)$.

Of course, $M_{gm}(W/Y)$ is the same thing as M_{gm} evaluated at the diagram

$$Y \longrightarrow W$$

(see Convention 1.2).

Proposition 6.5. *Let $W \in Sm/k$. Assume further that $Y \subset W$ is a closed sub-scheme such that arbitrary intersections of the components of Y are smooth. Then the complex*

$$\underline{C}_*(z_{equi}(Y^\bullet \rightarrow W, \mathbb{A}^n, 0))$$

represents the functor

$$\underline{Hom}_{DM_-^{eff}(k)}(M_{gm}(W/Y), \mathbb{Z}(n)[2n])$$

on $DM_-^{eff}(k)$. This identification is compatible with passage from the pair $Y \subset W$ to $Y' \subset U$, for open sub-schemes U of W , and closed sub-schemes Y' of $Y \cap U$ such that arbitrary intersections of the components Y'_j of Y' are smooth.

Convention 6.6. By over-simplification of language, we shall refer to the last compatibility statement in Proposition 6.5 as “compatibility with restriction of W and Y ”.

Proof of Proposition 6.5. First, observe that the canonical morphism $M_{gm}(Y^\bullet) \rightarrow M_{gm}(Y)$ is an isomorphism. This follows from induction on the number of components Y_j . The induction step is provided by [VSF, Prop. V.4.1.3]. Similarly, $M_{gm}(Y^\bullet \rightarrow W) \rightarrow M_{gm}(W/Y)$ is an isomorphism.

As in the proof of [VSF, Prop. V.4.2.8], one has a canonical morphism of complexes *can* from $\underline{C}_*(z_{equi}(Y^\bullet \rightarrow W, \mathbb{A}^n, 0)) = \underline{C}_*(p_*p^*(L^c(\mathbb{A}^n)))$ to $Rp_*(p^*\underline{C}_*(L^c(\mathbb{A}^n)))$, where p denotes the structure morphism of the diagram $Y^\bullet \rightarrow W$. By an obvious generalization of the last part of [VSF, Prop. V.3.2.8], one has

$$Rp_*(p^*\underline{C}_*(L^c(\mathbb{A}^n))) = \underline{Hom}(M_{gm}(Y^\bullet \rightarrow W), \mathbb{Z}(n)[2n])$$

in $DM_-^{eff}(k)$. To check that *can* is an isomorphism, one uses the spectral sequences on both its source and target, associated to the stupid filtration

of $Y^\bullet \rightarrow W$. [VSF, Cor. V.4.2.7] shows that *can* is an isomorphism on the E_1 -terms of this spectral sequence. **q.e.d.**

Remark 6.7. In the situation of Proposition 6.5, assume in addition that W is of dimension at most n . By [VSF, Cor. V.4.3.6], the object $M_{gm}(W/Y)^*(n)[2n]$ of $DM_{gm}(k)$ belongs to $DM_{gm}^{eff}(k)$, and its image under the embedding into $DM_{-}^{eff}(k)$ equals $\underline{Hom}_{DM_{-}^{eff}(k)}(M_{gm}(W/Y), \mathbb{Z}(n)[2n])$. It is thus represented by the complex $\underline{C}_*(z_{equi}(Y^\bullet \rightarrow W, \mathbb{A}^n, 0))$.

Given an object F of $Shv_{Nis}(SmCor(k))$, denote by $\underline{h}^l(F)$ the l -th cohomology object of the complex $\underline{C}_*(F)$. Thus, the l -th cohomology object of $\underline{C}_*(z_{equi}(Y^\bullet \rightarrow W, \mathbb{A}^n, 0))(\text{Spec } k)$ equals $\underline{h}^l(z_{equi}(Y^\bullet \rightarrow W, \mathbb{A}^n, 0))(\text{Spec } k)$.

Corollary 6.8. *In the situation of Proposition 6.5, there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$c_{W/Y} : \underline{h}^l(z_{equi}(Y^\bullet \rightarrow W, \mathbb{A}^n, 0))(\text{Spec } k) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}(M_{gm}(W/Y), \mathbb{Z}(n)[2n+l]) .$$

Here Hom denotes morphisms in $DM_{gm}^{eff}(k)$. The isomorphism is compatible with restriction of W and Y in the sense of Convention 6.6.

Proof. First, we have

$$\text{Hom}(M_{gm}(W/Y), \mathbb{Z}(n)[2n+l]) = \text{Hom}_{DM_{-}^{eff}(k)}(M_{gm}(W/Y), \mathbb{Z}(n)[2n+l])$$

since the embedding of $DM_{gm}^{eff}(k)$ into $DM_{-}^{eff}(k)$ is full. Adjointness of \otimes and \underline{Hom} implies that $\text{Hom}_{DM_{-}^{eff}(k)}(M_{gm}(W/Y), \mathbb{Z}(n)[2n+l])$ equals

$$\text{Hom}_{DM_{-}^{eff}(k)}(M_{gm}(\text{Spec } k), \underline{Hom}_{DM_{-}^{eff}(k)}(M_{gm}(W/Y), \mathbb{Z}(n)[2n+l])) .$$

By Proposition 6.5, this group equals

$$\text{Hom}_{DM_{-}^{eff}(k)}(\mathbf{RC}(L(\text{Spec } k)), \mathbf{RC}(z_{equi}(Y^\bullet \rightarrow W, \mathbb{A}^n, 0))[l]) .$$

Now use the fact that \mathbf{RC} is left adjoint to the inclusion of $DM_{-}^{eff}(k)$ into $D^-(Shv_{Nis}(SmCor(k)))$. **q.e.d.**

For later use, we also note a consequence of the special case $Y = \emptyset$:

Corollary 6.9. *Let $W \in Sm/k$. Then the functor on open sub-schemes of W*

$$U \longmapsto \underline{C}_*(z_{equi}(U, \mathbb{A}^n, 0))$$

satisfies the Mayer–Vietoris property in the following sense: given an equality $U = U_1 \cup U_2$ of open sub-schemes of W , the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow z_{equi}(U, \mathbb{A}^n, 0) \rightarrow z_{equi}(U_1, \mathbb{A}^n, 0) \oplus z_{equi}(U_2, \mathbb{A}^n, 0) \rightarrow z_{equi}(U_1 \cap U_2, \mathbb{A}^n, 0)$$

in $Shv_{Nis}(SmCor(k))$ induces an exact triangle

$$\mathbf{RC}(z_{equi}(U, \mathbb{A}^n, 0)) \longrightarrow \mathbf{RC}(z_{equi}(U_1, \mathbb{A}^n, 0)) \oplus \mathbf{RC}(z_{equi}(U_2, \mathbb{A}^n, 0)) \longrightarrow$$

$$\longrightarrow \mathbf{RC}(z_{\text{equi}}(U_1 \cap U_2, \mathbb{A}^n, 0)) \longrightarrow \mathbf{RC}(z_{\text{equi}}(U, \mathbb{A}^n, 0))[1]$$

in $DM_-^{\text{eff}}(k)$.

Proof. This follows from Proposition 6.5 and the Mayer–Vietoris property for the functor $U \mapsto M_{\text{gm}}(U)$ [VSF, Prop. V.4.1.1]. **q.e.d.**

We need to find a way to efficiently generate elements in the group

$$\underline{h}^0(z_{\text{equi}}(Y^\bullet \rightarrow W, \mathbb{A}^n, 0))(\text{Spec } k) .$$

Definition 6.10. Let $W \in Sm/k$, and assume that W is of pure dimension m . Fix a closed sub-scheme $Y \subset W$, and an object V in Sch/k . Let $r \geq 0$. Define the sub-sheaf

$$z_{\text{equi}}(W \times_k V, m+r)_Y \subset z_{\text{equi}}(W \times_k V, m+r)$$

as follows: $U \in Sm/k$ is mapped to the free Abelian group on the symbols (Z) , where Z runs through those generators of $z_{\text{equi}}(W \times_k V, m+r)(U)$ such that for any geometric point

$$\text{Spec}(\bar{k}) \longrightarrow U \times_k Y \hookrightarrow U \times_k W$$

of $U \times_k Y$, the sub-scheme

$$Z \times_{U \times_k W} \text{Spec}(\bar{k})$$

of $V_{\bar{k}}$ is empty or of dimension r . The sheaf of Abelian monoids

$$z_{\text{equi}}^{\text{eff}}(W \times_k V, m+r)_Y \subset z_{\text{equi}}(W \times_k V, m+r)_Y$$

is defined as the intersection of $z_{\text{equi}}(W \times_k V, m+r)_Y$ with the monoid of effective cycles $z_{\text{equi}}^{\text{eff}}(W \times_k V, m+r)$ in $z_{\text{equi}}(W \times_k V, m+r)$.

One checks that $z_{\text{equi}}(W \times_k V, m+r)_Y$ and $z_{\text{equi}}^{\text{eff}}(W \times_k V, m+r)_Y$ inherit the transfers from $z_{\text{equi}}(W \times_k V, m+r)$. If one imposes the defining condition on all geometric points of $U \times_k W$ instead of just those of $U \times_k Y$, then one gets $z_{\text{equi}}(W, V, r)(U)$. Hence $z_{\text{equi}}(W, V, r)$ is a sub-sheaf of $z_{\text{equi}}(W \times_k V, m+r)_Y$:

$$\mathcal{D} : z_{\text{equi}}(W, V, r) \hookrightarrow z_{\text{equi}}(W \times_k V, m+r)_Y .$$

Define the natural inclusion

$$\iota : z_{\text{equi}}(W \times_k V, m+r)_Y \hookrightarrow z_{\text{equi}}(W \times_k V, m+r) .$$

The Moving Lemma [VSF, Thm. IV.6.3] implies (see [VSF, Lemma IV.6.6]) that if both W and V are smooth and projective, then both $\mathbf{RC}(\iota)$ and $\mathbf{RC}(\iota) \circ \mathbf{RC}(\mathcal{D})$ are isomorphisms. Hence $\mathbf{RC}(\mathcal{D})$ is an isomorphism if both W and V are smooth and projective. Our aim is to prove this statement under less restrictive hypotheses on W and V . Our result is a variant of duality for bivariant cycle cohomology [VSF, Thm. IV.7.4]:

Theorem 6.11. *Let $W \in Sm/k$ be quasi-projective, and of pure dimension m . Let $Y \subset W$ be a closed sub-scheme, and $V \in Sch/k$. Let $r \geq 0$. Then the inclusion*

$$\mathcal{D} : z_{equi}(W, V, r) \hookrightarrow z_{equi}(W \times_k V, m + r)_Y$$

induces an isomorphism $\mathbf{RC}(\mathcal{D})$. It is compatible with restriction of W and Y in the sense of Convention 6.6.

Proof. We shall follow faithfully the strategy of [VSF, pp. 172–176]. Fix compactifications \bar{W} of W and \bar{V} of V , with a smooth and projective \bar{W} . For any proper \bar{V} -scheme \bar{T} , define the morphism

$$\alpha_{\bar{T}} : z_{equi}(\bar{W} \times_k \bar{T}, m + r) \longrightarrow z_{equi}(\bar{W} \times_k \bar{V}, m + r) \longrightarrow z_{equi}(W \times_k V, m + r)$$

as the composition of proper push-forward with restriction [VSF, pp. 141–142]. Similarly, define the variant on effective cycle sheaves

$$\alpha_{\bar{T}}^{eff} : z_{equi}^{eff}(\bar{W} \times_k \bar{T}, m + r) \longrightarrow z_{equi}^{eff}(W \times_k V, m + r) .$$

Denote by $\Psi_{\bar{T}} \subset z_{equi}(\bar{W} \times_k \bar{T}, m + r)$ the sub-sheaf of Abelian groups generated by $(\alpha_{\bar{T}}^{eff})^{-1}(z_{equi}^{eff}(W \times_k V, m + r)_Y)$. We have

$$\Psi_{\bar{V}} = \alpha_{\bar{V}}^{-1}(z_{equi}(W \times_k V, m + r)_Y) .$$

We claim that the inclusion

$$\iota_{\bar{T}} : \Psi_{\bar{T}} \hookrightarrow z_{equi}(\bar{W} \times_k \bar{T}, m + r) .$$

induces a quasi-isomorphism $\underline{C}_*(\iota_{\bar{T}})$. In order to prove this claim, imitate the proof of [VSF, Prop. IV.7.3]. One uses the Moving Lemma we already cited. It is here that the projectivity assumption on \bar{W} enters.

Next, one imitates the proof of [VSF, Thm. IV.7.4], using the above instead of [VSF, Prop. IV.7.3], to see that the inclusion

$$\iota : z_{equi}(W \times_k V, m + r)_Y \hookrightarrow z_{equi}(W \times_k V, m + r)$$

induces a quasi-isomorphism $\underline{C}_*(\iota)$. The same observation, applied to the case where $Y = W$ implies that the composition $\mathbf{RC}(\iota) \circ \mathbf{RC}(\mathcal{D})$ is an isomorphism. (This is of course the original statement of [VSF, Thm. IV.7.4]).

q.e.d.

We unite the assumptions from 6.5 and 6.10: $W \in Sm/k$ is of pure dimension m , and $Y \subset W$ is a closed sub-scheme such that arbitrary intersections of the components of Y are smooth. Observe that the condition on elements in $z_{equi}(W \times_k \mathbb{A}^n, m)_Y$ ensures in particular that they intersect properly with the components $Y_j \times_k \mathbb{A}^n$ of $Y \times_k \mathbb{A}^n$. This allows to define an inverse image δ making the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} z_{equi}(W, \mathbb{A}^n, 0) & \xhookrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} & z_{equi}(W \times_k \mathbb{A}^n, m)_Y \\ \delta \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta \\ \bigoplus_j z_{equi}(Y_j, \mathbb{A}^n, 0) & \xhookrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} & \bigoplus_j z_{equi}(Y_j \times_k \mathbb{A}^n, \dim Y_j) \end{array}$$

The group in the lower right corner has to be modified if Y_j has several components of different dimension. But in fact, $z_{\text{equi}}(W \times_k \mathbb{A}^n, m)_Y$ is defined such that δ not only exists but maps $z_{\text{equi}}(W \times_k \mathbb{A}^n, m)_Y$ to $\bigoplus_j z_{\text{equi}}(Y_j, \mathbb{A}^n, 0)$. Thus we may enlarge the complex

$$z_{\text{equi}}(Y^\bullet \rightarrow W, \mathbb{A}^n, 0)$$

by replacing its zeroeth component $z_{\text{equi}}(W, \mathbb{A}^n, 0)$ with $z_{\text{equi}}(W \times_k \mathbb{A}^n, m)_Y$:

$$z_{\text{equi}}(Y^\bullet \rightarrow W, \mathbb{A}^n, 0) \subset z_{\text{equi}}(Y^\bullet \rightarrow W, \mathbb{A}^n, 0)' .$$

When W is quasi-projective, then Theorem 6.11 shows that this inclusion of complexes induces an isomorphism after application of \mathbf{RC} . In the general case, there is a (mainly notational) complication since we do not know whether the functor on open sub-schemes of W

$$U \longmapsto \underline{C}_*(z_{\text{equi}}(U \times_k \mathbb{A}^n, m)_Y)$$

satisfies the Mayer–Vietoris property. Therefore, we fix an additional geometric datum, namely a finite open covering $\{W_\alpha\}$ of W by quasi-projective schemes. Consider the covering of Y induced by $\{W_\alpha\}$, and define

$$z_{\text{equi}}(Y^\bullet \rightarrow W, \mathbb{A}^n, 0)''$$

as the simple complex of the double Čech complex associated to this covering and $z_{\text{equi}}(Y^\bullet \rightarrow W, \mathbb{A}^n, 0)'$. For example, the components of degree 0 and 1 are

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha} z_{\text{equi}}(W_\alpha \times_k \mathbb{A}^n, m)_Y$$

and

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha, j} z_{\text{equi}}(W_\alpha \cap Y_j, \mathbb{A}^n, 0) \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2} z_{\text{equi}}((W_{\alpha_1} \cap W_{\alpha_2}) \times_k \mathbb{A}^n, m)_Y .$$

Consider the natural inclusion

$$\mathcal{D} : z_{\text{equi}}(Y^\bullet \rightarrow W, \mathbb{A}^n, 0) \hookrightarrow z_{\text{equi}}(Y^\bullet \rightarrow W, \mathbb{A}^n, 0)' ,$$

and use the same symbol for the composition of \mathcal{D} with the co-augmentation

$$z_{\text{equi}}(Y^\bullet \rightarrow W, \mathbb{A}^n, 0)' \longrightarrow z_{\text{equi}}(Y^\bullet \rightarrow W, \mathbb{A}^n, 0)'' .$$

Corollary 6.12. *The morphism*

$$\mathcal{D} : z_{\text{equi}}(Y^\bullet \rightarrow W, \mathbb{A}^n, 0) \longrightarrow z_{\text{equi}}(Y^\bullet \rightarrow W, \mathbb{A}^n, 0)''$$

induces an isomorphism $\mathbf{RC}(\mathcal{D})$. In particular, different choices of coverings $W = \bigcup_{\alpha} W_{\alpha}$ give rise to the same object $\mathbf{RC}(z_{\text{equi}}(Y^\bullet \rightarrow W, \mathbb{A}^n, 0)'')$. The isomorphism $\mathbf{RC}(\mathcal{D})$ is compatible with restriction of W and Y in the sense of Convention 6.6.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 6.11 and Corollary 6.9. **q.e.d.**

Definition 6.13. Define the sub-sheaf with transfers

$$z_{\text{equi}}(W, m - n)_Y \subset z_{\text{equi}}(W, m - n)$$

as the sub-sheaf of cycles having empty intersection with Y .

Note that $z_{\text{equi}}(W, m - n)_Y$ behaves contravariantly with respect to restriction of W and Y . Flat pull-back defines a morphism

$$p_{\mathbb{A}^n}^* : z_{\text{equi}}(W, m - n)_Y[0] \longrightarrow z_{\text{equi}}(Y^\bullet \rightarrow W, \mathbb{A}^n, 0)'$$

Its composition with the co-augmentation

$$z_{\text{equi}}(Y^\bullet \rightarrow W, \mathbb{A}^n, 0)' \longrightarrow z_{\text{equi}}(Y^\bullet \rightarrow W, \mathbb{A}^n, 0)''$$

induces a morphism on the level of $\underline{h}^0(\text{Spec } k)$, denoted by the same symbol $p_{\mathbb{A}^n}^*$. Putting everything together, we obtain:

Theorem 6.14. *Let $W \in \text{Sm}/k$ be of pure dimension m , and $Y \subset W$ a closed sub-scheme such that arbitrary intersections of the components of Y are smooth. Then there is a unique morphism*

$$\text{cyc}_{W/Y} : \underline{h}^0(z_{\text{equi}}(W, m - n)_Y)(\text{Spec } k) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(M_{gm}(W/Y), \mathbb{Z}(n)[2n])$$

making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{h}^0(z_{\text{equi}}(W, m - n)_Y)(\text{Spec } k) & \xrightarrow{p_{\mathbb{A}^n}^*} & \underline{h}^0(z_{\text{equi}}(Y^\bullet \rightarrow W, \mathbb{A}^n, 0)'')(\text{Spec } k) \\ \text{cyc}_{W/Y} \downarrow & & \cong \uparrow_{\mathcal{D}} \\ \text{Hom}(M_{gm}(W/Y), \mathbb{Z}(n)[2n]) & \xleftarrow[\cong]{c_{W/Y}} & \underline{h}^0(z_{\text{equi}}(Y^\bullet \rightarrow W, \mathbb{A}^n, 0))(\text{Spec } k) \end{array}$$

Here Hom denotes morphisms in $DM_{gm}^{eff}(k)$. The morphism $\text{cyc}_{W/Y}$ is compatible with restriction of W and Y in the sense of Convention 6.6.

Proof. Apply Corollaries 6.8 and 6.12. **q.e.d.**

Remark 6.15. Another type of compatibility property with respect to change of W and Y is useful. Assume given a second pair $Y_1 \subset W_1$ of schemes satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 6.14, and a proper morphism $W_1 \rightarrow W$ identifying Y_1 with the fibre product $W_1 \times_W Y$, and inducing an isomorphism from $W_1 - Y_1$ to $W - Y$. Theorem 4.2 tells us that

$$M_{gm}(W_1/Y_1) \longrightarrow M_{gm}(W/Y)$$

is an isomorphism. On the other hand, we clearly have

$$z_{\text{equi}}(W, m - n)_Y = z_{\text{equi}}(W_1, m - n)_{Y_1} .$$

It is easy to see that in this situation, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{h}^0(z_{\text{equi}}(W, m - n)_Y)(\text{Spec } k) & \xrightarrow{=} & \underline{h}^0(z_{\text{equi}}(W_1, m - n)_{Y_1})(\text{Spec } k) \\ \text{cyc}_{W/Y} \downarrow & & \text{cyc}_{W_1/Y_1} \downarrow \\ \text{Hom}(M_{gm}(W/Y), \mathbb{Z}(n)[2n]) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{} & \text{Hom}(M_{gm}(W_1/Y_1), \mathbb{Z}(n)[2n]) \end{array}$$

commutes.

When Y is empty, then [VSF, Cor. V.4.2.5] tells us that $cyc_W := cyc_{W/\emptyset}$ is an isomorphism. We have not tried to see whether the analogous statement for $cyc_{W/Y}$ is true when Y is non-empty.

Proof of Theorem 6.1. We start by introducing the notation $Cyc^n(W)_Y$ for the group $z_{equi}(W, m-n)_Y(\text{Spec } k)$, when W and $Y \subset W$ are as before. By definition, $Cyc^n(W)_Y$ is the group of codimension n cycles on W not meeting Y . Write $Cyc^n(W)$ for the group of all codimension n cycles on W . Our proof relies on the following principles, which are consequences of Theorem 6.14, and of the definition of the tensor structure on $DM_-^{eff}(k)$:

- (A) For $i = 1, 2$, let $W_i \in Sm/k$ be of pure dimension, with closed subschemes $Y_i \subset W_i$, such that arbitrary intersections of the components of the Y_i are smooth. Then any

$$c \in Cyc^n(W_1 \times_k W_2)_{Y_1 \times_k W_2 \cup W_1 \times_k Y_2}$$

defines a pairing

$$(\bullet, \bullet)_c : M_{gm}(W_1/Y_1) \otimes M_{gm}(W_2/Y_2) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}(n)[2n] ,$$

or equivalently, a morphism

$$\varepsilon_c : M_{gm}(W_1/Y_1) \longrightarrow M_{gm}(W_2/Y_2)^*(n)[2n]$$

in $DM_{gm}(k)$. The morphism ε_c is induced by a morphism of Nisnevich sheaves

$$e_{c'} : c(\bullet, W_1)/c(\bullet, Y_1) \longrightarrow z_{equi}(Y_2^\bullet \rightarrow W_2, \mathbb{A}^n, 0)$$

defined as follows: use Corollary 6.12 to move the pull-back $p_{\mathbb{A}^n}^*(c)$ into a cycle

$$c' \in z_{equi}(W_1 \times_k W_2, \mathbb{A}^n, 0)(\text{Spec } k)$$

having empty intersection with $Y_1 \times_k W_2 \cup W_1 \times_k Y_2$. Given $U \in Sm/k$ and $\mathcal{Z} \in c(U, W_1)$, pull back \mathcal{Z} to W_2 , giving $\mathcal{Z}_{W_2} \in c(U \times_k W_2, W_1)$. Similarly, pull back c' to U , giving c'_U . Now consider the cycle

$$c' \cap \mathcal{Z}_{W_2} := Cor_{W_1 \times_k W_2 \times_k \mathbb{A}^n / W_1 \times_k W_2}(c'_U \otimes \mathcal{Z}_{W_2}) ,$$

where $Cor_{W_1 \times_k W_2 \times_k \mathbb{A}^n / W_1 \times_k W_2}$ is the correspondence homomorphism from [VSF, II.3.7]. By [VSF, Cor. II.3.7.5], we have

$$c' \cap \mathcal{Z}_{W_2} \in z_{equi}(W_2, W_1 \times_k \mathbb{A}^n, 0)(U) .$$

Furthermore, finiteness of \mathcal{Z} over U implies that $c' \cap \mathcal{Z}_{W_2}$ is finite over $U \times_k W_2 \times_k \mathbb{A}^n$. Push-forward via the projection p_2 to this product then yields

$$e_{c'}(\mathcal{Z}) := p_{2*}(c' \cap \mathcal{Z}_{W_2}) \in z_{equi}(W_2, \mathbb{A}^n, 0)(U) .$$

In fact, $e_{c'}(\mathcal{Z})$ lies in $z_{equi}(Y_2^\bullet \rightarrow W_2, \mathbb{A}^n, 0)(U)$ since c' has empty intersection with $W_1 \times_k Y_2$. Furthermore, $e_{c'}(\mathcal{Z})$ only depends on the class of \mathcal{Z} modulo $c(U, Y_1)$ since c' has empty intersection with $Y_1 \times_k W_2$.

- (B) Let $W \in Sm/k$ be of pure dimension, with a closed sub-scheme $Y \subset W$, such that arbitrary intersections of the components of Y are smooth. Let

$$c \in Cyc^n(W \times_k W)_{Y \times_k W \cup W \times_k Y}.$$

Then a sufficient condition for the pairing

$$(\bullet, \bullet)_c : M_{gm}(W/Y) \otimes M_{gm}(W/Y) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}(n)[2n]$$

to be symmetric, or equivalently, for the morphism

$$\varepsilon_c : M_{gm}(W/Y) \longrightarrow M_{gm}(W/Y)^*(n)[2n]$$

to be auto-dual: $\varepsilon_c = \varepsilon_c^*(n)[2n]$, is the symmetry of the cycle c in $Cyc^n(W \times_k W)$.

- (C) For $i = 1, \dots, 4$, let $W_i \in Sm/k$ be of pure dimension, with closed sub-schemes $Y_i \subset W_i$, such that arbitrary intersections of the components of the Y_i are smooth. For $i = 1, 2$, let $j_i : W_i \hookrightarrow W_{i+2}$ be an open immersion mapping Y_i into Y_{i+2} . Let

$$c_{1,4} \in Cyc^n(W_1 \times_k W_4)_{Y_1 \times_k W_4 \cup W_1 \times_k Y_4}$$

and

$$c_{3,2} \in Cyc^n(W_3 \times_k W_2)_{Y_3 \times_k W_2 \cup W_3 \times_k Y_2}.$$

Then a sufficient condition for the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_{gm}(W_1/Y_1) & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{c_{1,4}}} & M_{gm}(W_4/Y_4)^*(n)[2n] \\ j_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow j_2^*(n)[2n] \\ M_{gm}(W_3/Y_3) & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{c_{3,2}}} & M_{gm}(W_2/Y_2)^*(n)[2n] \end{array}$$

to commute is the equality of cycles

$$(j_1, \text{id}_{W_2})^* c_{3,2} = (\text{id}_{W_1}, j_2)^* c_{1,4}$$

in $Cyc^n(W_1 \times_k W_2)$.

Furthermore, using the compatibility of moving cycles with correspondence homomorphisms and direct images, and [VSF, Prop. II.3.7.6], one sees:

- (D) For $i = 1, 2$, let $W_i \in Sm/k$ be of pure dimension m_i , with a closed sub-scheme $Y_1 \subset W_1$, such that arbitrary intersections of the components of Y_1 are smooth. Assume that $m_2 \geq n$, and that

$$c \in Cyc^n(W_1 \times_k W_2)_{Y_1 \times_k W_2} \cap z_{equi}(W_1, W_2, m_2 - n)(\text{Spec } k).$$

Then the morphism

$$\varepsilon_c : M_{gm}(W_1/Y_1) \longrightarrow M_{gm}(W_2)^*(n)[2n]$$

associated to c is also induced by the composition of the morphism of Nisnevich sheaves

$$e'_c : c(\bullet, W_1)/c(\bullet, Y_1) \longrightarrow z_{equi}(W_2 \times_k \mathbb{A}^n, m_2)$$

with the inverse of the isomorphism $\mathbf{RC}(\mathcal{D})$ from Theorem 6.11, where e'_c is defined as follows: given $U \in Sm/k$ and $\mathcal{Z} \in c(U, W_1)$, pull back \mathcal{Z} to \mathbb{A}^n , giving $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{A}^n} \in c(U \times_k \mathbb{A}^n, W_1)$. Similarly, pull back c to $U \times_k \mathbb{A}^n$, giving $c_{U \times_k \mathbb{A}^n}$. Now consider the cycle

$$c \cap \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{A}^n} := Cor_{W_1 \times_k W_2 \times_k \mathbb{A}^n / W_1 \times_k \mathbb{A}^n}(c_{U \times_k \mathbb{A}^n} \otimes \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{A}^n}).$$

By [VSF, Cor. II.3.7.5], we have

$$c \cap \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{A}^n} \in z_{equi}(\mathbb{A}^n, W_1 \times_k W_2, m_2 - n)(U).$$

Furthermore, finiteness of \mathcal{Z} over U implies that $c \cap \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{A}^n}$ is finite over $U \times_k W_2 \times_k \mathbb{A}^n$. Push-forward via the projection p_2 to this product then yields

$$e'_c(\mathcal{Z}) := p_{2*}(c \cap \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{A}^n}) \in z_{equi}(\mathbb{A}^n, W_2, m_2 - n)(U),$$

and the latter group is contained in $z_{equi}(W_2 \times_k \mathbb{A}^n, m_2)(U)$. Observe that $e'_c(\mathcal{Z})$ only depends on the class of \mathcal{Z} modulo $c(U, Y_1)$ since c has empty intersection with $Y_1 \times_k W_2$.

Choose and fix a smooth compactification \overline{X} of X such that $\partial\overline{X} := \overline{X} - X$ is a normal crossing divisor with smooth irreducible components. By [VSF, Prop. V.4.1.5], we have a canonical isomorphism between $M_{gm}(\overline{X} / \partial\overline{X})$ and $M_{gm}^c(X)$. Applying principle (A), we see that the diagonal

$$\Delta \in Cyc^n(\overline{X} \times_k X)_{\partial\overline{X} \times_k X}$$

induces a morphism

$$\varepsilon_\Delta : M_{gm}^c(X) \longrightarrow M_{gm}(X)^*(n)[2n].$$

Principle (D) and the proof of [VSF, Thm. V.4.3.7] show that this is the morphism μ_X . To say that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_{gm}(X) & \xrightarrow{\nu_X = \mu_X^*(n)[2n]} & M_{gm}^c(X)^*(n)[2n] \\ \beta \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta^*(n)[2n] \\ M_{gm}^c(X) & \xrightarrow{\mu_X} & M_{gm}(X)^*(n)[2n] \end{array}$$

commutes is equivalent to saying that the pairing

$$M_{gm}(X) \otimes M_{gm}(X) \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \beta} M_{gm}(X) \otimes M_{gm}^c(X) \xrightarrow{(\cdot, \cdot)^\Delta} \mathbb{Z}(n)[2n]$$

is symmetric. By principle (B), this is indeed the case since the restriction of the cycle Δ to $X \times_k X$ is symmetric.

Now for the construction of

$$\eta_X : \partial M_{gm}(X) \longrightarrow \partial M_{gm}(X)^*(n)[2n-1].$$

Recall that by Proposition 2.4, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\text{Cone} \left(M_{gm} \left(X \amalg \partial \bar{X} \right) \rightarrow M_{gm}(\bar{X}) \right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \partial M_{gm}(X).$$

From this, one deduces that $\partial M_{gm}(X) \otimes \partial M_{gm}(X)[1]$ is represented by the complex $\underline{C}_*(s\mathcal{L}_*)$, where \mathcal{L}_* is the complex concentrated in degrees -1 , 0 , and 1

$$\begin{array}{c} L(X \times_k X) \oplus L(X \times_k \partial \bar{X}) \oplus L(\partial \bar{X} \times_k X) \oplus L(\partial \bar{X} \times_k \partial \bar{X}) \\ \downarrow \\ L(\bar{X} \times_k X) \oplus L(X \times_k \bar{X}) \oplus L(\bar{X} \times_k \partial \bar{X}) \oplus L(\partial \bar{X} \times_k \bar{X}) \\ \downarrow \\ L(\bar{X} \times_k \bar{X}) \end{array}$$

the differentials being induced by the inclusions. In particular, one sees that the complex \mathcal{L}'_* concentrated in degrees -1 and 0

$$\begin{array}{c} L(X \times_k X) \oplus L(X \times_k \partial \bar{X}) \oplus L(\partial \bar{X} \times_k X) \\ \downarrow \\ L(\bar{X} \times_k X) \oplus L(X \times_k \bar{X}) \end{array}$$

is a quotient of \mathcal{L}_* . By the Mayer–Vietoris property for the functor M_{gm} [VSF, Prop. V.4.1.1], $\underline{C}_*(\mathcal{L}'_*)$ is canonically quasi-isomorphic to the complex $\underline{C}_*(\mathcal{L}''_*)$, where \mathcal{L}''_* is the complex concentrated in degrees -1 and 0

$$\begin{array}{c} L(\bar{X} \times_k \bar{X} - \partial \bar{X} \times_k \partial \bar{X} - X \times_k X) \\ \downarrow \\ L(\bar{X} \times_k \bar{X} - \partial \bar{X} \times_k \partial \bar{X}) \end{array}$$

This shows that there is a canonical morphism from $\partial M_{gm}(X) \otimes \partial M_{gm}(X)[1]$ to

$$M_{gm}((\bar{X} \times_k \bar{X} - \partial \bar{X} \times_k \partial \bar{X}) / (\bar{X} \times_k \bar{X} - \partial \bar{X} \times_k \partial \bar{X} - X \times_k X)).$$

Applying principle (A), we see that the diagonal

$$\Delta \in \text{Cyc}^n(\bar{X} \times_k \bar{X} - \partial \bar{X} \times_k \partial \bar{X})_{\bar{X} \times_k \bar{X} - \partial \bar{X} \times_k \partial \bar{X} - X \times_k X}$$

induces a morphism

$$\varepsilon_\Delta : \partial M_{gm}(X) \longrightarrow \partial M_{gm}(X)^*(n)[2n-1].$$

We define this to be the morphism η_X . Principle (B) shows that η_X is auto-dual. In order to see that η_X fits into a morphism of exact triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\partial M_{gm}(X) & \xrightarrow{\eta_X} & \partial M_{gm}(X)^*(n)[2n-1] \\
\alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma^*(n)[2n] \\
M_{gm}(X) & \xrightarrow{\nu_X} & M_{gm}^c(X)^*(n)[2n] \\
\beta \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta^*(n)[2n] \\
M_{gm}^c(X) & \xrightarrow{\mu_X} & M_{gm}(X)^*(n)[2n] \\
\gamma \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha^*(n)[2n] \\
\partial M_{gm}(X)[1] & \xrightarrow{\eta_X[1]} & \partial M_{gm}(X)^*(n)[2n]
\end{array}$$

apply principle (C). By [VSF, Thm. V.4.3.7 3.], μ_X and ν_X are isomorphisms, and hence so is η_X . In order to check that η_X does not depend on the choice of the smooth compactification \overline{X} , use Remark 6.15 together with the fact that the system of such compactifications is filtering. **q.e.d.**

Fix a compactification \overline{X} of X (which may be non-smooth), and set $\partial\overline{X} := \overline{X} - X$. Write j for the open immersion of X , and $i_{\partial\overline{X}}$ for the closed immersion of $\partial\overline{X}$ into \overline{X}^m . We are thus in the situation considered in Section 3, with $Y = Y' = \partial\overline{X}$ and $W = \overline{X}$. By Proposition 2.4, we have a canonical isomorphism

$$M_{gm}(\partial\overline{X}, i_{\partial\overline{X}}^! j_* \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \partial M_{gm}(X)[1].$$

By duality, this gives

$$\alpha_X : \partial M_{gm}(X)^*[-1] \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{gm}(\partial\overline{X}, i_{\partial\overline{X}}^! j_* \mathbb{Z})^*.$$

Preceding $\alpha_X(n)[2n]$ with the auto-duality η_X from Theorem 6.1, we obtain:

Corollary 6.16. *There is a canonical isomorphism*

$$\partial M_{gm}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{gm}(\partial\overline{X}, i_{\partial\overline{X}}^! j_* \mathbb{Z})^*(n)[2n].$$

7 Localization

Throughout this section, we assume that k admits resolution of singularities. Fix closed immersions $Y \hookrightarrow Y' \hookrightarrow W$ in Sch/k . Write j for the open immersion of $W - Y'$, and i_Y for the closed immersion of Y into W .

Definition 7.1. Assume that $W - Y'$ is smooth of pure dimension n . The *motive with compact support of Y and with coefficients in $i_Y^* j_* \mathbb{Z}$* is defined as

$$M_{gm}^c(Y, i_Y^* j_* \mathbb{Z}) := M_{gm}(Y, i_Y^! j_* \mathbb{Z})^*(n)[2n].$$

Using [VSF, Cor. V.4.3.6] and the definition of $M_{gm}(Y, i_Y^! j_* \mathbb{Z})$ (Definition 3.1), one sees that $M_{gm}^c(Y, i_Y^* j_* \mathbb{Z})$ belongs to $DM_{gm}^{eff}(k)$.

Remark 7.2. The object on the right hand side in Definition 7.1 is defined without the hypothesis of smoothness on $W - Y'$. In general, the object $M_{gm}(Y, i_Y^* j_* \mathbb{Z})$ is dual to what should be considered as the motive with compact support of Y and with coefficients in $i_Y^* j_* \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{Z})$, where $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{Z})$ is the coefficient system on $W - Y'$ which is Poincaré-dual to \mathbb{Z} .

Now assume given a filtration

$$\emptyset = \mathfrak{F}_{-1}Y \subset \mathfrak{F}_0Y \subset \dots \subset \mathfrak{F}_dY = Y$$

of Y by closed sub-schemes. It induces a stratification of Y by locally closed sub-schemes $Y_m := \mathfrak{F}_mY - \mathfrak{F}_{m-1}Y$, for $m = 0, \dots, d$. Define W^m as the complement of $\mathfrak{F}_{m-1}Y$ in W . Write i_{Y_m} for the closed immersion of Y_m into W^m . By abuse of notation, we use the letter j to denote also the open immersions of $W - Y'$ into W^m .

Theorem 7.3 (Localization). *Assume that $W - Y'$ is smooth of pure dimension n . Then there is a canonical chain of morphisms*

$$M_0 = M_{gm}^c(Y, i_Y^* j_* \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\gamma_0} M_1 \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} M_2 \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} \dots \xrightarrow{\gamma_{d-1}} M_d \xrightarrow{\gamma_d} M_{d+1} = 0$$

in $DM_{gm}(k)$. For each $m \in \{0, \dots, d\}$, there is a canonical exact triangle

$$M_{gm}^c(Y_m, i_{Y_m}^* j_* \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow M_m \xrightarrow{\gamma_m} M_{m+1} \longrightarrow M_{gm}^c(Y_m, i_{Y_m}^* j_* \mathbb{Z})[1]$$

in $DM_{gm}(k)$. In particular, all the M_m are in $DM_{gm}^{eff}(k)$.

Proof. Dualize co-localization (Theorem 3.4). **q.e.d.**

Corollary 7.4. *In the above situation, assume that $Y = \partial\overline{X} := \overline{X} - X$, with $X \in Sm/k$ smooth of pure dimension n , and \overline{X} a compactification of X (which may be non-smooth). Then there is a canonical chain of morphisms*

$$M_0 = \partial M_{gm}(X) \xrightarrow{\gamma_0} M_1 \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} M_2 \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} \dots \xrightarrow{\gamma_{d-1}} M_d \xrightarrow{\gamma_d} M_{d+1} = 0$$

in $DM_{gm}(k)$. For each $m \in \{0, \dots, d\}$, there is a canonical exact triangle

$$M_{gm}^c(\partial\overline{X}_m, i_{\partial\overline{X}_m}^* j_* \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow M_m \xrightarrow{\gamma_m} M_{m+1} \longrightarrow M_{gm}^c(\partial\overline{X}_m, i_{\partial\overline{X}_m}^* j_* \mathbb{Z})[1]$$

in $DM_{gm}(k)$. In particular, all the M_m are in $DM_{gm}^{eff}(k)$.

Proof. This follows from Corollary 6.16 and Theorem 7.3. **q.e.d.**

Remark 7.5. Given our definition, it is easy to deduce from duality and Theorems 4.1 and 5.1 that $M_{gm}^c(Y, i_Y^* j_* \mathbb{Z})$ is invariant under abstract blow-up and under analytical isomorphism. In concrete situations, this observation helps to control the “graded pieces” $M_{gm}^c(\partial\overline{X}_m, i_{\partial\overline{X}_m}^* j_* \mathbb{Z})$ of $\partial M_{gm}(X)$.

References

- [A] M. Artin, *Algebraic approximation of structures over complete local rings*, Publ. Math. de l'IHES **36** (1969), 23–58.
- [VSF] V. Voevodsky, A. Suslin, E.M. Friedlander, *Cycles, Transfers, and Motivic Homology Theories*, Ann. of Math. Studies **143**, Princeton Univ. Press 2000.
- [W] J. Wildeshaus, *On the boundary motive of a Shimura variety*, Prépublications du Laboratoire d'Analyse, Géométrie et Applications de l'Université Paris 13, no. **2004-23**, 37 pages, submitted, available under <http://arXiv.org/abs/math/0409400>