



## Using spaceborne surface soil moisture to constrain satellite precipitation estimates over West Africa

Thierry Pellarin, Abdou Ali, Franck Chopin, Isabelle Jobard, Jean Claude Bergès

### ► To cite this version:

Thierry Pellarin, Abdou Ali, Franck Chopin, Isabelle Jobard, Jean Claude Bergès. Using spaceborne surface soil moisture to constrain satellite precipitation estimates over West Africa. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 2008, 35 (2), pp.1-5. 10.1029/2007GL032243 . hal-00238559

**HAL Id: hal-00238559**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00238559>**

Submitted on 19 Feb 2008

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Using spaceborne surface soil moisture to constrain satellite precipitation estimates over West Africa

Thierry Pellarin<sup>1</sup>, Abdou Ali<sup>2</sup>, Franck Chopin<sup>3</sup>, Isabelle Jobard<sup>3</sup>, Jean-Claude Bergès<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Université de Grenoble, CNRS/INSU, LTHE, Grenoble, France

<sup>2</sup>Centre AGRHYMET, Niamey, Niger

<sup>3</sup>LMD-IPSL, Ecole Polytechnique, CNRS, Univ Paris-Sud, Chatenay Malabry, France

<sup>4</sup>PRODIG, CNRS, Paris 1, Paris IV, Paris 7, EPHE, France

## **Abstract**

This paper describes a methodology to use the passive microwave measurements of the 6.9 GHz bandwidth of the AMSR-E sensor which is the most sensitive to surface soil moisture, to constrain satellite-based rainfall estimates over a semi arid region in West-Africa. The paper focuses on the aptitude of AMSR-E measurements to inform if rain occurs or not. The study was conducted over a 125x100 km<sup>2</sup> region located in Niger where a dense recording raingauge network is available to build an accurate ground-based 3-hour rainfall product at the 25x20 km<sup>2</sup> resolution. A satellite-based rainfall product (EPSAT-SG), based on both infrared and microwave measurements, was compared to the ground-based rainfall product. It was shown that EPSAT-SG overestimates by about 30 % the total number of rainy events during the 2004 and 2006 rainy seasons. A simple methodology based on the AMSR-E polarization ratio variations related to the surface soil moisture led to suppress a large amount of the wrong rainfall events.

## 1. Introduction

In West Africa the existing rain gauges network is very sparse and is often nonexistent. Satellite rainfall estimates, which offer global coverage and operational data accessing, have been attempted to alleviate these problems. Numerous precipitation algorithms are based on Infrared (IR) techniques from geostationary satellites such as METEOSAT [Arkin and Meisner, 1987]. Some algorithms use Microwave (MW) sensors available on polar orbiting satellites, such as the Special Sensor Microwave/Imager [Grody, 1991] or Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission Satellite [Viltard *et al.*, 2006]. Besides, some precipitation algorithms are based on both IR and MW satellite measurements [Jobard *et al.*, 1994]. Geostationary satellites offer very good temporal sampling of cloud characteristics but the main drawbacks is that they provide cloud-top characteristics which relationship with rain-rate is not direct. Furthermore, since clouds are larger and last longer than individual rain events, the risk of overestimation of the number of rain event is very high. On the other hand, the MW data are sensitive to the concentration of ice particles or droplets associated with precipitation. However, since MW observations are less frequent than IR observations they suffer from larger sampling errors because of the high variability of rainfall systems. This is particularly the case in the Sahel where more than 50% of the total annual rainfall falls within only 4 hours [Balme *et al.*, 2006]. In such a situation, MW observations suffer by under-sampling the rain event and lead to poor rainfall cumulative estimates. In general, cumulative rainfall estimates from IR techniques are better than from techniques using MW only [Jobard *et al.*, 2007]. However, they might exaggerate the number and/or duration of rain events. Although the rain duration might be very short, the effect of the rain (i.e. the surface soil moisture) can last longer. In this context, surface soil moisture measurements provided by

1 various microwave sensors such as the Advanced Microwave Scanning Radiometer - EOS  
2 (AMSR-E), the ERS-Scatterometer or the recent ASCAT onboard the METOP platform may  
3 provide useful information related to the precipitation estimates in data-poor area [*Crow and*  
4 *Bolten, 2007*]. The objective of this study is to investigate the possible synergy of satellite-  
5 based rainfall estimates and satellite-based soil moisture measurements to improve rainfall  
6 estimates.

## 7 **2. Data and Method**

### 10 **2.1 AMSR-E Brightness Temperatures**

11  
12 The AMSR-E (Advanced Microwave Scanning Radiometer) onboard the AQUA satellite  
13 (operated by NASA) is regularly acquiring data since June 2002 [*Njoku et al., 2003*]. The  
14 instrument operates at two different low frequencies 6.9 GHz (C-band) and 10.7 GHz (X-  
15 band) and three higher frequencies 18.9, 36.8, and 89.0 GHz. Measurements are obtained for  
16 two polarizations and a single incidence angle of 55° at the surface. At C-band frequency, the  
17 nominal spatial resolution of the level-2 product is 55 km at approximately 1:30 and 13:30  
18 local time for descending and ascending tracks respectively. Due to overlapping (55 km scene  
19 measurements are recorded at equal intervals of 10 km), a level-3 product is available at a  
20 higher spatial resolution of 25x20 km<sup>2</sup> in a regular Lat-Lon grid. The temporal resolution is  
21 ranging from 12 hours to 36 hours (390 measurements in average over each pixel of West  
22 Africa during 2004).

23  
24 Passive microwave measurements at frequencies of 1 to 10 GHz are known to be strongly  
25 related to the soil dielectric constant which is physically related to soil moisture. At these

frequencies, the atmosphere and clouds have a limited influence and the main part of the emission signal comes from soil moisture, vegetation water content, soil temperature and soil roughness effects. Thus, a sudden change of the soil microwave emission is obviously due to soil temperature and/or soil moisture variations since the two other factors vary at small time frequencies. To separate between these two effects, the use of both vertical and horizontal polarization measurements allows filtering the effect of the soil temperature, the polarization ratio (PR) being frequently used to describe the soil moisture variations [Wigneron *et al.*, 2003].

$$PR = \frac{TB_V - TB_H}{TB_V + TB_H} \quad (1)$$

where  $TB_V$  and  $TB_H$  are the brightness temperatures at vertical and horizontal polarization respectively (in kelvin). As the soil moisture increases,  $TB_H$  decreases more rapidly than  $TB_V$ . Then, an increase of the soil moisture leads to an increase of the polarization ratio.

## **2.2 Rainfall products**

### **2.2.1 Ground-based rainfall product**

In this study, we focus on a 125x100 km<sup>2</sup> area located in Southwestern Niger (1.8°E to 3.1°E; 13°N to 14°N). A recording raingauge network continuously operated over this area since 1990 was part of the EPSAT-Niger long term monitoring program [Lebel *et al.*, 1992], and its follow up AMMA-CATCH. Based on 31 of these raingauge stations, a kriging procedure (see Ali *et al.*, [2005] for the methodology) was used to provide a ground-based rainfall product at

1 the AMSR-E spatial resolution [25x20 km<sup>2</sup>] and 3-hour temporal resolution for the 2004 and  
2 2006 rainy seasons.

#### 3 4 2.2.2 EPSAT-SG rainfall product

5  
6 The EPSAT-SG (Estimation des Précipitations par SATellite - Seconde Génération) rainfall  
7 product was developed in the framework of the African Monsoon Multidisciplinary Analysis  
8 (AMMA) project by Chopin *et al.* [2005]. The algorithm combines the IR geostationary  
9 satellite data provided by Meteosat 8 and the low orbiting satellite MW data of the TRMM  
10 radar, using a neural network procedure. The EPSAT-SG elementary product is computed at  
11 the Meteosat pixel resolution (3x3 km<sup>2</sup>, 15 min) allowing, by integration, the provision of the  
12 final product at different space and time scales that fit with any user requirements. However,  
13 this product has only been validated for 10-day periods and 0.5 degree space resolution over  
14 Sahelian countries. In this study, the space and time scales of the EPSAT-SG rainfall product  
15 was degraded in order to match that of the ground-based rainfall product (i.e. 25x20 km<sup>2</sup>, 3-  
16 hour).

#### 17 18 2.2.3 Qualitative comparison of the two products

19  
20 A qualitative comparison of the rainfall products is performed from both a temporal and a  
21 spatial point of view. Figure 1 illustrates the temporal variation of the two rainfall products on  
22 one pixel as well as AMSR-E PR variation, during the 2004 rainy season. The analysis of the  
23 two rainfall products suggests four main comments: (i) there are 29 ground-based rainfall  
24 events (a rainfall event is defined as a rainfall period separated by at least 18h without rain  
25 greater than 0.1 mm/3h) and all these 29 events are detected by the EPSAT-SG algorithm, (ii)

1 there are 44 EPSAT-SG rainfall events, i.e. 15 out of 44 events are incorrect since there is no  
2 rainfall at the ground level (i.e. 34 % of “wrong” rainfall events) (iii) the cumulative annual  
3 rainfall (not shown) is 391 mm and 350 mm for the ground-based and the EPSAT-SG rainfall  
4 product respectively and, (iv) rainfall intensities of the EPSAT-SG product are  
5 underestimated.

6  
7  
8 Figure 2 presents a map of the 4-day cumulative EPSAT-SG rainfall estimate over West  
9 Africa (from 10°N to 20°N) from 22 to 26 of June 2004. Also presented in Figure 2 is the sum  
10 of the positive variation of the AMSR-E polarization ratio during the same period. The  
11 obtained map indicates pixels where the polarization ratio (i.e. the surface soil moisture)  
12 increased from 22 to 26 of June 2004. An overall reasonable spatial agreement is observed  
13 between the two images, indicating that the soil emission changed where significant rain  
14 occurred. However, there are regions where no significant PR variation is recorded while the  
15 EPSAT-SG algorithm produces significant rain.

16 The first such region is Guinea (SW of the domain): PR variations over Mali correspond to  
17 rain but when moving to the SW, the PR variation gradually vanished whereas the rain  
18 estimates remains high. This can be due to either an erroneous rainfall estimation of the  
19 EPSAT-SG algorithm or a too weak variation of the PR signal caused by vegetation  
20 attenuation in Guinea. Another possible reason may be related to the delay between rain and  
21 the AMSR-E measurement. As the revisiting time of AMSR-E is ranging from 12 to 36 hours,  
22 it is possible that several pixels are observed a long time after the rain has fallen which leads  
23 to a weaker soil emission signal due to evaporation in the mean time.

24 Differences can also be observed over our region of interest in South-western Niger (rectangle  
25 in Figure 2), no PR variation is occurring in that region in spite of significant EPSAT-SG

rainfall estimates on the South-eastern part of the area. The explanation of that behaviour is an erroneous rainfall estimate as confirmed in Figure 1 where no rain occurs from June 22<sup>nd</sup> to June 26<sup>th</sup>.

### **2.3 In-situ soil moisture measurements**

Although not available in 2004, in-situ soil moisture measurements provided by 6 CS616 sensors installed in 2006 over a 10x10 km<sup>2</sup> area help clarifying the sources of mismatches between PR and ground rainfields. Figure 3 shows the temporal evolution of the six local soil moisture measurements as well as the AMSR-E PR evolution and the ground-based rainfall product of the closer 25x20 km<sup>2</sup> pixel. Regarding soil moisture measurements, it can be observed that some rain events do not affect all the soil moisture sensors in a similar way (for instance July 31<sup>st</sup>, August 3<sup>rd</sup> and August 9<sup>th</sup>). This is explained by the strong spatial heterogeneity of rain events which are mostly convective systems with spatial correlation length of about 30 km [Ali et al., 2003]. On the other hand, it can be seen that each rainfall event affects at least one soil moisture sensor.

Regarding the PR measurements in Figure 3, it can be noted that almost all rainfall events lead to an increase of the PR signal, except for 3 rainfall events (designated by grey arrows) where the PR variation is weak. This behaviour is not due to the cumulative rainfall since a very weak rainfall event (for instance on the August 14<sup>th</sup>) has a strong impact on the PR signal. The explanation of that behaviour deals with significant evapotranspiration rate in this region associated with the AMSR-E revisiting time. The delay between the 3 considered rainfall events and the following AMSR-E PR measurements are 28h 32min, 27h 40min and



22h 40min for July 17<sup>th</sup>, July 19<sup>th</sup> and July 31<sup>st</sup> events respectively. Corresponding PR variations are respectively 0.0047, 0.0025 and 0.0091.

## 2.4 Methodology

The methodology developed in this study makes use of the temporal variations of AMSR-E PR to confirm or suppress EPSAT-SG estimated rainy events. The proposed methodology is based on the calibration of two parameters for each EPSAT-SG rainfall timestep. The first one is the delay ( $\Delta t$ ) between the end of the rainfall timestep and the next AMSR-E measurement. This delay can ranges from 0 to 36 hours. The second parameter is the PR difference ( $\Delta PR$ ) between two successive AMSR-E measurements occurring before and after a rainfall event. This parameter can be negative (soil moisture decrease) or positive (soil moisture increase). Usually,  $\Delta PR$  can from -0.10 to 0.10. Then, a sensitivity study was carried out over the 2004 rainy season to define thresholds ( $\Delta t_{\max}$  and  $\Delta PR_{\min}$ ) in order to obtain 3 possible classes for each EPSAT-SG rainfall timestep:

$\Delta t < \Delta t_{\max}$	and	$\Delta PR > \Delta PR_{\min}$	Rainfall confirmed
$\Delta t < \Delta t_{\max}$	and	$\Delta PR < \Delta PR_{\min}$	Rainfall suppressed
$\Delta t > \Delta t_{\max}$			Rainfall uncertain

## 3. Results

1 An analysis of the EPSAT-SG rainfall estimates compared with the ground-based rainfall  
2 product (considered as the reference product) makes possible to discriminate true rainfall  
3 events from wrong rainfall events. In addition, it is possible to detect missed rainfall events,  
4 i.e. rainfall events measured exclusively at the ground level. Using this partitioning over the  
5 25 pixels of our studied area during the 2006 rainy season, the EPSAT-SG product was found  
6 to be composed with 29.96 true rainfall events (out of 44.4), 14.44 wrong rainfall events and  
7 0.04 missed rainfall events (see Figure 4). Note that non integer values are due to averaging  
8 over 25 pixels (e.g. 0.04 missed rainfall events (1/25) means 1 missed rainfall event over 1  
9 pixel and 0 elsewhere). The percentage of wrong rainfall events (32.5 %) is significant but it  
10 represents only 15.6 % (53 mm out of 340 mm) of the cumulative EPSAT-SG rainfall  
11 estimates, that is to say mostly small rainfall events. It can also be noted that almost 100 % of  
12 the reference rainfall events are detected by the EPSAT-SG rainfall product.

13  
14 A calibration procedure was performed over the 25 [25x20 km<sup>2</sup>] pixels of our studied area in  
15 Niger to get the best ( $\Delta t_{\max}$  and  $\Delta PR_{\min}$ ) values during the 2004 rainy season. The correction  
16 procedure was employed over the 2006 rainy season. In the following, the procedure  
17 assessment is based on the number of rainy events. The best correction procedure was  
18 obtained using  $\Delta t_{\max} = 36\text{h}$  and  $\Delta PR_{\min} = 0.002$ . These values are the best compromise  
19 between getting the greatest number of true rainfall event (T for True), suppressing the  
20 greatest number of wrong rainfall events (W for Wrong) and minimizing the number of  
21 missed rainfall events (M for Miss). The optimal ( $\Delta t_{\max}$ ,  $\Delta PR_{\min}$ ) corresponds to the largest  
22 value of (T-W-M).

23  
24 The correction procedure above-mentioned leads to remove 73.7 % of wrong events (the  
25 number of wrong events decreases from 14.44 to 3.8). However, the correction procedure also

removes true events since the number of true rainfall events decreases from 29.96 to 27.92 (i.e. an incorrect elimination of 6.8 % of the true events) leading to a number of missed rainfall events of 2.08 instead of 0.04 without correction. The cumulative rainfall of the 2.08 missed rainy events represents 22.3 mm of the reference rainfall estimates (6.6 %).

In order to avoid elimination of true rainfall events, a second correction procedure was proposed given more weight to missed events. The obtained values of  $\Delta t_{\max}$  (12h) and  $\Delta PR_{\min}$  (0.001) correspond to the largest value of (T-W-2M). The new correction procedure (see Figure 4) leads to remove only 9.28 wrong events (35.7 %) but remove no more than 0.6 true rainy event instead of 2.08 using the first correction procedure. Regarding the cumulative rainfall, 9.28 wrong events represent 42.8 mm of the EPSAT-SG rainfall estimates and 0.6 missed event represents 2.3 mm of the reference rainfall estimates (4.7 %).

Figure 5 presents the result of the first correction procedure ( $\Delta t_{\max} = 36\text{h}$  and  $\Delta PR_{\min} = 0.002$ ) over one of the 25 pixels of the Niger area centred over 13.50°N and 2.60°E during the 2006 rainy season. The correction procedure proposes a total number of 32 rainy events instead of 46 without correction procedure. An analysis of the corrected rainfall estimates shows that there are 7 wrong rainy events (June 1<sup>st</sup>, 12<sup>th</sup>, 21<sup>st</sup>, 29<sup>th</sup>, July 5<sup>th</sup>, August 13<sup>th</sup>, September 16<sup>th</sup>) and 2 missed events (July 9<sup>th</sup> and September 5<sup>th</sup>).

#### **4. Conclusion**

This paper describes a simple methodology to use the passive microwave measurements of the 6.9 GHz bandwidth which is the most sensitive to surface soil moisture, provided by the AMSR-E sensor to constrain satellite-based rainfall estimates. The paper focuses on the aptitude of the AMSR-E sensor measurements to inform if rain occurs or not. The study was

1 conducted over 25 [25x20 km<sup>2</sup>] pixels located in SW Niger where a dense recording  
2 raingauge network is available to build an accurate ground-based 3-hour rainfall product at  
3 the 25x20 km<sup>2</sup> resolution (reference rainfall product). A satellite-based rainfall product  
4 (EPSAT-SG) was compared to the reference rainfall product at the same spatial and temporal  
5 resolution. It was shown that the EPSAT-SG rainfall product overestimates by about 30 % the  
6 total number of rainy events during the 2004 and 2006 rainy seasons. A simple methodology  
7 based on the AMSR-E polarization ratio variations related to the surface soil moisture leaded  
8 to suppress a large amount of the wrong rainfall events. It was also shown that a compromise  
9 should be found between an elimination of all wrong rainy events and a suppression of true  
10 rainy events. The main limitation was found to be the temporal resolution of AMSR-E  
11 microwave measurements which ranges from 12h to 36h. During about 40 % of the time, the  
12 delay between a rainfall event and a microwave measurement exceeded 30h. In such cases,  
13 the confirmation (or not) of the considered rainfall estimates using the correction procedure  
14 was not possible (rainfall events were supposed to be true). Another limitation should be  
15 related to the role of the vegetation which is growing from end of July to October. The  
16 vegetation cover can also modify the PR variability [Morland *et al.*, 2001]. Nevertheless the  
17 correction procedure presented in this paper allows improving the precipitation estimation  
18 methods using Infrared techniques for the rain-no rain detection phase. Future works would  
19 be devoted to assess the methodology to the whole West Africa region in order to look at the  
20 spatial impact of the correction procedure.

## 21 22 **Acknowledgments**

23 Based on a French initiative, AMMA was built by an international scientific group and is  
24 currently funded by a large number of agencies, especially from France, the UK, the US and  
25 Africa. It has been the beneficiary of a major financial contribution from the European

Community's Sixth Framework Research Programme. Detailed information on scientific coordination and funding is available on the AMMA International web site <http://www.amma-international.org>".

## References

Ali, A., T. Lebel and A. Amani (2005), Estimation of Rainfall in the Sahel. Part 1: Error Function. *J. Appl. Meteorology*, 44(11), 1691-1706.

Ali, A., T. Lebel, and A. Amani (2003), Invariance in the spatial structure of Sahelian rain fields at climatological scales, *J. Hydrometeorol.*, 4(6), 996-1011.

Arkin, P. A., and B. N. Meisner (1987), The relationship between large-scale convective rainfall and cold cloud over the western-hemisphere during 1982-84, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 115(1), 51-74.

Balme, M., T. Vischel, T. Lebel, C. Peugeot, and S. Galle (2006), Assessing the water balance in the Sahel: Impact of small scale rainfall variability on runoff - Part 1: Rainfall variability analysis, *J. Hydrol.*, 331(1-2), 336-348.

Chopin F., Bergès J.C., Desbois M., Jobard I., and Lebel T. "Satellite Rainfall Probability and Estimation. Application to the West Africa During the 2004 Rainy Season" American Geosciences Union, 2005 Joint Assembly New Orleans, USA 23 - 27 May 2005

Crow, W. T. & J. D. Bolten (2007), Estimating precipitation errors using spaceborne surface soil moisture retrievals. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 34.

Grody, N. C. (1991), Classification of snow cover and precipitation using the Special Sensor Microwave Imager, *J. Geophys. Res.*, Vol. 96, pp. 7423-7435.

- 1 Jobard, I. and M. Desbois (1994), Satellite estimation of the tropical precipitation using the  
2 METEOSAT and SSM/I data. *Atmos. Res.*, **34**, pp. 285-298.
- 3 Jobard, I., F., Chopin, J.C., Bergès A., Ali T., Lebel M. Desbois (2007), The EPSAT-SG  
4 method, a comparison with other Satellite Precipitation Estimations in the frame of  
5 PrecipAMMA. *EGU* : Vienna, 17-18 April 2007.
- 6 Lebel, T., H. Sauvageot, M. Hoepffner, M. Desbois, B. Guillot, and P. Hubert (1992),  
7 Rainfall estimation in the sahel - The EPSAT-Niger Experiment, *Hydrological Sc.*  
8 *Journal-Journal Des Sciences Hydrologiques*, 37(3), 201-215.
- 9 Morland, J. C., Grimes, D. I. F., Hewison, T. J. (2001), Satellite observations of the  
10 microwave emissivity of a semi-arid land surface, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 77(2), 149-  
11 164.
- 12 Njoku, E. G., T. J. Jackson, V. Lakshmi, T. K. Chan, and S. V. Nghiem (2003), Soil moisture  
13 retrieval from AMSR-E, *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, 41(2), 215-229.
- 14 Viltard, N., Burlaud, and C. D. Kummerow (2006), Rain retrieval from TMI brightness  
15 temperature measurements using a TRMM PR-based database, *J. Appl. Meteorol. Clim.*,  
16 45(3), 455-466.
- 17 Wigneron, J. P., J. C. Calvet, T. Pellarin, A. A. Van de Griend, M. Berger, and P. Ferrazzoli  
18 (2003), Retrieving near-surface soil moisture from microwave radiometric observations:  
19 current status and future plans, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 85(4), 489-506.
- 20




Figure 1 : AMSR-E PR variation (top) as well as ground-based (in black) and satellite-based (in blue) rainfall estimation during the 2004 rainy season in Niger averaged over a 25x20 km<sup>2</sup> area (centred at 13.30°N, 2.86°E) and over a 3-hour time step.




Figure 2 : EPSAT-SG 4-day cumulative rainfall from June 22<sup>nd</sup> 2:16 to June 26<sup>th</sup> 2:16 (top) and positive variation of the Polarization Ratio (PR) of AMSR-E 6.9 GHz measurements over the same period (bottom) over West Africa (10°N-20°N; 18°W-20°E). Grey pixels indicate areas where the PR (i.e the soil moisture) has increased during these 4 days.




Figure 3: Temporal evolution of six in-situ soil moisture measurements at 5 cm depth (black curves), corresponding AMSR-E PR variation (red curve with circles) and rain events (histograms, scale ranges from 0 to 40 mm/15min) during the 2006 rainy season. The three arrows indicate the three rain events which do not strongly affect the PR due to the delay between the rain events and the following AMSR-E measurements.




Figure 4 : Classification of the number of rainy events into three categories (true, wrong and missed) compared to the reference rainfall product for the 2006 rainy season.






Figure 5: Result of the first correction procedure ( $\Delta t_{\max} = 36\text{h}$  and  $\Delta PR_{\min} = 0.002$ ) over one pixel of the Niger area from beginning of June to end of September 2006. The correction procedure leads to find 32 rainy events instead of 46 before correction (see blue numbers and red crosses at the bottom). However, 7 wrong events (n° 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 21 and 31) and 2 missed events (ground rainfall n° 6 and 25) remain. Suppressed events are illustrated with red crosses (and grey curve on the graph) and AMSR-E PR measurements are in red.