



HAL
open science

Graphs having no quantum symmetry

Teodor Banica, Julien Bichon, Gaetan Chenevier

► **To cite this version:**

Teodor Banica, Julien Bichon, Gaetan Chenevier. Graphs having no quantum symmetry. 2006. hal-00218192

HAL Id: hal-00218192

<https://hal.science/hal-00218192>

Preprint submitted on 25 Jan 2008

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

GRAPHS HAVING NO QUANTUM SYMMETRY

T. BANICA, J. BICHON, AND G. CHENEVIER

ABSTRACT. We consider circulant graphs having p vertices, with p prime. To any such graph we associate a certain number k , that we call type of the graph. We prove that for $p \gg k$ the graph has no quantum symmetry, in the sense that the quantum automorphism group reduces to the classical automorphism group.

INTRODUCTION

A remarkable fact, discovered by Wang in [18], is that the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ has a quantum permutation group. For $n = 1, 2, 3$ this is the usual symmetric group S_n . However, starting from $n = 4$ the “quantum permutations” do exist. They form a compact quantum group \mathcal{Q}_n , satisfying the axioms of Woronowicz in [21].

The next step is to look at “simplest” subgroups of \mathcal{Q}_n . There are many natural degrees of complexity for such a subgroup, and the notion that emerged is that of quantum automorphism group of a vertex-transitive graph.

These quantum groups are studied in [9], [10] and [3], [4], then in [5], [6].

The motivation comes from certain combinatorial aspects of subfactors, free probability, and statistical mechanical models. See [4], [5], [7].

A fascinating question here, whose origins go back to Wang’s paper [18], is to decide whether a given graph has quantum symmetry or not. There are basically two series of graphs where the answer is understood: the n -element sets X_n , and the n -cycles C_n . The graphs having no quantum symmetry are as follows:

- (1) X_n , $n < 4$. This is proved in [18], by direct algebraic computation. An explanation is proposed in [2], where the number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is interpreted as a Jones index. This is further refined in [4], where \mathcal{Q}_n is shown to appear as Tannakian realisation of the Temperley-Lieb planar algebra of index n , known to be degenerate in the index range $1 \leq n < 4$.
- (2) C_n , $n \neq 4$. This is proved in [3], by direct algebraic computation. An explanation regarding C_4 is proposed in [5]: this graph is exceptional in the series because it is the one having non-trivial disconnected complement. Indeed, the quantum symmetry group is the same for a graph and for its complement, and duplication of graphs corresponds to free wreath products, known from [10] to be highly non-commutative operations.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16W30 (05C25, 20B25).

Key words and phrases. Quantum permutation group, Circulant graph.

Some other results on lack of quantum symmetry include verifications for a number of cycles with chords, for a special graph called discrete torus, and stability/not stability under various product operations. See [4], [5], [6].

Although most such results have ad-hoc proofs, there is an idea emerging from this work, namely that computations become simpler with $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In this paper we find an asymptotic result of non-quantum symmetry. We consider circulant graphs having prime number of vertices. To any such graph we associate a number k , that we call type, and which measures in a certain sense the complexity of the graph (as an example, for C_n we have $k = 2$). Our result is that a type k graph having enough vertices has no quantum symmetry.

The proof uses a standard technique, gradually developed since Wang's paper [18], and pushed here one step forward, by combination with a Galois theory argument. We should mention that the combination is done only at the end: it is not clear how to include in the coaction formalism the underlying arithmetics.

We don't know what happens when the number of vertices is not prime:

- (1) Most ingredients have extensions to the general case, and it won't be surprising that some kind of asymptotic result holds here as well. However, there are a number of obstructions to be overcome. These seem to come from complexity of the usual automorphism group. For a prime number of vertices this group is quite easy to describe, as shown by Alspach in [1], but in general the situation is quite complicated, as shown for instance by Klin and Pöschel in [17], or by Dobson and Morris in [14].
- (2) A vertex-transitive graph having a prime number of vertices is necessary circulant. So, in order to extend our result, it is not clear whether to remain or not in the realm of circulant graphs. Moreover, it would be interesting to switch at some point to higher combinatorial structures, describing arbitrary subgroups of \mathcal{Q}_n . In other words, there is a lot of work to be done, and this paper should be regarded as a first one on the subject.

We should probably say a word about the original motivating problems. As explained in [3], [4], [7], quantum permutation groups are closely related to the "2-box", "spin model" and "meander" problems, discussed in [11], [13], [15]. We think that the idea in this paper is new in the area – for instance, it is not of topological nature – and it is our hope that further developments of it, along the above lines, might be of help in connection with these problems.

Finally, let us mention that the idea of letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ is very familiar in certain areas of representation theory, developed by Weingarten ([20]), Biane ([8]), Collins ([12]) and many others. For quantum groups such methods are worked out in [7], but their relation with the present results is very unclear.

The paper is organized as follows. Sections 1–2 are a quick introduction to the problem, in 3 we fix some notations, and in 4–5 we prove the main result.

Acknowledgements. We would like to express our gratitude to the NLS research center in Paris and to the Institute for theoretical physics at Les Houches, for their warm hospitality and support, at an early stage of this project.

1. MAGIC UNITARY MATRICES

Let A be a \mathbb{C}^* -algebra. That is, we have a complex algebra with a norm and an involution, such that Cauchy sequences converge, and $\|aa^*\| = \|a\|^2$.

The basic examples are $B(H)$, the algebra of bounded operators on a Hilbert space H , and $\mathbb{C}(X)$, the algebra of continuous functions on a compact space X .

In fact, any \mathbb{C}^* -algebra is a subalgebra of some $B(H)$, and any commutative \mathbb{C}^* -algebra is of the form $\mathbb{C}(X)$. These are results of Gelfand-Naimark-Segal and Gelfand, both related to the spectral theorem for self-adjoint operators.

Definition 1.1. *Let A be a \mathbb{C}^* -algebra.*

- (1) *A projection is an element $p \in A$ satisfying $p^2 = p = p^*$.*
- (2) *Two projections $p, q \in A$ are called orthogonal when $pq = 0$.*
- (3) *A partition of unity is a set of orthogonal projections, which sum up to 1.*

A projection in $B(H)$ is an orthogonal projection $\pi(K)$, where $K \subset H$ is a closed subspace. Orthogonality of projections corresponds to orthogonality of subspaces, and partitions of unity correspond to decompositions of H .

A projection in $\mathbb{C}(X)$ is a characteristic function $\chi(Y)$, where $Y \subset X$ is an open and closed subset. Orthogonality of projections corresponds to disjointness of subsets, and partitions of unity correspond to partitions of X .

Definition 1.2. *A magic unitary is a square matrix $u \in M_n(A)$, all whose rows and columns are partitions of unity in A .*

Such a matrix is indeed unitary, in the sense that we have $uu^* = u^*u = 1$.

Over $B(H)$ these are the matrices $\pi(K_{ij})$ with K_{ij} magic decomposition of H , meaning that each row and column of K is a decomposition of H .

Over $\mathbb{C}(X)$ these are the matrices $\chi(Y_{ij})$ with Y_{ij} magic partition of X , meaning that each row and column of Y is a partition of X .

We are interested in the following example. Consider a finite graph X . In this paper this means that we have a finite set of vertices, and certain pairs of distinct vertices are connected by unoriented edges. We do not allow multiple edges.

Definition 1.3. *The magic unitary of a finite graph X is given by*

$$u_{ij} = \chi\{g \in G \mid g(j) = i\}$$

where i, j are vertices of X , and G is the automorphism group of X .

This is by definition a $V \times V$ matrix over the algebra $A = \mathbb{C}(G)$, where V is the vertex set. In case vertices are labeled $1, \dots, n$, we can write $u \in M_n(A)$.

The fact that the characteristic functions u_{ij} form indeed a magic unitary follows from the fact that the corresponding sets form a magic partition of G .

We have the following presentation result.

Theorem 1.1. *The algebra $A = \mathbb{C}(G)$ is isomorphic to the universal \mathbb{C}^* -algebra generated by n^2 elements u_{ij} , with the following relations:*

- (1) *The matrix $u = (u_{ij})$ is a magic unitary.*

- (2) We have $du = ud$, where d is the adjacency matrix of X .
- (3) The elements u_{ij} commute with each other.

Proof. Let A' be the universal algebra in the statement. The magic unitary of X commutes with d , so we have a morphism $p : A' \rightarrow A$. By applying Gelfand's theorem, p comes from an inclusion $i : G \subset G'$, where G' is the spectrum of A' .

By using the universal property of A' , we see that the formulae

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(u_{ij}) &= \sum u_{ik} \otimes u_{kj} \\ \varepsilon(u_{ij}) &= \delta_{ij} \\ S(u_{ij}) &= u_{ji}\end{aligned}$$

define morphisms of algebras. These must come from maps $G' \times G', \{.\}, G' \rightarrow G'$, making G' into a group, acting on X , and we get $G = G'$. See [4] for details. \square

2. QUANTUM PERMUTATION GROUPS

Let X be a graph as in previous section. Its quantum automorphism group is constructed by removing commutativity from Theorem 1.1 and its proof.

Definition 2.1. *The Hopf algebra associated to X is the universal \mathbb{C}^* -algebra \mathcal{A} generated by entries u_{ij} of a $n \times n$ magic unitary commuting with d , with*

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(u_{ij}) &= \sum u_{ik} \otimes u_{kj} \\ \varepsilon(u_{ij}) &= \delta_{ij} \\ S(u_{ij}) &= u_{ji}\end{aligned}$$

as comultiplication, counit and antipode maps.

The precise structure of \mathcal{A} is that of a co-involutive unital Hopf \mathbb{C}^* -algebra of finite type. That is, \mathcal{A} satisfies the axioms of Woronowicz in [21], along with the extra axiom $S^2 = id$. See [4], [16] for more details on this subject.

For the purposes of this paper, let us just mention that we have the formula

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}(\mathcal{G})$$

where \mathcal{G} is a compact quantum group. This quantum group doesn't exist as a concrete object, but several tools from Woronowicz's paper [21], such as an analogue of the Peter-Weyl theory, are available for it, in the form of functional analytic statements regarding its algebra of continuous functions \mathcal{A} .

Comparison of Theorem 1.1 and Definition 2.1 shows that we have a morphism $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(G)$. This can be thought of as coming from an inclusion $G \subset \mathcal{G}$.

Definition 2.2. *We say that X has no quantum symmetry if $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}(G)$.*

It is not clear at this point whether there exist graphs X which do have quantum symmetry. Before getting into the subject, let us state the following useful result.

Theorem 2.1. *The following are equivalent.*

- (1) X has no quantum symmetry.
- (2) \mathcal{A} is commutative.

- (3) For u magic unitary, $du = ud$ implies that u_{ij} commute with each other.

Proof. All equivalences are clear from definitions, and from the Gelfand theorem argument in proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

The very first graphs to be investigated are the n -element sets X_n . Here the incidence matrix is $d = 0$, so the above condition (3) is that for any $n \times n$ magic unitary matrix u , the entries u_{ij} have to commute with each other.

- (1) The graph X_2 . This has no quantum symmetry, because a 2×2 magic unitary has to be of the form

$$u_p = \begin{pmatrix} p & 1-p \\ 1-p & p \end{pmatrix}$$

with p projection, and entries of this matrix commute with each other.

- (2) The graph X_3 . This has no quantum symmetry either, as shown in [18].
 (3) The graph X_4 . This has quantum symmetry, because the matrix

$$u_{pq} = \begin{pmatrix} p & 1-p & 0 & 0 \\ 1-p & p & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q & 1-q \\ 0 & 0 & 1-q & q \end{pmatrix}$$

is a magic unitary, whose entries don't commute if $pq \neq qp$.

- (4) The graph X_{5+} . This has no quantum symmetry either, as one can see by adding to u_{pq} a diagonal tail formed of 1's.

The other series of graphs where complete results are available are the n -cycles C_n . The situation here is as follows.

- (1) The graph C_2 . This has no quantum symmetry, because X_2 doesn't.
 (2) The graph C_3 . This has no quantum symmetry, because X_3 doesn't.
 (3) The graph C_4 . This has quantum symmetry, because its adjacency matrix

$$d = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

written here according to the scheme $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 4 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$, commutes with u_{pq} .

- (4) The graph C_{5+} . This has no quantum symmetry, as shown in [3].

Summarizing, the subtle results in these series are those regarding lack of quantum symmetry of cycles C_n , with $n = 3, 5+$. In what follows we present a general result, which applies in particular to C_p with p big prime (in fact $p \geq 7$). As explained in the introduction, we hope to extend at some point our techniques, as to apply to C_n with big n .

As for C_n with small n , we won't think about it for some time. This is an exceptional graph, at least until the asymptotic area is well understood.

Remark. The lack of quantum symmetry also can be characterized in a purely algebraic manner. Indeed, consider \mathcal{A}_0 , the universal $*$ -algebra generated by entries u_{ij} of a $n \times n$ magic unitary commuting with d . Then by [16], Theorem 27 of Chapter 11, \mathcal{A} is a CQG algebra, and hence by [16], Proposition 32 of Chapter 11, there is a $*$ -algebra embedding $\mathcal{A}_0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}$. Thus \mathcal{A} is commutative if and only if \mathcal{A}_0 is. In this way, in this paper, one may use equally the algebra \mathcal{A}_0 or the \mathbb{C}^* -algebra \mathcal{A} .

3. CIRCULANT GRAPHS

A graph X having n vertices is called circulant if its automorphism group contains a cycle of length n , and hence a copy of the cyclic group \mathbb{Z}_n .

This is the same as saying that vertices of X are n -th roots of unity, edges are represented by certain segments, and the whole picture has the property of being invariant under the $2\pi/n$ rotation centered at 0. Here the rotation is either the clockwise or the counterclockwise one: the two conditions are equivalent.

For the purposes of this paper, best is to assume that vertices of X are elements of \mathbb{Z}_n , and $i \sim j$ (connection by an edge) implies $i + k \sim j + k$ for any k .

We denote by \mathbb{Z}_n^* the group of invertible elements of the ring \mathbb{Z}_n .

Our study of circulant graphs is based on diagonalisation of corresponding adjacency matrices. This is in turn related to certain arithmetic invariants of the graph – an abelian group E and a number k – constructed in the following way.

Definition 3.1. *Let X be a circulant graph on n vertices.*

- (1) *The set $S \subset \mathbb{Z}_n$ is given by $i \sim j \iff j - i \in S$.*
- (2) *The group $E \subset \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ consists of elements a such that $aS = S$.*
- (3) *The order of E is denoted k , and is called type of X .*

The interest in k is that this is the good parameter measuring complexity of the spectral theory of X . Calling it “type” might seem a bit unnatural at this point; but the terminology will be justified by the main result in this paper.

Here are a few basic examples and properties:

- (1) The type can be 2, 4, 6, 8, ... This is because $\{\pm 1\} \subset E$.
- (2) C_n is of type 2. Indeed, we have $S = \{\pm 1\}$, $E = \{\pm 1\}$.
- (3) X_n is of type $\varphi(n)$. Indeed, here $S = \emptyset$, $E = \mathbb{Z}_n^*$.

It is possible to make an extensive study of this notion, but we won’t get into the subject. Let us just mention that the graphs $2C_5, C_{10}$ studied in [6] have the same E group, but the first one has quantum symmetry, while the second one hasn’t.

Consider the Hopf algebra \mathcal{A} associated to X , as in previous section.

Definition 3.2. *The linear map $\alpha : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathcal{A}$ given by the formula*

$$\alpha(e_i) = \sum e_j \otimes u_{ji}$$

where e_1, \dots, e_n is the canonical basis of \mathbb{C}^n , is called coaction of \mathcal{A} .

It follows from the magic unitarity condition that α is a morphism of algebras, which satisfies indeed the axioms of coactions. See [4] for details.

For the purposes of this paper, let us just mention that α appears as functional analytic transpose of the action of \mathcal{G} on the set $X_n = \{1, \dots, n\}$. In other words, with heuristic notations from section 2, we have $\alpha(\varphi) = \varphi a$, where

$$a : X_n \times \mathcal{G} \rightarrow X_n$$

is the action map of \mathcal{G} on X_n , given by the heuristic formula $a(i, g) = g(i)$.

These general considerations are valid in fact for any graph. In what follows we use the following simple fact, valid as well in the general case.

Theorem 3.1. *If F is an eigenspace of d then $\alpha(F) \subset F \otimes \mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. Since u commutes with d , it commutes with the \mathbb{C}^* -algebra generated by d , and in particular with the projection $\pi(F)$. The relation $u\pi(F) = \pi(F)u$ can be translated in terms of α , and we get $\alpha(F) \subset F \otimes \mathcal{A}$. See [4] for details. \square

4. SPECTRAL DECOMPOSITION

In what follows X is a circulant graph having p vertices, with p prime.

We denote by d, \mathcal{A}, α the associated adjacency matrix, Hopf algebra and coaction, and by S, E, k the set, group and number in Definition 3.1.

We denote by ξ the column vector $(1, w, w^2, \dots, w^{p-1})$, where $w = e^{2\pi i/p}$.

Lemma 4.1. *The eigenspaces of d are given by $V_0 = \mathbb{C}1$ and*

$$V_x = \bigoplus_{a \in E} \mathbb{C} \xi^{xa}$$

with $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$. Moreover, we have $V_x = V_y$ if and only if $xE = yE$.

Proof. The matrix d being circulant, we have the formula

$$d(\xi^x) = f(x)\xi^x$$

where $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the following function:

$$f(x) = \sum_{t \in S} w^{xt}$$

Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(w)$ and let H be the Galois group of the Galois extension $\mathbb{Q} \subset K$. It is well-known that we have a group isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Z}_p^* &\longrightarrow H \\ x &\longmapsto s_x \end{aligned}$$

with the automorphism s_x given by the following formula:

$$s_x(w) = w^x$$

Also, we know from a theorem of Dedekind that the family $\{s_x \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*\}$ is free in $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(K)$. Now for $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ consider the following operator:

$$L = \sum_{t \in S} s_{xt} - \sum_{t \in S} s_{yt} \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(K)$$

We have $L(w) = f(x) - f(y)$, and since L commutes with the action of the abelian group H , we have

$$L = 0 \iff L(w) = 0 \iff f(x) = f(y)$$

and by linear independence of the family $\{s_x \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*\}$ we get:

$$f(x) = f(y) \iff xS = yS \iff xE = yE$$

It follows that d has precisely $1 + (p-1)/k$ distinct eigenvalues, the corresponding eigenspaces being those in the statement. \square

Consider now a commutative ring $(R, +, \cdot)$. We denote by R^* the group of invertibles, and we assume $2 \in R^*$. A subgroup $G \subset R^*$ is called even if $-1 \in G$.

Definition 4.1. *An even subgroup $G \subset R^*$ is called 2-maximal if*

$$a - b = 2(c - d)$$

with $a, b, c, d \in G$ implies $a = \pm b$.

We call $a = b, c = d$ trivial solutions, and $a = -b = c - d$ hexagonal solutions. The terminology comes from the following key example:

Consider the group $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ formed by k -th roots of unity, with k even. We regard G as set of vertices of the regular k -gon. An equation of the form $a - b = 2(c - d)$ with $a, b, c, d \in G$ says that the diagonals $a - b$ and $c - d$ are parallel, and that the first one is twice as much as the second one. But this can happen only when a, c, d, b are consecutive vertices of a regular hexagon, and here we have $a + b = 0$.

This example is discussed in detail in next section.

Proposition 4.1. *Assume that R has the property $3 \neq 0$, and consider a 2-maximal subgroup $G \subset R^*$.*

- (1) $2, 3 \notin G$.
- (2) $a + b = 2c$ with $a, b, c \in G$ implies $a = b = c$.
- (3) $a + 2b = 3c$ with $a, b, c \in G$ implies $a = b = c$.

Proof. (1) This follows from the following formulae, which cannot hold in G :

$$4 - 2 = 2(2 - 1)$$

$$3 - (-1) = 2(3 - 1)$$

Indeed, the first one would imply $4 = \pm 2$, and the second one would imply $3 = \pm 1$. But from $2 \in R^*$ and $3 \neq 0$ we get $2, 4, 6 \neq 0$, contradiction.

(2) We have $a - b = 2(c - b)$. For a trivial solution we have $a = b = c$, and for a hexagonal solution we have $a + b = 0$, hence $c = 0$, hence $0 \in G$, contradiction.

(3) We have $a - c = 2(c - b)$. For a trivial solution we have $a = b = c$, and for a hexagonal solution we have $a + c = 0$, hence $b = -2a$, hence $2 \in G$, contradiction. \square

We use these facts several times in the proof below, by referring to them as “2-maximality” properties, without special mention to Proposition 4.1.

Theorem 4.1. *If $E \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$ is 2-maximal ($p \geq 5$) then X has no quantum symmetry.*

Proof. We use Lemma 4.1, which ensures that V_1, V_2, V_3 are eigenspaces of d . By 2-maximality of E , these three eigenspaces are different.

From eigenspace preservation in Theorem 3.1 we get formulae of the following type, with $r_a, r'_a, r''_a \in \mathcal{A}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(\xi) &= \sum_{a \in E} \xi^a \otimes r_a \\ \alpha(\xi^2) &= \sum_{a \in E} \xi^{2a} \otimes r'_a \\ \alpha(\xi^3) &= \sum_{a \in E} \xi^{3a} \otimes r''_a\end{aligned}$$

We take the square of the first relation, we compare with the formula of $\alpha(\xi^2)$, and we use 2-maximality:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(\xi^2) &= \left(\sum_{a \in E} \xi^a \otimes r_a \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_x \xi^x \otimes \left(\sum_{a, b \in E} \delta_{a+b, x} r_a r_b \right) \\ &= \sum_{c \in E} \xi^{2c} \otimes \left(\sum_{a, b \in E} \delta_{a+b, 2c} r_a r_b \right) \\ &= \sum_{c \in E} \xi^{2c} \otimes r_c^2\end{aligned}$$

We multiply this relation by the formula of $\alpha(\xi)$, we compare with the formula of $\alpha(\xi^3)$, and we use 2-maximality:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(\xi^3) &= \left(\sum_{a \in E} \xi^a \otimes r_a \right) \left(\sum_{c \in E} \xi^{2c} \otimes r_c^2 \right) \\ &= \sum_x \xi^x \otimes \left(\sum_{a, c \in E} \delta_{a+2c, x} r_a r_c^2 \right) \\ &= \sum_{b \in E} \xi^{3b} \otimes \left(\sum_{a, c \in E} \delta_{a+2c, 3b} r_a r_c^2 \right) \\ &= \sum_{b \in E} \xi^{3b} \otimes r_b^3\end{aligned}$$

Summarizing, the three formulae in the beginning are in fact:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(\xi) &= \sum_{a \in E} \xi^a \otimes r_a \\ \alpha(\xi^2) &= \sum_{a \in E} \xi^{2a} \otimes r_a^2\end{aligned}$$

$$\alpha(\xi^3) = \sum_{a \in E} \xi^{3a} \otimes r_a^3$$

We claim now that for $a \neq b$, we have the following “key formula”:

$$r_a r_b^3 = 0$$

Indeed, consider the following equality:

$$\left(\sum_{a \in E} \xi^a \otimes r_a \right) \left(\sum_{b \in E} \xi^{2b} \otimes r_b^2 \right) = \sum_{c \in E} \xi^{3c} \otimes r_c^3$$

By eliminating all $a = b$ terms, which produce the sum on the right, we get:

$$\sum \left\{ \xi^{a+2b} \otimes r_a r_b^2 \mid a, b \in E, a \neq b \right\} = 0$$

By taking the coefficient of ξ^x , with x arbitrary, we get:

$$\sum \left\{ r_a r_b^2 \mid a, b \in E, a \neq b, a + 2b = x \right\} = 0$$

We fix now $a, b \in E$ satisfying $a \neq b$. We know from 2-maximality that the equation $a + 2b = a' + 2b'$ with $a', b' \in E$ has at most one non-trivial solution, namely the hexagonal one, given by $a' = -a$ and $b' = a + b$. Now with $x = a + 2b$, we get that the above equality is in fact one of the following two equalities:

$$r_a r_b^2 = 0$$

$$r_a r_b^2 + r_{-a} r_{a+b}^2 = 0$$

In the first situation, we have $r_a r_b^3 = 0$ as claimed.

In the second situation, we proceed as follows. We know that $a_1 = b$ and $b_1 = a + b$ are distinct elements of E . Consider now the equation $a_1 + 2b_1 = a'_1 + 2b'_1$ with $a'_1, b'_1 \in E$. The hexagonal solution of this equation, given by $a'_1 = -a_1$ and $b'_1 = a_1 + b_1$, cannot appear: indeed, $b'_1 = a_1 + b_1$ can be written as $b'_1 = a + 2b$, and by 2-maximality we get $b'_1 = -a = b$, which contradicts $a + b \in E$.

Thus the equation $a_1 + 2b_1 = a'_1 + 2b'_1$ with $a'_1, b'_1 \in E$ has only trivial solutions, and with $x = a_1 + 2b_1$ in the above considerations we get:

$$r_{a_1} r_{b_1}^2 = 0$$

Now remember that this follows by identifying coefficients in $\alpha(\xi)\alpha(\xi^2) = \alpha(\xi^3)$. The same method applies to the formula $\alpha(\xi^2)\alpha(\xi) = \alpha(\xi^3)$, and we get:

$$r_{b_1}^2 r_{a_1} = 0$$

We have now all ingredients for finishing the proof of the key formula:

$$\begin{aligned} r_a r_b^3 &= r_a r_b^2 r_b \\ &= -r_{-a} r_{a+b}^2 r_b \\ &= -r_{-a} r_{b_1}^2 r_{a_1} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

We come back to the following formula, proved for $s = 1, 2, 3$:

$$\alpha(\xi^s) = \sum_{a \in E} \xi^{sa} \otimes r_a^s$$

By using the key formula, we get by induction on $s \geq 3$ that this holds in general:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\xi^{1+s}) &= \left(\sum_{a \in E} \xi^a \otimes r_a \right) \left(\sum_{b \in E} \xi^{sb} \otimes r_b^s \right) \\ &= \sum_{a \in E} \xi^{(1+s)a} \otimes r_a^{1+s} + \sum_{a, b \in E, a \neq b} \xi^{a+sb} \otimes r_a r_b^s \\ &= \sum_{a \in E} \xi^{(1+s)a} \otimes r_a^{1+s} \end{aligned}$$

In particular with $s = p - 1$ we get:

$$\alpha(\xi^{-1}) = \sum_{a \in E} \xi^{-a} \otimes r_a^{p-1}$$

On the other hand, from $\xi^* = \xi^{-1}$ we get

$$\alpha(\xi^{-1}) = \sum_{a \in E} \xi^{-a} \otimes r_a^*$$

which gives $r_a^* = r_a^{p-1}$ for any a . Now by using the key formula we get

$$(r_a r_b)(r_a r_b)^* = r_a r_b r_b^* r_a^* = r_a r_b^p r_a^* = (r_a r_b^3)(r_b^{p-3} r_a^*) = 0$$

which gives $r_a r_b = 0$. Thus we have $r_a r_b = r_b r_a = 0$.

On the other hand, \mathcal{A} is generated by coefficients of α , which are in turn powers of elements r_a . It follows that \mathcal{A} is commutative, and we are done. \square

5. THE MAIN RESULT

Let k be an even number, and consider the group of k -th roots of unity $G = \{1, \zeta, \dots, \zeta^{k-1}\}$, where $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/k}$. We use the Euler function φ .

Lemma 5.1. *G is 2-maximal in \mathbb{C} .*

Proof. Assume that we have $a - b = 2(c - d)$ with $a, b, c, d \in G$. With $z = b/a$ and $u = (c - d)/a$, we have $1 - z = 2u$. Let n be the order of the root of unity z . By [18], chap. 2, the $\mathbb{Q}(z)$ -norm $N(1 - z)$ of $1 - z$ is ± 1 if n is not the power of a prime l , and $\pm l$ otherwise. Applying the $\mathbb{Q}(z)$ -norm to $1 - z = 2u$, and using that u is an algebraic integer, we get

$$2^{\varphi(n)} | N(1 - z)$$

hence $n \leq 2$, $z = \pm 1$, and we are done. \square

Let p be a prime number.

Lemma 5.2. *For $p > 6^{\varphi(k)}$, any subgroup $E \subset \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ of order k is 2-maximal.*

Proof. Consider the following set of complex numbers:

$$\Sigma = \{a + 2b \mid a, b \in G\}$$

Let $A = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$, recall that A is the ring of algebraic integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$, and in particular a Dedekind ring. If p is any prime number such that k divides $p - 1$, it is well-known that the ideal pA is a product $P_1 \dots P_{\varphi(k)}$ of prime ideals of A such that $A/P_i \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ for each i . Choosing an i we get a surjective ring morphism:

$$\Phi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$$

Since p does not divide k , the polynomial

$$X^k - 1 = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (X - \Phi(\zeta)^i)$$

has no multiple root in \mathbb{Z}_p , hence $\Phi(G) \subset \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ is a cyclic subgroup of order k . As \mathbb{Z}_p^* is known to be a cyclic group, $\Phi(G)$ is actually the unique subgroup of order k of \mathbb{Z}_p^* , hence it coincides with the subgroup E in the statement.

We claim that for p as in the statement, the induced map $\Phi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ is injective. Together with Lemma 5.1, this would prove the assertion.

So, assume $\Phi(x) = \Phi(y)$. The Dedekind property gives an ideal $Q \subset A$ such that:

$$(x - y) = P_i Q$$

For I a nonzero ideal of A , let us denote by $N(I) := |A/I|$ the norm of I , and set also $N(0) = 0$. Recall that by the Dedekind property, N is multiplicative with respect to the product of ideals in A and that for any $z \in A$, the norm $N(z)$ of the principal ideal zA coincides with the absolute value of the following integer:

$$\prod_{s \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)/\mathbb{Q})} s(z)$$

Applying norms to $(x - y) = P_i Q$ shows that $N(P_i) = p$ divides the integer $N(x - y)$. Now with p as in the statement we have $N(x - y) \leq p_0$ for any $x, y \in \Sigma$, so the induced map $\Phi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ is injective, and we are done. \square

Theorem 5.1. *A type k circulant graph having $p \gg k$ vertices, with p prime, has no quantum symmetry.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 5.2, with $p > 6^{\varphi(k)}$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Alspach, Point-symmetric graphs and digraphs of prime order and transitive permutation groups of prime degree, *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. B* **15** (1973), 12–17.
- [2] T. Banica, Symmetries of a generic coaction, *Math. Ann.* **314** (1999), 763–780.
- [3] T. Banica, Quantum automorphism groups of small metric spaces, *Pacific J. Math.* **219** (2005), 27–51.
- [4] T. Banica, Quantum automorphism groups of homogeneous graphs, *J. Funct. Anal.* **224** (2005), 243–280.

- [5] T. Banica and J. Bichon, Free product formulae for quantum permutation groups, *J. Math. Inst. Jussieu*, to appear.
- [6] T. Banica and J. Bichon, Quantum automorphism groups of vertex-transitive graphs of order ≤ 11 , [math.QA/0601758](#).
- [7] T. Banica and B. Collins, Integration over compact quantum groups, [math.QA/0511253](#).
- [8] P. Biane, Representations of symmetric groups and free probability, *Adv. Math.* **138** (1998), 126–181.
- [9] J. Bichon, Quantum automorphism groups of finite graphs, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **131** (2003), 665–673.
- [10] J. Bichon, Free wreath product by the quantum permutation group, *Alg. Rep. Theory* **7** (2004), 343–362.
- [11] D. Bisch and V.F.R. Jones, Singly generated planar algebras of small dimension, *Duke Math. J.* **101** (2000), 41–75.
- [12] B. Collins, Moments and cumulants of polynomial random variables on unitary groups, the Itzykson-Zuber integral, and free probability, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **17** (2003), 953–982.
- [13] P. Di Francesco, Meander determinants, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **191** (1998), 543–583.
- [14] E. Dobson and J. Morris, On automorphism groups of circulant digraphs of square-free order, *Discrete Math.* **299** (2005), 79–98.
- [15] V.F.R. Jones and V.S. Sunder, Introduction to subfactors, LMS Lecture Notes 234, Cambridge University Press (1997)
- [16] A. Klimyk and K. Schmüdgen, Quantum groups and their representations, Texts and Monographs in Physics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1997).
- [17] M.H. Klin and R. Pöschel, The König problem, the isomorphism problem for cyclic graphs and the method of Schur rings, *Colloq. Math. Soc. Janos Bolyai* **25** (1981), 405–434.
- [18] S. Wang, Quantum symmetry groups of finite spaces, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **195** (1998), 195–211.
- [19] L.C. Washington, Introduction to cyclotomic fields, GTM 83, Springer (1982)
- [20] D. Weingarten, Asymptotic behavior of group integrals in the limit of infinite rank, *J. Math. Phys.* **19** (1978), 999–1001.
- [21] S.L. Woronowicz, Compact matrix pseudogroups, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **111** (1987), 613–665.

T.B.: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITE TOULOUSE 3, 118 ROUTE DE NARBONNE, 31062 TOULOUSE, FRANCE

E-mail address: banica@picard.ups-tlse.fr

J.B.: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITE DE PAU, 1 AVENUE DE L'UNIVERSITE, 64000 PAU, FRANCE

E-mail address: bichon@univ-pau.fr

G.C.: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITE PARIS 13, 99 AVENUE J-B. CLEMENT, 93430 VILLETANEUSE, FRANCE

E-mail address: chenevie@math.univ-paris13.fr