



**HAL**  
open science

## Mould Calculus for Hamiltonian Vector Fields

Jacky Cresson, Guillaume Morin

► **To cite this version:**

| Jacky Cresson, Guillaume Morin. Mould Calculus for Hamiltonian Vector Fields. 2008. <hal-00207918>

**HAL Id: hal-00207918**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00207918v1>**

Preprint submitted on 18 Jan 2008

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization

---

# MOULD CALCULUS FOR HAMILTONIAN VECTOR FIELDS

by

Jacky Cresson & Guillaume Morin

---

**Abstract.** — We present the general framework of Écalle’s moulds in the case of linearization of a formal vector field without and within resonances. We enlighten the power of moulds by their universality, and calculability. We modify then Écalle’s technique to fit in the seek of a formal normal form of a Hamiltonian vector field in cartesian coordinates. We prove that mould calculus can also produce successive canonical transformations to bring a Hamiltonian vector field into a normal form.

We then prove a Kolmogorov theorem on Hamiltonian vector fields near a diophantine torus in action-angle coordinates using moulds techniques.

## Contents

1. Introduction.....	1
2. Reminder about moulds.....	2
3. Continuous prenormal forms of a vector field.....	7
4. Effective aspects of continuous prenormal forms.....	12
5. A first approach to the Poincaré-Dulac normal form.....	14
6. The trimmed form.....	20
7. The Hamiltonian case.....	23
8. Kolmogorov Theorem.....	27
9. Conclusion.....	29
References.....	29

## 1. Introduction

We deal in this text with formal normal forms for formal vector fields on  $\mathbb{C}^\nu$ . We use the *mould formalism* by Jean Écalle to obtain those. The idea in this formalism is to consider vector fields as *derivations* on the algebra of formal power series  $\mathbb{C}[[x]]$  and work in the general free Lie algebras framework associated to the algebra build on these derivations. It was developed by Écalle (see [5, 6, 7]) but didn’t get the success it deserved yet. This text comes back on Écalle’s idea with some precise calculus we didn’t find in his works, although it was said to be right. The Hamiltonian parts (sections 7 and 8) were also

---

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.** — 37G05, 37J40, 17B40, 17A50, 17B66, 17B70.

**Key words and phrases.** — normal form, continuous prenormal form, mould, mould calculus, Hamiltonian systems, Kolmogorov theorem.

evoked by Écalle in [9] but still not written: we hope to give here a little contribution to his work and an educational aspect.

In order to make the reader familiar with moulds and mould calculus in the search for formal normal forms, we recall in sections 2 to 6 some of Écalle's work, and set a global framework for moulds, which is the general free Lie algebras framework. Then, in sections 7 and 8 we present already known results, with the new techniques of moulds.

The search for formal normal forms of vector fields has a great first theorem from a great mathematician: the linearization theorem by Poincaré. We give here a "moulds proof" of this theorem, which obviously make the small divisors appear, and moreover, arouses a universal character of moulds: the linearization mould only depend on the graduation (*i.e.* the decomposition) of the vector field  $X$ . This is of great interest, because when the vector field is modified, the linearization mould is still the same, as long as the graduation of the vector field is the same.

The plan of this text is the following: sections 2 to 6 are of pedagogical interest, and summarize the main definitions, results and techniques of Écalle's moulds we need. Most of it can be found in [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. The original work we did can be found in the last two sections. More precisely:

Section 2 recalls some basic definitions and results about mould formalism. In section 3 we define the main object of our concern: a prenormal form. That is, a vector field  $X$  being given with a fixed diagonal linear part  $X_{\text{lin}}$ , we look for a change of variables which brings  $X$  into  $X_{\text{nor}}$ , such that  $[X_{\text{lin}}, X_{\text{nor}}] = 0$ .

Section 3 deals with *continuous* prenormal forms, following Écalle's terminology; that is, how does a prenormal form  $X_{\text{nor}}$  behave when the vector field  $X$  is modified, its linear part being untouched? We give here a first application of the power of the mould formalism, calculating a direct transform of linearization of  $X$ , according to Poincaré's linearization theorem.

The case of resonant vector fields rises in the next section 4: we obtain an analogous result of the classical Poincaré-Dulac theorem; nevertheless the prenormal form calculated here is not the Poincaré-Dulac normal form; Écalle calls it the *trimmed* form.

The last two sections focus on Hamiltonian vector fields, which was the original goal of this text: we make here a slightly modification in Écalle's formalism: where homogeneous differential operators were used, we need another graduation (*i.e.* decomposition) of the vector field  $X$  to prove that it is possible to make successive *canonical* transformations to bring a formal Hamiltonian with a resonant linear part in cartesian coordinates into a trimmed form, preserving the Hamiltonian character at each step.

Then, in section 7, following [11] we prove a formal Kolmogorov theorem on a formal Hamiltonian near a diophantine torus using techniques shown in section 6. We study here perturbations in action-angle coordinates as trigonometric polynomials for technical reasons.

## 2. Reminder about moulds

All proofs and details about this section can be found in [4]. We denote by  $A$  an alphabet, finite or not, which is a semigroup for a law  $\star$ . In this section, a letter of  $A$  is denoted by  $a$ .  $A^*$  denotes the set of all *words*  $\mathbf{a}$  build on  $A$  *i.e.* the totally ordered sequences  $a_1 \cdots a_r, r \geq 0$ , with  $a_i$  in  $A$  and  $r = \ell(\mathbf{a})$  the length of the word  $\mathbf{a}$ . We set the

convention that a word of length 0 is the empty word  $\emptyset$ . Moreover,  $A_r^*$  denotes the set of words of exact length  $r$ .

The natural operation on  $A^*$  is the usual *concatenation* of two words  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$  of  $A^*$ , which glues the word  $\mathbf{a}$  to the word  $\mathbf{b}$ , *i.e.*  $\mathbf{a} \bullet \mathbf{b}$ , or often simply  $\mathbf{ab}$  when there is no ambiguity. Moreover, as  $A$  is a semigroup, we define  $\|\mathbf{a}\|_\star$  as the letter  $a_1 \star \cdots \star a_r$  of  $A$ , if  $r = \ell(\mathbf{a})$ . Now here is the main "new" object we focus on:

**Definition 2.1.** — Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a ring, or a field, and  $A$  an alphabet. A  $\mathbb{K}$ -valued mould  $M^\bullet$  on  $A$  is a map from  $A^*$  to  $\mathbb{K}$ ; the evaluation of the mould  $M^\bullet$  on a word  $\mathbf{a}$  is denoted by  $M^\mathbf{a}$ .

The first important thing, is the natural one-to-one correspondence between moulds and non-commutative formal power series.

**2.1. Moulds and formal power series.** — For  $r \geq 0$ , remember that  $A_r^*$  is the set of words of length  $r$ , with the convention that  $A_0^* = \{\emptyset\}$ . We denote by  $\mathbb{K}\langle A \rangle$  the set of finite  $\mathbb{K}$ -linear combinations of elements of  $A^*$ , *i.e.* *non-commutative* polynomials on  $A$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{K}$ , and by  $\mathbb{K}_r\langle A \rangle$  the set of  $\mathbb{K}$ -linear combinations of elements of  $A_r^*$ , *i.e.* the set of non-commutative homogeneous polynomials of degree  $r$ . We have a natural *graduation* on  $\mathbb{K}\langle A \rangle$  by the length of words:

$$\mathbb{K}\langle A \rangle = \bigoplus_{r=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{K}_r\langle A \rangle.$$

The completion of  $\mathbb{K}\langle A \rangle$  with respect to the graduation by length denoted by  $\mathbb{K}\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle$  is the set of non-commutative formal power series with coefficients in  $\mathbb{K}$ . An element of  $\mathbb{K}\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle$  is denoted by

$$\sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A^*} M^\mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}, \quad M^\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{K},$$

where this sum must be understood as

$$\sum_{r \geq 0} \left( \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A_r^*} M^\mathbf{a} \mathbf{a} \right).$$

Let  $M^\bullet$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -valued mould on  $A$ ; its generating series denoted by  $\Phi_M$  belongs to  $\mathbb{K}\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle$  and is defined by

$$\Phi_M = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A^*} M^\mathbf{a} \mathbf{a},$$

or in a condensed way as  $\sum_{\bullet} M^\bullet \bullet$ . This correspondence provides a *one-to-one mapping* from the set of  $\mathbb{K}$ -valued moulds on  $A$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{K}}(A)$ , and  $\mathbb{K}\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle$ .

**2.1.1. Moulds algebra.** — The set of moulds  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{K}}(A)$  inherits a *structure of algebra* from  $\mathbb{K}\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle$ . The sum and product of two moulds  $M^\bullet$  and  $N^\bullet$  are denoted by  $M^\bullet + N^\bullet$  and  $M^\bullet \cdot N^\bullet$  or  $M^\bullet \times N^\bullet$  respectively and defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (M^\bullet + N^\bullet)^\mathbf{a} &= M^\mathbf{a} + N^\mathbf{a}, \\ (M^\bullet \times N^\bullet)^\mathbf{a} &= (M^\bullet \cdot N^\bullet)^\mathbf{a} = \sum_{\mathbf{a}^1 \mathbf{a}^2 = \mathbf{a}} M^{\mathbf{a}^1} N^{\mathbf{a}^2}, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\mathbf{a} \in A^*$  where this latter sum corresponds to all the partitions of  $\mathbf{a}$  in two words  $\mathbf{a}^1$  and  $\mathbf{a}^2$  of  $A^*$ . The product operation is associative.

The neutral element for the mould product is denoted by  $1^\bullet$  and defined by

$$1^\bullet = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \bullet = \emptyset, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $M^\bullet$  be a mould. We denote by  $(M^\bullet)^{-1}$  the inverse of  $M^\bullet$  for the mould product when it exists, *i.e.* the solution of the mould equation:

$$M^\bullet \cdot (M^\bullet)^{-1} = (M^\bullet)^{-1} \cdot M^\bullet = 1^\bullet.$$

The inverse of a mould  $M^\bullet$  exists if and only if  $M^\emptyset \neq 0$ .

*2.1.2. Composition of moulds.* — Assuming that  $A$  possesses a *semi-group* structure, we can define a non-commutative version of the classical operation of *substitution* of formal power series.

We denote by  $\star$  an internal law on  $A$ , such that  $(A, \star)$  is a semi-group. We denote by  $\|\|_\star$  the mapping from  $A^*$  to  $A$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \|\|_\star : A^* &\longrightarrow A, \\ \mathbf{a} = a_1 \dots a_r &\longmapsto a_1 \star \dots \star a_r. \end{aligned}$$

The  $\star$  will be omitted when clear from the context.

The set  $\mathbb{K}\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle$  is graded by  $\|\|_\star$ . A *homogeneous component* of degree  $a'$  of  $A$ , of a non-commutative serie  $\Phi_M = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A^*} M^\mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}$  is the quantity

$$\Phi_M^{a'} = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{a} \in A^* \\ \|\mathbf{a}\|_\star = a'}} M^\mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}.$$

We have by definition

$$\Phi_M = \sum_{a \in A} \Phi_M^a.$$

**Definition 2.2 (Composition).** — Let  $(A, \star)$  be a semi-group structure. Let  $M^\bullet$  and  $N^\bullet$  be two moulds on  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{K}}(A)$  and  $\Phi_M, \Phi_N$  their associated generating series. The substitution of  $\Phi_N$  in  $\Phi_M$ , denoted by  $\Phi_M \circ \Phi_N$  is defined by

$$\Phi_M \circ \Phi_N = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A^*} M^\mathbf{a} \Phi_N^\mathbf{a}, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\Phi_N^\mathbf{a}$  is given by  $\Phi_N^{a_1} \dots \Phi_N^{a_r}$  for  $\mathbf{a} = a_1 \dots a_r$ .

We denote by  $M^\bullet \circ N^\bullet$  the mould of  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{K}}(A)$  such that

$$\Phi_M \circ \Phi_N = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A^*} (M^\bullet \circ N^\bullet)^\mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}. \quad (2.2)$$

Equation (2.2) defines a natural operation on moulds denoted by  $\circ$  and called *composition*. Using  $\|\|_\star$  we can give a closed formula for the composition of two moulds.

**Lemma 2.3.** — *Let  $(A, \star)$  be a semi-group and  $M^\bullet, N^\bullet$  be two moulds of  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{K}}(A)$ .*

*For the empty word,  $(M^\bullet \circ N^\bullet)^\emptyset = M^\emptyset$ , and for all  $\mathbf{a} \in A^*$  of length at least 1:*

$$(M^\bullet \circ N^\bullet)^\mathbf{a} = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell(\mathbf{a})} \sum_{\mathbf{a}^1 \dots \mathbf{a}^k \stackrel{*}{=} \mathbf{a}} M^{\|\mathbf{a}^1\|_\star \dots \|\mathbf{a}^k\|_\star} N^{\mathbf{a}^1} \dots N^{\mathbf{a}^k}, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $\mathbf{a}^1 \dots \mathbf{a}^k \stackrel{*}{=} \mathbf{a}$  denotes all the partitions of  $\mathbf{a}$  such that  $\mathbf{a}^i \neq \emptyset$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ .

**Definition 2.4.** — The neutral element for the mould composition is denoted by  $I^\bullet$  and defined by:

$$I^\bullet = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \ell(\bullet) = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $\ell(\bullet)$  denotes the length of a word of  $A^*$ .

**2.1.3. Exponential and logarithm of moulds.** — We denote by  $(\mathbb{K}\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle)_*$  the set of non-commutative formal power series without a constant term. We define the *exponential* of an element  $x \in \mathbb{K}\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle$ , denoted by  $\exp(x)$  using the classical formula:

$$\exp(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{x^n}{n!}.$$

The *logarithm* of an element  $1 + x \in 1 + (\mathbb{K}\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle)_*$  is denoted by  $\log(1 + x)$  and defined by

$$\log(1 + x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{x^n}{n}.$$

These two applications have their natural counterpart in  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{K}}(A)$ .

**Definition 2.5.** — Let  $M^\bullet$  be a mould of  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{K}}(A)$  and  $\Phi_M$  the associated generating series. Assume that  $\exp(\Phi_M)$  is defined. We denote by  $\text{Exp } M^\bullet$  the mould satisfying the equality

$$\exp\left(\sum_{\bullet} M^\bullet \bullet\right) = \sum_{\bullet} \text{Exp } M^\bullet \bullet.$$

Simple computations lead to the following direct definition of  $\text{Exp}$  on moulds:

$$\text{Exp } M^\bullet = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{[M^\bullet]_{(\times n)}}{n!},$$

where  $[M^\bullet]_{(\times n)}$ , for  $n$  in  $\mathbb{N}^*$ , stands for

$$[M^\bullet]_{(\times n)} = \underbrace{M^\bullet \times \cdots \times M^\bullet}_{n \text{ times}}$$

and  $[M^\bullet]_{(\times 0)} = 1^\bullet$ . The same procedure can be applied to define the logarithm of a mould.

**Definition 2.6.** — Let  $M^\bullet$  be a mould of  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{K}}(A)$  and  $\Phi_M$  the associated generating series. Assume that  $\log(1 + \Phi_M)$  is defined. We denote by  $\text{Log } M^\bullet$  the mould satisfying the equality

$$\log\left(1 + \sum_{\bullet} M^\bullet \bullet\right) = \sum_{\bullet} \text{Log } M^\bullet \bullet.$$

A direct definition of  $\text{Log}$  is then given by

$$\text{Log } M^\bullet = \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{[M^\bullet]_{(\times n)}}{n!}.$$

As  $\exp$  and  $\log$  satisfy  $\exp \circ \log = \log \circ \exp = \text{Id}$ , we have

$$\text{Exp}(\text{Log } M^\bullet) = \text{Log}(\text{Exp } M^\bullet) = 1^\bullet.$$

**2.2. Algebraic aspects of moulds.** — Let  $A$  be a set, we recall the concept of *free Lie algebra* denoted by  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{K}}(A)$  generated by  $A$ , see [15],[16]. Its elements are formal expressions in Lie brackets  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  of  $A$  subject only to the Jacobi identity. We have  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{K}}(A) \subset \mathbb{K}\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle$ , the *enveloping algebra* of  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{K}}(A)$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{K}}(A)$  the set of automorphisms of  $A$ , *i.e.* the *Lie group* associated to  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{K}}(A)$ .

Let  $\Delta : \mathbb{K}\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{K}\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle \otimes \mathbb{K}\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle$  be the algebra morphism defined for all  $a \in A$  by  $\Delta(a) = a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a$  and extended to  $\mathbb{K}\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle$  by linearity. Using  $\Delta$  we can characterize the element of  $\mathbb{K}\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle$  belonging to  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{K}}(A)$ .

**Definition 2.7.** — An element  $P \in \mathbb{K}\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle$  is called *primitive* if  $\Delta(P) = P \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes P$ , and *group-like* if  $\Delta(P) = P \otimes P$ .

An important result on free Lie algebras is:

**Lemma 2.8.** — *An element  $P \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{K}}(A)$  if and only if  $P$  is primitive and  $P \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{K}}(A)$  if and only if  $P$  is group-like.*

This result can be formulated directly on coefficients leading to two symmetries for moulds on  $A$ . In order to state the result, we define the combinatorial notion of *shuffle product* on  $A^*$ :

**Definition 2.9.** — The shuffle product denoted by  $\mathfrak{m} : A^* \times A^* \rightarrow P(A^*)$  is defined inductively on  $A^*$  by  $\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a} = \mathfrak{m}\mathbf{a}$  and  $x\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{m}y\mathbf{b} = x(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{m}y\mathbf{b}) \cup y(x\mathfrak{a}\mathbf{m}\mathbf{b})$  for all  $x, y \in A$ ,  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in A^*$ .

As an example, if  $\mathbf{a} = (x_1, x_2)$  and  $\mathbf{b} = (x_3)$ , we have

$$\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{b} = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3), (x_1, x_3, x_2), (x_3, x_1, x_2)\}.$$

According to [15], we have

$$\Delta \left( \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A^*} M^{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{a} \right) = \sum_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in A^*} \left( \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{b}} M^{\mathbf{c}} \right) \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{b}.$$

Lemma 2.8 can be formulated as follow:

**Lemma 2.10.** — *An element  $P \in \mathbb{K}\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle$ ,  $P = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A^*} P^{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{a}$  is primitive (resp. group-like) if and only if*

$$\sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{b}} M^{\mathbf{c}} = 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in A^* \setminus \{e\}, \quad (\star).$$

$$\left( \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{b}} M^{\mathbf{c}} = M^{\mathbf{a}} M^{\mathbf{b}} \quad \forall \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in A^*, \quad \text{resp.} \right) \quad (\star\star).$$

We now introduce Ecalle's terminology for moulds corresponding to primitive or group-like elements in  $\mathbb{K}\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle$

**Definition 2.11.** — A mould  $M^{\bullet} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{K}}(A)$  is called *alternal* (resp. *symetral*) if  $M^{\bullet}$  satisfies  $(\star)$  (resp.  $(\star\star)$ ).

A primitive element of  $\mathbb{K}\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle$  being given, we can easily obtain the corresponding element of  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{K}}(A)$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{I}$  the ideal of  $\mathbb{K}\langle A \rangle$  generated by all polynomials without a constant term. We denote by  $\psi : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{K}}(A)$  the mapping defined for all  $\mathbf{a} \in A^*$ ,  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_r)$  by

$$\psi(\mathbf{a}) = \frac{1}{r} [[\dots [[a_1, a_2], a_3], \dots], a_r],$$

and extended by linearity to  $\mathcal{I}$ .

According to [16], we have the following result called the *projection lemma* by Ecalle:

**Lemma 2.12.** — *We have  $\psi|_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{K}}(A)} = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{K}}(A)}$ .*

As a consequence, for an alternal mould  $M^\bullet \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{K}}(A)$ , we have

$$\sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A^*} M^\mathbf{a} \mathbf{a} = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A^*} M^\mathbf{a} \psi(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{r} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A_r^*} M^\mathbf{a} [[\dots [[a_1, a_2], a_3], \dots], a_r].$$

### 3. Continuous prenormal forms of a vector field

From now on,  $\nu$  will be an integer, and  $X$  a vector field on  $\mathbb{C}^\nu$  such that  $X(0) = 0$ . We want to obtain some particular form of  $X$  within a change of variable. If  $m = (m_1, \dots, m_\nu) \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$ , we use the notation  $x^m$  for  $x_1^{m_1} \dots x_\nu^{m_\nu}$  and  $\partial_{x_i}$  for  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ .

**Definition 3.1.** — A *differential operator* is an element of  $\mathbb{C}[[x]][[\partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_\nu}]]$  i.e. a formal power series in the  $\partial_{x_i}$  whose coefficients are (commutative) formal power series in  $x$ .

The *order* of a differential operator is the degree of the corresponding polynomial in the variables  $\partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_\nu}$ .

If  $n$  is in  $\mathbb{Z}^\nu$ , a *homogeneous differential operator of degree  $n$*  is a differential operator  $B_n$  such that for all  $m$  in  $\mathbb{N}^\nu$ , there exists a  $\beta_{n,m}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ , such that:

$$B_n(x^m) = \beta_{n,m} x^{n+m}.$$

We usually omit the composition operator  $\circ$  when composing homogeneous differential operators: we write  $B_{n^1} \dots B_{n^r}$  for  $B_{n^1} \circ \dots \circ B_{n^r}$ . Moreover, we denote  $B_{\mathbf{n}}$  for  $B_{n^1} \dots B_{n^r}$  where  $\mathbf{n}$  is the word  $\mathbf{n} = n^1 \dots n^r$ .

**Remark 3.2.** — Finally, remark that if  $B_n$  is a differential homogeneous operator of order

1 and degree  $n$ ,  $B_n = \sum_{i=1}^\nu B_n(x_i) \partial_{x_i}$ . When there is no ambiguity, we denote  $\sum_{\bullet} M^\bullet B_\bullet$

for  $\sum_{\mathbf{n} \in A(X)^*} M^\mathbf{n} B_\mathbf{n}$ .

**3.1. Resonant normal form.** — Now consider a vector field  $X = \sum_{i=1}^\nu X_i(x) \partial_{x_i}$  on  $\mathbb{C}^\nu$  (with  $X(0) = 0$ ); it is always possible to write this vector field as

$$X = X_{\text{lin}} + \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in A(X)} B_\mathbf{n},$$

where the  $B_n$  are homogeneous differential operators of degree  $n$  and order 1,  $A(X)$  is an appropriate part of  $\mathbb{Z}'$  (that will be the alphabet) and  $X_{\text{lin}}$  the linear part. As an example, for the following vector field on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  :

$$X = \lambda_1 x \partial_x + \lambda_2 y \partial_y + (a_{20} x^2 + a_{11} xy + a_{02} y^2) \partial_x + (b_{20} x^2 + b_{11} xy + b_{02} y^2) \partial_y, \quad (3.1)$$

we have  $X_{\text{lin}} = \lambda_1 x \partial_x + \lambda_2 y \partial_y$  and the homogeneous differential operators are:

$$\begin{aligned} B_{(1,0)} &= x(a_{20} x \partial_x + b_{11} y \partial_y), \\ B_{(0,1)} &= y(a_{11} x \partial_x + b_{02} y \partial_y), \\ B_{(-1,2)} &= a_{02} y^2 \partial_x, \\ B_{(2,-1)} &= b_{20} x^2 \partial_y. \end{aligned}$$

The alphabet here is  $A(X) = \{(1, 0), (0, 1), (-1, 2), (2, -1)\}$ . The linear part of  $X$  is always supposed to be of a diagonal form (see [13] for instance); we have then:

$$X_{\text{lin}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \lambda_i x_i \partial_{x_i},$$

where  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\nu) \in \mathbb{C}^\nu$  is the spectrum of  $X_{\text{lin}}$ .

**Remark 3.3.** — We use here the graduation by degree for the operators  $B_n$  but it is not unique; for instance, let us set  $\Omega = \{\lambda \cdot n, n \in A(X)\}$  and  $\mathbb{B}_\omega = \sum_{\substack{n \in A(X) \\ \lambda \cdot n = \omega}} B_n$ ; we still have

$X = X_{\text{lin}} + \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \mathbb{B}_\omega$ . This graduation is used by Ecalle in [8] but the operators  $\mathbb{B}_\omega$  are not homogeneous. We also use this graduation in section 7.

**Definition 3.4.** — When the field  $X$  is written as follows:

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \lambda_i x_i \partial_{x_i} + \sum_{n \in A(X)} B_n,$$

it is said to be in *prepared form*.

**3.2. An algebraic point of view.** — Starting from this writing, we look for a change of variables  $h$  in  $\mathbb{C}[[x]]$ , from  $\mathbb{C}^\nu$  to  $\mathbb{C}^\nu$ , which is tangent to identity (*i.e.*  $h(x) = x + \dots$ ), to simplify  $X$ . We define moreover the *substitution morphism*  $\Theta_h$  as:

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_h : \mathbb{C}[[x]] &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}[[x]] \\ \varphi &\mapsto \varphi \circ h \end{aligned}$$

It will be denoted as  $\Theta$  when no ambiguity. Remark that  $h$  is a change of variables, and is then one-to-one. Hence  $\Theta$  is an automorphism of  $\mathbb{C}[[x]]$ .

The natural action of the vector field on formal power series  $\varphi$  of  $\mathbb{C}[[x]]$  is the derivation  $\varphi \mapsto X \cdot \varphi$  where  $X \cdot \varphi = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} X_j(x) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j}(x)$ . Hence the change of variables  $h$  must let the following diagram be commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \varphi & \xrightarrow{X} & X \cdot \varphi \\ h \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\ \varphi \circ h & \xrightarrow{X_{\text{nor}}} & X_{\text{nor}} \cdot (\varphi \circ h) \end{array}$$

Hence, for all  $\varphi \in \mathbb{C}[[x]]$  we must have  $X_{\text{nor}} \cdot (\varphi \circ h) = (X \cdot \varphi) \circ h$ , that is  $X_{\text{nor}}(\Theta\varphi) = \Theta(X\varphi)$ , *i.e.*  $X_{\text{nor}}\Theta = \Theta X$ , or  $X_{\text{nor}} = \Theta X \Theta^{-1}$ . Now, the object we are looking for is the "new" field,  $X_{\text{nor}}$ , defined by:

$$X_{\text{nor}} = \Theta X \Theta^{-1}.$$

**Definition 3.5.** — We say that a field  $X_{\text{nor}}$  is a *prenormal form* of  $X$  if  $X_{\text{nor}}$  is conjugated to  $X$  and  $[X_{\text{nor}}, X_{\text{lin}}] = 0$  where  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  are the usual Lie brackets.

We recall also the following definitions from Arnold [1], § 22, p.175 and p.178:

**Definition 3.6.** — The spectrum  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\nu)$  is *resonant* if there is at least one  $s$  in  $\{1, \dots, \nu\}$  such that there exists  $m$  in  $\mathbb{N}^\nu$ ,  $|m| \geq 2$  such that:

$$\lambda_s = m \cdot \lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} m_i \lambda_i.$$

Moreover, a vectorial monomial  $x^m \partial_{x_s}$  is resonant if  $\lambda_s = m \cdot \lambda$ ,  $|m| \geq 2$ .

For a monomial  $x^m \partial_{x_i}$ , with  $m$  in  $\mathbb{N}^\nu$  and  $i$  in  $\{1, \dots, \nu\}$ , we have

$$[x^m \partial_{x_i}, X_{\text{lin}}] = x^m \left( \lambda_i - \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} m_j \lambda_j \right) \partial_{x_i};$$

thus a prenormal form is only made of resonant monomials, *i.e.*

$$X_{\text{nor}} = X_{\text{lin}} + \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \sum_{m \in R_i(X)} a_m x^m \partial_{x_i}$$

with  $a_m \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $R_i(X) = \{m \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu - \{0\}, |m| \geq 2, \lambda_i = m \cdot \lambda\}$ .

**3.3. Non-unicity of prenormal forms.** — For an integer  $k$  greater than 2 we denote by  $E_k$  the set of all homogeneous vector fields on  $\mathbb{C}^\nu$  of degree  $k$ , that is vector fields on  $\mathbb{C}^\nu$  whose each component is a homogeneous polynomial in  $x_1, \dots, x_\nu$  of degree  $k$ . Now, let  $E$  be defined by:

$$E = \bigoplus_{k \geq 2} E_k.$$

Any vector field  $Y$  of  $E$  writes then  $Y = \sum_{k \geq 2} Y_k$  where  $Y_k$  is in  $E_k$ .

The part  $X_{\text{lin}}$  being fixed, we denote by  $\text{ad}_{X_{\text{lin}}}$  the application defined by  $Y \mapsto [Y, X_{\text{lin}}]$ . Remark that  $\text{ad}_{X_{\text{lin}}}(E_k) \subset E_k$  for any  $k \geq 2$ , and therefore that  $\text{ad}_{X_{\text{lin}}}$  may be defined on  $E$ .

**Proposition 3.7.** — Let  $X_{\text{lin}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \lambda_i x_i \partial_{x_i}$  and  $\begin{cases} \text{ad}_{X_{\text{lin}}} : E \rightarrow E \\ Y \mapsto [Y, X_{\text{lin}}] \end{cases}$ . Then,  $\ker(\text{ad}_{X_{\text{lin}}}) = \{0\}$  if and only if  $\lambda$  is non-resonant.

*Proof.* — By linearity, it is sufficient to prove it for a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $k$ , and even for a monomial  $x^m \partial_{x_i}$ . From definition 3.6, if  $\lambda$  is non-resonant, then

$(\lambda_i - \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} m_j \lambda_j) \neq 0$  hence  $\ker \text{ad}_{X_{\text{lin}}} = \{0\}$ . Conversely, if  $\ker \text{ad}_{X_{\text{lin}}} = \{0\}$  then  $(\lambda_i -$

$\sum_{j=1}^{\nu} m_j \lambda_j) \neq 0$ . Hence  $\lambda$  is non-resonant.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.8.** — *If the spectrum  $\lambda$  of  $X_{\text{lin}}$  is non-resonant, then  $X_{\text{nor}} = X_{\text{lin}}$ .*

*Proof.* — Indeed, a prenormal form is a sum of  $X_{\text{lin}}$  and only resonant monomials.  $\square$

In the case where the spectrum  $\lambda$  is resonant, have the following proposition:

**Proposition 3.9.** — *If the spectrum  $\lambda$  of  $X_{\text{lin}}$  is resonant, a prenormal form of  $X_{\text{lin}}$  is not unique.*

*Proof.* — Indeed, a prenormal form is defined up to a vector field  $Y$  in the kernel of  $\text{ad}_{X_{\text{lin}}}$ , which is not trivial, after proposition 3.7.  $\square$

There is thus a choice to make, which could simplify the transformation. Baider [2] and Gaeta [10] have two interesting approaches of that.

We denote by  $\text{Res}(E)$  the kernel of  $\text{ad}_{X_{\text{lin}}}$ . If  $X$  is in  $E$ , when looking for a prenormal form of  $X$ , we want to write:

$$X = X_{\text{lin}} + X_{\text{res}}, \text{ where } X_{\text{res}} \text{ is in } \text{Res}(E).$$

This writing infers the direct sum decomposition:

$$E = \text{Res}(E) \oplus S,$$

where  $S$  is a supplementary which can be chosen in many ways. A convenient way to chose  $S$  is to provide  $E$  with a scalar product such that

$$E = \bigoplus^{\perp} E_k,$$

where  $E_k$  is the homogeneous component of degree  $k$  of  $E$ .

**3.4. Continuous prenormal forms.** — We denote by  $\mathbb{C}\langle\langle \mathbf{B} \rangle\rangle$  for the algebra  $\mathbb{C}\langle\langle (B_n)_{n \in A(X)} \rangle\rangle$  of non commutative formal series build on the  $B_n$  operators.

**Proposition 3.10.** — *There is a one-to-one correspondence between  $\mathbb{C}\langle\langle \mathbf{B} \rangle\rangle$  and  $\mathbb{C}\langle\langle A(X) \rangle\rangle$  given by:*

$$\sum_{\mathbf{n} \in A(X)^*} M^{\mathbf{n}} B_{\mathbf{n}} \mapsto \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in A(X)^*} M^{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{n}.$$

As there is also a one-to-one correspondence between  $\mathbb{C}\langle\langle A(X) \rangle\rangle$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}(A(X))$ , we have the following writing:

$$X = X_{\text{lin}} + \sum_{\bullet} I^{\bullet} B_{\bullet}.$$

If  $k = (k_1, \dots, k_{\nu})$  is a  $\nu$ -uplet, we denote by

$$\omega(k) = \lambda \cdot k = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \lambda_i k_i$$

where  $\lambda$  is the (fixed) spectrum of  $X_{\text{lin}}$ . Remember also that if  $\mathbf{a} = (a^1, \dots, a^r)$  is in  $A^*$ , we write  $\|\mathbf{a}\| = \|\mathbf{a}\|_+ = a^1 + \dots + a^r$ . We have the following result:

**Lemma 3.11.** — *For any word  $\mathbf{n}$  of  $A^*$ , we have  $[X_{\text{lin}}, B_{\mathbf{n}}] = \omega(\|\mathbf{n}\|) B_{\mathbf{n}}$ .*

*Proof.* — We prove the result by induction on the length  $r$  of the word. Remember that for a word  $n$  of length  $r = 1$ ,  $B_n = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} B_n(x_j) \partial_{x_j}$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} [X_{\text{lin}}, B_n] &= X_{\text{lin}} B_n - B_n X_{\text{lin}} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \lambda_i x_i \partial_{x_i} [B_n(x_j)] - B_n(x_j) \lambda_j \right) \partial_{x_j}. \end{aligned}$$

By definition of  $B_n$ , homogeneous differential operator of degree  $n$ , we can write  $B_n(x_j) = \beta_j x^{\check{n}_j}$  where  $\beta_j$  is a complex and  $\check{n}_j = (n_1, \dots, n_j + 1, \dots, n_\nu)$ . Then for any  $(i, j)$  in  $\{1, \dots, \nu\}^2$ ,  $x_i \partial_{x_i} [B_n(x_j)] = \beta_j (n_i + \delta_{ij}) x^{\check{n}_j}$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} [X_{\text{lin}}, B_n] &= \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \beta_j x^{\check{n}_j} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \lambda_i (n_i + \delta_{ij}) - \lambda_j \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \beta_j x^{\check{n}_j} \omega(n) = \omega(n) B_n. \end{aligned}$$

Now let be  $r \geq 2$  fixed; we set the assumption that for every word  $\mathbf{m}$  of length less than  $r - 1$ , then  $[X_{\text{lin}}, B_{\mathbf{m}}] = \omega(\|\mathbf{m}\|) B_{\mathbf{m}}$ . For a word  $\mathbf{n}$  of length  $r$  we write  $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{m}p$  where  $\ell(\mathbf{m}) = r - 1$  and  $\ell(p) = 1$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} [X_{\text{lin}}, B_{\mathbf{n}}] &= [X_{\text{lin}}, B_{\mathbf{m}} B_p] \\ &= X_{\text{lin}} B_{\mathbf{m}} B_p - B_{\mathbf{m}} B_p X_{\text{lin}} \\ &= X_{\text{lin}} B_{\mathbf{m}} B_p - B_{\mathbf{m}} X_{\text{lin}} B_p + B_{\mathbf{m}} X_{\text{lin}} B_p - B_{\mathbf{m}} B_p X_{\text{lin}} \\ &= [X_{\text{lin}}, B_{\mathbf{m}}] B_p + B_{\mathbf{m}} [X_{\text{lin}}, B_p] \\ &= \omega(\|\mathbf{m}\|) B_{\mathbf{n}} + B_{\mathbf{m}} \omega(p) B_p \\ &= \omega(\|\mathbf{n}\|) B_{\mathbf{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Notation 1.** — For a letter  $n$  in  $A(X)$ ,  $\omega(n)$  stands for  $\lambda \cdot n$ . This notation extends to words  $\mathbf{n}$  in  $A(X)^*$ , by  $\omega(\|\mathbf{n}\|)$ . We set the convention that  $\omega(\emptyset) = 0$ .

**Definition 3.12.** — Let  $\mathbf{n}$  be in  $A(X)^*$ ;  $\mathbf{n}$  is resonant if

$$\omega(\|\mathbf{n}\|) = \lambda \cdot \|\mathbf{n}\| = 0.$$

We can now define the particular forms we are looking for:

**Definition 3.13.** — The vector field  $X$  is said to be in *continuous prenormal form with respect to the alphabet  $A$*  if there is a change of variable that conjugates  $X$  to  $X_{\text{nor}}$  so that

$$X_{\text{nor}} = X_{\text{lin}} + \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in A(X)^*} \text{Pran}^{\mathbf{n}} B_{\mathbf{n}}, \text{ with } \text{Pran}^{\mathbf{n}} = 0 \text{ if } \omega(\|\mathbf{n}\|) \neq 0.$$

**Remark 3.14.** — Notice that this definition implies that the mould  $\text{Pran}^{\bullet}$  must be an alternal mould, as  $X_{\text{nor}} - X_{\text{lin}}$  is a vector field, hence a primitive element of  $\mathbb{C}\langle\langle \mathbf{B} \rangle\rangle$ . Therefore, after lemma 2.12,  $X_{\text{nor}} - X_{\text{lin}}$  is an element of the *Lie algebra* spanned by the  $\{B_n, n \in A(X)\}$ . We are thus trying to write elements of  $\ker \text{ad}_{X_{\text{lin}}}$  in the Lie algebra spanned by the  $B_n$ . There is nevertheless no reason why all elements of that kernel should

be writing that way. However this condition is justified as  $X_{\text{nor}}$  would then be intrinsic to  $X$ . It is therefore Ecalle's choice to look for elements of  $\ker \text{ad}_{X_{\text{lin}}}$  in that Lie algebra.

**Remark 3.15.** — A second remark, is that this definition of a continuous prenormal form depends on the writing of  $X$  as a decomposition in the operators  $B_n$ , hence on the alphabet  $A(X)$ . We will see in section 7 that some choices of decomposition may be better than others.

We have then the following result:

**Theorem 3.16.** — *A continuous prenormal form is a prenormal form.*

*Proof.* — The result is obtained by applying lemma 3.11 with the definition of a resonant word.  $\square$

#### 4. Effective aspects of continuous prenormal forms

We are looking for  $X_{\text{nor}} - X_{\text{lin}}$  to be in the free Lie algebra of  $\mathbb{C}\langle\langle \mathbf{B} \rangle\rangle$ , hence the automorphism  $\Theta$  must be in the corresponding Lie group. That is the reason why we work in the universal enveloping algebra  $\mathbb{C}\langle\langle \mathbf{B} \rangle\rangle$ . Hence:

$$\Theta = \sum_{\bullet} \Theta^{\bullet} B_{\bullet},$$

with the mould  $\Theta^{\bullet}$  being *symetral* as  $\Theta$  must be an automorphism of  $\mathbb{C}[[x]]$ , *i.e.* a group-like element of  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}}(A)$ .

**4.1. The conjugation equation.** — The conjugation equation also writes:

$$\Theta^{-1} X_{\text{nor}} \Theta = X,$$

where  $X_{\text{nor}}$  is the prenormal form looked for, with

$$X_{\text{nor}} = X_{\text{lin}} + \sum_{\bullet} \text{Pran}^{\bullet} B_{\bullet}.$$

The mould expansion gives:

$$X_{\text{lin}} + \sum_{\bullet} I^{\bullet} B_{\bullet} = \left( \sum_{\bullet} (\Theta^{\bullet})^{-1} B_{\bullet} \right) \left( X_{\text{lin}} + \sum_{\bullet} \text{Pran}^{\bullet} B_{\bullet} \right) \left( \sum_{\bullet} \Theta^{\bullet} B_{\bullet} \right)$$

*i.e.*

$$X_{\text{lin}} + \sum_{\bullet} I^{\bullet} B_{\bullet} = \left( \sum_{\bullet} (\Theta^{\bullet})^{-1} B_{\bullet} \right) X_{\text{lin}} \left( \sum_{\bullet} \Theta^{\bullet} B_{\bullet} \right) + \sum_{\bullet} \left( (\Theta^{\bullet})^{-1} \times \text{Pran}^{\bullet} \times \Theta^{\bullet} \right) B_{\bullet}. \quad (4.1)$$

As we can see on this latter equation, the quantity  $X_{\text{lin}} B_{\bullet}$  must be investigated.

**Lemma 4.1.** — *Let  $M^{\bullet}$  be a mould in  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}(A(X))$ . Then:*

$$X_{\text{lin}} \left( \sum_{\bullet} M^{\bullet} B_{\bullet} \right) = \sum_{\bullet} \nabla M^{\bullet} B_{\bullet} + \left( \sum_{\bullet} M^{\bullet} B_{\bullet} \right) X_{\text{lin}},$$

where  $\nabla M^{\mathbf{n}} = \omega(\|\mathbf{n}\|) M^{\mathbf{n}}$  for all  $\mathbf{n}$  in  $A(X)^*$ .

*Proof.* — By linearity, it is sufficient to calculate  $X_{\text{lin}} B_{\mathbf{n}}$  for a word  $\mathbf{n} = (n^1, \dots, n^r)$  in  $A(X)^*$  of length  $r$ . After lemma 3.11,  $X_{\text{lin}} B_{\mathbf{n}} = \omega(\|\mathbf{n}\|) B_{\mathbf{n}} + B_{\mathbf{n}} X_{\text{lin}}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.2.** — *The conjugation equation has the following mould form:*

$$\Theta^\bullet \times I^\bullet = \nabla \Theta^\bullet + \text{Pran}^\bullet \times \Theta^\bullet. \quad (4.2)$$

*Proof.* — Using lemma 4.1, equation (4.1) rewrites:

$$I^\bullet = (\Theta^\bullet)^{-1} \times \nabla \Theta^\bullet + (\Theta^\bullet)^{-1} \times \text{Pran}^\bullet \times \Theta^\bullet,$$

and, after left-multiplicating by  $\Theta^\bullet$ :

$$\Theta^\bullet \times I^\bullet = \nabla \Theta^\bullet + \text{Pran}^\bullet \times \Theta^\bullet.$$

□

This equation gives us a relation between the normalisator  $\Theta$  and the desired prenormal form.

**4.2. The non-resonant case.** — In the case where  $\lambda$  is non-resonant, we must have  $\text{Pran}^\bullet = 0$  for all  $\bullet$ , *i.e.*  $X_{\text{nor}} = X_{\text{lin}}$  (linearization of  $X$ ), after corollary 3.8. We have then to solve an induction relation on the  $\Theta^\bullet$  to prove its existence

Equation (4.2) rewrites indeed:

$$\Theta^\bullet \times I^\bullet = \nabla \Theta^\bullet.$$

Remember definition 2.4 of  $I^\bullet$  on page 5.

Hence for a word of length 0,  $B_\emptyset = \text{Id}$  and  $\Theta$  is tangent to identity, so  $\Theta^\emptyset = 1$ .

For a word  $n$  of length 1, equation (4.2) rewrites  $1 = \omega(n)\Theta^n$ ;  $\lambda$  is non-resonant therefore  $\omega(n) \neq 0$ , thus  $\Theta^n = \frac{1}{\omega(n)}$ .

For a word  $\mathbf{n} = (n^1, \dots, n^r)$  of length  $r$  at least 2, equation (4.2) rewrites then:

$$\Theta^{n^1, \dots, n^{r-1}} = \omega(\|\mathbf{n}\|)\Theta^{\mathbf{n}};$$

as  $\lambda$  is non-resonant, we have still that  $\omega(\|\mathbf{n}\|) \neq 0$ , hence the induction formula:

$$\Theta^{\mathbf{n}} = \frac{\Theta^{n^1, \dots, n^{r-1}}}{\omega(\|\mathbf{n}\|)},$$

hence, by induction:

$$\Theta^{\mathbf{n}} = \frac{1}{\omega_1(\omega_1 + \omega_2) \cdots (\omega_1 + \cdots + \omega_r)},$$

where  $\omega_i$  stands for  $\omega(n^i)$  for  $i$  in  $\{1, \dots, r\}$ . We must then be sure that  $\Theta$  is an automorphism of  $\mathbb{C}[[x]]$ , *i.e.* that  $\Theta^\bullet$  is symetral. This is indeed true, see [3] for a proof.

**4.3. The resonant case.** — In the case where  $\lambda$  is resonant, we set  $\text{Pran}^{\mathbf{n}} = 0$  if  $\omega(\|\mathbf{n}\|) \neq 0$ , to obtain a continuous prenormal form of  $X$ . However, equations on  $\Theta^{\mathbf{n}}$  cannot be solved directly this time: we take equation (4.2) and try to solve it, by induction on the length of words.

Remember first that  $\Theta^\emptyset = 1$ , for  $\Theta$  has to be tangent to identity; moreover,  $I^{\mathbf{n}} = 1$  if  $\ell(\mathbf{n}) = 1$  and 0 otherwise; finally we set  $\text{Pran}^{\mathbf{n}} = 0$  if  $\omega(\|\mathbf{n}\|) \neq 0$ .

For the empty word  $\emptyset$ , equation (4.2) rewrites  $\Theta^\emptyset I^\emptyset = \nabla \Theta^\emptyset + \text{Pran}^\emptyset \Theta^\emptyset$  *i.e.*  $\text{Pran}^\emptyset = 0$ .

For a word  $n$  of length 1, equation (4.2) rewrites:

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta^n I^\emptyset + I^n \Theta^\emptyset &= \nabla \Theta^n + \text{Pran}^n \Theta^\emptyset + \text{Pran}^\emptyset \Theta^n \\ \iff 1 &= \omega(n)\Theta^n + \text{Pran}^n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if  $\omega(n) \neq 0$ ,  $\text{Pran}^n = 0$  so we can solve this equation and  $\Theta^n = \frac{1}{\omega(n)}$ . However, if  $\omega(n) = 0$ , it gives  $\text{Pran}^n = 1$  but we have no information on  $\Theta^n$ . It is therefore not possible to deduce all  $\Theta^n$  for all words  $\mathbf{n}$  in  $A(X)^*$  from equation (4.2) and the condition we set on  $\text{Pran}^\bullet$ . At this moment, there are two possibilities:

- either add a condition, like a derivation condition on moulds (see [17] p.25); it leads to different prenormal forms, depending on the additional condition. This method is the "direct" method.
- The other possibility is an iterative method like Poincaré-Dulac. This is the method we expose here.

As done usually (see [1] for instance), when looking for a (pre)normal form, we want to write  $X - X_{\text{lin}}$  as a sum of resonant terms only. Hence we set the mould  $\text{Pran}^\bullet$  this way:

$$\text{Pran}^n = 0 \text{ if } \mathbf{n} \text{ is non-resonant.}$$

Unfortunately, it seems too complicated to solve this equation at once. Nevertheless, it is possible to do a calculable step-by-step procedure to obtain  $\text{Pran}^\bullet$  with the wanted properties. The step-by-step procedure is explained in the next section.

## 5. A first approach to the Poincaré-Dulac normal form

**5.1. The interest of being in a Lie algebra.** — The idea of the step-by-step procedure is to kill non-resonant terms  $B_n$  of order 1 (*i.e.* such that  $\ell(\mathbf{n}) = 1$ ) at each step.

We saw that the normalizer  $\Theta$  is an automorphism of  $\mathbb{C}[[x]]$ , that is a group-like element of the free Lie algebra  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}}(A)$ ; it can therefore be written as an exponential of a primitive element of this algebra, *i.e.* an exponential of a vector field  $V$ : we thus write

$$\Theta = \exp(V), \text{ where } V = \sum_{\bullet} V^\bullet B_\bullet,$$

with  $V^\bullet$  being alternal. After the mould writing of  $\Theta$ , and by definition of the exponential of a mould, we have:

$$\Theta^\bullet = \text{Exp}V^\bullet,$$

hence equation (4.2) rewrites then:

$$\text{Exp}V^\bullet \times I^\bullet = \nabla \text{Exp}V^\bullet + \text{Pran}^\bullet \times \text{Exp}V^\bullet. \quad (5.1)$$

Still we set  $\text{Pran}^n = 0$  if  $\omega(\|\mathbf{n}\|) \neq 0$ , but we have the same indetermination on  $V^\bullet$  as we had on  $\Theta^\bullet$ : we choose here to kill only non-resonant terms of order 1. The exponential form of the normaliser, with the help of the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula, leads us to the following lemma:

**Lemma 5.1.** — *Let  $X = X_{\text{lin}} + \sum_{n \in A(X)} B_n$  a vector field in prepared form, with fixed diagonal linear part  $X_{\text{lin}}$  of spectrum  $\lambda$ .*

*Choosing  $\Theta = \exp(V)$ , where  $V$  is defined by:*

$$V^n = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\omega(n)} & \text{if } \ell(\mathbf{n}) = 1 \text{ and } \omega(n) \neq 0; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

*the conjugate vector field  $X_{\text{nor}} = \Theta X_{\text{lin}} \Theta^{-1}$  has no resonant terms of order 1.*

*Proof.* — After the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula (see [12]) we have:

$$X_{\text{nor}} = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} X^{(n)},$$

where  $X^{(n+1)} = [X^{(n)}, V]$  and  $X^{(0)} = X$ . Hence:

$$X_{\text{nor}} = X_{\text{lin}} + \sum_{n \in A(X)} B_n - [X_{\text{lin}}, V] - \dots;$$

We set  $V = \sum_{p \in A(X)^*} V^p B_p$ , then:

$$X_{\text{nor}} = X_{\text{lin}} + \sum_{n \in A(X)} B_n - \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in A(X)^*} \omega(\|\mathbf{n}\|) V^{\mathbf{n}} B_{\mathbf{n}} - \dots,$$

after lemma 4.1. Hence the given expression of  $V^\bullet$ . □

**Theorem 5.2.** — Let  $V$  be the vector field  $V = \sum_{\bullet} V^\bullet B_\bullet$  where  $V^\bullet$  is the mould given by:

$$V^{\mathbf{n}} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\omega(\mathbf{n})} & \text{if } \ell(\mathbf{n}) = 1 \text{ and } \omega(\mathbf{n}) \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We call simplified form of  $X$  the vector field  $X_{\text{sam}} = \exp(V)X \exp(-V)$ ; it writes:

$$X_{\text{sam}} = X_{\text{lin}} + \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in A(X)^*} \text{Sam}^{\mathbf{n}} B_{\mathbf{n}},$$

and the mould  $\text{Sam}^\bullet$  has the following expression:

$$\text{Sam}^{\mathbf{n}} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mathbf{n} = \emptyset, \\ 0 & \text{if } \ell(\mathbf{n}) = 1 \text{ and } \omega(\mathbf{n}) \neq 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } \ell(\mathbf{n}) = 1 \text{ and } \omega(\mathbf{n}) = 0; \end{cases}$$

for the other words, the mould  $\text{Sam}^\bullet$  is given by the following equation:

$$\text{Sam}^\bullet = \text{Exp}V^\bullet \times I^\bullet \times \text{Exp}(-V)^\bullet - \nabla \text{Exp}V^\bullet \times \text{Exp}(-V)^\bullet.$$

*Proof.* — The field  $X = X_{\text{lin}} + \sum_{\bullet} I^\bullet B_\bullet$  has non-resonant terms only of length 1. If we want them to vanish, we look for a simplified field  $X_{\text{sam}} = X_{\text{lin}} + \sum_{\bullet} \text{Sam}^\bullet B_\bullet$ , where we set the mould  $\text{Sam}^\bullet$  as follows:

$$\text{Sam}^{\mathbf{n}} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mathbf{n} = \emptyset, \\ 0 & \text{if } \ell(\mathbf{n}) = 1 \text{ and } \omega(\mathbf{n}) \neq 0, \\ \text{we do not know yet for other words } \mathbf{n}. \end{cases}$$

We keep the same equation as (5.1):

$$\text{Exp}V^\bullet \times I^\bullet = \nabla \text{Exp}V^\bullet + \text{Sam}^\bullet \times \text{Exp}V^\bullet.$$

By setting moreover that  $V^n = 0$  if  $\ell(\mathbf{n}) \neq 1$  it is possible to solve this equation, and find that:

$$V^n = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \omega(n) = 0, \\ \frac{1}{\omega(n)} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence the simplified vector field  $X_{\text{sam}}$ :

$$X_{\text{sam}} = \exp\left(\sum_{\substack{n \in A(X) \\ \omega(n) \neq 0}} \frac{1}{\omega(n)} B_n\right) X \exp\left(\sum_{\substack{n \in A(X) \\ \omega(n) \neq 0}} -\frac{1}{\omega(n)} B_n\right). \quad (5.2)$$

□

The mould  $\text{Sam}^\bullet$  is then calculable, and we recall here its expression (see [3]):

**Lemma 5.3.** — *The mould  $\text{Sam}^\bullet$  is given by:*

- $\text{Sam}^\emptyset = 0$ ;
- if  $\ell(\mathbf{n}) = 1$  and  $\omega(n) \neq 0$ ,  $\text{Sam}^{\mathbf{n}} = 0$  (kills the non-resonant terms);
- if  $\ell(\mathbf{n}) = 1$  and  $\omega(s) = 0$ ,  $\text{Sam}^{\mathbf{n}} = 1$ ;
- if  $r = \ell(\mathbf{n}) \geq 2$  and  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r$  are different from 0,

$$\text{Sam}^{\mathbf{n}} = \frac{1}{\omega_1 \cdots \omega_r} \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{(-1)^{r-k} (\omega_k(r-k) - \omega_{k+1} - \cdots - \omega_r)}{(k-1)!(r-k+1)!}.$$

- If only one  $\omega_i$  vanishes,

$$\text{Sam}^{\mathbf{n}} = \frac{(-1)^{r-1}}{(i-1)!(r-i)! \omega_1 \cdots \omega_{i-1} \omega_{i+1} \cdots \omega_r},$$

- If more than one  $\omega_i$  vanishes, then  $\text{Sam}^{\mathbf{n}} = 0$ .

**5.2. Proof of lemma 5.3.** — Let us denote by  $V^\bullet$  the mould defined by:

$$V^n = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\omega(n)} & \text{if } \ell(\mathbf{n}) = 1 \text{ and } \omega(n) \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

*5.2.1. A first term...* — We denote by  $C^\bullet$  the mould  $C^\bullet = \exp(V^\bullet) \times I^\bullet$ . We have  $C^\emptyset = 0$ . For a word  $n$  of length 1 we have:

$$C^n = (\exp(V^\bullet))^\emptyset I^n = 1.$$

For a word  $\mathbf{n}$  of length  $r \geq 2$ ,

$$C^{\mathbf{n}} = (\exp(V^\bullet))^{n^1, \dots, n^{r-1}} I^{\mathbf{n}} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if at least one of the } (\omega_i)_{1 \leq i \leq r-1} \text{ vanishes,} \\ \frac{1}{(r-1)! \omega_1 \cdots \omega_{r-1}} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

5.2.2. ...a second term...— We denote by  $D^\bullet = C^\bullet \times \exp(-V^\bullet)$ , so  $D^\emptyset = 0$ , and for a word  $n$  of length 1,  $D^n = C^n = 1$ .

For a word  $\mathbf{n}$  of length  $r \geq 2$  we have:

$$D^{n^1, \dots, n^r} = C^{n^1}(\exp(-V^\bullet))^{n^2, \dots, n^r} + C^{n^1, n^2}(\exp(-V^\bullet))^{n^3, \dots, n^r} + \dots + C^{n^1, \dots, n^r}.$$

There are then several cases:

- If at least one  $\omega_i$  is zero,  $1 \leq i \leq r-1$ , then every  $C^{n^1, \dots, n^j}$ , with  $j \geq i+1$  vanishes (after the calculus of  $C^n$  in 5.2.1); also every  $(\exp(-V^\bullet))^{n^k, \dots, n^r}$  vanishes for  $k \leq i$ . Therefore  $D^n = C^{n^1, \dots, n^i}(\exp(-V^\bullet))^{n^{i+1}, \dots, n^r}$ .

We have then for a word  $\mathbf{n}$ :

$$D^n = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if another } (\omega_l)_{\substack{1 \leq l \leq r \\ l \neq i}} \text{ vanishes;} \\ \frac{1}{(i-1)!\omega_1 \cdots \omega_{i-1}} \times \frac{(-1)^{r-i}}{(r-i)!\omega_{i+1} \cdots \omega_r} & \text{if no other } \omega_l, l \neq i \text{ is zero.} \end{cases}$$

- if  $\omega_r$  vanishes,  $D^n = C^{n^1, \dots, n^r}$  therefore:

$$D^n = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if one of the } (\omega_l)_{1 \leq l \leq r-1} \text{ vanishes;} \\ \frac{1}{(r-1)!\omega_1 \cdots \omega_{r-1}} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- if no other  $\omega_i$  vanishes then

$$D^{n^1, \dots, n^r} = \frac{(-1)^{r-1}}{(r-1)!\omega_2 \cdots \omega_r} + \frac{1}{\omega_1} \times \frac{(-1)^{r-2}}{(r-2)!\omega_3 \cdots \omega_r} + \dots \\ + \frac{1}{(r-2)!\omega_1 \cdots \omega_{r-2}} \times \frac{-1}{\omega_r} + \frac{1}{(r-1)!\omega_1 \cdots \omega_{r-1}},$$

that is:

$$D^{n^1, \dots, n^r} = \frac{1}{\omega_1 \cdots \omega_r} \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{(-1)^{r-k} \omega_k}{(k-1)!(r-k)!}.$$

5.2.3. ...a third term...— We calculate now the following:  $E^\bullet = \nabla \exp(-V^\bullet)$ . Thanks to the previous computations on the mould exponential, and by definition of  $\nabla$ , we have  $E^\emptyset = 0$ ; moreover, for a word  $\mathbf{n}$  of length  $r \geq 1$ :

$$E^{n^1, \dots, n^r} = (\omega_1 + \dots + \omega_r)(\exp(-V^\bullet))^{\mathbf{n}},$$

then

$$E^n = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if one at least of the } (\omega_i)_{1 \leq i \leq r} \text{ vanishes;} \\ \frac{(\omega_1 + \dots + \omega_r)(-1)^r}{r!\omega_1 \cdots \omega_r} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

5.2.4. ... the last term. — We finally calculate the mould product  $F^\bullet = \exp(V^\bullet) \times E^\bullet$ ; first,  $F^\emptyset = 0$ ; for a word of length 1,  $F^n = E^n$  therefore  $F^n = 0$  if  $\omega(n) = 0$  and  $F^n = -1$  if  $\omega(n) \neq 0$ ; at last, for a word  $\mathbf{n}$  of length  $r \geq 1$  we have:

$$F^{n^1, \dots, n^r} = (\exp(V^\bullet))^\emptyset E^n + (\exp(V^\bullet))^{n^1} E^{n^2, \dots, n^r} + \dots + (\exp(V^\bullet))^{n^1, \dots, n^{r-1}} E^{n^r}.$$

Thus, after the calculus of  $\exp(V^\bullet)$  and  $E^\bullet$ ,  $F^\mathbf{n}$  vanishes if at least one of the  $\omega_i$  is zero. If no  $\omega_i$  is zero, then:

$$F^{n^1, \dots, n^r} = \frac{(\omega_1 + \dots + \omega_r)(-1)^r}{r! \omega_1 \dots \omega_r} + \frac{1}{\omega_1} \times \frac{(\omega_2 + \dots + \omega_r)(-1)^{r-1}}{(r-1)! \omega_2 \dots \omega_r} + \dots$$

$$+ \frac{1}{(r-1)! \omega_1 \dots \omega_{r-1}} \times \frac{(-1) \omega_r}{\omega_r}.$$

Finally:

$$F^\mathbf{n} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if one of the } \omega_i \text{ is zero,} \\ \frac{1}{\omega_1 \dots \omega_r} \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{(-1)^{r-k+1} (\omega_k + \dots + \omega_r)}{(r-k+1)! (k-1)!} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

5.2.5. *Expression of the sought mould.* — As  $\text{Sam}^\bullet = F^\bullet + D^\bullet$ , we have

$$\text{Sam}^\emptyset = F^\emptyset + D^\emptyset = 0.$$

For a word of length 1, we get:

$$\text{Sam}^n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \omega(n) = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \omega(n) \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

The non-resonant terms of the field  $X$  thus vanish in the field  $X_{\text{sam}}$ . Moreover, for a word  $\mathbf{n}$  of length  $r \geq 2$ , we get:

- if there exists  $i$  and  $j$ , two different integers from  $\{1, \dots, r\}$  such as  $\omega_i = \omega_j = 0$  then  $D^\mathbf{n} = F^\mathbf{n} = 0$  therefore

$$\text{Sam}^\mathbf{n} = 0.$$

- if one  $\omega_i$  exactly is zero,  $F^\mathbf{n} = 0$  and

$$\text{Sam}^\mathbf{n} = \frac{1}{(i-1)! \omega_1 \dots \omega_{i-1}} \cdot \frac{(-1)^{r-1}}{(r-i)! \omega_{i+1} \dots \omega_r}.$$

- if every  $(\omega_i)_{1 \leq i \leq r}$  is non zero, then

$$D^\mathbf{n} = \frac{1}{\omega_1 \dots \omega_r} \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{(-1)^{r-k} \omega_k}{(k-1)! (r-k)!},$$

$$F^\mathbf{n} = \frac{1}{\omega_1 \dots \omega_r} \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{(-1)^{r-k+1} (\omega_k + \dots + \omega_r)}{(r-k+1)! (k-1)!},$$

and

$$\text{Sam}^\mathbf{n} = \frac{1}{\omega_1 \dots \omega_r} \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{(-1)^{r-k} (\omega_k (r-k) - \omega_{k+1} - \dots - \omega_r)}{(k-1)! (r-k+1)!}.$$

The proof is now complete!

In order to put in evidence the universal feature of the moulds  $\text{Sam}$  we obtain under the simplification procedure, we introduce the following one parameter family of complex valued functions:

**Definition 5.4.** — The *Poincaré family* is denoted by  $\mathcal{P} = (P_q)_{q \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  where  $P_q : \mathbb{C}^q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^q$ , and defined by  $P_1(z) = 1$  if  $z = 0$  and 0 otherwise, and for  $q \geq 2$

$$P_q(z_1, \dots, z_q) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{z_1 \cdots z_q} \sum_{k=1}^q (-1)^{q-k} \frac{z_k(q-k) - z_{k+1} - \cdots - z_q}{(k-1)!(q-k+1)!}, & \text{if } z \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^q, \\ \frac{(-1)^{q-1}}{(i-1)!(q-i)!} \frac{1}{z_1 \cdots z_{i-1} z_{i+1} \cdots z_q}, & \text{if } z \in S_{q,i}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

with  $S_{q,i} = (\mathbb{C}^*)^{i-1} \times \{0\} \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^{q-i}$ .

Lemma 5.3 of this section can then be formulated as follows:

**Lemma 5.5.** — *The simplification moulds  $\text{Sam}^\bullet$  is given by  $\text{Sam}^\bullet = 1$  and  $\text{Sam}^\bullet = P_{\ell(\mathbf{n})}(\mathbf{n}, \lambda)$  if  $\ell(\mathbf{n}) \geq 1$ .*

The field  $X_{\text{sam}}$  has now no more non-resonant terms of length 1, but the transformation  $X \mapsto X_{\text{sam}}$ , that we denote *simp*, introduces non-resonant terms of length greater than 1, as  $\text{Sam}^\bullet$  is not always 0 when  $\ell(\mathbf{n}) \geq 2$ .

**5.3. The first step.** — Now that we have killed every non-resonant terms of length 1, but introduced some more of length greater than 2, we have to iterate the transform... however, if we want the iteration procedure to be writable in terms of moulds composition, we *must* find a way to rewrite  $X_{\text{sam}} = X_{\text{lin}} + \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in A(X)^*} \text{Sam}^\bullet B_{\mathbf{n}}$  as  $X_{\text{sam}} = X_{\text{lin}} + \sum_{m \in \mathfrak{A}} D_m$  where  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a new alphabet and  $(D_m)_{m \in \mathfrak{A}}$  a new collection of homogeneous differential operators. It is here natural at this time, since for every word  $\mathbf{n}$  in  $A(X)^*$ ,  $B_{\mathbf{n}}$  is a homogeneous differential operator of degree  $\|\mathbf{n}\|$  (nevertheless, do not forget that the most natural choice may not always be the best, as we will see in section 7). Hence the new alphabet is:

$$\mathfrak{A} = A(X_{\text{sam}}^{(1)}) = \{\|\mathbf{n}\|, \mathbf{n} \in A(X)^*\} \subset \mathbb{Z}'.$$

Let us write then  $X_{\text{sam}}^{(1)}$  instead of  $X_{\text{sam}}$ , for it is the first of the iteration:

$$X_{\text{sam}}^{(1)} = X_{\text{lin}} + \sum_{m \in \mathfrak{A}} D_m, \text{ with } D_m = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{n} \in A(X)^* \\ \|\mathbf{n}\|=m}} \text{Sam}^\bullet B_{\mathbf{n}},$$

and do the transformation *simp* again. We get a  $X_{\text{sam}}^{(2)}$  and:

$$X_{\text{sam}}^{(2)} = X_{\text{lin}} + \sum_{m \in \mathfrak{A}^*} \text{Sam}^m D_m.$$

Writing this in the old alphabet, we have, by definition of the composition of two moulds:

$$X_{\text{sam}}^{(2)} = X_{\text{lin}} + \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in A(X)^*} (\text{Sam}^\bullet \circ \text{Sam}^\bullet)^{\mathbf{n}} B_{\mathbf{n}}.$$

## 6. The trimmed form

**6.1. The simplification procedure.** — We can construct a prenormal form by iterating the simplification procedure seen in the previous section.

**Definition 6.1 (Trimmed form up to order  $r$ ).** — Let  $r$  be in  $\mathbb{N}$ . The trimmed form up to order  $r$  is defined as  $X_{\text{sam}}^{(r)}$ , obtained from  $X$  after  $r$  successive simplifications:

$$X = X_{\text{sam}}^{(0)} \xrightarrow{\text{simp}_1} X_{\text{sam}}^{(1)} \xrightarrow{\text{simp}_2} \dots \xrightarrow{\text{simp}_r} X_{\text{sam}}^{(r)},$$

where  $\text{simp}_i$  is the automorphism of simplification defined by:

$$\text{simp}_i = \exp(V_i),$$

with  $V_i$  the vector field associated to the mould  $V^\bullet$  on the alphabet  $A(X_{\text{sam}}^{(i)})$  defined recursively by:

$$A(X_{\text{sam}}^{(i)}) = \{\|\mathbf{n}\|, \mathbf{n} \in A(X_{\text{sam}}^{(i-1)})^*\}.$$

Theorem 5.2 leads us then to the following result:

**Theorem 6.2.** — For all  $r$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ , the trimmed form up to order  $r$   $X_{\text{sam}}^{(r)}$  has a mould expansion, i.e. there exists a mould denoted by  $\text{Sam}_r^\bullet$  in  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}(A(X))$  such that:

$$X_{\text{sam}}^{(r)} = X_{\text{lin}} + \sum_{\bullet} \text{Sam}_r^\bullet B_{\bullet}.$$

Moreover the mould  $\text{Sam}_r^\bullet$  can be defined with the help of  $\text{Sam}^\bullet$ : For all  $r$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ , we have:

$$\text{Sam}_r^\bullet = \underbrace{\text{Sam}^\bullet \circ \dots \circ \text{Sam}^\bullet}_{r \text{ times}}.$$

From now on, we denote either  $\text{Sam}_r^\bullet$  or  $(\text{Sam}^\bullet)^{or}$  for the composition of  $r$  copies of  $\text{Sam}^\bullet$ . Let us investigate now what is happening: we already saw that after the first step, non-resonant terms of length 1 –actually, there are no others– vanished. We have then:

$$X_{\text{sam}}^{(1)} = X_{\text{lin}} + \sum_{\substack{n \in A(X) \\ \omega(n)=0}} B_n + \sum_{m \in A(X)} \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{n \in A(X)^* \\ \|\mathbf{n}\|=m}} \text{Sam}^n B_n}_{D_m}.$$

Now we see from such a writing, that the simplification introduces new terms, which may not be (and usually are not) resonant.

The main property of  $\text{Sam}_r^\bullet$  is that it is "stationary" in this sense:

**Theorem 6.3.** — Let  $r$  be in  $\mathbb{N}^*$ . For any word  $\mathbf{n}$  in  $A^*$  of length at most  $r$ , we have:

$$\text{Sam}_q^n = \text{Sam}_r^n, \forall q \geq r.$$

This theorem is deduced from the following lemma:

**Lemma 6.4.** — Let  $r$  be in  $\mathbb{N}^*$ . For any word  $\mathbf{n}$  in  $A^*$  of length at most  $r$ , we have:

$$\text{Sam}_{r+1}^n = \text{Sam}_r^n.$$

*Proof of the lemma.* — The proof is done by induction on the length  $r$ .

For  $r = 1$ : let  $n$  be in  $A^*$ , we have:

$$(\text{Sam}^\bullet \circ \text{Sam}^\bullet)^n = (\text{Sam}^n)^2 = \text{Sam}^n, \text{ after lemma 5.3.}$$

We suppose now that  $r \geq 2$  is fixed, and that for any  $p \leq r - 1$ , and any word  $\mathbf{n}$  of length at most  $p$ ,  $\text{Sam}_{p+1}^{\mathbf{n}} = \text{Sam}_p^{\mathbf{n}}$ . Let  $\mathbf{n} = n^1 \cdots n^r$  be a word of length  $r$ . We have three cases:

(a).  $\mathbf{n}$  is non-resonant, *i.e.*  $\omega(\|\mathbf{n}\|) \neq 0$ . In this case we write:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sam}_{r+1}^{\mathbf{n}} &= (\text{Sam}^\bullet \circ \text{Sam}_r^\bullet)^{\mathbf{n}} \\ &= \text{Sam}^{\|\mathbf{n}\|} \text{Sam}_r^{\mathbf{n}} + \sum_{\substack{2 \leq l \leq r \\ \mathbf{n}_1 \cdots \mathbf{n}_l = \mathbf{n}}} \text{Sam}^{\|\mathbf{n}_1\|, \dots, \|\mathbf{n}_l\|} \text{Sam}_r^{\mathbf{n}_1} \cdots \text{Sam}_r^{\mathbf{n}_l}. \end{aligned}$$

As  $\omega(\|\mathbf{n}\|) \neq 0$ , by lemma 5.3,  $\text{Sam}^{\|\mathbf{n}\|} = 0$ , hence  $\text{Sam}^{\|\mathbf{n}\|} \text{Sam}_r^{\mathbf{n}} = \text{Sam}^{\|\mathbf{n}\|} \text{Sam}_{r-1}^{\mathbf{n}}$ . Moreover, in the second term, as  $l \geq 2$ ,  $\ell(\mathbf{n}_k) \leq r - 1$  for any  $k$  in  $\{1, \dots, l\}$ , hence by the induction assumption,  $\text{Sam}_r^{\mathbf{n}_k} = \text{Sam}_{r-1}^{\mathbf{n}_k}$ . Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sam}_{r+1}^{\mathbf{n}} &= \text{Sam}^{\|\mathbf{n}\|} \text{Sam}_{r-1}^{\mathbf{n}} + \sum_{\substack{2 \leq l \leq r \\ \mathbf{n}_1 \cdots \mathbf{n}_l = \mathbf{n}}} \text{Sam}^{\|\mathbf{n}_1\|, \dots, \|\mathbf{n}_l\|} \text{Sam}_{r-1}^{\mathbf{n}_1} \cdots \text{Sam}_{r-1}^{\mathbf{n}_l} \\ &= (\text{Sam}^\bullet \circ \text{Sam}_{r-1}^\bullet)^{\mathbf{n}} \\ &= \text{Sam}_r^{\mathbf{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

(b).  $\mathbf{n}$  is resonant, *i.e.*  $\omega(\|\mathbf{n}\|) = 0$ , and  $\omega(n^i) = 0$  for all  $i$  in  $\{1, \dots, r\}$ . In this case, we write again:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sam}_{r+1}^{\mathbf{n}} &= (\text{Sam}^\bullet \circ \text{Sam}_r^\bullet)^{\mathbf{n}} \\ &= \text{Sam}^{\|\mathbf{n}\|} \text{Sam}_r^{\mathbf{n}} + \sum_{\substack{2 \leq l \leq r \\ \mathbf{n}_1 \cdots \mathbf{n}_l = \mathbf{n}}} \text{Sam}^{\|\mathbf{n}_1\|, \dots, \|\mathbf{n}_l\|} \text{Sam}_r^{\mathbf{n}_1} \cdots \text{Sam}_r^{\mathbf{n}_l}. \end{aligned}$$

On the one hand, after lemma 5.3,  $\text{Sam}^{\|\mathbf{n}\|} = 1$ , for  $\omega(\|\mathbf{n}\|) = 0$ ; on the other hand, for  $l$  in  $\{2, \dots, r\}$ ,  $\omega(\|\mathbf{n}_k\|) = 0$  for all  $k$  in  $\{1, \dots, l\}$ , hence, still after lemma 5.3,  $\text{Sam}^{\|\mathbf{n}_1\|, \dots, \|\mathbf{n}_l\|} = 0$ . Finally,  $\text{Sam}_{r+1}^{\mathbf{n}} = \text{Sam}_r^{\mathbf{n}}$ .

(c).  $\mathbf{n}$  is resonant, *i.e.*  $\omega(\|\mathbf{n}\|) = 0$  and there is at least one (therefore two)  $n^i$  in  $\mathbf{n}$  such that  $\omega(n^i) \neq 0$ . After lemma 5.3,  $\text{Sam}^{n^i} = 0$ . In this last case, we write:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sam}_{r+1}^{\mathbf{n}} &= (\text{Sam}_r^\bullet \circ \text{Sam}^\bullet)^{\mathbf{n}} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq l \leq r-1 \\ \mathbf{n}_1 \cdots \mathbf{n}_l = \mathbf{n}}} \text{Sam}_r^{\|\mathbf{n}_1\|, \dots, \|\mathbf{n}_l\|} \text{Sam}^{\mathbf{n}_1} \cdots \text{Sam}^{\mathbf{n}_l} + \text{Sam}_r^{\mathbf{n}} \text{Sam}^{n^1} \cdots \text{Sam}^{n^r}. \end{aligned}$$

By the induction assumption, for every  $l$  in  $\{1, \dots, r - 1\}$ ,

$$\text{Sam}_r^{\|\mathbf{n}_1\|, \dots, \|\mathbf{n}_l\|} = \text{Sam}_{r-1}^{\|\mathbf{n}_1\|, \dots, \|\mathbf{n}_l\|};$$

moreover:

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq l \leq r-1 \\ \mathbf{n}_1 \cdots \mathbf{n}_l = \mathbf{n}}} \text{Sam}_{r-1}^{\|\mathbf{n}_1\|, \dots, \|\mathbf{n}_l\|} \text{Sam}^{\mathbf{n}_1} \cdots \text{Sam}^{\mathbf{n}_l} = (\text{Sam}_{r-1}^\bullet \circ \text{Sam}^\bullet)^{\mathbf{n}} - \text{Sam}_{r-1}^{\mathbf{n}} \text{Sam}^{n^1} \cdots \text{Sam}^{n^r}.$$

Hence  $\text{Sam}_{r+1}^{\mathbf{n}} = \text{Sam}_r^{\mathbf{n}} - \text{Sam}_{r-1}^{\mathbf{n}} \text{Sam}^{n^1} \cdots \text{Sam}^{n^r} + \text{Sam}_r^{\mathbf{n}} \text{Sam}^{n^1} \cdots \text{Sam}^{n^r}$ . Now, after lemma 5.3, the product  $\text{Sam}^{n^1} \cdots \text{Sam}^{n^r}$  is zero. Finally,  $\text{Sam}_{r+1}^{\mathbf{n}} = \text{Sam}_r^{\mathbf{n}}$ .  $\square$

**6.2. The Poincaré-Dulac theorem.** — We can define now the mould  $\text{Tram}^\bullet$  as follows:

**Definition 6.5.** — The mould  $\text{Tram}^\bullet$  is defined by  $\text{Tram}^\bullet = 0$ , and for a word  $\mathbf{n}$  in  $A^*$  of length  $r \geq 1$ ,

$$\text{Tram}^\bullet = \text{Sam}_r^\bullet = \lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} ((\text{Sam}^\bullet)^{\circ p})^\bullet$$

The limit exists after theorem 6.3.

We define then the trimmed form.

**Definition 6.6.** — The trimmed form of  $X$  is the limit of the simplification procedure. It is given by:

$$X_{\text{tram}} = X_{\text{lin}} + \sum_{\bullet} \text{Tram}^\bullet B_{\bullet}.$$

Now this result shows that the trimmed form is what we are looking for:

**Theorem 6.7.** — *The trimmed form is a continuous prenormal form.*

*Proof.* — Remember that  $\text{Tram}^\bullet = 0$ . By definition of  $\text{Tram}^\bullet$ , for a word of length 1,  $\text{Tram}^\bullet = \text{Sam}^\bullet$ , hence  $\text{Tram}^\bullet = 0$  if  $\omega(\mathbf{n}) \neq 0$ . Now, for a word  $\mathbf{n}$  of length greater than 2, by definition 6.5 of  $\text{Tram}^\bullet$  we have:

$$\text{Tram}^\bullet = \text{Tram}^\bullet \circ \text{Sam}^\bullet \tag{6.1}$$

$$= \text{Sam}^\bullet \circ \text{Tram}^\bullet. \tag{6.2}$$

We can then verify that  $\text{Tram}^\bullet = 0$  if  $\omega(\|\mathbf{n}\|) \neq 0$  by induction on the length  $r \geq 2$  of  $\mathbf{n}$ . If  $\mathbf{n} = n^1 n^2$  is in  $A^*$ , by definition of the composition of two moulds and after (6.1):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tram}^\bullet &= \text{Tram}^\bullet \text{Sam}^\bullet + \text{Tram}^{n^1} \text{Sam}^{n^2} + \text{Tram}^\bullet \text{Sam}^\bullet \\ &= \text{Tram}^{n^1} \text{Sam}^{n^2} \\ &= \text{Sam}^{n^1} \text{Sam}^{n^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, after lemma 5.3,  $\text{Tram}^\bullet \neq 0$  if and only if  $\omega(n^1) = \omega(n^2) = 0$ , and this is impossible since  $\omega(n^1) + \omega(n^2) \neq 0$ . Hence  $\text{Tram}^\bullet = 0$  if  $\omega(\|\mathbf{n}\|) \neq 0$ .

We fix  $r \geq 3$  and suppose that  $\text{Tram}^\bullet = 0$  if  $\omega(\|\mathbf{n}\|) \neq 0$ , for any word  $\mathbf{n}$  of length less than  $r - 1$ . Then if  $\mathbf{n}$  is a word of length  $r$  such that  $\omega(\|\mathbf{n}\|) \neq 0$  we have after equation (6.2):

$$\text{Tram}^\bullet = \text{Sam}^{\|\mathbf{n}\|} \text{Tram}^\bullet + \sum_{\substack{2 \leq l \leq r \\ \mathbf{n}_1 \cdots \mathbf{n}_l = \mathbf{n}}} \text{Sam}^{\|\mathbf{n}_1\| \cdots \|\mathbf{n}_l\|} \text{Tram}^{\mathbf{n}_1} \cdots \text{Tram}^{\mathbf{n}_l}.$$

The term  $\text{Sam}^{\|\mathbf{n}\|} \text{Tram}^\bullet$  is 0, for  $\omega(\|\mathbf{n}\|) \neq 0$ . Now for each partition of  $\mathbf{n}$  in  $l$  words  $\mathbf{n}_1, \dots, \mathbf{n}_l$ , where  $l \geq 2$  there is at least one  $k$  in  $\{1, \dots, l\}$  such that  $\omega(\|\mathbf{n}_k\|) \neq 0$  (for  $\omega(\|\mathbf{n}\|) = \sum_{j=1}^l \omega(\|\mathbf{n}_j\|)$ ). Hence by induction,  $\text{Tram}^{\mathbf{n}_k} = 0$ . Therefore,  $\text{Tram}^\bullet = 0$ .  $\square$

## 7. The Hamiltonian case

We discuss here the application of the preceding sections to Hamiltonian operators.  $H$  is a Hamiltonian function, in cartesian coordinates:

$$H(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \lambda_i x_i y_i + \sum_{(n,m) \in A(H)} a_{nm} x^n y^m,$$

where  $A(H)$  stands for the set of higher degrees and  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\nu)$  an element of  $\mathbb{C}^\nu$ . We will denote  $A$  when there is no ambiguity. The Hamiltonian vector field then writes:

$$X_H = X_{\text{lin}} + \sum_{(n,m) \in A} D_{nm},$$

where

$$X_{\text{lin}} = - \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \lambda_i x_i \partial_{x_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \lambda_i y_i \partial_{y_i}$$

and

$$D_{nm} = a_{nm} \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} x_i^{\widehat{n}_i} y_i^{\widehat{m}_i} (n_i y_i \partial_{y_i} - m_i x_i \partial_{x_i}),$$

and we denote  $\widehat{n}_i = (n_1, \dots, n_i - 1, \dots, n_\nu)$  (same for  $m_i$ ). Remark that  $D_{nm}$  is *not* an homogeneous operator; however  $D_{nmi}$  defined as follows is a homogeneous operator of degree  $(\widehat{n}_i, \widehat{m}_i)$ :

$$D_{nmi} = a_{nm} x_i^{\widehat{n}_i} y_i^{\widehat{m}_i} (n_i y_i \partial_{y_i} - m_i x_i \partial_{x_i}), \quad (7.1)$$

and  $D_{nm} = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} D_{nmi}$ . The preceding "usual" decomposition in homogeneous operators of section 3.1 does not lead to Hamiltonian operators. Nevertheless lemma 7.1 gives a way to obtain Hamiltonian operators... when starting also from Hamiltonian ones.

The interesting thing about  $D_{nm}$  is that it is a Hamiltonian operator, *i.e.* it defines a Hamiltonian vector field. We will frequently denote  $s = (n, m)$  a letter of  $A$ , and  $D_s$  for  $D_{nm}$ . As previously, if  $\mathbf{s} = s^1 \cdots s^r$  is a word in  $A^*$ ,  $D_{\mathbf{s}}$  will be the composition  $D_{s^1} \cdots D_{s^r}$ . It is well-known that a prenormal form of a Hamiltonian vector field is also a Hamiltonian vector field, and that the transformation which brings the former into the latter is symplectic.

However, it is also important to keep in mind that we want *successive* canonical transformations to preserve the Hamiltonian character, because, for example, if we want to implement that prenormal form, a computer cannot do an infinite number of iterations.

Nevertheless, if we decompose  $X_H$  in homogeneous differential operators, as done before, it is very difficult to know if we get Hamiltonian transformation!

The following lemma gives a first result on "Hamiltonian-preserving" moulds and justifies the use of the  $D_{nm}$  operators instead of usual homogeneous operators. We will need this result in the next subsection.

**Lemma 7.1.** — *Let  $M^\bullet$  be an alternal mould on an alphabet  $A^*$ . Let  $S_\bullet$  be a collection of differential operators, such that  $S_u$  is a Hamiltonian vector field for every  $u$  in  $A$ . Then the sum  $\sum_{u \in A^*} M^u S_u$  defines a Hamiltonian vector field.*

*Proof.* — The key is that if  $S_u = X_{H_u}$  and  $S_t = X_{H_t}$  are Hamiltonian vector fields, then:

$$[S_u, S_t] = \{X_{H_u}, X_{H_t}\} = X_{\{H_u, H_t\}},$$

where  $\{, \}$  is the usual Poisson bracket; hence  $[S_u, S_t]$  is still Hamiltonian. Thus, by an induction on  $r$ , for any word  $\mathbf{u} = u^1 \cdots u^r$  of  $A^*$  of length  $r$ ,  $S_{[\mathbf{u}]}$  is a Hamiltonian vector field. We now use the projection lemma 2.12:  $M^\bullet$  being alternal, we have, if  $\mathbf{u}$  is a word of length  $r$  and  $\sigma(\mathbf{u})$  the set of words deduced from  $\mathbf{u}$  by a permutation:

$$\sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \sigma(\mathbf{u})} M^{\mathbf{u}} S_{\mathbf{u}} = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \sigma(\mathbf{u})} M^{\mathbf{u}} S_{[\mathbf{u}]}$$

Let us denote  $\sim$  the equivalence relation on  $A^*$  defined by:

$$\mathbf{u} \sim \mathbf{t} \iff \text{there exists one permutation } \tau \text{ such as } \tau(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{t}.$$

We have  $\mathbf{u} \sim \mathbf{t} \iff \ell(\mathbf{u}) = \ell(\mathbf{t})$  therefore  $A^* \setminus \{\emptyset\} = \prod_{r=1}^{+\infty} A_r^*$  and  $A^* / \sim = \prod_{r=1}^{+\infty} A_r^* / \sim$ ; moreover  $M^\emptyset = 0$ , for  $M^\bullet$  is alternal; hence the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in A^*} M^{\mathbf{u}} S_{\mathbf{u}} &= \sum_{r \geq 1} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in A_r^*} M^{\mathbf{u}} S_{\mathbf{u}} \\ &= \sum_{r \geq 1} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in A_r^* / \sim} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \sigma(\mathbf{u})} M^{\mathbf{u}} S_{\mathbf{u}} \\ &= \sum_{r \geq 1} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in A_r^* / \sim} \frac{1}{r} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \sigma(\mathbf{u})} M^{\mathbf{u}} S_{[\mathbf{u}]} \\ &= \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{r} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in A_r^*} M^{\mathbf{u}} S_{[\mathbf{u}]} \end{aligned}$$

Hence the result.  $\square$

From now on, for  $s = (n, m)$  in  $A(H)$ , we denote  $\omega(s) = \omega(n, m) = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \lambda_j (m_j - n_j)$ . As previously, a word  $\mathbf{s}$  is *resonant* if  $\omega(\|\mathbf{s}\|) = 0$ . We have an analogous result as lemma 4.1:

**Lemma 7.2.** — For  $\mathbf{s} = s^1 \cdots s^r$  a word in  $A^*$  of length  $r$ , we have:

$$X_{\text{lin}} D_{\mathbf{s}} = D_{\mathbf{s}} X_{\text{lin}} + \|\omega(\mathbf{s})\| D_{\mathbf{s}}.$$

**7.1. The limit of the simplification procedure.** — We proceed as before, by associating to  $X_H$  a simplified vector field  $X_{\text{sam}}$  in the following way:

$$X_{\text{sam}} = \exp\left(\sum_{\substack{s \in A(H) \\ \omega(s) \neq 0}} \frac{1}{\omega(s)} D_s\right) X_H \exp\left(\sum_{\substack{s \in A(H) \\ \omega(s) \neq 0}} -\frac{1}{\omega(s)} D_s\right).$$

The important thing is that

$$X_{\text{sam}} = X_{\text{lin}} + \sum_{s \in A(H)^*} \text{Sam}^s D_s,$$

with  $\text{Sam}^\bullet$  *exactly* the same mould as defined in lemma 5.3. The only things that change are the alphabet and the operators. But the fact that  $\text{Sam}^\bullet$  is alternal is still true of

course:  $X_{\text{sam}}$  is then, by lemma 7.1, a Hamiltonian vector field, and by definition of  $\text{Sam}^\bullet$  a trimmed form of  $X_H$  up to order 1.

We then want to rewrite  $X_{\text{sam}}$  as a sum  $X_{\text{lin}} + \sum_{a \in \mathfrak{A}} \Delta_a$  where  $\mathfrak{A}$  would be a new alphabet, and there would be a simple law  $\star$  such that  $\|\mathbf{n}\|_\star = a$  for a word  $\mathbf{n}$  of  $A(X)^*$ . The second step would then be given by the composition  $\text{Sam}^\bullet \circ \text{Sam}^\bullet$ . Unfortunately, we have not been able, so far, to find such a new alphabet to make the iteration easy to formulate. So, we changed –again!– the decomposition of the initial vector field  $X_H$ , therefore the alphabet, so that a mould iteration can be done.

**7.2. Canonical simplification.** — We define a new alphabet  $\Omega(H)$ , or  $\Omega$  when there is no ambiguity, by:

$$\Omega(H) = \{\omega(s) \text{ with } s \in A(H)\}.$$

Remark that  $\Omega$  is thus part of  $\mathbb{C}$  and not anymore of  $\mathbb{Z}^\nu$ . We have then

$$H(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \lambda_i x_i y_i + \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \sum_{\substack{(n,m) \in A(H) \\ \omega(n,m) = \omega}} a_{nm} x^n y^m.$$

**Definition 7.3.** — For  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $\mathfrak{D}_\omega$  is the Hamiltonian vector field induced by the sum of monomials  $H_\omega(x, y) = \sum_{\substack{(n,m) \in A(H) \\ \omega(n,m) = \omega}} a_{nm} x^n y^m$ ; we call this latter sum the  $\Omega$ -homogeneous component of degree  $\omega$  of  $H$ . We write  $\mathfrak{D}_\omega = X_{H_\omega}$ .

The  $\mathfrak{D}_\omega$  are still Hamiltonian operators, as sum of such operators: in fact  $\mathfrak{D}_\omega = \sum_{\substack{(n,m) \in A(H) \\ \omega(n,m) = \omega}} D_{nm}$ . We have thus  $X_H = X_{\text{lin}} + \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \mathfrak{D}_\omega$ . This gives us the action of  $X_{\text{lin}}$  on the  $\mathfrak{D}_\omega$  (analogous to lemma 7.2):

**Lemma 7.4.** —

$$X_{\text{lin}} \mathfrak{D}_\omega = \mathfrak{D}_\omega X_{\text{lin}} + \|\omega\| \mathfrak{D}_\omega \text{ for any word } \omega \in \Omega^*.$$

The simplified field  $X_{\text{sam}}$  is obtained exactly the same way as above:

$$X_{\text{sam}} = \left( \sum_{\substack{\omega \in \Omega(H) \\ \omega \neq 0}} \frac{1}{\omega} \mathfrak{D}_\omega \right) X_H \left( \sum_{\substack{\omega \in \Omega(H) \\ \omega \neq 0}} -\frac{1}{\omega} \mathfrak{D}_\omega \right),$$

and still:

$$X_{\text{sam}} = X_{\text{lin}} + \sum_{\omega \in \Omega^*} \text{Sam}^\omega \mathfrak{D}_\omega.$$

The mould  $\text{Sam}^\bullet$  is again defined as in lemma 5.3, but on the alphabet  $\Omega$ , so for  $\omega = \omega(s^1) \cdots \omega(s^r)$  in  $\Omega^*$ , we set  $\text{Sam}^\omega = \text{Sam}^s$ .  $\text{Sam}^\bullet$  is alternal, then  $X_{\text{sam}}$  is a Hamiltonian vector field.

Now we want to iterate this process, as we did at the beginning of section 6. In order to iterate, we must rewrite  $X_{\text{sam}}$  as:

$$X_{\text{sam}} = X_{\text{lin}} + \sum_{\tilde{\omega} \in \tilde{\Omega}} \mathfrak{D}_{\tilde{\omega}}^{(1)},$$

where  $\tilde{\Omega}$  is the new alphabet, and  $\mathfrak{D}_{\tilde{\omega}}^{(1)}$  expresses with the  $\mathfrak{D}_{\omega}$  and is still Hamiltonian. By definition,  $\mathfrak{D}_{\tilde{\omega}}^{(1)}$  is the Hamiltonian field coming from the sum  $\sum_{\omega(n,m)=\tilde{\omega}} a_{nm}^{(1)} x^n y^m$  in the new Hamiltonian. So, for a  $\tilde{\omega}$  fixed, we must find the  $\omega$  such that  $\mathfrak{D}_{\omega}$  gives rise to a vector field coming from a  $H_{\tilde{\omega}}$ . The following theorem answers that question:

**Theorem 7.5.** — *The new alphabet is  $\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega$ ; moreover for any  $\tilde{\omega}$  in  $\Omega$ ,  $\mathfrak{D}_{\tilde{\omega}}^{(1)}$  is Hamiltonian, and has the following expression:*

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\tilde{\omega}}^{(1)} = \sum_{\substack{\omega \in \Omega_r^* \\ \|\omega\| = \tilde{\omega}}} \text{Sam}^{\omega} \mathfrak{D}_{\omega}.$$

*Proof.* — Remember first that  $\text{Sam}^{\bullet}$  is alternal, so we can still write:

$$\sum_{\omega \in \Omega^*} \text{Sam}^{\omega} \mathfrak{D}_{\omega} = \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{r} \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_r^*} \text{Sam}^{\omega} \mathfrak{D}_{[\omega]};$$

and even

$$\sum_{\substack{\omega \in \Omega_r^* \\ \|\omega\| = \tilde{\omega}}} \text{Sam}^{\omega} \mathfrak{D}_{\omega} = \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{r} \sum_{\substack{\omega \in \Omega_r^* \\ \|\omega\| = \tilde{\omega}}} \text{Sam}^{\omega} \mathfrak{D}_{[\omega]}.$$

For two operators,  $\mathfrak{D}_{\omega^1}$  and  $\mathfrak{D}_{\omega^2}$ , which respectively come from two Hamiltonians

$$H_{\omega^1}(x, y) = \sum_{\substack{n, m \\ \omega_{nm} = \omega^1}} a_{nm} x^n y^m \text{ and } H_{\omega^2}(x, y) = \sum_{\substack{p, q \\ \omega_{pq} = \omega^2}} a_{pq} x^p y^q,$$

we have actually:

$$[\mathfrak{D}_{\omega^1}, \mathfrak{D}_{\omega^2}] = \{X_{H_{\omega^1}}, X_{H_{\omega^2}}\} = X_{\{H_{\omega^1}, H_{\omega^2}\}},$$

and

$$\{H_{\omega^1}, H_{\omega^2}\} = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \sum_{\substack{n, m, p, q \\ \omega_{nm} = \omega^1 \\ \omega_{pq} = \omega^2}} a_{nm} a_{pq} x^{\widehat{n+p}_i} y^{\widehat{m+q}_i} (m_i p_i - q_i n_i);$$

this is a sum (indexed by  $i$ ) of monomial Hamiltonians whose each term has the same  $\tilde{\omega}$ :

$$\forall i, 1 \leq i \leq \nu, \omega(\widehat{n+p}_i, \widehat{m+q}_i) = \omega(n, m) + \omega(p, q) = \omega^1 + \omega^2 = \tilde{\omega}.$$

We thus can say that  $\tilde{\Omega} = \{\|\omega\|, \omega \in \Omega\} = \Omega$ . Now, remember that  $\mathfrak{D}_{\tilde{\omega}}^{(1)}$  is defined as the Hamiltonian vector field coming from the  $\Omega$ -homogeneous component of degree  $\tilde{\omega}$  of the new Hamiltonian  $H^{(1)}$ ; we conclude therefore that  $[\mathfrak{D}_{\omega^1}, \mathfrak{D}_{\omega^2}]$  appears in (and only in)  $\mathfrak{D}_{\omega^1 + \omega^2}^{(1)}$ . Conversely, if  $\tilde{\omega}$  is fixed, only the operators  $\mathfrak{D}_{[\omega]}$  build on the words  $\omega$  such that  $\|\omega\| = \tilde{\omega}$  will appear in  $\mathfrak{D}_{\tilde{\omega}}^{(1)}$ .

Hence the result:

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\tilde{\omega}}^{(1)} = \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{r} \sum_{\substack{\omega \in \Omega_r^* \\ \|\omega\| = \tilde{\omega}}} \text{Sam}^{\omega} \mathfrak{D}_{[\omega]} = \sum_{\substack{\omega \in \Omega^* \\ \|\omega\| = \tilde{\omega}}} \text{Sam}^{\omega} \mathfrak{D}_{\omega}.$$

which concludes the proof.  $\square$

We may now cite the following:

**Theorem 7.6.** — *The trimmed form of  $X_H$  is given by*

$$X_{\text{tram}} = X_{\text{lin}} + \sum_{\bullet} \text{Tram}^{\bullet} \mathfrak{D}_{\bullet}$$

where the alphabet is  $\Omega(H)$  and  $\text{Tram}^{\bullet}$  the mould already defined in the previous section: for a word  $\omega$  of length  $r$ ,

$$\text{Tram}^{\omega} = ((\text{Sam}^{\bullet})^{or})^{\omega}.$$

We know that, in case of Hamiltonian vector fields, there is only one prenormal (hence normal) form. We have here a way to compute it; it would be interesting to compare it to other classical ways.

## 8. Kolmogorov Theorem

In this section, we use the preceding trimmed form transformations to bring a Hamiltonian vector field into Kolmogorov's normal form. The Kolmogorov's theorem ensures the persistence of a diophantine torus of a completely integrable Hamiltonian function under a weak perturbation. We prove this theorem in the case of a perturbation of a special form, see *infra*.

We define the algebra  $\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}$  of functions  $f_{\varepsilon}(p, q) : \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  of the form  $f_{\varepsilon}(p, q) = \sum_{s \geq 0} \varepsilon^s f_s(p, q)$  where the  $f_s$  are trigonometric polynomials in  $q$ , the coefficients  $f_{s,k}(p)$  of

which being polynomials in  $p$ , writing  $f_s(p, q) = \sum_{|k| \leq K_s} f_{s,k}(p) e^{ik \cdot q}$ .

We define  $\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}^1$  the subset of  $\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}$  of trigonometric polynomials in  $q$ , the coefficients of which being homogeneous polynomials in  $p$  of degree 1.

We denote by  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon})$  the set of derivations over the algebra  $\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}$ , and by  $\mathcal{D}^1(\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon})$  the subset of  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon})$ , of derivations  $D$  of the form:

$$D = A_{\varepsilon}(p, q) \partial_p + B_{\varepsilon}(p, q) \partial_q,$$

with  $A_{\varepsilon}(p, q), B_{\varepsilon}(p, q)$  in  $\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}^1$ .

Moreover, we denote by  $\mathcal{D}_r^1(\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}) \subset \mathcal{D}^1(\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon})$  the subset of derivations of the form  $A_{\varepsilon}(p, q) \partial_p + B_{\varepsilon}(p, q) \partial_q$ ,  $A_{\varepsilon}$  and  $B_{\varepsilon}$  being in  $\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}^1$ , of which all the coefficients of  $\varepsilon^s, s \leq r$  have no dependence in  $q$ .

Following [11] we deal here with Hamiltonian functions from  $\mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times \mathbb{T}^{\nu}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , where  $\mathbb{T}$  is the usual torus  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ , and  $\omega$  a vector of  $\mathbb{R}^{\nu}$  being non-resonant, of the form:

$$H_{\varepsilon}(p, q) = \omega \cdot p + \frac{1}{2} p^2 + \varepsilon f(q). \quad (8.1)$$

The "formal" Kolmogorov theorem is then:

**Theorem 8.1.** — *Let  $H_{\varepsilon}(p, q)$  be defined as in (8.1) and  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu}$  being diophantine. There exists a canonical formal transformation  $q = q' + \varepsilon \cdots, p = p' + \varepsilon \cdots$ , which brings  $H_{\varepsilon}$  into Kolmogorov normal form:*

$$H_{\varepsilon}(p, q) = \omega \cdot p + R(p, q, \varepsilon),$$

with  $R(p, q, \varepsilon) = O(p^2)$ .

More precisely, we will prove the following theorem, denoting by  $X_{\varepsilon}$  the Hamiltonian vector field coming from  $H_{\varepsilon}$ , and by  $X_c$  the constant vector field  $\omega \cdot \partial_q$ :

**Theorem 8.2.** — *Let us suppose that  $X_\varepsilon$  has been brought into the following form:*

$$X_{r,\varepsilon}(p, q) = \omega \cdot \partial_q + \sum_{s=1}^r \varepsilon^s (a_s(p) \partial_p + b_s(p) \partial_q) + \sum_{s \geq r+1} \varepsilon^s \left( \sum_{l=1}^{N_s} a_{s,l}(p) e^{i l \cdot q} \partial_p + \sum_{l=1}^{M_s} b_{s,l}(p) e^{i l \cdot q} \partial_q \right),$$

where  $a_s(p), b_s(p), a_{s,l}(p), b_{s,l}(p)$  are in  $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^1$ . Then, there exists a canonical transformation  $q = q' + \varepsilon \cdots, p = p' + \varepsilon \cdots$ , such that  $X_{r,\varepsilon}(p, q) = X_{r+1,\varepsilon}(p', q')$ .

The theorem we want to prove may be rewritten as follows:

**Theorem 8.3.** — *If  $X - X_c \in \mathcal{D}_r^1(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon)$ , then  $X_{\text{sam}} - X_c \in \mathcal{D}_{r+1}^1(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon)$ .*

*Proof.* — We write

$$X = X_c + \sum_{s=1}^r \varepsilon^s X_s^0(p) + \sum_{s \geq r+1} \varepsilon^s \left( X_s^0(p) + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu} X_s^k(p, q) \right),$$

where  $X_s^0(p)$  is independent of  $q$  and  $X_s^k(p, q) = e^{i(k \cdot q)} \left( a_{s,k}(p) \partial_p + b_{s,k}(p) \partial_q \right)$ .

We set then  $B_k = \sum_{s \geq r+1} \varepsilon^s X_s^k$  for  $k \neq 0$  and  $B_0 = \sum_{s \geq 1} \varepsilon^s X_s^0$ .

**Lemma 8.4.** — *For  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$ ,  $B_k$  is a homogeneous differential operator of degree  $k$  in the angles  $q$ . Moreover,*

$$X_c B_k = i(k \cdot \omega) B_k.$$

We can then write  $X = X_c + \sum_{k \in A^*} I^k B_k$ , where  $A = \mathbb{Z}^\nu$  is the alphabet, and  $I^\bullet$  the mould already defined. We look for  $\Theta = \sum_{\bullet} \Theta^\bullet B_\bullet$ , with  $\Theta = \exp(V)$  and  $V = \sum_{\bullet} V^\bullet B_\bullet$ .

The Campbell-Baker-Hausdorff formula ensures that:

$$\Theta X \Theta^{-1} = X - [X, V] + \cdots = X_c + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu} B_k - [X_c, V] + \text{h.o.t.}, \quad (8.2)$$

where h.o.t. stands for higher order (in  $\varepsilon$ ) terms. Moreover, we set:

$$V^\bullet = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{i(k \cdot \omega)} & \text{if } \ell(\bullet) = 1 \text{ and } k \cdot \omega \neq 0; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then,

$$[X_c, V] = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu} V^k [X_c, B_k] + \sum_{\substack{k \in A^* \\ \ell(k) \geq 2}} V^k [X_c, B_k] + \cdots,$$

hence, (8.2) rewrites:

$$X_c + \underbrace{\sum_{k \in A} B_k - \sum_{k \in A} i(\omega k) V^k B_k}_{(*)} + \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{k \in A^* \\ \ell(k) \geq 2}} V^k [X_c, B_k] + \cdots}_{(**)}$$

The term  $(**)$  is of order in  $\varepsilon$  at least  $r+2$ , therefore we do not worry about it. The term  $(*)$  rewrites:

$$\sum_{k \in A} \sum_{s \geq r+1} \varepsilon^s X_s^k - \sum_{k \in A} i(\omega \cdot k) V^k \left( \sum_{s \geq r+1} \varepsilon^s X_s^k \right),$$

so, if we choose  $V^k = \frac{1}{i(k \cdot \omega)}$ , for  $k \cdot \omega \neq 0$ , this latter expression vanishes, because  $X_{r+1}^k = 0$  when  $k \cdot \omega = 0$  (as  $\omega$  is non-resonant).  $\square$

We have again our transformation simp which brings  $X$  into  $X_{\text{sam}}$ . After the projection lemma 2.12 we can write:

$$X_{\text{sam}} = X_c + \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{r} \sum_{k \in A^*} \text{Sam}^k B_{[k]}.$$

Now we use the following lemma:

**Lemma 8.5.** —

$$\forall D, \tilde{D} \in \mathcal{D}^1(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon), \quad [D, \tilde{D}] \in \mathcal{D}^1(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon).$$

That lemma and the projection lemma prove that  $X_{\text{sam}}$  is now in  $\mathcal{D}_{r+1}^1(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon)$ , hence, by applying iteratively theorem 8.3, we are able to prove theorem 8.2 therefore theorem 8.1.

By this way, we are able to perform a trimmed form of a Hamiltonian vector field in action-angle coordinates. It is defined by the mould  $\text{Tram}^\bullet$  exactly the same as before.

Moreover, remark that every simplification is a canonical transformation, so at every step of the procedure is the vector field still Hamiltonian. That may be of great interest in numerical applications.

## 9. Conclusion

We saw in this text different powerful aspects of moulds: the “complete calculability” that is the universality, and the ability to be easily computed. A combinatory work (in the free Lie algebras framework) lies underneath which induces a powerful union of results both from algebra and analysis.

The principal tool we used here was the change of graduation in the decomposition of a vector field, and we still hope to apply it to vector fields with no linear part, as E. Paul in [14] in a future work.

Moreover, the seek for normal forms has not to be limited to vector fields. We also intend to develop this kind of techniques to apply in PDEs.

## References

- [1] V. ARNOLD – *Chapitres supplémentaires de la théorie des équations différentielles ordinaires*, 3ème édition en français ed., Éditions MIR, Librairie du Globe, 1996.
- [2] A. BAIDER – Unique normal forms for vector fields and Hamiltonians, *J. Diff. Equations* **78** (1989), no. 1, p. 33–52.
- [3] J. CRESSON – *Calcul moulien*, Prépublication de l’IHÉS, 2006.
- [4] J. CRESSON & J. RAISSY – About the trimmed and the Poincaré-Dulac normal form of diffeomorphisms, Prépublications de l’IHÉS, 2006.
- [5] J. ÉCALLE – *Les fonctions résurgentes. Tome I*, Publications Mathématiques d’Orsay 81, vol. 5, Université de Paris-Sud Département de Mathématiques, Orsay, 1981, Les algèbres de fonctions résurgentes. [The algebras of resurgent functions], With an English foreword.
- [6] ———, *Les fonctions résurgentes. Tome II*, Publications Mathématiques d’Orsay 81, vol. 6, Université de Paris-Sud Département de Mathématiques, Orsay, 1981, Les fonctions résurgentes appliquées à l’itération. [Resurgent functions applied to iteration].

- [7] ———, *Les fonctions résurgentes. Tome III*, Publications Mathématiques d'Orsay 85, vol. 85, Université de Paris-Sud Département de Mathématiques, 1985, L'équation du pont et la classification analytique des objets locaux. [The bridge equation and analytic classification of local objects].
- [8] ———, Singularités non abordables par la géométrie, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **42** (1992), no. 1-2, p. 73–164.
- [9] ———, Six lectures on transseries, analysable functions and the constructive proof of Dulac's conjecture, in *Bifurcations and periodic orbits of vector fields (Montreal, PQ, 1992)*, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., vol. 408, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1993, p. 75–184.
- [10] G. GAETA – Poincaré renormalized forms, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor.* **70** (1999), no. 6, p. 461–514.
- [11] A. GIORGILLI & U. LOCATELLI – On classical series expansions for quasi-periodic motions, *Mathematical Physics Electronic Journal* **3** (1997).
- [12] N. JACOBSON – *Lie algebras*, 2nd ed., Dover Publications, 1979.
- [13] J. MARTINET – Normalisation des champs de vecteurs holomorphes, *Séminaire Bourbaki* **564** (1980), no. 1.
- [14] E. PAUL – Formal normal forms for the perturbations of a quasi-homogeneous Hamiltonian vector field, *J. Dynam. Control Systems* **10** (2004), no. 4, p. 545–575.
- [15] C. REUTENAUER – *Free lie algebras*, new series 7, London Math. Soc. Monographs, 1993.
- [16] J.-P. SERRE – *Lie algebras and lie groups*, 1965.
- [17] B. VALLET – Géométrie analytique des champs de vecteurs et des difféomorphismes, Ph.D. Thesis, Université d'Orsay, 1996.

---

JACKY CRESSON, LMA, Université de Pau et des pays de l'Adour, avenue de l'Université BP 1155,  
64013 PAU Cedex • IMCCE, 77 avenue Denfert-Rochereau, 75014 Paris

*E-mail* : [jacky.cresson@univ-pau.fr](mailto:jacky.cresson@univ-pau.fr) • *Url* : <http://www.univ-pau.fr/~jcresson/>

GUILLAUME MORIN, IMCCE, 77 avenue Denfert-Rochereau, 75014 Paris • CEREMADE, Université  
Paris-Dauphine, Place du Maréchal De Lattre de Tassigny, 75116 Paris

*E-mail* : [morin@ceremade.dauphine.fr](mailto:morin@ceremade.dauphine.fr) • *Url* : <http://www.ceremade.dauphine.fr/~morin/>