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# On smooth curves endowed with a large automorphism $p$-group in characteristic $p>0$. 

Michel Matignon and Magali Rocher.


#### Abstract

Let $k$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p>0$ and $C$ a connected nonsingular projective curve over $k$ with genus $g \geq 2$. This paper continues the work begun in LM05, namely the study of "big actions", i.e. the pairs $(C, G)$ where $G$ is a $p$-subgroup of the $k$ automorphism group of $C$ such that $\frac{|G|}{g}>\frac{2 p}{p-1}$. If $G_{2}$ denotes the second ramification group of $G$ at the unique ramification point of the cover $C \rightarrow C / G$, we first display necessary conditions on $G_{2}$ for $(C, G)$ to be a big action, which allows us to pursue the classification of big actions. Our main source of examples comes from the construction of curves with many rational points using ray class field theory for global function fields, as initiated by J-P. Serre and followed by Lau99 and Au99. In particular, we obtain explicit examples of big actions with $G_{2}$ abelian of large exponent.


## 1 Introduction.

Setting. Let $k$ be an algebraically closed field and $C$ a connected nonsingular projective curve over $k$, with genus $g \geq 2$. If $\operatorname{char}(k)=0$, Hurwitz exhibits a linear bound for the $k$-automorphism group of the curve $C$, namely: $\left|A u t_{k}(C)\right| \leq 84(g-1)$. If $\operatorname{char}(k)=p>0$, the Hurwitz bound is no longer true when $g$ grows large, but the finiteness result still holds (cf. Sch38) and one gets polynomial bounds on $\left|\operatorname{Aut}_{k}(C)\right|$ (cf. [St73] and Sin74]). In this situation, the full automorphism group may be very large as compared with the case $\operatorname{char}(k)=0$. This is due to the appearance of wild ramification, which leads us to concentrate on large automorphism p-groups in $\operatorname{char}(k)=p>0$. In this spirit, Nakajima (cf. Na87]) studies the size of Sylow $p$-subgroups of $A u t_{k}(C)$ and emphasizes the influence of another important invariant of the curve: the $p$-rank, denoted by $\gamma$. Indeed, if $G$ is a Sylow $p$ subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}_{k}(C)$, we deduce from Na87 that $|G| \leq \frac{2 p}{p-1} g$, except for $\gamma=0$. On the contrary, when $\gamma=0$, the upper bound on $|G|$ is no more linear in $g$, namely $|G| \leq \max \left\{g, \frac{4 p}{(p-1)^{2}} g^{2}\right\}$. As shown in St73, the quadratic upper bound $\frac{4 p}{(p-1)^{2}} g^{2}$ can really be attained, which demonstrates that, in this case, $A u t_{k}(C)$ may be especially large. Following Nakajima's work, Lehr and Matignon explore the "big actions", that is to say the pairs $(C, G)$ where $G$ is a $p$-subgroup of $A u t_{k}(C)$ such that $\frac{|G|}{g}>\frac{2 p}{p-1}$ (see LM05]). In particular, they exhibit a classification of the big actions that satisfy $\frac{4}{(p-1)^{2}} \leq \frac{|G|}{g^{2}}$.

Motivation and outline of the paper. Let $(C, G)$ be a big action. As shown in [M05, there is a point of $C$, say $\infty$, such that $G$ is equal to the wild inertia subgroup $G_{1}$ of $G$ at $\infty$. Let $G_{2}$ be the second ramification group of $G$ at $\infty$ in lower notation. Then, the quotient curve $C / G_{2}$ is isomorphic to the projective line $\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}$ and the quotient group $G / G_{2}$ acts as a group of translations of $\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}$ fixing $\infty$, through $X \rightarrow X+y$, where $y$ runs over a subgroup $V$ of $k$. In this way, the group $G$ appears as an extension of $G_{2}$ by the $p$-elementary abelian group $V$ via the exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow G_{2} \longrightarrow G=G_{1} \longrightarrow V \simeq(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{v} \longrightarrow 0
$$

The aim of this paper is, on the one hand, to give necessary conditions on $G_{2}$ for $(C, G)$ to be a big action and, on the other hand, to display realizations of big actions with $G_{2}$ abelian of large exponent. In section 2, we first prove that $G_{2}$ must be equal to $D(G)$, the commutator subgroup of $G$. In section 4, we demonstrate that $G_{2}$ cannot be cyclic except when $G_{2}$ has order $p$. These results are used to pursue the classification of big actions initiated by Lehr and Matignon, more precisely to explore the case: $\frac{4}{\left(p^{2}-1\right)^{2}} \leq \frac{|G|}{g^{2}}$. Indeed, we prove in section 3 that such an inequality requires $G_{2}$ to be an elementary abelian $p$-group whose order divides $p^{3}$. In sequel papers, M. Rocher goes further: she studies big actions with a $p$-elementary abelian $G_{2}$ (see Ro2]), which enables her to display the classification of big actions satisfying $\frac{4}{\left(p^{2}-1\right)^{2}} \leq \frac{|G|}{g^{2}}$ (see Ro3). In section 5, following Lau99 and

Au99, we consider the maximal abelian extension of $K:=\mathbb{F}_{q}(X)\left(q=p^{e}\right)$ denoted by $K_{S}^{m}$, which is unramified outside $X=\infty$, completely split over the set $S$ of the finite rational places and whose conductor is smaller than $m \infty$, with $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Class field theory gives a description of the Galois group $G_{S}(m)$ of this extension, but also precises its upper ramification groups, which allows us to compute the genus of the extension. Moreover, it follows from the unicity and the maximality of $K_{S}^{m}$ that the group of translations $\left\{X \rightarrow X+y, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\right\}$ extends to a $p$-group of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-automorphisms of $K_{S}^{m}$, say $G(m)$, with the exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow G_{S}(m) \longrightarrow G(m) \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q} \longrightarrow 0
$$

This provides examples of big actions with $G_{2}$ abelian of exponent as large as we want, but also relates the problem of big actions to the search of algebraic curves with many rational points compared with their genera. In particular, we conclude section 5 by exhibiting specific $K$-subextensions of $K_{S}^{m}$, for a well-chosen conductor $m \infty$, giving examples of big actions such that $G_{2} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p^{2} \mathbb{Z} \times(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{t}$ with a small $p$-rank, namely $t=O\left(\log _{p} g\right)$. In the final section, we use Katz-Gabber theorem to highlight the link between big actions on curves and an analogous ramification condition for finite $p$-groups acting on $k((z))$.

Notation and preliminary remarks. Let $k$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p>0$. We denote by $F$ the Frobenius endomorphism for a $k$-algebra. Then, $\wp$ means the Frobenius operator minus identity. We denote by $k\{F\}$ the $k$-subspace of $k[X]$ generated by the polynomials $F^{i}(X)$, with $i \in \mathbb{N}$. It is a ring under the composition. Furthermore, for all $\alpha$ in $k, F \alpha=\alpha^{p} F$. The elements of $k\{F\}$ are the additive polynomials, i.e. the polynomials $P(X)$ of $k[X]$ such that for all $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in $k, P(\alpha+\beta)=P(\alpha)+P(\beta)$.

Let $f(X)$ be a polynomial of $k[X]$. Then, there is a unique polynomial $\operatorname{red}(f)(X)$ in $k[X]$, called the reduced representative of $f$, which is $p$-power free, i.e. $\operatorname{red}(f)(X) \in \bigoplus_{(i, p)=1} k X^{i}$, and such that $\operatorname{red}(f)(X)=f(X) \bmod \wp(k[X])$. We say that the polynomial $f$ is reduced $\bmod \wp(k[X])$ if and only if it coincides with its reduced representative $\operatorname{red}(f)$. The equation $W^{p}-W=f(X)$ defines a $p$-cyclic étale cover of the affine line that we denote by $C_{f}$. Conversely, any $p$-cyclic étale cover of the affine line Speck[X] corresponds to a curve $C_{f}$ where $f$ is a polynomial of $k[X]$ (see [Mi80] III.4.12, p. 127). By Artin-Schreier theory, the covers $C_{f}$ and $C_{r e d(f)}$ define the same p-cyclic covers of the affine line. The curve $C_{f}$ is irreducible if and only if $\operatorname{red}(f) \neq 0$.

Throughout the text, $C$ always denotes a nonsingular smooth projective curve with genus $g$ and $A u t_{k}(C)$ means its $k$-automorphism group. Our main references for ramification theory are Se68] and Au99.

## 2 First results on "big actions".

To precise the background of our work, we begin by collecting and completing the first results on big actions already obtained in LM05. The expression "big actions" stands for curves endowed with a big automorphism $p$-group. The first task is to recall what we mean by "big".

Definition 2.1. Let $G$ be a subgroup of $A u t_{k}(C)$. We say that the pair $(C, G)$ is a big action if $G$ is a finite $p$-group, if $g \neq 0$ and if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{|G|}{g}>\frac{2 p}{p-1} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2.2. LMOG Assume that $(C, G)$ is a big action with $g \geq 2$. Then, there is a point of $C($ say $\infty)$ such that $G$ is the wild inertia subgroup of $G$ at $\infty: G_{1}$. Moreover, the quotient $C / G$ is isomorphic to the projective line $\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}$ and the ramification locus (respectively branch locus) of the cover $\pi: C \rightarrow C / G$ is the point $\infty$ (respectively $\pi(\infty))$. For all $i \geq 0$, we denote by $G_{i}$ the $i$-th lower ramification group of $G$ at $\infty$. Then,

1. $G_{2}$ is non trivial and it is strictly included in $G_{1}$.
2. The Hurwitz genus formula applied to $C \rightarrow C / G$ reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 g=\sum_{i \geq 2}\left(\left|G_{i}\right|-1\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. The quotient curve $C / G_{2}$ is isomorphic to the projective line $\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}$. Moreover, the quotient group $G / G_{2}$ acts as a group of translations of the affine line $C / G_{2}-\{\infty\}=\operatorname{Spec} k[X]$, through
$X \rightarrow X+y$, where $y$ runs over a subgroup $V$ of $k$. Then, $V$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{p}$-subvector space of $k$. We denote by $v$ its dimension. Thus, we obtain the exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow G_{2} \longrightarrow G=G_{1} \xrightarrow{\pi} V \simeq(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{v} \longrightarrow 0
$$

where

$$
\pi:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
G \rightarrow V \\
g \rightarrow g(X)-X
\end{array}\right.
$$

4. Let $H$ be a normal subgroup of $G$ such that $g_{C / H}>0$. Then, $(C / H, G / H)$ is also a big action. Moreover, the group $G / H$ fixes the image of $\infty$ in the cover $C \rightarrow C / H$. In particular, if $g_{C / H}=1$, then $p=2, C / H$ is birational to the curve $W^{2}+W=X^{3}$ and $G / H$ is isomorphic to $Q_{8}$, the quarternion group of order 8 (see Si86], Appendix A, Prop. 1.2).
Remark 2.3. Note that, for $g=1$, one can find big actions $(C, G)$ such that $G$ is not included in a decomposition group of $\operatorname{Aut}_{k}(C)$ as in Proposition 2.2.

The following lemma generalizes and completes the last point of Proposition 2.2.
Lemma 2.4. Let $G$ a finite p-subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}_{k}(C)$. We assume that the quotient curve $C / G$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}$ and that there is a point of $C$ (say $\infty$ ) such that $G$ is the wild inertia subgroup of $G$ at $\infty: G_{1}$. We also assume that the ramification locus (respectively branch locus) of the cover $\pi: C \rightarrow C / G$ is the point $\infty$ (respectively $\pi(\infty)$ ). Let $G_{2}$ be the second ramification group of $G$ at $\infty$. Then, $C / H$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}$ if and only if $H \supset G_{2}$.

In particular, if $(C, G)$ is a big action with $g \geq 2$ and if $H$ is a normal subgroup of $G$ such that $H \subsetneq G_{2}$, then $g_{C / H}>0$ and $(C / H, G / H)$ is also a big action.

Proof: When applied to the cover $C \rightarrow C / G \simeq \mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}$, the Hurwitz genus formula (see e.g. St93]) reads: $2(g-1)=2|G|\left(g_{C / G}-1\right)+\sum_{i>0}\left(\left|G_{i}\right|-1\right)$. When applied to the cover $C \rightarrow C / H$, it yields: $2(g-1)=2|H|\left(g_{C / H}-1\right)+\sum_{i \geq 0}\left(\left|H \cap G_{i}\right|-1\right)$. Since $H \subset G=G_{0}=G_{1}$, it follows that:

$$
2|H| g_{C / H}=-2(|G|-|H|)+\sum_{i \geq 0}\left(\left|G_{i}\right|-\left|H \cap G_{i}\right|\right)=\sum_{i \geq 2}\left(\left|G_{i}\right|-\left|H \cap G_{i}\right|\right)
$$

Therefore, $g_{C / H}=0$ if and only if for all $i \geq 2, G_{i}=H \cap G_{i}$, i.e. $G_{i} \subset H$, which is equivalent to $G_{2} \subset H$. The special case then derives from Proposition 2.2.4.

The very first step to study big actions is to precise their description when $G_{2} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$. The following proposition aims at gathering and reformulating the results already obtained for this case in LM05 (cf. Prop. 5.5, 8.1 and 8.3).
Proposition 2.5. LMO Let $(C, G)$ be a big action, with $g \geq 2$, such that $G_{2} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$.

1. Then, $C$ is birational to the curve $C_{f}: W^{p}-W=f(X)=X S(X)+c X \in k[X]$, where $S$ in $k\{F\}$ is an additive polynomial with degree $s \geq 1$ in $F$. If we denote by $m$ the degree of $f$, then $m=1+p^{s}=i_{0}$, where $i_{0} \geq 2$ is the integer such that:

$$
G=G_{0}=G_{1} \supsetneq G_{2}=G_{3}=\cdots=G_{i_{0}} \supsetneq G_{i_{0}+1}=\cdots=
$$

2. Write $S(F)=\sum_{j=0}^{s} a_{j} F^{j}$, with $a_{s} \neq 0$. Then, following El9才 (section 4), we can define an additive polynomial related to $f$, called the "palindromic polynomial" of $f$ :

$$
A d_{f}:=\frac{1}{a_{s}} F^{s}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{s} a_{j} F^{j}+F^{-j} a_{j}\right)
$$

The set of roots of $A d_{f}$, denoted by $Z\left(A d_{f}\right)$, is an $\mathbb{F}_{p}$-subvector space of $k$, isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{2 s}$. Besides, $Z\left(A d_{f}\right)=\{y \in k, f(X+y)-f(X)=0 \bmod \wp(k[X]\}$.
3. Let $G_{\infty, 1}$ be the wild inertia subgroup of $A u t_{k}(C)$ at $\infty$. Then, $G_{\infty, 1}$ is a central extension of $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ by the elementary abelian p-group $Z\left(A d_{f}\right)$ which can be identified with a subgroup of translations $\{X \rightarrow X+y, y \in k\}$ of the affine line. Furthermore, if we denote by $Z\left(G_{\infty, 1}\right)$ the center of $G_{\infty, 1}$ and by $D\left(G_{\infty, 1}\right)$ its commutator subgroup, $Z\left(G_{\infty, 1}\right)=D\left(G_{\infty, 1}\right)=<\sigma>$, where $\sigma(X)=X$ and $\sigma(W)=W+1$. Thus, we get the following exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow Z\left(G_{\infty, 1}\right)=D\left(G_{\infty, 1}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow G_{\infty, 1} \xrightarrow{\pi} Z\left(A d_{f}\right) \simeq(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{2 s} \longrightarrow 0
$$

where

$$
\pi:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
G_{\infty, 1} \rightarrow Z\left(A d_{f}\right) \simeq(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{2 s} \\
g \rightarrow g(X)-X
\end{array}\right.
$$

For $p>2, G_{\infty, 1}$ is the unique extraspecial group with exponent $p$ and order $p^{2 s+1}$. The case $p=2$ is more complicated (see LMO 4.1).
4. There exists an $\mathbb{F}_{p}$-vector space $V \subset Z\left(A d_{f}\right) \simeq(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{2 s}$ such that $G=\pi^{-1}(V) \subset G_{\infty, 1}$ and such that we get the exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow G_{2} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow G \stackrel{\pi}{\longrightarrow} V \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Therefore, the key idea to study big actions is to use Proposition 2.2.4 and Lemma 2.4 to go back to the well-known situation described above. This motivates the following

Theorem 2.6. Let $(C, G)$ be a big action with $g \geq 2$. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a normal subgroup in $G$ such that $\mathcal{G}$ is strictly included in $G_{2}$. Then, there exists a group $H$, normal in $G$, such that $\mathcal{G} \subset H \subsetneq G_{2}$ and $\left[G_{2}: H\right]=p$. In this case, $(C / H, G / H)$ enjoys the following properties.

1. The pair $(C / H, G / H)$ is a big action and the exact sequence of Proposition 2.2:

$$
0 \longrightarrow G_{2} \longrightarrow G \xrightarrow{\pi} V \longrightarrow 0
$$

induces the following one:

$$
0 \longrightarrow G_{2} / H=(G / H)_{2} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow G / H \xrightarrow{\pi} V \longrightarrow 0
$$

2. The curve $C / H$ is birational to $C_{f}$ : $W^{p}-W=f(X)=X S(X)+c X \in k[X]$, where $S$ is an additive polynomial of degree $s \geq 1$ in $F$. Let $A d_{f}$ be the palindromic polynomial related to $f$ as defined in Proposition 2.5. Then, $V \subset Z\left(A d_{f}\right) \simeq(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{2 s}$.
3. Let $E$ be the wild inertia subgroup of $A u t_{k}(C / H)$ at $\infty$. We denote by $D(E)$ its commutator subgroup of $E$ and by $Z(E)$ its center. Then, $E$ is an extraspecial group of order $p^{2 s+1}$ and

$$
0 \longrightarrow D(E)=Z(E) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow E \xrightarrow{\pi} Z\left(A d_{f}\right) \simeq(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{2 s} \longrightarrow 0
$$

4. Moreover, $G / H$ is a normal subgroup in $E$. It follows that $G_{2}$ is equal to $D(G)$, the commutator subgroup of $G$, which is also equal to $D(G) G^{p}$, the Frattini subgroup of $G$.

Proof: First of all, the existence of the group $H$ comes from Su82] (Chap. 2, Thm. 1.12). We deduce from Lemma 2.4 that $(C / H, G / H)$ is still a big action. Then, $G=G_{1} \supsetneq G_{2}$ (resp. $\left.G / H=(G / H)_{1} \supsetneq(G / H)_{2}\right)$. As the first jump always coincides in lower and upper ramification, it follows that $G_{2}=G^{2}$ (resp. $(G / H)_{2}=(G / H)^{2}$ ). By Se68] (Second Part, Chap. IV, Prop. 14), $(G / H)_{2}=(G / H)^{2}=G^{2} H / H=G_{2} H / H=G_{2} / H$. The first assertion follows. The second and the third point directly derive from Proposition 2.5.

We now prove the last statement. By Proposition 2.5, $Z(E)=(G / H)_{2}=G_{2} / H \subset G / H$. So, $G / H$ is a subgroup of $E$ containing $Z(E)$. Moreover, since $(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{2 s}$ is abelian, $\pi(G / H)$ is normal in $E / Z(E)$. It follows that $G / H$ is normal in $E$. We eventually show that $G_{2}=D(G)$. On the one hand, since $G / G_{2}$ is abelian, $D(G)$ is included in $G_{2}$. On the other hand, assume that $D(G)$ is strictly included in $G_{2}$. Then, the first point applied to $\mathcal{G}=D(G)$ ensures the existence of a group $H$, normal in $G$, with $D(G) \subset H \subset G_{2},\left[G_{2}: H\right]=p$ and such that $(C / H, G / H)$ is a big action. Since $D(G) \subset H, G / H$ is an abelian subgroup of $E$. As $G / H$ is also a normal group in $E$, Hu67 (Satz 13.7) implies $|G / H| \leq p^{s+1}$. Hence $\frac{|G / H|}{g_{C / H}} \leq \frac{2 p}{p-1}$, which contradicts condition (1) for the big action $(C / H, G / H)$. It follows that $D(G)=G_{2}$. In addition, as $G / G_{2}$ is an elementary abelian p-group, then $G^{p}=G_{1}^{p} \subset G_{2}=D(G)$. As a consequence, $G_{2}=D(G) G^{p}$ which is equal to the Frattini subgroup of $G$, since $G$ is a $p$-group.

Remark 2.7. When applying Theorem 2.6 to $\mathcal{G}=G_{i_{0}+1}$, where $i_{0}$ is defined as in Proposition 2.5, one obtains Theorem 8.6(i) of LM05]. In particular, for all big actions $(C, G)$ with $g \geq 2$, there exists an index p-subgroup $H$ of $G_{2}$, normal in $G$, such that $(C / H, G / H)$ is a big action with $C / H$ birational to $W^{p}-W=f(X)=X S(X)+c X \in k[X]$, where $S$ is an additive polynomial of degree $s \geq 1$ in $F$. Note that, in this case, $i_{0}=1+p^{s}$.

As $G_{2}$ cannot be trivial for a big action, we gather from the last point of Theorem 2.6 the following result.

Corollary 2.8. Let $(C, G)$ be a big action with $g \geq 2$. Then $G$ cannot be abelian.
It is natural to wonder whether $G_{2}$ can be non abelian. Although we do not know yet the answer to this question, we can mention a special case in which $G_{2}$ is always abelian, namely:

Corollary 2.9. Let $(C, G)$ be a big action with $g \geq 2$. If the order of $G_{2}$ divides $p^{3}$, then $G_{2}$ is abelian.

Proof: There is actually only one case to study, namely: $\left|G_{2}\right|=p^{3}$. We denote by $Z\left(G_{2}\right)$ the center of $G_{2}$. The case $\left|Z\left(G_{2}\right)\right|=1$ is impossible since $G_{2}$ is a $p$-group. If $\left|Z\left(G_{2}\right)\right|=p$, then $Z\left(G_{2}\right)$ is cyclic. But, as $G_{2}$ is a $p$-group, normal in $G$ and included in $D(G)$ (see Theorem 2.6), Su86] (Prop. 4.21, p. 75) implies that $G_{2}$ is also cyclic, which contradicts the strict inclusion of $Z\left(G_{2}\right)$ in $G_{2}$. If $\left|Z\left(G_{2}\right)\right|=p^{2}$, then $G_{2} / Z\left(G_{2}\right)$ is cyclic and $G_{2}$ is abelian, which leads to the same contradiction as above. This leaves only one possibility: $\left|Z\left(G_{2}\right)\right|=p^{3}$, which means that $G_{2}=Z\left(G_{2}\right)$.

Corollary 2.10. Let $(C, G)$ be a big action with $g \geq 2$. Let $G_{\infty, 1}$ be the wild inertia subgroup of $A^{\prime} t_{k}(C)$ at $\infty$. Then, $\left(C, G_{\infty, 1}\right)$ is a big action whose second lower ramification group is equal to $D\left(G_{\infty, 1}\right)=D(G)$. In particular, $G$ is equal to $G_{\infty, 1}$ if and only if $|G / D(G)|=\left|G_{\infty, 1} / D\left(G_{\infty, 1}\right)\right|$.

Proof: As $G$ is included in $G_{\infty, 1}$, then $D(G) \subset D\left(G_{\infty, 1}\right)$. If the inclusion is strict, one can find a subgroup $\mathcal{G}$ such that $G \subsetneq \mathcal{G} \subset G_{\infty, 1}$ with $[\mathcal{G}: G]=p$ (see [Su82], Chap. 2, Thm. 19). Then, $G$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$. It follows that $D(G)$ is also a normal subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$. As $|G| \leq|\mathcal{G}|$, the pair $(C, \mathcal{G})$ is a big action. So, by Theorem 2.6, $\mathcal{G}_{2}=D(\mathcal{G})$. Since $D(G)$ is normal in $\mathcal{G}$ and $g(C / D(G))=0$, we gather from Lemma 2.4 that $D(G)=\mathcal{G}_{2}=D(\mathcal{G})$. The claim follows by reiterating the process.

Remark 2.11. Let $\left(C, G_{\infty, 1}\right)$ be a big action as in Corollary 2.10. Then, we deduce from GK0才 (Thm. 1.3) that $G_{\infty, 1}$ is the unique p-Sylow subgroup of $A^{2} t_{k}(C)$ except in four special cases: the hyperelliptic curves: $W^{p^{n}}-W=X^{2}$ with $p>2$, the Hermitian curves and the Deligne-Lusztig curves arising from the Suzuki groups and the Ree groups (see equations in GK0才, Thm. 1.1).

## 3 A new step towards a classification of big actions.

If big actions are defined through the value taken by the quotient $\frac{|G|}{g}$, it occurs that the key criterion to classify them is the value of another quotient: $\frac{|G|}{g^{2}}$. Indeed, the quotient $\frac{|G|}{g^{2}}$ has, to some extent, a "sieve" effect among big actions. In what follows, we pursue the work of Lehr and Matignon who describe big actions for the two highest possible values of this quotient, namely $\frac{|G|}{g^{2}}=\frac{4 p}{(p-1)^{2}}$ and $\frac{|G|}{g^{2}}=\frac{4}{(p-1)^{2}}$ (cf. LM05 Thm. 8.6). More precisely, we investigate the big actions $(C, G)$ that satisfy:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M:=\frac{4}{\left(p^{2}-1\right)^{2}} \leq \frac{|G|}{g^{2}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The choice of the lower bound $M$ can be explained as follows: as shown in the proof of (LM05, Thm. 8.6), a lower bound $M$ on the quotient $\frac{|G|}{g^{2}}$ involves an upper bound on the order of the second ramification group, namely:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G_{2}\right| \leq \frac{4}{M} \frac{\left|G_{2} / G_{i_{0}+1}\right|^{2}}{\left(\left|G_{2} / G_{i_{0}+1}\right|-1\right)^{2}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i_{0}$ is defined as in Proposition 2.5. Therefore, we have to choose $M$ small enough to obtain a wide range of possibilities for the quotient, but meanwhile large enough to get serious restrictions on the order of $G_{2}$. The optimal bound seems to be $M:=\frac{4}{\left(p^{2}-1\right)^{2}}$, insofar as, for such a choice of M, the upper bound on $G_{2}$ implies that its order divides $p^{3}$, and then that $G_{2}$ is abelian (cf. Corollary 2.9).

Proposition 3.1. Let $(C, G)$ be a big action with $g \geq 2$ satisfying condition (3). Then, the order of $G_{2}$ divides $p^{3}$. It follows that $G_{2}$ is abelian.
Proof: Put $p^{m}:=\left|G_{2} / G_{i_{0}+1}\right|$, with $m \geq 1$, and $A_{m}:=\frac{4}{M} \frac{\left|G_{2} / G_{i_{0}+1}\right|}{\left(\left|G_{2} / G_{i_{0}+1}\right|-1\right)^{2}}=\frac{4}{M} \frac{p^{m}}{\left(p^{m}-1\right)^{2}}$. Then, inequality ( $\mathbb{4}$ ) reads: $1<\left|G_{2}\right|=p^{m}\left|G_{i_{0}+1}\right| \leq p^{m} A_{m}$, which gives: $1 \leq\left|G_{i_{0}+1}\right| \leq A_{m}$. Since
$\left(A_{m}\right)_{m \geq 1}$ is a decreasing sequence with $A_{4}<1$, we conclude that $m \in\{1,2,3\}$.
If $m=3$, then $1 \leq\left|G_{i_{0}+1}\right| \leq A_{3}<p$. So $\left|G_{i_{0}+1}\right|=1$ and $\left|G_{2}\right|=p^{3}$. If $m=2$, then $1 \leq\left|G_{i_{0}+1}\right| \leq A_{2}=p^{2}$. So $\left|G_{2}\right|=p^{2}\left|G_{i_{0}+1}\right|$, with $\left|G_{i_{0}+1}\right| \in\left\{1, p, p^{2}\right\}$. This leaves only one case to exclude, namely $\left|G_{i_{0}+1}\right|=p^{2}$. In this case, $\left|G_{2}\right|=p^{4}$ and formula (2) yields a lower bound on the genus, namely: $2 g \geq\left(i_{0}-1\right)\left(p^{4}-1\right)$. Let $s$ be the integer defined in Remark 2.7. Then, $i_{0}=1+p^{s}$. Besides, by Theorem 2.6, $V \subset(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{2 s}$. Consequently, $|G|=\left|G_{2}\right||V| \leq p^{4+2 s}$ and

$$
\frac{|G|}{g^{2}} \leq \frac{4 p^{4+2 s}}{p^{2 s}\left(p^{4}-1\right)^{2}}=\frac{4}{\left(p^{2}-1\right)^{2}} \frac{p^{4}}{\left(p^{2}+1\right)^{2}}<\frac{4}{\left(p^{2}-1\right)^{2}}
$$

which contradicts equality (3).
If $m=1$, then $1 \leq\left|G_{i_{0}+1}\right| \leq A_{1}$ with $A_{1}:=p(p+1)^{2}<\left\{\begin{array}{ll}p^{4}, & \text { if } p \geq 3 \\ p^{5}, & \text { if } p=2\end{array}\right.$.
Since $G_{i_{0}+1}$ is a $p$-group, we get: $\left\{\begin{array}{ll}1 \leq\left|G_{i_{0}+1}\right| \leq p^{3}, & \text { if } p \geq 3 \\ 1 \leq\left|G_{i_{0}+1}\right| \leq p^{4}, & \text { if } p=2\end{array}\right.$. As $\left|G_{2}\right|=p\left|G_{i_{0}+1}\right|$, there are two cases to exclude: $\left|G_{i_{0}+1}\right|=p^{3+\epsilon}$, with $\epsilon=0$ if $p \geq 3$ and $\epsilon \in\{0,1\}$ if $p=2$. Then $\left|G_{2}\right|=p^{4+\epsilon}$. If $\epsilon=0$, we are in the same situation as in the previous case. If $\epsilon=1$, (2) yields $2 g \geq\left(i_{0}-1\right)\left(p^{5}-1\right)$. Since this case only occurs for $p=2$, we eventually get an inequality:

$$
\frac{|G|}{g^{2}} \leq \frac{4 p^{5+2 s}}{p^{2 s}\left(p^{5}-1\right)^{2}}=\frac{128}{961}<\frac{4}{9}=\frac{4}{\left(p^{2}-1\right)^{2}}
$$

which contradicts condition (3). Therefore, the order of $G_{2}$ divides $p^{3}$. Then, we gather from Corollary 2.9 that $G_{2}$ is abelian.

But we can even prove better: under these conditions, $G_{2}$ has exponent $p$.
Proposition 3.2. Let $(C, G)$ be a big action with $g \geq 2$ satisfying condition (3). Then $G_{2}$ is abelian with exponent $p$.

Proof: By Proposition 3.1, $G_{2}$ is abelian, with order dividing $p^{3}$. As a consequence, if $G_{2}$ has exponent strictly greater than $p$, either $G_{2}$ is cyclic with order $p^{2}$ or $p^{3}$, or $G_{2}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / p^{2} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$. We begin with a lemma excluding the second case. Note that one can find big actions $(C, G)$ with $G_{2}$ abelian of exponent $p^{2}$. Nevertheless, it requires the $p$-rank of $G_{2}$ to be large enough (see section 5).

Lemma 3.3. Let $(C, G)$ be a big action with $g \geq 2$ satisfying condition (3). Then $G_{2}$ cannot be isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / p^{2} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof: Assume $G_{2} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p^{2} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$. Then, the lower ramification filtration of $G$ reads as in one of the four following cases:
i) $G=G_{1} \supsetneq G_{2} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p^{2} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \supset G_{i_{0}+1} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \supset G_{i_{0}+i_{1}+1}=\{0\}$.
ii) $G=G_{1} \supsetneq G_{2} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p^{2} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \supset G_{i_{0}+1} \simeq(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{2} \supset G_{i_{0}+i_{1}+1}=\{0\}$.
iii) $G=G_{1} \supsetneq G_{2} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p^{2} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \supset G_{i_{0}+1} \simeq(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{2} \supset G_{i_{0}+i_{1}+1} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \supset G_{i_{0}+i_{1}+i_{2}}=\{0\}$.
iv) $G=G_{1} \supsetneq G_{2} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p^{2} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \supset G_{i_{0}+1} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p^{2} \mathbb{Z} \supset G_{i_{0}+i_{1}+1} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \supset G_{i_{0}+i_{1}+i_{2}}=\{0\}$.

We now focus on the ramification filtration of $G_{2}$, temporary denoted by $H$ for convenience. Then, for all $i \geq 0$, the lower ramification groups of $H$ are: $H_{i}=H \cap G_{i}$. In case i), the lower ramification of $H$ reads:

$$
H=H_{0}=\cdots=H_{i_{0}} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p^{2} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \supset H_{i_{0}+1}=\cdots=H_{i_{0}+i_{1}} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \supset H_{i_{0}+i_{1}+1}=\{0\}
$$

Consider the upper ramification groups: $H^{\nu_{0}}=H^{\varphi\left(i_{0}\right)}=H_{i_{0}}$ and $H^{\nu_{1}}=H^{\varphi\left(i_{0}+i_{1}\right)}=H_{i_{0}+i_{1}}$, where $\varphi$ denotes the Herbrand function. Then, the ramification filtration in upper notation reads:

$$
H^{0}=\cdots=H^{\nu_{0}} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p^{2} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \supset H^{\nu_{0}+1}=\cdots=H^{\nu_{1}} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \supset H^{\nu_{1}+1}=\{0\}
$$

Since $H$ is abelian, it follows from Hasse-Arf theorem that $\nu_{0}$ and $\nu_{1}$ are integers. Consequently, the formula:

$$
\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \varphi(m)+1=\frac{1}{\left|H_{0}\right|} \sum_{i=0}^{m}\left|H_{i}\right|
$$

gives $\nu_{0}=i_{0}$ and $\nu_{1}=i_{0}+\frac{i_{1}}{p^{2}}$. Besides, Ma71 (Thm. 6) implies $H^{\nu_{0}} \supsetneq H^{p \nu_{0}} \supset\left(H^{\nu_{0}}\right)^{p}$ with $\left(H^{\nu_{0}}\right)^{p}=H^{p}=G_{2}^{p} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, $H^{p \nu_{0}} \supset H^{\nu_{1}}$, which involves: $p \nu_{0} \leq \nu_{1}$ and $i_{1} \geq p^{2}(p-1) i_{0}$. Then, the Hurwitz genus formula applied to $C \rightarrow C / H \simeq \mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}$ yields a lower bound for the genus:

$$
2 g=\left(i_{0}-1\right)(|H|-1)+i_{1}\left(\left|H_{i_{0}+1}\right|-1\right) \geq(p-1)\left(i_{0}+1\right)\left(p^{3}+p+1\right)
$$

Let $s$ be the integer defined in Remark 2.7. Then, $i_{0}=1+p^{s}$. Moreover, by Theorem 2.6, $|G|=\left|G_{2}\right||V| \leq p^{3+2 s}$. It follows that $\frac{|G|}{g^{2}} \leq \frac{4}{\left(p^{2}-1\right)^{2}} \frac{p^{3}(p+1)^{2}}{\left(p^{3}+p+1\right)^{2}}$. Since $\frac{p^{3}(p+1)^{2}}{\left(p^{3}+p+1\right)^{2}}<1$ for $p \geq 2$, this contradicts condition (3).

In case ii), the lower ramification filtration of $H$ reads:

$$
H=H_{0}=\cdots=H_{i_{0}} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p^{2} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \supset H_{i_{0}+1}=\cdots H_{i_{0}+i_{1}} \simeq(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{2} \supset H_{i_{0}+i_{1}+1}=\{0\}
$$

Keeping the same notation as in case i), the upper ramification filtration reads:

$$
H=H^{0}=\cdots=H^{\nu_{0}} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p^{2} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \supset H^{\nu_{0}+1}=\cdots=H^{\nu_{1}} \simeq(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{2} \supset H^{\nu_{1}+1}=\{0\}
$$

with $\nu_{0}=\varphi\left(i_{0}\right)=i_{0}$ and $\nu_{1}=\varphi\left(i_{0}+i_{1}\right)=i_{0}+\frac{i_{1}}{p}$. Once again, $H^{p \nu_{0}} \supset\left(H^{\nu_{0}}\right)^{p} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ implies $H^{p \nu_{0}} \supset H^{\nu_{1}}$, which involves $p \nu_{0} \leq \nu_{1}$ and $i_{1} \geq i_{0} p(p-1)$. Then, the Hurwitz genus formula yields:

$$
2 g=\left(i_{0}-1\right)(|H|-1)+i_{1}\left(\left|H_{i_{0}+1}\right|-1\right) \geq(p-1) p^{s}\left(p^{3}+p^{2}+1\right) \geq(p-1) p^{s}\left(p^{3}+p+1\right)
$$

Thus, we get the same lower bound on the genus as in the preceding case, hence the same contradiction.

In case iii), the lower ramification filtration of $H$ reads:
$H_{i_{0}} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p^{2} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \supset H_{i_{0}+1}=\cdots=H_{i_{0}+i_{1}} \simeq(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{2} \supset H_{i_{0}+i_{1}+1}=\cdots=H_{i_{0}+i_{1}+i_{2}} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \supset\{0\}$.
Keeping the same notation as above and introducing $H^{\nu_{2}}=H^{\varphi\left(i_{0}+i_{1}+i_{2}\right)}=H_{i_{0}+i_{1}+i_{2}}$, the upper ramification filtration reads:
$H^{\nu_{0}} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p^{2} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \supset H^{\nu_{0}+1}=\cdots=H^{\nu_{1}} \simeq(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{2} \supset H^{\nu_{1}+1}=\cdots=H^{\nu_{2}} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \supset H^{\nu_{2}+1}=\{0\}$
with $\nu_{0}=\varphi\left(i_{0}\right)=i_{0}, \nu_{1}=\varphi\left(i_{0}+i_{1}\right)=i_{0}+\frac{i_{1}}{p}$ and $\nu_{2}=\varphi\left(i_{0}+i_{1}+i_{2}\right)=i_{0}+\frac{i_{1}}{p}+\frac{i_{2}}{p^{2}}$. Since $H^{p \nu_{0}} \supset$ $\left(H^{\nu_{0}}\right)^{p} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$, we obtain: $H^{p \nu_{0}} \supset H^{\nu_{2}}$. Then, $p \nu_{0} \leq \nu_{2}$, which involves $p^{2}(p-1) i_{0} \leq i_{1} p+i_{2}$. With such inequalities, the Hurwitz genus formula gives a new lower bound for the genus, namely:
$2 g=\left(i_{0}-1\right)(|H|-1)+i_{1}\left(\left|H_{i_{0}+1}\right|-1\right)+i_{2}\left(\left|H_{i_{0}+i_{1}+1}\right|-1\right) \geq(p-1)\left(p^{s}\left(p^{2}+p+1\right)+\left(p^{s}+1\right)(p-1) p^{2}\right)$
From $2 g \geq(p-1)\left(p^{3+s}+p^{1+s}+p^{s}+p^{3}-p^{2}\right) \geq(p-1) p^{s}\left(p^{3}+p\right)$, we infer the inequality:

$$
\frac{|G|}{g^{2}} \leq \frac{4}{\left(p^{2}-1\right)^{2}} \frac{p^{2 s+3}(p+1)^{2}}{p^{2 s}\left(p^{3}+p\right)^{2}}=\frac{4}{\left(p^{2}-1\right)^{2}} \frac{p(p+1)^{2}}{\left(p^{2}+1\right)^{2}}
$$

Since $\frac{p(p+1)^{2}}{\left(p^{2}+1\right)^{2}}<1$ for $p \geq 2$, this contradicts condition (3).
In case iv), the lower ramification filtration of $H$ :

$$
H_{i_{0}} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p^{2} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \supset H_{i_{0}+1}=\cdots=H_{i_{0}+i_{1}} \simeq\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{2} \mathbb{Z}\right) \supset H_{i_{0}+i_{1}+1}=\cdots=H_{i_{0}+i_{1}+i_{2}} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \supset\{0\}
$$

induces the following upper ramification filtration:
$H^{\nu_{0}} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p^{2} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \supset H^{\nu_{0}+1}=\cdots=H^{\nu_{1}} \simeq\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{2} \mathbb{Z}\right) \supset H^{\nu_{1}+1}=\cdots=H^{\nu_{2}} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \supset H^{\nu_{2}+1}=\{0\}$.
This is almost the same situation as in case iii), except that $H_{i_{0}+1}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / p^{2} \mathbb{Z}$ instead of $(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{2}$. But, since the only thing that plays a part in the proof is the order of $H_{i_{0}+1}$, which is the same in both cases, namely $p^{2}$, we conclude with the same arguments as in case iii).

Remark 3.4. The previous method based on the analysis of the ramification filtration of $G_{2}$ fails to exclude the case $G_{2} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p^{2} \mathbb{Z}$ for a big action satisfying (3). Indeed, if $H:=G_{2} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p^{2} \mathbb{Z}$, the lower ramification filtration of $H$ :

$$
H_{0}=\cdots=H_{i_{0}} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p^{2} \mathbb{Z} \supset H_{i_{0}+1}=\cdots H_{i_{0}+i_{1}} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \supset H_{i_{0}+i_{1}+1}=\{0\}
$$

induces the upper ramification filtration:

$$
H^{0}=\cdots=H^{\nu_{0}} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p^{2} \mathbb{Z} \supset H^{\nu_{0}+1}=\cdots=H^{\nu_{1}} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \supset H^{\nu_{1}+1}=\{0\}
$$

with $\nu_{0}=\varphi\left(i_{0}\right)=i_{0}$ and $\nu_{1}=\varphi\left(i_{0}+i_{1}\right)=i_{0}+\frac{i_{1}}{p}$. Since $H^{p \nu_{0}} \supset\left(H^{\nu_{0}}\right)^{p} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$, we obtain: $p \nu_{0} \leq \nu_{1}$, hence $i_{1} \geq(p-1) p i_{0}$. Let $s$ be the integer defined in Remark 2.7. Then, the Hurwitz genus formula yields:

$$
2 g=\left(i_{0}-1\right)(|H|-1)+i_{1}\left(\left|H_{i_{0}+1}\right|-1\right) \geq(p-1)\left(p^{s}\left(p^{2}+1\right)+p^{2}-p\right) \geq(p-1) p^{s}\left(p^{2}+1\right)
$$

If we denote by $v$ the dimension of the $\mathbb{F}_{p}$-vector space $V$, we eventually get:

$$
\frac{|G|}{g^{2}} \leq \frac{4}{\left(p^{2}-1\right)^{2}} \frac{p^{2+v}(p+1)^{2}}{p^{2 s}\left(p^{2}+1\right)^{2}}
$$

In this case, condition (3) requires $p^{1+\frac{v}{2}-s}(p+1)>p^{2}$. Since $\frac{v}{2} \leq s$, this implies $p+1>p^{1+s-\frac{v}{2}} \geq p$, hence $\frac{v}{2}=s$. This means that $V=Z\left(A d_{f}\right)$, where $f$ is the function defined in Remark 2.7 and $A d_{f}$ its palindromic polynomial as defined in Proposition 2.5. Therefore, one does not obtain yet any contradiction.

Accordingly, to exclude the cyclic cases $G_{2} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p^{2} \mathbb{Z}$ and $G_{2} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p^{3} \mathbb{Z}$ and thus complete the proof of Proposition 3.3, we need to shift from a ramification point of view on $G_{2}$ to the embedding problem: $G_{2} \subsetneq G_{1}$. This enables us to prove the more general result on big actions formulated in the next part.

## 4 Big actions with a cyclic second ramification group $G_{2}$.

The aim of this section is to prove that there does not exist any big action whose second ramification group $G_{2}$ is cyclic, except for the trivial case $G_{2} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $(C, G)$ be a big action. If $G_{2} \simeq\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{n} \mathbb{Z}\right)$, then $n=1$.

## Proof:

Let $(C, G)$ be a big action with $G_{2} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p^{n} \mathbb{Z}$.

1. First of all, we prove that we can assume $n=2$.

Indeed, for $n>2, \mathcal{H}:=G_{2}^{p^{n-2}}$ is a normal subgroup in $G$, strictly included in $G_{2}$. So Lemma 2.4 asserts that the pair $(C / \mathcal{H}, G / \mathcal{H})$ is a big action. Besides, the second lower ramification group of $G / \mathcal{H}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / p^{2} \mathbb{Z}$.
2. Notation and preliminary remarks.

We denote by $L:=k(C)$ the function field of $C$ and by $k(X):=L^{G_{2}}$ the subfield of $L$ fixed by $G_{2}$. Following Artin-Schreier-Witt theory (see Bo83 Chap. IX, ex. 19), we define the $W_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$-module

$$
\tilde{A}:=\frac{\wp\left(W_{2}(L)\right) \cap W_{2}(k(X))}{\wp\left(W_{2}(k(X))\right)}
$$

where $W_{2}(L)$ denotes the ring of Witt vectors of length 2 with coordinates in $L$. The inclusion $k[X] \subset k(X)$ induces an injection

$$
A:=\frac{\wp\left(W_{2}(L)\right) \cap W_{2}(k[X])}{\wp\left(W_{2}(k[X])\right)} \hookrightarrow \tilde{A}
$$

Since $L / L^{G_{2}}$ is étale outside $X=\infty$, it follows from Mi80 (III, 4.12) that we can identify $A$ with $\tilde{A}$. Consider the Artin-Schreier-Witt pairing:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
G_{2} \times A \longrightarrow W_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right) \\
(g, \overline{\wp x}) \longrightarrow[g, \overline{\wp x}>:=g x-x
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $g \in G_{2} \subset \operatorname{Aut}_{k}(L), x \in L$ such that $\wp x \in k[X]$ and $\overline{\wp x}$ denotes the class of $\wp x \bmod$ $\wp(k[X])$. This pairing is non degenerate, which proves that, as a group, $A$ is dual to $G_{2}$.

As a $\mathbb{Z}$-module, $A$ is generated by $\left(f_{0}(X), g_{0}(X)\right)$ in $W_{2}(k[X])$ and then, $L=k\left(X, W_{0}, V_{0}\right)$ with $\wp\left(W_{0}, V_{0}\right)=\left(f_{0}(X), g_{0}(X)\right)$. An exercise left to the reader shows that one can choose $f_{0}(X)$ and $g_{0}(X)$ reduced $\bmod \wp(k[X])$ (see definition of a reduced polynomial in section 1 ). We denote by $m_{0}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.n_{0}\right)$ the degree of $f_{0}$ (resp. $g_{0}$ ). Note that they are prime to $p$. The $p$-cyclic cover $L^{G_{2}^{p}} / L^{G_{2}}$ is parametrized by: $W_{0}^{p}-W_{0}=f_{0}(X)$. We deduce from Proposition 2.5 that $f_{0}(X)=X S(X)+c X$, where $S$ is an additive polynomial with degree $s \geq 1$ in $F$. After an homothety on $X$, we can assume $S$ to be monic. Furthermore, note that $s \geq 2$. Indeed, if $s=1$, the two inequalities established in Remark 3.4: $|G| \leq p^{2+2 s} \leq p^{4}$ and $2 g \geq(p-1)\left(p^{s}\left(p^{2}+1\right)+p^{2}-p\right)=(p-1)\left(p^{3}+p^{2}\right)$ imply $\frac{|G|}{g} \leq \frac{2 p}{p-1} \frac{p^{3}}{p^{3}+p^{2}}<\frac{2 p}{p-1}$, which contradicts (11).
3. The embedding problem.

For any $y \in V$, the class of $\left(f_{0}(X+y), g_{0}(X+y)\right)$ in $A$ induces a new generating system of $A$, which means that :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{Z}\left(f_{0}(X), g_{0}(X)\right)=\mathbb{Z}\left(f_{0}(X+y), g_{0}(X+y)\right) \quad \bmod \wp\left(W_{2}(k[X])\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $A$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / p^{2} \mathbb{Z}$, (5) ensures the existence of an integer $n(y)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{0}(X+y), g_{0}(X+y)\right)=n(y)\left(f_{0}(X), g_{0}(X)\right) \quad \bmod \wp\left(W_{2}(k[X])\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n(y):=a_{0}(y)+b_{0}(y) p$, with $a_{0}(y) \in \mathbb{N}, 0<a_{0}(y)<p$, and $b_{0}(y) \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq b_{0}(y)<p$. We calculate $n(y)\left(f_{0}(X), g_{0}(X)\right)=a_{0}(y)\left(f_{0}(X), g_{0}(X)\right)+b_{0}(y) p\left(f_{0}(X), g_{0}(X)\right)$. On the one hand, $a_{0}(y)\left(f_{0}(X), g_{0}(X)\right)=\left(a_{0}(y) f_{0}(X), a_{0}(y) g_{0}(X)+c\left(a_{0}(y)\right) f_{0}(X)\right)$, where $c\left(a_{0}(y)\right)$ is given by the recursive formula:

$$
\forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad c(i+1)=c(i)+\frac{1}{p}\left(1+i^{p}-(1+i)^{p}\right) \quad \bmod p
$$

On the other hand,

$$
b_{0}(y) p\left(f_{0}(X), g_{0}(X)\right)=b_{0}(y)\left(0, f_{0}(X)^{p}\right)=\left(0, b_{0}(y) f_{0}(X)\right) \quad \bmod \wp\left(W_{2}(k[X])\right)
$$

As a conclusion, (6) reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{0}(X+y), g_{0}(X+y)\right)=\left(a_{0}(y) f_{0}(X), a_{0}(y) g_{0}(X)+\ell_{0}(y) f_{0}(X)\right) \quad \bmod \wp\left(W_{2}(k[X])\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\ell_{0}(y):=c\left(a_{0}(y)\right)+b_{0}(y)$. We notice that, for all $y$ in $V, a_{0}(y)=1 \bmod p$. Indeed, the equality of the first coordinate of Witt vectors in (7) implies $f_{0}(X+y)=a_{0}(y) f_{0}(X)$ $\bmod \wp(k[X])$. Thus, by induction, $f_{0}(X+p y)=a_{0}(y)^{p} f_{0}(X) \bmod \wp(k[X])$. Since $V$ is an elementary abelian $p$-group, $f_{0}(X+p y)=f_{0}(X)$, which involves: $a_{0}(y)^{p}=1 \bmod p$ and $a_{0}(y)=1 \bmod p$. So, (7) becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{0}(X+y), g_{0}(X+y)\right)=\left(f_{0}(X), g_{0}(X)+\ell_{0}(y) f_{0}(X)\right)+\left(P^{p}(X), Q^{p}(X)\right)-(P(X), Q(X)) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $P(X)$ and $Q(X)$ polynomials of $k[X]$. In order to circumvent the problem related to the special formula giving the opposite of Witt vectors for $p=2$, we would rather write (8) as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{0}(X+y), g_{0}(X+y)\right)+(P(X), Q(X))=\left(f_{0}(X), g_{0}(X)+\ell_{0}(y) f_{0}(X)\right)+\left(P(X)^{p}, Q(X)^{p}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first coordinate of (9) reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}(X+y)+P(X)=f_{0}(X)+P(X)^{p} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the second coordinate of (9), the addition law in the ring of Witt vectors gives the following equality in $k[X]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{0}(X+y)+Q(X)+\psi\left(f_{0}(X+y), P(X)\right)=g_{0}(X)+\ell_{0}(y) f_{0}(X)+Q(X)^{p}+\psi\left(f_{0}(X), P(X)^{p}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi$ is defined as follows:

$$
\psi(a, b):=\frac{1}{p}\left(a^{p}+b^{p}-(a+b)^{p}\right)=\frac{-1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{p-1}\binom{p}{i} a^{i} b^{p-i}=\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^{i}}{i} a^{i} b^{p-i} \quad \bmod p
$$

As a consequence, (11) gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{y}\left(g_{0}\right):=g_{0}(X+y)-g_{0}(X)=\ell_{0}(y) f_{0}(X)+\delta \quad \bmod \wp(k[X]) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta & :=\psi\left(f_{0}(X), P(X)^{p}\right)-\psi\left(f_{0}(X+y), P(X)\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^{i}}{i}\left\{f_{0}(X)^{i} P(X)^{p(p-i)}-f_{0}(X+y)^{i} P(X)^{p-i}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 4.2. With the notation defined above, $\delta$ is equal to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^{i}}{i} y^{p-i} X^{i+p^{s+1}}+\text { lower degree terms in } X \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: We search for the monomials in $\delta$ that have degree in $X$ greater or equal to $p^{s+1}+1$. We first focus on $f_{0}(X)^{i} P(X)^{p(p-i)}$. We can infer from equality (10) that $P(X)$ has degree $p^{s-1}$ and that its leading coefficient is $y^{1 / p}$. Furthermore, LM05 (proof of Prop. 8-1) shows that $P(X)-P(0)$ is an additive polynomial. So, we can write: $P(X)=y^{1 / p} X^{p^{s-1}}+P_{1}(X)$, where $P_{1}(X)$ is a polynomial of $k[X]$ with degree at most $p^{s-2}$. Then, for all $i$ in $\{1, \cdots, p-1\}$, $f_{0}(X)^{i} P(X)^{p(p-i)}=f_{0}(X)^{i}\left(y X^{p^{s}}+P_{1}(X)^{p}\right)^{p-i}=f_{0}(X)^{i}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{p-i}\binom{p-i}{j} y^{j} X^{j p^{s}} P_{1}(X)^{p(p-i-j)}\right)$. Since $f_{0}(X)$ has degree: $1+p^{s}$, this gives in $\delta$ a monomial of degree at most: $i\left(1+p^{s}\right)+$ $j p^{s}+p(p-i-j) p^{s-2}=p^{s}+(i+j)(p-1) p^{s-1}+i$. If $j \leq p-i-1$, this degree is at most: $p^{s}+(p-1)^{2} p^{s-1}+i=(p-1) p^{s}+p^{s-1}+i$, which is strictly lower than $p^{s+1}+1$, for $s \geq 2$ and $1 \leq$ $i \leq p-1$. As a consequence, the monomials of degree greater or equal to $p^{s+1}+1$ can only occur when the index $j$ is equal to $p-i$, namely in $f_{0}(X)^{i} y^{p-i} X^{p^{s}(p-i)}$. As $f_{0}(X)=X S(X)+c X$, where $S$ is a monic additive polynomial of degree $s$ in $F, f_{0}$ reads: $f_{0}(X)=X^{1+p^{s}}+P_{2}(X)$ where $P_{2}(X)$ is a polynomial in $k[X]$ with degree at most $1+p^{s-1}$. Then, for all $i$ in $\{1, \cdots, p-1\}, f_{0}(X)^{i} y^{p-i} X^{p^{s}(p-i)}=y^{p-i} X^{p^{s}(p-i)}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{i}\binom{i}{k} X^{\left(1+p^{s}\right) j} P_{2}(X)^{i-k}\right)$. Accordingly, we get a monomial of degree at most: $p^{s}(p-i)+k\left(1+p^{s}\right)+(i-k)\left(1+p^{s-1}\right)=$ $p^{s}(p-i)+i\left(1+p^{s-1}\right)+k\left(p^{s}-p^{s-1}\right)$. When $0 \leq k \leq i-1$, the maximal degree obtained in this way is $i+p^{s-1}-p^{s}+p^{s+1}$ which is stricly lower than $p^{s+1}+1$. Therefore, for all $i$ in $\{1, \cdots, p-1\}$, the only contibution to take into account is $k=i$, which produces in $\delta$ the sum: $\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^{i}}{i} y^{p-i} X^{i+p^{s+1}}$.
We now search for monomials with degree greater or equal to $p^{s+1}+1$ in the second part of $\delta$, namely: $f_{0}(X+y)^{i} P(X)^{p-i}$. This has degree at most: $i\left(1+p^{s}\right)+(p-i) p^{s-1}=$ $i p^{s}+(p-i) p^{s-1}+i$, which is strictly lower than $p^{s+1}+1$, for $s \geq 2$ and $1 \leq i \leq p-1$. Therefore, $f_{0}(X+y)^{i} P(X)^{p-i}$ does not give any monomial in $\delta$ with degree greater or equal to $p^{s+1}+1$. Thus, we get the expected formula.
4. We notice that $g_{0}(X)$ cannot be of the form $X \Sigma(X)+\gamma X$, with $\Sigma \in k\{F\}$ and $\gamma \in k$.

Otherwise, the left-hand side of (12) reads: $\Delta_{y}\left(g_{0}\right):=g_{0}(X+y)-g_{0}(X)=X \Sigma(y)+y \Sigma(X)+$ $y \Sigma(y)+\gamma y$, which only gives a linear contribution in $X$ after reduction $\bmod \wp(k[X])$. By Lemma 4.2, $\operatorname{deg} f_{0}=1+p^{s}<\operatorname{deg} \delta=p^{s+1}+p-1$, which involves that the degree of the right-hand side of (12) is $p-1+p^{s+1}>1$, hence a contradiction.
Therefore, we can define an integer $a \leq n_{0}=\operatorname{deg} g_{0}$ such that $X^{a}$ is the monomial of $g_{0}(X)$ with highest degree which is not of the form $1+p^{n}$, with $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that since $g_{0}$ is reduced $\bmod \wp(k[X]), a \not \equiv 0 \bmod p$. We also notice that the monomials in $g_{0}(X)$ with degree strictly greater than $a$ are of the form $X^{1+p^{n}}$, and so, as explained above, they only give linear monomials in $\Delta_{y}\left(g_{0}\right) \bmod \wp(k[X])$. Therefore, after reduction $\bmod \wp(k[X])$, the degree of the left-hand side of (12) is at most $a-1$. Since the degree of the right-hand side is $p^{s+1}+p-1$, it follows that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a-1 \geq p^{s+1}+p-1 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

5. We show that $p$ divides $a-1$.

Assume that $p$ does not divide $a-1$. In this case, the monomial $X^{a-1}$ is reduced $\bmod \wp(k[X])$. Since the monomials of $g_{0}(X)$ with degree strictly greater than $a$ only give a linear contribution in $\Delta_{y}\left(g_{0}\right) \bmod \wp(k[X])$, 12) reads as follows, for all $y$ in $V$ :

$$
c_{a}\left(g_{0}\right) a y X^{a-1}+\text { lower degree terms }=-y X^{p^{s+1}+p-1}+\text { lower degree terms } \bmod \wp(k[X])
$$

where $c_{a}\left(g_{0}\right) \neq 0$ denotes the coefficient of $X^{a}$ in $g_{0}$. If $a-1>p^{s+1}+p-1$, the coefficient $c_{a}\left(g_{0}\right) a y=0$, for all $y$ in V. Since $a \neq 0 \bmod p$, it leads to $V=\{0\}$, so $G_{1}=G_{2}$, which is
impossible for a big action (see Proposition 2.2.1). We gather from (14) that $a-1=p^{s+1}+p-1$, which contradicts: $a \neq 0 \bmod p$.
Thus, $p$ divides $a-1$. So, we can write $a=1+\lambda p^{t}$, with $t>0, \lambda$ prime to $p$ and $\lambda \geq 2$ because of the definition of $a$. We also define $j_{0}:=a-p^{t}=1+(\lambda-1) p^{t}$. Note that $p j_{0}>a$. Indeed,

$$
p j_{0} \leq a \Leftrightarrow p\left(1+(\lambda-1) p^{t}\right) \leq 1+\lambda p^{t} \Leftrightarrow \lambda \leq \frac{1-p+p^{t+1}}{p^{t}(p-1)}=\frac{-1}{p^{t}}+\frac{p}{p-1}<\frac{p}{p-1} \leq 2
$$

which is impossible since $\lambda \geq 2$.
6. We determine the coefficient of $X^{j_{0}}$ in the left hand-side of (12).

Since $p$ does not divide $j_{0}$, the monomial $X^{j_{0}}$ is reduced $\bmod \wp(k[X])$. In the left-hand side of (12), namely $\Delta_{y}\left(g_{0}\right) \bmod \wp(k[X])$, the monomial $X^{j_{0}}$ comes from monomials of $g_{0}(X)$ of the form: $X^{b}$, with $b$ in $\left\{j_{0}+1, \cdots, a\right\}$. As a matter of fact, the monomials of $g_{0}(X)$ with degree strictly greater than $a$ only give a linear contribution $\bmod \wp(k[X])$, whereas $j_{0}=1+(\lambda-1) p^{t}>1$. For all $b \in\left\{j_{0}+1, \cdots, a\right\}$, the monomial $X^{b}$ of $g_{0}(X)$ generates $\binom{b}{j_{0}} y^{b-j_{0}} X^{j_{0}}$ in $\Delta_{y}\left(g_{0}\right)$. Since $p j_{0}>a \geq b$ (see above), these monomials $X^{b}$ do not produce any $X^{j_{0} p^{n}}$, with $n \geq 1$, which would also give $X^{j_{0}}$ after reduction mod $\wp(k[X])$. It follows that the coefficient of $X^{j_{0}}$ in the left-hand side of (12) is $T(y)$ with $T(Y):=\sum_{b=j_{0}+1}^{a} c_{b}\left(g_{0}\right)\binom{b}{j_{0}} Y^{b-j_{0}}$, where $c_{b}\left(g_{0}\right)$ denotes the coefficient of $X^{b}$ in $g_{0}(X)$. As the coefficient of $Y^{a-j_{0}}$ in $T(Y)$ is $c_{a}\left(g_{0}\right)\binom{a}{j_{0}}=c_{a}\left(g_{0}\right)\binom{1+\lambda p^{t}}{1+(\lambda-1) p^{t}} \equiv c_{a}\left(g_{0}\right) \lambda \not \equiv 0 \bmod p$, the polynomial $T(Y)$ has degree $a-j_{0}=p^{t}$.
7. We identify with the coefficient of $X^{j_{0}}$ in the right-hand side of (12) and gather a contradiction. We first assume that the monomial $X^{j_{0}}$ does not occur in the right-hand side of (12). Then, $T(y)=0$ for all $y$ in $V$, which means that $V$ is included in the set of roots of $T$. Thus, $|V| \leq p^{t}$. To compute the genus $g$, put $M_{0}:=m_{0}$ and $M_{1}:=\max \left\{p m_{0}, n_{0}\right\}$. Then, by Ga9g], the Hurwitz genus formula applied to $C \rightarrow C / G_{2} \simeq \mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}$ yields: $2(g-1)=2\left|G_{2}\right|\left(g_{C / G_{2}}-1\right)+d=$ $-2 p^{2}+d$, with $d:=(p-1)\left(M_{0}+1\right)+p(p-1)\left(M_{1}+1\right)$. From $p m_{0}=p\left(p^{s}+1\right)=p^{s+1}+p$ and $p^{s+1}+p-1<n_{0}$, we infer $M_{1}=n_{0}$. Moreover, since $n_{0} \geq a=1+\lambda p^{t} \geq 1+2 p^{t}>2 p^{t}$, we obtain a lower bound for the genus: $2 g=(p-1) p\left(n_{0}-1+p^{s-1}\right) \geq 2 p^{t+1}(p-1)$. As $|G|=\left|G_{2}\right||V| \leq p^{2+t}$, it entails an inequality $\frac{|G|}{g} \leq \frac{2 p}{p-1} \frac{p^{1+t}}{2 p^{1+t}}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{2 p}{p-1}$ which contradicts (11). As a consequence, the monomial $X^{j_{0}}$ appears in the right-hand side of (12), which implies that $j_{0} \leq p^{s+1}+p-1$. Using (14), we get: $j_{0}=1+(\lambda-1) p^{t} \leq p^{s+1}+p-1<a=1+\lambda p^{t}$. This yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda-1 \leq p^{s+1-t}+\frac{p-2}{p^{t}}<\lambda \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $s+1-t \leq-1$, since $t \geq 1$, (15) gives: $\lambda-1 \leq \frac{1}{p}+\frac{p-2}{p}<1$, which contradicts $\lambda \geq 2$. It follows that $s+1-t \geq 0$. Then, (15) combined with: $0 \leq \frac{p-2}{p^{t}}<1$ leads to $\lambda-1=p^{s+1-t}$. We gather that $j_{0}=1+(\lambda-1) p^{t}=1+p^{s+1}>\operatorname{deg} f_{0}=1+p^{s}$. Therefore, in the right-hand side of (12), the monomial $X^{j_{0}}=X^{1+p^{s+1}}$ only occurs in $\delta$. By Lemma 4.2, the coefficient of $X^{j_{0}}=X^{1+p^{s+1}}$ in $\delta$ is $-y^{p-1}$. By equating the coefficient of $X^{j_{0}}$ in each side of (12), we get $T(y)=-{ }_{\tilde{\sim}} y^{p-1}$, for all $y$ in $V$. Put $\tilde{T}(Y):=T(Y)+Y^{p-1}$. Since $\operatorname{deg} T=p^{t}>p-1$, the polynomial $\tilde{T}$ has still degree $p^{t}$ and satisfies: $\tilde{T}(y)=0$ for all $y$ in $V$. Once again, it leads to $|V| \leq p^{t}$, which contradicts (1) as above.

Therefore, when $(C, G)$ is a big action, $G_{2} \simeq\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{n} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ implies $n=1$. More generally, if $G_{2}$ is abelian of exponent $p^{n}$, with $n \geq 2$, there exists an index $p$-subgroup of $G_{2}^{p}$, say $H$, normal in $G$ such that the pair $(C / H, G / H)$ is a big action with $(G / H)_{2}=G_{2} / H \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p^{2} \mathbb{Z} \times(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{t}$, with $t \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$. A natural question is to search for a lower bound on the $p$-rank: $t$ depending on the genus $g$ of the curve. As seen in the proof of Theorem 4.1, the difficulty lies in the embedding problem, i.e. in finding an extension which is stable under the translations by $V$. In the next section, we exhibit big actions with $G_{2}$ abelian of exponent at least $p^{2}$. In particular, we construct big actions $(C, G)$ with $G_{2} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p^{2} \mathbb{Z} \times(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{t}$ where $t=O\left(\log _{p} g\right)$.

## 5 Examples of big actions with $G_{2}$ abelian of exponent strictly greater than $p$.

In characteristic 0 , an anologue of big actions is given by the actions of a finite group $G$ on a compact Riemann surface $C$ with genus $g_{C} \geq 2$ such that $|G|=84\left(g_{C}-1\right)$. Such a curve $C$ is called a Hurwitz curve and such a group $G$ a Hurwitz group (cf. Co90). In particular, the lowest genus Hurwitz curves are the Klein's quartic with $G \simeq P S L_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{7}\right)$ (cf. El99) and the Fricke-Macbeath curve with genus 7 and $G \simeq P S L_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{8}\right)$ (cf. Mc65).

Let $C$ be a Hurwitz curve with genus $g_{c}$. Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer and let $C_{n}$ be the maximal unramified Galois cover whose group is abelian, with exponent $n$. The Galois group of the cover $C_{n} / C$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{2 g_{C}}$. We infer from the unicity of $C_{n}$ that the $\mathbb{C}$-automorphims of $C$ have $n^{2 g_{c}}$ prolongations to $C_{n}$. Therefore, $g_{C_{n}}-1=n^{2 g}\left(g_{C}-1\right)$. Consequently, $C_{n}$ is still a Hurwitz curve (see Mc61).

Now, let $(C, G)$ be a big action. Then $C \rightarrow C / G$ is an étale cover of the affine line whose group is a $p$-group. From the Deuring-Shafarevich formula (see e.g. Bou00), it follows that the Hasse-Witt invariant of $C$ is zero. This means that there are no nontrivial connected étale Galois covers of $C$ with group a $p$-group. Therefore, if we want to generalize the method mentionned above to produce Galois covers of $C$ corresponding to big actions, it is necessary to introduce ramification. A means to do so is to consider ray class fields of function fields, as studied by K. Lauter [Lau99] and R. Auer Au99. Since the cover $C \rightarrow C / G_{2}$ is an étale cover of the affine line Spec $k[X]$ totally ramified at $\infty$, we focus on the special case of ray class fields of the rational function field $\mathbb{F}_{q}(X)$, where $q=p^{e}$ (see Au99, III.8). Such ray class fields allows us to produce families of big actions ( $C, G$ ) (where $C$ is defined over $k=\mathbb{F}_{p}^{\text {alg }}$ ) with specific conditions imposed on ramification and endowed with an abelian $G_{2}$ of exponent as large as we want.

Definition 5.1. (Au9g, Part II) Let $K$ be the rational function field: $\mathbb{F}_{q}(X)$, with $q=p^{e}$ and $e \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$. Let $S$ be the set of all finite rational places, namely $\left\{(X-y), y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\right\}$. Let $m \geq 0$ be an integer. Fix $K^{a l g}$ an algebraic closure of $K$ in which all extensions of $K$ are assumed to lie. We define $K_{S}^{m} \subset K^{a l g}$ as the largest abelian extension $L / K$ with conductor $\leq m \infty$, such that every place in $S$ splits completely in $L$.

Remark 5.2. 1. We define the splitting set of any finite Galois extension $L / K$, denoted by $S(L)$, as the set consisting of the places of $K$ that split completely in $L$. If $K_{S}^{m} / K$ is the extension defined in Definition 5.1, then $S \subset S\left(K_{S}^{m}\right)$.
2. In what follows, we only consider finite Galois extensions $L / K$ that are unramified outside $X=\infty$ and (totally) ramified at $X=\infty$. Therefore, the support of the conductor of $L / K$ is reduced to the place $\infty$. So, we systematically confuse the conductor $m \infty$ with its degree $m$.
3. We could more generally define $K_{S}^{m}$ for $S$ a non-empty subset of the finite rational places, i.e. $S:=\left\{(X-y), y \in V \subset \mathbb{F}_{q}\right\}$. However, to get big actions, it is necessary to consider the case where $V$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. In what follows, we focus on the case $V=\mathbb{F}_{q}$, as announced in Definition 5.1.

Remark 5.3. We keep the notation of Definition 5.1.

1. The existence of the extension $K_{S}^{m} / K$ is based on global class field theory (see Au99], Part II).
2. $K_{S}^{m} / K$ is a finite abelian extension whose full constant field is $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.
3. The reason for Lauter and Auer's interest in such ray class fields is that they provide for examples of global function fields with many rational places, or what amounts to the same, of algebraic curves with many rational points. Indeed, let $C(m) / \mathbb{F}_{q}$ be the nonsingular projective curve with function field $K_{S}^{m}$. If we denote by $N_{m}:=\left|C(m)\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right|$ the number of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-rational points on the curve $C(m)$, then: $N_{m}=1+q\left[K_{S}^{m}: K\right]$. The main difficulty lies in computing $\left[K_{S}^{m}: K\right]$. We first wonder when $K_{S}^{m}$ coincide with $K$. Here are partial answers.
4. Let $q=p^{e}$, with $e \in \mathbb{N}$. If $e$ is even, put $r:=\sqrt{q}$ and if $e$ is odd, put $r:=\sqrt{q p}$. Then, for all $i$ in $\{0, \cdots, r+1\}, K_{S}^{i}=K=\mathbb{F}_{q}(X)$. (see Au9す], III, Lemma 8.7 and formula (13)). Note that the previous estimate $N_{m}=1+q\left[K_{S}^{m}: K\right]$, combined with the Hasse-Weil bound (see e.g. St93 V.2.3), furnishes another proof of $K_{S}^{i}=K$ when $i<1+r$.
5. More generally, Lauter displays a method to compute the degree of the extension $K_{S}^{m} / K$ via a formula giving the order of its Galois group: $G_{S}(m)$ (see Lau9G], Thm. 1). Her proof consists in starting from the following presentation of $G_{S}(m)$ :

$$
G_{S}(m) \simeq \frac{1+Z \mathbb{F}_{q}[[Z]]}{<1+Z^{m} \mathbb{F}_{q}[[Z]], 1-y Z, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}>}
$$

where $Z=X^{-1}$, which indicates that $G_{S}(m)$ is an abelian finite p-group. Then, she transforms the multiplicative structure of the group into an additive group of generalized Witt vectors. In particular, she deduces from this theorem the smallest conductor $m$ such that $G_{S}(m)$ has exponent stricly greater than $p$ (see next proposition).

Proposition 5.4. (Lau99], Prop. 4) We keep the notation defined above. If $q=p^{e}$, the smallest conductor $m$ for which the group $G_{S}(m)$ is not of exponent $p$ is $m_{2}:=p^{\lceil e / 2\rceil+1}+p+1$, where $\lceil e / 2\rceil$ denotes the upper integer part of e/2.

We now emphasize the link with big actions. Let $F$ be a function field with full constant field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Let $C / \mathbb{F}_{q}$ be the smooth projective curve whose function field is $F$ and $C^{\text {alg }}:=C \times_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} k$ with $k=\mathbb{F}_{p}^{a l g}$. If $G$ is a finite $p$-subgroup of $A u t_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} C$, then $G$ can be identified with a subgroup of $A u t_{k} C^{a l g}$. In this case, $\left(C^{\text {alg }}, G\right)$ is a big action if and only if $g_{C^{\text {alg }}}=g_{C}>0$ and $\frac{|G|}{g_{C}}>\frac{2 p}{p-1}$. For convenience, in the sequel, we will say that $(C, G)$ is a big action if $\left(C^{a l g}, G\right)$ is a big action.

In what follows, we consider the curve $C(m) / \mathbb{F}_{q}$ whose function field is $K_{S}^{m}$ and, starting from this, we construct a $p$-group $G(m)$ acting on $C(m)$ by extending the translations $X \rightarrow X+y$, with $y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$. In particular, we obtain an upper bound for the genus of $C(m)$, which allows us to circumvent the problem related to the computation of the degree $\left[K_{S}^{m}: K\right]$ when checking whether $(C(m), G(m))$ is a big action.

Proposition 5.5. We keep the notation defined above.

1. Let $C(m) / \mathbb{F}_{q}$ be the nonsingular projective curve with function field $K_{S}^{m}$. Then, the group of translations: $X \rightarrow X+y, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, extends to a p-group of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-automorphisms of $C(m)$, say $G(m)$, with the following exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow G_{S}(m) \longrightarrow G(m) \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q} \longrightarrow 0
$$

2. Let $L$ be an intermediate field of $K_{S}^{m} / K$. Assume $L=\left(K_{S}^{m}\right)^{H}$, i.e. the extension $L / K$ is Galois with group: $G_{S}(m) / H$. For all $i \geq 0$, we define $L^{i}$ as the $i$-th upper ramification field of $L$, i.e. the subfield of $L$ fixed by the $i$-th upper ramification group of $G_{S}(m) / H$ at $\infty$ : $G_{S}^{i}(m) H / H$, where $G_{S}^{i}(m)$ denotes the $i$-th upper ramification group of $G_{S}(m)$ at $\infty$. Then,

$$
\forall i \geq 0, \quad L^{i}=L \cap K_{S}^{i}
$$

In particular, when $L=K_{S}^{m}$ and $i \leq m, L^{i}=K_{S}^{i}$, i.e. $G_{S}^{i}(m)=G a l\left(K_{S}^{m} / K_{S}^{i}\right)$.
3. Let $L$ be an intermediate field of $K_{S}^{m} / K$. Define $n:=\min \left\{i \in \mathbb{N}, L \subset K_{S}^{i}\right\}$. Then, the genus of the extension $L / K$ is given by the formula:

$$
g_{L}=1+[L: K]\left(-1+\frac{n}{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left[L \cap K_{S}^{j}: K\right]
$$

where the sum is empty for $n=0$.
In particular, $g_{L}=0$ if and only if $n:=\min \left\{i \in \mathbb{N}, L \subset K_{S}^{i}\right\}=0$.
Note that if $n>0$, then $g_{L}<[L: K]\left(-1+\frac{n}{2}\right)$.
4. If $m \geq r+2, \frac{|G(m)|}{g_{K}^{m}}>\frac{q}{-1+\frac{m}{2}}$. It follows that if $\frac{q}{-1+\frac{m}{2}} \geq \frac{2 p}{p-1}$, the pair $(C(m), G(m))$ is a big action. In this case, the second lower ramification group of $G(m): G_{2}(m)$, is equal to $G_{S}(m)$. In particular, for $p>2$, (resp. $p=2$ ), if $e \geq 4$ (resp. $e \geq 6$ ) and if $m_{2}$ is the integer defined in Proposition 5.4, the pair $\left(C\left(m_{2}\right), G\left(m_{2}\right)\right)$ is a big action whose second ramification group: $G_{S}\left(m_{2}\right)$, is abelian of exponent $p^{2}$.

## Proof:

1. The set $S$ is globally invariant under the translations: $X \rightarrow X+y, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$. That is the same for $\infty$, so the translations by $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ do not change the conditions imposed on ramification. As a consequence, owing to the maximality and the unicity of $K_{S}^{m}$, they can be extended to $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-automorphisms of $K_{S}^{m}$. This proves the first assertion.
2. The second point directly derives from Au99 (II, Thm. 5.8).
3. The genus formula is obtained by combining the preceding results, the Hurwitz genus formula and the Discriminant formula (see Au99, I, 3.7). Now assume that $n=0$. Then, $L \subset$ $K_{S}^{0}=\mathbb{F}_{q}(X)$ and $g_{L}=0$. Conversely, assume $g_{L}=0$. If $n \neq 0$, Remark 5.3.4 implies that $n \geq r+2 \geq 3$. Using the preceding formula and Remark 5.3.4, $g_{L}=0$ reads:

$$
2+(n-2)[L: K]=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left[K_{S}^{j} \cap L: K\right]=2+\sum_{j=2}^{n-1}\left[K_{S}^{j} \cap L: K\right] \leq 2+(n-2)[L: K]
$$

It follows that, for all $j$ in $\{2, \cdots, n-1\}, K_{S}^{j} \cap L=L$. In particular, $L \subset K_{S}^{2}=K_{S}^{0}$, hence a contradiction. Finally, since $n>0$ implies $n \geq 3$ and since $K=K_{S}^{0}=K_{S}^{1}$, one notices that

$$
g_{L}=[L: K]\left(-1+\frac{n}{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=2}^{n-1}\left[L \cap K_{S}^{j}: K\right]<[L: K]\left(-1+\frac{n}{2}\right)
$$

4. Assume that $m \geq r+2$. We gather from Remark 5.3.4 that $n:=\min \left\{i \in \mathbb{N}, K_{S}^{m} \subset K_{S}^{i}\right\} \geq$ $r+2 \geq 3$. Then, it follows from the previous point that

$$
g_{K_{S}^{m}}<\left[K_{S}^{m}: K\right]\left(-1+\frac{n}{2}\right) \leq\left[K_{S}^{m}: K\right]\left(-1+\frac{m}{2}\right)
$$

As $|G(m)|=q\left[K_{S}^{m}: K\right]$, we deduce the expected inequality. In particular, when $\frac{q}{-1+\frac{m}{2}}>\frac{2 p}{p-1}$, the pair $(C(m), G(m))$ is a big action. It remains to show that, in this case, $G_{2}(m)^{2}$ is equal to $G_{S}(m)$. Lemma 2.4 first proves that $G_{S}(m) \supset G_{2}(m)$. Let $L:=\left(K_{S}^{m}\right)^{G_{2}(m)}$ be the subfield of $L$ fixed by $G_{2}(m)$ and define $n:=\min \left\{i \in \mathbb{N}, L \subset K_{S}^{i}\right\}$. Assume $G_{S}(m) \supsetneq G_{2}(m)$. Then $L \supsetneq\left(K_{S}^{m}\right)^{G_{S}(m)}=K$. We infer from Remark 5.3.4 that $n \geq r+2$, which proves, using the previous point, that $g_{L}>0$. But, since $(C(m), G(m))$ is a big action, $C / G_{2}(m) \simeq \mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}$, so $g_{L}=0$, hence a contradiction. We eventually explain the last statement. By Proposition 5.5.2, $G_{S}^{m_{2}-1}\left(m_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{S}^{m_{2}} / K_{S}^{m_{2}-1}\right)$, which induces the following exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow G_{S}^{m_{2}-1}\left(m_{2}\right) \longrightarrow G_{S}\left(m_{2}\right) \longrightarrow G_{S}\left(m_{2}-1\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

We infer from Proposition 5.4 that $G_{S}\left(m_{2}-1\right)$ has exponent $p$ whereas the exponent of $G_{S}\left(m_{2}\right)$ is at least $p^{2}$. It follows that $G_{S}^{m_{2}-1}\left(m_{2}\right)$ cannot be trivial. Since $G_{S}^{m_{2}}\left(m_{2}\right)=\{0\}$ (use Proposition 5.5.2), we deduce from the elementary properties of the ramification groups that $G_{S}^{m_{2}-1}\left(m_{2}\right)$ is $p$-elementary abelian. Therefore, $G_{S}\left(m_{2}\right)$ has exponent smaller than $p^{2}$ and the claim follows.

Remark 5.6. Let $N_{m}$ be the number of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-rational points on the curve $C(m)$ as defined in Remark 5.3.3. Then, $N_{m}=1+q\left|G_{S}(m)\right|=1+|G(m)|$. This highlights the equivalence of the two ratios: $\frac{|G(m)|}{g_{C(m)}}$ and $\frac{N_{m}}{g_{C(m)}}$. In particular, this equivalence emphasizes the link between the problem of big actions and the search of algebraic curves with many rational points.

As seen in Remark 5.3.4, $K_{S}^{i}=K$ for all $i$ in $\{0, \cdots, r+1\}$, where $r=\sqrt{q}$ or $\sqrt{q p}$ according to whether $q$ is a square or not. The following extensions $K_{S}^{m}$, for $m \geq r+2$, are partially parametrized, at least for the first ones, in Au99 (Prop. 8.9). In the table below, we exhibit a complete description of the extensions $K_{S}^{m}$ for $m$ varying from 0 to $m_{2}=p^{\lceil e / 2\rceil+1}+p+1$, in the special case $p=5$ and $e=4$. This involves $q=p^{e}=625, s=e / 2=2, r=p^{s}=25$ and $m_{2}=131$. The table below should suggest the general method to parametrize such extensions.

| conductor $m$ | $\left[K_{S}^{m}: K\right]$ | New equations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0 \leq m \leq r+1=26$ | 1 |  |
| $r+2=27 \leq m \leq 2 r+1=51$ | $5^{2}$ | $W_{0}^{r}+W_{0}=X^{1+r}$ |
| $m=2 r+2=52$ | $5^{6}$ | $W_{1}^{q}-W_{1}=X^{2 r}\left(X^{q}-X\right)$ |
| $2 r+3=53 \leq m \leq 3 r+1=76$ | $5^{8}$ | $W_{2}^{r}+W_{2}=X^{2(1+r)}$ |
| $m=3 r+2=77$ | $5^{12}$ | $W_{3}^{q}-W_{3}=X^{3 r}\left(X^{q}-X\right)$ |
| $m=3 r+3=78$ | $5^{16}$ | $W_{4}^{q}-W_{4}=X^{3 r}\left(X^{2 q}-X^{2}\right)$ |
| $3 r+4=79 \leq m \leq 4 r+1=101$ | $5^{18}$ | $W_{5}^{r}+W_{5}=X^{3(1+r)}$ |
| $m=4 r+2=102$ | $5^{22}$ | $W_{6}^{q}-W_{6}=X^{4 r}\left(X^{q}-X\right)$ |
| $m=4 r+3=103$ | $5^{26}$ | $W_{7}^{q}-W_{7}=X^{4 r}\left(X^{2 q}-X^{2}\right)$ |
| $m=4 r+4=104$ | $5^{30}$ | $W_{8}^{q}-W_{8}=X^{4 r}\left(X^{3 q}-X^{3}\right)$ |
| $4 r+5=105 \leq m \leq 5 r+1=126$ | $5^{32}$ | $W_{9}^{r}+W_{9}=X^{4(1+r)}$ |
| $m=5 r+2=127$ | $5^{36}$ | $W_{10}^{q}-W_{10}=X^{5 r}\left(X^{q}-X\right)$ |
| $m=5 r+3=128$ | $5^{40}$ | $W_{11}^{q}-W_{11}=X^{5 r}\left(X^{2 q}-X^{2}\right)$ |
| $m=5 r+4=129$ | $5^{44}$ | $W_{12}^{q}-W_{12}=X^{5 r}\left(X^{3 q}-X^{3}\right)$ |
| $m=5 r+5=130$ | $5^{48}$ | $W_{13}^{q}-W_{13}=X^{5 r}\left(X^{4 q}-X^{4}\right)$ |
| $m=m_{2}=131$ | $5^{50}$ | $\left[W_{0}, W_{14}^{r}+\left[W_{0}, W_{14}\right]=\left[X^{1+r}, 0\right]\right.$ |

In this case,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|G\left(m_{2}\right)\right|}{g_{K_{S}^{m_{2}}}} \simeq 9,6929 \ldots \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comments on the construction of the table: For all $i$ in $\{0, \cdots, 14\}$, put $L_{i}:=K\left(W_{0}, \cdots, W_{i}\right)$.

1. We first prove that the splitting set of each extension $K\left(W_{i}\right) / K$ (see Remark 5.2.1) contains $S$. Indeed, fix $y$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ and call $P_{y}$ the corresponding place in $S:(X-y)$. We have to distinguish three cases. By [St93] (Prop. VI. 4.1), $P_{y}$ completely splits in the extension $K(W) / K$, where $W^{r}+W=X^{u(1+r)}$, with $1 \leq u \leq 4$, if the polynomial $T^{r}+T-y^{u(1+r)}$ has a root in $K$, which is true since $y^{u(1+r)}=\left(F^{s}+I\right)\left(\frac{1}{2} y^{u(1+r)}\right)$. Likewise, $P_{y}$ completely splits in the extension $K(W) / K$, where $W^{q}-W=X^{u r}\left(X^{v q}-X^{v}\right)$, with $1 \leq v<u \leq 5$, since $y_{\tilde{v q}}^{v}-y^{v}=0$. Finally, $P_{y}$ completely splits in the extension $K(W, W) / K$, where $[W, \tilde{W}]^{r}+[W, \tilde{W}]=\left[X^{1+r}, 0\right]$, since $\left[y^{1+r}, 0\right]=\left(F^{s}+I\right)\left[\frac{1}{2} y^{1+r},-\frac{2^{p}-2}{4 p} y^{(1+r) p}\right]$. To conclude, we remark that $L_{i}=L_{i-1} K\left(W_{i}\right)$ for all $i$ in $\{1, \cdots, 14\}$. Then, $S\left(L_{i}\right)=S\left(L_{i-1}\right) \cap S\left(K\left(W_{i}\right)\right)$ (cf. Au99, Cor. 3.2.b), which allows us to gather, by induction on $i$, that the splitting set of each $L_{i}$ contains $S$.
2. We now compute the conductor $m\left(K\left(W_{i}\right)\right)$ of each extension $K\left(W_{i}\right) / K$. As above, we have to distinguish three kinds of extensions. First, the extension $K(W) / K$, where $W^{r}+W=$ $X^{u(1+r)}$, with $1 \leq u \leq 4$, has conductor $u r+u+1$ (see Au99, Prop. 8.9.a). Besides, the extension $K(W) / K$, where $W^{q}-W=X^{u r}\left(X^{v q}-X^{v}\right)$, with $1 \leq v<u \leq 5$, has conductor $u r+v+1$ (see Au99, Prop. 8.9.b). Finally, the conductor of the extension $K(W, \tilde{W}) / K$, where $[W, \tilde{W}]^{r}+[W, W]=\left[X^{1+r}, 0\right]$ is given by the formula: $1+\max \{p(1+r), 0\}=1+p+p^{s+1}=m_{2}$ (see Ga99, Thm. 1.1). As a conclusion, since $m\left(L_{i}\right)=\max \left\{m\left(L_{i-1}\right), m\left(K\left(W_{i}\right)\right)\right\}$ (cf. Au99, Cor. 3.2.b), an induction on $i$ allows us to obtain the expected conductor for $L_{i}$.
3. We gather from the two first points the inclusions: $K\left(W_{0}\right) \subset K_{S}^{27}, K\left(W_{0}, W_{1}\right) \subset K_{S}^{52}, \cdots$ $K\left(W_{0}, \cdots, W_{14}\right) \subset K_{S}^{m_{2}}$. Equality is eventually obtained by calculating the degree of each extension $K_{S}^{m} / K$ via Lau99 (Thm. 1) or Au99] (p. 54-55, formula (13)).

We deduce from what preceeds an example of big actions with $G_{2}$ abelian of exponent $p^{2}$, with a small $p$-rank. More precisely, we construct a subextension of $K_{S}^{m_{2}}$ with the commutative diagram:

such that the pair $\left(C\left(m_{2}\right) / \operatorname{Ker}(\varphi), G\right)$ is a big action where $G_{2} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p^{2} \mathbb{Z} \times(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{t}$ with $t=$ $O\left(\log _{p} g\right), g$ being the genus of the curve $C\left(m_{2}\right) / \operatorname{Ker}(\varphi)$. Contrary to the previous case where the stability under the translations by $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ was ensured by the maximality of $K_{S}^{m_{2}}$, the difficulty now lies in producing a system of equations defining a subextension of $K_{S}^{m_{2}}$ which remains globally invariant
through the action of the group of translations $X \rightarrow X+y, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$. Write $q=p^{e}$. We have to distinguish the case $e$ even and $e$ odd.

Proposition 5.7. We keep the notation defined above. In particular, $K=\mathbb{F}_{q}(X)$ with $q=p^{e}$. Assume $e=2 s$, with $s \geq 1$, and put $r:=p^{s}$. We define

$$
f_{0}(X):=a X^{1+r} \text { with } a \neq 0, a \in \Gamma:=\left\{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{q}, \gamma^{r}+\gamma=0\right\}
$$

and

$$
\forall i \in\{1, \cdots, p-1\}, f_{i}(X)=X^{i r / p}\left(X^{q}-X\right)=X^{i p^{s-1}}\left(X^{q}-X\right)
$$

Let $L:=K\left(W_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq p}$ be the extension of $K$ parametrized by the Artin-Schreier-(Witt) equations:
$W_{0}^{p}-W_{0}=f_{0}(X) \quad \forall i \in\{1, \cdots, p-1\}, W_{i}^{q}-W_{i}=f_{i}(X) \quad$ and $\quad\left[W_{0}, W_{p}\right]^{p}-\left[W_{0}, W_{p}\right]=\left[f_{0}(X), 0\right]$
For all $i$ in $\{0,1, \cdots, p-1\}$, put $L_{i}:=K\left(W_{0}, \cdots, W_{i}\right)$.

1. L is an abelian extension of $K$ such that every place in $S$ completely splits in $L$. Moreover,

$$
L_{0} \subset K_{S}^{r+2} \quad, \forall i \in\{1, \cdots, p-1\}, L_{i} \subset K_{S}^{p^{s+1}+i+1} \text { with } L \subset K_{S}^{m_{2}}
$$

where $m_{2}=p^{s+1}+p+1$ is the integer defined in Proposition 5.4. (see table below).
2. The extension $L / K$ has degree $[L: K]=p^{2+(p-1) e}$. Let $G_{L}$ be its Galois group. Then

$$
G_{L} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p^{2} \mathbb{Z} \times(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{t} \quad \text { with } t=(p-1) e
$$

3. The extension $L / K$ is stable under the translations: $X \rightarrow X+y$, with $y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$. Therefore, the translations by $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ extend to form a p-group of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-automorphisms of $L$, say $G$, with the following exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow G_{L} \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q} \longrightarrow 0
$$

4. Let $g_{L}$ be the genus of the extension $L / K$. Then,

$$
g_{L}=\frac{1}{2}\left\{p^{2+2 s(p-1)}\left(p^{s+1}+p-1\right)-p^{s}\left(p^{2}-p+1\right)-p^{2 s+1}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{p-2} q^{i}\right)\right\}
$$

In particular, when e grows large, $g_{L} \sim \frac{1}{2} p^{(2 p-1) \frac{e}{2}+3}$ and $t=O\left(\log _{p} g_{L}\right)$.
Note that, for $p=5$ and $e=4$, one gets $\frac{|G|}{g_{L}} \simeq 9,7049 \cdots$, which is slightly bigger than the quotient obtained for the whole extension $K_{S}^{m_{2}}$ (see (16)).

## Proof:

1. Fix $y$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ and call $P_{y}:=(X-y)$, the corresponding place in $S$. As $f_{i}(y)=0$ for all $i$ in $\{1, \cdots, p-1\}$, the place $P_{y}$ completely splits in each extension $K\left(W_{i}\right)$ with $W_{i}^{q}-W_{i}=f_{i}(X)$. Therefore, to prove that $P_{y}$ completely splits in $L$, it is sufficient to show that $\left[f_{0}(y), 0\right] \in$ $\wp\left(W_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right)$. By Bo83 (Chap. IX, ex. 18), this is equivalent to show that $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left[f_{0}(y), 0\right]\right)=0$, where $\operatorname{Tr}$ means the trace map from $W_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ to $W_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$. We first notice that, when $y$ is in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, $\gamma:=f_{0}(y)=a y^{1+r}$ lies in $\Gamma$. It follows that:

$$
\operatorname{Tr}([\gamma, 0])=\sum_{i=0}^{2 s-1} F^{i}[\gamma, 0]=\sum_{i=0}^{s-1}\left[\gamma^{p^{i}}, 0\right]+\sum_{i=0}^{s-1}\left[\gamma^{r p^{i}}, 0\right]=\sum_{i=0}^{s-1}\left[\gamma^{p^{i}}, 0\right]+\sum_{i=0}^{s-1}\left[-\gamma^{p^{i}}, 0\right]
$$

For $p>2,\left[-\gamma^{p^{i}}, 0\right]=-\left[\gamma^{p^{i}}, 0\right]$ and $\operatorname{Tr}([\gamma, 0])=0$. For $p=2$, since $p[\gamma, 0]=\left[0, \gamma^{p}\right]$, one gets:

$$
\operatorname{Tr}([\gamma, 0])=\left[0, \gamma^{p}+\gamma^{p^{2}}+\cdots+\gamma^{p^{s}}\right]=\left[0,\left(\gamma+\gamma^{p}+\cdots+\gamma^{p^{s-1}}\right)^{p}\right]=\left[0, \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{r} / \mathbb{F}_{p}}(\gamma)^{p}\right]
$$

As $\Gamma$ coincides with $\left\{\beta^{r}-\beta, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\right\}$ (see e.g. Au99] p. 58), $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{r} / \mathbb{F}_{p}}(\gamma)=0$ and $\operatorname{Tr}([\gamma, 0])=0$. To establish the expected inclusions, it remains to compute the conductor of each extension $L_{i}$. First of all, Au99 (I, ex. 3.3) together with St93 (Prop III,7.10) shows that the conductor of $L_{0}$ is $r+2$. Thus, $L_{0} \subset K_{S}^{r+2}$. Moreover, as $f_{i}(X)=X^{i+p^{s+1}}-X^{1+i p^{s-1}} \bmod \wp\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}[X]\right)$, we infer from Au99 (I, ex. 3.3) and Au99 (I, Cor. 3.2) that the conductor of $L_{i}$ is $1+i+p^{s+1}$.

So, $L_{i} \subset K_{S}^{1+i+p^{s+1}}$. To complete the proof, it remains to show that $L$ has conductor $m_{2}$, which derives from Ga99 (see comments above).

The equations, conductor and degree of each extension $L_{i}$ are finally gathered in the table below.

| $L_{i}$ | conductor $m$ | $\left[L_{i}: K\right]$ | New equations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $K$ | $0 \leq m \leq r+1=p^{s}+1$ | 1 |  |
| $L_{0}$ | $r+2 \leq m \leq p^{s+1}+1=m_{2}-p$ | $p$ | $W_{0}^{p}-W_{0}=f_{0}(X)$ |
| $L_{1}$ | $m=p^{s+1}+2=m_{2}-(p-1)$ | $p^{1+e}$ | $W_{1}^{q}-W_{1}=f_{1}(X)$ |
| $L_{2}$ | $m=p^{s+1}+3=m_{2}-(p-2)$ | $p^{1+2 e}$ | $W_{2}^{q}-W_{2}=f_{2}(X)$ |
| $\cdots \cdots$ | $\cdots \cdots \cdots$ | $\cdots \cdots \cdot$ | $\cdots \cdots \cdots$ |
| $L_{i}$ | $m=p^{s+1}+i+1=m_{2}-(p-i)$ | $p^{1+i e}$ | $W_{i}^{q}-W_{i}=f_{i}(X)$ |
| $\cdots \cdots$ | $\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots$ | $\cdots \cdots$ |  |
| $L_{p-1}$ | $m=p^{s+1}+p=m_{2}-1$ | $p^{1+(p-1) e}$ | $W_{p-1}^{q}-W_{p-1}=f_{p-1}(X)$ |
| $L$ | $m=p^{s+1}+p+1=m_{2}$ | $p^{2+(p-1) e}$ | $\left[W_{0}, W_{p}\right]^{p}-\left[W_{0}, W_{p}\right]=\left[f_{0}(X), 0\right]$ |

2. See table above.
3. Fix $y$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Consider $\sigma$ in $G\left(m_{2}\right)$ (defined as in Proposition 5.5) such that $\sigma(X)=X+y$.
(a) We first prove that $\sigma\left(W_{0}\right) \in L_{0}$. Indeed, as $y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ and $a \in \Gamma=\left\{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{q}, \gamma^{r}+\gamma=0\right\}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\wp\left(\sigma\left(W_{0}\right)-W_{0}\right) & =\sigma\left(\wp\left(W_{0}\right)\right)-\wp\left(W_{0}\right) \\
& =f_{0}(X+y)-f_{0}(X) \\
& =a y X^{r}+a y^{r} X+f_{0}(y) \\
& =-a^{r} y^{r^{2}} X^{r}+a y^{r} X+f_{0}(y) \\
& =\wp\left(P_{y}(X)\right)+f_{0}(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $P_{y}(X):=\left(I+F+F^{2}+\cdots+F^{s-1}\right)\left(-a y^{r} X\right)$. Since $f_{0}(y) \in \wp\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ (see proof of the first point), it follows that $\wp\left(P_{y}(X)\right)+f_{0}(y)$ belongs to $\wp\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}[X]\right)$. Therefore, $\sigma\left(W_{0}\right) \in L_{0}=\mathbb{F}_{q}\left(X, W_{0}\right)$.
(b) We now prove that, for all $i$ in $\{1, \cdots, p-1\}, \sigma\left(W_{i}\right) \in L_{i}$. Indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(F^{e}-i d\right)\left(\sigma\left(W_{i}\right)-W_{i}\right) & =\sigma\left(W_{i}^{q}-W_{i}\right)-\left(W_{i}^{q}-W_{i}\right) \\
& =f_{i}(X+y)-f_{i}(X) \\
& =(X+y)^{i p^{s-1}}\left(X^{q}-X\right)-X^{i p^{s-1}}\left(X^{q}-X\right) \\
& =\left(X^{p^{s-1}}+y^{p^{s-1}}\right)^{i}\left(X^{q}-X\right)-X^{i p^{s-1}}\left(X^{q}-X\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{i-1}\binom{i}{j} y^{(i-j) p^{s-i}} f_{j}(X) \bmod \left(F^{e}-i d\right)\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}[X]\right) \\
& =\left(F^{e}-i d\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1}\binom{i}{j} y^{(i-j) p^{s-i}} W_{j}\right) \bmod \left(F^{e}-i d\right)\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}[X]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the sum is empty for $i=1$. It follows that $\sigma\left(W_{i}\right) \in L_{i}=\mathbb{F}_{q}\left(X, W_{0}, W_{1}, \cdots, W_{i}\right)$.
(c) To conclude, we show that $\sigma\left(W_{p}\right) \in L$, which requires the use of Remark 5.3.4. Indeed, compute:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta & :=\wp\left(\sigma\left[W_{0}, W_{p}\right]-\left[W_{0}, W_{p}\right]\right) \\
& =\sigma\left(\wp\left(\left[W_{0}, W_{p}\right]\right)-\wp\left(\left[W_{0}, W_{p}\right]\right)\right. \\
& =\left[f_{0}(X+y), 0\right]-\left[f_{0}(X), 0\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

As shown in the proof of the first point, $\left[f_{0}(y), 0\right]$ lies in $\wp\left(W_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right)$. Then,
$\Delta=\left[f_{0}(X+y), 0\right]-\left[f_{0}(X), 0\right]-\left[f_{0}(y), 0\right]-\left[P_{y}(X), 0\right]+\left[P_{y}(X), 0\right]^{p} \bmod \wp\left(W_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}[X]\right)\right)$
with $y$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ and $P_{y}$ defined as above. Let $W\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ be the ring of Witt vectors with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Then, for any $y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, we denote by $\tilde{y}$ the Witt vector $\tilde{y}:=(y, 0,0, \cdots) \in$ $W(k)$. For any $P(X):=\sum_{i=0}^{s} a_{i} X^{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$, we denote by $\tilde{P}(X):=\sum_{i=0}^{s} \tilde{a_{i}} X^{i} \in$ $W\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)[X]$. The addition in the ring of Witt vectors yields:

$$
\Delta=[0, A] \quad \bmod \wp\left(W_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}[X]\right)\right)
$$

where $A$ is the reduction modulo $p W_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)[X]$ of:
$\frac{1}{p}\left\{\tilde{f}_{0}(X+\tilde{y})^{p}-\tilde{f}_{0}(X)^{p}-\tilde{f}_{0}(\tilde{y})^{p}+\tilde{P}_{y}(X)^{p}-\tilde{P}_{y}(X)^{p^{2}}-\left(\tilde{f}_{0}(X+\tilde{y})-\tilde{f}_{0}(X)-\tilde{f}_{0}(\tilde{y})-\tilde{P}_{y}(X)+\tilde{P}_{y}(X)^{p}\right)^{p}\right\}$
Since $\tilde{f}_{0}(X+\tilde{y})-\tilde{f}_{0}(X)-\tilde{f}_{0}(\tilde{y})+\tilde{P}_{y}(X)-\tilde{P}_{y}(X)^{p}=0 \bmod p W\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)[X], A$ becomes:

$$
A=\frac{1}{p}\left\{\tilde{f}_{0}(X+\tilde{y})^{p}-\tilde{f}_{0}(X)^{p}-\tilde{f}_{0}(\tilde{y})^{p}+\tilde{P}_{y}(X)^{p}-\tilde{P}_{y}(X)^{p^{2}}\right\} \quad \bmod p W\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)[X]
$$

We observe that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{f}_{0}(X+\tilde{y})^{p} & =\tilde{a}^{p}(X+\tilde{y})^{p}(X+\tilde{y})^{p^{s+1}} & & \bmod p^{2} W\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)[X] \\
& =\tilde{a}^{p}(X+\tilde{y})^{p}\left(X^{p^{s}}+\tilde{y}^{p^{s}}\right)^{p} & & \bmod p^{2} W\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)[X] \\
& =\tilde{a}^{p} \sum_{i=0}^{p} \sum_{j=0}^{p}\binom{p}{i}\binom{p}{j} X^{j+i p^{s}} \tilde{y}^{p-j+p^{s}(p-i)} & & \bmod p^{2} W\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)[X]
\end{aligned}
$$

As $\binom{p}{i}\binom{p}{j}=0 \bmod p^{2}$ when $0<i<p$ and $0<j<p$, one obtains:
$\tilde{f}_{0}(X+\tilde{y})^{p}-\tilde{f}_{0}(X)^{p}-\tilde{f}_{0}(\tilde{y})^{p}=\tilde{a}^{p} \sum_{(i, j) \in I}\binom{p}{i}\binom{p}{j} X^{j+i p^{s}} \tilde{y}^{p-j+p^{s}(p-i)} \quad \bmod p^{2} W\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)[X]$
with

$$
I:=\left\{(i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}, 0 \leq i \leq p, 0 \leq j \leq p, i j=0 \quad \bmod p,(i, j) \neq(0,0),(i, j) \neq(p, p)\right\}
$$

Besides,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{P}_{y}(X)^{p}-\tilde{P}_{y}(X)^{p^{2}} & =\left(\sum_{i=0}^{s-1}\left(-\tilde{a} \tilde{y}^{r} X\right)^{p^{i}}\right)^{p}-\left(\sum_{i=0}^{s-1}\left(-\tilde{a} \tilde{y}^{r} X\right)^{p^{i}}\right)^{p^{2}} & \bmod p^{2} W\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)[X] \\
& =\left(\sum_{i=0}^{s-1}\left(-\tilde{a} \tilde{y}^{r} X\right)^{p^{i}}\right)^{p}-\left(\sum_{i=0}^{s-1}\left(-\tilde{a} \tilde{y}^{r} X\right)^{p^{i+1}}\right)^{p} & \bmod p^{2} W\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)[X] \\
& =-\tilde{a}^{p} \tilde{y}^{r p} X^{p}+\tilde{a}^{r p} \tilde{y}^{r^{2} p} X^{p r}+p \tilde{T}_{y}(X) & \bmod p^{2} W\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)[X]
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\tilde{T}_{y}(X) \in W\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)[X]$. As $y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ and $a \in \Gamma$, we get:

$$
\tilde{P}_{y}(X)^{p}-\tilde{P}_{y}(X)^{p^{2}}=-\tilde{a}^{p} \tilde{y}^{r p} X^{p}-\tilde{a}^{p} \tilde{y}^{p} X^{p r}+p \tilde{T}_{y}(X) \quad \bmod p^{2} W\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)[X]
$$

As a consequence,

$$
A=\tilde{a}^{p} \sum_{(i, j) \in I_{1}} \frac{1}{p}\binom{p}{i}\binom{p}{j} X^{j+i p^{s}} \tilde{y}^{p-j+p^{s}(p-i)}+\tilde{T}_{y}(X) \quad \bmod p \wp\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}[X]\right)
$$

with

$$
I_{1}:=\{(i, j) \in I,(i, j) \neq(0, p),(i, j) \neq(p, 0)\}
$$

So, $A$ reads:

$$
A=a^{p} \sum_{(i, j) \in I_{1}} \frac{1}{p}\binom{p}{i}\binom{p}{j} X^{j+i p^{s}} y^{p-j+p^{s}(p-i)}+T_{y}(X)
$$

with $T_{y} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$. We first consider the sum. Since, for $i=0, i=p$ and $j=p$, one gets monomials whose degree (after eventual reduction $\bmod \wp\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}[X]\right)$ ) is strictly lower than $1+p^{s}$, one can write:

$$
A=a^{p} \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{p}\binom{p}{j} X^{j+i p^{s}} y^{p-j}+R_{y}(X)+T_{y}(X) \quad \bmod \wp\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}[X]\right)
$$

where $R_{y}(X)$ is a polynomial of $\mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$ with degree strictly lower than $1+p^{s}=1+r$. We now focus on the polynomial $T_{y}(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$. It is made of monomials which read either $X^{i_{0}+i_{1} p+\cdots+i_{s-1} p^{s-1}}$ with $i_{0}+i_{1}+\cdots+i_{s-1}=p$ or $X^{i_{1} p+\cdots+i_{s} p^{s}}$, with $i_{1}+i_{2}+\cdots+i_{s}=p$.

As $X^{i_{1} p+\cdots+i_{s} p^{s}}=X^{i_{1}+\cdots+i_{s} p^{s-1}} \bmod \wp\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}[X]\right)$, it follows that $T_{y}$ does not have any monomial with degree higher than $1+p^{s}$ after reduction $\bmod \wp\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}[X]\right)$. So,

$$
A=a^{p} \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{p}\binom{p}{j} X^{j+i p^{s}} y^{p-j}+R_{y}^{[1]}(X) \quad \bmod \wp\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}[X]\right)
$$

where $R_{y}^{[1]}(X)$ is a polynomial of $\mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$ with degree strictly lower than $1+r$. Since, for all $j$ in $\{1, \cdots, p-1\}, f_{j}(X)=X^{j+p^{s+1}}-X^{1+j p^{s-1}} \bmod \wp\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}[X]\right)$, we gather:

$$
A=a^{p} \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{p}\binom{p}{j} y^{p-j} f_{j}(X)+R_{y}^{[2]}(X) \quad \bmod \wp\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}[X]\right)
$$

where $R_{y}^{[2]}(X)$ is a polynomial of $\mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$ with degree strictly lower than $1+r$. Then,

$$
A=\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} c_{j}(y) f_{j}(X)+R_{y}^{[2]}(X) \quad \bmod \wp\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}[X]\right)
$$

with $c_{j}(y):=a^{p} \frac{1}{p}\binom{p}{j} y^{p-j} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$. It follows that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & =\sum_{j=1}^{p-1}\left(F^{e}-i d\right)\left(c_{j}(y) W_{j}\right)+R_{y}^{[2]}(X) & & \bmod \wp\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}[X]\right) \\
& =(F-i d) \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} P_{j}\left(W_{j}\right)+R_{y}^{[2]}(X) & & \bmod \wp\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}[X]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $P_{j}\left(W_{j}\right)=\left(i d+F+\cdots+F^{e-1}\right)\left(c_{j}(y) W_{j}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\left[W_{j}\right]$. We gather that:

$$
\wp\left(\sigma\left[W_{0}, W_{p}\right]-\left[W_{0}, W_{p}\right]\right)=\wp\left(\left[0, \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} P_{j}\left(W_{j}\right)\right]\right)+\left[0, R_{y}^{[2]}(X)\right] \quad \bmod \wp\left(W_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}[X]\right)\right)
$$

As a consequence, $\left[0, R_{y}^{[2]}(X)\right]$ lies in $\wp\left(W_{2}\left(K_{S}^{m_{2}}\right)\right)$ and so, there exists $V \in K_{S}^{m_{2}}$ such that $V^{p}-V=R_{y}^{[2]}(X)$ Accordingly, $K(V)$ is a $K$-subextension of $K_{S}^{m_{2}}$ with conductor $1+\operatorname{deg}\left(R_{y}^{[2]}(X)\right) \leq 1+r$. In particular, $K(V) \subset K_{S}^{r+1}=K=\mathbb{F}_{q}(X)$, which implies that $R_{y}^{[2]}(X) \in \wp(K)$. Therefore,

$$
\wp\left(\sigma\left[W_{0}, W_{p}\right]-\left[W_{0}, W_{p}\right]\right)=\wp\left(\left[0, \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} P_{j}\left(W_{j}\right)\right]\right) \quad \bmod \wp\left(W_{2}(K)\right)
$$

which allows to conclude that $\sigma\left(W_{p}\right)$ is in $L=K\left(W_{0}, W_{1}, \cdots, W_{p}\right)$.
4. As $L \subset K_{S}^{m_{2}}$ and $L \not \subset K_{S}^{m_{2}-1}$, the formula established in Proposition 5.5.3. yields:

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{L} & =1+[L: K]\left(-1+\frac{m_{2}}{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{m_{2}-1}\left[K_{S}^{j}: K\right] \\
& =1+p^{2+(p-1) e}\left(-1+\frac{p^{s+1}+p+1}{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(r+2+\left(m_{2}-p-(r+2)+1\right) p+\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} p^{1+i e}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} p^{2+(p-1) e}\left(p^{s+1}+p-1\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(p^{s}+p^{s+2}-p^{s+1}+\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} p^{1+i 2 s}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} p^{2+(p-1) e}\left(p^{s+1}+p-1\right)-\frac{1}{2} p^{s}\left(p^{2}-p+1\right)-\frac{1}{2} p^{2 s+1}\left(1+q+q^{2}+\cdots+q^{p-2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The preceding proposition can be generalized to construct a big action endowed with a second ramification group $G_{2}$ abelian of exponent as large as we want.

Proposition 5.8. We keep the notation defined above. In particular, $q=p^{e}$, with $e=2 s$ and $s \geq 1$. Let $n \geq 2$. Put $m_{n}:=1+p^{n-1}\left(1+p^{s}\right)$. If $\frac{q}{-1+m_{n} / 2}>\frac{2 p}{p-1}$, the pair $\left(C\left(m_{n}\right), G\left(m_{n}\right)\right)$, as defined in Proposition 5.5, is a big action with a second ramification group $G_{S}\left(m_{n}\right)$ abelian of exponent at least $p^{n}$.
Proof: Proposition 5.5.4 first ensures that $\left(C\left(m_{n}\right), G\left(m_{n}\right)\right.$ is a big action. Consider the $p^{n}$-cyclic extension $K\left(W_{1}, \cdots, W_{n}\right) / K$ parametrized as follows, with Witt vectors of length $n$ :

$$
\left[W_{1}, \cdots, W_{n}\right]^{p}-\left[W_{1}, \cdots, W_{n}\right]=\left[f_{0}(X), 0, \cdots, 0\right]
$$

where $f_{0}(X)=a X^{1+r}$ is defined as in Proposition 5.7, i.e. $r=p^{s}, a^{r}+a=0, a \neq 0$. The same proof as in Proposition 5.7 .1 shows that all places of $S$ completely split in $K\left(W_{1}, \cdots, W_{n}\right)$. Moreover, by Ga99 (Thm. 1.1) the conductor of the extension $K\left(W_{1}, \cdots, W_{n}\right)$ is $1+\max \left\{p^{n-1}\left(1+p^{s}\right), 0\right\}=m_{n}$. It follows that $K\left(W_{1}, \cdots, W_{n}\right)$ is included in $K_{S}^{m_{n}}$. Therefore, $G_{S}\left(m_{n}\right)$ has a quotient of exponent $p^{n}$ and the claim follows.

Tne next proposition is an analogue of Proposition 5.7 in the case where $e$ is odd. We does not mention the proof which is mainly similar to the proof of Proposition 5.7.

Proposition 5.9. We keep the notation defined above. In particular, $K=\mathbb{F}_{q}(X)$ with $q=p^{e}$. Assume $e=2 s-1$, with $s \geq 2$, and put $r:=\sqrt{q p}=p^{s}$. We define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \forall i \in\{1, \cdots, p-1\}, f_{i}(X)=X^{i r / p}\left(X^{q}-X\right)=X^{i p^{s-1}}\left(X^{q}-X\right) \\
& \forall i \in\{1, \cdots, p-1\}, g_{i}(X)=X^{i r / p^{2}}\left(X^{q}-X\right)=X^{i p^{s-2}}\left(X^{q}-X\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $L:=K\left(W_{i}, V_{j}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq p, 1 \leq j \leq p-1}$ be the extension of $K$ parametrized by the Artin-Schreier-(Witt) equations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\forall i \in\{1, \cdots, p-1\}, W_{i}^{q}-W_{i}=f_{i}(X) \quad \text { and } \quad \forall j \in\{1, \cdots, p-1\}, V_{j}^{q}-V_{j}=g_{j}(X) \\
{\left[W_{1}, W_{p}\right]^{p}-\left[W_{1}, W_{p}\right]=\left[X^{1+p^{s}}, 0\right]-\left[X^{1+p^{s-1}}, 0\right]}
\end{gathered}
$$

For all $i$ and $j$ in $\{1, \cdots, p-1\}$, put $L_{i, 0}:=K\left(W_{k}\right)_{1 \leq k \leq i}$ and $L_{p-1, j}:=K\left(W_{i}, V_{k}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq p-1,1 \leq k \leq j}$.

1. $L$ is an abelian extension of $K$ such that every place in $S$ completely splits in $L$. Then,

$$
\forall i, j \in\{1, \cdots, p-1\}, L_{i, 0} \subset K_{S}^{p^{s}+i+1} \quad, L_{p-1, j} \subset K_{S}^{p^{s+1}+j+1} \quad \text { and } \quad L \subset K_{S}^{m_{2}}
$$

where $m_{2}=p^{s+1}+p+1$ is the integer defined in Proposition 5.4. (see table below.)
2. The extension $L / K$ has degree $[L: K]=p^{2(p-1) e+1}$. Let $G_{L}$ be its Galois group. Then

$$
G_{L} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p^{2} \mathbb{Z} \times(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{t} \quad \text { with } t=2(p-1) e-1
$$

3. The extension $L / K$ is stable under the translations: $X \rightarrow X+y$, with $y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$. Therefore, the translations by $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ extend to form a p-group of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{-}}$automorphisms of $L$, say $G$, with the following exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow G_{L} \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q} \longrightarrow 0
$$

4. Let $g_{L}$ be the genus of the extension $L / K$. Then,

$$
g_{L}=\frac{1}{2}\left\{p^{1+(2 p-1) e}\left(p^{s+1}+p-1\right)-p^{(p-1) e}\left(p^{s+1}-p^{s}-p+1\right)-p^{s}+p^{e}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{2 p-3} q^{i}\right)\right\}
$$

In particular, when e grows large, $g_{L} \sim \frac{1}{2} p^{2+4 s(p-1)+s}$ and $t=O\left(\log _{p} g_{L}\right)$.
We gather in the table below the conductors, degrees and equations of each extension.

| $L_{i, j}$ | conductor $m$ | $\left[L_{i, j}: K\right]$ | New equations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $K$ | $0 \leq m \leq r+1=p^{s}+1$ | 1 |  |
| $L_{1,0}$ | $m=r+2=p^{s}+2$ | $p^{e}$ | $W_{1}^{q}-W_{1}=f_{1}(X)$ |
| $\cdots \cdots \cdots$ | $\cdots \cdots \cdots$ | $\cdots \cdots \cdots$ | $\cdots \cdots \cdots$ |
| $L_{i, 0}$ | $m=p^{s}+i+1$ | $p^{i e}$ | $W_{i}^{q}-W_{i}=f_{i}(X)$ |
| $\cdots \cdots \cdots$ | $\cdots \cdots \cdots$ | $\cdots \cdots \cdots$ |  |
| $L_{p-1,0}$ | $p^{s}+p \leq m \leq p^{s+1}+1$ | $p^{(p-1) e}$ | $W_{p-1}^{q}-W_{p-1}=f_{p-1}(X)$ |
| $L_{p-1,1}$ | $m=p^{s+1}+2=m_{2}-(p-1)$ | $p^{p e}$ | $V_{1}^{q}-V_{1}=g_{1}(X)$ |
| $\cdots \cdots \cdots$ | $\cdots \cdots \cdots$ | $\cdots \cdots \cdots$ |  |
| $L_{p-1, j}$ | $m=p^{s+1}+j+1=m_{2}-(p-j)$ | $p^{(p+j-1) e}$ | $V_{j}^{q}-V_{j}=g_{j}(X)$ |
| $\cdots \cdots \cdots$ | $\cdots \cdots \cdots$ | $\cdots \cdots \cdots$ | $\cdots \cdots \cdots$ |
| $L_{p-1, p-1}$ | $m=p^{s+1}+p=m_{2}-1$ | $p^{2(p-1) e}$ | $V_{p-1}^{q}-V_{p-1}=g_{p-1}(X)$ |
| $L$ | $m=p^{s+1}+p+1=m_{2}$ | $p^{1+2(p-1) e}$ | $\left[W_{1}, W_{p}\right]^{p}-\left[W_{1}, W_{p}\right]=$ |
|  |  |  | $\left[X^{\left.1+p^{s}, 0\right]-\left[X^{1+p^{s-1}}, 0\right]}\right.$ |

## 6 A local approach to big actions.

Let $(C, G)$ be a big action. We recall that there exists a point $\infty \in C$ such that $G$ is equal to $G_{1}(\infty)$ the wild inertia subgroup of $G$ at $\infty$, which means that the cover $\pi: C \rightarrow C / G$ is totally ramified at $\infty$. Moreover, the quotient curve $C / G$ is isomorphic to the projective line: $\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}$ and $\pi$ is étale above the affine line: $\mathbb{A}_{k}^{1}=\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}-\pi(\infty)=\operatorname{Spec} k[T]$. The inclusion $k[T] \subset k\left(\left(T^{-1}\right)\right)$ induces a Galois extension $k(C) \otimes_{k(T)} k\left(\left(T^{-1}\right)\right)=: k((Z))$ over $k\left(\left(T^{-1}\right)\right)$ with group equal to $G$ and ramification groups in lower notation equal to $G_{i}:=G_{i}(\infty)$. Then, the genus of $C$ is given by the formula: $g=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{i \geq 2}\left(\left|G_{i}\right|-1\right)\right)>0($ see (2) $)$ ). It follows that:

$$
\frac{|G|}{\sum_{i \geq 2}\left(\left|G_{i}\right|-1\right)}=\frac{|G|}{2 g}>\frac{p}{p-1}
$$

This leads to the definition below.
Definition 6.1. We call "local big action" any pair $(k((Z)), G)$ where $G$ is a finite p-subgroup of Aut $_{k}(k((Z))$ whose ramification groups in lower notation at $\infty$ satisfy the two inequalities:

$$
g(G):=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{i \geq 2}\left(\left|G_{i}\right|-1\right)\right)>0 \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{|G|}{g(G)}>\frac{2 p}{p-1}
$$

It follows from the Katz-Gabber Theorem (see Ka86 Thm. 1.4.1 or Gi00 cor. 1.9) that big actions $(C, G)$ and local big actions $(k((Z)), G)$ are in 1-to-1 correspondance via the following functor induced by the inclusion $k[T] \subset k\left(\left(T^{-1}\right)\right)$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{r}
\text { finite étale Galois covers of Spec } \mathrm{k}[\mathrm{~T}] \\
\text { with Galois group a p-group }
\end{array}\right\} \quad \rightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{r}
\text { finite étale Galois covers of Spec } k\left(\left(T^{-1}\right)\right) \\
\text { with Galois group a p-group }
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Therefore, we can infer from the global point of view properties related to local extensions that would be difficult to prove directly. For instance, if $(k((Z)), G)$ is a local big action, we can deduce that $G_{2}$ is stricly included in $G_{1}$. Furthermore, we obtain:

$$
\frac{|G|}{g(G)^{2}} \leq \frac{4 p}{(p-1)^{2}}
$$
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