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# Strichartz estimates for the wave equation on Riemannian symmetric manifolds* 

A. Hassani ${ }^{\dagger}$


#### Abstract

We prove Strichartz type estimates for solutions of the homogeneous wave equation on Riemannian symmetric spaces. Our results generalize those of Ginibre and Velo in [7].


## 1 Introduction

The wave equation on a manifold with symmetries is a fundamental partial differential equation problem. In particular, it is important to have explicit estimates and dispersive properties for solutions of the following Cauchy problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta u=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}} u  \tag{1.1}\\
u(t=0)=u_{0} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t=0)=u_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Delta$ denotes the Laplace operator on the manifold.
In the case of the euclidian space $\mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 1$, Segal proved some estimates in [16] which were improved by Strichartz in [21]. It turns out that these estimates play a crucial role in the modern theory of local and global well posed problems. Actually, one has the following Strichartz type estimates for (1.1) with non trivial Cauchy data $u_{0}$ and $u_{1}$ (see [7]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L^{r}\left(I, \dot{B}_{p}^{s, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)} \leq c_{r}\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}+\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{\dot{H}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where $I=\left[0 ;+\infty\left[, 2 \leq p \leq \infty, s=\frac{n+1}{4}\left(\frac{2}{p}-1\right)\right.\right.$ and $2 / r=\frac{n-1}{2}\left(1-\frac{2}{p}\right)$. Here $\dot{B}_{p}^{s, q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is the homogeneous Besov space on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ defined by the norm:
$$
\|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p}^{s, q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}^{q}=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{j s q}\left\|\varphi_{j} * f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}^{q} \text { for } s \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq p, q \leq \infty
$$
where $\left(\varphi_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a sequence of smooth functions such that its Fourier transform is a Paley-Littlewood partition of unity. The space $\dot{H}^{s}, s \in \mathbb{R}$, is the homogeneous Sobolev space defined by the norm:
$$
\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}^{2}=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2 j s}\left\|\varphi_{j} * f\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}^{2} .
$$

It should be noted that estimates analogous to (1.2) have been proved on Heisenberg groups [3], hyperbolic spaces [22] and on Damek-Ricci spaces [15]. Moreover some dispersive properties for solutions of (1.1) may be deduced (see [4][7][20]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(t, .)\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq c(1+|t|)^{-(n-1) / 2}\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\dot{B}_{1}^{s, 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}+\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{\dot{B}_{1}^{s-1,1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s=\frac{n+1}{2}$. The traditional interpretation for these estimates is the $d e-$ cay of solutions as $t \rightarrow \infty$. In the general context, where $X$ is a manifold equipped with a pseudo-Riemmanian metric, a measure $\sigma$ and $\Delta=L$ is a second order differential operator on $X$ which satisfies some integrability conditions, Lohoué proved some $L^{p}$-estimates of solutions of wave equation in terms of norms of the initial data (see [14]).

In this paper, we prove estimates analogous to (1.2) and (1.3) in the case of Riemannian symmetric space of the non-compact type. Our strategy is based on the Harish-Chandra Plancherel formula in the context of noncommutative harmonic analysis.

More precisely, let $X$ be the $G$-homogeneous manifold $G / K$, where $G$ is a non-compact connected semisimple Lie group with finite center and $K$ a maximal compact subgroup of $G$. The Riemannian metric on $X$ is induced by the Killing form of $G$ and the (negative) Laplacian $\Delta$ on $X$ is related to the Casimir element of $G$. To establish our estimates in this context, we first need to define Besov spaces on $X$. For general Riemannian manifolds, Besov spaces were defined by Triebel with purely geometric methods in [23]. However, in the particular case of Riemannian symmetric spaces, we shall proceed as follows. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{k}$ ) be the Lie algebra of $G$ (resp. K). Consider the corresponding Cartan decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$ :

$$
\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}
$$

where $\mathfrak{p}$ is some $\mathfrak{k}$-invariant vector subspace of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let MAN be a minimal parabolic subgroup of $G$, where $M$ is a closed subgroup of $K$ and $A$ (resp. $N)$ an abelian (resp. nilpotent). The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}$ of $A$ is a maximal abelian subspace of $\mathfrak{p}$ equipped with a norm $\|\|$ induced by the Killing form. Then the Helgason-Fourier transform $\tilde{f}$ of some function $f$ on $X$ is given by:

$$
\widetilde{f}(\lambda, b)=\int_{X} f(x) e^{(-i \lambda+\rho) A(x, b)} d x, \quad \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\star}, b \in K / M
$$

where $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\star}$ is the complexification of the vector dual of $\mathfrak{a}, \rho$ is the half-sum of positive restricted roots counted with their multiplicities and $A(x, b) \in \mathfrak{a}$ (see Section 2 for more detail). On the other hand, we define the following resolution of unity on $\mathfrak{a}^{\star}$. Consider the set $\left\{\varphi_{0, N}, \varphi_{t}^{N}\right\}_{0<t \leq 1, N \in \mathbb{N}^{\star}}$ of compactly supported smooth $K$-invariant functions on $X$ satisfying:

$$
\mathcal{F} \varphi_{0, N}(\lambda)+\int_{0}^{1}\left(\mathcal{F} \varphi_{t}^{N}\right)^{2}(\lambda) \frac{d t}{t}=1, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\star}
$$

and

$$
f(x)=\left(f \times \varphi_{0, N}\right)(x)+\int_{0}^{1}\left(f \times \varphi_{t}^{N} \times \varphi_{t}^{N}\right)(x) \frac{d t}{t}, \quad \forall f \in L^{2}(X)
$$

where $\times$ denote the convolution product on $X$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is the usual spherical transform for $K$-invariant functions on $X$. Then the $\operatorname{Besov}$ space $B_{p}^{s, q}(X)$ on $X$ is the space of tempered distributions on $X$ satisfying:

$$
\|f\|_{B_{p}^{s, q}(X)}=\left\|f \times \varphi_{0, N}\right\|_{L^{p}(X)}+\left(\int_{0}^{1} t^{-s q}\left\|f \times \varphi_{t}^{N}\right\|_{L^{p}(X)}^{q} \frac{d t}{t}\right)^{1 / q}<\infty
$$

for $2 N>|s|$. It should be noted that our definition differs slightly from that in [19].

We can now state our first result.
Theorem 1: Let $N$ be a positive integer such that $n=\operatorname{dim}(X) \geq 4 N$. Let $\alpha=\operatorname{dim}(\mathfrak{a}) \geq 1$ and $I=[0,+\infty[$. Let $u$ be a solution of the wave equation (1.1) on $X$ and, let $p$ and $r$ be two real numbers such that $2 / r=\alpha\left(1-\frac{2}{p}\right)$ and $2<p<\min \left(\frac{2 n}{n-4 N}, \frac{2 \alpha}{\alpha-1}\right)$. Then there exists a positive number $c_{r}$, depending on $r$, such that if $u_{0}$ and $u_{1}$ belong to $L^{2}(X)$, we have:

$$
\|u\|_{L^{r}\left(I, B_{p}^{s, 2}(X)\right)} \leq c_{r}\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(X)}+\frac{1}{\|\rho\|}\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}(X)}\right)
$$

where $s=\frac{n}{2}\left(\frac{2}{p}-1\right)$.
The proof rests on an argument of duality $([7][24])$ and on a Hardy-LittlewoodSobolev inequality ([13]). We find it useful to formulate solutions of (1.1) using the theory of propagators.

Our second result deals with dispersive properties. More precisely, we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 2: Let $u$ be a solution of the wave equation (1.1) on $X$ and write $\alpha=\operatorname{dim}(\mathfrak{a})$.

1. We assume that both $u_{0}$ and $(-\Delta)^{-1 / 2} u_{1}$ belong to $B_{1}^{n, 1}(X)$. Then there exist a constant $c>0$ and a sufficiently large number $T$ such that for all $t \geq T$, we have:

$$
\|u(t, .)\|_{L^{\infty}(X)} \leq c t^{-\alpha}\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{B_{1}^{n, 1}(X)}+\left\|(-\Delta)^{-1 / 2} u_{1}\right\|_{B_{1}^{n, 1}(X)}\right)
$$

With $n=\operatorname{dim} X<2 N$, for some positive integer $N$.
2. Let $p$ and $p^{\prime}$ be two integers such that $1 / p+1 / p^{\prime}=1$ and $2 \leq p<\frac{2 n}{n-2 N}$. We suppose that $u_{0}$ and $(-\Delta)^{-1 / 2} u_{1}$ are in $B_{p^{\prime}}^{s, 1}(X)$ with $s=n\left(1-\frac{2}{p}\right)$. Then there exist a constant $c>0$ and a sufficiently large number $T$ such that for all $t \geq T$, we have:

$$
\|u(t, .)\|_{L^{p}(X)} \leq c t^{\alpha \gamma}\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{B_{p^{\prime}}^{s, 1}(X)}+\left\|(-\Delta)^{-1 / 2} u_{1}\right\|_{B_{p^{\prime}}^{s, 1}(X)}\right)
$$

With $n=\operatorname{dim} X>2 N$ and $\gamma=2 / p-1$.
The proof combines inverse Helgason-Fourier transform and interpolation theorems for $L^{p}$-spaces on $X$.

Our paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we recall some definitions and basic results of harmonic analysis. Section 3 is devoted to the definition of Besov spaces on symmetric spaces and propagators. Section 4 contains the proof of our first theorem is contained in Section 4, while the proof of the second theorem is contained in Section 5.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank S. Mehdi and N. Lohoué for suggesting the problem and for several helpful discussions.

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Roots, decompositions and norms.

Let $G$ be a noncompact connected semisimple Lie group with finite center and $K$ a maximal compact subgroup of $G$. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{k}$ ) be the Lie algebra of $G$ (resp. $K$ ) and consider the Cartan decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$ :

$$
\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}
$$

where $\mathfrak{p}$ is the ( $K$-invariant) orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{k}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to the Killing form of $\mathfrak{g}$ :

$$
\mathcal{K}: \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C},(X, Y) \mapsto \operatorname{Tr}(\operatorname{ad}(X) \circ \operatorname{ad}(Y)) .
$$

Here ad denotes the differential of the adjoint action $\operatorname{Ad}_{G}$ of $G$. Moreover, if $\theta$ denotes the corresponding Cartan involution, the Killing form defines the following $G$-invariant inner product on $\mathfrak{g}$ :

$$
\langle X, Y\rangle=-\mathcal{K}(X, \theta(Y))
$$

which is positive definite on $\mathfrak{p}$ and negative definite on $\mathfrak{k}$. This in turn induces a Riemannian structure on the homogeneous (symmetric) manifold:

$$
X=G / K
$$

whose tangent space at the origin $e K$ is identified with $\mathfrak{p}$. On the other hand, since $G$ is semisimple, the Killing form enables us to identify $\mathfrak{g}$ with its vector dual $\mathfrak{g}^{\star}$, as well as subspaces of $\mathfrak{g}$ with subspaces in $\mathfrak{g}^{\star}$. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a maximal abelian subspace of $\mathfrak{p}$. The real dimension of $\mathfrak{a}$ is known as the real rank $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{R}}(G)$ of $G$. Let $\Sigma$ be the set of restricted roots with respect to $\mathfrak{a}$ :

$$
\Sigma=\left\{\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^{\star} \mid \lambda \neq 0 \text { and } \mathfrak{g}_{\lambda} \neq\{0\}\right\}
$$

where

$$
\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda}=\{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid[H, X]=\lambda(H) X \quad \forall H \in \mathfrak{a}\} .
$$

Fix a positive Weyl chamber $\mathfrak{a}_{+}$in $\mathfrak{a}^{\star}$, and write $\Sigma_{+}$for the corresponding set of positive restricted roots. Define the nilpotent subalgebra $\mathfrak{n}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ :

$$
\mathfrak{n}=\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Sigma_{+}} \mathfrak{g}_{\lambda}
$$

so that $\mathfrak{g}$ decomposes as

$$
\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}
$$

known as the Iwasawa decomposition. The corresponding decomposition at the group level is:

$$
G=K A N
$$

where $A$ and $N$ are the analytic subgroups of $G$ with Lie algebras $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{n}$ respectively. Finally, there is another decomposition of $G$ that will be of interest to us. The Cartan decomposition of $G$ is given by:

$$
G=K \bar{A}_{+} K
$$

where $\bar{A}_{+}$is the closure of $A_{+}=\exp \left(\mathfrak{a}_{+}\right)$. Any element $g$ of $G$ will be written as

$$
g=k_{1} A(g) k_{2}
$$

in the Cartan decomposition, and as

$$
g=k(g) \exp (H(g)) n(g)
$$

in the Iwasawa decomposition. It should be noted that $k(g), n(g), H(g)$ and $A(g)$ are uniquely determined. Now, the Killing form induces norms on $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{\star}$, which we shall denote by the same symbol $\|\|$, as well as a norm on $G$ defined by:

$$
\|g\|=\|A(g)\|
$$

In particular one has:

$$
\left\|g^{-1}\right\|=\|g\| \text { and }\left\|k g k^{\prime}\right\|=\|g\| \text { for all } g \in G \text { and } k, k^{\prime} \in K
$$

Next, let $M$ (resp. $M^{\prime}$ ) denote the centralizer (resp. the normalizer) of $A$ in $K$. The quotient group $W=M^{\prime} / M$ is the so-called Weyl group associated with $\Sigma$. It is a finite group that acts on $\mathfrak{a}$ as a group of linear transformations by the operators $\operatorname{Ad}_{G}(k), k \in M^{\prime}$. The group $W$ acts also freely and transively on the set of Weyl chambers:

$$
s \cdot \lambda(H)=\lambda\left(s^{-1} H\right), \quad \forall s \in W, H \in \mathfrak{a} .
$$

The following compact homogeneous manifold, known as the boundary of $X$,

$$
B=K / M=G / M A N
$$

plays a crucial role in the harmonic analysis on $X$.
Finally, the Killing form of $G$ induces euclidean measures on $A, \mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{a}^{\star}$. These measures remain invariant when multiplied by $(2 \pi)^{-\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{R}}(G)}$. The Haar measures $d m$ on $M$ and $d k$ on $K$ are normalized such that the total mass is 1 . As is customary, Haar measures on $G$ and $N$ will be normalized so that:

$$
\int_{G} f(g) d g=\int_{K \times A \times N} f(k a n) e^{2 \rho(\log a)} d k d a d n
$$

and

$$
\int_{G} f(g) d g=\int_{G / K} \int_{K} f(g k) d k d(g K)
$$

where

$$
\rho=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda \in \Sigma_{+}} m_{\lambda} \lambda,
$$

is the half-sum of positive restricted roots counted with their multiplicities $m_{\lambda}$ and $\log$ is the inverse of $\exp : \mathfrak{a} \mapsto A$. Moreover the invariant measure $d b=d(k M)$ on $B=K / M$ is normalized by [11]:

$$
\int_{B} d b=\int_{K / M} d(k M)=1 .
$$

Extend the Killing form of $G$ linearly to the complexification $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and keep the same symbol to denote this extension. For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\star}$, let $H_{\lambda}$ be the unique element in $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$ defined by:

$$
\lambda(H)=\left\langle H_{\lambda}, H\right\rangle, \quad \forall H \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}} .
$$

Put

$$
\langle\lambda, \mu\rangle=\left\langle H_{\lambda}, H_{\mu}\right\rangle, \quad \forall \lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\star} .
$$

For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\star}$ and $i=\sqrt{-1}$, we write

$$
\lambda=\mathcal{R} e \lambda+i \mathcal{I} m \lambda,
$$

where $\mathcal{R} e \lambda$ and $\mathcal{I} m \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^{\star}$ are respectively the real part and the imaginary part of $\lambda$, with

$$
\|\lambda\|^{2}=\|\mathcal{R} e \lambda\|^{2}+\|\mathcal{I} m \lambda\|^{2} .
$$

### 2.2 Helgason-Fourier transform, inversion formula, Plancherel formula

For this section, we refer to [11][12]. We view functions on $X$ as functions on $G$ which are $K$-invariant on the right. Then the Helgason-Fourier transform of a function $f$ on $X$ is the map $\tilde{f}$ on $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\star} \times B$ given by:

$$
\tilde{f}(\lambda, b)=\int_{X} f(x) e^{(-i \lambda+\rho) A(x, b)} d x
$$

where

$$
A(x, b)=A(g K, k M)=-H\left(g^{-1} k\right) \in \mathfrak{a}
$$

for $x=g K \in X$ and $b=k M \in B$. Moreover, if $f$ belongs to the space $\mathcal{D}(X)=C_{0}^{\infty}(X)$ of compactly supported smooth functions on $X$, then its inverse Helgason-Fourier transform is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\frac{1}{|W|} \int_{\mathfrak{a}^{\star} \times B} e^{(i \lambda+\rho) A(x, b)} \tilde{f}(\lambda, b) \frac{d \lambda d b}{|c(\lambda)|^{2}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|W|$ denotes the order of the Weyl group. The function $c$ is called the Harish-Chandra function and satisfies the following inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|c(\lambda)|^{-2} \leq c^{\prime}\left(1+\|\lambda\|^{2}\right)^{d} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $d=\operatorname{dim}(X)-\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{R}}(G)$ and $c^{\prime}$ is a positive constant. The HelgasonFourier transform

$$
L^{2}(X, d x) \rightarrow L^{2}\left(\mathfrak{a}_{+}^{\star} \times B, \frac{d \lambda d b}{|c(\lambda)|^{2}}\right), f \mapsto \tilde{f}
$$

is an isometry and we have the Plancherel formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{X}|f(x)|^{2} d x=\int_{\mathfrak{a}_{+}^{\star} \times B}|\widetilde{f}(\lambda, b)|^{2} \frac{d \lambda d b}{|c(\lambda)|^{2}} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathfrak{a}_{+}^{\star}=\left\{\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^{\star} \mid H_{\lambda} \in \mathfrak{a}_{+}\right\}$.

### 2.3 Spherical transform, euclidean Fourier transform, Abel transform

Let $f$ be a $K$-invariant function on $X$, i.e. left and right $K$-invariant on $G$. The Helgason-Fourier transform of $f$ is constant in the $B$-variable, and is $W$-invariant on $\mathfrak{a}^{\star}$. The spherical transform $\mathcal{F} f$ of $f$ is given by:

$$
\mathcal{F} f(\lambda)=\int_{X} f(x) \varphi_{-\lambda}(x) d x
$$

where $\varphi_{\lambda}$ is the spherical function defined by:

$$
\varphi_{\lambda}(x)=\varphi_{\lambda}(g K)=\int_{K} e^{(i \lambda+\rho) A(k g)} d k
$$

It will be useful to write the spherical transform as follows:

$$
\mathcal{F} f=\widehat{\mathcal{A} f}
$$

where ${ }^{\wedge}$ is the euclidean Fourier transform:

$$
\widehat{f}(\lambda)=\int_{\mathfrak{a}} f(H) e^{-i \lambda(H)} d H, \quad \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^{\star}
$$

and $\mathcal{A}$ is the Abel transform:

$$
\mathcal{A} f(H)=e^{\rho(H)} \int_{N} f((\exp H) n) d n, \quad H \in \mathfrak{a}
$$

### 2.4 Schwartz spaces

The Schwartz space on $X$ is the space $\mathcal{S}(X)$ of smooth functions on $X$ such that for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and any (left or right) invariant differential operator $D$ on $G$, we have:

$$
\sup |(D f)(g)|(1+\sigma(g))^{m} \Xi(g)^{-1}<\infty
$$

where

$$
\sigma(g)=\|Y\|, \quad g=k \exp (Y) \in G, Y \in \mathfrak{p}
$$

and

$$
\Xi(g)=\int_{K} e^{-\rho H(g K)} d k
$$

The following inclusions are standard:

$$
\mathcal{D}(X) \subset \mathcal{S}(X) \subset \mathrm{E}^{p}(X), p \geq 2
$$

Moreover $\mathcal{D}(X)$ is dense in $\mathcal{S}(X)$. Similarly $\mathcal{S}(K \backslash X)$ is the Schwartz space on $K \backslash X$. Write $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{a})\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathcal{S}\left(\mathfrak{a}^{\star}\right)\right)$ for the (classical) Schwartz space on $\mathfrak{a}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{a}^{\star}$ ). Recall the Weyl group action on $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{a}^{\star}$, and let $\mathcal{S}_{W}(\mathfrak{a})$ (resp. $\left.\mathcal{S}_{W}\left(\mathfrak{a}^{\star}\right)\right)$ be the subspace of $W$-invariant elements of $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{a})$ (resp. $\left.\mathcal{S}_{W}\left(\mathfrak{a}^{\star}\right)\right)$. In particular, $\mathcal{S}_{W}\left(\mathfrak{a}^{\star}\right)$ is the space of $W$-invariant complex functions $h$ such that $h$ and all its derivatives extend continuously to $\mathfrak{a}^{\star}$ and, for any polynomial function $P$ on $\mathfrak{a}$ and any integer $m \geq 0$, we have:

$$
\sup _{\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^{\star}}(\|\lambda\|+1)^{m}\left|P\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}\right) h(\lambda)\right|<+\infty .
$$

The following diagram is commutative (up to a normalizing constant) and each arrow is an isomorphism:


The fact that $\mathcal{F}$ is an isomorphism is a fundamental result of Harish-Chandra [8, 9, 10]. A simpler proof was obtained by Anker [2]. For the isomorphisms $\mathcal{A}$ and ${ }^{\wedge}$, we refer to [1]. Actually, a Paley-Wiener theorem of Helgason implies that $\mathcal{A}$ is an isomorphism between the space $\mathcal{D}(K \backslash X)$ of compactly supported smooth functions on $K \backslash X$ and the space $\mathcal{D}_{W}(\mathfrak{a})=C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathfrak{a})_{W}$ of $W$-invariant compactly supported smooth functions on $\mathfrak{a}$ [12].

### 2.5 Distributions on symmetric spaces

For $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ and $k \in K$, define $\psi_{k}$ to be the left translation of $\psi$ :

$$
\psi_{k}(x)=\psi\left(k^{-1} \cdot x\right) .
$$

A distribution $f$ on $X$ is said to be $K$-invariant if

$$
f\left(\psi_{k}\right)=f(\psi), \quad \forall \psi \in \mathcal{D}(X)
$$

A distribution on $X$ is said to be tempered if it can be extended to a continuous functional on $\mathcal{S}(X)$. Since $\mathcal{D}(X)$ is continuously embedded and dense in $\mathcal{S}(X)$, the space $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}(X)$ of tempered distribution can be regarded as the dual space to $\mathcal{S}(X)$. It is clear that every compactly supported distribution on $X$ is tempered:

$$
\mathcal{E}^{\prime}(X) \subset \mathcal{S}^{\prime}(X)
$$

and that $L^{2}(X)$ is continuously embedded in $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}(X)$. The Helgason-Fourier transform is a topological isomorphism of $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}(X)$ onto the space $\mathcal{Z}^{\prime}\left(\mathfrak{a}^{\star} \times B\right)$ dual to $\mathcal{Z}\left(\mathfrak{a}^{\star} \times B\right)$ (both equipped with their weak topologies, see [6]).

### 2.6 Convolution product on symmetric spaces

The convolution product on $X$ is denoted $\times$ and is defined by:

$$
\left(f_{1} \times f_{2}\right) \circ \pi=\left(f_{1} \circ \pi\right) \star\left(f_{2} \circ \pi\right)
$$

where

$$
\pi: G \mapsto X=G / K
$$

is the natural projection and $\star$ is the convolution product on $G$. It should be noted that the product of convolution on $X$ is not commutative, and therefore the Helgason-Fourier transform does not turn it into a multiplication. However this will be the case whenever the second factor is $K$-invariant (see [12]).

## 3 Besov spaces. Propagators

In this section we define Besov spaces and we state some results we will need later. First, we define a resolution of unity on $\mathfrak{a}^{\star}$ slightly different from the one given in [19].

### 3.1 Resolution of unity

Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(K \backslash X)$ a real function such that $\operatorname{supp} \varphi \subset \overline{B(e K, 1)}$ and $\mathcal{F} \varphi(0) \neq$ 0 , where $B(e K, 1)$ is the unit geodesic ball.
We define a function $\psi$ on $X$ such that:

$$
\psi=\mathcal{A} \varphi \text { and } \psi^{N}=\mathcal{A} \varphi^{N}
$$

where $\varphi^{N}=\Gamma^{N} \varphi^{N}$ for $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\Gamma=-\Delta-\|\rho\|^{2}$.
It is easy to see that $\mathcal{F} \varphi^{N}=\widehat{\mathcal{A} \varphi^{N}}=\widehat{\psi^{N}} \in \mathcal{S}_{W}\left(\mathfrak{a}^{\star}\right)$.
We suppose that for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^{\star}(\lambda \neq 0)$ :

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\widehat{\psi^{N}}\right)^{2}(t \lambda) \frac{d t}{t}=1
$$

We define a smooth function $\psi_{0, N}$ on $\mathfrak{a}$ by its Euclidean Fourier transform:

$$
\widehat{\psi_{0, N}}(\lambda)=1-\int_{0}^{1}\left(\widehat{\psi^{N}}\right)^{2}(t \lambda) \frac{d t}{t} .
$$

Then, since $\widehat{\psi^{N}} \in \mathcal{S}_{W}\left(\mathfrak{a}^{\star}\right)$, we can easily deduce that $\widehat{\psi_{0, N}} \in \mathcal{S}_{W}\left(\mathfrak{a}^{\star}\right)$ and $\psi_{0, N} \in \mathcal{D}_{W}(\mathfrak{a})$.
We consider the function:

$$
\varphi_{0, N}=\mathcal{A}^{-1} \psi_{0, N}
$$

Then by using the fact that $\mathcal{A}$ is an isomorphism between $\mathcal{D}(K \backslash X)$ and $\mathcal{D}_{W}(\mathfrak{a})$, we remark that $\varphi_{0, N}$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}(K \backslash X)$. A simple computation shows that:

$$
\mathcal{F} \varphi_{0, N}(\lambda)+\int_{0}^{1}\left(\mathcal{F} \varphi^{N}\right)^{2}(t \lambda) \frac{d t}{t}=1 .
$$

Finally, let $\varphi_{t}^{N}$ a function in $\mathcal{D}(K \backslash X)$ given by $\varphi_{t}^{N}=\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{F} \varphi^{N}(t).\right)$. Then we have the following formula of Calderon type [19]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\left(f \times \varphi_{0, N}\right)(x)+\int_{0}^{1}\left(f \times \varphi_{t}^{N} \times \varphi_{t}^{N}\right)(x) \frac{d t}{t}, \quad \forall f \in L^{2}(X) . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We conclude that the system $\left\{\varphi_{0, N}, \varphi_{t}^{N}\right\}_{0<t \leq 1}$ is a continuous resolution of unity on $\mathfrak{a}^{\star}$.
Now, we are in the position to give a definition of inhomogeneous Besov spaces on $X$.

### 3.2 Besov spaces

Definition 3.1. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty, 1 \leq q<\infty$, and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $N$ be a positive integer such that $2 N>|s|$. Let $\left\{\varphi_{0, N}, \varphi_{t}^{N}\right\}_{0<t \leq 1}$ be the system of functions defined above. Then the Besov space is the set denoted by $B_{p}^{s, q}(X)$ and given by:

$$
B_{p}^{s, q}(X)=\left\{f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}(X) ;\|f\|_{B_{p}^{s, q}(X)}<\infty\right\}
$$

where:

$$
\|f\|_{B_{p^{s, q}(X)}}=\left\|f \times \varphi_{0, N}\right\|_{L^{p}(X)}+\left(\int_{0}^{1} t^{-s q}\left\|f \times \varphi_{t}^{N}\right\|_{L^{p}(X)}^{q} \frac{d t}{t}\right)^{1 / q} .
$$

## Remark 1.

1. We supposed that $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(K \backslash X)$, while Skrzypczak have considered in [19] $\varphi$ not to be necessarily a smooth function with compact support.
2. Observe that the spaces $B_{2}^{0,2}(X)$ and $L^{2}(X)$ are equivalent.
3. In [17] Skrzypczak proved that for $1<p<\infty$ :

$$
B_{p}^{s_{, q}}(X)=\left(H_{p}^{s_{0}}(X), H_{p}^{s_{1}}(X)\right)_{\theta, q}
$$

with $0<\theta<1, s=\theta \cdot s_{0}+(1-\theta) \cdot s_{1}, \quad H_{p}^{s}(X)$ is the Bessel-potential space and $(., .)_{\theta, q}$ denotes the real interpolation method.
Then, we can deduce that the above defined Besov spaces coincide with the Besov spaces defined on $X$ by uniform localization (see [23]) and $B_{p}^{s, q}(X)$ is a Banach space for $1<p<\infty$.
4. It is also known that the dual $\left(H_{p}^{s}(X)\right)^{\prime}$ of $H_{p}^{s}(X)$ is equal to $H_{p^{\prime}}^{-s}(X)$. Then by the duality theorem from real interpolation, we deduce that:

$$
\left(B_{p}^{s, q}(X)\right)^{\prime}=B_{p^{\prime}}^{-s, q^{\prime}}(X)
$$

with $1 / p+1 / p^{\prime}=1 / q+1 / q^{\prime}=1$.

### 3.3 Applications

As an application of (3.7) and the definition of Besov spaces, we prove the following result.

Proposition 3.2. For all $p \geq 2$, we have $B_{p}^{0,1}(X) \subset L^{p}(X)$.
Proof. Let $u$ in $B_{p}^{0,1}(X)$ then, by formula (3.7), we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_{L^{p}(X)} & \leq\left\|u \times \varphi_{0, N}\right\|_{L^{p}(X)}+\int_{0}^{1}\left\|u \times \varphi_{t}^{N} \times \varphi_{t}^{N}\right\|_{L^{p}(X)} \frac{d t}{t} \\
& \leq\left\|u \times \varphi_{0, N}\right\|_{L^{p}(X)}+\int_{0}^{1}\left\|u \times \varphi_{t}^{N}\right\|_{L^{p}(X)}\left\|\varphi_{t}^{N}\right\|_{L^{1}(X)} \frac{d t}{t} \\
& \leq\left\|u \times \varphi_{0, N}\right\|_{L^{p}(X)}+c \int_{0}^{1}\left\|u \times \varphi_{t}^{N}\right\|_{L^{p}(X)} \frac{d t}{t} \\
& \leq c .\|u\|_{B_{p}^{0,1}(X)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used the Young inequality and the fact $\left\|\varphi_{t}^{N}\right\|_{L^{1}(X)} \leq c$ for all $0<t \leq 1$ (see [19]).

As an application of the atomic decomposition of Besov spaces, Skrzypczak in [19] showed the following result.

Proposition 3.3. Let $1 \leq p, q, q_{0}, q_{1} \leq \infty$ and $s, s_{0}, s_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$.
(i) (Elementary embedding)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
B_{p}^{s_{q}, q_{0}}(X) \subset B_{p}^{s_{q}, q_{1}}(X) & q_{0} \leq q_{1} \\
B_{p}^{s_{0}, q}(X) \subset B_{p}^{s_{1}, q}(X) & s_{1} \leq s_{0} . \tag{3.9}
\end{array}
$$

(ii) (Embedding with different metrics)

$$
\begin{gather*}
B_{p}^{s_{0}, q}(X) \subset B_{\infty}^{s_{1}, q}(X)
\end{gathered} \quad s_{1}=s_{0}-n / p ~ 子 \begin{gathered}
B_{1}^{s_{0}, q}(X) \subset B_{p}^{s_{1}, q}(X)  \tag{3.10}\\
B_{1}^{0,1}(X) \subset L^{1}(X) \subset B_{1}^{0, \infty}(X)  \tag{3.11}\\
B_{\infty}^{0,1}(X) \subset C(X) \subset B_{\infty}^{0, \infty}(X) \tag{3.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $C(X)$ denotes the space of bounded continuous functions on $X$.

### 3.4 Propagators on $X$

We start this paragraph by giving a definition concerning the powers of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on $X$.

Definition 3.4. For all integer $\mu$, we define the operator $\Delta_{0}^{\mu / 2}$ by

$$
\widetilde{\Delta_{0}^{\mu / 2} f}(\lambda, b)=Z_{\rho}(\lambda)^{\mu} \widetilde{f}(\lambda, b) .
$$

where $\Delta_{0}=-\Delta$ and $Z_{\rho}(\lambda)=\left(\|\lambda\|^{2}+\|\rho\|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$, for a smooth function $f$ or $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}(X)$.

Lemma 3.5. (i) Let $\mathcal{K}_{W}\left(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\star}\right)$ be the space of functions $\psi$ which can be extended to a $W$-invariant entire holomorphic functions on $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\star}$ such that:
there exist a constant $R \geq 0$ and the integer $m \geq 0$ satisfying the following condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{*}}(1+\|\lambda\|)^{-m} e^{-R\left\|I_{m \lambda}\right\|}|\psi(\lambda)|<+\infty . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the spherical transform $\mathcal{F}$ is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}(K \backslash X)$ to $\mathcal{K}_{W}\left(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\star}\right)$.
(ii) If $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}(X)$ and $h \in \mathcal{E}^{\prime}(K \backslash X)$ then $f \times h \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}(X)$ and we have:

$$
\widetilde{(f \times h)}(\lambda, b)=\widetilde{f}(\lambda, b) \cdot \mathcal{F} h(\lambda) \quad \forall(\lambda, b) \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\star} \times B
$$

The first point is a Paley-Wiener theorem due to Helgason [12] concerning the compactly supported $K$-invariant distributions on $X$. Also we can find the proof of the second point in [18].
If we use Definition 3.4 and the above lemma one can find one solution of our Cauchy problem (1.1). We suppose that $u_{0}, u_{1} \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}(X)$. Then reformulating (1.1) in Fourier variables, the solution of (1.1) may be written as:

$$
\widetilde{u}(t, \lambda, b)=\widetilde{u_{0}}(\lambda, b) \cos Z_{\rho}(\lambda) t+\widetilde{u_{1}}(\lambda, b) \frac{\sin Z_{\rho}(\lambda) t}{Z_{\rho}(\lambda)} .
$$

One can check that the function $\lambda \mapsto \psi_{\rho, t}(\lambda)=\frac{\sin Z_{\rho}(\lambda) t}{Z_{\rho}(\lambda)}$ is $W$-invariant, holomorphic on $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\star}$ and satisfies (3.14). In particular these function belongs to the space $\mathcal{K}_{W}\left(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\star}\right)$.
According to Lemma 3.5, there is one and only one $\mathcal{E}_{t} \in \mathcal{E}^{\prime}(K \backslash X)$ such that:

$$
\mathcal{F} \mathcal{E}_{t}(\lambda)=\psi_{\rho, t}(\lambda) \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\star} .
$$

Also, by the same lemma, we have $u_{0} \times \mathcal{E}_{t} \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}(X)$ and that:

$$
\left(\widetilde{u_{0} \times \mathcal{E}_{t}}\right)(\lambda, b)=\widetilde{u_{0}}(\lambda, b) \mathcal{F} \mathcal{E}_{t}(\lambda) \quad \forall(\lambda, b) \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\star} \times B
$$

Then we deduce that:

$$
\partial_{t}\left(\widetilde{u_{0} \times \mathcal{E}_{t}}\right)(\lambda, b)=\left[\partial_{t} \widetilde{u}_{0}(\lambda, b)\right] \mathcal{F} \mathcal{E}_{t}(\lambda)=\widetilde{u_{0}}(\lambda, b)\left[\partial_{t} \mathcal{F} \mathcal{E}_{t}(\lambda)\right] .
$$

Since we have:

$$
\partial_{t}\left(\widetilde{u_{0} \times \mathcal{E}_{t}}\right)(\lambda, b)=\left(\widetilde{u_{0} \times \partial_{t} \mathcal{E}_{t}}\right)(\lambda, b)=\widetilde{u_{0}}(\lambda, b)\left[\mathcal{F} \partial_{t} \mathcal{E}_{t}(\lambda)\right],
$$

we deduce that:

$$
\partial_{t} \mathcal{F} \mathcal{E}_{t}(\lambda)=\mathcal{F} \partial_{t} \mathcal{E}_{t}(\lambda) .
$$

Then, if we denote

$$
\partial_{t} \mathcal{E}_{t}=\mathcal{E}_{t}^{\prime},
$$

the solution $u$ is written:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x)=\left(u_{0} \times \mathcal{E}_{t}^{\prime}\right)(x)+\left(u_{1} \times \mathcal{E}_{t}\right)(x) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore we have the following result.
Proposition 3.6. If $u_{0}, u_{1} \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}(X)$, the solution of (1.1) is given by (3.15), where $\mathcal{E}_{t} \in \mathcal{E}^{\prime}(K \backslash X)$ is a solution of the partial differential equation:

$$
\partial_{t}^{2} \mathcal{E}_{t}-\Delta \mathcal{E}_{t}=0, \quad \mathcal{E}_{0}=0, \quad \mathcal{E}_{0}^{\prime}=\delta_{0} .
$$

Proof. The fact that $\partial_{t}^{2} \mathcal{E}_{t}-\Delta \mathcal{E}_{t}=0$, is a direct consequence of Definition 3.4 and the expression of $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{E}_{t}$.

Definition 3.7. For all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the operator $U_{t}$ by:

$$
\widetilde{\left(U_{t} f\right)}(\lambda, b)=e^{i Z_{\rho}(\lambda) t} \widetilde{f}(\lambda, b) \quad(\lambda, b) \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\star} \times B,
$$

for a smooth function $f$ on $X$ or $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}(X)$. In this case, we may view $\mathcal{E}_{t}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{t}^{\prime}$ as the operators defined by:

$$
\mathcal{E}_{t}=\frac{1}{2 i}(-\Delta)^{-1 / 2}\left(U_{t}-U_{-t}\right) \text { and } \mathcal{E}_{t}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}\left(U_{t}+U_{-t}\right)
$$

The operator $\mathcal{E}_{t}$ is called the propagator of the solution $u$ given by (3.15).

## 4 Proof of Theorem 1

The proof of our first result (Theorem 1 mentioned in the introduction) will follow from the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. Let $N$ be a positive integer such that $n=\operatorname{dim}(X) \geq 4 N$. Let $\alpha=\operatorname{dim}(\mathfrak{a}) \geq 1$ and $I=[0,+\infty[$.

1. Let $u$ be a solution of the wave equation (1.1) on $X$ and, let $p$ and $r$ two real numbers such that $\frac{2}{r}=\alpha .\left(1-\frac{2}{p}\right)$ and $2<p<\frac{2 n}{n-4 N+2}$. Then there exists a positive number $c_{r}>0$ depending of $r$ such that if $u_{0},(-\Delta)^{1 / 2} u_{0}$ and $u_{1}$ belong to $L^{2}(X)$, we have:
$\|u\|_{L^{r}\left(I, B_{p}^{s, 2}(X)\right)}+\left\|\partial_{t} u\right\|_{L^{r}\left(I, B_{p}^{s-1,2}(X)\right)} \leq c_{r} \cdot\left[\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(X)}+\left\|(-\Delta)^{1 / 2} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(X)}\right.$
$\left.+\left(1+\frac{1}{\|\rho\|}\right)\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}(X)}\right]$
where $s=\frac{n}{2}\left(\frac{2}{p}-1\right)$.
2. Let $f$ a distribution on $X$ which also depend on time. Let $p_{i}, p_{i}^{\prime}, r_{i}$ and $r_{i}^{\prime}$ eight real numbers such that $\frac{1}{p_{i}}+\frac{1}{p_{i}^{\prime}}=\frac{1}{r_{i}}+\frac{1}{r_{i}^{\prime}}=1, \frac{2}{r_{i}}=\alpha .\left(1-\frac{2}{p_{i}}\right)$ and $2<p_{i}<\frac{2 n}{n-4 N}$ for $i=1,2$. Then there exists a positive number $c>0$ such that if $f$ belongs to $L^{r_{2}^{\prime}}\left(I, B_{p_{2}^{\prime}}^{-s_{2}, 2}(X)\right)$, we have:

$$
\left\|\mathcal{E}_{(.)}^{\prime} *_{t} f\right\|_{L^{r_{1}\left(I, B_{p_{1}}^{s_{1}, 2}(X)\right)}} \leq c .\|f\|_{L^{r_{2}^{\prime}\left(I, B_{p_{2}^{\prime}}^{-s_{2}, 2}(X)\right)}}
$$

where $s_{i}=\frac{n}{2}\left(\frac{2}{p_{i}}-1\right)$ for $i=1,2$.

### 4.1 Proof of proposition 4.1

We proceed in several steps.
Step 1. We recall a Paley-Wiener due to Helgason for compactly supported smooth functions on $K \backslash X$.

Lemma 4.2. Let $\mathcal{H}_{W}\left(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\star}\right)$ the space of functions $h$ which can be extended to a $W$-invariant entire holomorphic functions on $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\star}$ such that: there exists a constant $R \geq 0$ such that for each integer $m \geq 0$ :

$$
\sup _{\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\star}}(1+\|\lambda\|)^{m} e^{-R\|I m \lambda\|}|h(\lambda)|<+\infty .
$$

Then the spherical transform $\mathcal{F}$ is an isomorphism from $\mathcal{D}(K \backslash X)$ onto $\mathcal{H}_{W}\left(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\star}\right)$.
A proof of this lemma can be found in [11, page 450].
Now, let $\left(\varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)_{0<\varepsilon \leq 1}$ be a sequence of smooth functions on $X$ with spherical transform given by:

$$
\mathcal{F} \varphi_{\varepsilon}(\lambda)=\frac{\|\lambda\|^{2}}{\varepsilon+\|\lambda\|^{2}} e^{-\varepsilon\left(1+\|\lambda\|^{2}\right)} \quad \text { for } \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\star} .
$$

Then by the previous lemma, one can show that $\mathcal{F} \varphi_{\varepsilon}$ and $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$ belongs respectively to $\mathcal{H}_{W}\left(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\star}\right)$ and $\mathcal{D}(K \backslash X)$.

Step 2. We prove two properties for the sequence $\left(\varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)_{0<\varepsilon \leq 1}$ defined above.
Lemma 4.3. For the system of smooth functions $\left\{\varphi_{0, N}, \varphi_{t^{\prime}}^{N}\right\}_{0<t^{\prime} \leq 1, N \in \mathbb{N}^{\star}}$, defined in Section 3, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
f \times \varphi_{0, N} & =f \times \varphi_{0, N} \times \varphi_{\varepsilon} \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}(X) .  \tag{4.16}\\
f \times \varphi_{t^{\prime}}^{N} & =f \times \varphi_{t^{\prime}}^{N} \times \varphi_{\varepsilon} \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}(X) . \tag{4.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We follow the same idea used to show inequality (9) in [19].
Let $f \in C^{\infty}(X) \cap \mathcal{S}^{\prime}(X)$. Then using the dominated convergence theorem, we can find a sequence $\left(f_{n}\right) \subset \mathcal{D}(X)$ such that $f_{n} \rightarrow f$ in $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}(X)$.
Thus it is sufficient to prove (4.16) for $f \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ with the $L^{2}$-convergence. By Plancherel formula (2.6), we have:

$$
\left\|f \times \varphi_{0, N}-f \times \varphi_{0, N} \times \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}(X)}^{2}=\int_{\mathfrak{a}_{+}^{\star} \times B} h_{\varepsilon}(\lambda, b) \frac{d \lambda d b}{|c(\lambda)|^{2}}
$$

where

$$
h_{\varepsilon}(\lambda, b)=\left|\widetilde{f}(\lambda, b) \mathcal{F} \varphi_{0, N}(\lambda)\right|^{2}\left|1-\mathcal{F} \varphi_{\varepsilon}(\lambda)\right|^{2}
$$

But, observe that:

$$
0 \leq \mathcal{F} \varphi_{\varepsilon}(\lambda) \leq 1, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\star},
$$

and that

$$
\mathcal{F} \varphi_{\varepsilon}(\lambda) \rightarrow 1 \text { if } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\star}
$$

We deduce that:

$$
\begin{gathered}
h_{\varepsilon}(\lambda, b) \leq 4 .\left|\widetilde{f}(\lambda, b) \mathcal{F} \varphi_{0, N}(\lambda)\right|^{2} \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\star} . \\
h_{\varepsilon}(\lambda, b) \rightarrow 0 \text { if } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\star} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus by the dominated convergence theorem, we conclude that:

$$
\int_{\mathfrak{a}_{+}^{\ddagger} \times B} h_{\varepsilon}(\lambda, b) \frac{d \lambda d b}{|c(\lambda)|^{2}} \rightarrow 0 \text { if } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Similarly, we prove equality (4.17).
Lemma 4.4. There exists a positif number $c>0$ such that for the operator $U_{t}$ given in Definition 3.3, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|U_{t} \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(X)} \leq c t^{-\alpha} I(t) \quad \forall t \geq 1 \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|U_{t} \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(X)} \leq c t^{-\alpha} \cdot t^{\prime-n} I(t) \quad \forall t \geq 1, \forall 0<t^{\prime} \leq 1, \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I(t)=\int_{\mathfrak{a}^{\star}}\left|\mathcal{F} \varphi_{\varepsilon}\left(t^{-1} \lambda\right)\right|\left(1+t^{-2}\|\lambda\|^{2}\right)^{d}$ and $d=\operatorname{dim}(X)-\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{R}}(G)$.
Proof. It is clear that the support of $U_{t} \varphi_{\varepsilon}$ is included in the support of $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$. Then it is sufficient to consider the $L^{\infty}$-norm on the support of $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$.
According to inversion formula (2.4) and inequality (2.5), we write:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|U_{t} \varphi(x)\right| & =\left|\frac{1}{|W|} \int_{\mathfrak{a}^{\star} \times B} e^{i t Z_{\rho}(\lambda)} \mathcal{F} \varphi_{\varepsilon}(\lambda) e^{(i \lambda+\rho) A(x, b)} \frac{d \lambda d b}{|c(\lambda)|^{2}}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{|W|} \sup _{b \in B} e^{\rho A(x, b)} \int_{\mathfrak{a}^{\star}}\left|\mathcal{F} \varphi_{\varepsilon}(\lambda)\right|\left(1+\|\lambda\|^{2}\right)^{d} d \lambda \quad \forall x \in X \\
& =\frac{1}{|W|} t^{-\alpha} \sup _{b \in B} e^{\rho A(x, b)} \int_{\mathfrak{a}^{\star}}\left|\mathcal{F} \varphi_{\varepsilon}\left(t^{-1} \lambda\right)\right|\left(1+t^{-2}\|\lambda\|^{2}\right)^{d} d \lambda \quad \forall x \in X
\end{aligned}
$$

Then one has

$$
\sup _{x \in \operatorname{supp} \varphi_{\varepsilon}}\left|U_{t} \varphi_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq c t^{-\alpha} I(t)
$$

where

$$
I(t)=\int_{\mathfrak{a}^{\star}}\left|\mathcal{F} \varphi_{\varepsilon}\left(t^{-1} \lambda\right)\right|\left(1+t^{-2}\|\lambda\|^{2}\right)^{d} d \lambda
$$

and

$$
c=\frac{1}{|W|} \sup _{x \in \operatorname{supp} \varphi_{\varepsilon}, b \in B} e^{\rho A(x, b)} .
$$

proving (4.18). And Inequality (4.19) is a direct consequence of (4.18) if $0<t^{\prime} \leq 1$.

Corollary 4.5. There exists a positive number $c>0$ and a sufficiently large number $T$ such that:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|U_{t} \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(X)} \leq c . t^{-\alpha} \quad \forall t \geq T  \tag{4.20}\\
\left\|U_{t} \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(X)} \leq c \cdot t^{-\alpha} \cdot t^{\prime-n} \quad \forall t \geq T, \quad \forall 0<t^{\prime} \leq 1 . \tag{4.21}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. To show inequality (4.20), it suffices to prove that $I(t) \rightarrow 0$ when $t \rightarrow \infty$. Which is a direct consequence of the dominated convergence theorem, because $\mathcal{F} \varphi_{\varepsilon}(0)=0$.

Next using(4.16), inequality (4.20) and Young inequality, we deduce that:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|U_{t}\left(f \times \varphi_{0, N}\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}(X)} & =\left\|U_{t}\left(f \times \varphi_{0, N} \times \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}(X)} \\
& =\left\|f \times \varphi_{0, N} \times U_{t} \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(X)} \\
& \leq\left\|f \times \varphi_{0, N}\right\|_{L^{1}(X)} \cdot\left\|U_{t} \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(X)} \\
& \leq c . t^{-\alpha}\left\|f \times \varphi_{0, N}\right\|_{L^{1}(X)} \tag{4.22}
\end{align*}
$$

In addition, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|U_{t}\left(f \times \varphi_{0, N}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(X)}=\left\|f \times \varphi_{0, N}\right\|_{L^{2}(X)} \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus by interpolating inequalities (4.22) and (4.23), we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|U_{t}\left(f \times \varphi_{0, N}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}(X)} \leq c . t^{-\alpha .\left(1 / p^{\prime}-1 / p\right)}\left\|f \times \varphi_{0, N}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(X)} \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $1 \leq p^{\prime} \leq 2 \leq p \leq \infty$ such that $1 / p+1 / p^{\prime}=1$.
By using inequality (4.21) and the same arguments as those used to prove (4.24), we obtain that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|U_{t}\left(f \times \varphi_{t^{\prime}}^{N}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}(X)} \leq c . t^{-\alpha .\left(1 / p^{\prime}-1 / p\right)} t^{\left.-n .\left(1 / p^{\prime}-1 / p\right)\right)}\left\|f \times \varphi_{t^{\prime}}^{N}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(X)} \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore (4.24) and (4.25) give us the following inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|U_{t} f\right\|_{B_{p}^{s, q}(X)} \leq c . t^{-\alpha .(1-2 / p)}\|f\|_{B_{p^{\prime}}^{n(1-2 / p)+s, q}(X)} \quad \forall t \geq T \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $2 N>\max (|s|,|n(1-2 / p)+s|), 1 \leq p^{\prime} \leq 2 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$.

Step 3. We recall two results we will use in the last step. The first is an argument of duality due to Ginibre-Velo [7] and Yajima [24], and the second is the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality [13, page 117].

Lemma 4.6. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space, $Y$ a Banach space, $Y^{*}$ the dual of $Y$, and $\mathcal{D}$ a vector space densely contained in $Y$. Let $A \in \mathcal{L}_{a}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H})$ and let $A^{*} \in \mathcal{L}_{a}\left(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D}_{a}^{*}\right)$ be its adjoint, defined by

$$
\left\langle A^{*} v, f\right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}}=\langle v, A f\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{D}, \forall v \in \mathcal{H} .
$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{a}\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)$ is the space of linear maps from a vector space $Z_{1}$ to a vector space $Z_{2}, \mathcal{D}_{a}^{*}$ is the algebraic dual of $\mathcal{D}$ and $\langle\varphi, f\rangle_{\mathcal{D}}$ is the pairing between $\mathcal{D}_{a}^{*}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ (with $f \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{a}^{*}$ ). Then the following three conditions are equivalent.

1. There exist areal number $c_{1} \geq 0$ such that for all $f \in \mathcal{D}$,

$$
\|A f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq c_{1}\|f\|_{Y}
$$

2. $\mathcal{R}\left(A^{*}\right) \subset Y^{*}$, and there exist a real number $c_{2} \geq 0$ such that for all $v \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$
\left\|A^{*} v\right\|_{Y^{*}} \leq c_{2}\|v\|_{\mathcal{H}} .
$$

3. $\mathcal{R}\left(A^{*} A\right) \subset Y^{*}$, and there exist a real number $c_{3} \geq 0$ such that for all $f \in \mathcal{D}$,

$$
\left\|A^{*} A f\right\|_{Y^{*}} \leq c_{3}^{2}\|f\|_{Y}
$$

The constants $c_{1}, c_{2}$ and $c_{3}$ are equal. If one of these conditions is satisfied, the operators $A$ and $A^{*} A$ extend by continuously to bounded operators from $Y$ to $\mathcal{H}$ and from $Y$ to $Y^{*}$ respectively.

We use the following corollary to show the second part of Proposition 4.1.
Corollary 4.7. Let $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D}$ and $\left(Y_{i}, A_{i}\right), i=1,2$, satisfy any of the conditions of Lemma 4.6. Then for all choices of $i, j=1,2, \mathcal{R}\left(A_{i}^{*} A_{j}\right) \subset Y_{i}^{*}$, and for all $f \in \mathcal{D}$, one has:

$$
\left\|A_{i}^{*} A_{j} f\right\|_{Y^{*}} \leq c_{i} c_{j}\|f\|_{Y_{j}}
$$

where $c_{i}, c_{j}$ for $i, j=1,2$ are the constants given in Lemma 4.6.
Lemma 4.8. (Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality).
Let $k_{a}(y)=|y|^{-m / a}$. If $1<a<\infty$ and $1<p, q<\infty$ such that $1 / p+1 / a=$ $1+1 / q$, then $\left\|k_{a} * u\right\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)} \leq c_{p, a}\|u\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)}, u$ continuous with compact support.

We shall apply Lemma 4.6 and Corollary 4.7 in the following basic situation. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space, and $U$ a unitary strongly continuous one parameter group in $\mathcal{H}$. We define the bounded operator $A$ from $L^{1}(I, \mathcal{H})$ to $\mathcal{H}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A f=\int_{I} U(-t) f(t) d t \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then its adjoint $A^{*}$ is the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{*} v(t)=U(t) v \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

from $\mathcal{H}$ to $L^{\infty}(I, \mathcal{H})$, where the duality is defined by the scalar products in $\mathcal{H}$ and in $L^{2}(I, \mathcal{H})$. In particular $A^{*} A$ is the bounded operator from $L^{1}(I, \mathcal{H})$ to $L^{\infty}(I, \mathcal{H})$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{*} A f=\int_{I} U\left(t-t^{\prime}\right) f\left(t^{\prime}\right) d t^{\prime} \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 4. Let $f$ be a function defined on $X$ which also depend on time. Let $t$ and $t^{\prime}$ two real such that $t-t^{\prime} \geq T$. Let $\tau$ be a real number such that $t \geq \tau+T$. Then inequality (4.26) gives:

$$
\left\|U_{t-t^{\prime}} f\left(t^{\prime}, .\right)\right\|_{B_{p}^{s, q}(X)} \leq c\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)^{\alpha, \gamma}\left\|f\left(t^{\prime}, .\right)\right\|_{B_{p^{\prime}}^{-n \gamma+s, q}(X)}
$$

with $\gamma=\frac{2}{p}-1,2 N>\max (|s|,|-n \gamma+s|), 1 \leq p^{\prime} \leq 2 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$. Thus integrating in the variable $t^{\prime}$ on the interval $\left.\left.I_{\tau}=\right]-\infty, \tau\right]$, we obtain that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\tau}\left\|U_{t-t^{\prime}} f\left(t^{\prime}, .\right)\right\|_{B_{p}^{s, q}(X)} d t^{\prime} \leq c\left((.)^{\alpha \cdot \gamma} *_{t} \chi_{I_{\tau}}\|f\|_{B_{p^{\prime}}^{-n \gamma+s, q}(X)}\right)(t) \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

since,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(U_{(.)} *_{t} \chi_{I_{\tau}} f\right)(t)\right\|_{B_{p}^{s, q}(X)} & =\left\|\int_{-\infty}^{\tau} U_{t-t^{\prime}} f\left(t^{\prime}, .\right) d t^{\prime}\right\|_{B_{p}^{s, q}(X)} \\
& \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\tau}\left\|U_{t-t^{\prime}} f\left(t^{\prime}, .\right)\right\|_{B_{p}^{s, q}(X)} d t^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

then, by (4.30) and taken the $L^{r}$-norm on $I=[\tau+T,+\infty[$, we find that:

$$
\left\|\left\|U_{(.)} *_{t} \chi_{I_{\tau}} f\right\|_{B_{p}^{s, q}(X)}\right\|_{L^{r}(I)} \leq\left\|c(.)^{\alpha, \gamma} *_{t} \chi_{I_{\tau}}\right\| f(.)\left\|_{B_{p^{\prime}}^{-n \gamma+s, q}(X)}\right\|_{L^{r}(I)}
$$

for $1<r<\infty$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|U_{(.)} *_{t} \chi_{I_{\tau}} f\right\|_{L^{r}\left(I, B_{p}^{s, q}(X)\right)} \leq c_{\beta, \alpha, p}\left\|\chi_{I_{\tau}} f\right\|_{L^{\beta}\left(I, B_{p^{\prime}}^{-n \gamma+s, q}(X)\right)} \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality, with $1 / \beta-\alpha \gamma=1+1 / r$. To use Lemma 4.6, we choose $\beta, s$ and $q$ so that $L^{\beta}\left(I, B_{p^{\prime}}^{-n \gamma+s, q}(X)\right)$ is the dual of $L^{r}\left(I, B_{p}^{s, q}(X)\right)$. We take $\beta=r^{\prime}, q=2$ and $-n \gamma+s=-s$ i.e $s=\frac{n}{2} \gamma$. In this case we will have $2 / r=-\alpha \gamma$.
Then, taking $Y=L^{r^{\prime}}\left(I, B_{p^{\prime}}^{-\frac{n}{2} \gamma, 2}(X)\right)$ and $Y^{*}=L^{r}\left(I, B_{p}^{\frac{n}{2} \gamma, 2}(X)\right)$, the inequality (4.31) can be written:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|U_{(.)} *_{t} g\right\|_{Y^{*}} \leq c_{r}\|g\|_{Y} \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is exactly the condition 3 of Lemma 4.6 and who is equivalent to condition 2 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|U_{(.)} h\right\|_{L^{r}\left(I, B_{p}^{\frac{n}{2} \gamma, 2}(X)\right)} \leq c_{r}\|h\|_{L^{2}(X)} . \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

By taking account the expression of $u$, (4.33) enables us to write:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_{L^{r}\left(I, B_{p}^{\frac{n}{2} \gamma, 2}(X)\right)} & \leq\left\|U_{(.)} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{r}\left(I, B_{p}^{\frac{n}{2} \gamma, 2}(X)\right)}+\left\|U_{(.)}(-\Delta)^{-1 / 2} u_{1}\right\|_{L^{r}\left(I, B_{p}^{\frac{n}{2} \gamma, 2}(X)\right)} \\
& \leq c_{r}\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(X)}+\left\|(-\Delta)^{-1 / 2} u_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}(X)}\right) \\
& \leq c_{r}\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(X)}+\frac{1}{\|\rho\|}\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}(X)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used the Plancherel formula in the last inequality.
Now, for the term concerning $\partial_{t} u$, we multiply (4.25) by $t^{-s}$ with $s=\frac{n}{2} \gamma-1$, to obtain:

$$
\left\|U_{(.)} f\right\|_{L^{r}\left(I, B_{p}^{\frac{n}{2} \gamma-1,2}(X)\right)} \leq c_{r}\|f\|_{L^{2}(X)}
$$

showing that:

$$
\left\|\partial_{t} u\right\|_{L^{r}\left(I, B_{p}^{\frac{n}{2} \gamma-1,2}(X)\right)} \leq c_{r}\left(\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}(X)}+\left\|(-\Delta)^{1 / 2} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(X)}\right) .
$$

This completes the proof of the first part of the proposition.
Now to prove the second part of the proposition, we consider the spaces:

$$
Y_{1}=L^{r_{1}^{\prime}}\left(I, B_{p_{1}^{\prime}}^{-\frac{n}{2} \gamma\left(p_{1}\right), 2}(X)\right) \quad Y_{1}^{*}=L^{r_{1}}\left(I, B_{p_{1}}^{\frac{n}{2} \gamma\left(p_{1}\right), 2}(X)\right)
$$

$$
Y_{2}=L^{r_{2}^{\prime}}\left(I, B_{p_{2}^{\prime}}^{-\frac{n}{2} \gamma\left(p_{2}\right), 2}(X)\right) \quad Y_{2}^{*}=L^{r_{2}}\left(I, B_{p_{2}}^{\frac{n}{2} \gamma\left(p_{2}\right), 2}(X)\right)
$$

with $\gamma\left(p_{i}\right)=\frac{2}{p_{i}}-1$ for $i=1,2$. And we remark that:

$$
A_{1}^{*} A_{2}^{*} f=U_{(.)} *_{t} f
$$

Thus according to Corollary 4.7, $A_{1}^{*} A_{2}^{*}$ is bounded from $Y_{2}$ onto $Y_{1}^{*}$, i.e.

$$
\left\|U_{(.)} *_{t} f\right\|_{L^{r_{1}\left(I, B_{p_{1}}^{\frac{n}{p_{1}} \gamma\left(p_{1}\right), 2}(X)\right)}} \leq c .\|f\|_{L^{r_{2}^{\prime}\left(I, B_{p_{2}^{\prime}}^{-\frac{n}{2} \gamma\left(p_{2}\right), 2}(X)\right)}}
$$

This completes the proof of proposition 4.1.

### 4.2 Proof of Theorem 1

We can deduce easily Theorem 1 if we take $\tau=-T$ used in the proof of the first part of proposition.

## 5 Proof of Theorem 2

We shall now prove our second result (Theorem 2 mentioned in the introduction). As mentioned in the previous section, we shall use Lemma 4.4. For the solution $u$ of (1.1) given by expression (3.15), we write:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|u(t, .)\|_{L^{\infty}(X)} & \leq\left\|U_{t} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(X)}+\left\|U_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1 / 2} u_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(X)} \\
& \leq c\left(\left\|U_{t} u_{0}\right\|_{B_{\infty}^{0,1}(X)}+\left\|U_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1 / 2} u_{1}\right\|_{B_{\infty}^{0,1}(X)}\right) \\
& \leq c t^{-\alpha}\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{B_{1}^{n, 1}(X)}+\left\|(-\Delta)^{-1 / 2} u_{1}\right\|_{B_{1}^{n, 1}(X)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used inclusion (3.13) and inequality (4.26) for $p=\infty, s=0$ and $q=1$ in the last inequality. This proves the first result.

Remark 2. We can prove these results without using (4.26). In fact, by formula (3.7), we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|U_{t} f\right\|_{L^{\infty}(X)} & \leq\left\|U_{t}\left(f \times \varphi_{0, N}\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}(X)}+\int_{0}^{1}\left\|U_{t}\left(f \times \varphi_{t^{\prime}}^{N} \times \varphi_{t^{\prime}}^{N}\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}(X)} \frac{d t^{\prime}}{t^{\prime}} \\
& \leq c t^{-\alpha}\left\|f \times \varphi_{0, N}\right\|_{L^{1}(X)}+c . t^{-\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} t^{\prime-n}\left\|f \times \varphi_{t^{\prime}}^{N}\right\|_{L^{1}(X)} \frac{d t^{\prime}}{t^{\prime}} \\
& =c t^{-\alpha}\|f\|_{B_{1}^{n, 1}(X)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now if we use Proposition 3.2 and (4.26) for $s=0$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|u(t, .)\|_{L^{p}(X)} & \leq\left\|U_{t} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}(X)}+\left\|U_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1 / 2} u_{1}\right\|_{L^{p}(X)} \\
& \leq c \cdot\left(\left\|U_{t} u_{0}\right\|_{B_{p}^{0,1}(X)}+\left\|U_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1 / 2} u_{1}\right\|_{B_{p}^{0,1}(X)}\right) \\
& \leq c \cdot t^{\alpha \gamma}\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{B_{p^{\prime}}^{-n \gamma, 1}(X)}+\left\|(-\Delta)^{-1 / 2} u_{1}\right\|_{B_{p^{\prime}}^{-n \gamma, 1}(X)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Which proves Theorem 2.
Remark 3. In Theorem 1, we have imposed that $2<p<\min \left(\frac{2 n}{n-4 N}, \frac{2 \alpha}{\alpha-1}\right)$. This restriction comes from the assumptions $1<a=-\frac{1}{\alpha \gamma}<\infty$ in Hardy-Liitlewood-Sobolev inequality and the definition of Besov spaces.
The restrictions on the dimension $n$ of $X$ and on $L^{p}$-spaces depend on integer $N$ which comes from the definition of Besov spaces. We can prove other estimates not utilizing the dimension of $X$. Indeed, we have:

Theorem 1': Let $\alpha=\operatorname{dim}(\mathfrak{a}) \geq 1$ and $I=[0,+\infty[$. Let $u$ be a solution of the wave equation (1.1) on $X$ and, let $p$ and $r$ be two real numbers such that $2 / r=\alpha\left(1-\frac{2}{p}\right)$ and $2<p<\frac{2 \alpha}{\alpha-1}$. Then there exists a positive number $c_{r}>0$, depending of $r$, such that if $u_{0}$ and $u_{1}$ belong to $L^{2}(X)$, we have:

$$
\|u\|_{L^{r}\left(I, B_{p}^{0,2}(X)\right)} \leq c_{r}\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(X)}+\frac{1}{\|\rho\|}\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}(X)}\right) .
$$

For dispersive properties, we have:
Theorem 2' : Let $u$ be a solution of the wave equation (1.1) on $X$ and write $\alpha=\operatorname{dim}(\mathfrak{a})$. Let $p$ and $p^{\prime}$ two real numbers such that $1 / p+1 / p^{\prime}=1$ and $1 \leq p^{\prime} \leq 2 \leq p \leq \infty$. We suppose that $u_{0}$ and $(-\Delta)^{-1 / 2} u_{1}$ are in $B_{p^{\prime}}^{0,1}(X)$. Then there exist a constant $c>0$ and a sufficiently large number $T$ such that for all $t \geq T$, we have:

$$
\|u(t, .)\|_{L^{p}(X)} \leq c t^{\alpha \gamma}\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{B_{p^{\prime}}^{0,1}(X)}+\left\|(-\Delta)^{-1 / 2} u_{1}\right\|_{B_{p^{\prime}}^{0,1}(X)}\right),
$$

where $\gamma=\frac{2}{p}-1$.
For the proof of these two theorems, it is enough to consider the inequality:

$$
\left\|U_{t} \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(X)} \leq c t^{-\alpha} \text { for all } t \geq T
$$

which implies that:

$$
\left\|U_{t} f\right\|_{B_{p}^{s, q}(X)} \leq c . t^{-\alpha .(1-2 / p)}\|f\|_{B_{p^{\prime}}^{s, q}(X)},
$$

for $2 N>|s|, 2 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$.
Then we use the same calculations as in the preceding proofs.
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