



HAL
open science

Representation theorems for backward doubly stochastic differential equations

Auguste Aman

► **To cite this version:**

Auguste Aman. Representation theorems for backward doubly stochastic differential equations. 2008.
hal-00196841v3

HAL Id: hal-00196841

<https://hal.science/hal-00196841v3>

Submitted on 6 Nov 2008 (v3), last revised 11 Nov 2008 (v4)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Representation Theorems for Backward Doubly Stochastic Differential Equations

Auguste Aman *

UFR de Mathématiques et Informatique,
22 BP 582 Abidjan 22, Côte d'Ivoire

Abstract

In this paper we study the class of backward doubly stochastic differential equations (BDSDEs, for short) whose terminal value depends on the history of forward diffusion. We first establish a probabilistic representation for the spatial gradient of the stochastic viscosity solution to a quasilinear parabolic SPDE in the spirit of the Feynman-Kac formula, without using the derivatives of the coefficients of the corresponding BDSDE. Then such a representation leads to a closed-form representation of the martingale integrand of BDSDE, under only standard Lipschitz condition on the coefficients.

Key Words: Adapted solution, anticipating stochastic calculus, backward doubly SDEs, stochastic partial differential equation, stochastic viscosity solutions.

MSC: 60H15; 60H20

1 Introduction

Backward stochastic differential equations (BSDEs, for short) were firstly been considered in it linear form by Bismut [1, 2] in the context of optimal stochastic control. However, nonlinear BSDEs and their theory have been introduced by Pardoux and Peng [12]. It has been enjoying a great interest in the last ten year because of its connection with applied fields. We can cite stochastic control and stochastic games (see [8]) and mathematical finance (see [6]). BSDEs also provide a probabilistic interpretation for solutions to elliptic or parabolic nonlinear partial differential equations generalizing the classical Feynman-Kac formula [13, 14]. A new class

*augusteaman5@yahoo.fr

of BSDEs, called backward doubly stochastic differential equations (BDSDEs, in short), was considered by Pardoux and Peng [15]. This new kind of BSDEs present two stochastic integrals driven by two independent Brownian motions B and W and is of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_s = & \xi + \int_s^T f(r, Y_r, Z_r) dr + \int_s^T g(r, Y_r, Z_r) \downarrow dB_r \\
& - \int_s^T Z_r dW_r, \quad s \in [t, T],
\end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where ξ is a square integrable variable. Let us remark that in the sens of Pardoux Peng, the integral driven by $\{B_r\}_{r \geq 0}$ is a backward Itô integral and the other one driven by $\{W_r\}_{r \geq 0}$ is the standard forward Itô integral. Further, backward doubly SDEs seem to be suitable giving a probabilistic representation for a system of parabolic stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs, in short). We refer to Pardoux and Peng [15] for the link between SPDEs and BDSDEs in the particular case where solutions of SPDEs are regular. The general situation is much delicate to treat because of difficulties of extending the notion of viscosity solutions to SPDEs. The stochastic viscosity solution for semi-linear SPDEs was introduced for the first time in Lions and Souganidis [10]. They used the so-called "stochastic characteristic" to remove the stochastic integrals from an SPDE. Another way of defining a stochastic viscosity solution of SPDE is via an appeal to the Doss-Sussman transformation. Buckdahn and Ma [3, 4] were the first to use this approach in order to connect the stochastic viscosity solutions of SPDEs with BDSDEs.

In this paper we consider the approach of defining stochastic viscosity solution of SPDEs given by Buckdahn and Ma [3, 4] which, in our mind is natural and coincide (if $g \equiv 0$) with the well-know viscosity solution of PDEs introduced by Crandall et al [5]. In this fact, we will work in the sequel of this paper with the version of backward doubly SDEs introduced in [3, 4], which is in fact a time reversal of that considered by Pardoux and Peng [15]. Indeed, for l, f be Lipschitz continuous functions in their spatial variables and $g \in C_b^{0,2,3}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^d)$, they consider a class of backward doubly SDEs is of this following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_s^{t,x} = & l(X_0^{t,x}) + \int_0^s f(r, X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^{t,x}, Z_r^{t,x}) dr + \int_0^s g(r, X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^{t,x}) dB_r \\
& - \int_0^s Z_r^{t,x} \downarrow dW_r, \quad s \in [0, t].
\end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

The diffusion process $X^{t,x}$ is the unique solution of the forward SDE

$$X_s^{t,x} = x + \int_s^t b(r, X_r^{t,x}) dr + \int_s^t \sigma(r, X_r^{t,x}) \downarrow dW_r \quad s \in [0, t], \tag{1.3}$$

where b and σ are some measurable functions. Here the superscript (t, x) indicates the dependence of the solution on the initial date (t, x) , and it will be omitted when the context is clear.

Buckdahn and Ma proved in their two works [3, 4], among other things, that $u(t, x) = Y_t^{t,x}$ is a stochastic viscosity solution of nonlinear parabolic SPDE:

$$\begin{aligned} du(t, x) &= [\mathcal{L}u(t, x) + f(t, x, u(t, x), (\nabla u \sigma)(t, x))] dt \\ &\quad + g(t, x, u(t, x)) dB_t, \quad (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d, \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

$$u(0, x) = l(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where \mathcal{L} defined by

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^k \sigma_{il} \sigma_{lj}(x) \partial_{x_i x_j}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^n b_j(x) \partial_{x_j},$$

is the infinitesimal operator generated by the diffusion process $X^{t,x}$. More precisely, they show thank to the Blumenthal 0-1 law that

$$\begin{aligned} u(t, x) &= \mathbb{E} \left\{ l(X_0^{t,x}) + \int_0^t f(r, X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^{t,x}, Z_r^{t,x}) ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^t g(r, X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^{t,x}) dB_r \mid \mathcal{F}_t^B \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

It is well know that u is a \mathcal{F}^B -measurable field. However, to the best of our knowledge, to date there has been no discussion in the literature concerning the path regularity of the process Z when f and l are only Lipschitz continuous, even in the special cases where the coefficients are enough regular.

Our goal in this paper is twofold. First we show that if the coefficients l and f are continuously differentiable, then the viscosity solution u of the SPDE (1.4) will have a continuous spatial gradient $\partial_x u$ and, more important, the following probabilistic representation holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x u(t, x) &= \mathbb{E} \left\{ l(X_0^{t,x}) N_0^t + \int_0^s f(r, X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^{t,x}, Z_r^{t,x}) N_r^s dr \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^t g(r, X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^{t,x}) N_r^s dB_r \mid \mathcal{F}_t^B \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

where N^s is some process defined on $[0, s]$, depending only on the solutions of the forward SDE (1.3) and its variational equation respectively. This representation can be thought of as a new type of nonlinear Feynman-Kac formula for the derivative of u , which does not seem to exist in the literature. The main significance of the formula, however, lies in that it does not depend on the derivatives of the coefficients of the backward doubly BSDE (1.2). Because of this special feature, we can then derive a representation

$$Z_s^{t,x} = \partial_x u(t, X_s^{t,x}) \sigma(t, X_s^{t,x}), \quad s \in [0, t], \quad (1.7)$$

under only a Lipschitz condition on l and f . This latter representation then enables us to prove the path regularity of the process Z , the second goal of this paper, even in the case where the terminal value of $Y^{t,x}$ is of the form $l(X_{t_0}, \dots, X_{t_n})$, where $\pi : 0 = t_0 < \dots < t_n = t$ is any partition of $[0, t]$, a result that does not seem to be amendable by any existing method.

Let us recall that this two representations have already be given by Ma and Zhang [11] in the case of a probabilistic representation for solutions of PDEs via BSDEs. Consequently our approach is be inspired by their works. However, there are particularities: first, the derivative notion is take in the flow sense (independent of ω_1) because u , the stochastic viscosity solution of the SPDE (1.4), is a random field. Secondly, to prove the continuity of the representation of the process Z , and since $\mathbf{F}_s^t = (\mathcal{F}_s^B \otimes \mathcal{F}_{s,t}^W)_{0 \leq s \leq t}$ is not a filtration, we consider the filtration $\mathbf{G}_s^t = (\mathcal{F}_s^B \otimes \mathcal{F}_{0,t}^W)_{0 \leq s \leq t}$.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we give all the necessary preliminaries. In section 3 we establish the new Feynman-Kac formula between coupled forward backward doubly SDE (1.1)-(1.3) and the SPDE (1.4), under the C^1 -assumption of the coefficients. The section 4 is devoted to give the main representation theorem assuming only the Lipschitz condition of the coefficients l and f . In section 5 we study the path regularity of the process Z .

2 Preliminaries

Let $T > 0$ a fixed time horizon. Throughout this paper $\{W_t, 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ and $\{B_t, 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ will denote two independent d -dimensional Brownian motions defined on the complete probability spaces $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1, \mathbb{P}_1)$ and $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2, \mathbb{P}_2)$ respectively. For any process $\{U_s, 0 \leq s \leq T\}$ defined on $(\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_i, \mathbb{P}_i)$ ($i = 1, 2$), we write $\mathcal{F}_{s,t}^U = \sigma(U_r - U_s, s \leq r \leq t)$ and $\mathcal{F}_t^U = \mathcal{F}_{0,t}^U$. Unless otherwise specified we consider

$$\Omega = \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, \quad \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}_1 \otimes \mathbb{P}_2.$$

In addition, we put for each $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\mathbf{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_s = \mathcal{F}_s^B \otimes \mathcal{F}_{s,T}^W \vee \mathcal{N}, 0 \leq s \leq T\}$$

where \mathcal{N} is the collection of \mathbb{P} -null sets. In other words, the collection \mathbf{F} is \mathbb{P} -complete but is neither increasing nor decreasing so that, it is not a filtration. Let us tell also that random variables $\xi(\omega_1)$, $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ and $\zeta(\omega_2)$, $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$ are considered as random variables on Ω via the following identification:

$$\xi(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \xi(\omega_1); \quad \zeta(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \zeta(\omega_2).$$

Let E denote a generic Euclidean space; and regardless of its dimension we denote $\langle ; \rangle$ to be the inner product and $|\cdot|$ the norm in E . if an other Euclidean spaces are needed, we shall label

them as $E_1; E_2, \dots$, etc. Furthermore, we use the notation $\partial_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, $\partial_x = (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_d})$ and $\partial^2 = \partial_{xx} = (\partial_{x_i x_j}^2)_{i,j=1}^d$, for $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$. Note that if $\psi = (\psi^1, \dots, \psi^d) : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, then $\partial_x \psi \triangleq (\partial x_j \psi^i)_{i,j=1}^d$ is a matrix. The meaning of $\partial_{xy}, \partial_{yy}$, etc. should be clear from the context.

The following spaces will be used frequently in the sequel (let \mathcal{X} denote a generic Banach space):

1. For $t \in [0, T]$, $L^0([0, t]; \mathcal{X})$ is the space of all measurable functions $\varphi : [0, t] \mapsto \mathcal{X}$.
2. For $0 \leq t \leq T$, $C([0, t]; \mathcal{X})$ is the space of all continuous functions $\varphi : [0, t] \mapsto \mathcal{X}$; further, for any $p > 0$ we denote $|\varphi|_{0,t}^{*,p} = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\varphi(s)\|_{\mathcal{X}}^p$ when the context is clear.
3. For any $k, n \geq 0$, $C^{k,n}([0, T] \times E; E_1)$ is the space of all E_1 -valued functions $\varphi(t, e)$, $(t, e) \in [0, T] \times E$, such that they are k -times continuously differentiable in t and n -times continuously differentiable in e .
4. $C_b^1([0, T] \times E; E_1)$ is the space of those $\varphi \in C^1([0, T] \times E; E_1)$ such that all the partial derivatives are uniformly bounded.
5. $W^{1,\infty}(E, E_1)$ is the space of all measurable functions $\psi : E \mapsto E_1$, such that for some constant $K > 0$ it holds that $|\psi(x) - \psi(y)|_{E_1} \leq K|x - y|_E, \forall x, y \in E$.
6. For any sub- σ -field $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_T^B$ and $0 \leq p < \infty$, $L^p(\mathcal{G}; E)$ denote all E -valued \mathcal{G} -measurable random variable ξ such that $\mathbb{E}|\xi|^p < \infty$. Moreover, $\xi \in L^\infty(\mathcal{G}; E)$ means it is \mathcal{G} -measurable and bounded.
7. For $0 \leq p < \infty$, $L^p(\mathbf{F}, [0, T]; \mathcal{X})$ is the space of all \mathcal{X} -valued, \mathbf{F} -adapted processes ξ satisfying $\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \|\xi_t\|_{\mathcal{X}}^p dt \right) < \infty$; and also, $\xi \in L^\infty(\mathbf{F}, [0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ means that the process ξ is uniformly essentially bounded in (t, ω) .
8. $C(\mathbf{F}, [0, T] \times E; E_1)$ is the space of E_1 -valued, continuous random field $\varphi : \Omega \times [0, T] \times E$, such that for fixed $e \in E$, $\varphi(\cdot, \cdot, e)$ is an \mathbf{F} -adapted process.

To simplify notation we often write $C([0, T] \times E; E_1) = C^{0,0}([0, T] \times E; E_1)$; and if $E_1 = \mathbb{R}$, then we often suppress E_1 for simplicity (e.g., $C^{k,n}([0, T] \times E; \mathbb{R}) = C^{k,n}([0, T] \times E)$, $C^{k,n}(\mathbf{F}, [0, T] \times E; \mathbb{R}) = C^{k,n}(\mathbf{F}, [0, T] \times E)$, ..., etc.). Finally, unless otherwise specified (such as process Z mentioned in Section 1), all vectors in the paper will be regarded as column vectors.

Throughout this paper we shall make use of the following standing assumptions:

- (A1)** The functions $\sigma \in C_b^{0,1}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})$, $b \in C_b^{0,1}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$; and all the partial derivatives of b and σ (with respect to x) are uniformly bounded by a common constant $K > 0$. Further, there exists constant $c > 0$, such that

$$\xi^T \sigma(t, x) \sigma(t, x)^T \xi \geq c|\xi|^2, \forall x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \in [0, T]. \quad (2.1)$$

(A2) The function $f \in C(\mathcal{F}^B, [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d) \cap W^{1,\infty}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $l \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Furthermore, we denote the Lipschitz constants of f and l by a common one $K > 0$ as in (A1); and we assume that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \{|b(t, 0)| + |\sigma(t, 0)| + |f(t, 0, 0, 0)| + |g(0)|\} \leq K. \quad (2.2)$$

(A3) The function $g \in C_b^{0,2,3}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^d)$

The following results are either standard or slight variations of the well-know results in SDE and backward doubly SDE literature; we give only the statement for ready reference.

Lemma 2.1 *Suppose that $b \in C(\mathbf{F}, [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^0(\mathbf{F}, [0, T]; W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d))$, $\sigma \in C(\mathbf{F}, [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}) \cap L^0(\mathbf{F}, [0, T]; W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}))$, with a common Lipschitz constant $K > 0$. Suppose also that $b(t, 0) \in L^2(\mathbf{F}, [0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\sigma(t, 0) \in L^2(\mathbf{F}, [0, T]; \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})$. Let X be the unique solution of the following forward SDE*

$$X_s = x + \int_s^t b(r, X_r) dr + \int_s^t \sigma(r, X_r) dW_r. \quad (2.3)$$

Then for any $p \geq 2$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ depending only on p, T and K , such that

$$\mathbb{E}(|X_{0,t}^{*,p}|) \leq C \left\{ |x|^p + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T [|b(s, 0)|^p + |\sigma(s, 0)|^p] ds \right\} \quad (2.4)$$

Lemma 2.2 *Assume $f \in C(\mathbf{F}, [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^0(\mathbf{F}, [0, T]; W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d))$, with a uniform Lipschitz constant $K > 0$, such that $f(s, 0, 0) \in L^2(\mathbf{F}, [0, T])$ and $g \in C(\mathbf{F}, [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^0(\mathbf{F}, [0, T]; W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d))$ with a common uniform Lipschitz constant $K > 0$ with respect the first variable and the Lipschitz constant $0 < \alpha < 1$ which respect the second variable and such that $g(s, 0, 0) \in L^2(\mathbf{F}, [0, T])$. For any $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_0; \mathbb{R})$, let (Y, Z) be the adapted solution to the BDSDE:*

$$Y_s = \xi + \int_0^s f(r, Y_r, Z_r) dr + \int_0^s g(r, Y_r, Z_r) dB_r - \int_0^s Z_r \downarrow dW_r. \quad (2.5)$$

Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ depending only on T and on the Lipschitz constants K and α , such that

$$\mathbb{E} \int_0^T |Z_s|^2 ds \leq C \mathbb{E} \left\{ |\xi|^2 + \int_0^T [|f(s, 0, 0)|^2 + |g(s, 0, 0)|^2] ds \right\}. \quad (2.6)$$

Moreover, for all $p \geq 2$, there exists a constant $C_p > 0$, such that

$$\mathbb{E}(|Y_{0,t}^{*,p}|) \leq C_p \mathbb{E} \left\{ |\xi|^p + \int_0^T [|f(s, 0, 0)|^p + |g(s, 0, 0)|^p] ds \right\} \quad (2.7)$$

We now review some basic facts of the anticipating stochastic calculus, especially those related to the backward doubly SDEs (see Pardoux-Peng [15]). For any random variables ξ of the form

$$\xi = F \left(\int_0^T \varphi_1 dW_t, \dots, \int_0^T \varphi_n dW_s; \int_0^T \psi_1 dB_s, \dots, \int_0^T \psi_p dB_s \right)$$

with $F \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+p})$, $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \in L^2([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$, $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n \in L^2([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$, we let

$$D_t \xi = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} \left(\int_0^T \varphi_1 dW_t, \dots, \int_0^T \varphi_n dW_s; \int_0^T \psi_1 dB_s, \dots, \int_0^T \psi_p dB_s \right) \varphi_i(t).$$

For such a ξ , we define its 1, 2-norm as:

$$\|\xi\|_{1,2}^2 = \mathbb{E} \left[|\xi|^2 + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T |D_r \xi|^2 dr \right].$$

\mathcal{S} denoting the set of random variable of the above form, we define the Sobolev space

$$\mathbb{D}^{1,2} \triangleq \overline{\mathcal{S}}^{\|\cdot\|_{1,2}}.$$

The "derivation operator" D extends as an operator from $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ into $L^2(\Omega, L^2([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d))$.

We shall apply the previous anticipative calculus to the coupled forward backward doubly SDEs (1.3)-(1.2). In this fact, let us consider the following variational equation that will play a important role in this paper: for $i = 1, \dots, d$,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_i X_s^{t,x} &= e_i + \int_s^t \partial_x b(r, X_r^{t,x}) \nabla_i X_r^{t,x} dr + \sum_{j=1}^d \int_s^t \partial_x \sigma^j(r, X_r^{t,x}) \nabla_i X_r^{t,x} \downarrow dW_r^j, \\ \nabla_i Y_s^{t,x} &= \partial_x l(X_0^{t,x}) \nabla_i X_0^{t,x} \\ &\quad + \int_0^s [\partial_x f(r, \Xi^{t,x}(r)) \nabla_i X_r^{t,x} + \partial_y f(r, \Xi^{t,x}(r)) \nabla_i Y_r^{t,x} + \langle \partial_z f(r, \Xi^{t,x}(r)), \nabla_i Z_r^{t,x} \rangle] dr \\ &\quad + \int_0^s [\partial_x g(r, \Theta^{t,x}(r)) \nabla_i X_r^{t,x} + \partial_y g(r, \Theta^{t,x}(r)) \nabla_i Y_r^{t,x}] dB_r - \int_0^s \nabla_i Z_r^{t,x} \downarrow dW_r, \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

where $e_i = (0, \dots, \overset{i}{1}, \dots, 0)^T \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\Xi^{t,x} = (\Theta^{t,x}, Z^{t,x})$, $\Theta^{t,x} = (X^{t,x}, Y^{t,x})$ and $\sigma^j(\cdot)$ is the j -th column of the matrix $\sigma(\cdot)$. We recall again that the superscription t,x indicates the dependence of the solution on the initial date (t, x) , and will be omitted when the context is clear. We also remark that under the above assumptions,

$$(\nabla X^{t,x}, \nabla Y^{t,x}, \nabla Z^{t,x}) \in L^2(\mathbf{F}; C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}) \times C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d) \times L^2([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})).$$

Further the $d \times d$ -matrix-valued process $\nabla X^{t,x}$ satisfies a linear SDE and $\nabla X_t^{t,x} = I$, so that $[\nabla X_s^{t,x}]^{-1}$ exists for $s \in [0, t]$, \mathbb{P} -a.s. and we have the following:

Lemma 2.3 Assume that **(A1)** holds; and suppose that $f \in C_b^{0,1}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{2d+1})$ and $g \in C_b^{0,2,3}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d+1}; \mathbb{R}^d)$. Then $(X, Y, Z) \in L^2([0, T]; \mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^{2d+1}))$, and there exists a version of $(D_s X_r, D_s Y_r, D_s Z_r)$ that satisfies

$$\begin{cases} D_s X_r = \nabla X_r (\nabla X_s)^{-1} \sigma(s, X_s) \mathbf{1}_{\{s \leq r\}}, \\ D_s Y_r = \nabla Y_r (\nabla X_s)^{-1} \sigma(s, X_s) \mathbf{1}_{\{s \leq r\}}, \\ D_s Z_r = \nabla Z_r (\nabla X_s)^{-1} \sigma(s, X_s) \mathbf{1}_{\{s \leq r\}}, \end{cases} \quad 0 \leq s, r \leq t. \quad (2.9)$$

Lemma 2.4 Suppose that $F \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$. Then

(i) (Integration by parts formula): for any $u \in \text{Dom}(\delta)$ such that $Fu \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$, one has $Fu \in \text{Dom}(\delta)$, and it holds that

$$\int_0^T \langle Fu_t, dW_t \rangle = \delta(Fu) = F \int_0^T \langle u_t, dW_t \rangle - \int_0^T D_t F u_t dt;$$

(ii) (Clark-Hausman-Ocone formula):

$$F = \mathbb{E}(F) + \int_0^T \mathbb{E}\{D_t F \mid \mathcal{F}_t\} dW_t.$$

3 Relations to stochastic PDE revisited

In this section we prove the relation (1.7) between the forward backward doubly SDE (1.2)-(1.3) and the quasi-linear SPDE (1.4), under the condition that the coefficients are only continuously differentiable. Indeed, since Buckdahn and Ma [3, 4] provide that, if f and l are only Lipschitz continuous, the quantity $u(t, x) = Y_t^{t,x}$ is a stochastic viscosity solution of the quasi-linear SPDE (1.4), relation in (1.7) becomes questionable. Our objective is to fill this gap in the literature and to extend the results of Ma and Zhang [11] given in the case of the probabilistic interpretation of PDEs via the BSDEs.

Theorem 3.1 Assume **(A1)** and **(A3)** and suppose that $f \in C_b^{0,1}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $l \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let $(X^{t,x}, Y^{t,x}, Z^{t,x})$ be the adapted solution to the FBDSDE (1.2)-(1.3), and set $u(t, x) = Y_t^{t,x}$ the stochastic viscosity of SPDE (1.4). Then,

(i) $\partial_x u(t, x)$ exists for all $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$; and for each (t, x) and $i=1, \dots, d$, the following representation holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x_i} u(t, x) &= \mathbb{E} \left\{ \partial_x l(X_0^{t,x}) \nabla_i X_0^{t,x} \right. \\ &\quad + \int_0^t [\partial_x f(r, \Xi^{t,x}(r)) \nabla_i X_r^{t,x} + \partial_y f(r, \Xi^{t,x}(r)) \nabla_i Y_r^{t,x} + \partial_z f(r, \Xi^{t,x}(r)) \nabla_i Z_r^{t,x}] dr \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^t [\partial_x g(r, \Theta^{t,x}(r)) \nabla_i X_r^{t,x} + \partial_y g(r, \Theta^{t,x}(r)) \nabla_i Y_r^{t,x}] dB_r \mid \mathcal{F}_t^B \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where $\Theta^{t,x} = (X^{t,x}, Y^{t,x})$, $\Xi^{t,x} = (\Theta^{t,x}, Z^{t,x})$, with $(\nabla X^{t,x}, \nabla Y^{t,x}, \nabla Z^{t,x})$ the unique solution of equation (2.8);

(ii) $\partial_x u(t, x)$ is continuous on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$;

(iii) $Z_s^{t,x} = \partial_x u(s, X_s^{t,x})\sigma(s, X_s^{t,x}), \forall s \in [0, t], \mathbb{P}$ -a.s.

Proof. For the simple presentation we take $d = 1$. The higher dimensional case can be treated in the same way without substantial difficulty. We use the simpler notations $l_x, (f_x, f_y, f_z), (g_x, g_y, g_z)$ respectively for the partial derivatives of l, f and g .

The proof is inspired by the approach of Ma and Zhang [11] (see Theorem 3.1). Nevertheless, there exists slight difference due in the fact that the SPDE's solution is not deterministic but a random field; more precisely will show that it is a conditional expectation with respect the filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t^B)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$.

We first prove (i). Let $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}$ be fixed. For $h \neq 0$, we define:

$$\nabla X_s^h = \frac{X_s^{t,x+h} - X_s^{t,x}}{h}; \nabla Y_s^h = \frac{Y_s^{t,x+h} - Y_s^{t,x}}{h}; \nabla Z_s^h = \frac{Z_s^{t,x+h} - Z_s^{t,x}}{h} \quad s \in [0, t].$$

It follows analogously of the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [15]) that

$$\mathbb{E}\{|\Delta Y^h|_{0,t}^{*,2}\} = \mathbb{E}\{|\nabla Y^h - \nabla Y^{t,x}|_{0,t}^{*,2}\} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.2)$$

We know also that processes $Y^{t,x}, Y^{t,x+h}, \nabla Y^h$ and ΔY^h are all adapted to the σ -algebra $\mathbf{F}^t = (\mathcal{F}_s^t)_{0 \leq s \leq t}$, where $\mathcal{F}_s^t = \mathcal{F}_s^B \otimes \mathcal{F}_{s,t}^W$. In particular, since W is a Brownian motion on $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2, \mathbb{P}_2)$, applying the Blumenthal 0-1 law (see, e.g, [9]), $Y_t^{t,x} = u(t, x)$, $Y_t^{t,x+h} = u(t, x+h)$, $\nabla Y_t^h = \frac{1}{h}[u(t, x+h) - u(t, x)]$ and ΔY_t^h are all independent of (or a constant with respect to) $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$. Therefore we conclude from the above that $\partial_x u$ exist, as the random field and $\partial_x u(t, x) = \nabla Y_t^{t,x}$, for all (t, x) . Finally, taking the conditional expectation on the both sides of (2.8) at $s = t$, the representation (3.1) hold and finish the prove of (i).

We now prove (ii). Let $(t_i, x_i) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2$. Knowing that t_1 and t_2 played inverse roles one another, we assume without losing a generality that $t_1 < t_2$. Since $\partial_x u$ is a conditional expectation with respect the filtration $(\mathcal{F}_s^B)_{0 \leq s \leq t}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_x u(t_1, x_1) - \partial_x u(t_2, x_2)| &\leq \mathbb{E}\{A(t_1, x_1) - A(t_2, x_2) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_1}^B\} \\ &\quad + |\mathbb{E}\{A(t_2, x_2) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_1}^B\} - \mathbb{E}\{A(t_2, x_2) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_2}^B\}|, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A(t, x) &= l_x(X_0^{t,x})\nabla X_0^{t,x} \\ &\quad + \int_0^t [f_x(r, \Xi^{t,x}(r))\nabla X_r^{t,x} + f_y(r, \Xi^{t,x}(r))\nabla Y_r^{t,x} + f_z(r, \Xi^{t,x}(r))\nabla Z_r^{t,x}] dr \\ &\quad + \int_0^t [g_x(r, \Theta^{t,x}(r))\nabla X_r^{t,x} + g_y(r, \Theta^{t,x}(r))\nabla Y_r^{t,x}] dB_r. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Thanks to the quasi-left-continuity of $(\mathcal{F}_s^B)_{0 \leq s \leq t}$, we see that

$$\lim_{t_1 \downarrow t_2} |\mathbb{E}(A(t_2, x_2) | \mathcal{F}_{t_1}^B) - \mathbb{E}(A(t_2, x_2) | \mathcal{F}_{t_2}^B)| = 0, \quad (3.5)$$

independently of x_2 . In virtue of (3.3) and (3.5), to prove (ii) it remain to show that

$$\lim_{t_1 \downarrow t_2, x_1 \rightarrow x_2} \mathbb{E}\{A(t_1, x_1) - A(t_2, x_2) | \mathcal{F}_{t_1}^B\} = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

To this end, since $A(t, x)$ is a stochastic process and in virtue of Kolmogorov-Centsov Theorem (see [9]), it suffices to show that

$$\mathbb{E}(|A(t_1, x_1) - A(t_2, x_2)|^2) \leq C(|t_1 - t_2|^2 + |x_1 - x_2|^2),$$

what we do now. Recalling the definition of $A(t_i, x_i)$, $i = 1, 2$ and denoting

$$G^{t,x}(r) = f_x(r, \Xi^{t,x}(r)) \nabla X_r^{t,x} + f_y(r, \Xi^{t,x}(r)) \nabla Y_r^{t,x} + f_z(r, \Xi^{t,x}(r)) \nabla Z_r^{t,x}$$

and

$$H^{t,x}(r) = g_x(r, \Theta^{t,x}(r)) \nabla X_r^{t,x} + g_y(r, \Theta^{t,x}(r)) \nabla Y_r^{t,x},$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} |A(t_1, x_1) - A(t_2, x_2)| &\leq |l_x(X_0^{t_1, x_1}) \nabla X_0^{t_1, x_1} - l_x(X_0^{t_2, x_2}) \nabla X_0^{t_2, x_2}| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_0^{t_1} |G^{t_1, x_1}(r) - G^{t_2, x_2}(r)| dr + \int_0^{t_1} (H^{t_1, x_1}(r) - H^{t_2, x_2}(r)) dB_r \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} |G^{t_2, x_2}(r)| dr + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} H^{t_2, x_2}(r) dB_r \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the expectation, it follows by Hölder's and Burkölder-Gundy Davis inequalities that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(|A(t_1, x_1) - A(t_2, x_2)|^2) &\leq C \mathbb{E} \left\{ |l_x(X_0^{t_1, x_1}) \nabla X_0^{t_1, x_1} - l_x(X_0^{t_2, x_2}) \nabla X_0^{t_2, x_2}|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^{t_1} |G^{t_1, x_1}(r) - G^{t_2, x_2}(r)|^2 dr + \left| \int_0^{t_1} (H^{t_1, x_1}(r) - H^{t_2, x_2}(r)) dB_r \right|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} |G^{t_2, x_2}(r)|^2 dr + \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} H^{t_2, x_2}(r) dB_r \right|^2 \right\} \\ &\leq C \mathbb{E} \left\{ |l_x(X_0^{t_1, x_1}) \nabla X_0^{t_1, x_1} - l_x(X_0^{t_2, x_2}) \nabla X_0^{t_2, x_2}|^2 \right. \\ &\quad + \int_0^{t_1} |G^{t_1, x_1}(r) - G^{t_2, x_2}(r)|^2 dr + \int_0^{t_1} |H^{t_1, x_1}(r) - H^{t_2, x_2}(r)|^2 dr \\ &\quad \left. + (t_2 - t_1) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} |G^{t_2, x_2}(r)|^2 dr + (t_2 - t_1) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} |H^{t_2, x_2}(r)|^2 dr \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By similar standard computations in Ma and Zhang [11] (see proof of Theorem 3.1), we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}(|A(t_1, x_1) - A(t_2, x_2)|^2) \leq C(|t_2 - t_1|^2 + |x_2 - x_1|^2)$$

that provide the proof of (ii).

It remains to prove (iii). For a continuous function φ , let us consider $\{\varphi^\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ a family of $C^{0,\infty}$ functions that converges to φ uniformly. Since b, σ, l, f are all uniformly Lipschitz continuous, we may assume that the first order partial derivatives of $b^\varepsilon, \sigma^\varepsilon, l^\varepsilon, f^\varepsilon$ are all uniformly bounded, by the corresponding Lipschitz constants of b, σ, l, f uniformly in $\varepsilon > 0$. Now we consider the family of FBDSDEs parameterized by $\varepsilon > 0$:

$$\begin{cases} X_s^{t,x} = x + \int_s^t b^\varepsilon(r, X_r^{t,x})dr + \int_s^t \sigma^\varepsilon(r, X_r^{t,x}) \downarrow dW_r; \\ Y_s^{t,x} = l^\varepsilon(X_0^{t,x}) + \int_0^s f^\varepsilon(r, X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^{t,x}, Z_r^{t,x})dr + \int_0^s g(r, X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^{t,x})dB_r - \int_0^s Z_r^{t,x} \downarrow dW_r \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

and denote its solution by $(X^{t,x}(\varepsilon), Y^{t,x}(\varepsilon), Z^{t,x}(\varepsilon))$. We define $u^\varepsilon(t, x) = Y_t^{t,x}(\varepsilon)$. Theorem 3.2 of [15] provide that u^ε is the classical solution of stochastic PDE

$$\begin{aligned} du^\varepsilon(t, x) &= [\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon u(t, x) + f^\varepsilon(t, x, u^\varepsilon(t, x), (\nabla u^\varepsilon \sigma^\varepsilon)(t, x))] dt \\ &\quad + g(t, x, u^\varepsilon(t, x)) dB_t, \quad (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d, \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

$$u^\varepsilon(0, x) = l^\varepsilon(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

For any $\{x^\varepsilon\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $x^\varepsilon \rightarrow x$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, define

$(X^\varepsilon, Y^\varepsilon, Z^\varepsilon) = (X^{t,x^\varepsilon}(\varepsilon), Y^{t,x^\varepsilon}(\varepsilon), Z^{t,x^\varepsilon}(\varepsilon))$. Then it is well known according to the work of Pardoux and Peng [15] that

$$Y_s^\varepsilon = u^\varepsilon(s, X_s^\varepsilon); \quad Z_s^\varepsilon = \partial_x u^\varepsilon(s, X_s^\varepsilon) \sigma^\varepsilon(s, X_s^\varepsilon), \quad \forall s \in [0, t], \quad \mathbb{P} - a.s. \quad (3.9)$$

Now by Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, for all $p \geq 2$ it holds that

$$\mathbb{E} \left\{ |X^\varepsilon - X|_{0,t}^{*,p} + |Y^\varepsilon - Y|_{0,t}^{*,p} + \int_0^t |Z_s^\varepsilon - Z_s|^2 ds \right\} \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.10)$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Moreover let us recall $(\nabla X^\varepsilon, \nabla Y^\varepsilon, \nabla Z^\varepsilon)$ the unique solution of the variational equation of (3.7). Using again Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 we get

$$\mathbb{E} \left\{ |\nabla X^\varepsilon - \nabla X|_{0,t}^{*,p} + |\nabla Y^\varepsilon - \nabla Y|_{0,t}^{*,p} + \int_0^t |\nabla Z_s^\varepsilon - \nabla Z_s|^2 ds \right\} \rightarrow 0, \quad (3.11)$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Thus it is readily seen that

$$\mathbb{E}\{l_x^\varepsilon(X_0^\varepsilon) \nabla X_0^\varepsilon | \mathcal{F}_t^B\} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}\{l_x(X_0) \nabla X_0 | \mathcal{F}_t^B\},$$

\mathbb{P} -a.s., as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Furthermore, by the analogue step used in [11], one can show that

$$\mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_0^t [f_x^\varepsilon(r) \nabla X_r^\varepsilon + f_y^\varepsilon(r) \nabla Y_r^\varepsilon + f_z^\varepsilon(r) \nabla Z_r^\varepsilon] dr + \int_0^t [g_x(r) \nabla X_r^\varepsilon + g_y(r) \nabla Y_r^\varepsilon] dB_r | \mathcal{F}_t^B \right\}$$

converge to

$$\mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_0^t [f_x(r)\nabla X_r + f_y(r)\nabla Y_r + f_z(r)\nabla Z_r]dr + \int_0^t [g_x(r)\nabla X_r + g_y(r)\nabla Y_r]dB_r \middle| \mathcal{F}_t^B \right\}$$

\mathbb{P} -a.s., as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, we get

$$\partial_x u^\varepsilon(t, x^\varepsilon) \rightarrow \partial_x u(t, x), \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \quad \mathbb{P} - a.s.,$$

for each fixed $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}$. Consequently, possibly along a subsequence, we obtain

$$Z_s^\varepsilon = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \partial u^\varepsilon(s, X_s^\varepsilon) \sigma^\varepsilon(s, X_s^\varepsilon) = \partial u(s, X_s) \sigma(s, X_s), \quad ds \times d\mathbb{P} - a.e.$$

Since for $\mathbb{P} - a.e.$ ω , $\partial_x u(\cdot, \cdot)$ and X are both continuous, the above equalities actually holds for all $s \in [0, t]$, \mathbb{P} -a.s., proving (iii) and end the proof. ■

The following corollary is the direct consequence of the Theorem 3.1. The convention on the generic constant $C > 0$ still true.

Corollary 3.2 *Assume that the same conditions as in Theorem 3.1 hold, and let $(X^{t,x}, Y^{t,x}, Z^{t,x})$ be the solution of FBDSDE (1.2)-(1.3). Then, there exists a constant $C > 0$ depending only on K, T , and for any $p \geq 1$, a positive $L^p(\Omega, (\mathcal{F}_s^t)_{0 \leq s \leq t}, \mathbb{P})$ -process $\Gamma^{t,x}$, such that*

$$|\partial_x u(t, x)| \leq C \Gamma_t^{t,x}, \quad \forall (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \mathbb{P} - a.s. \quad (3.12)$$

Consequently, one has

$$|Z_s^{t,x}| \leq C \Gamma_s^{t,x} (1 + |X_s^{t,x}|), \quad \forall s \in [0, t], \quad \mathbb{P} - a.s. \quad (3.13)$$

Furthermore, $\forall p > 1$, there exists a constant $C_p > 0$, depending on K, T , and p such that

$$\mathbb{E} \{ |X^{t,x}|_{0,t}^{*,p} + |Y^{t,x}|_{0,t}^{*,p} + |Z^{t,x}|_{0,t}^{*,p} \} \leq C_p (1 + |x|^p). \quad (3.14)$$

Proof. We assume first that $p \geq 2$. The case $1 < p < 2$ then follows easily from Hölder inequality. By Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we can find constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left\{ |\nabla X^{t,x}|_{0,t}^{*,p} + |\nabla Y^{t,x}|_{0,t}^{*,p} + \left(\int_0^T |\nabla Z_r^{t,x}|^2 dr \right)^{p/2} \right\} \leq C.$$

Then, from the identity (3.1), we deduce immediately that $|\partial_x u(t, x)| \leq C \Gamma_t^{t,x}$, for all $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}$, where

$$\Gamma_s^{t,x} = \mathbb{E} \left(|\nabla X_0^{t,x}| + \int_0^s [|\nabla X_r^{t,x}| + |\nabla Y_r^{t,x}| + |\nabla Z_r^{t,x}|] dr + \left| \int_0^s [\nabla X_r^{t,x} + \nabla Y_r^{t,x}] dB_r \right| \middle| \mathcal{F}_s^t \right).$$

Moreover we get for $s \in [0, t]$, $\mathbb{E}(|\Gamma_s^{t,x}|^p) \leq C$. Then Theorem 3.1 (iii) implies that

$$|Z_s^{t,x}| \leq C\Gamma_s^{t,x}(1 + |X_s^{t,x}|), \quad \forall s \in [0, t], \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

Now, applying again Lemma 2.1 and 2.2 and recalling (3.13) we get (3.14), for $p \geq 2$. ■

To conclude this section, we would like to point out that in Theorem 3.1, the functions f and l are assumed to be continuously differentiable in all spatial variables with uniformly bounded partial derivatives, which is much stronger than standing assumption **(A2)**. The following theorem reduces the requirement on f and l to only uniformly Lipschitz continuous, which will be important in our future discussion.

Theorem 3.3 *Assume **(A1)**-**(A4)**, and let (X, Y, Z) be the solution to the FBDSDE (1.2)-(1.3). Then for all $p > 0$, there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ such that*

$$\mathbb{E} \left\{ |X|_{0,t}^{*,p} + |Y|_{0,t}^{*,p} + \text{ess sup}_{0 \leq s \leq t} |Z_s|^p \right\} \leq C_p(1 + |x|^p). \quad (3.15)$$

Proof. In the light of the corollary 3.2, we need only consider $p \geq 2$. By Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 it follows that for any $p > 0$ there exists $C_p > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E} \{ |X|_{0,t}^{*,p} + |Y|_{0,t}^{*,p} \} \leq C_p(1 + |x|^p). \quad (3.16)$$

Next, by similar argument of Theorem 3.1 (iii), we consider two sequences of smooth functions $\{f^\varepsilon\}_\varepsilon$ and $\{l^\varepsilon\}_\varepsilon$ with their first order derivatives in (x, y, z) uniformly bounded in t and ε such that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \sup_{(t,x,y,z)} |f^\varepsilon(t, x, y, z) - f(t, x, y, z)| + \sup_x |l^\varepsilon(x) - l(x)| \right\} = 0.$$

Denoting $(X^\varepsilon, Y^\varepsilon, Z^\varepsilon)$ the unique solution of the corresponding FBDSDEs and applying Corollary 3.2, we can find for any $p \geq 2$ a constant $C_p > 0$, independent of ε , such that

$$\mathbb{E} (|Z^\varepsilon|_{0,t}^{*,p}) \leq C_p(1 + |x|^p). \quad (3.17)$$

Furthermore, by (3.10) we know that $\mathbb{E} \int_0^t |Z_s^\varepsilon - Z_s|^2 ds \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Thus, possibly along a sequence say $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \geq 1}$ we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t Z^{\varepsilon_n} = Z ds \times d\mathbb{P}$ -a.s. Applying Fatou's lemma and recalling (3.17) we obtain

$$\mathbb{E} \left\{ \text{ess sup}_{0 \leq s \leq t} |Z_s|^p \right\} \leq C_p(1 + |x|^p)$$

which leads to (3.15), as desired. ■

4 Representation theorem

In this section we shall prove the first main theorem of the paper. This theorem can be regarded as an extension of the nonlinear Feynman-Kac formula obtained by Pardoux-Peng [15]. It gives a probabilistic representation of the gradient (rather than the solution itself) of the stochastic viscosity solution, whenever it exists, to a quasi-linear parabolic stochastic PDE. Unlike the cases studied in (3.1), in this section, our representation does not depend on the partial derivatives of the functions f, l and g . In this context such representation is the best tool for us to study the path regularity of the process Z in the BDSDE with non-smooth coefficients. For notational simplicity, we shall drop the superscript t, x from the solution (X, Y, Z) of FBDSDE (1.2)-(1.3).

To begin with, let us introduce the two important stochastic integrals that will play a key role in the representation:

$$M_r^s = \int_r^s [\sigma^{-1}(\tau, X_\tau) \nabla X_\tau]^T \downarrow dW_\tau$$

and

$$N_r^s = \frac{1}{s-r} (M_r^s)^T [\nabla X_r]^{-1}, \quad 0 \leq r < s \leq t.$$

Let us recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|M_r^s|^{2p} &\leq C_p \mathbb{E} \left(\int_r^s |\sigma^{-1}(\tau, X_\tau) \nabla X_\tau|^2 d\tau \right)^p \\ &\leq C_p (s-r)^p \mathbb{E} (|\nabla X_\tau|_{s,r}^{*,2p}) \leq C_p (s-r)^p, \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

where $C_p > 0$ is a generic constant.

On the other hand, let us define the filtration $\mathbf{G}^t = \{\mathcal{F}_s^B \otimes \mathcal{F}_t^W, 0 \leq s \leq t\}$ which will play an important role in the proof of the continuity of the process Z in the BDSDE.

Lemma 4.1 *For any fixed $t \in [0, T]$ and any $H \in L^\infty(\mathbf{F}^t, [0, T]; \mathbb{R})$ we have*

- (i) $\mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^s \frac{1}{s-r} H_r M_s^r dB_r \right| < +\infty$
- (ii) for \mathbb{P} .a.e., $\omega \in \Omega$, the mapping $s \mapsto \int_0^s \frac{1}{s-r} H_r(\omega) M_s^r(\omega) dB_r(\omega)$ is continuous on $[0, t]$
- (iii) for \mathbb{P} .a.e., $\omega \in \Omega$, the mapping $s \mapsto \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_0^s \frac{1}{s-r} H_r M_s^r dB_r / \mathcal{G}_s^t \right\}(\omega)$ is continuous on $[0, t]$

Proof. First, for any $0 \leq \tau < s \leq t$ we denote

$$A_\tau^s = \begin{cases} \int_\tau^s \frac{1}{s-r} H_r M_s^r dr, & 0 \leq \tau < s \\ 0, & \text{if } s = \tau. \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

To simplify notation, when $\tau = 0$ we denote $A_0^s = A_s$. Further, let β be such that $\alpha = 1 - 2\beta < \frac{1}{2}$ and $\beta < 1$. Consider the random variable

$$M^* = \sup_{0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq t} \frac{|M_{t_1}^{t_2}|}{(t_2 - t_1)^\alpha}; \quad (4.3)$$

then by (4.2) and Theorem 2.1 of Revuz-Yor [16], we see that $\mathbb{E}[M^*]^2 < +\infty$.

To prove (i) we note that for any $0 \leq \tau \leq s \leq t$ by Burkholder-Gundy- Davis's inequality one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|A_\tau^s| &\leq C \mathbb{E} \left(\int_\tau^s \left| \frac{H_r M_r^s}{s-r} \right|^2 dr \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C \mathbb{E} \left(\int_\tau^s \frac{|H_r|^2}{(s-r)^{2\beta}} \cdot \frac{|M_r^s|^2}{(s-r)^{2\alpha}} dr \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C \mathbb{E} \left(\int_\tau^s \left| \frac{H_r}{(s-r)^\beta} \right|^2 dr \right)^{1/2} M^* \\ &\leq C \mathbb{E} \left(\int_\tau^s \frac{dr}{(s-r)^{2\beta}} \right)^{1/2} \|H\|_\infty M^* = C(s-\tau)^{(1/2)-\beta} \mathbb{E}(\|H\|_\infty M^*), \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the norm of $L^\infty([0, T])$. Again letting $C > 0$ be a generic constant depending only on β and T , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|A_\tau^s| &\leq C \{\mathbb{E}\|H\|_\infty^2\}^{1/2} \{\mathbb{E}(M^*)^2\}^{1/2} \\ &\leq C \|H\|_{L^\infty([0, T] \times \Omega)} \|M^*\|_{L^2(\Omega)} < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Setting $\tau = 0$ in (4.5) we proved (i).

To prove (ii) let $\tau = 0$ and observe that, in view of (i), A_s is a stochastic integral for $0 < s \leq t$. Consequently, the mapping $s \mapsto A_s$ is continuous on $[0, t]$. It remain to prove (iii). In this fact, we remark that the right-hand side of the inequality (4.4) (with $\tau = 0$) is clearly in L^1 ; thus we check easily that the process A is uniformly integrable. Therefore, by similar step in Ma and Zhang [11] (see proof for (iii) of Theorem 4.1) it follows that the \mathbf{G}^t -optional projection of A , denoting ${}^o A_s = \mathbb{E}(A_s | \mathcal{G}_s^t)$, $s \in [0, t]$, has continuous path. This prove (iii), whence the lemma. ■

Theorem 4.2 *Assume that the assumptions (A1)-(A4) hold, and let (X, Y, Z) be the adapted solution to FBDSDE (1.3)-(1.2). Then*

(i) *the following identity holds IP-almost surely:*

$$\begin{aligned} Z_s &= \mathbb{E} \left\{ l(X_0) N_0^s + \int_0^s f(r, X_r, Y_r, Z_r) N_r^s dr + \int_0^s g(r, X_r, Y_r) N_r^s dB_r | \mathcal{F}_s^t \right\} \sigma(s, X_s) \\ \forall 0 \leq s \leq t; \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

(ii) There exists a version of Z such that for \mathbb{P} -a.e. $\omega \in \Omega$, the mapping $s \mapsto Z_s(\omega)$ is continuous;

(iii) If in addition the functions f and l satisfy assumptions of Theorem 3.1, then for all $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$ it holds that

$$\partial_x u(t, x) = \mathbb{E} \left\{ l(X_0)N_0^t + \int_0^t f(s, X_r, Y_r, Z_r)N_r^s dr + \int_0^t g(r, X_r, Y_r)N_r^s dB_r | \mathcal{F}_t^B \right\}. \quad (4.7)$$

Proof. Again we shall consider only the case $d = 1$. We assume first that $l \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $f \in C_b^{0,1}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3)$. Using the nonlinear Feynman-Kac formula of Pardoux and Peng [15] we obtain that for $0 \leq s \leq t$,

$$u(s, X_s) = Y_s = \mathbb{E} \left\{ l(X_0) + \int_0^s f(r, X_r, Y_r, Z_r)dr + \int_0^s g(r, X_r, Y_r)dB_r | \mathcal{F}_s^t \right\}. \quad (4.8)$$

Similar arguments to those used in the work of Ma and Zhang [11], provide the following:

$$\partial_x u(s, X_s) = \mathbb{E} \left\{ l(X_0)N_0^s + \int_0^s f(r, X_r, Y_r, Z_r)N_r^s dr + \int_0^s g(r, X_r, Y_r)N_r^s dB_r | \mathcal{F}_s^t \right\}.$$

In particular, setting $s = t$ we obtain (4.7), this proves (iii).

We now consider the general case. First we fix $s \in [0, t]$. For $\varphi = l, f$, let $\varphi^\varepsilon \in C^\infty$, $\varepsilon > 0$, be the mollifiers of φ , and let $(Y^\varepsilon, Z^\varepsilon)$ be the solution of the BDSDE in (1.2) with coefficients $(l^\varepsilon, f^\varepsilon, g)$. Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$, as the previous we get

$$Z_s^\varepsilon = \mathbb{E} \left\{ l^\varepsilon(X_0)N_0^s + \int_0^s f^\varepsilon(r, X_r, Y_r^\varepsilon, Z_r^\varepsilon)N_r^s dr + \int_0^s g(r, X_r, Y_r^\varepsilon)N_r^s dB_r | \mathcal{F}_s^t \right\} \sigma(s, X_s). \quad (4.9)$$

Passing to limit as ε goes to zero in (4.9), we get (4.6) \mathbb{P} -a.s., for each fixed $s \in [0, t]$.

We should note that to prove part (i) we still need to show that (4.6) actually holds for all $s \in [0, T]$, \mathbb{P} -a.s., but it is easy to see that this will follow from part (ii); that is, the process Z has a continuous version. Thus it remain to prove only (ii). To do this we first note that

$$Z_s = \mathbb{E} \left\{ l(X_0)N_0^s + \int_0^s f(r, X_r, Y_r, Z_r)N_r^s dr + \int_0^s g(r, X_r, Y_r)N_r^s dB_r | \mathcal{G}_s^t \right\} \sigma(s, X_s). \quad (4.10)$$

Lemma 4.1 in [11] and Lemma 4.1 imply that the mapping

$$s \mapsto \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_s^T f(r, X_r, Y_r, Z_r)N_r^s dr + \int_0^s g(r, X_r, Y_r)N_r^s dB_r | \mathcal{G}_s^t \right\}$$

is a.s. continuous on $[0, t]$. By the similar ideas used in Ma and Zhang [11] replacing \mathbf{F}^t which here is not a filtration by \mathbf{G}^t , it follows that the mapping $s \mapsto \mathbb{E} \{l(X_0)N_0^s | \mathcal{G}_s^t\}$ is also continuous on $[0, t]$. Consequently, the right side of (4.6) is a.s. continuous on $[0, t]$, and hence (4.6) holds for all $s \in [0, t]$, \mathbb{P} -a.s., proving (ii), whence the theorem. \blacksquare

Remark 4.3 *A direct consequence of Theorem 4.2 that might be useful in application is the following improvement of Theorem 3.3: assume that (A1) and (A2) hold, then for all $p > 0$, there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ depending only on T, K and p such that*

$$\mathbb{E} \{|X|_{0,t}^{*,p} + |Y|_{0,t}^{*,p} + |Z|_{0,t}^{*,p}\} \leq C_p(1 + |x|^p) \quad (4.11)$$

Indeed, since by Theorem 4.1, Z has a continuous version, thus (3.15) becomes (4.11)

5 Discrete function case

Let us recall that we have proved in Theorem 4.2 that the process Z in the solution to the FBDSDE (1.3)-(1.2) has continuous paths, under the condition that the coefficients f and l are only uniformly Lipschitz continuous. While such a result is already an improvement of that of Pardoux and Peng [15], it still within the paradigm of the standard FBDSDE in the literature, to wit, the terminal condition of the BDSDE is of the form $l(X_0)$ (see also [15]). In this section we consider the class of BDSDEs whose terminal conditions are path dependent. More precisely, we assume that the terminal condition of the BDSDE is the form $\xi = l(X_{t_0}, X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_n})$, where $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = t$ is any partition of $[0, t]$. We shall prove a new representation theorem for the process Z , and will extend the path regularity result to such a case.

Theorem 5.1 *Assume that (A1)-(A3) hold; and in (A3), $l : \mathbb{R}^{d(n+1)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Let $\pi : 0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = t$ be a given partition of $[0, t]$, and let (X, Y, Z) be the unique adapted solution to the following FBDSDE:*

$$\begin{aligned} X_s &= x + \int_s^t b(r, X_r)dr + \int_s^t \sigma(r, X_r)dW_r, \\ Y_s &= l(X_{t_0}, X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_n}) + \int_0^s f(r, X_r, Y_r, Z_r)dr \\ &\quad + \int_0^s g(r, X_r, Y_r)dB_r - \int_0^s Z_r dW_r, \quad s \in [0, t]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

Then on each interval $(t_{i-1}, t_i), i = 1, \dots, n$, the following identity holds:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_s &= \mathbb{E} \left\{ l(X_{t_0}, X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_n})N_{t_{i-1}}^s + \int_0^s f(r, X_r, Y_r, Z_r)N_{r \vee t_{i-1}}^s dr \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^s g(r, X_r, Y_r)N_{r \vee t_{i-1}}^s dB_r | \mathcal{F}_s^t \right\} \sigma(s, X_s). \quad s \in (t_{i-1}, t_i) \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

Further, there exists a version of process Z that enjoys the following properties:

- (i) the mapping $s \mapsto Z_s$ is a.s. continuous on each interval (t_{i-1}, t_i) , $i = 1, \dots, n$;
- (ii) limits $Z_{t_i^-} = \lim_{s \uparrow t_i} Z_s$ and $Z_{t_i^+} = \lim_{s \downarrow t_i} Z_s$ exist;
- (iii) $\forall p > 0$, there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ depending only on T, K and p such that

$$\mathbb{E}|\Delta Z_{t_i}|^p \leq C_p(1 + |x|^p) \leq \infty. \quad (5.3)$$

Consequently, the process Z has both càdlàg and càglàd version with discontinuities t_0, \dots, t_n and jump sizes satisfying (5.3)

Proof. As before we will consider only the case $d = 1$, and we assume first that $f, l \in C_b^1$. Let us first establish the identity (5.2). We fix an arbitrary index i and consider the interval (t_{i-1}, t_i) . By virtue of the Malliavin operator D , Theorem 2.4 and the uniqueness of the adapted solution to BDSDE, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Z_s &= \sum_{j \geq i} \partial_j l D_s X_{t_j} + \int_0^s [f_x(r) D_s X_r + f_y(r) D_s Y_r + f_z(r) D_s Z_r] dr \\ &\quad + \int_0^s [g_x(r) D_s X_r + g_y(r) D_s Y_r + g_z(r) D_s Z_r] dB_r - \int_0^s D_s Z_r dW_r \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{j \geq i} \partial_j l \nabla X_{t_j} + \int_0^s [f_x(r) \nabla_s X_r + f_y(r) \nabla^i Y_r + f_z(r) \nabla^i Z_r] dr \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^s [g_x(r) \nabla X_r + g_y(r) \nabla^i Y_r + g_z(r) \nabla^i Z_r] dB_r - \int_0^s \nabla^i Z_r dW_r \right\} (\nabla X_s)^{-1} \sigma(s, X_s) \\ &= \nabla^i Y_s (\nabla X_s)^{-1} \sigma(s, X_s), \quad t_{i-1} < s < t_i. \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

Taking the conditional expectation $\mathbb{E}\{\cdot | \mathcal{F}_s^t\}$ on two sides of (5.4) we obtain

$$Z_s = \mathbb{E} \left\{ \sum_{j \geq i} \partial_j l \nabla X_{t_j} + \int_0^s [f_x(r) \nabla_s X_r + f_y(r) \nabla_s^i Y_r + f_z(r) \nabla^i Z_r] dr | \mathcal{F}_s^t \right\} (\nabla X_s)^{-1} \sigma(s, X_s). \quad (5.5)$$

The rest of the proof is similar to the BSDE case. It is clear now that to prove the theorem we need only prove properties (i)-(iii), which we will do. Note that (i) is obvious, in light of Theorem 4.2 and thanks to representation (5.2). Property (ii) is a slight variation of Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.1 of Ma and Zhang [11], with 0 there being replaced by t_{i-1} , for each i . Therefore we shall only check (iii). To this end, we define $\Delta Z_{t_i} = Z_{t_i^+} - Z_{t_i^-}$. From (5.4) it not difficult to check that

$$Z_{t_i^-} = \nabla^i Y_{t_i} [\nabla X_{t_i}]^{-1} \sigma(t_i, X_{t_i}) \quad Z_{t_i^+} = \nabla^{i+1} Y_{t_i} [\nabla X_{t_i}]^{-1} \sigma(t_i, X_{t_i}).$$

Denoting $\alpha_s^i = -(\nabla^{i+1}Y_s - \nabla^iY_s)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, we have

$$\Delta Z_{t_i} = (\nabla^{i+1}Y_s - \nabla^iY_s)\sigma(t_i, X_{t_i}) = -\alpha_{t_i}^i\sigma(t_i, X_{t_i}). \quad (5.6)$$

Further, since (∇^iY, ∇^iZ) denotes the adapted solution of the following BDSDE

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^iY_\tau &= \sum_{j \geq i} \partial_{jl} \nabla X_{t_j} + \int_0^\tau [f_x(r) \nabla X_r + f_y(r) \nabla^iY_r + f_z(r) \nabla Z_r] dr \\ &+ \int_0^\tau [g_x(r) \nabla X_r + g_y(r) \nabla^iY_r + g_z(r) \nabla^iZ_r] dB_r - \int_0^\tau \nabla^iZ_r dW_r, \quad \tau \in [t_{i-1}, t], \end{aligned}$$

if we denote $\beta_{t_i}^i = -(\nabla^{i+1}Z_s - \nabla^iZ_s)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_s^i &= \partial_{il} \nabla_{t_i} + \int_0^s [f_y(r) \alpha_r^i + f_z(r) \beta_r^i] dr + \int_0^s [g_y(r) \alpha_r^i + g_z(r) \beta_r^i] dB_r \\ &- \int_0^s \beta_r^i dW_r, \quad s \in [0, t]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

So (α^i, β^i) is the adapted solution to the linear BDSDE (5.7). It follows by Lemma 2.2 that $\forall p > 0$ there exists a $C_p > 0$ such that $\mathbb{E}\{|\alpha_{t_i}^i|^p\} \leq C_p$. On the other hand the same estimate holds for $\sigma(s, X_s)$ because of assumption **(A1)** and Theorem 3.3; for $[\nabla X]^{-1}$ since it is solution of a appropriated SDE. It readily seen that (5.3) follows from (5.6) which prove (iii).

Finally, we note that when f and l are only Lipschitz, (5.2) still holds, modulo a standard approximation the same as that in Theorem 4.2. Thus properties (i) and (ii) are obvious. To prove (iii) we should observe that the standard approximation yield that $\Delta Z_{t_i}^\varepsilon \rightarrow \Delta Z_{t_i}$ a.s. So if (5.3) holds for $\Delta Z_{t_i}^\varepsilon$, then letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, (5.3) remains true for ΔZ_{t_i} , according the Fatou's lemma; that end the proof. ■

Acknowledgments

We are very grateful to the anonymous referees for their careful reading of the original manuscript and for many useful suggestions

References

- [1] Bismut J.M., Conjuquate convex function in optimal stochastic control. *J. Math. Anal. App.* **44**, 384 – 404, (1973).
- [2] Bismut J.M., An introductory approach to duality in stochastic control. *J. Math. SIAM Rev.* **20**, 62 – 78, (1978).
- [3] Buckdahn R. and Ma J., Stochastic viscosity solution for nonlinear stochastic partial differential equations, Part I, *stoch. Proc. and their appl.* **93**, 181 – 204, (2001).

- [4] Buckdahn R. and Ma J., Stochastic viscosity solution for nonlinear stochastic partial differential equations, Part II, *stoch. Proc. and their appl.* **93**, 205 – 228, (2001).
- [5] Crandall, M.G., Ishii, H. and Lions, P.L., User's guide to viscosity solutions of second order partial differential equations. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (NS)* **27**, 1 – 67, 1982.
- [6] El Karoui N., Peng S. and Quenez M. C. Backward stochastic differential equation in finance. *Mathematical finance.* **7**, 1 – 71, (1997).
- [7] Duffie, D. and Epstein, L. Stochastic differential utility. *Econometrica* **60**, 353-394, (1992).
- [8] Hamadène S. and Lepeltier J. P., Zero-sum stochastic differential games and BSDEs. *Systems and Control Letters.* **24**, 259 – 263, (1995).
- [9] Karatzas, I. and Shreve, S.E., *Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus*, Springer, (1987).
- [10] Lion P.L. and Souganidis P. E., Fully nonlinear stochastic partial differential equations, non-smooth equations and applications, *C.R. Acad.Sci.Paris*, **327** serie I, 735–741, (1998).
- [11] Ma J. and Zhang J., Representation theorems for Backward stochastic differential Equations, *Anal. of Appl. Prob.* **12: 4**, 1390 – 1418, (2002).
- [12] Pardoux E. and Peng S, Adapted solution of backward stochastic differential equation. *Syst. cont. Lett.* **4**, 55 – 61, (1990).
- [13] Pardoux E. and Peng S. Backward stochastic differential equation and quasilinear parabolic partial differential equations. In: *B. L.Rozovski, R. B. Sowers (eds). Stochastic partial equations and their applications. Lect. Notes control Inf. Sci.* **176**, 200 – 217, Springer, Berlin, (1992).
- [14] Peng S., Probabilistic interpretation for system of quasi-linear parabolic equations, *Stochastic* **37**, 61 – 74, (1991).
- [15] Pardoux E. and Peng S., Backward doubly stochastic differential equations and systems of quasilinear SPDEs, *Probability Theory and related field* **98**, 209 – 227, (1994).
- [16] Revus, D. and Yor, M., *Brownian Motion and Continuous Martingales*, Springer, (1991).