

Birnessite polytype systematics and identification by powder X-ray diffraction

Victor.A. Drits, Bruno Lanson, Anne-Claire Gaillot

▶ To cite this version:

Victor.A. Drits, Bruno Lanson, Anne-Claire Gaillot. Birnessite polytype systematics and identification by powder X-ray diffraction. The American Mineralogist, 2007, 92, pp.771-788. 10.2138/am.2007.2207. hal-00193663

HAL Id: hal-00193663 https://hal.science/hal-00193663

Submitted on 4 Dec 2007

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Birnessite polytype systematics and identification by powder X-ray
2	diffraction
3	
4	Revision 1
5	
6	Victor A. Drits ^{1, 2}
7	Bruno Lanson ^{1,*}
8	Anne-Claire Gaillot ¹
9	
10	
11	1 - Environmental Geochemistry Group, LGIT - Maison des Géosciences, University of
12	Grenoble – CNRS, 38041 Grenoble Cedex 9, France.
13	2 - Geological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, 7 Pyzhevsky street, 109017 Moscow,
14	Russia
15	
16	* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.
17	e-mail: <u>Bruno.Lanson@obs.ujf-grenoble.fr</u>

18

ABSTRACT

19

The polytypes of birnessite with a periodic stacking along the c* axis of one-, two-, 20 and three-layers are derived in terms of an anion close-packing formalism. Birnessite layers 21 may be stacked so as to build two types of interlayers: P-type in which basal O atoms from 22 adjacent layers coincide in projection along the c^* axis, thus forming interlayer prisms; and, 23 O-type in which these O atoms form interlayer octahedra. The polytypes can be categorized 24 into three groups that depend on the type of interlayers: polytypes consisting of homogeneous 25 interlayers of O- or P-type, and polytypes in which both interlayer types alternate. Ideal 26 birnessite layers can be described by a hexagonal unit-cell ($a_h = b_h \approx 2.85$ Å and $\gamma = 120^\circ$) or 27 by an orthogonal C-centered cell ($a = \sqrt{3} b$, $b_{\rm h} \approx 2.85$ Å and $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$); and, hexagonal 28 birnessite polytypes (1H, 2H₁, 2H₂, 3R₁, 3R₂, 3H₁, and 3H₂) have orthogonal analogues (10, 29 $2O_1, 2O_2, 1M_1, 1M_2, 3O_1, \text{ and } 3O_2$). 30

X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns from different polytypes having the same layer symmetry and the same number of layers per unit cell exhibit *hkl* reflections at identical 2θ positions. XRD patterns corresponding to such polytypes differ only by their *hkl* intensity distributions, thus leading to possible ambiguities in polytype identification. In addition, the characteristics of the birnessite XRD patterns depends not only on the layer stacking but also on the presence of vacant layer sites, and on the type, location and local environment of interlayer cations.

Several structure models are described for birnessite consisting of orthogonal vacancyfree or of hexagonal vacancy-bearing layers. These models differ by their stacking modes and by their interlayer structures, which contain mono-, di-, or tri-valent cations. Calculated XRD patterns for these models show that the *hkl* intensity distributions are determined by the polytype, with limited influence of the interlayer structure. Actual structures of 43 phyllomanganates can thus be approximated by idealized models for polytype identification 44 purpose. General rules for this identification are formulated. Finally, the occurrence of the 45 different polytypes among natural and synthetic birnessite described in the literature is 46 considered with special attention given to poorly understood structural and crystal-chemical 47 features. 48

INTRODUCTION

49

Birnessite is a hydrous layered manganese oxide (phyllomanganate). Its layers consist 50 of edge-sharing MnO₆ octahedra and these layers are separated from each other by hydrated 51 interlayer cations. These cations compensate for a layer charge deficit arising either from the 52 presence of vacant layer sites or from the coexistence of heterovalent Mn cations in the 53 octahedral layer. Birnessite interlayers incorporate a single sheet of interlayer H₂O molecules, 54 and exhibit a minimum periodicity along the c^* axis of ~7 Å (Giovanoli et al. 1970a, 1970b; 55 Burns and Burns 1977; Chukhrov et al. 1978; Post and Veblen 1990). In the following the 56 57 term "birnessite" will be used to describe all natural and synthetic materials with such a layer structure, whatever the origin of the layer charge, and the actual configuration and chemical 58 composition of the interlayers. 59

Over the last few decades, birnessite has attracted a wide interest for several reasons. 60 First, it is ubiquitous in geological environments in spite of the low abundance of Mn. It is for 61 example a major component of Mn nodules, which cover huge areas of the ocean floor and 62 the bottom of some lakes. It is also present in soils, sediments, and Mn-rich ore deposits 63 (Burns and Burns 1977, 1978; Chukhrov et al. 1978, 1985; Drits et al. 1985; Golden et al. 64 1986; Cornell and Giovanoli 1988; Manceau et al. 2000c). It was recently shown that bacteria 65 play a major role in the formation of birnessite in most of these environments (Tebo and He 66 1999; Tebo et al. 2004; and references therein). Second, this mineral plays an essential role in 67 different ion-exchange and redox processes because of its unique surface charge, and 68 adsorption and redox properties (Manceau and Charlet 1992; Manceau et al. 1992a, 1992b; 69 Paterson et al. 1994; Stone et al. 1994; Tu et al. 1994; Le Goff et al. 1996; Silvester et al. 70 1997). In particular, birnessite plays a pivotal role in the fate of heavy metals and other 71 pollutants in contaminated water systems and soils (Chao and Theobald 1976; Manceau et al. 72

Drits, Lanson, Gaillot

1997, 1999, 2000a, 2000b). Despite its low concentration, birnessite controls the distribution
of some trace elements such as radionuclides, Pt-group elements, and rare earth elements
(Manceau et al. 1999). In addition, birnessite has also attracted special attention because of its
potential use as materials for batteries and other industrial applications (Kim et al. 1999).

Third, birnessite can be synthesized under a variety of physico-chemical conditions 77 and from 0 to 1000°C. Synthetic birnessite is used to mimic naturally occurring redox and 78 adsorption processes, being considered as analogous to natural varieties. Birnessite can be 79 synthesized by the oxidation of Mn^{2+} in a highly alkaline medium (Giovanoli et al. 1970a, 80 1970b; Cornell and Giovanoli 1988; Kim et al. 2000), leading, for example, to the topotactic 81 82 transformation of Mn(OH)₂ when subjected to the action of different oxidizers. Other methods using MnO₄⁻ as starting reagent (Herbstein et al. 1971; Ching et al. 1995, 1997a, 83 1997b; Chen et al. 1996a, 1996b; Ching and Suib 1997; Kim et al. 1999) include mild 84 85 hydrothermal syntheses (Feng et al. 1995; Chen et al. 1996a, 1996b), sol-gel processes (Bach et al. 1990, 1993; Le Goff et al. 1994; Ching et al. 1995, 1997a; Cho et al. 1999), interactions 86 87 of KMnO₄ with hydrochloric acid, and ion-exchange of the hydrogen form of birnessite to the Na- or K-forms (Tsuji et al. 1992; Leroux et al. 1995), and thermal decomposition of KMnO₄ 88 at high temperature (Kim et al. 1999). 89

These different methods lead to the crystallization of birnessite having different layer 90 stackings and different interlayer structures, which depend on the chemical nature of 91 interlayer cations, their amounts, distribution, and coordination geometry. In addition 92 birnessite is, as a rule, fine-grained and contains stacking faults and/or consists of 93 interstratified layer types corresponding to different birnessite polytypes (Drits et al. 1997a, 94 2002; Silvester et al. 1997; Manceau et al. 2000c, 2002; Lanson et al. 2002a, 2002b). As a 95 result, strikingly different X-ray diffraction patterns are obtained from birnessite crystallized 96 under different physico-chemical conditions. As a consequence, there has been a lot of 97

confusion, even in the recent literature, as to the structure of these layer manganates (e.g., 98 99 Chen et al. 1996b; Kim et al. 1999; Yang and Wang 2001). One difficulty in the interpretation of the birnessite XRD patterns is that until recently even unit-cell parameters reported in the 100 literature were not determined unambiguously. Therefore, determination of birnessite 101 structure was sometimes limited to a general description of the XRD patterns without any 102 indexing or accurate determination of the unit-cell parameters (Feng et al. 1997a, 1997b; 103 Aronson et al. 1999; Ma et al. 1999; Yang and Wang 2001). In some cases indexing of hkl 104 reflections was carried out without proper justification (e.g., Le Goff et al. 1994, 1996; Ching 105 et al. 1995; Aronson et al. 1999). Significant progress was achieved recently in the structural 106 107 characterization of birnessite (Post and Veblen 1990; Kuma et al. 1994; Drits et al. 1997a, 1998, 2002; Manceau et al. 1997, 2000c, 2002; Silvester et al. 1997; Lanson et al. 2000, 108 2002a, 2002b) and specifically in the determination of their unit-cell parameters (Chen et al. 109 110 1996a, 1996b; Kim et al. 1999; Lanson et al. 2000, 2002a, 2002b). However, no systematic description of reliable criteria for the identification of birnessite polytypes is available, to our 111 112 knowledge, in existing literature.

As for layer silicates (Bailey 1988) the optimal way to establish such criteria is to deduce theoretically all birnessite polytypes taking into account the main crystal chemical features of their layers and interlayers, to calculate the corresponding XRD patterns and to formulate diffraction criteria for their identification. This paper is devoted to such a systematic approach and describes how birnessite polytypes having the same unit-cell parameters can be identified.

119

120

BIRNESSITE POLYTYPE DIVERSITY

121

122 Polytype diversity in terms of close-packing formalism

The two-dimensional periodicity of birnessite layers can be described either by a 123 hexagonal unit-cell, with $a_h = b_h \approx 2.85$ Å and $\gamma = 120^\circ$, or by an equivalent orthogonal C-124 centered cell, with $a = \sqrt{3} b$, $b_h \approx 2.85$ Å and $\gamma = 90^\circ$. The orthogonal C-centered cell will be 125 used systematically throughout the current manuscript. The birnessite layer consists of two 126 closely packed anion sheets "sandwiching" Mn cations. The anion close-packing formalism 127 can thus be used to describe the mutual arrangement of birnessite layers. As a first step all 128 possible periodic stackings of birnessite layers with periodicity along the c axis of 1, 2, and 3 129 layers will be considered. Non-equivalent crystallographic sites of the layer oxygen atoms 130 (O_{laver}) will be hereafter described with capital letters A, B, and C, whereas positions of the 131 Mn cations will be described with corresponding a, b and c letters (Fig. 1). It is systematically 132 assumed that the lower surface of the first birnessite layer is an oxygen sheet in which Olaver 133 occupy A sites, that Mn cations fill octahedral b positions, and that the upper surface is 134 formed by Olaver in C sites. Such a layer can be symbolically represented as AbC. In the 135 simulation of idealized polytypes, it is assumed that the Olaver in the lower sheet of the next 136 birnessite layer in a stack can occupy A, B or C positions. If these O_{layer} are located in C sites, 137 O_{laver} from adjacent layers define interlayer prisms. This type of interlayer will be referred to 138 139 as a P-type interlayer and denoted with an equal sign (=). If they are located in A or B sites, Olaver from adjacent layers define interlayer octahedra. These interlayers are referred to as O-140 141 type and denoted with a dash sign (-) to distinguish them from the P-type interlayers. Using the above notions, a one-layer polytype having O-type interlayer (Fig. 1a) can be represented 142 143 as:

144
$$AbC - AbC...$$
 1*H*

145 Systematic consideration of possible two-layer polytypes leads to two independent 146 hexagonal polytypes having homogeneous interlayers (Figs. 1b-c):

147
$$AbC = CbA = AbC...$$
 $2H_1$

148

$$AbC - AcB - AbC...$$
 $2H_2$

With this notation the first digit indicates the number of layers per unit-cell, the letter corresponds to the layer symmetry (*H*, *R*, and *M* standing for hexagonal, rhombohedral, and monoclinic, respectively), and the final numerical subscript determines the polytype. In $2H_1$ and $2H_2$ polytypes adjacent layers are rotated with respect to each other by 180° around the axis parallel to the **c** axis and passing either through Mn atoms of adjacent layers ($2H_1$) or through the "lower" O_{layer} (A sites – $2H_2$). In 1*H* and $2H_1$ the Mn_{layer} octahedral sites overlap in projection on the **ab** plane, whereas these sites are distributed more homogeneously in $2H_2$.

Analysis of three-layer polytypes leads to two independent modifications with rhombohedral symmetry (3R). These two polytypes differ from each other by their interlayer structures (Figs. 2a-b):

159

$$AbC = CaB = BcA = AbC \dots$$
 $3R_1$

160

$$AbC - BcA - CaB - AbC \dots$$
 $3R_2$

161 Two additional three-layer polytypes having O-type interlayers and hexagonal 162 symmetry may also be derived (Figs. 2c-d):

163
$$AbC - AcB - AcB - AbC \dots$$
 $3H_1$

 $AbC - AcB - CaB - AbC \dots$

In terms of the C-centered orthogonal unit cell successive layers in $3R_1$ and $3R_2$ polytypes are shifted by -a/3 and +a/3, respectively. As in $2H_2$ polytype successive layers in $3H_1$ and $3H_2$ polytypes are not related by the same interlayer displacement. In addition, layers from different layer pairs are related to each other by different elements of symmetry in $3H_1$ and $3H_2$ polytypes. For example, layers in the first layer pair of the $3H_1$ polytype are related by a 180° rotation along the **c** axis passing through A sites, whereas they are superimposed in the second pair (Fig. 2c). In the latter layer pairs, Mn octahedral sites overlap in projection on

 $3H_2$

the **ab** plane, whereas in $3R_1$, $3R_2$ and $3H_2$ polytypes, layer Mn cations are distributed homogeneously among all possible sites (Figs. 2a, b, d).

Heterogeneity arising from the coexistence of O- and P-type interlayers in a given 174 birnessite polytype is likely not energetically favorable. Polytypes with alternating O- and P-175 type interlayers were thus excluded from the current study. However, transformation from one 176 polytype to the other likely often occurs through layer translations. Such displacements occur, 177 for example, as a result of cation exchange or during hydration-dehydration processes. 178 Therefore, among birnessite polytypes some unusual modifications can be formed from one-179 layer polytype by shifting the layers. For example, a two-layer structure AbC - BcA = AbC180 181 with both interlayer types can be obtained if successive layers are shifted alternately by +a/3and -a/3 along the **a** axis of the C-centered unit cell. 182

The above idealized birnessite polytypes are similar to those of oxides with general formula A_xMO_2 (Delmas et al. 1980). These authors deduced four polytypes, T1, P2, P3, and O3, which correspond to $1H_1$, $2H_2$, $3R_1$, and $3R_2$ modifications in the present nomenclature. Birnessite polytypes are also similar to those of natural and synthetic layer double hydroxides $(R_{1-x}^{2+}R_x^{3+})(OH)_2(CO_3,SO_4)_{x/2}$ (Drits 1987; Bookin and Drits 1993) and to those of other natural and synthetic layered compounds (Bailey 1980).

189

190 Layer symmetry and polytype diversity

191 Structural characterization of birnessite shows layers with either hexagonal or pseudo-192 hexagonal symmetry. Birnessite has an hexagonal layer symmetry when octahedral vacancies 193 represent the major source of layer charge deficit (Giovanoli et al. 1970b; Chukhrov et al. 194 1985; Silvester et al. 1997; Lanson et al. 2000; 2002b; Gaillot et al. 2003; 2005). By contrast, 195 birnessite has an orthogonal layer symmetry when the main source of negative charge in 196 vacancy-free layers is the presence of a high amount of Mn³⁺ cations (Post and Veblen 1990;

Drits et al. 1998; Lanson et al. 2002a; Gaillot et al. 2004). In the latter case the layers have a 197 C-centered unit-cell with $a > b\sqrt{3}$ (Burns and Burns 1977; Chukhrov et al. 1985; Post and 198 Veblen 1990; Gorshkov et al. 1992; Drits et al. 1997a, 1998; Lanson et al. 2000, 2002a, 199 2002b; Gaillot et al. 2003, 2004, 2005). Orthogonal layer symmetry results from the distortion 200 of Mn³⁺-octahedra because of the Jahn-Teller effect (Drits et al. 1997a). In Mn³⁺ octahedra, 201 two Mn³⁺-O distances are indeed much longer than the other four. For example, in crednerite 202 CuMnO₂ Mn³⁺-octahedra contain two 2.26 Å and four 1.929Å bond lengths with an average 203 <Mn-O> distance of 2.04 Å (Töpfer et al. 1995). Similar distortions of Mn³⁺-octahedra have 204 been reported by Shannon et al. (1975) for α-MnOOH (2.041 Å – Glasser and Ingram 1968), 205 γ -MnOOH (2.037 Å – Dachs 1963) and α -Mn₂O₃ (2.039-2.045 Å – Norrestam 1967). The 206 random distribution of Mn⁴⁺ and Mn³⁺ cations combined with a random azimutal orientation 207 of the long Mn³⁺-O bonds of the Mn³⁺ octahedra would lead to unfavorable steric strains, 208 because of the distortion of Mn³⁺-octahedra. An ordered distribution of Mn³⁺-octahedra all 209 having the same azimutal orientation allows minimizing these strains. According to SAED 210 results, in vacancy-free birnessite layers Mn^{3+} are distributed in rows parallel to the **b** axis and 211 have their long Mn^{3+} -O bonds parallel to the **a** axis (Drits et al. 1997a, 1998; Lanson et al. 212 2002a; Gaillot et al. 2004). Because of the systematic elongation of Mn^{3+} -ocathedra along the 213 **a** axis and because the four short Mn^{3+} -O distances (1.92-1.93 Å) are similar to Mn^{4+} -O bond 214 lengths (1.912 Å for λ -MnO₂), b parameters are similar (2.83-2.85 Å) in most natural and 215 synthetic birnessite samples regardless of the average valency of the Mn_{laver} (Chukhrov et al. 216 1985; Post and Veblen 1990; Chen et al. 1996b; Ching et al. 1997a; Drits et al. 1997a, 1998; 217 Kim et al. 1999; Lanson et al. 2000, 2002a, 2002b; Gaillot et al. 2003, 2004, 2005). 218 The transition from birnessite having layers with hexagonal symmetry to that having 219

polytypes. Specifically, hexagonal one-, two-, and three-layer polytypes $(1H, 2H_1, 2H_2, 3H_1)$

220

pseudo-hexagonal symmetry leads to a modification of symbol notation for the corresponding

and $3H_2$) are changed to the corresponding orthogonal ones (10, 20₁, 20₂, 30₁ and 30₂) whereas $3R_1$ and $3R_2$ are changed to $1M_1$ and $1M_2$ monoclinic modifications having -a/3 and +a/3 displacements of adjacent layers along the **a** axis (Table 1). In $1M_1$ and $1M_2$ birnessite adjacent layers may be shifted along the **a** axis by values different from $\pm 0.333a$ (Post and Veblen 1990; Lanson et al. 2002b).

- 227
- 228

Cation composition, interlayer structure, and polytype diversity

The arrangement of adjacent layers in different polytypes, the presence or absence of 229 vacant layer octahedral sites, the nature of interlayer cations, and their local environments are 230 interrelated structural characteristics. Therefore, it is reasonable to define possible interlayer 231 structures for each particular layer stacking and specifically to propose optimum positions for 232 interlayer cations as a function of their ionic radius and valency (Table 2). This approach also 233 relies on existing birnessite structure models (Chukhrov et al. 1978, 1985; Post and Veblen 234 1990; Drits et al. 1997a, 1998, 2002; Kim et al. 1999; Lanson et al. 2000, 2002a, 2002b; 235 Manceau et al. 2002; Gaillot et al. 2003, 2005). To symbolize positions for interlayer water 236 molecules (H₂O_{interlayer}) and cations the capital letters A', B', and C', and corresponding lower 237 case a', b', and c' will be used, respectively. 238

239 **Polytypes with hexagonal layer symmetry.** The presence of layer vacancies allows interlayer cations to share one face with vacant octahedra so as to provide local charge 240 compensation to undersaturated Olaver. If birnessite interlayers contain relatively small di- or 241 tri-valent cations, such as $Mn^{2+,3+}$, $Co^{2+,3+}$, Cu^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , and Mg^{2+} , then they are commonly 242 octahedrally coordinated by Olaver bound to layer vacancies and H2Ointerlayer. Depending on the 243 layer stacking mode the presence of octahedrally coordinated interlayer cations located above 244 and/or below layer vacancies can give rise to three types of bonding between H₂O_{interlayer} and 245 the nearest O_{laver} from the adjacent layer. In the first [model 1a (polytype 1H)] strong H-bonds 246

link these species as they form empty prisms with short H2Ointerlayer-Olayer distances along the 247 prism edges (2.70-2.75 Å – Fig. 3a). In the second [model 2a (polytype $3R_1$), model 3a 248 (polytype $3R_2$), and model 4a (polytype $2H_1$)] O_{layer} and H₂O_{interlayer} are linked by weak H-249 bonds as they form empty octahedra with long H₂O_{interlayer}-O_{layer} distances (3.0-3.15 Å – Fig. 250 3b). In the third interlayer type [model 5a (polytype $2H_2$), model 6a [polytype $3H_1$], and 251 model 7a (polytype 3H₂)] empty prisms and empty octahedra formed by O_{layer} and H₂O_{interlayer} 252 coexist within a given interlayer, with prisms located on one side of the interlayer and 253 octahedra on the opposite one (Fig. 3c). 254

The presence of tetrahedrally coordinated interlayer cations located above and/or 255 below the vacant layer octahedra in model 3b (polytype $3R_2$) is associated with the formation 256 of strong H-bonds between H₂O_{interlayer} coordinating the interlayer cations and nearest O_{layer} 257 from the adjacent layer. Both species are superimposed in projection on the ab plane and thus 258 located close to each other (Fig. 3d). The coexistence of both octahedrally and tetrahedrally 259 coordinated interlayer cations in model 5c (polytype $2H_2$) leads to the formation of empty 260 prisms between the H₂O_{interlaver} and O_{laver} (strong H-bonds) on one side of the interlayer and to 261 short H₂O_{interlayer}-O_{layer} distances on the other side (not shown). 262

On the other hand, if birnessite interlayers contain large mono- or di-valent cations, 263 such as K^+ , Ba^{2+} , Sr^{2+} , Cs^+ , then they will be located in the interlayer mid-plane either in 264 octahedra defined by Olayer from adjacent layers [model 5b (polytype 2H2), and model 6b 265 (polytype $3H_1$)] or in interlayer prisms [model 2b (polytype $3R_1$)]. In the latter case, interlayer 266 prisms share one face with a layer octahedron and three edges with occupied octahedra of the 267 adjacent layer. Local charge compensation is achieved when interlayer cations are distributed 268 so that prisms share a face with vacant layer octahedra. Random distribution of interlayer 269 cations leads to electrostatic repulsion with Mn_{laver} despite the presence of layer vacancies. 270 Table 2 lists birnessite polytypes consisting of hexagonal vacancy-bearing layers that differ 271

from each other by stacking modes and interlayer structures, which are reasonable from acrystal chemical point of view.

Polytypes with orthogonal layer symmetry. The interlayer cations for vacancy-free layers should have six-fold coordination by O_{layer} and $H_2O_{interlayer}$, and distributed so as to avoid direct interaction with Mn_{layer} . The 1*O*, 2*O*₂, 3*O*₁, and 3*O*₂ polytypes are thus not suitable to host large mono- or divalent cations as they cannot avoid such interactions. In contrast, the 1*M*₂ and 2*O*₁ polytypes can host these cations in the interlayer mid-plane, with octahedral [model 3e (polytype 1*M*₂)], or prismatic [model 4c (polytype 2*O*₁), and model 4e (polytype 2*O*₁)] coordinations.

Model 2c (polytype $1M_1$) represents a special case as interlayer cations cannot be located in a' or b' sites because of the interaction with Mn_{layer} . However, smaller interlayer cations, such as Na⁺, can be located, together with H₂O_{interlayer}, in the interlayer mid-plane above and/or below O_{layer} of adjacent layers as was described for Na-rich synthetic birnessite, hereafter referred to as NaBi (Lanson et al. 2002b). Similarly, in Na⁺-saturated 2O₁ polytype the interlayer cations are likely located above and/or below O_{layer} from adjacent layers (model 4e).

Relatively small di- and tri-valent interlayer cations may be located above and/or 288 289 below empty layer tetrahedra (tridentate cavities) sharing three edges with layer Mn octahedra (^{VI}TE sites). The H₂O_{interlayer} provides octahedral coordination to these cations and may form 290 either empty prisms [model 3d (polytype $1M_2$) – Fig. 4a] or empty octahedra [model 1b, 291 (polytype 10), and model 4d (polytype $2O_1$) – Fig. 4b], with O_{laver} from the adjacent layer. 292 Empty prisms and empty octahedra coexist within a given interlayer [model 5d (polytype 293 $2O_2$] if interlayer cations are octahedrally coordinated. If interlayer cations have both 294 octahedral and tetrahedral coordination then $H_2O_{interlayer}$ and O_{layer} in model 5e (polytype 2 O_2) 295 form empty prisms and strong H-bonds on either side of a given interlayer. 296

Vacancy-free birnessite layers can have hexagonal symmetry if the long Mn^{3+} -O bonds of the layer Mn^{3+} -octahedra are randomly oriented with respect to the **a** axis by n60° (Gaillot et al. 2005, 2006a). Interlayer cations in such birnessite layers should be distributed so as to avoid direct interaction with the nearest Mn_{layer} . In this case, suitable interlayer structures are those described for vacancy-free orthogonal layers (Table 2).

- 302
- 303

CALCULATION OF XRD PATTERNS

304

The XRD patterns calculated for polytypes with the same layer symmetry and 305 periodicity along the c^* axis have the same d-spacings, but differ by their $hk\ell$ intensity 306 distributions. Characterization of layer silicates has shown that structures can be 307 approximated by models for polytype identification purpose. The influence of the actual layer 308 structure on the $hk\ell$ intensity distributions is indeed a second-order effect compared to the 309 differences between polytypes (Bailey 1980). Structure models were thus proposed for each 310 birnessite variety and corresponding powder XRD patterns were calculated. All calculations 311 were performed using the program developed by Plancon (2002) on the basis of the formalism 312 described by Drits and Tchoubar (1990). No orientation function was considered, and no 313 random stacking faults were introduced for the calculations. 314

315

316 Initial structure models

In all structure models, Mn_{layer} and O_{layer} occupy special sites in the orthogonal Ccentered unit-cell: (0, 0, 0), (0.333, 0, z) and (-0.333, 0, -z), respectively, where z-coordinates (in projection on the **c*** axis) were equal to 1.00Å (Post and Veblen 1990; Drits et al. 1998; Lanson et al. 2000, 2002a, 2002b; Gaillot et al. 2003, 2005). According to the close-packing notation interlayer cations and H₂O_{interlayer} also occupy special sites. In the initial structure models, the z-coordinates of the interlayer cations (Me) and $H_2O_{interlayer}$ are the sole variable parameters. The initial z-coordinates of Me and H_2O molecules can be estimated from typical Me-O and Me-H₂O bond lengths for four- and six-fold coordinated Me and from elementary geometrical relationships between these bond lengths and corresponding z-coordinates. For example, if an interlayer cation is octahedrally coordinated above and/or below a vacant layer octahedron, then the following equations are deduced from the hexagonal symmetry of the layer (Lanson et al. 2002b):

329
$$z(Me) = z(O_{layer}) + \sqrt{d^2(Me - O) - b^2/3} = z(O_{layer}) + \Delta z$$

330 and
$$z(H_2O) = z(O_{layer}) + 2\Delta z$$

In the present work, Me-O and Me-H₂O interatomic distances were determined from the effective ionic radii given by Shannon (1976). Where applicable the interlayer atomic positions were adapted from published structural determination of synthetic Na-birnessite (Lanson et al. 2002a), Ca-birnessite (Drits et al. 1998), H-birnessite (Lanson et al. 2000), and K-birnessites (Gaillot et al. 2003, 2005). In the various structure models K, Ca, Mn, Na, and/or Mg were used as interlayer cations. For the different structure models presented in Table 2, corresponding atomic positions and their occupancies are listed in Table 3.

338

339 Calculated XRD patterns

Powder XRD patterns calculated for the different polytypes are shown in Figures 5-8, whereas d-values and *hkl* indices of reflections observed on the various patterns are listed in Table 4. Indexing is performed assuming an orthogonal C-centered cell for both hexagonal and orthogonal birnessite. Reflections in the 36-64° 20 CuK α range have 20*l*,11*l* indices (10*l* and 01*l* when using a hexagonal unit-cell), whereas they have 31*l*,02*l* indices (11*l* when using a hexagonal unit-cell) over the 64-75° 20 CuK α range. The XRD patterns shown in

Figure 5 correspond to structure models in which interlayer Mn cations are located above 346 and/or below layer sites and are octahedrally coordinated by Olayer and H2Ointerlayer (VITC sites 347 - Table 3). The calculated XRD patterns (Figure 5) can be used to identify vacancy-bearing 348 birnessite having one-, two- and three-layer periodicity along the c axis and different layer 349 stacking. However, analysis of the XRD patterns calculated for a given birnessite polytype 350 having different interlayer structures shows that for a given birnessite polytype the intensity 351 of $hk\ell$ reflections also depends on the chemical nature of interlayer cations, their distribution 352 and local environment. For example, interlayer spaces of $3R_2$ polytype (models 4a and 4b) 353 may be occupied by Zn cations having octahedral and/or tetrahedral coordination (Manceau et 354 al. 2000c; Lanson et al. 2000, 2002a) and located in TC sites or TE sites. The replacement of 355 tetrahedrally for octahedrally coordinated Zn cations leads to a significant decrease of 356 204/114, 208/118 and 20.10/11.10 peak intensity (104, 018 and 10.10 assuming a hexagonal 357 cell), with respect to the strongest $20\ell/11\ell$ reflections (Figs. 6a-b). Location of Zn cations in 358 ^{IV}TE sites [model 3c (polytype $3R_2$) – Fig. 6c] strongly increases the 204/114 and 207/117 359 reflection intensities, with respect to 201/111. Important redistribution of intensities is 360 observed also for the 2O₁ and 1M₂ polytypes when interlayers are occupied either by large K^+ 361 (models 4c and 3e) or by smaller Ca^{2+} (models 4d and 3d). The transition from model 3e to 362 model 3d significantly increases 201, 110, 112, 203 and 11-2 and decreases the 111 and 113 363 intensities (Figs. 7a-b). Similarly, the transition from model 4c to 4d is accompanied by 364 redistribution of the 112 and 114 maxima: strong 112 and moderate 114 peaks for model 4c 365 are replaced by strong 114 and moderate 112 ones for model 4d (Figs. 7c-d). 366

In contrast, the relative intensity of strong, moderate and weak reflections change very little for the $3R_1$ polytype when interlayers are occupied by either K⁺, Ca²⁺, or Mn³⁺. The only significant change in the MnBi XRD pattern compared to KBi is a strong increase of 201/111 intensity (Figs. 8a-b). For the $2H_1$ polytype, a strong increase of 200 and 203 intensities and a limited increase of the 206 one is visible on the XRD pattern calculated for MnBi model
compared to KBi (models 4and 4b – Figs. 8c-d)

The calculated XRD patterns show the limited influence of the interlayer structure on the *hkl* intensity distribution for a given birnessite polytype. The intensity distribution is primarily determined by the polytype (Figs. 6-8). As a consequence, identification of birnessite polytypes having either hexagonal or C-centered orthogonal (a >b $\sqrt{3}$) unit cells may be performed without *a priori* details of their layer and interlayer structures.

- 378
- 379

DISCUSSION

380

381 Criteria for determination of birnessite polytypes

Birnessite polytypes having the same periodicity along the **c** axis, but with different layer symmetry, differ from each other by the number of $hk\ell$ reflections. For example, the hexagonal birnessite exhibits a single series of $20\ell/11\ell$ reflections, whereas 20ℓ and 11ℓ series of reflections are individualized for birnessite with an orthogonal layer symmetry. In addition, XRD patterns of hexagonal birnessite usually contains a diagnostic 310/020 reflection (110 when using the hexagonal cell) with $d = (1.42 \pm 0.01)$ Å whereas for the orthogonal birnessite this reflection is split with $d(310) > d(020) = (1.42 \pm 0.01)$ Å.

Birnessites with similar periodicity and layer symmetry can be differentiated by the intensity distribution of *hkl* reflections. Figures 9a-b show that *hkl* reflections with l = 2n are more intense for $2H_1$ polytype compared to those of $2H_2$ polytype. In contrast, 20l/11lreflections (10l and 01l when using the hexagonal cell) with l = 2n + 1 are significantly stronger for $2H_2$ polytype. Similarly, 11l and 20l reflections with l = 2n are stronger than those with l = 2n + 1 for the $2O_1$ polytype (Fig. 9c). XRD patterns from $3R_1$ and $3R_2$ polytypes

also differ from each other by their intensity distributions among $hk\ell$ reflections. The $20\ell/11\ell$ 395 reflections with $\ell = 3n - 1$ (01 ℓ with $\ell = 3n - 1$ ones if using the hexagonal cell) are intense 396 for $3R_1$ polytype but not for $3R_2$, whereas $20\ell/11\ell$ reflections with $\ell = 3n + 1$ (10 ℓ with $\ell =$ 397 3n + 1 ones if using the hexagonal cell) are intense for $3R_2$ polytype and weak for $3R_1$ (Figs. 398 10a-b). $3H_1$ and $3H_2$ polytypes differ from $3R_1$ and $3R_2$ by the presence of $20\ell/11\ell$ reflections 399 with $\ell = 3n$ (Figs 10c-d). Similarly, intensity of $20\ell/11\ell$ reflections with $\ell = 3n$ (10 ℓ with 400 ℓ = 3n if using the hexagonal cell) is significantly lower for 3H₂ than for 3H₁ (Figs. 10c-d). 401 The intensity distribution among $hk\ell$ reflections described above for $3R_1$, $3R_2$, $3H_1$ and $3H_2$ 402 polytypes remain valid for the equivalent birnessite polytypes with orthogonal layer 403 symmetry $(1M_1, 1M_2, 3O_1, 3O_2)$. 404

Special attention should be paid to identify one- (1H and 1O) and two-layer $(2H_1)$ 405 and $2O_1$) polytypes having the same layer symmetry. The presence of a weak 203/113 406 reflection is the sole distinguishing feature allowing identification of the two-laver polytypes 407 (Figs. 5a-b). Note that the difference between XRD patterns corresponding to these two 408 polytypes is further reduced when 1*H* layer pairs are present in the $2H_1$ polytype (that is when 409 AbC - AbC pairs are present in crystals dominated by AbC = CbA = AbC... sequences) as 410 the intensity of the 203/113 reflection is significantly decreased by the presence of such 1H 411 layer pairs in a mixed-layered structure (not shown). 412

413

414 Stacking faults

The above described method can be used not only for the identification of birnessite polytypes and also to determine the nature of stacking faults which are typically present in natural and synthetic birnessites. Well-defined and random stacking faults significantly alter XRD patterns from defective layer structures (for definition of well-defined and random stacking faults see Drits and Tchoubar, 1990). Well-defined stacking faults correspond to the interstratification of layer pairs having the same thickness but different internal structure and interlayer displacements within a periodic polytype. As the result of such interstratification, $hk\ell$ reflections are shifted, and their positions become irrational.

Drits and McCarty (1996) have shown that Méring's rules, initially proposed for basal 423 reflections (Méring 1949), can be generalized to account for the behavior of $hk\ell$ reflections 424 from defective layer structures. According to these generalized rules, $hk\ell$ reflections observed 425 from a defective layer structure are located between neighboring $hk\ell$ reflections of periodic 426 phases whose fragments (layer pairs in the present case) are interstratified. The actual position 427 of $hk\ell$ reflections corresponding to the defective layer structure depends on the relative 428 proportion of the structure fragments and on relative intensities of the "involved" $hk\ell$ 429 reflections of the periodic phases. 430

For example, Lanson et al. (2002b - Fig. 3) showed that reflections of Zn-sorbed 431 birnessite are located between $hk\ell$ reflections calculated for $3R_2$ and 1H polytypes and 432 hypothesized that the main $3R_2$ polytype contained some 1*H* layer pairs (i.e. AbC – AbC pairs 433 are present in crystals dominated by AbC – BcA – CaB – AbC ... sequences). As a result, 434 positions of $hk\ell$ reflections of the main $3R_2$ polytype should indeed be shifted from their ideal 435 position towards those of the defect-free 1H polytype. Accordingly, the best agreement 436 between experimental and calculated XRD patterns was obtained for a defective $3R_2$ polytype 437 containing 12% of 1H layer pairs (Lanson et al. 2002b). A similar approach may be applied to 438 mixtures containing periodic and defective birnessites. For example, Figure 11 shows the best 439 possible agreement between an experimental XRD pattern and that calculated for a mixture of 440 four birnessites: a periodic $2H_1$ polytype and three defective ones $(2O_1/2H_1, 2H_1/3R_1, and$ 441 $3R_1/2H_1$). In the three mixed-layered structures, layer pairs of the $2H_1$ polytype are randomly 442

interstratified with those of the $2O_1$ and $3R_1$ polytypes in proportions 1:1, 7:3, and 1:9, respectively. Note on Figure 11 that each of these mixed-layered structures gives a diagnostic contribution to the calculated XRD pattern (Gaillot et al. 2004).

In our experience, one of the most effective way to study defective birnessite is to combine the above rules for polytype identification and interstratification to build up starting models for XRD pattern calculation (Manceau et al. 1997; Drits et al. 1998; Lanson et al. 2000, 2002a, 2002b; Gaillot et al. 2003, 2004, 2005). Defective birnessite may contain layer pairs which were not reported so far for natural and synthetic periodic birnessite. Therefore, all theoretically possible birnessite polytypes should be considered to determine the nature of stacking faults XRD by comparing experimental and calculated XRD patterns.

453

454 Birnessite polytypes reported for natural and synthetic birnessites

1*H* **Polytype**. The first detailed structural study of natural birnessite was performed by 455 Chuckhrov et al. (1985) on monomineralic birnessite micronodules dredged from the oceanic 456 floor. The sample has a one-layer hexagonal unit-cell and its structure corresponds to the 1H457 ploytype (model 1a) in which interlayer Mn^{3+} and Mg^{2+} cations are octahedrally coordinated 458 above and/or below vacant layer octahedra. Ca²⁺ and Na⁺ cations were also present in the 459 interlayer. This structure model was further supported by X-ray absorption spectroscopy data 460 (Manceau et al. 1992a, 1992b). Analysis of published experimental XRD patterns shows that 461 1H birnessite dominates in Mn-nodules from ocean and lake floors (Burns and Burns 1977; 462 Drits et al. 1985). This 1*H* polytype occurs also in soil birnessite (Glover 1977). 463

Birnessite resulting from the equilibration at low pH of NaBi (obtained according to the Giovanoli protocol – Giovanoli et al. 1970b) was studied by Silvester et al. (1997), Drits et al. (1997a) and in more details by Lanson et al. (2000). This proton-rich birnessite, hereafter referred to as HBi, has a one-layer hexagonal unit cell and a structure similar to

model 1a. HBi can thus be considered a synthetic analogue of natural 1H birnessite. As 468 natural 1H birnessite, HBi layers contain a significant amount of vacant layer octahedra 469 capped by Mn²⁺ and Mn³⁺. The negative layer charge of HBi is compensated for by protons 470 and interlayer Mn cations, and the distribution of layer vacancies is inherited from the ordered 471 Mn³⁺ distribution in NaBi. The H₂O_{interlayer} provides octahedral coordination to Mn_{interlayer} and 472 forms empty prisms, and strong H-bonds, with Olaver of the adjacent layer. Manceau et al. 473 (1997) and Lanson et al. (2002b) showed that equilibration of NaBi in the presence of 474 aqueous heavy metals (Co, Cd and Pb) leads also to the formation of the 1*H* polytype (model 475 1a). When Zn^{2+} is present, the resulting Zn-sorbed synthetic birnessite (ZnBi) has a $3R_2$ 476 polytype, in which models 3a and 3b coexist. Interlayer Zn^{2+} cations are systematically 477 located above and/or below vacant layer sites but can have both octahedral and tetrahedral 478 coordinations. As a result, H₂O_{interlaver} providing octahedral (model 3a) and tetrahedral (model 479 3b) coordination of Zn form weak and strong H-bonds, respectively, with Olaver of the 480 adjacent layer. 481

 $1M_1$ Polytype. Na-rich birnessite synthesized at high pH (Giovanoli et al. 1970a) was 482 first described by Post and Veblen (1990) as a one-layer monoclinic polytype ($1M_1$ polytype, 483 model 2c). To refine the structure they used C2/m space group and the Rietveld technique. 484 485 However, their results were not precise enough to draw definitive conclusions on the origin of the layer charge. Lanson et al. (2002a) showed that NaBi has a one-layer triclinic unit-cell 486 $(C\overline{1}$ space group) and consists of vacancy-free layers, the layer negative charge arising from 487 the Mn³⁺-for-Mn⁴⁺ substitution within octahedral layers. The orthogonal layer symmetry 488 results from the Jahn-Teller distortion of Mn³⁺-octahedra which all have their long Mn³⁺-O_{laver} 489 bond oriented along the a axis. Structural sites of interlayer Na and H₂O, and their 490 occupancies, have also been determined. The triclinic character of NaBi originates from a 491 492 small layer displacement along the **b** axis.

NaBi varieties with different monovalent and divalent cations that were exchanged for 493 Na⁺ were studied by Post and Veblen (1990), Kuma et al. (1994) and Bartoli (1997). XRD 494 patterns of these different birnessites can be indexed with a one-layer monoclinic unit-cell 495 whose parameters depend on the interlayer cation. However, essential details of these 496 birnessites remain poorly understood. For example, Mg²⁺ cations in Mg-exchanged birnessite 497 (MgBi) are supposedly located almost above or below octahedral sites (Post and Veblen 498 1990). Such a location is possible for interlayer Mg^{2+} cations only if the underlying octahedral 499 sites are vacant, NaBi layers being vacancy-free. 500

Similarly, Bartoli (1997) reported in K-exchanged birnessite the location of K^+ cations in either a' or b' sites, whereas the presence of K^+ cations in these sites would lead to their direct interaction with Mn_{layer} from adjacent layers, as can be seen from symbolic notations (Model 2c – Table 2). Post and Veblen (1990) reported K^+ positions in KBi that are shifted from the center of the interlayer prism toward its edges.

Ca²⁺-for-Na⁺ exchange in NaBi dramatically modifies the initial one-layer triclinic structure of NaBi (model 2c) leading to a four-layer polytype in which layer pairs having orthogonal stacking are shifted alternately by $\pm b/2$ along the **b** axis (Drits et al. 1998). Gorshkov et al. (1992) described an occurrence of natural Ca-bearing birnessite, which was analogous to the synthetic Ca-exchanged variety of NaBi.

3*R*₁ Polytype. Chen et al. (1996b) synthesized K-rich birnessite (KBi) with a $3R_1$ unitcell (model 2b). The KBi layers contain only Mn⁴⁺ and vacancies. From the refinement of integrated intensities they concluded that both K⁺ and H₂O_{interlayer} were not located in the prism's centers (a' and b' sites) as in the idealized model 2b but rather in the center of the prism's faces. Gaillot et al. (2005) further refined this structure and showed that interlayer prisms contain three possible K sites, each shifted from the faces of the prism toward its center. Similar positions of interlayer cations were found for Cs⁺, Ba²⁺ and Sr²⁺-exchanged

KBi (Gaillot et al. 2006b). The Ca^{2+} -for-K⁺ exchange in KBi modifies the initial layer 518 stacking from the $3R_1$ polytype (model 2b) to the 1H one (model 1a). The driving force for the 519 KBi-to-CaBi transformation is likely the possibility of forming strong H-bonds between 520 H₂O_{interlaver} providing octahedral coordination of Ca cations and O_{laver} of the adjacent layer 521 (model 1a). Using mild hydrothermal conditions, Chen et al. (1996a) and Gaillot et al. (2005) 522 synthesized directly Na-rich birnessite (NaBi_h) having a $3R_1$ unit-cell. According to these 523 authors, these NaBi_h layers consist of Mn⁴⁺ cations and vacant octahedra but positions of 524 interlayer Na⁺ were not determined. 525

 $2H_1$ Polytype. Kim et al. (1999) synthesized K-rich birnessite (KBi) from the 526 decomposition of KMnO₄ at temperatures ranging from 200-1000°C. Using the Rietveld 527 technique, these authors showed that KBi obtained at 800°C has two-layer hexagonal 528 symmetry because of the regular alternation of octahedral layers rotated with respect to each 529 other by 180° around the axis passing through layer Mn cations (polytype $2H_1$, model 4b). 530 Gaillot et al. (2003) has shown that the actual structure of KBi obtained at 800°C differs from 531 the model of Kim et al. (1999) by several important details. First, KBi interlavers have 532 heterogeneous cation composition with the coexistence of both K^+ and Mn^{3+} cations; second, 533 because of the layer-to-interlayer migration of Mn³⁺ cations KBi layers contain only Mn⁴⁺ 534 cations and vacant octahedra. Finally, interlayer K^+ is not located in the prism's center. Rather 535 K^+ is distributed over three possible sites, each of which being shifted from the center of the 536 prism towards it faces. Thus, the idealized KBi structure corresponds to the coexistence of 537 models 4a and 4b ($2H_1$ polytype). 538

201 Polytype. KBi synthesized from the thermal decomposition of KMnO₄ at 1000°C
has vacancy-free layers and orthogonal layer symmetry (polytype 2O1, model 5c – Gaillot et
al. 2005, 2006a).

Ambiguously determined polytypes. Kim et al. (2000) used the Rietveld technique 542 543 to determine structures of synthetic K-Li-rich birnessites (Li-K-Bi) obtained from the thermal decomposition of a Mn-KNO₃-LiOH mixture at 800°C and 1050°C. They concluded that the 544 800°C Li-K-Bi has a three layer periodicity and hexagonal symmetry of layers whereas the 545 1050°C Li-K-Bi has an orthogonal layer symmetry and two-layer periodicity due to an 546 ordered rotation of layers by 180°. However, the description of these structures was rather 547 confusing because of technical errors. In particular, symbolic notations for the structures do 548 not correspond to the proposed structure models. For example, the 1050°C Li-K-Bi is 549 described as AaB a' BbA b' instead of the actual notation given for model 4b (AcB a' BcA). 550 551 In addition, unit-cell parameters given for the 1000°C Li-K-Bi are not consistent with the proposed space group. Similarly, interatomic Mn-O distances differ significantly from those 552 expected when heterovalent Mn_{laver} cations coexist within the octahedral layers. These authors 553 also describe the structure of the Li-K-Bi sample synthesized at 800°C as AcB a' CbA c' BaC 554 b' (model 3d, polytype $3R_2$), with octahedrally coordinated interlayer K⁺ cations. However, 555 atomic coordinates reported for this sample (Table 1 - Kim et al. 2000) correspond to 556 polytype $3R_1$ (model 2b) with interlayer K⁺ having prismatic coordination. This latter 557 structure model is consistent with the experimental XRD pattern reported for this sample 558 (Figure 4 – Kim et al. 2000) which is similar to those obtained by Chen et al. (1996a) and 559 Gaillot et al. (2005) from $3R_1$ polytypes (model 2b) synthesized under mild hydrothermal 560 conditions. All these experimental patterns are similar to the one displayed on Figure 8a. 561

Turbostratic samples. Finally, one has to note that among different natural environments, and especially in soils, turbostratic birnessite is extremely common. For example, turbostratic birnessite has been reported as resulting from the bacterial oxidation of Mn^{2+} by different strains (Mandernack et al. 1995; Villalobos et al. 2003; Jurgensen et al. 2004; Bargar et al. 2005; Webb et al. 2005) and from abiotic processes (Mandernack et al. 567 1995). However, so far there is little unambiguous information derived from XRD data on the 568 structural and crystal chemical of these varieties. Most often the structural characterization of 569 disordered birnessite is limited to the description of 00*l* peak positions as a function of 570 relative humidity to assess the lamellar character of these "poorly crystalline birnessites". The 571 position of *hkl* reflections has also been used to hypothesize the actual layer symmetry (and 572 thus the origin of the layer charge of these varieties) however without much experimental 573 support (Villalobos et al. 2003; Jurgensen et al. 2004; Webb et al. 2005).

However, as described, birnessite having different layer symmetry may be 574 unambiguously distinguished from each other from the shape of their hk bands (Fig. 12). A 575 shoulder, resulting from the individualization of 20 and 11 reflections, is indeed visible on the 576 low-angle side of main maximum of the 20,11 band for orthogonal modifications (at ~2.55 Å 577 and 2.45 Å, respectively – Fig. 12b), whereas this shoulder is logically absent for hexagonal 578 579 modifications (Fig. 12a). Similarly, the 31,02 band of the orthogonal modifications contains two distinct maxima (~1.474 Å and 1.422 Å – Fig. 12b) which are merged for the hexagonal 580 modifications (peak at ~1.422 Å - Fig. 12a). The features described for the orthogonal 581 modifications are like those reported for the Mn oxides resulting from the oxidation of Mn²⁺ 582 by Bacillus sp. strain SG-1 (Fig. 3 in Webb et al. 2005) indicating the presence of a high 583 proportion of Mn^{3+} within the octahedral layers of this birnessite. The presence of Mn^{3+} -rich 584 rows in vacancy-free layers, as in NaBi, is also supported by the splitting of the 8 $Å^{-1}$ feature 585 in the EXAFS spectra (Fig. 5 in Webb et al. 2005) which has been described as characteristic 586 of the presence of Mn³⁺-rich rows in the octahedral Mn layer leading to its orthogonal 587 symmetry (Fig. 9 in Gaillot et al. 2003; Fig. 6 in Marcus et al. 2004; Fig. 5 in Manceau et al. 588 2004; Manceau et al. 2005). To our knowledge, XRD patterns of all other turbostratic 589 varieties reported so far in the literature correspond to hexagonal modifications. 590

In addition Villalobos et al. (2006) demonstrated the high sensitivity of XRD profiles 591 to the layer and interlayer structure of turbostratic phyllomanganates. In their study, 592 Villalobos et al. (2006) observed contrasting experimental modulations of the 20,11 and 31,02 593 bands, and successfully reproduced them assuming a turbostratic stacking. In this case, the 594 position and profile of the bands depend essentially on the amount and atomic coordinates of 595 both layer and interlayer species, and these authors were able to determine the amount of 596 vacant layer sites, as well as the amount and coordinates of both "heavy" (Mn^{3+,2+}) and "light" 597 $(Na^+, K^+, H_2O_{interlayer})$ interlayer species. Such calculations also provided them with an 598 estimate of the lateral extension of the octahedral layers (Drits and Tchoubar 1990; Jurgensen 599 600 et al. 2004; Villalobos et al. 2006). The influence of the layer and interlayer structure on calculated profiles for 20,11 and 31,02 bands is illustrated in Figure 13. As compared to the 601 structure model shown in Figure 12a (Model 1a), decreasing both the proportion of interlayer 602 Mn and layer vacancies from 0.167 to 0.075 broadens the lineshape of the 20,11 and 603 smoothes out the scattering dip at ~ $45^{\circ} 2\theta$ CuK α , thus rendering the calculated hump at ~ 50-604 55° 2θ CuKα less pronounced (Fig. 12b). Moving interlayer Mn from the ^{VI}TC position 605 dramatically modifies the 20,11 lineshape, as observed when the 0.167 interlayer Mn atoms 606 are located above and/or below the tridentate cavities (^{VI}TE sites – Fig. 13c). The sensitivity 607 of the 20,11 profile to the position of "light" interlayer species is illustrated next by assuming 608 either the presence of Na⁺ above and/or below O_{laver} [(0.333, 0.0, 0.5) – Fig. 13d] or that of K⁺ 609 above/below the tridentate cavities [Position (-0.222, 0, 05) and equivalent positions - Fig. 610 13e], H₂O molecules sitting in both cases above and/or below O_{laver}. In the second case, the 611 20,11 band is broadened and the "hump" observed at ~50° 2 θ CuK α in Figure 13d is both 612 shifted towards higher angles and smoothened out. Similar modulations can however result 613 from the partial ordering of the layer stacking (e.g., Ben Brahim et al. 1983, 1984; Drits and 614 Tchoubar 1990; Viani et al. 2002). Special attention should thus be paid to the structural 615

616	interpretation of these modulations, and, in this respect, verifying the structural XRD model
617	with independent data from another structural technique, such as EXAFS spectroscopy, is
618	always warranted.
619	
620	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
621	
622	VAD is grateful to the Environmental Geochemistry Group of the LGIT (Grenoble,
623	France) and to the Russian Science Foundation for financial support. VAD and BL
624	acknowledge financial support from CNRS/PICS709 program. The manuscript greatly
625	benefited from the remarks of two anonymous reviewers and from the editorial assistance of
626	AE Laurence Garvie.
627	

628	R EFERENCES CITED
629	
630	Aronson, B.J., Kinser, A.K., Passerini, S., Smyrl, W.H., and Stein, A. (1999) Synthesis,
631	characterization, and electrochemical properties of magnesium birnessite and zinc
632	chalcophanite prepared by a low-temperature route. Chemistry of Materials, 11, 949-
633	957.
634	Bach, S., Henry, M., Baffier, N., and Livage, J. (1990) Sol-gel synthesis of manganese oxides.
635	Journal of Solid State Chemistry, 88, 325-333.
636	Bach, S., Pereira-Ramos, JP., and Bafier, N. (1993) Electrochemical sodium insertion into
637	the sol-gel birnessite manganese dioxide. Electrochimica Acta, 38, 1695-1698.
638	Bailey, S.W. (1980) Structures of layer silicates. In G.W. Brindley, and G. Brown, Eds.,
639	Crystal Structures of Clay Minerals and their X-ray Identification, p. 1-123.
640	Mineralogical Society, London.
641	(1988) Hydrous Phyllosilicates (exclusive of micas), 725 p. Mineralogical Society of
642	America, Washington, D.C.
643	Bargar, J.R., Tebo, B.M., Bergmann, U., Webb, S.M., Glatzel, P., Chiu, V.Q., and Villalobos,
644	M. (2005) Biotic and abiotic products of Mn(II) oxidation by spores of the marine
645	Bacillus sp. strain SG-1. American Mineralogist, 90, 143-154.
646	Bartoli, C. (1997) Contribution à l'étude structurale des birnessites monocliniques saturées au
647	potassium et au rubidium, 234 p. Ph.D. thesis, Orléans University, France.
648	Ben Brahim, J., Besson, G., and Tchoubar, C. (1983) Layer succession and water molecules
649	arrangement in a homogeneous two-water layer Na-smectite. In J. Konta, Ed. 5th
650	Meeting of the European Clay Groups, p. 65-75. Univerzita Karlova, Prague.
651	(1984) Etude des profils des bandes de diffraction X d'une beidellite-Na hydratée à deux
652	couches d'eau. Détermination du mode d'empilement des feuillets et des sites occupés
653	par l'eau. Journal of Applied Crystallography, 17, 179-188.

654	Bookin, A.S. and Drits, V.A. (1993) Polytype diversity of the hydrotalcite-like minerals. I.
655	Possible polytypes and their diffraction features. Clays & Clay Minerals, 41, 551-557.
656	Burns, R.G. and Burns, V.M. (1977) The mineralogy and crystal chemistry of deep-sea
657	manganese nodules, a polymetallic resource of the twenty-first century. Philosophical
658	Transactions of the Royal Society of London (A), 286, 283-301.
659	Burns, V.M. and Burns, R.G. (1978) Post-depositional metal enrichment processes inside
660	manganese nodules from the North Equatorial Pacific Ocean. Earth & Planetary
661	Science Letters, 39, 341-348.
662	Chao, T.T. and Theobald, P.K. (1976) The significance of secondary iron and manganese
663	oxides in geochemical exploration. Economic Geology, 71, 1560-1569.
664	Chen, R.J., Chirayil, T., Zavalij, P., and Whittingham, M.S. (1996a) The hydrothermal
665	synthesis of sodium manganese oxide and a lithium vanadium oxide. Solid State
666	Ionics, 86-88, 1-7.
667	Chen, R.J., Zavalij, P., and Whittingham, M.S. (1996b) Hydrothermal synthesis and
668	characterization of K _x MnO ₂ .yH ₂ O. Chemistry of Materials, 8, 1275-1280.
669	Ching, S., Landrigan, J.A., Jorgensen, M.L., Duan, N., Suib, S.L., and O'Young, C.L. (1995)
670	Sol-Gel synthesis of birnessite from KMnO ₄ and simple sugars. Chemistry of
671	Materials, 7, 1604-1606.
672	Ching, S., Petrovay, D.J., Jorgensen, M.L., and Suib, S.L. (1997a) Sol-Gel Synthesis of
673	layered Birnessite-Type Manganese Oxides. Inorganic Chemistry, 36, 883-890.
674	Ching, S., Roark, J.L., Duan, N., and Suib, S.L. (1997b) Sol-Gel route to the tunneled
675	manganese oxide cryptomelane. Chemistry of Materials, 9, 750-754.
676	Ching, S. and Suib, S.L. (1997) Synthetic routes to microporous manganese oxides.

677 Comments on Inorganic Chemistry, 19, 263-282.

678	Cho, J., Kim, G.B., Lim, H.S., Kim, CS., and Yoo, SI. (1999) Improvement of Structural
679	Stability of LiMn ₂ O ₄ Cathode Material on 55°C Cycling by Sol-Gel Coating of
680	LiCoO ₂ . Electrochemical and Solid-State Letters, 2, 607-609.

- Chukhrov, F.V., Gorschkov, A.I., Rudnitskaya, E.S., and Sivtsov, A.V. (1978) Birnessite
 characterization. Izvestiya Akademie Nauk, SSSR, Seriya Geologicheskaya, 9, 67-76.
- 683 Chukhrov, F.V., Sakharov, B.A., Gorshkov, A.I., Drits, V.A., and Dikov, Y.P. (1985) Crystal
- structure of birnessite from the Pacific ocean. International Geology Review, 27,
 1082-1088.
- 686 Cornell, R.M. and Giovanoli, R. (1988) Transformation of hausmannite into birnessite in
 687 alkaline media. Clays & Clay Minerals, 36, 249-257.
- Dachs, H. (1963) Neutronen- und Röntgenuntersuchungen am Manganit, MnOOH. Zeitschrift
 für Kristallographie, 118, 303-326.
- Delmas, C., Fouassier, C., and Hagenmuller, P. (1980) Structural classification and properties
 of the layered oxides. Physica B, 99, 81-85.
- Drits, V.A. (1987) Electron diffraction and high-resolution electron microscopy of mineral
 structures, 304 p. Springer Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg.
- Drits, V.A., Lanson, B., Bougerol Chaillout, C., Gorshkov, A.I., and Manceau, A. (2002)
 Structure of heavy-metal sorbed birnessite: Part 2. Results from electron diffraction.
- American Mineralogist, 87, 1646-1661.
- 697 Drits, V.A., Lanson, B., Gorshkov, A.I., and Manceau, A. (1998) Substructure and
- superstructure of four-layer Ca-exchanged birnessite. American Mineralogist, 83, 97 118.
- Drits, V.A. and McCarty, D.K. (1996) The nature of diffraction effects from illite and illite-
- smectite consisting of interstratified trans-vacant and cis-vacant 2:1 layers: A semi-

- quantitative technique for determination of layer-type content. American Mineralogist,
 81, 852-863.
- Drits, V.A., Petrova, V.V., and Gorshkov, A.I. (1985) Manganese minerals of Fe-Mn nodules
 from the sediments of the central part of Pacific Ocean and their post-sedimentation
 transformation. Lithology and Raw Materials, 3, 17-39.
- 707 Drits, V.A., Silvester, E.J., Gorshkov, A.I., and Manceau, A. (1997a) The structure of
- monoclinic Na-rich birnessite and hexagonal birnessite. Part 1. Results from X-ray
- diffraction and selected area electron diffraction. American Mineralogist, 82, 946-961.
- 710 Drits, V.A., Srodon, J., and Eberl, D.D. (1997b) XRD measurement of mean crystallite
- tickness of illite and illite/smectite : Reappraisal of the Kubler index and the Scherrer
 equation. Clays and Clay Minerals, 45, 461-475.
- 713 Drits, V.A. and Tchoubar, C. (1990) X-ray diffraction by disordered lamellar structures:
- Theory and applications to microdivided silicates and carbons, 371 p. SpringerVerlag, Berlin.
- Feng, Q., Kanoh, H., Miyai, Y., and Ooi, K. (1995) Hydrothermal synthesis of lithium and
- sodium manganese oxides and their metal ion extraction/insertion reactions.
- 718 Chemistry of Materials, 7, 1226-1232.
- Feng, Q., Sun, E.H., Yanagisawa, K., and Yamasaki, N. (1997a) Synthesis of birnessite-type
 sodium manganese oxides by solution reaction and hydrothermal methods. Journal of
 the Ceramic Society of Japan, 105, 564-568.
- Feng, Q., Yanagisawa, K., and Yamasaki, N. (1997b) Synthesis of birnessite-type potassium
 manganese oxide. Journal of Materials Science Letters, 16, 110-112.
- Gaillot, A.-C., Drits, V.A., Lanson, B., and Manceau, A. (2006a) Structure of synthetic K-rich
 birnessite obtained by high-temperature decomposition of KMnO4. Structure of a two-

726	layer polytype from 1000°C experiments. Microporous and Mesoporous Materials,
727	submitted.

- Gaillot, A.-C., Drits, V.A., Plancon, A., and Lanson, B. (2004) Structure of synthetic K-rich
 birnessites obtained by high-temperature decomposition of KMnO₄. 2. Phase and
 structural heterogeneities. Chemistry of Materials, 16, 1890-1905.
- Gaillot, A.-C., Flot, D., Drits, V.A., Manceau, A., Burghammer, M., and Lanson, B. (2003)
- Structure of synthetic K-rich birnessite obtained by high-temperature decomposition
 of KMnO₄. I. Two-layer polytype from 800 degrees C experiment. Chemistry of
- 734 Materials, 15, 4666-4678.
- Gaillot, A.-C., Lanson, B., and Drits, V.A. (2005) Structure of birnessite obtained from
 decomposition of permanganate under soft hydrothermal conditions. 1. Chemical and
 structural evolution as a function of temperature. Chemistry of Materials, 17, 2959-
- 738 2975.
- -. (2006b) Structure of birnessite obtained from decomposition of permanganate under soft
- hydrothermal conditions. 2. Variation of the structure as a function of the nature of theinterlayer cation. Chemistry of Materials, in preparation.
- Giovanoli, R., Stähli, E., and Feitknecht, W. (1970a) Über Oxidhydroxide des vierwertigen
 Mangans mit Schichtengitter. 1. Mitteilung: Natriummangan(II,III)manganat(IV).
 Helvetica Chimica Acta, 53, 209-220.
- -. (1970b) Über Oxidhydroxide des vierwertigen Mangans mit Schichtengitter. 2. Mitteilung:
 Mangan(III)-manganat(IV). Helvetica Chimica Acta, 53, 453-464.
- Glasser, L.S.D. and Ingram, L. (1968) Refinement of the crystal structure of Groutite, α MnOOH. Acta Crystallographica, B24, 1233-1236.
- Glover, E.D. (1977) Characterization of a marine birnessite. American Mineralogist, 62, 278285.

751	Golden, D.C., Dixon, J.B., and Chen, C.C. (1986) Ion exchange, thermal transformations, and
752	oxidizing properties of birnessite. Clays & Clay Minerals, 34, 511-520.
753	Gorshkov, A.I., Drits, V.A., Putilina, E.S., and Sivtsov, A.V. (1992) Natural and synthetic
754	birnessites. Lithology and raw materials, 6, 12-23.
755	Herbstein, H.F., Ron, G., and Weissman, A. (1971) The thermal decompoition of Potassium
756	Permanganate and related substances. Part I. Chemical aspects. Journal of the
757	Chemical Society (A), 1821-1826.
758	Jurgensen, A., Widmeyer, J.R., Gordon, R.A., Bendell Young, L.I., Moore, M.M., and
759	Crozier, E.D. (2004) The structure of the manganese oxide on the sheath of the
760	bacterium Leptothrix discophora: An XAFS study. American Mineralogist, 89, 1110-
761	1118.
762	Kim, S.H., Im, W.M., Hong, J.K., and Oh, S.M. (2000) Factors controlling the stability of O3-
763	and P2-type layered MnO2 structures and spinel transition tendency in Li secondary
764	batteries. Journal of the Electrochemical Society, 147, 413-419.
765	Kim, S.H., Kim, S.J., and Oh, S.M. (1999) Preparation of layered MnO ₂ via thermal
766	decomposition of KMnO ₄ and its electrochemical characterizations. Chemistry of
767	Materials, 11, 557-563.
768	Kuma, K., Usui, A., Paplawsky, W., Gedulin, B., and Arrhenius, G. (1994) Crystal structures
769	of synthetic 7 Å and 10 Å manganates substituted by mono- and divalent cations.
770	Mineralogical Magazine, 58, 425-447.
771	Lanson, B., Drits, V.A., Feng, Q., and Manceau, A. (2002a) Structure of synthetic Na-
772	birnessite: Evidence for a triclinic one-layer unit cell. American Mineralogist, 87,
773	1662-1671.

774	Lanson, B., Drits, V.A., Gaillot, AC., Silvester, E., Plancon, A., and Manceau, A. (2002b)
775	Structure of heavy-metal sorbed birnessite: Part 1. Results from X-ray diffraction.
776	American Mineralogist, 87, 1631-1645.
777	Lanson, B., Drits, V.A., Silvester, E.J., and Manceau, A. (2000) Structure of H-exchanged

- hexagonal birnessite and its mechanism of formation from Na-rich monoclinic
 buserite at low pH. American Mineralogist, 85, 826-838.
- Le Goff, P., Baffier, N., Bach, S., and Pereira-Ramos, J.-P. (1994) Structural and

relectrochemical properties of layered manganese dioxides in relation to their

- synthesis: Classical and sol-gel routes. Journal of Materials Chemistry, 4, 875-881.
- -. (1996) Synthesis, ion exchange and electrochemical properties of lamellar

phyllomanganates of the birnessite group. Materials Research Bulletin, 31, 63-75.

- Leroux, F., Guyomard, D., and Piffard, Y. (1995) The 2D rancieite-type manganic acid and its
 alkali-exchanged derivatives: Part I Chemical characterization and thermal behavior.
 Solid State Ionics, 80, 299-306.
- Ma, Y., Luo, J., and Suib, S.L. (1999) Syntheses of birnessites using alcohols as reducing
 reagents: Effects of synthesis parameters on the formation of birnessites. Chemistry of
 Materials, 11, 1972-1979.
- Manceau, A. and Charlet, L. (1992) X-ray absorption spectroscopic study of the sorption of
 Cr(III) at the oxide-water interface. Journal of Colloid and Interface Science, 148,
 425-442.
- Manceau, A., Drits, V.A., Lanson, B., Chateigner, D., Wu, J., Huo, D., Gates, W.P., and
 Stucki, J.W. (2000a) Oxidation-reduction mechanism of iron in dioctahedral
- smectites: II. Crystal chemistry of reduced Garfield nontronite. American
- 797 Mineralogist, 85, 153-172.

798	Manceau, A., Drits, V.A., Silvester, E.J., Bartoli, C., and Lanson, B. (1997) Structural
799	mechanism of Co^{2+} oxidation by the phyllomanganate buserite. American
800	Mineralogist, 82, 1150-1175.
801	Manceau, A., Gorshkov, A.I., and Drits, V.A. (1992a) Structural Chemistry of Mn, Fe, Co,
802	and Ni in Mn hydrous oxides. I. Information from XANES spectroscopy. American
803	Mineralogist, 77, 1133-1143.
804	(1992b) Structural Chemistry of Mn, Fe, Co, and Ni in Mn hydrous oxides. II. Information
805	from EXAFS spectroscopy, electron and X-ray diffraction. American Mineralogist,
806	77, 1144-1157.
807	Manceau, A., Lanson, B., and Drits, V.A. (2002) Structure of heavy metal sorbed birnessite.
808	Part III: Results from powder and polarized extended X-ray absorption fine structure
809	spectroscopy. Geochimica Et Cosmochimica Acta, 66, 2639-2663.
810	Manceau, A., Lanson, B., Drits, V.A., Chateigner, D., Gates, W.P., Wu, J., Huo, D., and
811	Stucki, J.W. (2000b) Oxidation-reduction mechanism of iron in dioctahedral
812	smectites: I. Crystal chemistry of oxidized reference nontronites. American
813	Mineralogist, 85, 133-152.
814	Manceau, A., Lanson, B., Schlegel, M.L., Harge, JC., Musso, M., Eybert Berard, L.,
815	Hazemann, JL., Chateigner, D., and Lamble, G.M. (2000c) Quantitative Zn
816	speciation in smelter-contaminated soils by EXAFS spectroscopy. American Journal
817	of Science, 300, 289-343.
818	Manceau, A., Marcus, M.A., Tamura, N., Proux, O., Geoffroy, N., and Lanson, B. (2004)
819	Natural speciation of Zn at the micrometer scale in a clayey soil using X-ray
820	fluorescence, absorption, and diffraction. Geochimica Et Cosmochimica Acta, 68,
821	2467-2483.
822	Manceau, A., Schlegel, M.L., Chateigner, D., Lanson, B., Bartoli, C., and Gates, W.P. (1999)
-----	---
823	Application of polarized EXAFS to fine-grained layered minerals. In D.G. Schulze,
824	J.W. Stucki, and P.M. Bertsch, Eds., Synchrotron X-ray methods in clay science, 9, p.
825	68-114. Clay Minerals Society, Boulder, Co.
826	Manceau, A., Tommaseo, C., Rihs, S., Geoffroy, N., Chateigner, D., Schlegel, M., Tisserand,
827	D., Marcus, M.A., Tamura, N., and Chen, Z.S. (2005) Natural speciation of Mn, Ni,
828	and Zn at the micrometer scale in a clayey paddy soil using X-ray fluorescence,
829	absorption, and diffraction. Geochimica Et Cosmochimica Acta, 69, 4007-4034.
830	Mandernack, K.W., Post, J., and Tebo, B.M. (1995) Manganese mineral formation by
831	bacterial spores of the marine Bacillus, strain SG-1: Evidence for the direct oxidation
832	of Mn(II) to Mn(IV). Geochimica Et Cosmochimica Acta, 59, 4393-4408.
833	Marcus, M.A., Manceau, A., and Kersten, M. (2004) Mn, Fe, Zn and As speciation in a fast-
834	growing ferromanganese marine nodule. Geochimica Et Cosmochimica Acta, 68,
835	3125-3136.
836	Méring, J. (1949) L'interférence des rayons-X dans les systèmes à stratification désordonnée.
837	Acta Crystallographica, 2, 371-377.
838	Norrestam, R. (1967) α -Manganese (III) oxide - A C-type sesquioxide of orthorhombic
839	symmetry. Acta Chemica Scandinavica, 21, 2871-2884.
840	Paterson, E., Swaffield, R., and Clark, L. (1994) The influence of structure on Ba and K
841	uptake by a synthetic phyllomangante. Clay Minerals, 29, 215-222.
842	Plançon, A. (2002) CALCIPOW- a program for calculating the diffraction by disordered
843	lamellar structures. Journal of Applied Crystallography, 35, 377.
844	Post, J.E. and Veblen, D.R. (1990) Crystal structure determinations of synthetic sodium,
845	magnesium, and potassium birnessite using TEM and the Rietveld method. American
846	Mineralogist, 75, 477-489.

847	Shannon, R.D. (1976) Revised effective ionic radii and systematic studies of interatomic
848	distances in halides and chalcogenides. Acta Crystallographica, A32, 751-767.

- Shannon, R.D., Gumeman, P.S., and Chenavas, J. (1975) Effect of octahedral distortion on
 mean Mn³⁺-O distances. American Mineralogist, 60, 714-716.
- 851 Silvester, E.J., Manceau, A., and Drits, V.A. (1997) The structure of monoclinic Na-rich
- birnessite and hexagonal birnessite. Part 2. Results from Chemical Studies and

EXAFS Spectroscopy. American Mineralogist, 82, 962-978.

- 854 Stone, A.T., Godtfredsen, K.L., and Deng, B. (1994) Sources and reactivity of reductant
- encountered in aquatic environments. In G. Bidoglio, and W. Stumm, Eds., Chemistry
- of aquatic systems: Local and global perspectives, p. 337-374. ECSC, EEC, EAEC,
- 857 Brussels.
- Tebo, B.M., Bargar, J.R., Clement, B.G., Dick, G.J., Murray, K.J., Parker, D., Verity, R., and
 Webb, S.M. (2004) Biogenic manganese oxides: Properties and mechanisms of
 formation. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 32, 287-328.
- 861 Tebo, B.M. and He, L.M. (1999) Microbially mediated oxidative precipitation reactions. In
- 862D.L. Sparks, and T.J. Grundl, Eds., Mineral-water interfacial reactions, 715, p. 393-
- 414. American Chemical Society, Washington, D.C.
- Töpfer, J., Trari, M., Gravereau, P., Chaminade, J.P., and Doumerc, J.P. (1995) Crystal
 growth and reinvestigation of the crystal structure of crednerite, CuMnO₂. Zeitschrift
 für Kristallographie, 210, 184-187.
- Tsuji, M., Komarneni, S., Tamaura, Y., and Abe, M. (1992) Cation exchange properties of a
 layered manganic acid. Materials Research Bulletin, 27, 741-751.
- Tu, S., Racz, G.J., and Goh, T.B. (1994) Transformations of synthetic birnessite as affected
 by pH and manganese concentration. Clays & Clay Minerals, 42, 321-330.

871	Viani, A., Gaultieri, A.F., and Artioli, G. (2002) The nature of disorder in montmorillonite by
872	simulation of X-ray powder patterns. American Mineralogist, 87, 966-975.

- Villalobos, M., Lanson, B., Manceau, A., Toner, B., and Sposito, G. (2006) Structural model
 for the biogenic Mn oxide produced by Pseudomonas putida. American Mineralogist,
 91, 489-502.
- Villalobos, M., Toner, B., Bargar, J., and Sposito, G. (2003) Characterization of the
- 877 manganese oxide produced by Pseudomonas putida strain MnB1. Geochimica Et
 878 Cosmochimica Acta, 67, 2649-2662.
- 879 Webb, S.M., Tebo, B.M., and Bargar, J.R. (2005) Structural characterization of biogenic Mn
- oxides produced in seawater by the marine *Bacillus sp.* strain SG-1. American
 Mineralogist, 90, 1342-1357.
- Yang, D.S. and Wang, M.K. (2001) Syntheses and characterization of well-crystallized
 birnessite. Chemistry of Materials, 13, 2589-2594.

884

885

FIGURE CAPTIONS

886

904

FIGURE 1. Idealized structure model of birnessite polytypes. a) 1H polytype. Top: projection 887 along the **b** axis. Open and solid symbols indicate atoms at y = 0 and $y = \pm \frac{1}{2}$, 888 respectively. Large circles represent Olaver atoms and small circles represent Mnlaver, 889 atoms. Dashed lines outline specific positions of the close-packing formalism. 890 Irregular dashed lines outline the interlayer octahedra defined by Olayer from adjacent 891 layers. Bottom: projection on the **ab** plane. The upper surface of the lower layer is 892 shown as light shaded triangles whereas the lower surface of the upper layer is 893 894 shown as dark shaded triangles. Mn_{laver} of the upper layer are shown as small open circles. b) $2H_1$ polytype. Top: projection along the b axis. Irregular dashed lines 895 outline the interlayer prisms defined by Olaver from adjacent layers. Bottom: 896 projection on the **ab** plane. Mn_{layer} of the lower layer are shown as small solid circles. 897 Other symbols as in Figure 1a. c) $2H_2$ polytype. Top: projection along the **b** axis. 898 Irregular dashed lines outline the interlayer octahedra defined by Olayer from adjacent 899 layers. Bottom: projection on the **ab** plane. Symbols and notations as in Figure 1a. 900 FIGURE 2. Idealized structure model of birnessite polytypes in projection along the b axis. a) 901 902 $3R_1$ polytype. Symbols and notations as in Figure 1. Irregular dashed lines outline the interlayer prisms defined by O_{laver} from adjacent layers. **b**) $3R_2$ polytype. Symbols 903

905 defined by O_{layer} from adjacent layers. c) $3H_1$ polytype. Symbols and notations as in 906 Figure 1. Irregular dashed lines as in Figure 2b. d) $3H_2$ polytype. Symbols and 907 notations as in Figure 1. Irregular dashed lines as in Figure 2b.

and notations as in Figure 1. Irregular dashed lines outline the interlayer octahedra

FIGURE 3. Idealized interlayer structure model in projection along the b axis for birnessite
 polytypes with layer vacancies (hexagonal layer symmetry). a) 1H polytype with

octahedrally coordinated cations above/below vacant layer sites (^{VI}TC position – 910 911 Model 1a). Symbols and notations as in Figure 1. Irregular dashed lines outline the interlayer prisms defined by H2Ointerlayer coordinating the interlayer cations and Olaver 912 from adjacent layers (H-bonds). b) $3R_1$, $3R_2$ and $2H_1$ polytypes with octahedrally 913 coordinated cations above/below vacant layer sites (^{VI}TC position – Models 2a, 3a 914 and 4a, respectively). Symbols and notations as in Figure 1. Irregular dashed lines 915 outline the interlayer octahedra defined by H₂O_{interlayer} coordinating the interlayer 916 cations and O_{laver} from adjacent layers (weak H-bonds). c) $2H_2$, $3H_1$ and $3H_2$ 917 polytypes with octahedrally coordinated cations above/below vacant layer sites (^{VI}TC 918 919 position – Models 5a, 6a and 7a, respectively). Irregular dashed lines outline the interlayer prisms (left) and octahedra defined by H₂O_{interlayer} coordinating the 920 interlayer cations and Olayer from adjacent layers (strong and weak H-bonds, 921 respectively). d) $3R_2$ polytype with tetrahedrally coordinated cations above/below 922 vacant layer sites (^{IV}TC position - Model 3b). Irregular dashed line outlines the 923 strong H-bond between H₂O_{interlayer} coordinating the interlayer cation and O_{layer} from 924 adjacent layers. 925

FIGURE 4. Idealized interlayer structure model in projection along the b axis for birnessite 926 polytypes with vacancy-free layers (orthogonal layer symmetry). **a**) $1M_2$ polytype 927 with octahedrally coordinated cations above/below empty layer tetrahedra (tridentate 928 cavities) sharing three edges with layer Mn octahedra (^{VI}TE position – Model 3d). 929 Symbols and notations as in Figure 1. Irregular dashed lines outline the interlayer 930 prisms defined by H₂O_{interlayer} coordinating the interlayer cations and O_{layer} from 931 adjacent layers (H-bonds). b) 10 and $2O_1$ polytypes with octahedrally coordinated 932 cations above/below empty layer tetrahedra (tridentate cavities) sharing three edges 933 with laver Mn octahedra (^{VI}TE position – Models 1b and 4d, respectively). Symbols 934

- and notations as in Figure 1. Irregular dashed lines outline the interlayer octahedra defined by $H_2O_{interlayer}$ coordinating the interlayer cations and O_{layer} from adjacent layers (weak H-bonds).
- FIGURE 5. XRD patterns calculated for idealized structure models of birnessite polytypes. a) 1*H* polytype with $Mn^{3+,2+}$ in ^{VI}TC position (Model 1a). b) $3R_1$ polytype with $Mn^{3+,2+}$ in ^{VI}TC position (Model 2a). c) $3R_2$ polytype with Zn^{2+} in ^{VI}TC position (Model 3a). d) $2H_1$ polytype with $Mn^{3+,2+}$ in ^{VI}TC position (Model 4a). e) $2H_2$ polytype with Mn^{3+,2+} in ^{VI}TC position (Model 5a). f) $3H_1$ polytype with $Mn^{3+,2+}$ in ^{VI}TC position (Model 6a). g) $3H_2$ polytype with $Mn^{3+,2+}$ in ^{VI}TC position (Model 7a).
- XRD patterns were calculated using the idealized structure models described in 944 Table 3. a and b unit-cell parameters for the polytypes having a hexagonal layer 945 symmetry are 4.936 and 2.850 Å, respectively, whereas they are 5.180 and 2.850 Å, 946 respectively, for the polytypes having a orthogonal layer symmetry. In all cases the 947 minimum periodicity along the c* axis is 7.10 Å. All calculations were performed 948 assuming a periodic stacking mode devoid of random stacking faults. The radius of 949 the coherent scattering domains in the **ab** plane was set to 150 Å for all calculations, 950 whereas the mean extent of the coherent scattering domains perpendicular to the 951 952 layer plane is 7 layers (maximum extent: 35 layers). The distribution of coherent scattering domain size along the c^* axis was assumed to be lognormal (Drits et al. 953 1997b). The values of Debye-Waller thermal factor (B) were 0.5, 1.0 and 1.5 for 954 Mn_{laver}, O_{laver} and H₂O_{interlaver}, respectively. B factors for Me_{interlaver} were either 1.0 955 (metal cations Zn^{2+} , $Mn^{3+,2+}$, ...) or 2.0 (alkali and alkali-earth cations: K⁺, Ca²⁺, ...). 956 **FIGURE 6.** XRD patterns calculated for idealized structure models of birnessite $3R_2$ polytype. 957 **a**) With Zn^{2+} in ^{IV}TC position (Model 3b). **b**) With Zn^{2+} in ^{VI}TC position (Model 3a). 958 c) With Zn^{2+} in ^{IV}TE position (Model 3c). 959

FIGURE 7. XRD patterns calculated for idealized structure models of birnessite polytypes. a) $1M_2$ polytype with K⁺ in the interlayer mid-plane (Model 3e). b) $1M_2$ polytype with Ca²⁺ in ^{VI}TE sites (Model 3d). c) $2O_1$ polytype with K⁺ in the interlayer mid-plane (Model 4c). d) $2O_1$ polytype with Ca²⁺ in ^{VI}TE sites (Model 4d).

FIGURE 8. XRD patterns calculated for idealized structure models of birnessite polytypes. a) $3R_1$ polytype with K⁺ in the interlayer mid-plane (Model 2b). b) $3R_1$ polytype with Mn^{3+,2+} in ^{VI}TC position (Model 2a). c) $2H_1$ polytype with K⁺ in the interlayer midplane (Model 4b). d) $2H_1$ polytype with Mn^{3+,2+} in ^{VI}TC position (Model 4a).

FIGURE 9. XRD patterns calculated for idealized structure models of birnessite polytypes. **a**) $2H_2$ polytype with Mn^{3+,2+} in ^{VI}TC position (Model 5a). **b**) $2H_1$ polytype with Mn^{3+,2+} in ^{VI}TC position (Model 4a). **c**) $2O_1$ polytype with K⁺ in the interlayer mid-plane (Model 4c).

FIGURE 10. XRD patterns calculated for idealized structure models of birnessite polytypes. **a**) $3R_1$ polytype with K⁺ in the interlayer mid-plane (Model 2b). **b**) $3R_2$ polytype with Zn^{2+} in ^{VI}TC position (Model 3a). **c**) $3H_1$ polytype with $Mn^{3+,2+}$ in ^{VI}TC position (Model 6a). **d**) $3H_2$ polytype with $Mn^{3+,2+}$ in ^{VI}TC position (Model 7a).

FIGURE 11. Comparison between experimental and calculated XRD patterns for a K-rich 976 birnessite sample synthesized at 700°C from the thermal decomposition of KMnO₄ 977 (Gaillot et al. 2004). Experimental data are shown as crosses, whereas calculated 978 profiles are shown as solid lines. Arrows outline the misfits between experimental 979 and calculated patterns. Only 20l and 11l reflections are calculated. Atomic 980 coordinates and other structural parameters used for the calculations as described by 981 (Gaillot et al. 2004). (a) Optimum model and difference plot. The optimum model 982 includes contributions from a defect-free 2H polytype and from 2O/2H, 2H/3R, and 983 3R/2H mixed-layered structures (relative proportions 7:29:41:23). (b) Calculation 984

made replacing the optimum 2O/2H contribution (2O:2H ratio 50:50) by a defectfree 2*H* contribution. (c) Calculation made by subtracting the 2H/3R contribution (2H:3R ratio 70:30) from the optimum model. (d) Calculation made by subtracting the 3R/2H contribution (3R:2H ratio 90:10) from the optimum model. Adapted from (Gaillot et al. 2004).

FIGURE 12. XRD patterns calculated for idealized structure models of turbostratic birnessite. **a)** Birnessite with $Mn^{3+,2+}$ in ^{VI}TC position (Model 1a). **b)** Birnessite with 0.67 Na⁺ and H₂O_{interlayer} in the interlayer mid-plane above below O_{layer} (Model 2c).

993 Calculations were performed assuming a turbostratic stacking (100% random
994 stacking faults). The radius of the coherent scattering domains in the **ab** plane was
995 set to 75 Å. All other parameters for XRD pattern calculations as described for
996 Figure 5.

- FIGURE 13. XRD patterns calculated for idealized structure models of turbostratic birnessite 997 having hexagonal layer symmetry. a) Birnessite with 0.833 vacant layer sites capped 998 by $Mn^{3+,2+}$ in ^{VI}TC position (Model 1a). b) Birnessite with 0.925 vacant layer sites 999 capped by $Mn^{3+,2+}$ in ^{VI}TC position. c) Birnessite with 0.833 vacant layer sites 1000 capped by Mn^{3+,2+} in ^{VI}TE position (similar to Model 3d with interlayer Mn^{3+,2+} 1001 cations). d) Birnessite with 0.925 vacant layer sites and interlayer Na^+ (0.30 per 1002 octahedron) and H₂O molecules (0.90 per octahedron) in c' position (+1/3, 0, 1/2), e) 1003 Birnessite with 0.925 vacant layer sites and interlayer K^+ (0.30 per octahedron) in 1004 and H_2O molecules (0.90 per octahedron) in a' (-0.222, 0, 1/2) and c' (+1/3, 0, 1/2) 1005 positions, respectively. 1006
- 1007 Calculations were performed as described for Figure 12.

1008

Table 1. List of possible periodic layer stacking modes in birnessite consisting of hexagonal (vacancy-bearing) or orthogonal (vacancy-free) layers

Layer stacking	Hexagonal layers	Orthogonal layers
AbC – AbC …	1 <i>H</i>	10
$AbC = CbA = AbC \dots$	$2H_1$	$2O_1$
$AbC - AcB - AbC \dots$	$2H_2$	$2O_2$
$AbC = CaB = BcA = AbC \dots$	$3R_1$	$1M_1$
$AbC - BcA - CaB - AbC \dots$	$3R_2$	1 <i>M</i> ₂
$AbC - AcB - AcB - AbC \dots$	$3H_1$	$3O_1$
$AbC - AcB - CaB - AbC \dots$	3 <i>H</i> ₂	3 <i>O</i> ₂

Table 2.	Symbolic notations	of birnessite consisting	of hexagonal	(vacancy-bearing)	and orthogonal	(vacancy-free)	layers and	differing from
	each other by stacki	ing modes and interlaye	r structures.					

Hexagonal layer symmetry					Layers with orthogonal symmetry				
Polyt. Model		XRD (fig.)	Notation	Polyt.	Model	XRD (fig.)	Notation		
1 <i>H</i>	1a	5a	AbC _{b'A} , ^{C'b'} AbC	10	1b		AbC _{a'B} , ^{B'c'} AbC		
$3R_1$	2a	5b, 8b	$AbC_{b'A}$, ^{B'a'} $CaB_{a'C}$, ^{A'c'} $BcA_{c'B}$, ^{C'b'} AbC	$1M_1$	2c		AbC c' CaB b' BcA a' AbC		
$3R_1$	2b	8a, 10a	AbC b'/a' CaB a'/c' BcA c'/b' AbC		2d		$AbC_{a'A'}$ $^{B'b'}CaB_{c'C'}$ $^{A'a'}BcA_{b'B'}$ $^{C'c'}AbC$		
3 <i>R</i> ₂	3a	6b, 10b	$AbC_{b'A'}$, ^{A'c'} $BcA_{c'B'}$, ^{B'a'} $CaB_{a'C'}$, ^{C'b'} AbC	1 <i>M</i> ₂	3d	7b	$AbC_{a'B'}$ $C'a'BcA_{b'C'}$ $A'b'CaB_{c'A'}$ $B'c'AbC$		
3 <i>R</i> ₂	3b	5c, 6a	$AbC_{b'B'}$ $C'c'BcA_{c'C'}$ $A'a'CaB_{a'A'}$ $B'b'AbC$	1 <i>M</i> ₂	3e	7a	AbC a' BcA b' CaB c' AbC		
$3R_2$	3c	6c	$AbC_{a'A'}$ $^{A'a'}BcA_{b'B'}$ $^{B'b'}CaB_{c'C'}$ $^{C'c'}AbC$						
$2H_1$	4a	5d, 8d, 9b	$AbC_{b'A'}$, ^{A'b'} $CbA_{b'C'}$, ^{C'b'} AbC	$2O_1$	4c	7c, 9c	AbC a' CbA c' AbC		
$2H_1$	4b	8c	AbC a'/C' CbA c'/A' AbC	$2O_1$	4d	7d	AbCa'B', ^{B'a'} CbAc'B', ^{B'c'} AbC		
		5e, 9a		$2O_1$	4e		AbC c' CbA a' AbC		
$2H_2$	5a		$AbC_{b'A'}$, ^{B'c'} $AcB_{c'A'}$, ^{C'b'} AbC	$2O_{2}$	5d		AbC _{a'B'} , ^{C'b'} AcB _{a'C'} , ^{B'c'} AbC		

$2H_2$	5b		AbC b' AcB c' AbC	$2O_{2}$	5e	$AbC_{a'A'}$, ^{C'b'} Ac $B_{a'A'}$, ^{B'c'} AbC
$2H_2$	5c		$AbC_{b'A'}$, $C'c'AcB_{c'A'}$, $B'b'AbC$			
$3H_1$	6a	5f, 10c	$AbC_{b'A'} \xrightarrow{B'c'} AcB_{c'A'} \xrightarrow{B'c'} AcB_{c'A'} \xrightarrow{C'b'} AbC$			
$3H_1$	6b	5g, 10d	AbC b' AcB c' AcB c' AbC			
3 <i>H</i> ₂	7a		$AbC_{b'A'} \xrightarrow{B'c'} AcB_{c'A'} \xrightarrow{B'a'} CaB_{a'C'} \xrightarrow{C'b'} AbC$			

Model		1a	2a	2b
Polytype		1H	$3R_1$	$3R_1$
XRD	Fig.	5a	5b, 8b	8a
pattern	-			
Mn _{laver}	x ^a	0	0	0
	y ^a	0	0	0
	ζ ^b	0	0	0
	occ	0.833	0.833	0.925
O _{laver}	x ^a	+/-0.333	+/-0.333	+/-0.333
5	y ^a	0	0	0
	ζ ^b	+/-1.00	+/-1.00	+/-1.00
	Occ	1 x 2	1 x 2	1 x 2
Cat. Inter.	Туре	Mn	Mn	Κ
	x ^a	0.000	0.000	0
	y ^a	0	0	0
	ζ^{b}	+/-2.15	+/-2.15	+/-3.55
	Occ	0.0833 x2	0.0833 x2	0.15 x2
H_2O	$\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}$	-/+0.333	-/+0.333	+/-0.333
	y^{a}	0	0	0
	ζ ^b	+/-3.35	+/-3.35	+/-3.55
	Öcc	0.250 x2	0.250 x2	0.300 x2
Interlayer shift		0.0	-0.333 <i>a</i>	-0.333 <i>a</i>

Table 2.

Notes: ^ax and y atomic positions are expressed in fraction of ideal C-centered unit-cell *a* and *b* parameters, respectively. x positions are given in projection normal to the **ab** plane. ^bPosition ζ along *c* is expressed in Å to emphasize the thickness of layer and interlayer polyhedra. Occupancy (occ) is given as the sum of all equivalent sites.

Table 3. (continued).

Model		3a	3b	3c	3d	3e
Polytype		$3R_2$	$3R_2$	$3R_2$	$1M_2$	$1M_2$
XRD	Fig.	8b, 10b	5c, 6a	6c	7b	7a
pattern						
Mn _{layer}	x ^a	0	0	0	0	0
	y ^a	0	0	0	0	0
	ζ ^b	0	0	0	0	0
	Occ	0.833	0.833	0.833	0.833	1.000
O _{laver}	x ^a	+/-0.333	+/-0.333	+/-0.333	+/-0.333	+/-0.333
,	y ^a	0	0	0	0	0
	ζ ^b	+/-1.00	+/-1.00	+/-1.00	+/-1.00	+/-1.00
	Occ	2	2	2	2	2
Cat. Inter.	Туре	Zn	Zn	Zn	Ca	Κ
	x ^a	0.000	0.000	-/+0.333	-/+0.333	-/+0.333
	y ^a	0	0	0	0	0
	ζ ^b	+/-2.20	+/-1.77	+/-1.77	+/-2.30	+/-3.55
	Occ	0.0833 x2	0.0833 x2	0.0833 x2	0.0833 x2	0.150 x2
H_2O^c	x ^a	-/+0.333	0	-/+0.333	0	-/+0.333
	y ^a	0	0	0	0	0
	ζ ^b	+/-3.55	+/-3.70	+/-3.70	+/-3.70	+/-3.55
	Occ	0.250 x2	0.0833 x2	0.0833 x2	0.250 x2	0.300 x2
Int. shift		+0.333a	+0.333a	+0.333a	+0.333a	+0.333a

Model		4a	4b	4c	4d	5a
Polytype		$2H_1$	$2H_1$	$2O_1$	$2O_1$	$2H_2$
XRD	Fig.	5d, 8d, 9b, 10d	8c	7c	7d	5e, 9a
pattern	U	, , ,				,
Mn _{laver}	x ^a	0	0	0	0	0
lujei	y ^a	0	0	0	0	0
	ζb	0	0	0	0	0
	Öcc	0.833	0.925	1.000	1.000	0.833
O _{laver}	x ^a	+/-0.333	+/-0.333	+/-0.333	+/-0.333	+/-0.333
	y ^a	0	0	0	0	0
	$\zeta^{\rm b}$	+/-1.00	+/-1.00	+/-1.00	+/-1.00	+/-1.00
	Öcc	2	2	2	2	2
Cat. Inter.	Type	Mn	Κ	Κ	Ca	Mn
	a	0.000	-0.222	-0.222	/10.222	0
	Х	0.000	0.111/0.111	0.111/0.111	-/+0.333	0
	, a	0	0	0	0	0
	у	0	+/-0.333	+/-0.333	0	0
	ζ ^b	+/-2.15	3.55	3.55	+/-2.30	+/-2.15
	Occ	0.0833 x2	0.100 x3	0.100 x3	0.0833 x2	0.0833 x2
H_2O^c	x ^a	-/+0.333	0.333	0.333	0	-/+0.333
	y ^a	0	0	0	0	0
	ζ^{b}	+/-3.35	+3.55	+3.55	+/-3.70	+/-3.35
	Occ	0.250 x2	0.600	0.600	0.250 x2	0.250 x2
Mn _{layer}	x ^a	0	0	0	0	0.333
	y ^a	0	0	0	0	0
	ζ^{b}	7.10	7.10	7.10	7.10	7.10
	Occ	0.833	0.925	1.000	1.000	0.833
O _{layer}	x ^a	+/-0.333	+/-0.333	+/-0.333	+/-0.333	-0.333/0.000
	y ^a	0	0	0	0	0
	ζ ^b	6.10/8.10	6.10/8.10	6.10/8.10	6.10/8.10	6.10/8.10
	Occ	2	2	2	2	2
Cat. Inter.	Туре	Mn	K	K	Ca	Mn
	v ^a	0.000	0.222	0.222	_/+0 333	0 333
	Λ	0.000	-0.111/-0.111	-0.111/-0.111	-/ 10.333	0.555
	va	0	0	0	0	0
	y	0	+/-0.333	+/-0.333	Ū	Ū
	ζ ^b	4.95/9.25	10.65	10.65	4.80/9.40	4.95/9.25
	Occ	0.0833 x2	0.100 x3	0.100 x3	0.0833 x2	0.0833 x2
H_2O^c	x ^a	-/+0.333	-0.333	-0.333	0	0.000/-0.333
	y ^a	0	0	0	0	0
	ζ ^b	3.75/10.45	10.65	10.65	3.40/10.80	3.75/10.45
	Occ	0.250 x2	0.600	0.600	0.250 x2	0.250 x2
Int. shift		0	0	0	0	0

Table 3. (continued).

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Model		6a	7a
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Polytype		$3H_1$	$3H_2$
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	XRD	Fig.	5f, 10c	5g
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	pattern			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Mn _{layer}	x ^a	0	0
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & \zeta^b & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 0cc & 0.833 & 0.833 \\ & & y^a & 0 & 0 \\ & & \zeta^b & +/-1.00 & +/-1.00 \\ & & & 0cc & 2 & 2 \\ & & & 2 & 2 \\ & & & & 0 & 0 \\ & & & y^a & 0 & 0 \\ & & & y^a & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & &$		y ^a	0	0
$\begin{array}{ccccc} & 0.833 & 0.833 \\ y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & +/-0.333 & +/-0.333 \\ y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & +/-1.00 & +/-1.00 \\ occ & 2 & 2 \\ Cat. Inter. Type & Mn & Mn \\ x^a & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & +/-2.15 & +/-2.15 \\ occ & 0.0833 x2 & 0.0833 x2 \\ H_2O^c & x^a & -/+0.333 & -/+0.333 \\ y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & +/-3.35 & +/-3.35 \\ occ & 0.250 x2 & 0.250 x2 \\ \hline Mn_{layer} & x^a & 0.333 & 0.333 \\ y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 7.10 & 7.10 \\ occ & 0.833 & 0.833 \\ O_{layer} & x^a & -0.333/0.000 \\ \zeta^b & 6.10/8.10 & 6.10/8.10 \\ occ & 2 & 2 \\ Cat. Inter. Type & Mn & Mn \\ x^a & 0.333 & 0.333 \\ O_{layer} & x^a & 0.333 & 0.333 \\ y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 6.10/8.10 & 6.10/8.10 \\ occ & 2 & 2 \\ Cat. Inter. Type & Mn & Mn \\ x^a & 0.333 & 0.333 \\ y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 3.75/10.45 & 3.75/10.45 \\ occ & 0.250 x2 & 0.250 x2 \\ \hline Mn_{layer} & x^a & 0.333 & 0.000/-0.333 \\ y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 14.20 & 14.20 \\ occ & 0.833 & 0.833 \\ O_{layer} & x^a & 0.333 & -0.333 \\ y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 13.20/15.20 & 13.20/15.20 \\ occ & 0.0833 x2 & 0.0833 x2 \\ \hline H_2O^c & x^a & 0.000/-0.333 & 0.033/0.000 \\ y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 13.20/15.20 & 13.20/15.20 \\ occ & 0.0833 x2 & 0.0833 x2 \\ \hline Mn_{layer} & x^a & 0.333 & -0.333 \\ y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 13.20/15.20 & 13.20/15.20 \\ occ & 0.0833 x2 & 0.0833 x2 \\ \hline H_2O^c & x^a & 0.000/-0.333 & 0.000/0.333 \\ y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 12.05/16.35 & 12.05/16.35 \\ occ & 0.0833 x2 & 0.0833 x2 \\ \hline H_2O^c & x^a & 0.000/-0.333 & 0.000/0.333 \\ y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 12.05/16.35 & 12.05/16.35 \\ occ & 0.0833 x2 & 0.0833 x2 \\ \hline H_2O^c & x^a & 0.000/-0.333 & 0.000/0.333 \\ y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 10.85/17.55 & 10.85/17.55 \\ occ & 0.250 x2 & 0.250 x2 \\ \hline Mn_1 & y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 10.85/17.55 & 10.85/17.55 \\ occ & 0.250 x2 & 0.250 x2 \\ \hline Mn_1 & y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 10.85/17.55 & 10.85/17.55 \\ \hline Mn_1 & y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 10.85/17.55 & 10.85/17.55 \\ \hline Mn_1 & y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 10.85/17.55 & 10.85/17.55 \\ \hline Mn_2 & y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \hline Mn_1 & y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \hline Mn_1 & y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \hline Mn_1 & y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \hline Mn_1 & y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \hline Mn_2 & y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \hline Mn_2 & y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \hline Mn_2 & y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \hline Mn_2 & y^a &$		ζ ^b	0	0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccc} & & x^a & +/-0.333 & +/-0.333 & \\ & y^a & 0 & 0 & \\ & & \zeta^b & +/-1.00 & +/-1.00 & \\ & & occ & 2 & 2 & \\ & & 2 & 2 & \\ & & & Mn & Mn & \\ & & & & 0 & 0 & \\ & & & & y^a & 0 & 0 & \\ & & & & & & 0 & 0 & \\ & & & &$		occ	0.833	0.833
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	O _{layer}	x ^a	+/-0.333	+/-0.333
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-	y ^a	0	0
$\begin{array}{cccccccc} & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ \text{Cat. Inter.} & Type & Mn & Mn & Mn \\ & x^a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & \zeta^b & +/2.15 & +/2.15 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & $		ζ^{b}	+/-1.00	+/-1.00
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		occ	2	2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Cat. Inter.	Туре	Mn	Mn
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & y^a & 0 & 0 \\ & \zeta^b & +/-2.15 & +/-2.15 \\ & occ & 0.0833 x2 & 0.0833 x2 \\ \hline H_2O^c & x^a & -/+0.333 & -/+0.333 \\ & y^a & 0 & 0 \\ & \zeta^b & +/-3.35 & +/-3.35 \\ & occ & 0.250 x2 & 0.250 x2 \\ \hline Mn_{layer} & x^a & 0.333 & 0.333 \\ & y^a & 0 & 0 \\ & \zeta^b & 7.10 & 7.10 \\ & occ & 0.833 & 0.833 \\ O_{layer} & x^a & -0.333/0.000 & -0.333/0.000 \\ & y^a & 0 & 0 \\ & \zeta^b & 6.10/8.10 & 6.10/8.10 \\ & occ & 2 & 2 \\ Cat. Inter. & Type & Mn & Mn \\ & x^a & 0.333 & 0.333 \\ & y^a & 0 & 0 \\ & \zeta^b & 4.95/9.25 & 4.95/9.25 \\ & occ & 0.0833 x2 & 0.0833 x2 \\ H_2O^c & x^a & 0.000/-0.333 & 0.000/-0.333 \\ & y^a & 0 & 0 \\ & \zeta^b & 3.75/10.45 & 3.75/10.45 \\ & occ & 0.250 x2 & 0.250 x2 \\ \hline Mn_{layer} & x^a & -0.333/0.000 & 0.333/0.000 \\ & y^a & 0 & 0 \\ & \zeta^b & 14.20 & 14.20 \\ & occ & 0.833 & 0.333 \\ & y^a & 0 & 0 \\ & \zeta^b & 13.20/15.20 & 13.20/15.20 \\ & occ & 0.0833 x2 & 0.0833 x2 \\ \hline H_2O^c & x^a & 0.333 & -0.333 \\ & y^a & 0 & 0 \\ & \zeta^b & 13.20/15.20 & 13.20/15.20 \\ & occ & 0.0833 x2 & 0.0833 x2 \\ \hline H_2O^c & x^a & 0.000/-0.333 & 0.000/0.333 \\ & y^a & 0 & 0 \\ & \zeta^b & 12.05/16.35 & 12.05/16.35 \\ & occ & 0.0833 x2 & 0.0833 x2 \\ \hline H_2O^c & x^a & 0.000/-0.333 & 0.000/0.333 \\ & y^a & 0 & 0 \\ & \zeta^b & 12.05/16.35 & 12.05/16.35 \\ & occ & 0.0833 x2 & 0.0833 x2 \\ \hline H_2O^c & x^a & 0.000/-0.333 & 0.000/0.333 \\ & y^a & 0 & 0 \\ & \zeta^b & 10.85/17.55 & 10.85/17.55 \\ & occ & 0.250 x2 & 0.250 x2 \\ \hline H_1 \ \ biff & 0 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$		x ^a	0	0
$\begin{array}{cccccccc} \zeta_{b}^{b} & +/-2.15 & +/-2.15 \\ occ & 0.0833 x2 & 0.0833 x2 \\ \hline H_{2}O^{c} & x^{a} & -/+0.333 & -/+0.333 \\ y^{a} & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta_{b}^{b} & +/-3.35 & +/-3.35 \\ occ & 0.250 x2 & 0.250 x2 \\ \hline Mn_{layer} & x^{a} & 0.333 & 0.333 \\ y^{a} & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta_{b}^{b} & 7.10 & 7.10 \\ occ & 0.833 & 0.833 \\ O_{layer} & x^{a} & -0.333/0.000 & -0.333/0.000 \\ y^{a} & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta_{b}^{b} & 6.10/8.10 & 6.10/8.10 \\ occ & 2 & 2 \\ Cat. Inter. & Type & Mn & Mn \\ x^{a} & 0.333 & 0.333 \\ y^{a} & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta_{b}^{b} & 4.95/9.25 & 4.95/9.25 \\ occ & 0.0833 x2 & 0.0833 x2 \\ H_{2}O^{c} & x^{a} & 0.000/-0.333 & 0.000/-0.333 \\ y^{a} & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta_{b}^{b} & 3.75/10.45 & 3.75/10.45 \\ occ & 0.250 x2 & 0.250 x2 \\ \hline Mn_{layer} & x^{a} & 0.333 & -0.333 \\ y^{a} & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta_{b}^{b} & 13.20/15.20 & 13.20/15.20 \\ occ & 0.833 & 0.333 \\ y^{a} & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta_{b}^{b} & 13.20/15.20 & 13.20/15.20 \\ occ & 0.0833 x2 \\ \hline H_{2}O^{c} & x^{a} & 0.000/-0.333 & 0.000/0.333 \\ y^{a} & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta_{b}^{b} & 13.20/15.20 & 13.20/15.20 \\ occ & 0.0833 x2 \\ \hline H_{2}O^{c} & x^{a} & 0.000/-0.333 & 0.000/0.333 \\ y^{a} & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta_{b}^{b} & 12.05/16.35 & 12.05/16.35 \\ occ & 0.0833 x2 \\ \hline H_{2}O^{c} & x^{a} & 0.000/-0.333 & 0.000/0.333 \\ y^{a} & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta_{b}^{b} & 12.05/16.35 & 12.05/16.35 \\ occ & 0.0833 x2 \\ \hline H_{2}O^{c} & x^{a} & 0.000/-0.333 & 0.000/0.333 \\ y^{a} & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta_{b}^{b} & 12.05/16.35 & 12.05/16.35 \\ occ & 0.0833 x2 \\ \hline H_{2}O^{c} & x^{a} & 0.000/-0.333 & 0.000/0.333 \\ y^{a} & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta_{b}^{b} & 12.05/16.35 & 12.05/16.35 \\ occ & 0.0833 x2 \\ \hline H_{2}O^{c} & x^{a} & 0.000/-0.333 & 0.000/0.333 \\ y^{a} & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta_{b}^{b} & 12.05/16.35 & 12.05/16.35 \\ occ & 0.0833 x2 \\ \hline H_{2}O^{c} & x^{a} & 0.000/-0.333 & 0.000/0.333 \\ y^{a} & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta_{b}^{b} & 12.05/16.35 & 12.05/16.35 \\ occ & 0.0250 x2 \\ \hline H_{2}O^{c} & x^{a} & 0.000/-0.333 & 0.000/0.333 \\ y^{a} & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta_{b}^{b} & 10.85/17.55 & 10.85/17.55 \\ occ & 0.250 x2 \\ \hline \end{array}$		y ^a	0	0
$\begin{array}{c ccc} & 0.0833 x2 & 0.0833 x2 \\ H_2O^c & x^a & -/+0.333 & -/+0.333 \\ y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & +/-3.35 & +/-3.35 \\ occ & 0.250 x2 & 0.250 x2 \\ \hline Mn_{layer} & x^a & 0.333 & 0.333 \\ y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 7.10 & 7.10 \\ occ & 0.833 & 0.833 \\ O_{layer} & x^a & -0.333/0.000 & -0.333/0.000 \\ y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 6.10/8.10 & 6.10/8.10 \\ occ & 2 & 2 \\ Cat. Inter. & Type & Mn & Mn \\ x^a & 0.333 & 0.333 \\ y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 4.95/9.25 & 4.95/9.25 \\ occ & 0.0833 x2 & 0.0833 x2 \\ H_2O^c & x^a & 0.000/-0.333 & 0.000/-0.333 \\ y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 3.75/10.45 & 3.75/10.45 \\ occ & 0.250 x2 & 0.250 x2 \\ \hline Mn_{layer} & x^a & 0.333 & -0.333 \\ y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 14.20 & 14.20 \\ occ & 0.833 & 0.833 \\ O_{layer} & x^a & -0.333/0.000 & 0.333/0.000 \\ y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 13.20/15.20 & 13.20/15.20 \\ occ & 0.0833 x2 & 0.0833 x2 \\ \hline H_2O^c & x^a & 0.000/-0.333 & 0.000/-0.333 \\ y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 13.20/15.20 & 13.20/15.20 \\ occ & 0.0833 x2 & 0.0833 x2 \\ \hline H_2O^c & x^a & 0.0333 & -0.333 \\ y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 12.05/16.35 & 12.05/16.35 \\ occ & 0.0833 x2 & 0.0833 x2 \\ \hline H_2O^c & x^a & 0.000/-0.333 & 0.000/0.333 \\ y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 12.05/16.35 & 12.05/16.35 \\ occ & 0.0833 x2 & 0.0833 x2 \\ \hline H_2O^c & x^a & 0.000/-0.333 & 0.000/0.333 \\ y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 12.05/16.35 & 12.05/16.35 \\ occ & 0.0833 x2 & 0.0833 x2 \\ \hline H_2O^c & x^a & 0.000/-0.333 & 0.000/0.333 \\ y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 12.05/16.35 & 12.05/16.35 \\ occ & 0.0833 x2 & 0.0833 x2 \\ \hline H_2O^c & x^a & 0.000/-0.333 & 0.000/0.333 \\ y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 10.85/17.55 & 10.85/17.55 \\ occ & 0.250 x2 & 0.250 x2 \\ \hline H_1 shift & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$		ζ ^b	+/-2.15	+/-2.15
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		occ	0.0833 x2	0.0833 x2
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	H_2O^c	x ^a	-/+0.333	-/+0.333
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		y ^a	0	0
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		ζ^{b}	+/-3.35	+/-3.35
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		occ	0.250 x2	0.250 x2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Mn _{layer}	x ^a	0.333	0.333
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & \zeta^b & 7.10 & 7.10 \\ \text{occ} & 0.833 & 0.833 \\ 0_{\text{layer}} & x^a & -0.333/0.000 & -0.333/0.000 \\ y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 6.10/8.10 & 6.10/8.10 \\ \text{occ} & 2 & 2 \\ \text{Cat. Inter.} & Type & Mn & Mn \\ x^a & 0.333 & 0.333 \\ y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 4.95/9.25 & 4.95/9.25 \\ \text{occ} & 0.0833 x2 & 0.0833 x2 \\ \text{H}_2\text{O}^c & x^a & 0.000/-0.333 & 0.000/-0.333 \\ y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 3.75/10.45 & 3.75/10.45 \\ \text{occ} & 0.250 x2 & 0.250 x2 \\ \hline Mn_{\text{layer}} & x^a & 0.333 & -0.333 \\ y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 14.20 & 14.20 \\ \text{occ} & 0.833 & 0.833 \\ O_{\text{layer}} & x^a & -0.333/0.000 & 0.333/0.000 \\ y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 13.20/15.20 & 13.20/15.20 \\ \text{occ} & 2 & 2 \\ \hline Cat. Inter. & Type & Mn & Mn \\ x^a & 0.333 & -0.333 \\ y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 12.05/16.35 & 12.05/16.35 \\ \text{occ} & 0.0833 x2 & 0.0833 x2 \\ \hline H_2\text{O}^c & x^a & 0.000/-0.333 & 0.000/0.333 \\ y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 12.05/16.35 & 12.05/16.35 \\ \text{occ} & 0.0833 x2 & 0.0833 x2 \\ \hline H_2\text{O}^c & x^a & 0.000/-0.333 & 0.000/0.333 \\ y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 12.05/16.35 & 12.05/16.35 \\ \text{occ} & 0.0833 x2 & 0.0833 x2 \\ \hline H_2\text{O}^c & x^a & 0.000/-0.333 & 0.000/0.333 \\ y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 10.85/17.55 & 10.85/17.55 \\ \text{occ} & 0.250 x2 & 0.250 x2 \\ \hline \text{Int shift} & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$	2	y ^a	0	0
$\begin{array}{cccccc} & 0.833 & 0.833 \\ O_{layer} & x^{a} & -0.333/0.000 & -0.333/0.000 \\ y^{a} & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^{b} & 6.10/8.10 & 6.10/8.10 \\ occ & 2 & 2 \\ Cat. Inter. & Type & Mn & Mn \\ x^{a} & 0.333 & 0.333 \\ y^{a} & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^{b} & 4.95/9.25 & 4.95/9.25 \\ occ & 0.0833 x2 & 0.0833 x2 \\ H_{2}O^{c} & x^{a} & 0.000/-0.333 & 0.000/-0.333 \\ y^{a} & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^{b} & 3.75/10.45 & 3.75/10.45 \\ occ & 0.250 x2 & 0.250 x2 \\ \hline Mn_{layer} & x^{a} & 0.333 & -0.333 \\ y^{a} & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^{b} & 14.20 & 14.20 \\ occ & 0.833 & 0.833 \\ O_{layer} & x^{a} & -0.333/0.000 & 0.333/0.000 \\ y^{a} & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^{b} & 13.20/15.20 & 13.20/15.20 \\ occ & 2 & 2 \\ Cat. Inter. & Type & Mn & Mn \\ x^{a} & 0.333 & -0.333 \\ y^{a} & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^{b} & 12.05/16.35 & 12.05/16.35 \\ occ & 0.0833 x2 & 0.0833 x2 \\ H_{2}O^{c} & x^{a} & 0.000/-0.333 & 0.000/0.333 \\ y^{a} & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^{b} & 12.05/16.35 & 12.05/16.35 \\ occ & 0.0833 x2 & 0.0833 x2 \\ \end{array}$		ζ ^b	7.10	7.10
$\begin{array}{cccccccc} O_{layer} & x^a & -0.333/0.000 & -0.333/0.000 \\ & \zeta^b & 6.10/8.10 & 6.10/8.10 \\ & \text{occ} & 2 & 2 \\ Cat. Inter. & Type & Mn & Mn \\ & x^a & 0.333 & 0.333 \\ & y^a & 0 & 0 \\ & \zeta^b & 4.95/9.25 & 4.95/9.25 \\ & \text{occ} & 0.0833 x2 & 0.0833 x2 \\ H_2O^c & x^a & 0.000/-0.333 & 0.000/-0.333 \\ & y^a & 0 & 0 \\ & \zeta^b & 3.75/10.45 & 3.75/10.45 \\ & \text{occ} & 0.250 x2 & 0.250 x2 \\ \hline Mn_{layer} & x^a & 0.333 & -0.333 \\ & y^a & 0 & 0 \\ & \zeta^b & 14.20 & 14.20 \\ & \text{occ} & 0.833 & 0.333 \\ O_{layer} & x^a & -0.333/0.000 & 0.333/0.000 \\ & y^a & 0 & 0 \\ & \zeta^b & 13.20/15.20 & 13.20/15.20 \\ & \text{occ} & 2 & 2 \\ Cat. Inter. & Type & Mn & Mn \\ & x^a & 0.333 & -0.333 \\ & y^a & 0 & 0 \\ & \zeta^b & 12.05/16.35 & 12.05/16.35 \\ & \text{occ} & 0.0833 x2 & 0.0833 x2 \\ \hline H_2O^c & x^a & 0.000/-0.333 & 0.000/0.333 \\ & y^a & 0 & 0 \\ & \zeta^b & 12.05/16.35 & 12.05/16.35 \\ & \text{occ} & 0.0833 x2 & 0.0833 x2 \\ \hline H_2O^c & x^a & 0.000/-0.333 & 0.000/0.333 \\ & y^a & 0 & 0 \\ & & \zeta^b & 10.85/17.55 & 10.85/17.55 \\ & \text{occ} & 0.250 x2 & 0.250 x2 \\ \hline \end{array}$		occ	0.833	0.833
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	O _{laver}	x ^a	-0.333/0.000	-0.333/0.000
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		y ^a	0	0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		ζ ^b	6.10/8.10	6.10/8.10
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		occ	2	2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Cat. Inter.	Туре	Mn	Mn
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		x ^a	0.333	0.333
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & \zeta^b & 4.95/9.25 & 4.95/9.25 \\ \text{occ} & 0.0833 \text{ x2} & 0.0833 \text{ x2} \\ y^a & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 3.75/10.45 & 3.75/10.45 \\ \hline & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 3.75/10.45 & 3.75/10.45 \\ \hline & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 14.20 & 14.20 \\ \hline & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 14.20 & 14.20 \\ \hline & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 13.20/15.20 & 13.20/15.20 \\ \hline & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 13.20/15.20 & 13.20/15.20 \\ \hline & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 12.05/16.35 & 12.05/16.35 \\ \hline & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 12.05/16.35 & 12.05/16.35 \\ \hline & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^b & 10.85/17.55 & 10.85/17.55 \\ \hline & 0 & 0 \\ $		y ^a	0	0
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & 0.0833 \ x2 & & 0.0833 \ x2 & & 0.000/-0.333 & & 0.000/-0.333 \\ & & & y^a & & 0 & & 0 & \\ & & & & \zeta^b & & 3.75/10.45 & & 3.75/10.45 & \\ & & & & & 0.250 \ x2 & & 0.0833 & & 0.000 & \\ & & & & & \zeta^b & & 14.20 & & 14.20 & \\ & & & & & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & \\ & & & &$		ζ ^b	4.95/9.25	4.95/9.25
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		occ	0.0833 x2	0.0833 x2
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	H_2O^c	x ^a	0.000/-0.333	0.000/-0.333
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		y ^a	0	0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		ζ ^b	3.75/10.45	3.75/10.45
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		occ	0.250 x2	0.250 x2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Mn _{laver}	x ^a	0.333	-0.333
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	··y =-	y ^a	0	0
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & 0.833 & 0.833 \\ O_{layer} & x^{a} & -0.333/0.000 & 0.333/0.000 \\ y^{a} & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^{b} & 13.20/15.20 & 13.20/15.20 \\ occ & 2 & 2 \\ Cat. Inter. & Type & Mn & Mn \\ x^{a} & 0.333 & -0.333 \\ y^{a} & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^{b} & 12.05/16.35 & 12.05/16.35 \\ occ & 0.0833 x2 & 0.0833 x2 \\ H_{2}O^{c} & x^{a} & 0.000/-0.333 & 0.000/0.333 \\ y^{a} & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^{b} & 10.85/17.55 & 10.85/17.55 \\ occ & 0.250 x2 & 0.250 x2 \\ Int. shift & 0 & 0 \\ \end{array}$		ζ^{b}	14.20	14.20
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		occ	0.833	0.833
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	O _{layer}	x ^a	-0.333/0.000	0.333/0.000
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-	y ^a	0	0
occ22Cat. Inter.TypeMnMn x^a 0.333-0.333 y^a 00 ζ^b 12.05/16.3512.05/16.35occ0.0833 x20.0833 x2H_2O^c x^a 0.000/-0.3330.000/0.333 y^a 00 ζ^b 10.85/17.5510.85/17.55occ0.250 x20.250 x2Int shift00		ζ ^b	13.20/15.20	13.20/15.20
Cat. Inter. Type Mn Mn x^{a} 0.333 -0.333 y^{a} 0 0 ζ^{b} 12.05/16.35 12.05/16.35 occ 0.0833 x2 0.0833 x2 H ₂ O ^c x^{a} 0.000/-0.333 0.000/0.333 y^{a} 0 0 ζ^{b} 10.85/17.55 10.85/17.55 occ 0.250 x2 0.250 x2 Int shift 0 0 0		occ	2	2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Cat. Inter.	Туре	Mn	Mn
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		x ^a	0.333	-0.333
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \zeta^{\rm b} & 12.05/16.35 & 12.05/16.35 \\ \text{occ} & 0.0833 \text{ x2} & 0.0833 \text{ x2} \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{cccccccccc} H_2 O^{\rm c} & x^{\rm a} & 0.000/-0.333 & 0.000/0.333 \\ y^{\rm a} & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^{\rm b} & 10.85/17.55 & 10.85/17.55 \\ \text{occ} & 0.250 \text{ x2} & 0.250 \text{ x2} \end{array}$		y ^a	0	0
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & 0.0833 \ x2 & 0.0833 \ x2 \\ H_2O^c & x^a & 0.000/-0.333 & 0.000/0.333 \\ & y^a & 0 & 0 \\ & \zeta^b & 10.85/17.55 & 10.85/17.55 \\ & occ & 0.250 \ x2 & 0.250 \ x2 \\ \end{array}$		ζ ^b	12.05/16.35	12.05/16.35
H ₂ O ^c x^{a} 0.000/-0.333 0.000/0.333 y^{a} 0 0 ζ^{b} 10.85/17.55 10.85/17.55 occ 0.250 x2 0.250 x2 Int shift 0 0 0		occ	0.0833 x2	0.0833 x2
$\begin{array}{cccccc} y^{a} & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^{b} & 10.85/17.55 & 10.85/17.55 \\ \text{occ} & 0.250 \text{ x2} & 0.250 \text{ x2} \end{array}$	H_2O^c	x ^a	0.000/-0.333	0.000/0.333
$\begin{array}{c} c \\ 0.00717.55 \\ 0.00717$		y ^a ≁ ^b	0 10 85/17 55	0 10 85/17 55
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		5 000	0.05/17.55	0.05/17.05
	Int. shift		0	0.230 X2

Table 3. (continued).

Table 4A. Calculated d(hkl) values for birnessite polytypes consisting of vacancy-bearing

layers having a hexagonal layer symmetry (See Table 1).

D 1 /	1 77	0.11	20/211			
Polytype	1 <i>H</i>	2H	3R/3H			
d(hkl)	hkl	hkl	hkl			
2.468	200,110	200,110	200,110			
2.452	-	-	201,111			
2.432	-	201,111	-			
2.404	-	-	202,112			
2.331	201,111	202,112	203,113			
2.239	-	-	204,114			
2.188	-	203,113	-			
2.136	-	-	205,115			
2.026	202,112	204,114	206,116			
1.917	-	-	207,117			
1.863		205,115	-			
1.810	-	-	208,118			
1.708	203,113	206,116	209,119			
1.613	-	-	20.10,11.10			
1.567		207,117	-			
1.523	-	-	20.11,11.11			
1.441	204,114	208,118	20.12,11.12			
1.425	020,310	020,310	020,310			
1.422	-	-	021,311			
1.418	-	021,311	-			
1.412	-	-	022,312			
1.397	021,311	022,312	023,313			
1.376	-	-	024,314			
1.365	-	-	20.13,11.13			
1.364	-	023,313	_			
1.351	-	-	025,315			
1.329	-	209,119	-			
1.322	022,312	024,314	026,316			
1.295	-	-	20.14, 11.14			
1.290	-	-	027,317			
1.274	-	025,315	-			
1.256	-	-	028,318			
1.231	-	20.10,11.10	20.15,11.15			
1.221	023,313	026,316	029,319			
<i>Notes: a</i> and <i>b</i> unit-cell parameters are 4.936. and 2.850 Å.						
respectively. The minimum periodicity along the c^* axis is						
7.10 Å for all polytypes.						

Drits, Lanson, Gaillot

Table 4B. Calculated d(*hkl*) values for birnessite polytypes consisting of vacancy-free layers

Polytype	10	$1M_1$	$1M_{2}$	$2O_1/2O_2$
d(<i>hkl</i>)	Hkl	hkl	Hkl	hkl
2.590	200			200
2.571		20-1	201	
2.548				201/20-1
2.517		200	200	
2.497	110			110
2.480		110	110	
2.459				111/11-1
2.433	201/20-1			202/20-2
2.431		11-1	111	
2.356	111/11-1			112/11-2
2.329		20-2	202	
2.272				203/20-3
2 261		111	11-1	200720 0
2.201		201	20-1	
2 209		201	201	113/11-3
2.154		11-2	112	115/11 5
2 092	202/20-2	11 2		204/20-4
2.072	112/11_2			114/11_4
1 972	112/11-2	20-3	203	117/11-7
1 930		112	11_7	
1.950		112	11-2	205/20-5
1.714				115/11-5
1.075		202	20.2	115/11-5
1.037		202	20-2	
1.821	202/20 2	11-5	115	206/20 6
1./4/	203/20-3			200/20-0
1./10	115/11-5	20.4	204	110/11-0
1.045		20-4	204	
1.621		113	11-3	207/20 7
1.59/				20//20-/
1.574		202	20.2	11//11-/
1.551		203	20-3	
1.530	210	11-4	114	210
1.4//	310	31-1	311	310
1.469	204/204			311/31-1
1.464	204/20-4			208/20-8
1.44/	114/11-4	210/21 2	210/212	118/11-8
1.446	311/31-1	310/31-2	310/312	312/31-2
1.425	020	020	020	020
1.418				021/02-1
1.410	001/00 1	001/00 1	001/00 1	313/31-3
1.397	021/02-1	021/02-1	021/02-1	022/02-2
1.384		20-5	205	
1.370		114	11-4	
1.365		.	_	023/02-3
1.364	312/31-2	311	31-1	314/31-4
1.363		31-3	313	
1.347				209/20-9
1.334				119/11-9
1.322	022/02-2	022/02-2	022/02-2	024/02-4
1.312		204	20-4	
1.310				315/31-5
1.299		11-5	115	
1.274				025/02-5
1.253	313/31-3	312/31-4	314/31-2	316/31-6
1.245	205/20-5			20.10/2010
1.234	115/11-5			11.10/1110

having an orthogonal layer symmetry (See Table 1)

Drits, Lanson, Gaillot

1.221023/02-3023/02-3023/02-3026/02-6Notes: a and b unit-cell parameters are 5.180 and 2.850 Å, respectively. Theminimum periodicity along the \mathbf{c}^* axis is 7.10 Å for all polytypes. The β angle is103.65 and 76.35° for $1M_1$ and $1M_2$ polytypes, respectively.

























b)



c)



d)




















