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# ON THE DIMENSION OF THE SHEETS OF A REDUCTIVE LIE ALGEBRA 

## by

Anne Moreau


#### Abstract

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a complex finite dimensional Lie algebra and $G$ its adjoint group. For $f \in \mathfrak{g}^{*}$, we denote by $B_{f}$ the skew-symmetric bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$ defined by : $B_{f}(x, y)=f([x, y])$, for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the subset $$
\mathfrak{g}_{m}^{*}:=\left\{f \in \mathfrak{g}^{*} \mid \operatorname{rank} B_{f}=2 m\right\}
$$ is a $G$-invariant locally closed subset of $\mathfrak{g}^{*}$. The study of the sets $\mathfrak{g}_{m}^{*}$ should give information about the coadjoint orbits of $\mathfrak{g}$, because the kernel of $B_{f}$ is nothing but the stabilizer of $f$ for the coadjoint action. Following a suggestion of A. A. Kirillov, we investigate the dimension of the sets $\mathfrak{g}_{m}^{*}$. We quickly realize that this problem is closely related to the problem of computing the index of $\mathfrak{g}$. Because computing the index for any Lie algebra is a very hard problem in general, it would be too ambitious to hope to get these dimensions for any Lie algebra. In this paper we focus on the reductive case. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is reductive, then $\mathfrak{g}$ is identified with its dual $\mathfrak{g}^{*}$, and the problem reduces to compute the dimension of the set $\mathfrak{g}^{(m)}=\{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \operatorname{dim}(G \cdot x)=2 m\}$, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, where $G \cdot x$ is the $G$-orbit of $x$, for $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. It is known that $\mathfrak{g}^{(m)}$ is nonempty if and only if $2 m$ is the dimension of a nilpotent orbit of $\mathfrak{g}$, and that its irreducible components, called sheets of $\mathfrak{g}$, are parameterized by the pairs $\left(\mathfrak{l}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$, up to $G$-conjugation class, consisting of a Levi subalgebra $\mathfrak{l}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ and a rigid nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ in $\mathfrak{l}$. That's why our approach consists in computing the dimension of the sheets of $\mathfrak{g}$, whence we deduce the dimension of the subsets $\mathfrak{g}^{(m)}$.


## Introduction

0.1. - Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite dimensional Lie algebra over a field $K$, and $G$ be the adjoint group of $\mathfrak{g}$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ acts on $\mathfrak{g}$ and on its dual $\mathfrak{g}^{*}$ via the adjoint and coadjoint actions. Coadjoint orbits play a leading part in Representation Theory. There are the origin of the famous Orbit Method, initiated by A. A.
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Kirillov 40 years ago motivated by the problem of describing the unitary dual of the group of upper triangular matrices with ones on the diagonal.

For $f \in \mathfrak{g}^{*}$, denote by $B_{f}$ the skew-symmetric bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$ defined by :

$$
B_{f}(x, y)=f([x, y]), \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}
$$

As the kernel of $B_{f}$ is nothing but the stabilizer of $f$ in $\mathfrak{g}^{*}$ for the coadjoint action, the rank of $B_{f}$ is equal to the dimension of the coadjoint orbit of $f$. By definition, the index of $\mathfrak{g}$, denoted by ind $\mathfrak{g}$, is the minimal codimension of the coadjoint orbits in $\mathfrak{g}^{*}$. Namely,

$$
\text { ind } \mathfrak{g}=\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}-\max _{f \in \mathfrak{g}^{*}} \operatorname{rank} B_{f}
$$

A linear form is said to be regular if the dimension of its stabilizer for the coadjoint action is equal to the index of $\mathfrak{g}$. The notion of the index goes back to J. Dixmier. He introduced it for its importance in Representation Theory. As $B_{f}$ is a skew-symmetric bilinear form, its rank is even and we set

$$
\mathfrak{g}_{m}^{*}:=\left\{f \in \mathfrak{g}^{*} \mid \operatorname{rank} B_{f}=2 m\right\}
$$

If we set

$$
\mathrm{d}_{\mathfrak{g}}:=\frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}-\operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{g})
$$

then the subset $\mathfrak{g}_{d_{\mathfrak{g}}}^{*}$ has dimension $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}^{*}=\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}$, since $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{d}_{\mathfrak{g}}}^{*}$ is the subset of regular linear forms of $\mathfrak{g}$, which is a dense open subset of $\mathfrak{g}^{*}$. Following a suggestion by $A$. A. Kirillov, we now investigate the dimension of the sets $\mathfrak{g}_{m}^{*}$, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. These subsets should give information about the coadjoint orbits. It is natural to ask now for which $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the subset $\mathfrak{g}_{m}^{*}$ is nonempty. Clearly, it is an empty set for all $m$ strictly bigger than $\mathrm{d}_{\mathfrak{g}}$, and it is a nonempty set for $m=\mathrm{d}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. What about the smaller integers? From that point, it turns out that our problem is closed related to the problem of computing the index, which is a very hard problem in general. The index was studied only in some particular cases (see for instance [5], [20], [9], [27], [17] and 18]). Consequently, it would be too ambitious to get such integers, as well as the dimension of the $\mathfrak{g}_{m}^{*}$, for any Lie algebra. In [13], A. A. Kirillov approaches the case where $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of the Lie group of upper triangular matrices with ones on the diagonal over a field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ of characteristic $q$. In this note, we provide the dimension of the subsets $\mathfrak{g}_{m}^{*}$, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, in the case where $\mathfrak{g}$ is a complex finite dimensional reductive Lie algebra.
0.2. - From now on $K=\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ is supposed to be reductive. We denote by $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ a non-degenerate $G$-invariant bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}$ which extends the Killing form of the semisimple part of $\mathfrak{g}$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ can be identified with $\mathfrak{g}^{*}$ through $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$. In particular the index of $\mathfrak{g}$ is nothing but rkg, the rank
of $\mathfrak{g}$. Moreover, $\mathfrak{g}_{m}^{*}$ can be identified with the subset $\mathfrak{g}^{(m)}$ of elements $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\operatorname{dim}(G \cdot x)=2 m$, where $G \cdot x$ is the $G$-orbit of $x$ under the adjoint action of $G$ on $\mathfrak{g}$. The subset $\mathfrak{g}_{<m}^{*}:=\left\{f \in \mathfrak{g}^{*} \mid \operatorname{rank} B_{f} \leq 2 m\right\}$ is a $G$-invariant closed subset of $\mathfrak{g}^{*}$ as finite union of nullvarieties of regular functions on $\mathfrak{g}^{*}$. Therefore the subset $\mathfrak{g}_{m}^{*}=\mathfrak{g}_{\leq m}^{*} \backslash \mathfrak{g}_{\leq m-1}^{*}$ is a $G$-invariant locally closed subset of $\mathfrak{g}^{*}$, and so $\mathfrak{g}^{(m)}$ is a $G$-invariant locally closed subset of $\mathfrak{g}$. The irreducible components of $\mathfrak{g}^{(m)}$ are called the sheets of $\mathfrak{g}$. The notion of sheet was first introduced by J. Dixmier in [6]. Obviously, $\mathfrak{g}$ is the finite union of its sheets. Thus, our problem reduces to compute the dimension of the sheets of $\mathfrak{g}$.

An element $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ is said to be regular if its centralizer $\mathfrak{g}^{x}=\{y \in \mathfrak{g} \mid[x, y]=$ $0\}$ has minimal dimension $\mathrm{rkg}=$ indg. We have already noticed the equality : $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{g}}\right)}=\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}$. In addition, according to 29, the codimension of the complement of the set of regular elements in $\mathfrak{g}$ equals 3 . We precise this result, showing that $\mathfrak{g}^{\left(\mathrm{d}_{\mathfrak{g}}-1\right)}$ is equidimensional of dimension $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}-3$ (Theorem 1.15). For the smaller integers, it seems that there is no visible general rules, as we will see from our explicit computations. In particular, the subsets $\mathfrak{g}^{(m)}$ are not always equidimensional.
0.3. - In the first section, we recall some results about $G$-Jordan classes, sheets, induced nilpotent orbits and rigid nilpotent orbits. We recall the links between these different notions. In particular, it is known that the integers $m$ for which the subset $\mathfrak{g}^{(m)}$ is nonempty are exactly the integers $m$ for which there is a nilpotent orbit of $\mathfrak{g}$ of dimension $2 m$ (Proposition 1.13) and that the sheets of $\mathfrak{g}$ are parameterized by the pairs $\left(\mathfrak{l}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$, up to $G$-conjugation class, consisting of a Levi subalgebra $\mathfrak{l}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ and a rigid nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ of $\mathfrak{l}$ (Theorem 1.11).

The second section deals with the classical Lie algebras. We recall the characterization of rigid nilpotent orbits in the classical case in term of the corresponding partitions. Then, we establish an explicit formula for the dimension of $\mathfrak{g}^{(m)}$, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ (Theorems 2.2 and 2.11). Tables 1, 3, 4 and 5 provide these dimensions for $\mathfrak{s l}_{6}, \mathfrak{s o}_{7}, \mathfrak{s p}_{6}$ and $\mathfrak{s o}_{12}$.

Section 3 concerns the exceptional case. Using the description of the sheets of $\mathfrak{g}$ obtained in section 1 , we give for each exceptional simple Lie algebra, the dimension of the sets $\mathfrak{g}^{(m)}$, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}(\operatorname{cf}$ Tables $7,9,11,15,16)$.

Acknowledgment: I would like to thank A. A. Kirillov for his interest in my work. I am also very grateful to Karin Baur for her many comments and suggestions. In particular, she brought the thesis of Andreas Emanuel Im Hof to my attention.

## 1. G-Jordan Classes, sheets and induced nilpotent orbits

1.1. Sheets and Jordan classes. - If $\mathfrak{a}$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, we denote by $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{a})$ the centralizer in $\mathfrak{g}$ of $\mathfrak{a}$. As a rule, we respectively denote by $x_{s}$ and $x_{n}$ the semisimple and nilpotent components in $\mathfrak{g}$ of an element $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. The results of this paragraph are mostly due to W. Borho and H. Kraft [2] and they are also presented in [28].

Definition 1.1. - Let $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$. We say that $x$ and $y$ are $G$-Jordan equivalent if there exists $g \in G$ such that:

$$
\mathfrak{g}^{y_{s}}=\mathfrak{g}^{g\left(x_{s}\right)}=g\left(\mathfrak{g}^{x_{s}}\right), y_{n}=g\left(x_{n}\right) .
$$

This defines an equivalence relation on $\mathfrak{g}$. The equivalence class of $x$, that we shall denote by $J_{G}(x)$, is called the $G$-Jordan class of $x$ in $\mathfrak{g}$.

For any $G$-invariant subset $Y$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ we denote by $Y^{\text {reg }}$ the set of regular elements of $Y$, that is to say those of maximal $G$-orbit dimension. Recall that a Levi subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ is the reductive part of a parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. The group $G$ acts on the set of the pairs $\left(\mathfrak{l}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$ consisting of a Levi subalgebra $\mathfrak{l}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ and a nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ of $\mathfrak{l}$ in a obvious way.

The following lemma, proven for instance in [28], doesn't present any difficulty :

Lemma 1.2 ([28], Propositions 39.1.5 and 39.2.9)
Let $x=x_{s}+x_{n}$ be in $\mathfrak{g}$ and $J_{G}(x)$ its $G$-Jordan class. Then:
(i) $J_{G}(x)=G \cdot\left(\mathfrak{z}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{x_{s}}\right)^{\mathrm{reg}}+x_{n}\right)$,
(ii) $\operatorname{dim} J_{G}(x)=\operatorname{dim}(G \cdot x)+\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{z}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{x_{s}}\right)$.

We deduce from Lemma 1.2 the following known proposition, whose we recall the proof:

Proposition 1.3. - There is a one-to-one correspondence between $G$-Jordan classes and the set of pairs $\left(\mathfrak{l}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$, up to $G$-conjugation, where $\mathfrak{l}$ is a Levi subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ a nilpotent orbit of $\mathfrak{l}$.

Proof. - Let $J_{G}(x)$ be a $G$-Jordan class of $\mathfrak{g}$. Set $\mathfrak{l}=\mathfrak{g}^{x_{s}}$. Since $x_{s}$ is semisimple, $\mathfrak{l}$ is a Levi subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ which contains $x_{n}$, because $\left[x_{s}, x_{n}\right]=0$. Denote by $\mathcal{O}$ the nilpotent orbit of $x_{n}$ in $\mathfrak{l}$. The $G$-orbit of the pair $(\mathfrak{l}, \mathcal{O})$ only depends on $J_{G}(x)$ and not on the choice of a representative in $J_{G}(x)$. This defines the first map.

Conversely, let $\mathfrak{l}$ be a Levi subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ a nilpotent orbit in $\mathfrak{l}$. Fix $z \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{l})^{\text {reg }}, y \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ and set $x=y+z$. As $z$ belongs to the center of $\mathfrak{l}$, $[z, y]=0$, whence $x_{s}=z$ and $x_{n}=y$. Then $\mathfrak{g}^{x_{s}}=\mathfrak{g}^{z}=\mathfrak{l}$, because $z \in \mathfrak{z g}(\mathfrak{l})^{\text {reg }}$. Since $J_{G}(x)=G \cdot\left(\mathfrak{z}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{x_{s}}\right)^{\text {reg }}+x_{n}\right)$ by Lemma 1.2 (i), the $G$-Jordan class of $x$ doesn't depend on the choices of $z \in \mathfrak{z}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{x_{s}}\right)^{\text {reg }}$ nor of $y \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}$. Furthermore,
it is clear that $J_{G}(x)$ only depends on the $G$-orbit of $\left(\mathfrak{l}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$ and not on the choice of one of its representatives, whence the other map.

These two maps are clearly inverse, whence the one-to-one correspondence.

We shall say that the $G$-Jordan class associated via the previous correspondence, to a pair $\left(\mathfrak{l}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$, where $\mathfrak{l}$ is a Levi subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ a nilpotent orbit of $\mathfrak{l}$, has data $\left(\mathfrak{l}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$. It follows from the classification of Levi subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$ as well as the one of nilpotent orbits in reductive Lie algebras that there are only finitely many $G$-Jordan classes. Therefore, $\mathfrak{g}$ is a finite disjoint union of its $G$-Jordan classes. By Lemma 1.2, a $G$-Jordan class is $G$-stable, irreducible, and it is contained in $\mathfrak{g}^{(m)}$, for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. As a consequence, we deduce the following proposition :

Proposition 1.4 (28], Proposition 39.3.3). - Let $\mathfrak{S}$ be a sheet of $\mathfrak{g}$. Then, there is an unique G-Jordan class $\mathfrak{J}$ such that $\mathfrak{J} \subset \mathfrak{S}$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{S}}=\overline{\mathfrak{J}}$. Moreover, $\mathfrak{S}=(\overline{\mathfrak{J}})^{\text {reg }}$.
1.2. Induced nilpotent orbits and sheet. - In order to investigate the dimension of the sheets of $\mathfrak{g}$, we have to determinate which $G$-Jordan classes are dense in a sheet. Theorem 1.11 (1], 4.4) provides an answer. Because of the importance of this result in the remainders of this paper, we recall the main steps of the proof of this theorem. In addition, we will need of some of these intermediate results. We start by recalling some results about induced nilpotent orbits (see [4] for more details). Then, we use many results due to Borho [1] presented in the thesis of Andreas im Hof 11. His thesis is available on http : //aleph.unibas.ch/ but we recall all the results needed.

Theorem-Definition 1.5 ([4], Theorem 7.1.1). - Let $P$ be the connected Lie subgroup of $G$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{p}=\mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$. There is a unique nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ meeting $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}+\mathfrak{n}$ in an open dense subset. We have $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}=\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}+2 \operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{n}$. The orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the unique nilpotent orbit in $\mathfrak{g}$ of this dimension which meets $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}+\mathfrak{n}$. The intersection $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}} \cap\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}+\mathfrak{n}\right)$ consists in a single $P$-orbit.

We say that the orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is induced from $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ and we denote it by $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$. If $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}=0$, then we say that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a Richardson orbit.
Lemma 1.6 ([4], Theorem 7.1.3). - Let $\mathfrak{p}=\mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ and $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^{\prime}$ be two parabolic subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$ with the same Levi subalgebra $\mathfrak{l}$, and let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ be a nilpotent orbit in $\mathfrak{l}$. Then, $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)=\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}^{\mathfrak{g}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$.

As a consequence of this result, we can use the notation $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{l}}^{\mathfrak{g}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$ instead of $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$.

Proposition 1.7 ([4], Proposition 7.1.4). - Let $\mathfrak{p}=\mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ be the Levi decomposition of a parabolic subalgebra in $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ a nilpotent orbit in $\mathfrak{l}$.
(i) $\operatorname{codim}_{\mathfrak{l}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)=\operatorname{codim}_{\mathfrak{g}}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{l}}^{\mathfrak{g}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)\right.$, i.e $: \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{l}}^{\mathfrak{g}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)=\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}-\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{l}+\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}$.
(ii) Let $\mathfrak{l}_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{l}_{2}$ be two Levi subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$ with $\mathfrak{l}_{1} \subset \mathfrak{l}_{2}$. Then $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{l}_{2}}^{\mathfrak{g}}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{l}_{1}}^{\mathfrak{l}_{2}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}_{1}}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{l}_{1}}^{\mathfrak{g}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}_{1}}\right)$.
Proposition 1.8 ( $1 \mathbb{1}$, 3.1, Satz a). - Let $\mathfrak{J}$ be a $G$-Jordan class with data $\left(\mathfrak{l}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$. Then $(\overline{\mathfrak{J}})^{\text {reg }}$ contains a unique nilpotent orbit. It is equal to $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{l}}^{\mathfrak{g}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$.
Corollary 1.9 ([1], 3.6). - Let $\mathfrak{J}$ and $\mathfrak{J}^{\prime}$ be two $G$-Jordan classes with data $\left(\mathfrak{l}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$ and $\left(\mathfrak{l}^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}^{\prime}}\right)$ respectively such that $\mathfrak{l}$ contains $\mathfrak{l}^{\prime}$. Then $\overline{\mathfrak{J}}$ is contained in $\overline{\mathfrak{J}^{\prime}}$ if and only if $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ is $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{l}^{\prime}}^{\mathfrak{l}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}^{\prime}}\right)$ up to $G$-conjugation class.

Proposition 1.7, (i) implies that not every nilpotent orbit is induced from another. A nilpotent orbit in $\mathfrak{g}$ which is not induced from any proper parabolic subalgebra is called rigid.

Corollary 1.10 ([1], 4.2). - A G-Jordan class with data $\left(\mathfrak{l}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$ is dense in a sheet if and only if $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ is rigid in $\mathfrak{l}$.

We conclude this paragraph with the expected classification of sheets of $\mathfrak{g}$, which is a consequence of Corollary 1.10 and Proposition 1.4:

Theorem 1.11 ([1], 4.4). - There is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of pairs $\left(\mathfrak{l}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$, up to $G$-conjugation class, where $\mathfrak{l}$ is a Levi subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ a rigid nilpotent orbit in $\mathfrak{l}$, and the set of sheets of $\mathfrak{g}$.
1.3. First results concerning the dimension of the sheets. - Let $\mathfrak{S}$ be a sheet of $\mathfrak{g}^{(m)}$, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\mathfrak{S}$ corresponds to a pair $\left(\mathfrak{l}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$ via the correspondence established in Theorem 1.11. According to Propositions 1.4 and 1.8. $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{l}}^{\mathfrak{g}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$ is the unique nilpotent orbit contained in $\mathfrak{S}$. Denote by $J_{G}(x)$ the $G$-Jordan class whose data is $\left(\mathfrak{l}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$. Necessarily, $\mathfrak{g}^{x_{s}}=\mathfrak{l}$. So, Lemma 1.2 (ii) implies :

$$
\operatorname{dim} J_{G}(x)=\operatorname{dim}(G \cdot x)+\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{l})
$$

In addition, since $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{l}}^{\mathfrak{g}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$ is contained in $\mathfrak{S}$, $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{l}}^{\mathfrak{g}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)=\operatorname{dim}(G \cdot x)$, because these two $G$-orbits belong to $\mathfrak{g}^{(m)}$. In conclusion, we obtain the following proposition:
Proposition 1.12. - Let $\mathfrak{S}$ be the sheet corresponding to the pair $\left(\mathfrak{l}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$ via the correspondence established in Theorem 1.11. Then $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{l}}^{\mathfrak{g}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$ is the unique nilpotent orbit contained in $\mathfrak{S}$. Moreover :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{S} & =\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{l}}^{\mathfrak{g}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)+\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{z g}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{l}) \\
& =\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}-\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{l}+\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}+\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{l})
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote by $\mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ the set of all the dimensions of the nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{g}$ and by $\mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text {rigid }}$ those of all the dimensions of the rigid nilpotent orbits of $\mathfrak{g}$. As a consequence of Proposition 1.12, we get the following proposition:
Proposition 1.13 ([28], Remarks 39.3.2). - The set $\mathfrak{g}^{(m)}$ is non-empty if and only if $2 m \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Fix a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\Pi$ be a simple root system of the root system $\Delta$ associated to the couple $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$. Denote by $\Delta_{+}$the positive root system corresponding to $\Pi$. For $\alpha \in \Delta$, denote by $\mathfrak{g}^{\alpha}$ the root subspace associated to $\alpha$. For $S$ any subset of $\Pi$, we denote by $\Delta^{S}$ the root subsystem of $\Delta$ generated by $S$, and by $\Delta_{+}^{S}$ the intersection $\Delta_{+} \cap \Delta^{S}$. Set

$$
\mathfrak{l}_{S}=\mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta^{S}} \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha}
$$

Then $\mathfrak{l}_{S}$ is a Levi subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and it is well-known (see [4], Lemma 3.8.1) that every Levi subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ is $G$-conjugated to $\mathfrak{l}_{S}$, for some $S$ in $\Pi$. For the sake of the simplicity, we shall denote by $\mathbf{N}_{S}$ and $\mathbf{N}_{S}^{\text {rigid }}$ respectively the sets $\mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{l}_{S}}$ and $\mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{r}_{S}}^{\text {rigid }}$.

Lemma 1.14. - Suppose that the semisimple part of $\mathfrak{g}$ has dimension strictly bigger than 3 . Then the subregular nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text {subreg }}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is not rigid.

Proof. - Let $\alpha \in \Pi$ and set $\mathfrak{l}=\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{-\alpha}$. Then the nilpotent orbit of $\mathfrak{g}$ induced from the zero orbit of $\mathfrak{l}$ has dimension,

$$
\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}-\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{l}=\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}-\mathrm{rkg}-2
$$

by Proposition 1.7, (i). As a consequence $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text {subreg }}=\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{l}}^{\mathfrak{g}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{0}\right)$, because $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text {subreg }}$ is the only nilpotent orbit of $\mathfrak{g}$ of dimension $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}-\mathrm{rkg}-2$. By hypothesis, $\mathfrak{g}$ is different from $\mathfrak{l}$, whence $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text {subreg }}$ is not rigid.

Recall that $2 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathfrak{g}}=\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}-\operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{g}$.
Theorem 1.15. - (i) If $m>\mathrm{d}_{\mathfrak{g}}$, then $\mathfrak{g}^{(m)}$ is an empty set of $\mathfrak{g}$.
(ii) The subset $\mathfrak{g}^{\left(\mathrm{d}_{\mathfrak{g}}\right)}$ is irreducible of dimension $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}$.
(iii) The subset $\mathfrak{g}^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{g}}-1\right)}$ is equidimensional of dimension $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}-3$.

Proof. - (i) is an obvious consequence of the definition of $\mathrm{d}_{\mathfrak{g}}$.
(ii) is true because $\mathfrak{g}^{\left(\mathrm{d}_{\mathfrak{g}}\right)}$ is the subset of regular elements of $\mathfrak{g}$, which is a dense open subset of $\mathfrak{g}$.
(iii) Let $\mathfrak{S}$ be a sheet of $\mathfrak{g}^{\left(\mathrm{d}_{\mathfrak{g}}-1\right)}$ associated to the $G$-Jordan class with data $\left(\mathfrak{l}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$, via the correspondence established in Theorem 1.11. According
to Proposition 1.7, (i), the codimension in $\mathfrak{l}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ is $\mathrm{rkl}+2=\mathrm{rkg}+2$. As a consequence, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ is the subregular nilpotent orbit of $\mathfrak{l}$. As $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ is a rigid nilpotent orbit of $\mathfrak{l}$, Lemma 1.14 implies that the semisimple part of $\mathfrak{l}$ has dimension 3. Then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ is the zero orbit of $\mathfrak{l}$ and $\mathfrak{S}$ has dimension

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}-\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{l}+0+\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{l}) & =(\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}-\mathrm{rkg}-2)+(\mathrm{rkg}-1) \\
& =\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}-3,
\end{aligned}
$$

according to 1.12, because the center of $\mathfrak{l}$ has dimension $\mathrm{rkg}-1$, whence (ii).
Remark 1. - We cannot expect similar results for the smaller integers. Indeed, we will see from our explicit computations that $\mathfrak{g}^{(m)}$ is not always equidimensional. This phenomenon mainly results from two problems. Firstly, a nilpotent orbit can be induced in two different ways. Secondly, two different orbits can have the same dimension.

## 2. Computations in the classical cases

In this part, we study the dimensions of the sets $\mathfrak{g}^{(n)}$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2 n \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ in the case where $\mathfrak{g}$ is a classical simple Lie algebra. Because of the one-to-one correspondence established in Theorem 1.11, we first need a precise description of rigid nilpotent orbits. These results will also be useful in section 3 for the exceptional case, because Levi subalgebras of simple exceptional Lie algebras often have simple factors of classical type.
2.1. Type $A_{l}$. - In this paragraph, we suppose that $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s l}_{N}$, with $N \geq 2$. First of all, recall that nilpotent orbits of $\mathfrak{g}$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the set $\mathcal{P}(N)$ of all partitions of $n$. Here, partition means a sequence $\mathbf{d}=\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N}\right]$ of non-negative integers, possibly zero, with $d_{1} \geq \cdots \geq d_{N}$ and $d_{1}+\cdots+d_{N}=N$. If $\mathbf{d}=\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N}\right] \in \mathcal{P}(N)$, we denote by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$ the corresponding nilpotent orbit of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Let $\mathbf{d}=\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N}\right]$ be in $\mathcal{P}(N)$. Set $s_{i}=\#\left\{j \mid d_{j} \geq i\right\}$ the dual partition of $\mathbf{d}$. Notice that $d_{1}$ is the biggest integer $j$ such that $s_{j}$ is different from zero. Define a subset $S^{\mathbf{d}}$ of $\Pi$ as follows: the connected components $S_{1}^{\mathbf{d}}, \ldots, S_{d_{1}}^{\mathbf{d}}$ of $S^{\mathbf{d}}$ have the cardinalities $s_{1}-1, \ldots, s_{d_{1}}-1$ respectively. Then we denote by $\mathfrak{l}_{\mathbf{d}}$ the Levi subalgebra $\mathfrak{l}_{S^{\mathbf{d}}}$ with the notations of 1.3.

Proposition 2.1 (4], Theorem 7.2.3). - The partition associated to $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{l}_{\mathbf{d}}}^{\mathfrak{g}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{0}\right)$ is $\mathbf{d}$. In particular, every non-zero nilpotent orbit in $\mathfrak{g}$ is Richardson and the unique rigid nilpotent orbit is the zero orbit.

Let d be a partition of $N$. The dimension of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$ is $2 m(\mathbf{d})$, where $m(\mathbf{d})$ is defined by (4], Corollary 6.1.4) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(\mathbf{d}):=\frac{1}{2}\left(N^{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{d_{1}} s_{i}^{2}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us remark that we can also obtain that formula from propositions 2.1 and 1.7 (i), since $\mathfrak{l}_{\mathbf{d}}$ has dimension $\sum_{i=1}^{d_{1}} s_{i}^{2}-1$.

Theorem 2.2. - Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. If $2 m \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}$, then

$$
\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}^{(m)}=2 m+\max _{\substack{\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}(N) \\ m(\mathbf{d})=m}}\left(d_{1}-1\right)
$$

Otherwise, $\mathfrak{g}^{(m)}$ is an emptyset.
Proof. - Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2 m \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Let $\mathfrak{S}$ be a sheet of $\mathfrak{g}^{(m)}$. By Theorem 1.11 and proposition 2.1, $\mathfrak{S}$ corresponds to a pair $\left(\mathfrak{l}_{\mathbf{d}}, \mathcal{O}_{0}\right)$, for some $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}(N)$. By Proposition 2.1 and Formula (11), $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{l}_{\mathbf{d}}}^{\mathfrak{g}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{0}\right)=2 m(\mathbf{d})$. In particular, $m(\mathbf{d})$ has to be equal to $m$. In addition, Proposition 1.12 gives :

$$
\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{S}=2 m(\mathbf{d})+d_{1}-1
$$

since the center of $\mathfrak{l}_{\mathbf{d}}$ has dimension

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{rk} \mathfrak{g}-\# S^{\mathbf{d}} & =N-1-\sum_{i=1}^{d_{1}}\left(s_{i}-1\right) \\
& =N-1-\left(N-d_{1}\right) \\
& =d_{1}-1
\end{aligned}
$$

The first assertion of the theorem is now clear. The secund assertion results from Proposition 1.13.

As an example, we present the dimension of the $\mathfrak{g}^{(m)}$, for $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s l}_{6}$ : Table 1 provides, for each $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}(N)$, the dimension $2 m(\mathbf{d})$ of the corresponding nilpotent orbit and the quantity $d_{1}-1$. Then, we present in Table ?? the dimension of the sets $\mathfrak{g}^{(m)}$, according to Theorem 2.2. Because two different orbits can have the same dimension, we need to compute $d_{1}-1$, for all $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}(N)$ such that $m(\mathbf{d})=m$, to get the dimension of $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}^{(m)}$ (eg there are two nilpotent orbits of dimension 18).

| $2 m \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ | $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}(6)$ such that | $2 m(\mathbf{d})=2 m$ | $d_{1}-1$ | $\in \mathcal{P}(6)$ | $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}^{(m)}=2 m+\max _{m(\mathbf{d})=m}\left(d_{1}-1\right)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | [6] |  | 5 |  | 35 |  |
| 28 | [5, 1] |  | 4 |  | 32 |  |
| 26 | [4, 2] |  | 3 |  | 29 |  |
| 24 | $\left[4,1^{2}\right]$ | $\left[3^{2}\right]$ | 3 | 2 | 27 |  |
| 22 | [3, 2, 1] |  | 2 |  | 24 |  |
| 18 | $\left[3,1^{3}\right]$ | $\left[2^{3}\right]$ | 2 | 1 | 20 |  |
| 16 | $\left[2^{2}, 1^{2}\right]$ |  | 1 |  | 17 |  |
| 10 | $\left[2,1^{4}\right]$ |  | 1 |  | 11 |  |
| 0 | $\left[1^{6}\right]$ |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

TABLE 1. Dimensions of the sets $\mathfrak{g}^{(m)}$ for $\mathfrak{s l}_{6}$.
2.2. Type $B_{l}, C_{l}$ and $D_{l}$. - Set $\varepsilon= \pm 1$ and consider a non-degenerate bilinear form $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\varepsilon}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{N}$ such that:

$$
\langle a, b\rangle_{\varepsilon}=\varepsilon\langle a, b\rangle_{\varepsilon}, \text { for all } b, a \in \mathbb{C}^{N}
$$

If $\varepsilon=-1$ (resp. 1), then the form is $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\varepsilon}$ is symplectic (resp. symmetric). We then define:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I\left(\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\varepsilon}\right) & =\left\{g \in \mathrm{GL}_{N} \mid\langle g a, g b\rangle_{\varepsilon}=\langle a, b\rangle_{\varepsilon}, \text { for all } a, b \in \mathbb{C}^{N}\right\} \\
\mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon} & =\left\{x \in \mathfrak{s l}_{N} \mid\langle x a, b\rangle_{\varepsilon}=-\langle a, x b\rangle_{\varepsilon}, \text { for all } a, b \in \mathbb{C}^{N}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\varepsilon=-1$, then $N=2 n$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} \simeq \mathfrak{s p}_{2 n}$. If $\varepsilon=1$, then $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} \simeq \mathfrak{s o}_{N}$. Thus $I\left(\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\varepsilon}\right)$ is the isotropy group of the form $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\varepsilon}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{N}$, and $\mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon}$ is its Lie algebra. Now, set :
$\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}(N)=\left\{\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N}\right] \in \mathcal{P}(N) \mid \#\left\{j \mid d_{j}=i\right\}\right.$ is even for all $i$ with $\left.(-1)^{i}=\varepsilon\right\}$.
Theorem 2.3 ( $\boxed{4} \mid$, Theorem 5.1.6). - Nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon}$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the partition $\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}(N)$ of $N$, except that if $\varepsilon=1$, and $N=2 n$, then very even partitions of $N$ (those with only even parts, each having even multiplicity) correspond to two orbits that we label with I and II.

To make some statements more understandable, we will include some of the proofs of known results presented in [4] concerning induced nilpotent orbits in classical simple Lie algebras.

We endow the set of the partitions of $N$ with the classical order which corresponds to the classical order on the set of nilpotent orbits of $\mathfrak{s l}_{N}$. First, recall a result due to Gerstenhaber which generalizes the transpose operation in the set $\mathcal{P}(N)$;

## Proposition-Definition 2.4 (Gerstenhaber: cf 4], Lemma 6.3.3)

Let $\mathbf{p}=\left[p_{1}, \ldots, p_{2 n+1}\right]$ be a partition in $\mathcal{P}(2 n+1)$. Then there is an unique largest partition in $\mathcal{P}_{1}(2 n+1)$ dominated by $\mathbf{p}$. This partition, called the $B$-collapse of $\mathbf{p}$ and denoted by $\mathbf{p}_{B}$, may be defined as follows. If $\mathbf{p}$ is not already in $\mathcal{P}_{1}(2 n+1)$, then at least one of its even parts must occur with odd multiplicity; let $q$ be the largest such part. Replace the last occurrence of $q$ in $\mathbf{p}$ by $q-1$ and the first subsequent part $r$ strictly less than $q-1$ by $r+1$; we may have to add a 0 to $\mathbf{p}$ to find such an r. Repeat this process until a partition in $\mathcal{P}_{1}(2 n+1)$ is obtained. Similarly, there are a unique largest partition $\mathbf{q}_{C}$, $\mathbf{q}_{D}$ in $\mathcal{P}_{-1}(2 n+1), \mathcal{P}_{1}(2 n+1)$ dominated by any given partition $\mathbf{q}$ of $2 n+1$. They are called the $C-$ and $D$-collapses of $\mathbf{q}$; their definitions are the obvious analogues of that of $\mathbf{p}_{B}$.

From now on, we shall denote by $X$ the type of $\mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon}$, that is to say $B, C$ or $D$.

Let $\mathfrak{l}$ be a Levi subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Then, there are integers $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{S} \geq 0$ and $R$ such that,

$$
\mathfrak{l} \simeq \mathfrak{g l}_{i_{S}} \times \cdots \mathfrak{g l}_{i_{1}} \times \mathfrak{g}^{\prime}
$$

where $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$ has the same type as $\mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon}$ and whose standard representation has dimension $R$. After a possible renumbering, we can suppose that $\left[i_{1}, \ldots, i_{S}\right]$ belongs to $\mathcal{P}(S)$, with $2 S+R=N$. Then we define:

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{Levi}}=\left\{(\mathbf{i}, R) \in \mathcal{P}(S) \times \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0} \mid 2 S+R=N, S \geq 0 \text { and } R \neq 2, \text { if } \varepsilon=1\right\}
$$

Lemma 2.5. - There is a one-to-one correspondence between $G$-conjugation classes of Levi subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$ and elements of $\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{Levi}}$.

If $\mathfrak{l}$ corresponds to the element $(\mathbf{i} ; R)$ of $\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}^{\text {Levi }}$, we shall say that $\mathfrak{l}$ is of type $(\mathbf{i} ; R)$.

Proposition 2.6 ([4], Corollary 7.3.4). - Let $\mathfrak{l}=\mathfrak{g l}_{l} \times \mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$ be a maximal Levi subalgebra, where $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$ has the same type as $\mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon}$ (then $2 l+r=N$ if $r$ is the dimension of the standard representation of $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$ and $\mathfrak{l}$ is of type $\left.(l ; r)\right)$. Let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}=\mathcal{O}_{0} \times \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{f}}$ be a nilpotent orbit in $\mathfrak{l}$ whose component in the $\mathfrak{g l}_{l}$ factor is the zero orbit and whose component $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{f}}$ in the $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$ factor has partition $\mathbf{f}$. Then the
partition of $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{l}}^{\mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$ is $\mathbf{p}$, where the partition $\mathbf{p}$ is obtained from $\mathbf{f}$ as follows :
We add 2 to the first $l$ terms of $\mathbf{f}$, obtaining a partition $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}$ (extending by zero if necessary in $\mathbf{f}$ if necessary), and then take the $X$-collapse of $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}$, where $X=B, C$ or $D$ (cf Proposition-Definition 2.4). If the collapse is nontrivial (ie $\left.\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{X} \neq \tilde{\mathbf{f}}\right)$ ), it is obtained by subtracting 1 from the $l^{\text {th }}$ part of $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}$ and adding 1 to its $(l+1)^{\text {th }}$ part. If $\mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon}=\mathfrak{s o}_{4 n}, r \neq 0$ and the collapsed partition is very even, then $\mathbf{f}$ is also very even and the induced orbit inherits the label I or II of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{f}}$; if $r=0$, then the label of the induced orbit is the same as that of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ if $n$ is even but differs from it if $n$ is odd.

Define $\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}^{*}(N)$ to be the set of all the partitions $\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N}\right]$ in $\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}(N)$ such that the following two conditions hold :
(i) $0 \leq d_{i+1} \leq d_{i} \leq d_{i+1}+1$ for all $i$,
(ii) $\#\left\{j \mid d_{j}=i\right\} \neq 2$ if $\varepsilon(-1)^{i}=-1$.

We will show that $\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}^{*}(N)$ encodes rigid nilpotent orbits. Let $\mathbf{d}=$ $\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N}\right]$ be in $\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}(N)$. We want to construct an element of $\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}^{*}(N)$ from d. Set $i_{0}=0, \mathbf{d}^{(0)}=\mathbf{d}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime(0)}=\mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon}$.

Step 1: if $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}^{*}(N)$, set $\mathbf{d}^{(1)}=\mathbf{d}=\mathbf{d}^{(0)}$. Otherwise, there is $j \in\{1, \ldots, N\}$ such that either $d_{j} \geq d_{j+1}+2$, or $d_{j-1}>d_{j}=d_{j+1}>d_{j+2}$ with $\varepsilon(-1)^{d_{j}}=-1$ (where we have set $d_{0}=0$ and $d_{j}=0$, for all $j>N$, by convention). Denote by $i_{1}$ the smallest integer $j$ such that one of these two situations happens.
a) In the first situation, set :

$$
\mathbf{d}^{(1)}:=\left[d_{1}-2, \ldots, d_{i_{1}}-2, d_{i_{1}+1}, \ldots, d_{N}\right] .
$$

b) In the second situation, set :

$$
\mathbf{d}^{(1)}:=\left[d_{1}-2, \ldots, d_{i_{1}-1}-2, d_{i_{1}}-1, d_{i_{1}+1}-1, d_{i_{1}+2}, \ldots, d_{N}\right]
$$

In both situations, $\mathbf{d}^{(1)}$ remains an element of $\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}\left(N-2 i_{1}\right)$ so $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}^{(1)}}$ is a nilpotent orbit of $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime(1)}$, where $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime(1)}$ is a classical simple Lie algebra of the same type as $\mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon}$ whose standard representation has dimension $N-2 i_{1}$. Moreover, by Proposition 2.6,

$$
\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}}=\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{l}^{(1)}}^{\mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{0} \times \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}^{(1)}}\right)
$$

where $\mathfrak{l}^{(1)}$ is a Levi subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon}$ of type $\left(i_{1} ; N-2 i_{1}\right)$.
Step 2 : suppose that $i_{0}, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{p-1}, \mathbf{d}^{(0)}, \mathbf{d}^{(1)}, \ldots, \mathbf{d}^{(p-1)}, \mathfrak{g}^{\prime}(0), \mathfrak{g}^{\prime(1)}, \ldots, \mathfrak{g}^{\prime(p-1)}$
and $\mathfrak{l}^{(1)}, \ldots, \mathfrak{l}^{(p-1)}$ are defined for some $p \in\{1, \ldots, N\}$ in such way that:
$\left(c_{1}\right)$ for all $k \in\{0, \ldots, p-1\}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}^{(k)}}$ is a nilpotent orbit of $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime(k)}$, where $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}(k)$ is a classical simple Lie algebra of same type as $\mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon}$ whose corresponding standard representation has dimension $N-2 i_{0}-2 i_{1}-\cdots-2 i_{k}$,
$\left(c_{2}\right)$ for all $k \in\{1, \ldots, p-1\}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}^{(k-1)}}=\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{l}^{(k)}}^{\mathfrak{g}^{\prime(k-1)}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{0} \times \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}^{(k)}}\right)$, where $\mathfrak{l}^{(k)}$ is a Levi subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime(k-1)}$ of type $\left(i_{k} ; N-2 i_{0}-2 i_{1}-\cdots-2 i_{k}\right)$.

Then, we define $i_{p}, \mathbf{d}^{(p)}, \mathfrak{l}^{(p)}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime(p)}$ as in Step 1. More precisely, if $\mathbf{d}^{(p-1)} \in \mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}^{*}\left(N-2 i_{0}-2 i_{1} \cdots-2 i_{p-1}\right)$, set $\mathbf{d}^{(p)}=\mathbf{d}^{(p-1)}$. Otherwise, there is $j \in\left\{1, \ldots, N-2 i_{0}-2 i_{1} \cdots-2 i_{p-1}\right\}$ such that either $d_{j}^{(p-1)} \geq d_{j+1}^{(p-1)}+2$, or $d_{j-1}^{(p-1)}>d_{j}^{(p-1)}=d_{j+1}^{(p-1)}>d_{j+2}^{(p-1)}$ with $d_{j}^{(p-1)}$ odd. Denote by $i_{p}$ the smallest integer $j$ such that one of these two situations happens.
a) In the first situation, set :

$$
\mathbf{d}^{(p)}:=\left[d_{1}^{(p-1)}-2, \ldots, d_{i_{p}}^{(p-1)}-2, d_{i_{p}+1}^{(p-1)}, \ldots, d_{N-2 i_{0}-2 i_{1} \cdots-2 i_{p-1}}^{(p-1)}\right]
$$

b) In the second situation, set :

$$
\mathbf{d}^{(p)}:=\left[d_{1}^{(p-1)}-2, \ldots, d_{i_{p}-1}^{(p-1)}-2, d_{i_{p}}^{(p-1)}-1, d_{i_{p}+1}^{(p-1)}-1, d_{i_{p}+2}^{(p-1)}, \ldots, d_{N-2 i_{0}-2 i_{1} \cdots-2 i_{p-1}}^{(p-1)}\right]
$$

As before, in both cases $\mathbf{d}^{(p)}$ is an element of $\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}\left(N-2 i_{0}-2 i_{1} \cdots-2 i_{p}\right)$ so $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}^{(p)}}$ is a nilpotent orbit of $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime(p)}$, where $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}(p)$ is a classical simple Lie algebra of the same type as $\mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon}$ whose standard representation has dimension $N-2 i_{0}-2 i_{1} \cdots-2 i_{p}$. And, by Proposition 2.6,

$$
\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}^{(p-1)}}=\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{l}^{(p)}}^{\mathfrak{g}^{\prime(p-1)}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{0} \times \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}^{(p)}}\right)
$$

where $\mathfrak{l}^{(p)}$ is a Levi subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime(p-1)}$ of type $\left(i_{p} ; N-2 i_{0}-2 i_{1} \cdots-2 i_{p}\right)$. Then $i_{0}, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{p}, \mathbf{d}^{(0)}, \mathbf{d}^{(1)}, \ldots, \mathbf{d}^{(p)}, \mathfrak{g}^{\prime(0)}, \mathfrak{g}^{\prime(1)}, \ldots, \mathfrak{g}^{\prime(p)}$ and $\mathfrak{l}^{\prime}(1), \ldots, \mathfrak{l}^{\prime}(p)$ satisfy conditions $\left(c_{1}\right)$ and $\left(c_{2}\right)$.

The process clearly ends after a finite number of steps.
Definition 2.7. - We denote by $z(\mathbf{d})$ the smallest integer $j$ such that $\mathbf{d}^{(j)}=$ $\mathbf{d}^{(j+1)}$.

If a partition $\mathbf{d}^{\prime}$ is obtained from another partition $\mathbf{d}$ by a transformation of type a) or b) as described in Steps 1 or 2 (in particular $\mathbf{d}$ has to be different from $\mathbf{d}^{\prime}$ ), then we shall say that $\mathbf{d}^{\prime}$ is deduced from $\mathbf{d}$ by an elementary
transformation．In this case，it is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(\mathbf{d}^{\prime}\right)=z(\mathbf{d})-1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly，the previous construction proves that if $z(\mathbf{d}) \neq 0$ then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$ is not rigid．Using Proposition 2．6，we can easily prove that the converse also holds， whence the following corollary ：

Corollary 2．8．－The nilpotent orbit corresponding to a partition $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}(N)$ is rigid if and only if $z(\mathbf{d})=0$ ．

Because $z(\mathbf{d})$ is clearly equal to 0 if and only if $\mathbf{d}$ belongs to $\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}(N)^{*}$ ， Corollary 2．8 gives the following result，enounced in［4］：

Corollary 2.9 （［4］，Corollary 7．3．5）．－The orbit corresponding to a par－ tition $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}(N)$ is rigid if and only if $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}(N)^{*}$ ．

Let $\mathbf{d}=\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N}\right]$ be in $\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}(N)$ ．Put $r_{i}=\#\left\{j \mid d_{j}=i\right\}$ and $s_{i}=$ $\#\left\{j \mid d_{j} \geq i\right\}$ ．Denote by $m(\mathbf{d})$ the half dimension of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$ ．According to［⿴囗⿱一一 Corollary 6．1．4，$m(\mathbf{d})$ is given by the following formulas：

$$
m(\mathbf{d})= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2}\left(2 n^{2}+n-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} s_{i}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \text { odd }} r_{i}\right), & \text { if } \mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s o}_{2 n+1}  \tag{3}\\ \frac{1}{2}\left(2 n^{2}+n-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} s_{i}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \text { odd }} r_{i}\right), & \text { if } \mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s p}_{2 n} \\ \frac{1}{2}\left(2 n^{2}-n-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} s_{i}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \text { odd }} r_{i}\right), & \text { if } \mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s o}_{2 n} .\end{cases}
$$

The construction preceding the definition 2.7 enables to compute the num－ ber $z(\mathbf{d})$ ，for $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}(N)$ ．Then，according to Corollary 2．8，we obtain the list of rigid nilpotent orbits with their dimensions（computed with formulas（3）） in $B_{2}, B_{3}, C_{3}, D_{4}, D_{5}, D_{6}$ and $D_{7}$ in Table 园．We list these cases since they all appear as simple factors of Levi subalgebras in the exceptional Lie algebras．

Lemma 2．10．－Let $\mathbf{d}=\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N}\right]$ be in $\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}(N)$ ．Suppose that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}}=$ $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{l}}^{\mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$ ，where $\mathfrak{l}$ is a Levi subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ a rigid nilpotent orbit in l．Then

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon}}}(\mathfrak{l})=z(\mathbf{d}) .
$$

Remark 2．－A nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$ can be induced in different ways by a rigid nilpotent orbit of a Levi subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ ．Lemma 2．10 claims that the dimension of the center of a such Levi subalgebra only depends on $\mathbf{d}$ and not on the choice of this Levi subalgebra，nor on the choice of a rigid nilpotent orbit in this Levi subalgebra．


TABLE 2. Rigid nilpotent orbits with their dimensions in $\mathfrak{s o}_{5}, \mathfrak{s p}_{6}, \mathfrak{s o}_{7}$, $\mathfrak{S o}_{8}, \mathfrak{5 o}_{10}, \mathfrak{5 o}_{12}$ and $\mathfrak{s o}_{14}$

Proof. - 1) If $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$ is rigid, then $\mathfrak{l}=\mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon}$, and $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{g} \varepsilon}}(\mathfrak{l})=0$. On the other hand, $z(\mathbf{d})=0$, according to Corollary 2.8.
2) We suppose that $\mathbf{d} \notin \mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}(N)$. In other words, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$ is not rigid, therefore $\mathfrak{l}$ is strictly contained in $\mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon}$. According to Lemma 2.5, $\mathfrak{l}$ is $G$-conjugated to

$$
\mathfrak{g l}_{i_{S}} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{g l}_{i_{1}} \times \mathfrak{g}^{\prime},
$$

with $2 i_{1}+\cdots 2 i_{S}+R=N, i_{1} \geq \cdots \geq i_{S}$, and where $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$ is a Lie algebra of same type as $\mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon}$ whose standard representation has dimension $R$. In other words, $\mathfrak{l}$ has type ( $\mathbf{i} ; R$ ), with $\mathbf{i}=\left[i_{1}, \ldots, i_{S}\right] \in \mathcal{P}(S)$. Notice that the center of $\mathfrak{l}$ has dimension $S$. By Theorem 2.1,

$$
\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}=\mathcal{O}_{0} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{O}_{0} \times \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{f}}
$$

where $\mathbf{f}$ is an element of $\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}(R)$ such that $O_{\mathbf{f}}$ is rigid in $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$ and where the component of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ on the $\mathfrak{g l}_{i_{k}}$ factor is zero, for $k=1, \ldots, S$.

Set $i_{0}=0$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime(0)}=\tilde{\mathfrak{l}}^{(0)}=\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$. For $p=1, \ldots, S$, denote by $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime(p)}$ a Lie algebra of the same type as $\mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon}$ whose standard representation has dimension

$$
R_{p}:=2 i_{p}+\cdots+2 i_{1}+2 i_{0}+R,
$$

and by $\tilde{\mathfrak{l}}^{(p)}$ a Levi subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}(p)$ of type $\left(i_{p} ; 2 i_{p-1}+\cdots+2 i_{1}+2 i_{0}+R\right)$.
Set $\mathfrak{l}^{(S)}=\mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon}$ and, for $p=0, \ldots, S-1$, denote by $\mathfrak{l}^{(p)}$ a Levi subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon}$ of type $\left(\left[i_{p+1}, \ldots, i_{S}\right] ; 2 i_{p}+\cdots+2 i_{1}+2 i_{0}+R\right)$ such that:

$$
\mathfrak{l}=\mathfrak{l}^{(0)} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathfrak{l}^{(S)}=\mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon} .
$$

As defined, $\mathfrak{l}^{(p)}$ is $G$-conjugated to

$$
\mathfrak{g l}_{i_{S}} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{g l}_{i_{p+1}} \times \mathfrak{g}^{\prime(p)},
$$

for all $p=0, \ldots, S-1$.

Set $\mathbf{d}^{(0)}=\mathbf{f}$ and define $\mathbf{d}^{(p)}$, for $p=1, \ldots, S$, by induction as follows; $\mathbf{d}^{(p)}$ is the element of $\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}\left(R_{p}\right)$ such that:

$$
\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}^{(p)}}=\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{\mathfrak { I }}^{(p)}}^{\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}(p)}\left(\mathcal{O}_{0} \times \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}^{(p-1)}}\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{0}$ is the zero orbit in the $\mathfrak{g l}_{i_{p}}$ factor.
Let us first check that $\mathbf{d}^{(S)}=\mathbf{d}$. By Lemma 1.7, (ii), we can write :

$$
\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}}=\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{l}^{(S-1)}}^{\mathfrak{l}(S)}(\ldots(\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{l}^{(0)}}^{\mathfrak{r}(1)}(\underbrace{\left.\mathcal{O}_{0} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{O}_{0} \times \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{f}}\right)}_{S \text { factors }})))
$$

In addition, we easily see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{l}(p-1)}^{\mathfrak{l}(p)}(\underbrace{\mathcal{O}_{0} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{O}_{0}}_{S-p+1 \text { factors }} \times \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}^{(p-1)}}) & \simeq \underbrace{\mathcal{O}_{0} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{O}_{0}}_{S-p \text { factors }} \times \operatorname{Ind}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{l}}^{(p)}}^{\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}(p)}\left(\mathcal{O}_{0} \times \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}^{(p-1)}}\right) \\
& =\underbrace{\mathcal{O}_{0} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{O}_{0}}_{S-p \text { factors }} \times \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}^{(p)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $p=1, \ldots, S$, because the $S-p$ first factors of $\mathfrak{l}^{(p-1)}$ and $\mathfrak{l}^{(p)}$ are the same. Then, by induction, we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}}= & \operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{l}(S-1)}^{\mathfrak{l}(S)}(\ldots(\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{l}^{(0)}}^{\mathfrak{l}^{(1)}}(\underbrace{\mathcal{O}_{0} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{O}_{0} \times \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{f}}}_{S \text { factors }})) \\
= & \operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{l}(S-1)}^{\mathfrak{l}(S)}(\ldots \operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{l}^{(1)}}^{\mathfrak{l}^{(2)}}(\underbrace{\mathcal{O}_{0} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{O}_{0}}_{S-1 \text { factors }} \times \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}^{(1)}}) \\
& \vdots \\
= & \operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{l}^{(S-1)}}^{\mathfrak{l}(S)}\left(\mathcal{O}_{0} \times \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}^{(S-1)}}\right) \\
= & \operatorname{Ind}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{l}}(S)}^{\mathfrak{g}^{(S)}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{0} \times \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}^{(S-1)}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

because $\mathfrak{l}^{(S)} \simeq \mathfrak{g}^{\prime}(S) \simeq \mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{l}}^{(S)} \simeq \mathfrak{l}^{(S-1)}$. The definition of $\mathbf{d}^{(S)}$ thus implies $\mathbf{d}=\mathbf{d}^{(S)}$.

It remains to compute the number $z\left(\mathbf{d}^{(S)}\right)$. Prove by induction on $p \in$ $\{0, \ldots, S\}$, that $z\left(\mathbf{d}^{(p)}\right)=p$ and that, for all $i<i_{p+1}$ :

$$
\begin{cases}(\text { i) }, & 0 \leq d_{i+1}^{(p)} \leq d_{i}^{(p)} \leq d_{i+1}^{(p)}+1, \\ (\text { ii })^{\prime} & \#\left\{j \mid d_{j}^{(p)}=i\right\} \neq 2, \text { if } \varepsilon(-1)^{i}=-1\end{cases}
$$

Then we will deduce the expected result from the $p=S$ case, since the center of $\mathfrak{l}$ has dimension $S$.
$\underline{p=0}$ : since $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{f}}$ is rigid in $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$, it follows from Corollary 2.8 that $z(\mathbf{f})=z\left(\mathbf{d}^{(0)}\right)=0$ and that the conditions (i)' and (ii)' hold.
$\underline{(p-1) \Rightarrow p}$ : suppose that, for all $k \in\{0, \ldots, p-1\}, z\left(\mathbf{d}^{(k)}\right)=k$ and that conditions (i)' and (ii)' holds for $i<i_{k+1}$, for some $p \in\{1, \ldots, S\}$. We have to prove first that $z\left(\mathbf{d}^{(p)}\right)=p$. According to relation (2), it is enough to prove that $\mathbf{d}^{(p-1)}$ is deduced from $\mathbf{d}^{(p)}$ by an elementary transformation, since $z\left(\mathbf{d}^{(p-1)}\right)=p-1$ by the induction hypothesis. As $\tilde{\mathfrak{l}}(p)$ is a maximal Levi subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime(p)}$, we can apply Proposition 2.6 to

$$
\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}^{(p)}}=\operatorname{Ind}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{I}}^{(p)}}^{\mathfrak{g}^{\prime(p)}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{0} \times \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}^{(p-1)}}\right)
$$

With the notations of Proposition 2.6, we have:

$$
\mathbf{d}^{(p)}=\left(\widetilde{\left(\mathbf{d}^{(p-1)}\right.}\right)_{X}
$$

By the induction hypothesis, for all $i<i_{p}$, conditions (i)' and (ii)' hold for $\mathbf{d}^{(p-1)}$. Consequently the smallest integer $l$ such that one of the situations a) or b) of Step 1 happens in $\mathbf{d}^{(p)}$ is equal to $i_{p}$, because $\tilde{\mathfrak{l}}^{(p)}$ is of type $\left(i_{p} ; R_{p}-2 i_{p}\right)$. We distingue two cases : either $\widetilde{\mathbf{d}^{(p-1)}} X$ equals to $\widetilde{\mathbf{d}^{(p-1)}}$ or not. We easily check that in both situations, $\mathbf{d}^{(p-1)}$ is deduced from $\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{d}^{(p-1)}}\right)_{X}$ by an elementary transformation. Moreover, for all $i<i_{p+1}$, conditions (i)' and (ii)' hold for $\mathbf{d}^{(p)}$ because $i_{p+1} \leq i_{p}$.

By induction, for all $p=1, \ldots, S, z\left(\mathbf{d}^{(p)}\right)=p$ and conditions (i)' and (ii)' hold, for all $i<i_{p+1}$. In particular, with $p=S$, we have: $z\left(\mathbf{d}^{(S)}\right)=z(\mathbf{d})=$ $S=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathfrak{z} \mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{l})$.

We are now ready to compute the dimension of the subsets $\mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon}^{(m)}$. Recall that $m(\mathbf{d})$ is given by the formulas (3).

Theorem 2.11. - Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. If $2 m \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon}}$, then

$$
\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon}^{(m)}=2 m+\max _{\substack{\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}(N) \\ m(\mathbf{d})=m}} z(\mathbf{d})
$$

Otherwise, $\mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon}^{(m)}$ is an emptyset.
Proof. - Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2 m \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon}}$. Let $\mathfrak{S}$ be a sheet of $\mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon}^{(m)}$ associated to the pair $\left(\mathfrak{l}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$, where $\mathfrak{l}$ is a Levi subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ a nilpotent rigid orbit in $\mathfrak{l}$. According to Proposition 1.8, the unique nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$
contained in $\mathfrak{S}$ is $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{l}}^{\mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$. In particular, $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{l}}^{\mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$ has dimension $2 m$, and so $m=m(\mathbf{d})$. Lemma 2.10 implies that the center of $\mathfrak{l}$ has dimension $z(\mathbf{d})$. As a result, we deduce from Proposition 1.12 the expected relation. The secund assertion results from Proposition 1.13.

Tables 3 , 3 and 5 provide, for each $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}(N)$, the integers $2 m(\mathbf{d})$ and $z(\mathbf{d})$, and then the dimensions of the sets $\mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon}^{(m(\mathbf{d}))}$, for $N=3$ with $\varepsilon= \pm 1$ and for $N=6$ with $\varepsilon=1$.

| $2 m \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ | $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}(6)$ such that $2 m(\mathbf{d})=2 m$ | $z(\mathbf{d}), \quad$ for $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}(6)$ | $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon}^{(m)}=2 m+\max _{m(\mathbf{d})=m} z(\mathbf{d})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | $[7]$ | 3 | 21 |
| 16 | $\left[5,1^{2}\right]$ | 2 | 18 |
| 14 | $\left[3^{2}, 1\right]$ | 1 | 15 |
| 12 | $\left[3,2^{2}\right]$ | 1 | 13 |
| 10 | $\left[3,1^{4}\right]$ | 0 | 8 |
| 8 | $\left[2^{2}, 1^{3}\right]$ | 0 | 0 |
| 0 |  | 11 |  |

Table 3. Dimensions of the sets $\mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon}^{(m)}$ for $\mathfrak{s o}_{7}$.

## 3. Computations in the exceptional cases

We suppose in this part that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a simple exceptional Lie algebra. The point is to explicitly compute the dimensions of all the sheets of $\mathfrak{g}$.

For each pair $(S, p)$ consisting of a subset $S$ of $\Pi$ and the dimension $p$ of a nilpotent orbit of the Levi subalgebra $\mathfrak{l}_{S}=\mathfrak{h} \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta^{S}} \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha}\right)$, set

$$
d_{S, p}:=\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}-\mathrm{rkg}-2 \# \Delta_{+}^{S}+p
$$

According to Lemma 1.7 (i), $d_{S, p}$ is the dimension of the nilpotent orbit induced by any nilpotent orbit of dimension $p$ in $\mathfrak{l}_{S}$. Then, by Lemma 1.2, the dimension of a $G$-Jordan class with data $\left(\mathfrak{l}_{S}, \mathcal{O}\right)$, for any nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{O}$ in $\mathfrak{l}_{S}$ of dimension $p$, is

$$
\left(\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}-\mathrm{rkg}-2 \# \Delta_{+}^{S}+p\right)+(\operatorname{rkg}-\# S)
$$

| $2 m \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ | $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}(6)$ such that $2 m(\mathbf{d})=2 m$ | $z(\mathbf{d}), \quad$ for $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}(6)$ | $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon}^{(m)}=2 m+\max _{m(\mathbf{d})=m} z(\mathbf{d})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | [6] | 3 | 21 |
| 16 | [4, 2] | 2 | 18 |
| 14 | $\left[3^{2}\right] \quad\left[4,1^{2}\right]$ | $1 \quad 1$ | 15 |
| 12 | $\left[2^{3}\right]$ | 1 | 13 |
| 10 | $\left[2^{2}, 1^{2}\right]$ | 1 | 11 |
| 6 | $\left[2,1^{4}\right]$ | 0 | 6 |
| 0 | $\left[1^{6}\right]$ | 0 | 0 |

TABLE 4. Dimensions of the sets $\mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon}^{(m)}$ for $\mathfrak{s p}_{6}$.
since the center of $\mathfrak{r}_{S}$ has dimension $\mathrm{rkg}-\# S$. In addition, by Proposition 1.11, this dimension corresponds to the dimension of a sheet of $\mathfrak{g}^{(m)}$, where $2 m=d_{S, p}$, if and only if $p$ is the dimension of a rigid nilpotent orbit in $\mathfrak{l}_{S}$.

When the simple factors of $\mathfrak{l}_{S}$ are all of classical type, then the set $\mathbf{N}_{S}^{\text {rigid }}$ is given in Table 2. In [24], Chapter II, the rigid nilpotent orbits of the exceptional types are listed, provided from Elashvili's computations. We observe that our method almost gives a method to recover the dimensions of the rigid nilpotent orbits of the exceptional types. More precisely, if for some $m \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}$, there is no pair $(S, p)$, with $S \subset \Pi, S \neq \Pi$, and $p \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{I}_{S}}^{\text {rigid }}$ such that $d_{S, p}=m$, then $m \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text {rigid }}$. Unfortunately, the converse is not true in general, because a non-rigid nilpotent orbit can be induced in two different ways. Nevertheless, if there is only one nilpotent orbit of dimension $m$ then we can decide if $m$ belongs to $\mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text {rigid }}$ or not. Anyway, for our goal, this approach is sufficient because computing the dimension of a $G$-Jordan class which is not dense in a sheet doesn't affect the final result: in that case, the corresponding dimension will not appear as a dimension of some $\mathfrak{g}^{(m)}$. Precisely knowing the $G$-Jordan classes dense in a sheet is only useful to simplify the computations. That's why we present here our computations without refering to [24. Noting that our conclusions agree with the data of [24].

In the Tables 6, $8,10,12,13$ and 14, we present the necessary data for each exceptional type. For each subset $S \in \Pi$, we give the type of $\Delta^{S}$, the

| $2 m \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ | $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}(6)$ | such that | $2 m(\mathbf{d})=2 m$ | $z(\mathbf{d})$, | for | $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}(6)$ | $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon}^{(m)}=2 m$ | $+\max _{m(\mathbf{d})=m} z(\mathbf{d})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60 | [11, 1] |  |  | 6 |  |  | 66 | 6 |
| 58 | [9, 3] |  |  | 5 |  |  | 63 |  |
| 56 | $\left[9,1^{3}\right] \quad[7,5]$ |  |  | $4 \quad 4$ |  |  | 60 |  |
| 54 | $\left[7,3,1^{2}\right] \quad\left[6^{2}\right]$ |  |  | 43 |  |  | 58 |  |
| 52 | $\left[5^{2}, 1^{2}\right]$ | $\left[7,2^{2}, 1\right]$ |  | 3 | 2 |  | 55 | 5 |
| 50 | $\left[5,3,2^{2}\right]$ |  |  | 2 |  |  | 52 |  |
| 48 | $\left[4^{3}\right] \quad\left[4^{2}, 3,1\right]$ |  |  | $2 \quad 2$ |  |  | 50 |  |
| 46 | $\left[4^{2}, 2^{2}\right]$ | $\left[5,3,1^{4}\right]$ |  | 2 | 2 |  | 48 |  |
| 44 | $\left[4^{2}, 1^{4}\right]$ | $\left[5,2^{2}, 1^{3}\right]$ | [ $3^{4}$ ] | 1 | 1 | 1 | 45 | 5 |
| 42 | $\left[3^{3}, 1^{3}\right]$ |  |  | 1 |  |  | 43 |  |
| 40 | $\left[3^{2}, 2^{2}, 1^{2}\right]$ |  |  | 1 |  |  | 41 |  |
| 36 | $\left[5,1^{7}\right]$ | $\left[3,2^{4}, 1\right]$ |  | 2 | 0 |  | 38 |  |
| 34 | $\left[3^{2}, 1^{6}\right]$ |  |  | 1 |  |  | 35 |  |
| 32 | $\left[3,2^{2}, 1^{5}\right]$ |  |  | 0 |  |  | 32 |  |
| 30 | [2 ${ }^{6}$ ] |  |  | 1 |  |  | 31 |  |
| 28 | $\left[2^{4}, 1^{4}\right]$ |  |  | 0 |  |  | 28 |  |
| 18 | $\left[2^{2}, 1^{8}\right]$ |  |  | 0 |  |  | 18 |  |
| 0 | $\left[1^{12}\right]$ |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |

TABLE 5. Dimensions of the sets $\mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon}^{(m)}$ for $\mathfrak{s o}_{12}$.
cardinality of $S$, the cardinality of $\Delta_{+}^{S}$, the set $\mathbf{N}_{S}^{\text {rigid }}$ when we are able to compute it, and a set perhaps bigger otherwise. If this is the case, we add a question mark to identify the dimensions which might not belong to $\mathbf{N}_{S}^{\text {rigid }}$, the set $d_{S, p}$, for $p$ running through the $4^{\text {th }}$ column, and finally the set of the
numbers $d_{S, p}+(\mathrm{rkg}-\# S)$, for $p$ running through the $4^{\text {th }}$ column. Then, the dimension of the varieties $\mathfrak{g}^{(m)}$ are given in tables 7, 8, 11, 15 and 16.
Remark 3. - A short look at the tables of [24] shows that, each time there is a question mark in tables [8, 友, 10, 10 and ??, the corresponding orbit is actually not rigid.

| $\Delta^{S}$ | $\# S$ | $\# \Delta_{+}^{S}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{S}^{\mathrm{rigid}}$ | $d_{S, p}=\operatorname{dimg}-\mathrm{rkg}-2\left(\# \Delta_{+}^{S}\right)+p$, for $p \in \mathbf{N}_{S}^{\mathrm{rigid}}$ | $d_{S, p}+(\mathrm{rkg}-\# S)$, for $p \in \mathbf{N}_{S}^{\text {rigid }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\emptyset$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 14 |  |  |
| $A_{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 11 |  |  |
| $G_{2}$ | 2 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 6 |

TABLE 6. Dimension of the sheets for $\mathfrak{g}=G_{2}(\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}=14, r k \mathfrak{g}=2)$

| $2 m \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ | 12 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}^{(m)}$ | 14 | 11 | 8 | 6 | 0 |

TABLE 7. Dimensions of the subsets $\mathfrak{g}^{(m)}$ for $G_{2}$


TABLE 8. Dimension of the sheets for $\mathfrak{g}=F_{4}(\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}=52, r k \mathfrak{g}=4)$

| $2 m \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ | 48 | 46 | 44 | 42 | 40 | 38 | 36 | 34 | 30 | 28 | 22 | 16 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}^{(m)}$ | 52 | 49 | 46 | 44 | 42 | 39 | 37 | 34 | 31 | 28 | 22 | 16 | 0 |

Table 9. Dimensions of the subsets $\mathfrak{g}^{(m)}$ for $F_{4}$
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[^0]| $\Delta^{S}$ | \#S | \# $\Delta_{+}^{S}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{S}^{\text {rigid }}$ | $d_{S, p}$, for $p \in \mathbf{N}_{S}^{\text {rigid }}$ | $d_{S, p}+(\mathrm{rkg}-\# S)$, for $p \in \mathbf{N}_{S}^{\text {rigid }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\emptyset$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 126 | 133 |
| $A_{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 124 | 130 |
| $A_{1} \times A_{1}$ | 2 | 2 | 0 | 122 | 127 |
| $A_{2}$ | 2 | 3 | 0 | 120 | 125 |
| $A_{1} \times A_{1} \times A_{1}$ | 3 | 3 | 0 | 120 | 124 |
| $A_{2} \times A_{1}$ | 3 | 4 | 0 | 118 | 122 |
| $A_{3}$ | 3 | 6 | 0 | 114 | 118 |
| $A_{1} \times A_{1} \times A_{1} \times A_{1}$ | 4 | 4 | 0 | 118 | 121 |
| $A_{2} \times A_{1} \times A_{1}$ | 4 | 5 | 0 | 116 | 119 |
| $A_{2} \times A_{2}$ | 4 | 6 | 0 | 114 | 117 |
| $A_{3} \times A_{1}$ | 4 | 7 | 0 | 112 | 115 |
| $A_{4}$ | 4 | 10 | 0 | 106 | 109 |
| $D_{4}$ | 4 | 12 | $16 \quad 10 \quad 0$ | $118 \quad 112$ | $121 \quad 115 \quad 105$ |
| $A_{2} \times A_{1} \times A_{1} \times A_{1}$ | 5 | 6 | 0 | 114 | 116 |
| $A_{2} \times A_{2} \times A_{1}$ | 5 | 7 | 0 | 112 | 114 |
| $A_{3} \times A_{1} \times A_{1}$ | 5 | 8 | 0 | 110 | 112 |
| $A_{3} \times A_{2}$ | 5 | 9 | 0 | 108 | 110 |
| $A_{4} \times A_{1}$ | 5 | 11 | 0 | 104 | 106 |
| $D_{4} \times A_{1}$ | 5 | 13 | $16 \quad 10 \quad 0$ | $116110 \quad 100$ | $118 \quad 112102$ |
| $A_{5}$ | 5 | 15 | 0 | 96 | 98 |
| $D_{5}$ | 5 | 20 | $24 \quad 140$ | $110 \quad 100 \quad 86$ | $\begin{array}{lll}112 & 102 & 88\end{array}$ |
| $A_{3} \times A_{2} \times A_{1}$ | 6 | 10 | 0 | 106 | 107 |
| $A_{4} \times A_{2}$ | 6 | 13 | 0 | 100 | 101 |
| $A_{5} \times A_{1}$ | 6 | 16 | 0 | 94 | 95 |
| $A_{6}$ | 6 | 21 | 0 | 84 | 85 |
| $D_{5} \times A_{1}$ | 6 | 21 | $\begin{array}{lll}24 & 14 & 0\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}108 & 98 & 84\end{array}$ | 109 |
| $D_{6}$ | 6 | 30 | $\begin{array}{lllll}36 & 32 & 28 & 18 & 0\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}102 & 98 & 94 & 84 & 66\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llllll}103 & 99 & 95 & 85 & 67\end{array}$ |
| $E_{6}$ | 6 | 36 | 64? 60? 5440220 | 118? 114? 108947654 | 119? 115? 109957755 |
| $E_{7}$ | 7 | 63 | $120 ?$ $118 ?$ $114 ?$ $112 ?$ $110 ?$   <br> $108 ?$ $106 ?$ $100 ?$  $96 ?$ 92 90 <br> $84 ?$ 82 70 64 52 34 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 120 \text { ? } 118 \text { ? } 114 \text { ? } 112 \text { ? } 110 \text { ? } \\ & 108 \text { ? } 106 \text { ? } 100 \text { ? } 96 \text { ? } 92 \\ & 84 \text { ? } 82 \\ & 80 \\ & 70 \end{aligned} 64 \begin{array}{llll} 52 & 34 & 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cccccccc} 120 ? & 118 ? & 114 ? & 112 ? & 110 ? \\ 108 ? & 106 ? & 100 & & 96 ? & 92 & 90 \\ 84 ? & 82 & 70 & 64 & 52 & 34 & 0 \end{array}$ |

Table 12. Dimension of the sheets for $\mathfrak{g}=E_{7}(\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}=133, \operatorname{rkg}=7)$


Table 13. Dimension of the sheets for $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}=E_{8}(\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}=248, r k \mathfrak{g}=8)$ I


Table 14. Dimension of the sheets for $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}=E_{8}$ II

| $2 m \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ | 126 | 124 | 122 | 120 | 118 | 116 | 114 | 112 | 110 | 108 | 106 | 104 | 102 | 100 | 98 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}^{(m)}$ | 133 | 130 | 127 | 125 | 122 | 119 | 118 | 115 | 112 | 110 | 109 | 106 | 105 | 102 | 99 |
| $2 m \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ | 96 | 94 | 92 | 90 | 86 | 84 | 82 | 76 | 70 | 66 | 64 | 54 | 52 | 34 | 0 |
| $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}^{(m)}$ | 98 | 95 | 92 | 90 | 88 | 85 | 82 | 77 | 70 | 67 | 64 | 55 | 52 | 34 | 0 |

Table 15. Dimensions of the subsets $\mathfrak{g}^{(m)}$ for $E_{7}$

| $2 m \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ | 240 | 238 | 236 | 234 | 232 | 230 | 228 | 226 | 224 | 222 | 220 | 218 | 216 | 214 | 212 | 210 | 208 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}^{(m)}$ | 248 | 245 | 242 | 240 | 237 | 234 | 233 | 230 | 227 | 225 | 224 | 221 | 220 | 217 | 214 | 213 | 210 |
| $2 m \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ | 206 | 204 | 202 | 200 | 198 | 196 | 194 | 192 | 190 | 188 | 184 | 182 | 180 | 178 | 176 | 172 | 168 |
| $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}^{(m)}$ | 207 | 205 | 202 | 203 | 200 | 197 | 195 | 193 | 192 | 189 | 185 | 182 | 182 | 179 | 176 | 172 | 170 |
| $2 m \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ | 166 | 164 | 162 | 156 | 154 | 148 | 146 | 136 | 128 | 114 | 112 | 92 | 58 | 0 |  |  |  |
| $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}^{(m)}$ | 167 | 164 | 162 | 157 | 154 | 149 | 146 | 136 | 128 | 115 | 112 | 92 | 58 | 0 |  |  |  |

Table 16. Dimensions of the subsets $\mathfrak{g}^{(m)}$ for $E_{8}$
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