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#### Abstract

Single crystals of the title compounds were prepared by solid state reactions from barium carbonate and ruthenium metal using a $\mathrm{BaBr}_{2}$ flux and investigated by X-ray diffraction method using $\operatorname{Mo}(\mathrm{K} \alpha)$ radiation and a Charge Coupled Device (CCD) detector. A structural model for the term $\mathrm{n}=2, \mathrm{Ba}_{5} \mathrm{Ru}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9}(\mathbf{1})$ was established in the hexagonal symmetry, space group $\mathrm{P6}_{3} / \mathrm{mmc}$, $\mathrm{a}=5.8344(2) \AA, \mathrm{c}=25.637(2) \AA, \mathrm{Z}=2$. Combined refinement and maximum-entropy method unambiguously show the presence of $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ ions in the three other compounds $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4})$. Their crystal structures were solved and refined in the trigonal symmetry, space group $\mathrm{P} \overline{3} \mathrm{~m} 1$, $\mathrm{a}=5.8381(1) \AA, \mathrm{c}=15.3083(6) \AA$ for the term $\mathrm{n}=3, \mathrm{Ba}_{6} \mathrm{Ru}_{3} \mathrm{Br}_{1.54}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)_{0.23} \mathrm{O}_{12}$ (2), and space group $\mathrm{R} \overline{3} \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{a}=5.7992(1) \AA, \mathrm{c}=52.866(2) \AA$ and $\mathrm{a}=5.7900(1) \AA, \mathrm{c}=59.819(2) \AA$ for the terms $\mathrm{n}=4$, $\mathrm{Ba}_{7} \mathrm{Ru}_{4} \mathrm{Br}_{1.46}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)_{0.27} \mathrm{O}_{15}(\mathbf{3})$, and $\mathrm{n}=5, \mathrm{Ba}_{8} \mathrm{Ru}_{5} \mathrm{Br}_{1.64}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)_{0.18} \mathrm{O}_{18}(\mathbf{4})$, respectively. The structures are formed by the periodic stacking along $\left[\begin{array}{lll}0 & 1\end{array}\right]$ of $(\mathrm{n}+1)$ hexagonal close-packed $\left[\mathrm{BaO}_{3}\right]$ layers separated by a double layer of composition $\left[\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2-2 \mathrm{x}}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)_{\mathrm{x}}\right]$. The ruthenium atoms occupy the n octahedral interstices created in the hexagonal perovskite slabs and constitute isolated dimers $\mathrm{Ru}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ of face shared octahedra in $\mathbf{1}$ and isolated trimers $\mathrm{Ru}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{12}$ of face shared octahedra in $\mathbf{2}$. In 3 and 4, the $\mathrm{Ru}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ units are connected by corners either directly (3) or through a slab of isolated $\mathrm{RuO}_{6}$ octahedra (4) to form a bidimensional arrangement of $\mathrm{RuO}_{6}$ octahedra. These four oxybromocarbonates belong to the family of compounds formulated $\left[\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right.$. $\left.{ }_{2 x}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)_{\mathrm{x}}\right]\left[\mathrm{Ba}_{\mathrm{n}+1} \mathrm{Ru}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{O}_{3 \mathrm{n}+3}\right]$ where n represents the thickness of the octahedral string in hexagonal perovskite slabs. These compounds are compared to the oxychloride series.


## 1. Introduction

In the cubic and hexagonal barium-containing perovskite-type oxides, the stacking of compact hexagonal $\left[\mathrm{BaO}_{3}\right]$ layers creates octahedral holes occupied by a small metal atom M to give various structures depending on the stacking sequence of the $\left[\mathrm{BaO}_{3}\right]$ layers. If a layer (noted c) is surrounded by two different layers, the $\mathrm{MO}_{6}$ octahedra on both sides of this layer are connected by a corner. On the contrary, if the layer (noted $h$ ) is surrounded by two identical layers, the $\mathrm{MO}_{6}$ octahedra are then face-shared. Except for the simplest $h h$ sequence where 1Darrangement of face-shared octahedra is formed, all the structures are built from a 3D-framework of edge- and corner-shared octahedra. For a given M atom, several sequences can be observed corresponding to various polymorphs with transitions in function of the temperature or the pressure. The example of $\mathrm{BaRuO}_{3}$ is very informative [1]. At atmospheric pressure, $\mathrm{BaRuO}_{3}$ adopts a 9R structure with $(h h c)_{3}$ stacking sequence and contains $\mathrm{Ru}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{12}$ trimers of face-shared octahedra linked together by corner sharing. At $15 \mathrm{kbar}, \mathrm{BaRuO}_{3}$ transforms to a 4 H structure with $(h c)_{2}$ stacking sequence and $\mathrm{Ru}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ dimers of face-shared octahedra linked together by corner sharing. At 30 kbar , this structure transforms to a 6 H structure with $(h c c)_{2}$ stacking sequence and contains $\mathrm{Ru}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ dimers of face-shared octahedra linked by corner sharing with a layer of isolated octahedra. Finally, a cubic $3 c$ perovskite structure is expected for $\mathrm{BaRuO}_{3}$ at about 120 kbar [1]. The introduction of $\left[\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]$ double layers within the $\left[\mathrm{BaO}_{3}\right]$ stacking breaks the 3D-arrangement and results in the formation of $\left[\mathrm{Ba}_{\mathrm{n}+1} M_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{O}_{3 \mathrm{n}+3}\right]^{2-}$ slabs of n octahedra thickness in the compounds formulated $\left[\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{Ba}_{\mathrm{n}+1} M_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{O}_{3 \mathrm{n}+3}\right]$ reported for $M=\mathrm{Ru}$ and $\mathrm{n}=2,3$ [2], 4 [3] and for a mixed site $M=3.33 \mathrm{Ru}-1.67 \mathrm{Ta}$ and $\mathrm{n}=5$ [4]. In these layered 2D structures, the mean oxidation degree of the M metal decreases from +5 for $\mathrm{n}=2$ to +4 for $\mathrm{n}=\infty$ which corresponds to infinite thickness of the 2D fragment i.e. to $3 \mathrm{D} 9 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{BaRuO}_{3}$. Two similar
compounds with $\left[\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]$ double layers intercalated in the $\left[\mathrm{BaO}_{3}\right]$ stacking have been reported for $\mathrm{n}=4$ and 5 in $\mathrm{Ba}_{7} \mathrm{Ru}_{4} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{15}$ [5] and $\mathrm{Ba}_{8} \mathrm{Ru}_{3} \mathrm{Ta}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{18}$ [6], respectively. In the present paper we describe the crystal structure of all the terms for the bromide series from $n=2$ to $n=5$ that contain only Ru as transition metal in octahedral sites. In fact, presence of carbonate ions in the double barium layers leads to the formula $\left[\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2-2 x}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)_{\mathrm{x}}\right]\left[\mathrm{Ba}_{n+1} \mathrm{Ru}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{O}_{3 \mathrm{n}+3}\right]$ for this series. The crystal structure of the term $n=3$ for the chloride series has been revisited and carbonate ions localized in the double barium layers.

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1 Synthesis

The starting materials, $\mathrm{BaCO}_{3}$ (Fisher, $99 \%$ ), Ru (Touzart \& Matignon, 99\%) and $\mathrm{BaBr}_{2}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Prolabo, Rectapur, 99\%), were used as received. Two compositions of these reactants corresponding to the stoichiometries $3 / 3 / 10$ and $3 / 1 / 10$, respectively were mixed and heated in an alumina crucible from room temperature to $1100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 10 hours. After a 48 hours maintenance at this temperature the samples were cooled to $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{hr}$ and finally to room temperature by cut off the furnace. After dissolving the excess of $\mathrm{BaBr}_{2}$ with hot water, black hexagonal-plate crystals were found in the two experiments. For each synthesis, several single crystals were tested on a single crystal X-Ray diffractometer, hexagonal unit cells are always obtained with the same $a$-parameter ( $\mathrm{a} \sim 5.8 \AA$ ) and $c$-parameter depending on the starting synthesis compositions. For the first one, two types of crystals were identified with $\mathrm{c} \sim 25.6$ and c~15.3 $\AA$ corresponding to $\mathrm{Ba}_{5} \mathrm{Ru}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9}(\mathbf{1})$ and $\mathrm{Ba}_{6} \mathrm{Ru}_{3} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{12}$ (2), respectively. For the second
one, two other types of crystals with $\mathrm{c} \sim 52.9$ and $\mathrm{c} \sim 59.8 \AA$ corresponding to $\mathrm{Ba}_{7} \mathrm{Ru}_{4} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{15}(\mathbf{3})$ and $\mathrm{Ba}_{8} \mathrm{Ru}_{5} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{48}$ (4), respectively, were obtained. Several crystals from the two preparations were analyzed by energy dispersive spectroscopy using a JEOL JSM - 5300 scanning microscope equipped with a PGT Digital Spectrometer, confirming the presence of $\mathrm{Ba}, \mathrm{Ru}$ and Br .

### 2.2 Crystal structure determination

For structure determinations, crystals of the four compounds were selected, mounted on glass fibers and aligned on a Bruker X8 APEX 2 X-Ray diffractometer. Intensities were collected at room temperature using $\mathrm{MoK} \alpha$ radiation $(\lambda=0.71073 \AA)$ selected by a graphite monochromator. Individual frames were measured using a strategy combining $\omega$ and $\varphi$ scans with a rotation of $0.3^{\circ}$ and an acquisition time of 20 s per frame. After every data collection, intensities were reduced and corrected for Lorentz, polarization and background effects using the Saint 7.12 software [7]. Once the data processing was performed, the absorption corrections were computed by a semi-empirical method based on redundancy using the SADABS 2006/1 program [8]. Details of the data collection and refinement are given in Table 1.

Compound $\mathbf{1}$ crystallizes in the hexagonal system and a crystal structure approach was realized in the centro-symmetric $\mathrm{P}_{3} / \mathrm{mmc}$ space group. The three other compounds crystallize in the trigonal system and the crystal structures were solved in the centro-symmetric space groups $\mathrm{P} \overline{3} \mathrm{~m} 1$ for $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathrm{R} \overline{3} \mathrm{~m}$ for $\mathbf{3}$ and 4. The structures were determined by direct methods using SIR97 program [9], which readily established the heavy atom positions ( $\mathrm{Ba}, \mathrm{Ru}, \mathrm{Br}$ ). Oxygen atoms were localized from difference Fourier maps. The last cycles of refinement included atomic positions, anisotropic displacement parameters for all non-oxygen atoms, and isotropic
displacement parameters for oxygen atoms. Full-matrix least squares structure refinements against F were carried out using the JANA 2000 program [10]. In a first step, the crystal structures were refined without carbonate ions and with the Br atoms in the same positions than the Cl atoms in the analogous chlorides which correspond, for $\mathrm{n}=4$ and 5 , to the positions used in the previously reported $\mathrm{Ba}_{7} \mathrm{Ru}_{4} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{15}$ [5] and $\mathrm{Ba}_{8} \mathrm{Ru}_{3} \mathrm{Ta}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{18}$ [6]. The obtained structural parameters (hereafter the ideal model) are in good agreement with the previously reported results and are given in Table 2 for compound 3, as example. However, large unusual values of the displacement parameters for Br atoms, particularly in the $\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ plane, were obtained for the four compounds. Furthermore, significant residual electron densities were observed around the bromine sites. This fact strongly suggests that one should apply additional structure refinements, e.g. using displacement parameters together with lowering the site-symmetry for bromine atoms and/or further thermal parameters. For many types of disorder it is difficult to derive an appropriate model based on atoms and harmonic displacement parameters. It can be necessary to use many different sites with a partial occupancy of the atoms. Alternatively, information on disorder can be obtained from a Fourier map generated from the phases of the structure factors calculated for the "best" model and their observed intensities. Another possibility lies in the use of the Maximum-Entropy Method (MEM), a model-free method which may be used for calculating electron densities in solids using experimental phased structure factors as input [11]. To calculate the precise electron density distribution, the MEM analysis was carried out with the computer program BAYMEM [15]. In the present analysis, the total number of electrons in the unit cell was fixed to the $\mathrm{F}(000)$ values (1020, 634, 2274 and $2646 \mathrm{e}^{-}$for $\mathbf{1 , 2 , 3}, \mathbf{4}$, respectively) and the unit cell was divided into a grid of $72 * 72 * 486$ pixels to ensure a good spatial resolution (better than $0.081 \AA$ for all the studied compounds). All independent reflections were used in the process. A particular attention was paid to the strategy of the data collection in order to obtain
high angle data (up to $2 \theta=96.5^{\circ}$ for $\mathrm{Ba}_{7} \mathrm{Ru}_{4} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{15}$, for example). All calculations were performed with an initial flat electron density. The reliability factor of the MEM, $\mathrm{R}_{\text {MEM }}$ is indicated in Table 1, with $R_{\text {MEM }}=\frac{\sum\left|F_{\text {obs }}-F_{\text {MEM }}\right|}{\sum\left|F_{\text {obs }}\right|}$, where $\mathrm{F}_{\text {obs }}$ is obtained by the structural refinement and $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MEM }}$ is the structure factor calculated from the electron density obtained by the MEM.

The visualized three- and two-dimensional electron density (ED) images are shown on Figure 1a in the region of the bromine atom for $\mathbf{3}$, as example. These images clearly showed that the ED at the $\mathrm{Br}(6 c)$ site broadened like a triangular form indicating that bromine ions are distributed over three split sites in a $18 h$ site $(\operatorname{Br}(1))$ In the same manner, the $\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ site displayed a triangular shape indicating a small splitting over the 3 -fold axis. The $\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ site showed a slightly elongated ED with an egg-shape (Figure 1b) indicating a two position splitting. The disorder observed using the MEM was then introduced in the refinement process and therefore the ideal structure model was modified in such a way that $\operatorname{Br}(1)$ and $\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ ions at the $6 c$ sites were divided into three positions, corresponding to one third of $18 h$ sites, while $\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ was split over two $6 c$ positions. The occupancy parameters of the Ba ions were constrained to unity to fulfil the chemical composition $\left(\mathrm{Ba}_{7} \mathrm{Ru}_{4} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{15}\right.$ at this stage $)$. This model is called the split-atom model without $\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and allows reducing considerably the residual density in all structures (see Table 1). Finally, analysis of the ED also showed a density in form of clover centred on a $6 c$ position with three petals corresponding to a $18 h$ position with distances compatible with a carbonate ion (Figure 1c). Therefore a carbonate ion was introduced with an occupancy factor adjusted to respect the electro-neutrality of the compound considering the same oxidation degree of the ruthenium as in the corresponding oxychloride (see the discussion below). Henceforth this model is called the split-atom model with $\mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The refinement was somewhat improved (Robs $=0.0298$ and $w$ Robs $=0.0354$ to compare to Robs $=0.0479$ and $w$ Robs $=0.0541$ for the ideal
model) and the residual densities were acceptable (Max $\Delta \rho=5.63 \mathrm{e}^{-} / \AA^{3}$ in the split-atom model with $\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ to compare to $15.05 \mathrm{e}^{-} / \AA^{3}$ ). Another formalism which was proved to lead to a good fit to the data is the formalism of anharmonic displacement parameters [16]. This model was tested in the present study but without significant improvements of the R factors and with many parameters and consequently strong correlations. The "simple" split-atom model with $\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ was then used in the final refinement. All the details and results are given in Table 1. The MEM analysis was conducted in the same way on all the prepared compounds and leads to the same conclusions concerning the disorder for compounds 2 and 4. $\mathrm{Ba}(1), \mathrm{Ba}(2)$ and $\mathrm{Br}(1)$ atoms are split in the same manner than in $\mathrm{Ba}_{7} \mathrm{Ru}_{4} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{15}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ carbonate ions localized. In summary, all the atoms in the vicinity of the $\left[\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]$ layers are disordered, whereas the rest of the structure is perfectly ordered. For compound 1, ED was observed in the vicinity of $\operatorname{Br}(1)$ atoms and between the $\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ and $\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ layers. Unfortunately, in spite of many hypotheses and tests, the results of the structural refinement could not significantly be improved. Thus only the structural approach leading to the average model (Table 3) is considered in this paper for compound $\mathbf{1}$. Considering these results, MEM analysis was carried out using the diffraction data collected for the oxychloride compound $\mathrm{Ba}_{6} \mathrm{Ru}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{12}$ reported in [2] for which high atomic displacement parameter was refined for the chlorine atom. Analogous conclusions were obtained, carbonate ions are unambiguously observed within the double $\left[\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]$ layers and the split-atom model leads to the formula $\mathrm{Ba}_{6} \mathrm{Ru}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}_{1.36}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)_{0 .}{ }_{32} \mathrm{O}_{12}$ and to an improvement of the refinement $($ Robs $=0.0323$ and $w R o b s=0.0321$ to compare to Robs $=0.0391$ and $w$ Robs $=0.0572)$.

## 3. Crystal structures descriptions and discussion

The atomic coordinates and displacement parameters are given in Tables 4, 5 and 6 for $\mathbf{2}$, 3, 4 respectively. Selected bond lengths are listed in Table 7. Valence bond sums reported in Table 8 were calculated using the expression of bond valence $S i j$ between two atoms $i$ and $j$ given by Brown [17], $S i j=\exp (R \mathrm{o}-R i j) \mathrm{b}$ where $R i j$ are the observed bond distances and $R_{0}$ and $b$ are empirical constants. For these calculations $R_{0}=1.834 \AA$ for $\mathrm{Ru}^{4+}$ [18] and $1.888 \AA$ for $\mathrm{Ru}^{5+}$ [19] with the commonly taken b value, $\mathrm{b}=0.37 \AA$ [20] were used. The crystal structures of the four compounds $\mathrm{Ba}_{5} \mathrm{Ru}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ (1), $\mathrm{Ba}_{6} \mathrm{Ru}_{3} \mathrm{Br}_{1.54}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)_{0.23} \mathrm{O}_{12}$ (2), $\mathrm{Ba}_{7} \mathrm{Ru}_{4} \mathrm{Br}_{1.46}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)_{0.27} \mathrm{O}_{15}$ (3) and $\mathrm{Ba}_{8} \mathrm{Ru}_{5} \mathrm{Br}_{1.64}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)_{0.18} \mathrm{O}_{18}$ (4) can be described as an intergrowth of $\left[\mathrm{Ba}_{\mathrm{n}+1} \mathrm{Ru}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{O}_{3 \mathrm{n}+3}\right]^{2-}$ anionic hexagonal perovskite slabs and $\left[\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2-2 \mathrm{x}}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)_{\mathrm{x}}\right]^{2+}$ double layers and thus can be formulated $\left[\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2-2 \mathrm{x}}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)_{\mathrm{x}}\right]\left[\mathrm{Ba}_{\mathrm{n}+1} \mathrm{Ru}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{O}_{3 \mathrm{n}+3}\right]$ with $\mathrm{n}=2,3,4,5$ for $\mathbf{1 , 2 , 3}, \mathbf{4}$, respectively.

### 3.1 The stacking sequences

The four structures can be described from the stacking along the c axis of $\left[\mathrm{BaO}_{3}\right]$ and $[\mathrm{BaBr}]$ layers in various sequences. In [ BaBr$]$ layers, the barium atoms occupy the same positions as in the corresponding $\left[\mathrm{BaO}_{3}\right]$ layers but the oxygen atoms are removed. So in the stacking sequence they are noted with a ' to indicate the deficient $[\mathrm{BaBr}]$ layers. The four compounds differ by the number $(\mathrm{n}+1)$ of successive $\left[\mathrm{BaO}_{3}\right]$ layers, $\mathrm{n}=2,3,4,5$ for compounds $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}$, respectively, resulting in the formation of $\left[\mathrm{BaO}_{3}\right]_{\mathrm{n}+1}$ slabs stacked in the $\left[\begin{array}{lll}0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right]$ direction and separated by two [ BaBr$]$ layers. For the two first terms the slabs contain only two types of $\left[\mathrm{BaO}_{3}\right]$ layers leading to ( $h h h$ ) and (hhhh) sequences. For the two other terms, one or two cubic close-
packed $c$ layers are introduced at the middle of the (hhhh) sequence to give (hhchh) and (hhcchh) sequences. In the four compounds the $[\mathrm{BaBr}]$ layers correspond to a ( $h^{\prime} h^{\prime}$ ) slab, so the complete layers sequences of both $\left[\mathrm{BaO}_{3}\right]$ and $[\mathrm{BaBr}]$ layers are $\left(h h h h^{\prime} h^{\prime}\right)_{2}$ for $\mathrm{n}=2$, (hhhhh'h') for $\mathrm{n}=3$, leading to hexagonal lattices ( 10 H and 6 H sequences respectively) and ( $\left.h h c h h h^{\prime} h{ }^{\prime}\right)_{3}$ for $\mathrm{n}=4$ and ( $\left.h h c c h h h^{\prime} h{ }^{\prime}\right)_{3}$ for $\mathrm{n}=5$ with rhombohedral lattice (21R and 24R sequences, respectively).

### 3.2 The $\mathrm{RuO}_{6}$ octahedral arrangement

The ruthenium atoms occupy the n octahedral holes created by the $\left[\mathrm{BaO}_{3}\right]$ layers leading to $\left[\mathrm{Ba}_{\mathrm{n}+1} \mathrm{Ru}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{O}_{3 \mathrm{n}+1}\right]$ slabs. For $\mathrm{n}=2,4$ and $5, \mathrm{Ru}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ dimers of face-shared octahedra (FSO) are formed, they are isolated for $\mathrm{n}=2$, connected by corner sharing for $\mathrm{n}=4$ and through isolated $\mathrm{RuO}_{6}$ octahedra for $\mathrm{n}=5$. Finally, for $\mathrm{n}=3$, isolated $\mathrm{Ru}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{12}$ columns of three face-shared octahedra are formed. The four slabs can be deduced from the three polytypes of $\mathrm{BaRuO}_{3}$ by separating the blocks on both sides from a shear plane which is a $c$ layer (Figure 2) and, of course, by adding a $\left[\mathrm{BaO}_{3}\right]$ layer to border one of the two blocks giving a sheet $\left[\mathrm{Ba}_{n+1} \mathrm{Ru}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{O}_{3 \mathrm{n}+1}\right]$. For $\mathrm{n}=2$ and 4 , the slabs are deduced from the same 4 H polytype but with a single and a double stage separation respectively. For $n=3$, the slabs are deduced from the 9 R polytype. Finally, the $n=5$ slabs are obtained from the 6 H polytype by withdrawing one layer of isolated $\mathrm{RuO}_{6}$ octahedra out of two. The $\mathrm{n}=1$ slab could result from the supposed 3C polytype but is unexpected because it would be made only of isolated octahedra. The insertion between the perovskite-type blocks of cationic $\left[\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2-2 \mathrm{x}}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)_{\mathrm{x}}\right]^{2+}$ layers leads to $2+$ charge of the perovskite-type blocks that implies an oxidation of the ruthenium atom to the mean degree $(4+2 / \mathrm{n})$. Thus the transformation from $\mathrm{BaRuO}_{3}$ to the oxyhalides compounds can be called oxidative intercalation. The same type of
oxidative intercalation of $\left[\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{2}\right]$ layers has been recently reported to explain the transformation of $\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{Co}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{14}[\mathbf{2 1}, \mathbf{2 2}]$ in the oxyhalides $\left[\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{2}\right] \mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{Co}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{14}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}[\mathbf{2 3}]$.

Dimers of face shared octahedra (FSO) are very common in ruthenium compounds. If the ruthenium atoms were at the center of the neighboring FSO, the $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{Ru}$ distance, which corresponds to the average thickness of close-packed layers, should be $2.37 \AA$. The repulsion between Ru atoms which would lead to the destabilization of the structure can be prevented by the formation of a $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{Ru}$ metal bond as observed in $\mathrm{Ru}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ dimers containing $\mathrm{Ru}^{4+}$ or Ru at the mean oxidation degree (MOD) of +4.5 with $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{Ru}$ distances smaller than in the metal itself $(2.65 \AA)$. For example the $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{Ru}$ distance is $2.537 \AA$ in $4 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{BaRuO}_{3}$ [24], 2.481 to $2.515 \AA$ in $6 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ba}_{3} M^{4+} \mathrm{Ru}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9}, M=\mathrm{Ce}, \mathrm{Pr}, \mathrm{Tb}$ [25] and Ti [26], and 2.517 to $2.563 \AA$ in $\mathrm{Ba}_{3} M^{3+} \mathrm{Ru}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ compounds, $M=\mathrm{Y}$ [27, 28], In [27, 29], La, Nd, Sm - Tb, Ho, Er, Yb, Lu [27, 28, 30, 31]. The $\mathrm{Ru}^{5+}$ atom with low spin configuration $\mathrm{d}^{3}$ is more favorable than the $\mathrm{Ru}^{4+}$ atom with low spin configuration $\mathrm{d}^{4}$ to the formation of $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{Ru}$ bond. However in the $\mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{M}^{2+} \mathrm{Ru}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ compounds, $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Zn}[\mathbf{2 9}, \mathbf{3 2}], \mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Sr}, \mathrm{Cd}[\mathbf{3 3 - 3 5}]$, the $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{Ru}$ distances are in the range from 2.652 to $2.701 \AA$ that indicates that the $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{Ru}$ metal bond no longer exists. In $\mathrm{Ba}_{5} \mathrm{Ru}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{Br}_{2}$, the $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{Ru}$ distance is even longer ( $2.780(3) \AA$ ) and comparable to that observed in the corresponding oxychloride compound (2.819(4) $\AA$ ). The $\mathrm{Ru}^{5+}-\mathrm{Ru}^{5+}$ repulsion is reduced by the shortening of the $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ edge of the common face to $2.56(2) \AA$. The $\left[\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{Ru}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9}\right]$ oxyhalides can be deduced from the $\mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{M}^{2+} \mathrm{Ru}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ oxides by the replacement of $\mathrm{M}^{2+}$ cations by $\left[\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{2}\right]^{2+}$ layers between $\left[\mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{Ru}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9}\right]^{2-}$ slabs that leads to a greater distance between the slabs allowing a lengthening of the intradimer Ru - Ru distance. Finally, the change of the nature of the cationic species between the $\left[\mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{Ru}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9}\right]$ slabs, monovalent-, divalent-, trivalent-ions or $\left[\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{2}\right]^{2+}$ or $\left[\mathrm{Ba}_{2}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\right]^{2+}$ layers $[36,37]$, provides access to the $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{Ru}$ distances which cover all the range between the $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{Ru}$ distances reported in $\mathrm{Ru}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{10}$ dimers of edge-shared octahedra with strong
metal - metal bonding in $\mathrm{La}_{4} \mathrm{Ru}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{19}(2.488 \AA)$ [38] and no metal - metal bonding in $\mathrm{La}_{3} \mathrm{Ru}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{11}$ (2.990 Å) [39].

In 3 and $\mathbf{4}$ that contain ruthenium at the MOD +4.5 and +4.4 respectively, the $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{Ru}$ distances within the dimers indicate clearly that there is no metal - metal bond. Furthermore the distances are slightly lower to that calculated in $\mathbf{1}$, in agreement with the lower MOD of ruthenium and consequently weaker repulsion. In addition, whereas the average $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{O}$ distances do not allow to propose a charge distribution on the ruthenium atoms, the bond valence sums (BVS) indicate a tendency showing that ruthenium ions are mainly $\mathrm{Ru}^{4+}$ at the $\mathrm{Ru}(2)$ site and $\mathrm{Ru}^{5+}$ at the $\mathrm{Ru}(1)$ site in $\mathbf{3}$. On the contrary, in $\mathbf{4}$, the greater $\mathrm{Ru}(3)-\mathrm{O}$ bonds and the smaller BVS value reveals the presence of $\mathrm{Ru}^{4+}$ in the $\mathrm{Ru}(3) \mathrm{O}_{6}$ octahedra connecting the dimers, thus the charge repartition within the dimers is rather similar in 3 and 4.

Finally in 2, the $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{Ru}$ distance within the trimer FSO is similar to that calculated for the corresponding chlorine compound and greater than in $9 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{BaRuO}_{3}$ [2], in agreement with the increase of MOD. Both the average Ru - O distances and the BVS do not permit to determine unambiguously the charge repartition in the three sites although the most probable distribution is $\mathrm{Ru}^{5+}-\mathrm{Ru}^{4+}-\mathrm{Ru}^{5+}$ as in $\mathrm{Ba}_{5} \mathrm{Ru}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{12}$ [19].

### 3.3 The $\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ disorder in the $\left[\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{2}\right]^{2+}$ layers

In the ideal structure, the Br atoms occupy all the tetrahedral sites created between two [Ba] layers leading to a fluorite type sheet. However the $\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ atoms that border the perovskite slab belong to the coordination polyhedra of the Br atom better described as a trigonal bipyramid. In the actual structure, the C atom of a carbonate anion is located above or below the octahedral site between the [Ba] layers, the oxygen atoms being directed towards the Br atoms that should
be removed. So, three Br atoms are replaced by one carbonate anion leading to a slightly lower MOD of the ruthenium atoms or to the formation of clusters of carbonate ions. In fact, one can also suppose the existence of $\left[\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]$ layers and $\left[\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right]$ layers (Figure 3a) identical to those met in $\mathrm{BaCO}_{3}$. In the mineral witherite [40], with aragonite-type structure, the carbonate anions are displaced from the octahedral site to a tetrahedral one within a hexagonal close-packing of [Ba] layers (Figure 3b). In $\mathrm{BaCO}_{3}$-II [41], the carbonate ions are disordered on both sides of the octahedral sites (Figure 3c) as in the currently described compounds. The presence of carbonate ions has been reported in many compounds with perovskite-related structures as, for examples, in high-Tc superconductors [42-45], in Ruddlesden-Popper materials such as $\mathrm{Sr}_{4} \mathrm{Fe}_{2-\mathrm{x}} M_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $M=\mathrm{Sc}$, Ni, Co) [46] where they substitute layers of metal octahedra. In 6H$\mathrm{Ba}_{3}\left(\mathrm{Ru}_{1.69} \mathrm{C}_{0.31}\right)\left(\mathrm{Na}_{0.95} \mathrm{Ru}_{0.05}\right) \mathrm{O}_{8.69}$ [47], part of the $\mathrm{Ru}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ dimeric units of the parent compound $\mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{Ru}_{2} \mathrm{NaO}_{9}$ [48], are replaced by one $\mathrm{RuO}_{5}$ and one $\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ group. Finally in $\mathrm{Ba}_{3} \mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)_{0.60}$, carbonate ions and Ba atoms form $\left[\mathrm{Ba}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)_{0.60}\right]$ rods, related to the $\mathrm{BaCO}_{3}$ aragonite-type structure, intergrowth with $2 \mathrm{H}-\left[\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right]$ columns [49].

## Conclusion

Single crystals of the terms $n=2,3,4$ and 5 of the series $\left[\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2-2 x}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)_{\mathrm{x}}\right]\left[\mathrm{Ba}_{\mathrm{n}+1} \mathrm{Ru}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{O}_{3 \mathrm{n}+3}\right]$ have been isolated. For $n=2$ and 3, the $\left[\mathrm{Ba}_{n+1} \mathrm{Ru}_{n} \mathrm{O}_{3 n+3}\right]^{2-}$ slabs contain respectively $\mathrm{Ru}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ and $\mathrm{Ru}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{12}$ entities resulting from face-shared octahedra, and giving one-dimensional ruthenium octahedra arrangement. For $n=4$ and 5 , the $\left[\mathrm{Ba}_{n+1} \mathrm{Ru}_{n} \mathrm{O}_{3 n+3}\right]^{2-}$ slabs contain also $\mathrm{Ru}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ dimers of face-shared octahedral further connected directly or by layers of isolated $\mathrm{RuO}_{6}$ octahedra giving two-dimensional arrangements. The mean oxidation state of Ru decreases from +5 for $\mathrm{n}=2$ to
+4.4 for $n=5$. One interesting point of this series is to show the possibility of $\left[\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right]$ layers between perovskite-type blocks that opens a new way of synthesis of interesting oxycarbonates.
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Table 1: Crystal data, intensity collection and structure refinement parameters for $\mathrm{Ba}_{5} \mathrm{Ru}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9}$
(1), $\mathrm{Ba}_{6} \mathrm{Ru}_{3} \mathrm{Br}_{1.54}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)_{0.23} \mathrm{O}_{12}$ (2), $\mathrm{Ba}_{7} \mathrm{Ru}_{4} \mathrm{Br}_{1.46}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)_{0.27} \mathrm{O}_{15}(\mathbf{3})$ and $\mathrm{Ba}_{8} \mathrm{Ru}_{5} \mathrm{Br}_{1.64}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)_{0.18} \mathrm{O}_{18}(\mathbf{4})$

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crystallographic data |  |  |  |  |
| Formula weight (g.mol ${ }^{-1}$ ) | 1192.7 | 1456.2 | 1738.6 | 2035.1 |
| Crystal system | Hexagonal | Trigonal | Trigonal | Trigonal |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P6}_{3} / \mathrm{mmc}$ | P-3m1 | R-3m | R-3m |
| Unit cell dimensions ( A ) | $\mathrm{a}=5.8344$ (2) | $\mathrm{a}=5.8381$ (1) | $\mathrm{a}=5.7992(1)$ | $\mathrm{a}=5.7900(1)$ |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=25.637(2)$ | $\mathrm{c}=15.3083(6)$ | $\mathrm{c}=52.866$ (2) | $\mathrm{c}=59.819(2)$ |
| Cell volume ( $\AA^{3}$ ) | 755.77(7) | 451.86(2) | 1539.73(7) | 1736.71(7) |
| Z | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Density calculated (g.cm ${ }^{-3}$ ) | 5.24 | 5.35 | 5.62 | 5.88 |
| F(000) | 1020 | 625 | 2241 | 2625 |
| Crystal size | $120 \times 60 \times 20$ | $130 \times 70 \times 20$ | $140 \times 90 \times 30$ | $150 \times 90 \times 30$ |
| Intensity collection |  |  |  |  |
| Wavelength ( A ) | 0.71073 | 0.71073 | 0.71073 | 0.71073 |
| 2 Theta range (deg) | 3.18-84.64 | 2.66-87.26 | 4.62-96.50 | 2.04-82.20 |
| Index range | $-11 \leq h \leq 9$ | $-10 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 11$ | $-7 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 11$ | $-10 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 10$ |
|  | $-9 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 11$ | $-10 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 11$ | $-12 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 8$ | $-10 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 10$ |
|  | $-48 \leq 1 \leq 43$ | $-23 \leq 1 \leq 29$ | $-73 \leq 1 \leq 106$ | $-109 \leq h \leq 95$ |
| Reflections collected | 21589 | 14011 | 13472 | 18600 |
| Reflections observed | 13719 | 9608 | 10510 | 11766 |
| Criterion for observation | $\mathrm{I}>3 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ | $\mathrm{I}>3 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ | $\mathrm{I}>3 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ | $\mathrm{I}>3 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}$ before abs. corr. | 0.2696 | 0.1898 | 0.1732 | 0.1667 |
| Absortion correction | SADABS | SADABS | SADABS | SADABS |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\text {min }} / \mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$ | 0.51 | 0.68 | 0.62 | 0.63 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}$ after abs. corr. | 0.0720 | 0.0607 | 0.0352 | 0.0597 |
| Redundancy | 19.97 | 10.12 | 6.70 | 12.10 |
| $\mu(\mathrm{MoK} \alpha)\left(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right)$ | 20.065 | 18.731 | 18.940 | 19.453 |
| Refinement |  |  |  |  |
| Data/restrains/parameters | 1074 / 0 / 20 | 1385 / 1 / 42 | 2010 / 1 / 50 | 1537 / 1 / 54 |
| Weighting scheme Ideal model | Unit | Unit | Unit | Unit |
| Final R indices (R, wR) obs | $0.1221 / 0.1198$ | 0.0736 / 0.0809 | $0.0479 / 0.0541$ | $0.0554 / 0.0603$ |
| Final R indices (R, wR) all | 0.1472 / 0.1309 | 0.0885 / 0.1052 | $0.0604 / 0.0602$ | 0.0772 / 0.0900 |
| Max, min $\Delta \rho\left(\mathrm{e}-\AA^{3}\right)$ | 18.99 / -19.30 | 23.23 / -6.96 | 15.05 / -8.40 | 9.47 / -11.88 |
| Split-atom model without $\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Final R indices ( $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{wR}$ ) obs | / | $0.0625 / 0.0716$ | $0.0373 / 0.0448$ | $0.0488 / 0.0538$ |
| Final R indices ( $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{wR}$ ) all | / | $0.0766 / 0.0979$ | $0.0500 / 0.0517$ | $0.0703 / 0.0854$ |
| Max, min $\Delta \rho\left(\mathrm{e}-\AA^{3}{ }^{\text {a }}\right.$ | 1 | $8.71 /-7.77$ | 7.59 / -6.14 | 7.54 / -9.81 |
| Split-atom model with $\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Final R indices (R, wR) obs | / | 0.0540 / 0.0619 | 0.0298 / 0.0354 | $0.0457 / 0.0492$ |
| Final R indices ( $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{wR}$ ) all | 1 | 0.0688 / 0.0942 | $0.0423 / 0.0435$ | 0.0670 / 0.0841 |
| Final R indices ( $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{wR}$ ) mem | 1 | $0.0628 / 0.0430$ | $0.0260 / 0.0128$ | 0.0462 / 0.0326 |
| Max, min $\Delta \rho\left(\mathrm{e}-\AA^{3}\right)$ | 1 | 6.72 / -3.97 | 5.63/-2.81 | 7.05 / -9.10 |
| Extinction coefficient | 0 | 0 | 0.070(4) | 0 |

Table 2: Atomic coordinates and isotropic displacement parameters for the average structure of $\mathbf{3}$ corresponding to $\mathrm{Ba}_{7} \mathrm{Ru}_{4} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{15}$. Anisotropic displacement parameters

| Atom | Wyck. | Occ. | x |  | $\mathbf{y} \quad \mathrm{z}$ | z | $\mathbf{U}_{\text {iso }}$ or $\mathbf{U}_{\text {eq }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | $6 c$ | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0.20567(2) | 0.0236(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | $6 c$ | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0.91766(1) | 0.0117(1) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(3)$ | $6 c$ | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0.28692(1) | 0.0085(1) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(4)$ | $3 a$ | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0.0105(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(1)$ | 6 c | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0.59518(2) | 0.0067(1) |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(2)$ | 6 c | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | $0.64672(1)$ | 0.0054(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $18 h$ | 1 |  | 49(5) | -0.4949(5) 0 | $0.08902(9)$ | 0.012(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $18 h$ | 1 |  | 90(5) | -0.8190(5) 0 | 0.95596(8) | 0.0086(9) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $9 e$ | 1 | 1/2 |  | 0 0 | 0 | 0.010(1) |
| $\operatorname{Br}(1)$ | $6 c$ | 1 | 0 |  | $0 \quad 0$ | 0.14228(5) | 0.061(1) |
| Atom | $U_{11}$ |  | $U_{22}$ | $U_{33}$ | $U_{12}$ | $U_{13}$ | $\boldsymbol{U}_{23}$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 0.0293(3) |  | 0.0293(3) | 0.0121(3) | ) 0.0147(1) | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 0.0092(1) |  | 0.0092(1) | 0.0167(3) | 0.00462(7) | ) 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(3)$ | 0.0079(1) |  | 0.0079(1) | 0.0099(2) | ) 0.00393(6) | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(4)$ | 0.0079(2) |  | 0.0079(2) | 0.0157(3) | 0.00393(9) | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(1)$ | 0.0056(1) |  | 0.0056(1) | 0.0089(3) | 0.00278(7) | () | 0 |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(2)$ | 0.0049(1) |  | 0.0049(1) | 0.0066(2) | ) 0.00244(7) | ) | 0 |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 0.014(1) |  | 0.014(1) | 0.011(1) | 0.010(1) | -0.0027(6) | 0.0027(6) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 0.009(1) |  | 0.009(1) | 0.009(1) | 0.005(1) | $0.0005(6)$ | -0.0005(6) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 0.011(2) |  | 0.006(2) | 0.012(2) | 0.003(1) | 0.0007(8) | 0.001(2) |
| $\operatorname{Br}(1)$ | 0.081(2) |  | 0.081(2) | 0.0210(9) | ) 0.0408(8) | 0 | 0 |

Table 3: Atomic coordinates and isotropic displacement parameters for the average structure of $\mathrm{Ba}_{5} \mathrm{Ru}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9}(\mathbf{1})$. Anisotropic displacement parameters for the non-oxygen atoms

| Atom | Wyck. | Occ. | x |  | y |  | z | $\mathrm{U}_{\text {iso }}$ or $\mathrm{U}_{\text {eq }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | $4 f$ | 1 | 1/3 |  | 2/3 |  | 0.91916(1) | 0.0393(9) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | $4 f$ | 1 | 1/3 |  | 2/3 |  | 0.17207(8) | 0.0140(4) |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(3)$ | $2 d$ | 1 | 1/3 |  | 2/3 |  | 3/4 | $0.0116(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(1)$ | $4 e$ | 1 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0.19579(9) | 0.0096(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $12 k$ | 1 |  | 4(2) | 0.328(3) |  | 0.8424(5) | 0.017(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $6 h$ | 1 |  | (1) | 0.292 (3) |  | 1/4 | 0.002(2) |
| $\operatorname{Br}(1)$ | $4 f$ | 1 | 1/3 |  | 2/3 |  | 0.4520(3) | 0.094(4) |
| Atom | $U_{11}$ |  | $U_{22}$ | $U_{33}$ |  | $U_{12}$ | $U_{13}$ | $U_{23}$ |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(1)$ | 0.049(1) |  | 0.049(1) | 0.0189(9) |  | 0.0247(6) | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ | 0.0117(4) |  | $0.0117(4)$ | 0.0185(7) |  | 0.0059(2) | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(3)$ | 0.0081(5) |  | $0.0081(5)$ | 0.0187(9) |  | 0.0041(3) | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(1)$ | 0.0075(5) |  | $0.0075(5)$ | 0.0138(8) |  | 0.0038(2) | ) | 0 |
| $\operatorname{Br}(1)$ | 0.126(6) |  | 0.126(6) | 0.028(3) |  | 0.063(3) | 0 | 0 |

Table 4: Atomic coordinates and isotropic displacement parameters for $\mathrm{Ba}_{6} \mathrm{Ru}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{Br}_{1.54}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)_{0.23}$
(2). Anisotropic displacement parameters for the non-carbonate atoms


Table 5: Atomic coordinates and isotropic displacement parameters for $\mathrm{Ba}_{7} \mathrm{Ru}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{15} \mathrm{Br}_{1.46}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)_{0.27}$
(3). Anisotropic displacement parameters for the non-carbonate atoms


Table 6: Atomic coordinates and isotropic displacement parameters for $\mathrm{Ba}_{8} \mathrm{Ru}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{18} \mathrm{Br}_{1.66}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)_{0.17}$
(4). Anisotropic displacement parameters for the non-carbonate atoms


Table 7: Ruthenium - oxygen and ruthenium - ruthenium distances ( $\AA$ ) in $\mathrm{Ba}_{5} \mathrm{Ru}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9}(\mathbf{1})$, $\mathrm{Ba}_{6} \mathrm{Ru}_{3} \mathrm{Br}_{1.54}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)_{0.23} \mathrm{O}_{12}$ (2), $\mathrm{Ba}_{7} \mathrm{Ru}_{4} \mathrm{Br}_{1.46}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)_{0.27} \mathrm{O}_{15}$ (3) and $\mathrm{Ba}_{8} \mathrm{Ru}_{5} \mathrm{Br}_{1.64}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)_{0.18} \mathrm{O}_{18}$ (4)

|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{d}(\AA)$ | $\mathbf{d}(\AA)$ | $\mathbf{d}(\AA)$ | $\mathbf{d}(\AA)$ |
| $\operatorname{Ru}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $1.92(1) \times 3$ | $1.870(7) \times 3$ | $1.864(3) \times 3$ | $1.862(9) \times 3$ |
| $\operatorname{Ru}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $2.02(1) \times 3$ | $2.125(7) \times 3$ | $2.110(3) \times 3$ | $2.105(6) \times 3$ |
| $\operatorname{Ru}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $/$ | $1.980(7) \times 6$ | $1.991(3) \times 3$ | $2.012(6) \times 3$ |
| $\operatorname{Ru}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $/$ | $/$ | $1.9785(3) \times 3$ | $1.945(7) \times 3$ |
| $\operatorname{Ru}(3)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $/$ | $/$ | $/$ | $2.046(7) \times 6$ |
| $\operatorname{Ru}(1)-\operatorname{Ru}(2)$ | $2.780(3)$ | $2.647(1)$ | $2.7252(7)$ | $2.753(3)$ |

## Figures captions

Figure 1: Electron Density representation calculated using the Maximum Entropy Method for compound 3: (a) 2D and 3D view of $\operatorname{Br}(1)$ atom, (b) 2D and 3D view of $\mathrm{Ba}(2)$ atom and (c) 2 D contour view of the carbonate ion

Figure 2: Projection along [010] of (a) $4 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{BaRuO}_{3}$, (b) $\mathrm{Ba}_{5} \mathrm{Ru}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{n}=2$, (c) $9 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{BaRuO}_{3}$, (d) $\mathrm{Ba}_{6} \mathrm{Ru}_{3} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{n}=3$, (e) $4 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{BaRuO}_{3}$, (f) $\mathrm{Ba}_{7} \mathrm{Ru}_{4} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{15} \mathrm{n}=4$, (g) $6 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{BaRuO}_{3}$ and (h) $\mathrm{Ba}_{8} \mathrm{Ru}_{5} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{18} \mathrm{n}=5$. The four ideal structures of the series $\left[\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{Ba}_{\mathrm{n}+1} \mathrm{Ru}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{O}_{3 \mathrm{n}+3}\right]$ can be deduced from the three polytypes of $\mathrm{BaRuO}_{3}$ by separating the blocks on both sides from a shear plane which is a $c$ layer and by intercalation of $\left[\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]$ double layers.

Figure 3: View along [001] and [100] of the $\left[\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right]$ sheets in (a) $\mathrm{Ba}_{6} \mathrm{Ru}_{3} \mathrm{Br}_{1.54}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)_{0.23} \mathrm{O}_{12}$ with disorder of $\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ groups, (b) witherite- $\mathrm{BaCO}_{3}$ with aragonite-type structure and (c) $\mathrm{BaCO}_{3}$-II
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