

Subcellular and body distributions of 17 trace elements in the variegated scallop Chlamys varia from the French coast of the Bay of Biscay

Paco Bustamante, Pierre Miramand

► To cite this version:

Paco Bustamante, Pierre Miramand. Subcellular and body distributions of 17 trace elements in the variegated scallop Chlamys varia from the French coast of the Bay of Biscay. Science of the Total Environment, 2005, 337 (1-3), pp.59-73. 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2004.07.004. hal-00186645

HAL Id: hal-00186645 https://hal.science/hal-00186645v1

Submitted on 10 Nov 2007 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Subcellular and body distributions of 17 trace elements in the variegated scallop *Chlamys varia* from the French coast of the Bay of Biscay

Paco Bustamante*, Pierre Miramand

Laboratoire de Biologie et Environnement Marins, FRE 2727 du CNRS, Université de La

Rochelle, 22, Avenue Michel Crépeau, F-17042 La Rochelle, France

*Corresponding author: Dr. Paco Bustamante Laboratoire de Biologie et Environnement Marins, FRE 2727 du CNRS Université de La Rochelle 22, Avenue Michel Crépeau 17042 La Rochelle France

> Tel.: (+33) 546 500 294 Fax: (+33) 546 500 294 e-mail: <u>pbustama@univ-lr.fr</u>

* Corresponding author. Tel/Fax:+33 546 500 294; e-mail: pbustama@univ-lr.fr

ABSTRACT: Seventeen elements were analysed in the organs and tissues of the variegated scallop Chlamys varia, from the Atlantic coast of France. Concentration levels were determined in scallops of different sizes sampled in contaminated (La Rochelle Bay) and clean (Ré Island) sites. Greater concentrations of Ag, Al, Ce, Cr, La, Mo, Nd, Ti, and V were found in the digestive gland while As, Cd, Co, Cu, Mn, Ni, Pb and Zn were the highest in the kidneys. In the digestive gland, most of the metals were found in the insoluble fraction while As, Co, Cd, Mo, Ni, and V appeared to be mostly bound to soluble compounds. Among tissues, the adductor muscle always displayed the lowest trace element concentrations. According to size, Ag and Cd showed significantly higher concentrations in larger individuals, while Co and Zn were higher in the smallest ones. According to the sampling area, most of the metals, Ag, Al, Ce, Co, Cu, La, Mn, Nd, Pb and Zn, showed significantly higher concentrations in La Rochelle Bay compared to the Ré Island, reflecting differing inputs from industrial, domestic and harbour activities. However, Cr, Mo, Ni, Ti and V concentrations did not display significant differences between sites and As and Cd were significantly higher at the Ré Island. This study highlighted the ability of the variegated scallop C. varia to concentrate numerous trace elements to high levels, even those reported as poorly bioavailable for marine biota, such as rare earth elements.

Key words: Heavy metals; Trace elements; Rare earth elements; Bioaccumulation; Subcellular distribution

INTRODUCTION

Among filter feeders, scallops have been reported to be useful biomonitors to survey marine pollution. Indeed, numerous studies have demonstrated their ability to concentrate trace elements,

even in areas far from anthropogenic sources such as the Antarctic Ocean (e.g. Mauri et al. 1990, Berkman & Nigro 1992, Viarengo et al. 1993), with seasonal variations in the concentrations at various stages of their lives (Bryan 1973). However, the distribution of trace elements between body tissues has been rarely considered. Furthermore, earlier studies of elemental analysis in scallops have focused only on a few heavy metals. Among them, Cd has received particular attention since it was first detected by a spectrographic method in *Pecten maximus* by Fox and Ramage (1931). The strong capacity of scallops to bioaccumulate numerous trace elements at high concentrations in their tissues is related to their very efficient detoxification systems involving lysosomal compartmentalisation, coprecipitation on phosphate granules and binding to soluble proteins such as metallothioneins (George et al. 1980, Ballan-Dufrançais et al. 1985, Stone et al. 1986, Fowler & Gould 1988, Mauri et al. 1990, Viarengo et al. 1993). These detoxification processes seem to be particularly efficient in the digestive gland and the kidneys of Pectinidae. Therefore, such tissues have been proposed as valuable monitors of the availability of stable trace metals in the sea (Bryan 1973).

The aim of this study was to provide information on the concentrations, and tissue and subcellular distributions of 17 trace elements in the tissues and organs of the variegated scallop *Chlamys varia* from the Bay of Biscay. *C. varia* is a common seashell on the rocky shore of the French Atlantic coasts, altough rare in the English Channel. It is encountered in important shoals in Brittany and in Charente-Maritime where this species is targeted by a commercial fishery as well as for leisure activities (Letaconnoux & Audouin 1956, Quéro & Vayne 1998). Comparisons have been made between scallops from an area subjected to anthropogenic inputs (industrial, domestic and harbour) i.e. La Rochelle Bay, and from a clean site close to a commercially exploited scallop bed, i.e. Ré Island. At each site, elemental concentrations, distribution among tissues and organs, and

size-related changes variations in concentrations were examined. Moreover, the partitioning of trace elements between soluble and insoluble subcellular fractions of the digestive gland was investigated as this organ has been reported to concentrate numerous trace elements to high levels of in Pectinidae.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Sampling and sample preparation

For this study, 130 specimens were collected by hand in La Rochelle Bay (n = 90) and in Saint-Martin en Ré (n = 40) in Ré Island in February 1996 (Figure 1). Scallops were pooled into size classes of ten individuals according to the height of the shell and were depurated for 48 hours in clean seawater to eliminate faecal and pseudo-faecal material. The size of scallops from Ré Island ranges from 32 ± 1 mm (3 pools of 10 individuals) to 44 ± 2 mm (10 pooled individuals), and those from La Rochelle Bay from 33 ± 1 mm to 45 ± 2 mm (9 pools of 10 individuals). The low number of large scallops in Ré Island was due to the fishing pressure in this area where the biggest individuals (> 40 mm) are targeted for harvesting, while any kind of shellfishing is forbidden in the La Rochelle Bay sampling site because of possible bacterial contamination.

For each scallop, adductor muscle, gonad, gills, kidneys, and digestive gland were separated from the rest of the soft parts, and pooled for each defined size class. The remaining tissues including the mantle, the foot, the intestine and the heart, were also analysed in order to calculate the whole trace element content of the soft parts.

Subcellular fractionation

To investigate the partitioning of trace elements between soluble and insoluble subcellular fractions of the digestive gland, 40 scallops (size = 45 ± 2 mm) were collected in winter 1996 in La Rochelle Bay and were depurated as described above.

Four pools of 10 individuals were made with the digestive glands removed from the soft parts of the 40 scallops. They were subsequently homogenised with a mortar and pestle on ice with 10 volumes of TRIS-HCl buffer 0.02 Μ sucrose 0.25 Μ with 1 mM **PMSF** (phenylmethylsulfonylfluoride, as protease inhibitor) and 5 mM DTT (dithiothreitol, as reducing agent), at pH 8.6. The homogenates were centrifuged at 100 000 G for 1 h at 4°C in a Beckman LE-70 ultracentrifuge. Particle-free supernatants (cytosols) were separated from the pellet. Aliquots of the homogenates, cytosols and pellets were analysed for trace elements.

Trace element analyses

Tissue samples were dried for several days at 80°C to constant weight. Whenever it was possible, two aliquots of approx. 300 mg of each homogenised dry sample were digested with 4 ml of 65% ultrapure HNO₃ and 0.3 ml of ultrapure 70 % HClO₄ at 80°C until the solution was clear. Then acids were evaporated and residues were dissolved in 0.3N ultrapure nitric acid.

Cadmium, copper and zinc were determined by atomic absorption spectrophotometry. Other elements (Ag, Al, As, Ce, Co, Cr, La, Mn, Mo, Nd, Ni, Pb, Ti and V) were analysed by ICP-MS. Appliances used for trace element determination were a Varian spectrophotometer Vectra 250 Plus with deuterium background correction and a Varian ICP-MS Ultra Mass 700.

Reference tissues, dogfish liver DOLT-2 (NRCC), dogfish muscle DORM-2 (NRCC), lobster hepatopancreas TORT-2 (NRCC), and Bush Branches and Leaves (GBW 07602) were treated and

analysed in the same way as the samples. The results for standard reference materials displayed recoveries of the elements ranging from 86 % to 112 %. The detection limits were (μ g.g⁻¹ dry wt): 0.002 (Ag), 0.005 (Al), 0.15 (As), 0.005 (Cd), 0.017 (Ce), 0.005 (Co), 0.005 (Cr), 0.027 (Cu), 0.017 (La), 0.017 (Mn), 0.017 (Mo), 0.017 (Nd), 0.002 (Pb), 0.002 (Ni), 0.033 (Ti), 0.005 (V) and 0.15 (Zn).

Data analyses

Trace element concentrations in tissues are given on a dry weight basis ($\mu g.g^{-1}$ dry wt) while the distribution percentages were calculated based on wet weight. Comparison of metal concentrations between sites and between sizes were tested by the non-parametric Kruskall-Wallis test using MINITAB 13.1 Software. Changes in trace element distribution among scallop tissues were tested for significance by the G procedure for multiple comparisons (Zar 1996). The significance level for statistical analyses was always set at $\alpha = 0.05$.

RESULTS

Elemental concentrations and tissular distribution

Trace element concentrations in the tissues and organs of variegated scallops *Chlamys varia* from the French coast of the Bay of Biscay are reported in Table 1. The digestive gland contained the highest concentrations of Ag, Al, Ce, Cr, La, Mo, Nd, Ti, and V. Secondly, kidneys exhibited the highest concentrations of As, Cd, Co, Cu, Mn, Ni, Pb and Zn. The adductor muscle of *C. varia* always displayed the lowest trace element concentrations. In this tissue, concentrations were remarkably low for non-essential elements such as Ag, Cd, Pb or rare earth elements and also for most of the essential ones, especially Co, Cu and Mo (Table 1). Compared to these low muscle

concentrations, the gills and gonads of *C. varia* displayed generally relatively high concentrations of trace elements.

The distribution and relative body burdens of elements in *C. varia* have been calculated on the basis of the fresh tissue weights (Table 2). Among tissues, the digestive gland of *C. varia* accounted for only about 12% of the soft part weight but the percentage body burdens of Ag, Al, Cd, Ce, Co, Cr, Cu, Mo, Nd, Ni, and V were high in this tissue (Table 2). It is also remarkable that despite their very low percentage of the soft part weight (less than 2 %), kidneys displayed the highest proportions of Mn and Zn (up to 80%) and also high burdens of Pb (up to 40%). The proportions of trace elements accumulated in these organs clearly indicate their detoxification functions. Body burdens of all elements in gills and gonads were generally low (i.e. < 10%), and these organs represented a small fraction of the soft part weight.

The remaining tissues (mantle, foot, intestine and heart) contained large amounts of Ag, Al, As, Ce, Co, Cr, La, Mo, Nd, Ni, Ti and V since this tissue accounted for around 31% of the soft part weight. Although adductor muscle represented about 34% of the soft part weight, As was the only element contained in large proportion in this tissue (up to 45%). This difference is attributable to the very low concentrations found for the other trace elements in the adductor muscle of *C. varia* (Table 1).

Size trends in element concentrations

Table 1 presents the elemental concentrations in the tissues of *C. varia* of different sizes (from $32 \pm 1 \text{ mm}$ to $45 \pm 2 \text{ mm}$) and possible size effects were investigated on the scallops from La Rochelle Bay representing 3 sizes from 3 pools of 10 individuals. Generally, few trace element concentrations (Ag, Cd, Co, Cu, Ni, and Zn) varied significantly with size of whole soft parts or of the tissue under consideration (Figure 2).

Considering the whole soft parts, concentrations of Ag and Cd were higher in large scallops (H= 7.20 p = 0.027 and H = 6.01 p = 0.050, respectively) while Co and Zn exhibited significantly higher concentrations in small individuals (H = 6.01 p = 0.050 for both metals). For Cd and Ag, these variations are clearly due to the bioaccumulation of Ag and Cd in the digestive gland where concentrations of both metals were also significantly higher in large scallops than in small ones (H = 7.20 p = 0.027 and H = 6.49 p = 0.039, respectively). For Co, concentrations in kidneys appear to be responsible for the decrease of concentrations with size in the whole soft parts (H = 6.01 p = 0.050), while for Zn, it seems to be due to significant concentration decrease in gills and gonad (H = 7.20 p = 0.027 and H = 6.01 p = 0.050, respectively) but not in whole soft parts.

As for Co, Ni concentrations decreased significantly with size in kidneys (H = 7.20 p = 0.027). Finally, Cu concentrations increased with size in digestive gland (H = 6.01 p = 0.050), but without any significant effect on allometric relationship in whole soft parts.

A G-Test indicated that tissue distribution (% wet wt) was not significantly different (p < 0.05) between size for all elements except for Ag, which is mainly found in the remaining tissues and muscles in small scallops, and progressively increased in the digestive gland in large individuals (Table 2).

Accumulation differences between sites

Concentrations in the tissues and the whole soft parts of *C. varia* were compared between individuals of similar size from La Rochelle Bay and Ré Island (Figure 3). Considering the whole soft parts, only a few elements, i.e. Cr, Mo, Ni, Ti, and V, were in the same range at both sampled sites. In contrast, Ag, Al, Ce, Co, Cu, La, Mn, Nd, Pb, and Zn concentrations were significantly higher (P<0.05) at La Rochelle while As and Cd concentrations were higher at Ré Island.

Subcellular distribution within the digestive gland

Figure 4 presents the proportion of elements contained in the insoluble fraction of the digestive gland homogenates. Elements could be separated following their affinity to the insoluble fraction: 96 ± 4% of Ag, 85 ± 3% of Ce, 83 ± 3% of Nd, 82 ± 6% of Al, 82 ± 2% of La, 81 ± 8% of Mn, 75 ± 8 % of Cu, 73 ± 3% of Ti, 66 ± 6% of Pb and 59 ± 3% of Zn were found associated to the organelles and membranes of the digestive cells. In contrast, 53 ± 1% of Cr, 64 ± 6% of V, 65 ± 5% of Ni, 76 ± 4% of Co, 76 ± 2% of As, 77 ± 1% of Mo and 78 ± 5% of Cd were found in the cytosolic fraction.

DISCUSSION

Concentration and distribution of trace elements

Among the different tissues analysed, the digestive glands and kidneys of *Chlamys varia* from the French coasts of the Bay of Biscay displayed the highest elemental concentrations. Reported concentrations in these tissues for different scallop species from various locations are given in Table 3 for comparison with the larger scallops from La Rochelle Bay. Observed concentrations of Ag, Cd, Cr, Ni and Pb in *C. varia* for both tissues were similar to those previously reported. However, concentrations of Al and Cu in digestive gland and kidney and of Mn and Zn in the digestive gland were much higher in *C. varia* than in other species. The reasons for high concentrations of trace elements in the tissues of Pectinidae are typically attributed to their binding to cytosolic proteins in the digestive gland and to their precipitation on granules in kidneys (George et al. 1980, Stone et al. 1986, Fowler & Gould 1988, Mauri et al. 1990, Viarengo et al. 1993). With the exception of As, Cd, Co, Cr, Mo, Ni, and V, cytosolic proteins seem to have a

minor importance in binding of most of the elements, i.e. Ag, Al, Ce, Cu, Nd, La, Mn, Ti, Pb, and Zn. Indeed, large proportions of these elements (59 to 96%) were found in the insoluble fraction which represents the metals trapped within the organelles, associated to membranes or to granules (Figure 4). This is particularly obvious in the case of rare earth elements. In fact, the lanthanides have chemistry and sorption/complexation characteristics analogous to transuranic elements such as plutonium or americium (Choppin Torres & Chopin 1984, Kim et al. 1989, Buckau et al. 1992). In the grant scallop *Pecten maximus*, most of the ²⁴¹Am was found in the digestive gland associated with the stomach wall cells and the duct cells, and in lysosomal residual bodies within the digestive processes before excretion in faeces, and are found in the insoluble fraction of digestive gland homogenates (Bustamante 1998). Therefore, it is likely that rare earth elements would be found in the same structures as ²⁴¹Am.

The insoluble fraction of the digestive gland cells also contained a remarkably high proportion of Ag, i.e. $96 \pm 2\%$ of the total metal. Silver is known to precipitate with sulphur within cells in bivalves (Ballan-Dufrançais et al. 1985, Martoja et al. 1989, Berthet et al. 1990, 1992). Overall, Ag, Al, Br, Ca, Cd, Cu, Fe, Mo, S, Si and Zn have been detected by both qualitative and quantitative analysis in the lysosomal system of the digestive gland of *P. maximus*, (Ballan-Dufrançais et al. 1985). With the exception of Cd and to a lesser extend Cu and Zn, information on the subcellular distribution of trace elements in Pectinidae is particularly scarce in the current literature. However, 60 to 99 % of the Cd is usually found in the soluble fraction of the digestive gland of Pectinidae from the field or after experimental exposure to this metal (Evtushenko et al. 1986, 1990, Stone et al. 1986, Lukyanova & Evtushenko 1989), even if the Antarctic scallop *Adamussium colbecki* appears not to follow this trend with only 30 % of soluble Cd (Viarengo et

al. 1993). These general observations are consistent with the results obtained for *C. varia* in which the cytosolic fraction of the digestive gland contained $78 \pm 5\%$ of the total Cd (Figure 4). This strongly suggests the presence of mechanisms of detoxification of Cd involving proteins such as metallothioneins (Evtushenko et al. 1986, Stone et al. 1986, Evtushenko et al. 1990, Viarengo et al. 1993, Bustamante 1998).

As mentioned above, Ag and Cd appeared to be mainly bound to insoluble material and cytosolic proteins, respectively (Figure 4). So, according to the present results both detoxification processes involved in the storage of these metals seem to lead to a long term bioaccumulation of these metals in the digestive gland of *C. varia*. It is therefore noteworthy that two different detoxification strategies, binding to soluble and insoluble compounds, lead to large concentrations of both toxic metals in this species.

For elements other than Cd found in the cytosolic fraction of the digestive gland, i.e. As, Co, Cr, Mo, Ni, and V, other systems or proteins other than metallothioneins might be responsible of the binding. Co, Cr, Mo and Ni are essential micronutrients required for function of a large number of proteins. However, both their accumulation and regulation mechanisms are poorly understood because of their generally low concentrations in biota. Recently, investigation of V accumulation in ascidians has shown that specific vanadium-binding proteins (vanabins) were responsible for vanadium accumulation in these organisms (Ueki et al. 2003). In contrast to metallothioneins, vanabins do not use cysteine residues to bind vanadium ions and they might function as metal chaperone proteins rather than proteins for metal storage or detoxification. Vanabins appear to be able to chelate V under its +5 oxidation state and help NADPH to reduce the ion to vanadium(IV), which is easier to carry within the cell. The implication of such proteins in the accumulation of V in Pectinidae should be considered in the future.

Metal concentrations in bivalves may vary with biological factors such as age (size) and sex. However, only Ag, Cd, Co, Cu, Ni, and Zn varied with size in at least one tissue or organ. Similarly to *C. varia* from the Bay of Biscay, Cd also displayed positive accumulation with animal size in *P. maximus* and *Placopecten magellanicus* (Boyden 1977, Uthe and Chou 1987). However, no significant relationship was found for the Antarctic scallop *Adamussium colbecki* (Mauri et al. 1990) which was attributed to the influence of various factors such as the contamination of the sampling area and the physiological state of the individuals. In scallops, excretory functions of kidneys might reduce the concentrations of weakly retained elements. This appears to be the case of Ni and Co, which concentrations tend to decrease with size.

Accumulation differences between sites

Among the different trace elements analysed, Ag, Al, Ce, Co, Cu, La, Mn, Nd, Pb, and Zn concentrations were significantly higher in the scallops from La Rochelle Bay. This could be due to the fact that this area is directly subject to industrial and domestic contamination via several discharge points, but also from more diffusive sources related to harbour activities (e.g. antifouling paints) or installations (e.g. release of Zn by cathodic protection). Therefore, it is not surprising that *C. varia* displayed elevated tissue concentrations of several elements in this area. Contribution of the different local sources might be difficult to determine for most of the elements, but higher rare earth element concentrations in the tissues of *C. varia* are probably related to industrial releases into La Rochelle Bay from the rare earth extracting plant, Rhodia. Very little is known about long term effects of rare earth elements on marine invertebrates in the field, but experiments have shown that these elements are poorly bioavailable from seawater and also weakly toxic to marine biota (Hirano & Suzuki, 1996). However, the gills of *C. varia* contained high

concentrations of Ce, La and Nd relative to muscle. Gills represent a natural pathway for metals dissolved in seawater (Bustamante et al. 2002a). Therefore, if we assume that rare earth elements have low rates of redistribution to other tissues as shown for ²⁴¹Am (Miramand & Germain 1986), Ce, La, and Nd concentrations found in the gills would mainly indicate the exposure via seawater. Rare earth elements are also most probably accumulated from food as suggested by the high concentrations found in the digestive gland. High concentrations in gonads might be due to translocation from the intestine into this tissue, which serves both for the expulsion of faeces and gonadal products. Therefore, adsorption of rare earth elements on the intestine walls could occur and be responsible for the relatively elevated concentrations in the gonad of these scallops. The gonad also contained relatively high concentrations of Ag and Pb, which also could be subject to adsorption processes on the intestine walls in the gonad (Table 1, Figure 3). The ability of *C. varia* to concentrate rare earth elements would be useful to study and to monitor the behaviour of these elements under field conditions. Moreover, lanthanides could also provide particularly interesting information on toxic elements with similar chemical properties, i.e. transuranic elements in the marine environment.

Higher As and Cd concentrations in the scallops from the Ré Island compared to the contaminated site are surprising since there is no identified source of these metals in this area. Similarly, investigation of ²¹⁰Po in the tissues of *C. varia* and *Mytilus edulis* reported the same unexpected difference between sites (Bustamante et al. 2002b). Uthe and Chou (1987) also reported unexpected high Cd concentrations in the tissues of the scallop *Placopecten magellanicus* from a non-contaminated area. These were attributed to feeding and nutritional inadequacy of the diets. Scallops are filter feeders using different types of food such as phytoplankton, detritus and other suspended matter which are major components of the variation in food quality in the field through

variation in their carbon and nitrogen contents (Grant & Cranford 1991, Alber & Valiela 1996). Therefore, it would be of particular interest to characterise the food composition and metal concentrations in the food of *C. varia* in both sampling areas.

CONCLUSION

Because of very high trace element concentrations, the digestive gland and kidneys of *C. varia* can be used to monitor trace element availabilities in seawater but only when individuals of similar size are used. Thus, significant differences in concentrations between clean and impacted areas from Charente-Maritime suggested contamination of Ag, Al, Ce, Co, Cu, La, Nd, Pb, Mn and Zn due to combined domestic, industrial and harbour releases. The subcellular distribution of trace elements in the digestive gland showed that most of the elements were associated with insoluble material and would have therefore a low bioavailability for the upper trophic levels (Wallace and Lopez 1996, 1997). Finally, the ability of *C. varia* to concentrate lanthanides would be useful to study the behaviour of trivalent ions in the marine environment with particular attention to actinides.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the Dr S.W. Fowler for its advice on the early version of the manuscript. We are also grateful to M. Robert and C. Churlaud of the "Centre Commun d'Analyses", University of La Rochelle, for their help in the analyses, and to the anonymous

referees of this manuscript for their fruitful criticism. This work was supported financially by the "Conseil Régional Poitou-Charentes" and Rhodia.

REFERENCES

Alber M, Valiela I (1996) Utilization of microbial organic aggregates by bay scallops, *Argopecten irradians* (Lamarck). Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 195:71-89

Ballan-Dufrançais C, Jeantet AY, Feghali C, Halpern S (1985) Physiological features of heavy metal storage in bivalve digestive cells and amoebocytes : EPMA and factor analysis of correspondences. Bio Cell 53:283-292

Berkman PA, Nigro M (1992) Trace metal concentrations in scallops around Antartica: extending the Mussel Watch Programme to the Southern Ocean. Marine Pollution Bulletin 24 (6):322-323

Berthet B, Amiard-Triquet C, Martoja R (1990) Effets chimiques et histologiques de la décontamination de l'huître *Crassostrea gigas* Thunberg préalablement exposée à l'argent. Water Air and Soil Pollution 50:355-369

Berthet B, Amiard JC, Amiard-Triquet C, Martoja R, Jeantet AY (1992) Bioaccumulation, toxicity and physico-chemical speciation of silver in bivalve molluscs : ecotoxicological and health consequences. The Science of the Total Environment 125 : 97-122

Boyden CR (1977) Effect of size upon metal content of shellfish. Journal of the marine biological Association of the United Kingdom 57:675-714

Bryan GW (1973) The occurrence and seasonal variation of trace metals in the scallops *Pecten maximus* (L.) and *Chlamys opercularis* (L.). Journal of the marine biological Association of the United Kingdom 53:145-166

Buckeau G, Kim JI, Klenze R, Rhee DS, Wimmer H (1992) A comparative study of the fulvate complexation of trivalent transuranic ions. Radiochimica Acta

Bustamante P (1998) Etude des processus de bioaccumulation et de détoxication d'éléments traces (métaux lourds et terres rares) chez les mollusques céphalopodes et bivalves pectinidés. Implication de leur biodisponibilité pour le transfert vers les prédateurs. PhD thesis, University of La Rochelle, France

Bustamante P, Teyssié J-L, Fowler SW, Cotret O, Danis B, Miramand P, Warnau M (2002a) Biokinetics of zinc and cadmium accumulation and depuration at different stages in the life cycle of the cuttlefish *Sepia officinalis*. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 231:167-177

Bustamante P, Germain P, Leclerc G, Miramand P (2002b) Concentration and distribution of ²¹⁰Po in the tissues of the scallop *Chlamys varia* and the mussel *Mytilus edulis* from the coasts of Charente-Maritime (France). Marine Pollution Bulletin, 44(10):997-1002

Choppin Torres RA, Choppin GR (1984) Europium (III) and americium (III) stability constants with humic acid. Radiochimica Acta 34:143-148

Evtushenko ZS, Belcheva NN, Lukyanova ON (1986) Cadmium accumulation in organs of the scallop *Mizuhopecten yessoensis*. II. Subcellular distribution of metals and metal-binding proteins. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology 83C:377-383

Evtushenko ZS, Lukyanova ON, Belcheva NN (1990) Cadmium bioaccumulation in organs of the scallop *Mizuhopecten yessoensis*. Marine Biology 104:247-250

Fowler BA, Gould E (1988) Ultrastructural and biochemical studies of intracellular metal-binding patterns in kidney tubule cells of the scallop *Placopecten magellanicus* following prolonged exposure to cadmium or copper. Marine Biology 97:207-216

Fox HM, Ramage H (1931) A spectrographic analysis of animal tissues. Proceedings of the Royal Society B 108:157-173

George SG, Pirie BJS, Coombs TL (1980) Isolation and elemental analysis of metal-rich granules from the kidney of the scallop, *Pecten maximus* (L.). Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 42:143-156

Grant J, Cranford PJ (1991) Carbon and nitrogen scope for growth as a function of diet in the sea scallop *Placopecten magellanicus*. Journal of the marine biological Association of UK 71:437-450

Hirano S, Suzuki KT (1996) Exposure, metabolism, and toxicity of rare earth and related compounds. Environmental Health Perspectives 104:85-95

Kim JI, Buckeau G, Bryant E, Klenze R (1989) Complexation of americium (III) with humic acid. Radiochimica Acta 48:135-143

Letaconnoux R, Audouin J (1956) Contribution à l'étude du pétoncle (*Chlamys varia* L.). Revue des Travaux de l'Institut scientifique des Pêches maritimes 20(2):133-155.

Lukyanova ON, Evtushenko ZS (1989) Binding of zinc and cadmium with cytoplasmic proteins in the Yezo scallop at the increased concentrations of zinc in water. Sovietic Journal of Marine Biology 15:306-311

Martoja M, Truchet M, Berthet B (1989) Effets de la contamination expérimentale par l'argent chez *Chlamys varia* L. (Bivalve Pectinidé). Données quantitatives, histologiques et microanalytiques. Annales de l'Institut Océanographique 65:1-13

Mauri M, Orlando E, Nigro M, Regoli F (1990) Heavy metals in the Antarctic scallop *Adamussium colbecki*. Marine Ecology Progress Series 67:27-33.

Miramand P, Germain P (1986) Données expérimentales et in situ (Goury, Nord Cotentin, France) sur la concentration et la distribution de l'américium (²⁴¹Am) dans les tissus de la coquille Saint-Jacques, *Pecten maximus* (L.). Haliotis 15:345-353

Miramand P, Germain P, Boucaud-Camou E (1991) Localisation autohistoradiographique de l'américium dans les tissus des coquilles St. Jacques *Pecten maximus*: étude préliminaire sur les mécanismes de transfert à partir de l'eau de mer. Compte-Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences, Paris 313(III):395-400.

Quéro J-C, Vayne J-J (1998) Les fruits de mer et plantes marines des pêches françaises. Delachaux & Nestlé, Lausanne-Paris, 256 p.

Segar DA, Collins JD, Riley JP (1971) The distribution of the major and some minor elements in marine animals. Part II. Molluscs. Journal of the marine biological Association of the United Kingdom 51:131-136

Stone HC, Wilson SB, Overnell J (1986) Cadmium binding components of scallop (*Pecten maximus*) digestive gland. Partial purification and characterisation. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology 85 C:259-268

Ueki T, Adachi T, Kawano S, Aoshima M, Yamaguchi N, Kanamori K, Michibata H (2003) Vanadium-binding proteins (vanabins) from a vanadium-rich ascidian *Ascidia sydneiensis samea*. Biochimica et biophysica Acta, 1626:43-50

Uthe JF, Chou CL (1987) Cadmium in sea scallop (*Placopecten magellanicus*) tissues from clean and contaminated areas. Canadian Journal of Fishery and Aquatic Sciences 44:91-98

Viarengo A, Canesi L, Mazzucotelli A, Ponzano E (1993) Cu, Zn and Cd content in different tissues of the Antarctic scallop *Adamussium colbecki* : role of the metallothionein in heavy metal homeostasis and detoxification. Marine Ecology Progress Series 95:163-168.

Wallace WG, Lopez, GR (1996) Relationship between subcellular cadmium distribution and cadmium trophic transfer to a predator. Estuaries 19(4):923-930.

Wallace WG., Lopez GR (1997) Bioavailability of biologically sequestered cadmium and the implications of metal detoxification. Marine Ecology Progress Series 147:149-157.

Zar JH (1996) Biostatistical Analysis, 3rd ed. Prentice-Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ

Caption to figures

Figure 1. Sampling location sites for the variegated scallops Chlamys varia. 🛛 : Saint Martin en Ré

; \oplus : La Rochelle Bay

Figure 2. Significant variations of trace element concentrations with size in the tissues of the variegated scallop *Chlamys varia* from La Rochelle Bay, Bay of Biscay, France. • : digestive gland; • : kidneys; \star : gonad; • : gills; • : whole soft parts

Figure 3. Comparison of the trace element concentrations in the tissues of the variegated scallop *Chlamys varia* of similar sizes from La Rochelle Bay (size = 33 ± 1 mm, black bars) and Ré Island (size = 32 ± 1 mm, white bars), Bay of Biscay, France

Figure 4. Trace elements (%) contained in the insoluble fraction of the digestive gland homogenates of the variegated scallop *Chlamys varia* from La Rochelle Bay, Bay of Biscay, France



Fig. 1



Size (mm)

Size (mm)



Fig. 3



Fig. 3 (continued)



Fig. 4

Matal		C:	Disastina	V :1	C:11-	Consta	Marala	X 71 1 -
Metal Sampling area	n	Size (mm)	Digestive	Kidney	Gills	Gonads	Muscle	whole soft parts
Sampling area		(IIIII)	giana					son parts
Ag								
La Rochelle	3	33 ± 1	12.1 ± 2.9	5.63 ± 0.58	0.44 ± 0.24	6.48 ± 0.99	0.57 ± 0.26	3.08 ± 0.38
La Rochelle	3	39 ± 1	27.8 ± 10.2	8.30 ± 2.43	0.44 ± 0.11	8.66 ± 2.82	0.44 ± 0.11	6.47 ± 1.39
La Rochelle	3	45 ± 2	61.2 ± 29.1	5.01 ± 3.77	0.36 ± 0.10	11.62 ± 2.23	0.45 ± 0.14	11.02 ± 4.09
Ré Island	3	32 ± 1	3.23 ± 0.97	0.94 ± 0.44	0.28 ± 0.19	1.99 ± 0.48	0.02 ± 0.01	1.05 ± 0.15
Ré Island	1	44 ± 2	5.36	0.86	0.28	5.50	0.03	1.85
Al								
La Rochelle	3	33 ± 1	2511 ± 690	222 ± 112	1353 ± 580	1072 ± 370	71 ± 24	901 ± 299
La Rochelle	3	39 ± 1	1763 ± 54	157 ± 73	407 ± 175	981 ± 231	42 ± 7	727 ± 97
La Rochelle	3	45 ± 2	1951 ± 239	199 ± 113	487 ± 145	1084 ± 114	38 ± 15	920 ± 190
Ré Island	3	32 ± 1	1418 ± 360	169 ± 78	467 ± 60	161 ± 40	18 ± 4	493 ± 51
Ré Island	1	44 ± 2	478	88	264	516	19	195
As								
La Rochelle	3	33 ± 1	23.6 ± 6.4	36.0 ± 15.0	23.1 ± 6.3	26.5 ± 19.4	14.3 ± 4.7	18.3 ± 2.0
La Rochelle	3	39 ± 1	17.9 ± 4.9	41.2 ± 25.7	15.1 ± 2.5	18.9 ± 4.9	8.6 ± 0.9	14.4 ± 2.1
La Rochelle	3	45 ± 2	12.5 ± 7.5	19.2 ± 8.6	22.2 ± 9.1	20.4 ± 4.5	10.5 ± 3.3	14.9 ± 2.6
Ré Island	3	32 ± 1	21.5 ± 2.0	43.5 ± 12.0	39.6 ± 5.6	30.5 ± 6.1	15.8 ± 3.8	25.0 ± 4.8
Ré Island	1	44 ± 2	10.8	25.4	29.2	25.0	12.7	16.0
Cd								
La Rochelle	3	33 + 1	223 ± 0.6	461+17	291 ± 0.06	1.89 ± 0.08	0.55 ± 0.09	49 ± 02
La Rochelle	3	39 ± 1 39 + 1	32.5 ± 0.0 32.7 ± 5.0	47.0 ± 14.2	3.65 ± 1.37	2.29 ± 0.22	0.59 ± 0.09 0.59 + 0.10	7.1 ± 1.2
La Rochelle	3	45 ± 2	39.6 ± 2.5	52.4 ± 10.0	4.43 ± 0.84	2.29 ± 0.22 2.38 ± 0.20	0.59 ± 0.13	80 ± 13
Ré Island	3	32 ± 1	24.2 ± 1.2	39.6 ± 0.8	2.57 ± 0.33	2.50 ± 0.20 2.80 ± 0.13	0.35 ± 0.06	5.6 ± 0.3
Ré Island	1	$\frac{32 \pm 1}{44 + 2}$	35.3	42.5	3.18	2.00 ± 0.15	0.50 ± 0.00	85
C-	1		55.5	12.5	5.10	1.97	0.71	0.0
Le Rochalle	2	22 + 1	10 47 + 2 99	2.45 ± 2.16	6.02 ± 2.02	6 29 1 2 72	0.25 ± 0.05	2.09 ± 0.40
La Rochelle	3	33 ± 1 30 ± 1	10.47 ± 3.88 10.61 ± 1.00	2.43 ± 2.10 2.03 ± 2.27	0.02 ± 3.93	0.38 ± 2.72 5.06 ± 0.73	0.23 ± 0.03 0.18 ± 0.04	3.98 ± 0.49 3.50 ± 0.11
La Rochelle	2	39 ± 1	10.01 ± 1.09 10.95 ± 1.72	2.03 ± 2.27 1.11 ± 0.44	4.01 ± 1.90	5.00 ± 0.75	0.13 ± 0.04	3.59 ± 0.11
La Kochene Dá Ialand	2	43 ± 2 32 ± 1	10.05 ± 1.75 2.17 ± 0.82	1.11 ± 0.44	0.02 ± 3.93	1.00 ± 0.30	0.32 ± 0.22	4.50 ± 0.49
Ré Island	1	32 ± 1	5.17 ± 0.62 1.17	0.30 ± 0.21	0.07 ± 0.01	1.00 ± 0.31	0.04 ± 0.02	0.92 ± 0.13
	1	44 ± 2	1.17	0.15	0.05	1.97	0.05	0.50
Co	2	22 + 1	2(0 + 0.21)	27.50 + 4.00	1 40 + 0 29	1.55 . 0.92	0.24 + 0.09	1.52 + 0.26
	3	33 ± 1	2.60 ± 0.31	27.50 ± 4.06	1.40 ± 0.38	1.55 ± 0.82	0.24 ± 0.08	1.52 ± 0.26
	3	39 ± 1	2.13 ± 0.29	24.29 ± 0.32	0.59 ± 0.48	1.22 ± 0.23	0.15 ± 0.01	1.33 ± 0.11
La Rochelle	3	45 ± 2	1.79 ± 0.64	$9./1 \pm 2.14$	$1.1/\pm 0.41$	1.25 ± 0.20	0.15 ± 0.09	1.04 ± 0.19
Re Island	3	32 ± 1	2.28 ± 0.08	10.48 ± 5.20	1.14 ± 1.11	1.55 ± 0.25	0.14 ± 0.03	1.19 ± 0.10
Re Island	1	44 ± 2	0.84	5.75	0.91	0.92	0.11	0.52
Cr					4.0.0 4.0.0		4 0 0 0 70	
La Rochelle	3	33 ± 1	7.82 ± 1.71	7.58 ± 2.51	4.80 ± 1.88	2.49 ± 1.26	1.08 ± 0.50	3.69 ± 0.80
La Rochelle	3	39 ± 1	8.13 ± 1.05	4.34 ± 1.60	2.79 ± 1.50	2.61 ± 3.75	0.84 ± 0.18	3.18 ± 0.04
La Rochelle	3	45 ± 2	5.98 ± 2.53	4.37 ± 0.95	5.61 ± 3.17	6.20 ± 1.50	0.73 ± 0.21	3.31 ± 0.60
Ré Island	3	32 ± 1	8.14 ± 3.05	7.77 ± 3.24	4.75 ± 2.98	4.86 ± 3.02	0.93 ± 0.19	3.55 ± 0.96
Ré Island	1	44 ± 2	3.07	8.77	6.88	5.66	1.17	2.59
Cu								
La Rochelle	3	33 ± 1	68 ± 4	288 ± 13	14 ± 2	33 ± 4	4 ± 0	30 ± 3
La Rochelle	3	39 ± 1	77 ± 10	246 ± 39	10 ± 1	33 ± 7	4 ± 1	23 ± 3
La Rochelle	3	45 ± 2	135 ± 9	271 ± 14	12 ± 2	40 ± 4	4 ± 1	21 ± 1
Ré Island	3	32 ± 1	24 ± 3	73 ± 34	9 ± 2	17 ± 2	2 ± 1	9 ± 1
Ré Island	1	44 ± 2	22	33	14	19	2	10
La								
La Rochelle	3	33 ± 1	7.90 ± 3.15	2.11 ± 1.49	5.06 ± 3.24	5.73 ± 3.31	0.22 ± 0.03	2.96 ± 0.58
La Rochelle	3	39 ± 1	8.12 ± 0.84	1.96 ± 0.79	2.79 ± 1.73	4.35 ± 0.94	0.21 ± 0.03	2.79 ± 0.14
La Rochelle	3	45 ± 2	7.53 ± 2.52	1.28 ± 0.23	4.26 ± 2.15	5.09 ± 0.68	0.32 ± 0.32	2.74 ± 0.44
Ré Island	3	32 ± 1	0.28 ± 0.16	0.22 ± 0.10	0.46 ± 0.03	0.53 ± 0.12	0.03 ± 0.03	0.32 ± 0.05
Ré Island	1	44 ± 2	0.08	0.11	0.23	0.79	0.02	0.12

Table 1. Trace element concentrations ($\mu g.g^{-1}$ dwt) in the tissues and organs of the variegated scallop *Chlamys varia* from the coast of Charente-Maritime, Bay of Biscay, France. n : number of pool of 10 individuals

Table 1 (continued)

Metal	n	Size	Digestive	Kidney	Gills	Gonads	Muscle	Whole
Sampling area		(mm)	gland					soft parts
Mn								
La Rochelle	3	33 ± 1	174 ± 75	10282 ± 3163	155 ± 34	169 ± 80	30 ± 17	265 ± 36
La Rochelle	3	39 ± 1	132 ± 32	11446 ± 5144	117 ± 11	151 ± 47	36 ± 10	339 ± 143
La Rochelle	3	45 ± 2	113 ± 47	11855 ± 4517	150 ± 53	134 ± 65	42 ± 13	276 ± 93
Ré Island	3	32 ± 1	58 ± 19	1286 ± 173	93 ± 43	68 ± 23	8 ± 5	64 ± 5
Ré Island	1	44 ± 2	49	3594	49	66	7	90
Мо								
La Rochelle	3	33 ± 1	7.40 ± 1.31	4.10 ± 1.72	2.97 ± 1.08	4.31 ± 1.38	0.72 ± 0.33	2.34 ± 0.56
La Rochelle	3	39 ± 1	6.37 ± 1.53	2.74 ± 1.06	1.74 ± 0.40	3.54 ± 0.76	0.39 ± 0.07	1.94 ± 0.29
La Rochelle	3	45 ± 2	4.26 ± 2.75	2.65 ± 1.47	2.29 ± 0.66	4.10 ± 0.54	0.47 ± 0.13	1.68 ± 0.29
Ré Island	3	32 ± 1	7.62 ± 0.79	4.40 ± 1.62	3.29 ± 0.72	4.08 ± 2.61	0.40 ± 0.08	2.45 ± 0.44
Ré Island	1	44 ± 2	4.25	4.23	2.96	9.87	0.54	2.01
Nd								
La Rochelle	3	33 ± 1	522 ± 206	0.81 ± 0.18	223 ± 0.84	431 ± 135	0.13 ± 0.03	1.65 ± 0.25
La Rochelle	3	39 ± 1	5.22 ± 2.00 5.21 ± 0.46	0.01 ± 0.10 0.47 ± 0.06	1.57 ± 0.04	2.78 ± 0.83	0.13 ± 0.03 0.08 ± 0.02	1.05 ± 0.25 1.64 ± 0.07
La Rochelle	3	45 ± 1	5.21 ± 0.40 5.66 ± 0.83	0.47 ± 0.00 0.58 ± 0.21	2.05 ± 1.55	3.44 ± 0.86	0.00 ± 0.02 0.14 ± 0.11	1.04 ± 0.07 1.97 ± 0.58
Ré Island	3	43 ± 2 32 ± 1	1.23 ± 0.05	0.50 ± 0.21 0.12 ± 0.07	0.34 ± 0.04	0.91 ± 0.00	0.14 ± 0.11 0.02 ± 0.01	0.39 ± 0.09
Ré Island	1	32 ± 1 44 + 2	0.52	0.05	0.18	1 32	0.02 ± 0.01	0.18
N:		11 - 2	0.52	0.05	0.10	1.52	0.01	0.10
NI L. Dhll.	2	22 + 1	674 + 1 21	20.50 + 5.40	4.22 + 1.69	2.10 + 0.00	1 (0 + 0 42	0 (7 + 0 27
	3	33 ± 1	6.74 ± 1.21	20.59 ± 5.40	4.23 ± 1.08	3.19 ± 0.60	1.60 ± 0.42	2.67 ± 0.37
La Rochelle	3	39 ± 1	6.08 ± 0.75	14.51 ± 0.07	2.24 ± 0.78	3.38 ± 0.45	0.58 ± 0.35	2.72 ± 0.18
La Rochelle	3	45 ± 2	5.73 ± 1.06	10.79 ± 0.66	3.10 ± 1.05	3.83 ± 1.50	0.67 ± 0.22	3.73 ± 1.09
Re Island	3	52 ± 1	0.94 ± 2.23	20.94 ± 5.28	5.04 ± 2.74	8.40 ± 4.20	0.78 ± 0.21	3.00 ± 0.07
Re Island	1	44 ± 2	5.50	10.00	0.20	5.20	0.91	2.44
Pb								
La Rochelle	3	33 ± 1	3.43 ± 0.87	58.80 ± 3.29	3.47 ± 0.51	8.71 ± 3.13	0.89 ± 0.05	3.14 ± 0.40
La Rochelle	3	39 ± 1	3.81 ± 0.81	45.38 ± 7.88	2.03 ± 0.70	6.54 ± 2.05	0.78 ± 0.22	2.89 ± 0.27
La Rochelle	3	45 ± 2	4.17 ± 0.56	41.57 ± 3.74	2.31 ± 0.38	5.65 ± 0.80	1.01 ± 0.52	2.66 ± 0.21
Ré Island	3	32 ± 1	2.63 ± 0.45	28.44 ± 6.97	2.10 ± 0.56	2.33 ± 0.75	1.67 ± 0.17	1.91 ± 0.07
Ré Island	1	44 ± 2	1.05	20.28	1.94	3.16	0.85	1.29
Ti								
La Rochelle	3	33 ± 1	38.2 ± 13.2	12.1 ± 5.4	25.8 ± 8.8	46.7 ± 22.4	4.84 ± 2.28	25.8 ± 9.1
La Rochelle	3	39 ± 1	54.5 ± 25.2	2.8 ± 1.5	22.3 ± 12.5	31.7 ± 8.0	2.04 ± 0.27	24.2 ± 4.1
La Rochelle	3	45 ± 2	64.5 ± 27.7	10.6 ± 6.1	21.4 ± 11.9	37.2 ± 7.9	3.25 ± 2.35	32.2 ± 12.3
Ré Island	3	32 ± 1	42.6 ± 30.3	7.2 ± 3.6	18.6 ± 2.4	10.8 ± 2.7	1.73 ± 0.67	17.8 ± 6.4
Ré Island	1	44 ± 2	12.8	3.6	9.1	19.7	1.70	7.5
V								
La Rochelle	3	33 + 1	11.36 ± 2.16	345 ± 147	431 + 2.07	3.59 ± 0.56	0.22 ± 0.08	3.22 ± 0.77
La Rochelle	3	39 ± 1	8.35 ± 1.17	232 ± 0.82	3.09 ± 1.13	430 ± 0.66	0.22 ± 0.00 0.21 ± 0.03	2.22 ± 0.17 2.97 + 0.32
La Rochelle	3	45 ± 1	8.22 ± 1.62	3.31 ± 0.02	4.87 ± 1.15	455 ± 0.00	0.29 ± 0.18	2.97 ± 0.32 2.87 + 0.42
Ré Island	3	32 ± 1	8.10 ± 1.52	2.00 ± 0.67	2.60 ± 0.23	1.69 ± 0.02	0.08 ± 0.06	2.67 ± 0.12 2.53 ± 0.27
Ré Island	1	44 + 2	3.35	1.30	1.42	3.03	0.02	1.15
7.	•		0.00	1100	1112	0100	0.02	
La Rochalla	3	33 ± 1	518 ± 68	38168 ± 4602	560 ± 105	885 ± 171	175 ± 25	1062 ± 132
La Rochelle	3	33 ± 1 30 ± 1	310 ± 00 346 ± 24	36100 ± 4003 34831 ± 2451	313 ± 20	542 ± 62	175 ± 25 175 ± 73	1002 ± 132 1003 ± 07
La Rochelle	3	37 ± 1 45 ± 2	340 ± 24 311 ± 11	34031 ± 2431 34010 ± 1895	313 ± 20 383 ± 27	542 ± 02 477 ± 102	175 ± 75 174 ± 24	1003 ± 97 700 ± 48
Dá Island	3	45 ± 2 32 ± 1	344 ± 44 204 ± 30	34212 ± 1003 $1/868 \pm 57/2$	303 ± 27 312 ± 137	477 ± 103	174 ± 24 83 ± 14	177 ± 40
Dé Island	3 1	34 ± 1	204 ± 50	14000 ± 3742	312 ± 134 100	012 ± 133 242	03 ± 14 70	420 ± 39 313
Re Islafiu	1	44 ± 2	110	12300	109	242	70	515

Metal	n	n Size Digestive		Kidney	Gonads	Gills	Muscle	Remaining	
Sampling area		(mm)	gland					ussues	
Ag									
La Rochelle	30	33 ± 1	54 ± 5	4 ± 2	4 ± 1	1 ± 1	10 ± 5	26 ± 4	
La Rochelle	30	39 ± 1	69 ± 14	3 ± 1	2 ± 2	1 ± 0	4 ± 0	21 ± 11	
La Rochelle	30	45 ± 2	85 ± 6	1 ± 0	1 ± 1	0 ± 0	3 ± 1	10 ± 4	
Ré Island	30	32 ± 1	53 ± 10	1 ± 0	3 ± 1	1 ± 1	1 ± 0	41 ± 10	
Ré Island	8	44 ± 2	64	1	3	0	1	31	
Al									
La Rochelle	30	33 ± 1	44 ± 6	1 ± 0	2 ± 1	10 ± 3	5 ± 2	38 ± 6	
La Rochelle	30	39 ± 1	45 ± 2	1 ± 0	3 ± 1	3 ± 2	3 ± 1	45 ± 4	
La Rochelle	30	45 ± 2	42 ± 8	0 ± 0	2 ± 0	2 ± 0	3 ± 1	50 ± 10	
Re Island	30	32 ± 1	50 ± 4	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	5 ± 2	2 ± 0	42 ± 2	
Re Island	8	44 ± 2	38	1	2	5	5	29	
As									
La Rochelle	30	33 ± 1	18 ± 2	5 ± 4	2 ± 1	7 ± 2	43 ± 11	25 ± 5	
La Rochelle	30	39 ± 1	21 ± 5	7 ± 5 2 + 1	2 ± 1 2 + 1	6 ± 1	36 ± 10	27 ± 9	
La Rocnelle	30	45 ± 2	15 ± 9 17 ± 2	2 ± 1 2 + 1	2 ± 1 2 + 0	/±3	44 ± 13	30 ± 14	
Ré Island	30 8	32 ± 1 44 ± 2	1/±3	2 ± 1	2 ± 0	0 ± 1 7	35 ± 2	30 ± 2 27	
	0	44 ± 2	10	2	2	7	45	21	
Cd	20	22 + 1	(2, 1)	10	1 . 0	2 . 1	6 . 1	0 + 2	
La Rochelle	30	33 ± 1	62 ± 2 72 + 2	19 ± 0 15 + 2	1 ± 0	3 ± 1	0 ± 1	9 ± 3	
La Rochelle	30	39 ± 1 45 ± 2	72 ± 2 70 ± 3	13 ± 3 10 ± 2	1 ± 0 0 ± 0	2 ± 0 2 + 1	4 ± 1 4 ± 1	0 ± 1 5 ± 1	
Ré Island	30	43 ± 2 32 ± 1	79 ± 3 74 + 5	10 ± 2 9 ± 4	0 ± 0 1 ± 0	2 ± 1 2 + 1	4 ± 1 3 + 1	5 ± 1 11 + 1	
Ré Island	8	32 ± 1 44 + 2	84	6	0	2 ± 1	4	6	
C-	0	11 ± 2	01	0	0	-	•	0	
La Pochelle	30	33 ± 1	42 ± 16	1 ± 1	3 ± 1	10 +7	4 ± 1	40 ± 8	
La Rochelle	30	39 ± 1 39 ± 1	42 ± 10 54 + 5	1 ± 1 1 + 2	3 ± 1 3 + 1	6 ± 3	4 ± 1 3 + 1	40 ± 3 33 + 5	
La Rochelle	30	35 ± 1 45 ± 2	34 ± 3 47 ± 8	1 ± 2 0 + 0	3 ± 1 2 + 0	5 ± 3	3 ± 1 4 + 1	33 ± 3 42 + 9	
Ré Island	30	32 ± 1	58 + 2	0 ± 0 0 + 0	$\frac{2}{1+0}$	0 = 0 0 + 0	2 + 1	38 ± 0	
Ré Island	8	44 ± 2	70	1	5	0	4	21	
Co									
La Rochelle	30	33 + 1	24 + 3	38 + 11	2 + 0	6 + 2	9 + 4	21 + 7	
La Rochelle	30	39 ± 1	27 ± 3	44 ± 9	$\frac{1}{1\pm 0}$	2 ± 2	5 ± 1	20 ± 8	
La Rochelle	30	45 ± 2	33 ± 12	17 ± 5	2 ± 1	5 ± 2	10 ± 5	33 ± 12	
Ré Island	30	32 ± 1	38 ± 5	19 ± 3	2 ± 0	5 ± 4	7 ± 1	30 ± 2	
Ré Island	8	44 ± 2	41	16	2	7	12	23	
Cr									
La Rochelle	30	33 ± 1	32 ± 2	4 ± 1	2 ± 1	8 ± 3	18 ±9	36 ± 10	
La Rochelle	30	39 ± 1	45 ± 4	4 ± 2	1 ± 2	5 ± 3	15 ± 4	30 ± 6	
La Rochelle	30	45 ± 2	35 ± 12	3 ± 0	3 ± 1	8 ± 5	15 ± 6	36 ± 14	
Ré Island	30	32 ± 1	41 ± 9	3 ± 1	2 ± 0	6 ± 1	14 ± 3	34 ± 6	
Ré Island	8	44 ± 2	32	5	2	11	26	24	
Cu									
La Rochelle	30	33 ± 1	44 ± 2	28 ± 7	3 ± 1	4 ± 1	10 ± 1	13 ± 6	
La Rochelle	30	39 ± 1	55 ± 1	25 ± 3	2 ± 1	2 ± 1	8 ± 1	8 ± 1	
La Rochelle	30	45 ± 2	71 ± 3	14 ± 3	2 ± 0	1 ± 0	7 ± 1	5 ± 0	
Ré Island	30	32 ± 1	48 ± 7	10 ± 4	3 ± 1	5 ± 0	15 ± 4	19 ± 8	
Ré Island	8	44 ± 2	56	5	1	6	11	21	
La									
La Rochelle	30	33 ± 1	43 ± 18	2 ± 1	4 ± 1	12 ± 8	5 ± 1	36 ± 9	
La Rochelle	30	39 ± 1	53 ± 4	2 ± 1	3 ± 1	6 ± 4	4 ± 1	33 ± 4	
La Rochelle	30	45 ± 2	52 ± 15	1 ± 0	3 ± 1	/±3	/±3	30 ± 12	
Re Island	5U 0	52 ± 1	10±/ 10	1±0	2±0 7	/ ± 1 o	5 ± 4	08 ± 11	
NC ISIAIIU	0	44 ± 4	17	2	/	0	10	54	

Table 2. Distribution (% of fresh weight) of body burdens of trace elements in the tissues and organs of the variegated scallop *Chlamys varia* from the coast of Charente-Maritime, Bay of Biscay, France

Table 2 (continued)

Metal	n	Size	Digestive	Kidney Gonads Gi		Gills	Muscle	Remaining	
Sampling area		(mm)	gland					ussues	
Mn									
La Rochelle	30	33 ± 1	9 ± 4	74 ± 10	1 ± 0	3 ± 1	6 ± 3	7 ± 5	
La Rochelle	30	39 ± 1	7 ± 1	78 ± 7	1 ± 0	2 ± 1	6 ± 2	7 ± 4	
La Rochelle	30	45 ± 2	8 ± 4	71 ± 10	1 ± 1	3 ± 2	9 ± 1	9 ± 5	
Ré Island	30	32 ± 1	19 ± 2	32 ± 10	2 ± 1	9 ± 4	8 ± 4	30 ± 10	
Ré Island	8	44 ± 2	16	65	1	2	5	12	
Mo									
La Rochelle	30	33 ± 1	47 ± 6	4 ± 1	3 ± 1	8 ± 2	17 ± 5	21 ± 3	
La Rochelle	30	39 ± 1	56 ± 8	3 ± 1	3 ± 1	5 ± 2	12 ± 5	21 ± 7	
La Rochelle	30	45 ± 2	42 ± 17	3 ± 2	4 ± 2	7 ± 4	18 ± 7	26 ± 12	
Ré Island	30	32 ± 1	56 ± 2	2 ± 1	2 ± 1	7 ± 2	8 ± 1	25 ± 2	
Ré Island	8	44 ± 2	51	3	5	5	14	22	
Nd									
La Rochelle	30	33 ± 1	48 ± 14	1 ± 0	5 ± 1	9 ± 5	5 ± 1	32 ± 9	
La Rochelle	30	39 ± 1	57 ± 4	1 ± 0	3 ± 0	6 ± 4	3 ± 1	31 ± 6	
La Rochelle	30	45 ± 2	56 ± 18	1 ± 0	3 ± 1	4 ± 2	4 ± 2	32 ±19	
Ré Island	30	32 ± 1	53 ± 5	0 ± 0	3 ± 0	5 ± 2	2 ± 2	37 ± 1	
Ré Island	8	44 ± 2	66	0	7	3	3	20	
Ni									
La Rochelle	30	33 + 1	26 + 4	12 + 6	2 + 1	7 + 2	25 + 7	27 + 9	
La Rochelle	30	39 ± 1 39 ± 1	39 ± 7	12 ± 0 14 ± 3	2 ± 1 2 + 1	5 ± 2	12 ± 7	27 ± 5 28 + 6	
La Rochelle	30	45 ± 2	40 ± 9	7 + 1	2 ± 1 2 + 0	5 ± 2 5 + 2	12 ± 7 16 + 7	30 ± 14	
Ré Island	30	32 ± 1	36 + 9	8 + 2	$\frac{2}{3} \pm 0$	5 ± 2 7 + 1	10 ± 7 12 + 4	34 + 6	
Ré Island	8	44 ± 2	36	7	2	10	21	24	
Dh									
ru La Dochalla	30	33 ± 1	16 + 3	30 ± 0	5 + 1	7 ± 1	16 ± 2	17 +5	
La Rochelle	30	33 ± 1 30 ± 1	10 ± 3 22 ± 3	39 ± 9 38 ± 7	$J \pm 1$ 4 ± 1	7 ± 1 4 ± 2	10 ± 2 15 ± 7	17 ± 3 17 ± 4	
La Rochelle	30	39 ± 1 45 ± 2	22 ± 3 28 + 3	36 ± 7 26 ± 4	4 ± 1 3 + 1	4 ± 2 4 ± 1	13 ± 7 22 ± 11	17 ± 4 17 ± 4	
Ré Island	30	43 ± 2 32 ± 1	28 ± 3 28 ± 2	20 ± 4 21 ± 5	3 ± 1 2 + 1	4 ± 1 6 ± 2	$\frac{22 \pm 11}{18 \pm 7}$	17 ± 4 26 ± 6	
Ré Island	8	32 ± 1 44 + 2	20 ± 2	21 ± 5	3	6	33	20±0 14	
TC Island	0	11 - 2	21	25	5	0	55	11	
II L. D. shalla	20	22 + 1	24 + 10	1 . 0	4 + 0	7.4	12 . 0	51 . 11	
La Rochelle	20	33 ± 1	24 ± 10	1 ± 0	4 ± 0 2 + 1	/±4	15 ± 9	31 ± 11	
La Rochelle	20	39 ± 1	41 ± 14 41 ± 21	0 ± 0	5 ± 1	0 ± 3	3 ± 2	43 ± 11 47 ± 20	
La Kochelle Dé Island	30	43 ± 2 32 ± 1	41 ± 21 38 ± 14	1 ± 0 1 + 0	2 ± 1 1 ± 1	5 ± 2 5 ± 1	7 ± 3 5 ± 3	47 ± 20 50 ± 11	
Ré Island	30	32 ± 1 44 ± 2	13	1 ± 0	1 1 1	5±1 4	5 ± 5 14	35	
	0	44 ± 2	45	1	5	4	14	55	
V	20	22 1		a 1				20 1	
La Rochelle	30	33 ± 1	54 ± 5	2 ± 1	2 ± 1	8 ± 3	4 ± 2	29 ± 4	
La Rochelle	30	39 ± 1	51 ± 5	2 ± 1	3 ± 1	6 ± 3	4 ± 1	34 ± 5	
La Rochelle	30	45 ± 2	54 ± 9	2 ± 0	2 ± 0	8 ± 2	6 ± 3	27 ± 9	
Re Island	30	32 ± 1	56 ± 4	1 ± 0	1 ± 0	5 ± 1	2 ± 1	35 ± 3	
Re Island	8	44 ± 2	67	1	2	4	1	24	
Zn									
La Rochelle	30	33 ± 1	7 ± 2	70 ± 10	1 ± 0	3 ± 1	9 ± 1	10 ± 6	
La Rochelle	30	39 ± 1	6 ± 0	79 ± 0	1 ± 0	2 ± 1	9 ± 1	5 ± 0	
La Rochelle	30	45 ± 2	7 ± 2	70 ± 6	1 ± 0	2 ± 1	13 ± 1	7 ± 2	
Ré Island	30	32 ± 1	12 ± 3	57 ± 10	3 ± 1	5 ± 2	13 ± 3	11 ± 3	
Ré Island	8	44 ± 2	10	64	1	1	14	10	

Species	Location	n	Ag	Al	Cd	Co	Cr	Cu	Mn	Ni	Pb	Zn	Reference
Digestive gland													
Aequipecten opercularis	English Channel	11	77	43	27	-	4.7	-	-	-	-	228	Bryan (1973)
Adamussium colbecki	Antarctic	30	-	-	142 ± 57	-	1.7 ± 0.4	12.6 ± 3.3	3.4 ± 1.2	-	-	74.9 ± 25.4	Mauri et al. (1990)
Chlamys varia	Bay of Biscay	30	61.2 ± 29.1	1951 ± 239	39.6 ± 2.5	1.79 ± 0.64	5.98 ± 2.53	135 ± 9	113 ± 47	5.73 ± 1.06	4.17 ± 0.56	344 ± 44	Present study
Pecten jacobeus	Mediterranean	30	-	-	41.0 ± 18.2	-	4.8 ± 2.8	16.6 ± 6.6	49.0 ± 23.0	-	-	124 ± 55	Mauri et al. (1990)
Pecten maximus	English Channel	11	13.6	173	321	1.28 ± 0.46	8.1	57.9 ± 27.4	15.6 ± 7.0	3.55 ± 0.67	3.90 ± 0.44	407 ± 123	Bryan (1973)
P. maximus	Irish Sea	1	8.9	340	96	0.68	1.8	25	410	0.96	1.7	1100	Segar et al. 1971
Kidney													
Aequipecten opercularis	English Channel	11	35	58	41	-	6.6	-	-	-	-	54700	Bryan (1973)
Adamussium colbecki	Antarctic	30	-	-	11.6 ± 3.3	-	1.5 ± 0.5	4.0 ± 1.7	16.3 ± 8.9	-	-	199 ± 89	Mauri et al. (1990)
Chlamys varia	Bay of Biscay	30	5.01 ± 3.77	199 ± 113	52.4 ± 10.0	9.71 ± 2.14	4.37 ± 0.95	271 ± 14	11855 ± 4517	$10.79~\pm$	$41.57 \pm$	34212 ± 1885	Present study
Pecten jacobeus	Mediterranean	30	-	-	10.7 ± 4.0	-	0.33 ± 0.15	17.5 ± 10.9	6390 ± 3030	-		2790 ± 1340	Mauri et al. (1990)
Pecten maximus	English Channel	11	4.3	53.5	79	9.05 ± 3.07	3.9	20.8 ± 10.3	15300 ± 4100	22.9 ± 6.3	159 ± 38	19300 ± 4800	Bryan (1973)

Table 3. Reported mean concentrations of trace elements ($\mu g.g^{-1}$ dry wet) in the digestive gland and kidney of different scallops species from various locations