

# Electrooptic investigations in ammonium hydrogen selenate (NH4 HSeO4)

Jean-Paul Salvestrini, L. Guilbert, M. D. Fontana, Z. Czapla

### ► To cite this version:

Jean-Paul Salvestrini, L. Guilbert, M. D. Fontana, Z. Czapla. Electrooptic investigations in ammonium hydrogen selenate (NH4 HSeO4). Ferroelectrics, 1996, 185 (1), pp.257-260. 10.1080/00150199608210527 . hal-00186463

## HAL Id: hal-00186463 https://hal.science/hal-00186463

Submitted on 3 Dec 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

#### ELECTROOPTIC INVESTIGATIONS IN AMMONIUM HYDROGEN SELENATE (NH4HSeO4)

J.P. SALVESTRINI\*, L. GUILBERT\*, M. D. FONTANA\* and Z. CZAPLA\*\* \*Laboratoire Matériaux Optiques à Propriétés Spécifiques, C.L.O.E.S. University of Metz and Supelec, 2 rue E. Belin, 57078 Metz Cedex 3, France \*\*Institute of Experimental Physics, University of Wroclaw, 50-204 Wroclaw, Pl Maxa Borna 9, Poland

<u>Abstract</u> We present optical and electrooptical characterization of ammonium hydrogen selenate ( $NH_4HSeO_4$ ). The properties of this new electrooptic crystal are compared with these of rubidium hydrogen selenate ( $RbHSeO_4$ ).

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The use of highly efficient devices for the treatment of the optical signal continuously drives the research of new optical materials for various specific applications. Thus, demands for electrooptic (EO) modulators using the Pockels effect need high optical quality material with large EO coefficients and other requirements such as a large transmission spectrum. Especially interesting for EO and non linear optic applications<sup>1</sup>, the group of the hydrogen-bonded compounds, like KDP or ADP, has been largely studied and widely used in different commercially available systems for a long time. We have shown<sup>2</sup> that rubidium hydrogen selenate (RbHSeO<sub>4</sub>), which belongs to the same family as KDP or ADP, exhibits very large EO coefficients as well as a small thermooptic coefficient and therefore seems to be promising for opto-electronic devices. In this paper we present the results obtained from the optical and EO characterization of a second selenate crystal : the ammonium hydrogen selenate (NH<sub>4</sub>HSeO<sub>4</sub>).

#### SYMMETRY CONSIDERATIONS

Ammonium hydrogen selenate (AHSe) is a crystal which is paraelectric and presents the monoclinic symmetry<sup>3</sup> (I2) at room temperature. It possess ferroelectric properties below 261 K. In the configurations used in our EO experiments, where the electric field

E is applied along the z axis, the index ellipsoid of the crystal under application of an electric field is given by :

$$\left(\frac{1}{n_x^2} + r_{13}E\right)x^2 + \left(\frac{1}{n_y^2} + r_{23}E\right)y^2 + \left(\frac{1}{n_z^2} + r_{33}E\right)z^2 + 2r_{63}Exy = 1$$
 (1)

where  $n_x$ ,  $n_y$  and  $n_z$  are the refractive indices in the principal axis system of the crystal without applied field and  $r_{13}$ ,  $r_{23}$ ,  $r_{33}$  and  $r_{63}$  are the EO coefficients. For a light beam propagating along the x axis, equation (1) becomes :

$$\left(\frac{1}{n_y^2} + r_{23}E\right)y^2 + \left(\frac{1}{n_z^2} + r_{33}E\right)z^2 = 1$$
(2)

We can rewrite equation (2) as :

$$\frac{y^2}{n_y^2} + \frac{z^2}{n_z^2} = 1$$
(3)

where  $n'_y = n_y - (n_y^3 r_{23}E)/2$  and  $n'_z = n_z - (n_z^3 r_{33}E)/2$ Finally, the electric field induced birefringence  $\delta \Delta n_{yz}$  is given by :

$$\delta \Delta n_{yz}(E) = -\frac{1}{2} n_y^3 r_c E \quad \text{with} \quad r_c = r_{23} - \frac{n_z^3}{n_y^3} r_{33} \tag{4}$$

In the same way, we can deduce the electric field induced birefringence  $\delta \Delta n_{xz}$  obtained for a light propagating along the y axis :

$$\delta \Delta n_{xz}(E) = -\frac{1}{2} n_x^3 r'_c E \quad \text{with} \quad r'_c = r_{13} - \frac{n_z^3}{n_x^3} r_{33}$$
(5)

Considering that the electric field induced phase shift between the two light polarization components is  $\Gamma = \frac{2\pi L}{\lambda} \delta \Delta n$  and that V = E d, we can rewrite equation (4) and (5) as :

$$\Gamma_{yz} = \frac{\pi L}{\lambda d} n_y^3 r_c V \quad , \quad \Gamma_{xz} = \frac{\pi L}{\lambda d} n_x^3 r'_c V \qquad (6)$$

where d is the inter electrodes spacing, L is the crystal length along the laser beam propagation direction,  $\lambda$  is the wavelength of the laser beam and V the voltage amplitude.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

We use a good optical quality crystal, with 7.11 x 2.44 x  $1.5 \text{ mm}^3$  in size. The crystal is placed into an experimental setup described previously<sup>4</sup>, which yields the transmission factor between output I and input I<sub>0</sub> laser intensities :

$$T = \frac{1}{I_0} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 - \sin(\Gamma - 2\beta) \right]$$
(7)

where  $\beta$  is the analyser angle. If an ac electric field  $E = E_m \sin \omega_m t$  is applied on the crystal, the phase shift  $\Gamma$  can be expressed as :

$$\Gamma = \Gamma(0) + \Gamma_{\rm m} \sin \omega_{\rm m} t \tag{8}$$

where  $\Gamma(0)$  is the phase shift corresponding to the spontaneous birefringence of the crystal and  $\Gamma_m$  is induced by the ac field at the  $\omega_m$  frequency. The phase shift  $\Gamma_m$  due to the EO effect is assumed to be very small. Moreover, if the azimuthal position of the analyser is adjusted at a particular point where  $\beta = \Gamma(0)/2 + k\pi/2$ , equation (7) becomes, after expansion in Bessel functions limited to the first order :

$$T = \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\Gamma_m}{2} \sin \omega_m t$$
(9)

The second term of the equation (9) corresponds to the output modulated signal defined by the modulation coefficient m as :

$$m = \frac{2J_{\omega}}{I_0} = \Gamma_m \tag{10}$$

where  $J_{\omega}$  is the amplitude of the output modulated signal. Using equations (6) and (8), we can derive the value of  $r_c$  and  $r'_c$  as :

$$r_{c} = \frac{\lambda \, dm}{\pi \, Ln_{y}^{3} \, V} \quad , \quad r'_{c} = \frac{\lambda \, dm}{\pi \, Ln_{x}^{3} \, V} \tag{11}$$

Measurements are performed at room temperature (T = 23.1 °C) using the 633 nm laser wavelength of a HeNe laser, and an ac voltage of 50 volts amplitude with the frequency varying between 500 Hz and 200 kHz. Results are plotted in figure 1. We have measured the refractive indices, for wavelengths corresponding to visible spectrum :  $n_x \sim 1.56$ ,  $n_y \sim 1,54$ ,  $n_z \sim 1.58$ . Instead of the EO coefficient r we plot the figure of merit  $n^3$  r, deduced from equation (11). The value of the figure of merit  $n_x^3$  r'<sub>c</sub> is larger than the value of  $n_y^3$  r<sub>c</sub> but exhibits the same behavior as a function of the modulation frequency. The values of the two figures of merit present a large decrease with increasing frequency. Thus, for a modulation frequency varying from 200 Hz up to 10 kHz,  $n_x^3$  r'<sub>c</sub> = 115 pm/V and  $n_y^3$  r<sub>c</sub> = 5.9 pm/V whereas they become respectively 40 pm/V and 2 pm/V for a frequency of 100 kHz. These last values are comparable to those of ADP. Even if they are large at lower frequencies (200 Hz), the values of  $n_x^3$ r'<sub>c</sub> and  $n_y^3$  r<sub>c</sub>, are much lower than this of RbHSeO<sub>4</sub><sup>2</sup> which is 13240 pm/V.

In order to complete the characterization of AHSe we have measured its transmission spectrum by using the CARY 17 setup. Figure 2 shows this spectrum obtained, for a non polarized light and for a crystal length of 1.5 mm in the z direction (corresponding to the light propagation direction). We can notice that the transmission spectrum is wider in the infrared compared to ADP<sup>5</sup>. Indeed, for a wavelength of 2000

nm, the value of the transmission for ADP is only a few percents compared to at least 20 percents for AHSe. Nevertheless, the maximal transmission value of AHSe in our measurements is only 60 percents. It may be attributed to surface quality since the high hygroscopy of AHSe damages the faces of the crystal.



FIGURE 1 Modulation frequency behaviour of FIGURE 2 Transmission spectrum  $n_x^3 r'_c$  and  $n_y^3 r_c$  in NH<sub>4</sub>HSeO<sub>4</sub> of NH<sub>4</sub>HSeO<sub>4</sub>

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. P. Günter, in <u>Electro-Optic and Photorefractive Materials</u>, edited by P. Gunter (Springer, Berlin, 1986), vol. 18, pp. 2
- 2. J.P. Salvestrini, M.D. Fontana, M. Aillerie and Z. Czapla, <u>Applied Physics Letters</u>, <u>64</u>, 1920 (1994)
- 3. Z. Czapla, T. Lis and L. Sobczyk, Phys. Stat. Sol., A1, 47 (1979)
- M. Aillerie, M.D. Fontana, F. Abdi, C. Carabatos Nedelec and N. Theofanous, <u>SPIE</u>, 1018, 92 (1988)
- 5. D. Eimerl, Ferroelectrics, 72, 225 (1987)