Variations and estimators for the selfsimilarity order through Malliavin calculus

Ciprian A. Tudor, Frederi Viens

Variations and estimators for selfsimilarity parameters via Malliavin calculus

Ciprian A. Tudor[1] Frederi G. Viens *,[2]

[1]SAMOS-MATISSE, Centre d'Economie de La Sorbonne,
Université de Paris 1 Panthéon-Sorbonne ,
90, rue de Tolbiac, 75634, Paris, France.
tudor@univ-paris1.fr

[2] Dept. Statistics and Dept. Mathematics, Purdue University,
150 N. University St., West Lafayette, IN 47907-2067, USA.
viens@purdue.edu

February 24, 2009

Abstract

Using multiple stochastic integrals and the Malliavin calculus, we analyze the asymptotic behavior of quadratic variations for a specific non-Gaussian selfsimilar process, the Rosenblatt process. We apply our results to the design of strongly consistent statistical estimators for the selfsimilarity parameter H. Although in the case of the Rosenblatt process our estimator has non-Gaussian asymptotics for all $H > 1/2$, we show the remarkable fact that the process's data at time 1 can be used to construct a distinct, compensated estimator with Gaussian asymptotics for $H \in (1/2, 2/3)$.

2000 AMS Classification Numbers: 60F05, 60H05, 60G18, 62F12.

Key words: multiple stochastic integral, Hermite process, fractional Brownian motion, Rosenblatt process, Malliavin calculus, non-central limit theorem, quadratic variation, Hurst parameter, selfsimilarity, statistical estimation.

1 Introduction

1.1 Context and motivation

A selfsimilar process is a stochastic process such that any part of its trajectory is invariant under time scaling. Selfsimilar processes are of considerable interest in practice in modeling various phenomena, including internet traffic (see e.g. [32]), hydrology (see e.g. [13]), or economics (see e.g. [12], [31]). In various applications, empirical data also shows strong correlation of observations, indicating the presence, in addition to selfsimilarity, of long-range dependence. We refer to the monographs [7] or [25] for various properties and fields of applications of such processes.

*Author's reserach partially supported by NSF grant 0606615

The motivation for this work is to examine non-Gaussian selfsimilar processes using tools from stochastic analysis. We will focus our attention on a special such process, the so-called Rosenblatt process. It belongs to a class of selfsimilar processes which also exhibit long range dependence, and which appear as limits in the so-called Non-Central Limit Theorem: the class of *Hermite* processes. We study the behavior of the quadratic variations for the Rosenblatt process Z, which is related to recent results by [16], [17], [14], and we apply the results to the study of estimators for the selfsimilarity parameter of Z. Recently, results on variations or weighted quadratic variation of the fractional Brownian motion have been obtained in [16], [17], [14], among others. The Hermite processes were introduced by Taqqu (see [27], [28]) and by Dobrushin and Major (see [5]). The Hermite process of order $q \geq 1$ can be written for every $t \geq 0$ as

$$Z_H^q(t) = c(H,q) \int_{\mathbb{R}^q} \left[\int_0^t \left(\prod_{i=1}^q (s - y_i)_+^{-\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1-H}{q}\right)} \right) ds \right] dW(y_1) \dots dW(y_q), \tag{1}$$

where $c(H,q)$ is an explicit positive constant depending on q and H and such that $\mathbf{E}\left(Z_H^q(1)^2\right) = 1$, $x_+ = \max(x, 0)$, the selfsimilarity (Hurst) parameter H belongs to the interval $(\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ and the above integral is a multiple Wiener-Itô stochastic integral with respect to a two-sided Brownian motion $(W(y))_{y \in \mathbb{R}}$ (see [21]). We mention that the Hermite processes of order $q > 1$, which are non-Gaussian, have only been defined for $H > \frac{1}{2}$; how to define these processes for $H \leq \frac{1}{2}$ it is still an open problem.

The case $q = 1$ is the well-known fractional Brownian motion (fBm): it is Gaussian. One recognizes that when $q = 1$, (1) is the moving average representation of fractional Brownian motion. The Rosenblatt process is the case $q = 2$. All Hermite processes share the following basic properties:

- they exhibit long-range dependence (the long-range covariance decays at the rate of the non-summable power function n^{2H-2});
- they are H-selfsimilar in the sense that for any $c > 0$, $\left(Z_H^q(ct)\right)_{t \geq 0}$ and $\left(c^H Z_H^q(t)\right)_{t \geq 0}$ are equal in distribution;
- they have stationary increments, that is, the distribution of $\left(Z_H^q(t+h) - Z_H^q(h)\right)_{t \geq 0}$ does not depend on $h > 0$;
- they share the same covariance function

  $$\mathbf{E}\left[Z_H^q(t) Z_H^q(s)\right] =: R^H(t,s) = \frac{1}{2}\left(t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t-s|^{2H}\right), \qquad s, t \geq 0;$$

  consequently, for every $s, t \geq 0$ the expected squared increment of the Hermite process is

  $$\mathbf{E}\left[\left(Z_H^q(t) - Z_H^q(s)\right)^2\right] = |t-s|^{2H}, \tag{2}$$

  from which it follows by Kolmogorov's continuity criterion, and the fact that each $L^p(\Omega)$-norm of the increment of Z_H^q over $[s,t]$ is commensurate with its $L^2(\Omega)$-norm, that this process is almost-surely Hölder continuous of any order $\delta < H$;
- the q-th Hermite process lives in the so-called q-th Wiener chaos of the underlying Wiener process W, since it is a q-th order Wiener integral.

The stochastic analysis of fBm has been developped intensively in recent years and its applications are many. Other Hermite processes are less studied, but are still of interest because of their long range

dependence, selfsimilarity and stationarity of increments. The great popularity of fBm in modeling is due to these properties, and that one prefers fBm rather than higher order Hermite process because it is a Gaussian process, and its calculus is much easier. But in concrete situations when empirical data attests to the presence of selfsimilarity and long memory without the Gaussian property, one can use a Hermite process living in a higher chaos.

The Hurst parameter H characterizes all the important properties of a Hermite process, as seen above. Therefore, estimating H properly is of the utmost importance. Several statistics have been introduced to this end, such as wavelets, k-variations, variograms, maximum likelihood estimators, or spectral methods. Information on these various approaches can be found in the book of Beran [1].

In this paper we will use variation statistics to estimate H. Let us recall the context. Suppose that a process $(X_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ is observed at discrete times $\{0, \frac{1}{N}, \dots, \frac{N-1}{N}, 1\}$ and let a be a "filter" of length $l \geq 0$ and $p \geq 1$ a fixed power; that is, a is an $l+1$ dimensional vector $a = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_l)$ such that $\sum_{q=0}^{l} a_q q^r = 0$ for $0 \leq r \leq p-1$ and $\sum_{q=0}^{l} a_q q^p \neq 0$. Then the k-variation statistic associated to the filter a is defined as

$$V_N(k,a) = \frac{1}{N-l} \sum_{i=l}^{N-1} \left[\frac{\left|V_a\left(\frac{i}{N}\right)\right|^k}{\mathbf{E}\left[\left|V_a\left(\frac{i}{N}\right)\right|^k\right]} - 1 \right]$$

where for $i \in \{l, \cdots, N\}$,

$$V_a\left(\frac{i}{N}\right) = \sum_{q=0}^{l} a_q X\left(\frac{i-q}{N}\right).$$

When X is fBm, these statistics are used to derive strongly consistent estimators for the Hurst parameter, and their associated normal convergence results. A detailed study can be found in [8], [11] or more recently in [4]. The behavior of $V_N(k,a)$ is used to derive similar behaviors for the corresponding estimators. The basic result for fBm is that, if $p > H + \frac{1}{4}$, then the renormalized k-variation $V_N(k,a)$ converges to a standard normal distribution. The easiest and most natural case is that of the filter $a = \{1, -1\}$, in which case $p = 1$; one then has the restriction $H < \frac{3}{4}$. The techniques used to prove such convergence in the fBm case in the above references are strongly related to the Gaussian property of the observations; they appear not to extend to non-Gaussian situations.

Our purpose here is to develop new techniques that can be applied to both the fBm case and other non-Gaussian selfsimilar processes. Since this is the first attempt in such a direction, we keep things as simple as possible: we treat the case of the filter $a = \{1, -1\}$ with a k-variation order $= 2$ (quadratic variation), but the method can be generalized. As announced above, we further specialize to the simplest non-Gaussian Hermite process, i.e. the one of order 2, the Rosenblatt process. We now give a short overview of our results (a more detailed summary of these facts is given in the next subsection). We obtain that, after suitable normalization, the quadratic variation statistic of the Rosenblatt process converges to a Rosenblatt random variable with the same selfsimilarity order; in fact, this random variable is the observed value of the original Rosenblatt process at time 1, and the convergence occurs in the mean square. More precisely, the quadratic variation statistic can be decomposed into the sum of two terms: a term in the fourth Wiener chaos (that is, an iterated integral of order 4 with respect to the Wiener process) and a term in the second Wiener chaos. The fourth Wiener chaos term is well-behaved, in the sense that it has a Gaussian limit in distribution, but the second Wiener chaos term is ill-behaved, in the sense that its asymptotics are non-Gaussian, and are in fact Rosenblatt-distributed. This term being of a higher order than the well-behaved one, it is responsible for the asymptotics of the entire statistic. But since its convergence occurs in the mean-square, and the limit is observed, we can construct an adjusted variation by subtracting the contribution of the ill-behaved term. We find

an estimator for the selfsimilarity parameter of the Rosenblatt process, based on observed data, whose asymptotic distribution is normal.

Our main tools are the Malliavin calculus, the Wiener-Itô chaos expansions, and recent results on the convergence of multiple stochastic integrals proved in [10], [22], [23], or [24]. The key point is the following: if the observed process X lives in some Wiener chaos of finite order, then the statistic V_N can be decomposed, using product formulas and Wiener chaos calculus, into a finite sum of multiple integrals. Then one can attempt to apply the criteria in [22] to study the convergence in law of such sequences and to derive asymptotic normality results, and/or lack thereof, on the estimators for the Hurst parameter of the observed process. The criteria in [22] are necessary and sufficient conditions for convergence to the Gaussian law; in some instances, these criteria fail (e.g. the fBm case with $H > 3/4$), in which case a proof of non-normal convergence "by hand", working directly with the chaoses, can be employed. It is the basic Wiener chaos calculus that makes this possible.

1.2 Summary of results

We now summarize the main results in this paper in some detail. As stated above, we use quadratic variation with $a = \{1, -1\}$. We consider the following two processes, observed at the discrete times $\{i/N\}_{i=0}^{N}$: the fBm process $X = B$, and the Rosenblatt process $X = Z$. In either case, the standardized quadratic variation, and the Hurst parameter estimator, are given by

$$V_N = V_N\left(2, \{-1, 1\}\right) := \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\left|X\left(i/N\right) - X\left(\left(i-1\right)/N\right)\right|^2}{N^{-2H}} - 1\right), \tag{3}$$

$$\hat{H}_N = \hat{H}_N(2, \{-1, 1\}) := \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\log N}\log\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(X(\frac{i}{N}) - X(\frac{i-1}{N})\right)^2. \tag{4}$$

We choose to use the normalization $\frac{1}{N}$ in the definition of V_N (as e.g. in [4]) although sometimes in the literature it does not appears. The H-dependent constants $c_{j,H}$ (et. al.) referred to below are defined explicitly in lines (8), (12), (27), (20), (18), and (39). Here and throughout, $L^2\left(\Omega\right)$ denotes the set of square-integrable random variables measurable w.r.t. the sigma-field generated by W. This sigma-field is the same as that generated by B or by Z. The term "Rosenblatt random variable" denotes a r.v. whose distribution is the same as that of $Z\left(1\right)$.

We first recall the followings facts, relative to fractional Brownian motion.

1. if $X = B$ and $H \in (1/2, 3/4)$, then
   (a) $\sqrt{N/c_{1,H}}V_N$ converges in distribution to the standard normal law;
   (b) $\sqrt{N}\log(N)\frac{2}{\sqrt{c_{1,H}}}\left(\hat{H}_N - H\right)$ converges in distribution to the standard normal law;
2. if $X = B$ and $H \in (3/4, 1)$, then
   (a) $\sqrt{N^{4-4H}/c_{2,H}}V_N$ converges in $L^2\left(\Omega\right)$ to a standard Rosenblatt random variable with parameter $H_0 = 2H - 1$;
   (b) $N^{1-H}\log(N)\frac{2}{\sqrt{c_{2,H}}}\left(\hat{H}_N - H\right)$ converges in $L^2\left(\Omega\right)$ to the same standard Rosenblatt random variable;
3. if $X = B$ and $H = 3/4$, then

(a) $\sqrt{N/\left(c'_{1,H}\log N\right)}V_N$ converges in distribution to the standard normal law;

(b) $\sqrt{N\log N}\frac{2}{\sqrt{c'_{1,H}}}(\hat{H}_N(2,a)-H)$ converges in distribution to the standard normal law.

The convergences for the standardized V_N's in points 1.a) and 2.a) have been known for some time, in works such as [28] or [9]. Lately, even stronger results, which also give error bounds, have been proven. We refer to [19] for the one dimensional case and $H\in(0,\frac{3}{4})$, [2] for then one-dimensional case and $H\in[\frac{3}{4},1)$ and to [20] for the multidimensional case and $H\in(0,\frac{3}{4})$.

In this paper we prove the following results for the Rosenblatt process $X=Z$, as $N\to\infty$.

4. if $X=Z$ and $H\in(1/2,1)$, then with $c_{3,H}$ in (18),

   (a) $N^{1-H}V_N(2,a)/\left(c_{3,H}\right)$ converges in $L^2(\Omega)$ to the Rosenblatt random variable $Z(1)$;

   (b) $\frac{N^{1-H}}{2c_{3,H}}\log(N)\ (\hat{H}_N(2,a)-H)$ converges in $L^2(\Omega)$ to the same Rosenblatt random variable $Z(1)$;

5. if $X=Z$ and $H\in(1/2,2/3)$, then with $e_{1,H}$ and $f_{1,H}$ in (27) and (39),

   (a) $\frac{\sqrt{N}}{\sqrt{e_{1,H}+f_{1,H}}}\left[V_N(2,a)-\frac{\sqrt{c_{3,H}}}{N^{1-H}}Z(1)\right]$ converges in distribution to the standard normal law;

   (b) $\frac{\sqrt{N}}{\sqrt{e_{1,H}+f_{1,H}}}\left[2\log(N)\ (H-\hat{H}_N(2,a))-\frac{\sqrt{c_{3,H}}}{N^{1-H}}Z(1)\right]$ converges in distribution to the standard normal law.

Note that $Z(1)$ is the **actual observed value** of the Rosenblatt process at time 1, which is why it is legitimate to include it in a formula for an estimator. Points 4 and 5 are new results. The subject of variations and statistics for the Rosenblatt process has received too narrow a treatment in the literature, presumably because standard techniques inherited from the Non Central Limit Theorem (and based sometimes on the Fourier transform formula for the driving Gaussian process) are difficult to apply (see [3], [5], [28]). Our Wiener chaos calculus approach allows us to show that the standardized quadratic variation and corresponding estimator both converge to a Rosenblatt random variable in $L^2(\Omega)$. Here our method has a crucial advantage: we are able to determine which Rosenblatt random variable it converges to; it is none other than the observed value $Z(1)$. The fact we are able to prove $L^2(\Omega)$ convergence, not just convergence in distribution, is crucial. Indeed, when $H<2/3$, subtracting an appropriately normalized version of this observed value from the quadratic variation and its associated estimator, we prove that asymptotic normality does hold in this case. This unexpected result has important consequences for the statistics of the Rosenblatt process, since it permits the use of standard artillery in parameter estimation and testing.

Our asymptotic normality result for the Rosenblatt process was specifically made possible by showing that V_N can be decomposed into two terms: a term T_4 in the fourth Wiener chaos and a term T_2 in the second Wiener chaos. While the second-Wiener-chaos term T_2 always converges to the Rosenblatt r.v. $Z(1)$, the fourth chaos term T_4 converges to a Gaussian r.v. for $H\leq 3/4$. We conjecture that this asymptotic normality should also occur for Hermite processes of higher order $q\geq 3$, and that the threshold $H=3/4$ is universal. The threshold $H<2/3$ in the results above comes from the discrepancy that exists between a normalized T_2 and its observed limit $Z(1)$. If we were to rephrase results 4 and 5 above with T_2 instead of $Z(1)$ (which is not a legitimate operation when defining an estimator since T_2 is not observed), the threshold would be $H\leq 3/4$ and the constant $f_{1,H}$ would vanish.

Beyond our basic interest concerning parameter estimation problems, let us situate our paper in the context of some recent and interesting works on the asymptotic behavior of p-variations (or weighted variations) for Gaussian processes, namely the papers [14], [16], [17], and [26]. These recent papers study the behavior of sequences of the type

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} h\left(X\left((i-1)/N\right)\right)\left(\frac{\left|X\left(i/N\right)-X\left(\left(i-1\right)/N\right)\right|^{2}}{N^{-2H}}-1\right)$$

where X is a Gaussian process (fractional Brownian motion in [14], [16] and [17], and the solution of the heat equation driven by a space-time white noise in [26]) or the iterated Brownian motion in [18], and h is a regular deterministic function. In the fractional Brownian motion case, the behavior of such sums varies according to the values of the Hurst parameter, the limit being sometimes a conditionally Gaussian random variable, sometimes a deterministic Riemann integral and sometimes a pathwise integral with respect to a Hermite process. We believe our work is the first to tackle a non-Gaussian case, that is, when the process X above is a Rosenblatt process. Although we restrict ourselves to the case when $h \equiv 1$ we still observe the appearance of interesting limits, depending on the Hurst parameter: while in general the limit of the suitably normalized sequence is a Rosenblatt random variable (with the same Hurst parameter H as the data, which poses a slight problem for statistical applications), the adjusted variations (that is to say, the sequences obtained by precisely subtracting the portion responsible for the non-Gaussian convergence) do converge to a Gaussian limit for $H \in (1/2, 2/3)$.

This article is structured as follows. Section 2 presents preliminaries on fractional stochastic analysis. Section 3 contains proofs of our results for the non-Gaussian, Rosenblatt process. Some calculations are recorded as lemmas that are proved in the Appendix (Section 5). Section 4 establishes our parameter estimation results, which follow nearly trivially from the theorems in Section 3.

We wish to thank an anonymous referee who pointed out an number of inaccuracies in the original submission.

2 Preliminaries

Here we describe the elements from stochastic analysis that we will need in the paper. Consider $\mathcal{H}$ a real separable Hilbert space and $(B(\varphi), \varphi \in \mathcal{H})$ an isonormal Gaussian process, that is a centered Gaussian family of random variables such that $\mathbf{E}\left(B(\varphi)B(\psi)\right) = \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Denote by I_n the multiple stochastic integral with respect to B (see [21]). This I_n is actually an isometry between the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^{\odot n}$(symmetric tensor product) equipped with the scaled norm $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}}\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}}$ and the Wiener chaos of order n which is defined as the closed linear span of the random variables $H_n(B(\varphi))$ where $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}, \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 1$ and H_n is the Hermite polynomial of degree n.

We recall that any square integrable random variable which is measurable with respect to the σ-algebra generated by B can be expanded into an orthogonal sum of multiple stochastic integrals

$$F = \sum_{n \geq 0} I_n(f_n)$$

where $f_n \in \mathcal{H}^{\odot n}$ are (uniquely determined) symmetric functions and $I_0(f_0) = \mathbf{E}\left[F\right]$.

We actually use in this paper only multiple integrals with respect to the standard Wiener process with time horizon $[0, 1]$ and in this case we will always have $\mathcal{H} = L^2\left([0, 1]\right)$. This notation will be used throughout the paper.

We will need the general formula for calculating products of Wiener chaos integrals of any orders p and q for any symmetric integrands $f \in \mathcal{H}^{\odot p}$ and $g \in \mathcal{H}^{\odot q}$; it is

$$I_p(f)I_q(g) = \sum_{r=0}^{p\wedge q} r! \binom{p}{r} \binom{q}{r} I_{p+q-2r}(f \otimes_r g) \tag{5}$$

as given for instance in D. Nualart's book [21, Proposition 1.1.3]; the contraction $f \otimes_r g$ is the element of $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes(p+q-2r)}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}&(f \otimes_r g)(s_1, \dots, s_{p-r}, t_1, \dots, t_{q-r}) \\ &= \int_{[0,T]^{p+q-2r}} f(s_1, \dots, s_{p-r}, u_1, \dots, u_r) g(t_1, \dots, t_{q-r}, u_1, \dots, u_r) du_1 \dots du_r. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

We now introduce the Malliavin derivative for random variables in a chaos of finite order. If $f \in \mathcal{H}^{\odot n}$ we will use the following rule to differentiate in the Malliavin sense

$$D_t I_n(f) = n I_{n-1}(f_n(\cdot, t)), \quad t \in [0,1].$$

It is possible to characterize the convergence in distribution of a sequence of multiple integrals to the standard normal law. We will use the following result (see Theorem 4 in [22], see also [23]).

Theorem 1 *Fix $n \geq 2$ and let $(F_k, k \geq 1)$, $F_k = I_n(f_k)$ (with $f_k \in \mathcal{H}^{\odot n}$ for every $k \geq 1$) be a sequence of square integrable random variables in the n th Wiener chaos such that $\mathbf{E}[F_k^2] \to 1$ as $k \to \infty$. Then the following are equivalent:*

i) The sequence $(F_k)_{k\geq 0}$ converges in distribution to the normal law $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$.

ii) One has $\mathbf{E}[F_k^4] \to 3$ as $k \to \infty$.

iii) For all $1 \leq l \leq n-1$ it holds that $\lim_{k\to\infty} \|f_k \otimes_l f_k\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\otimes 2(n-l)}} = 0$.

iv) $\|DF_k\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \to n$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ as $k \to \infty$, where D is the Malliavin derivative with respect to B.

Criterion (iv) is due to [22]; we will refer to it as the Nualart–Ortiz-Latorre criterion. A multidimensional version of the above theorem has been proved in [24] (see also [22]).

3 Variations for the Rosenblatt process

Our observed process is a Rosenblatt process $(Z(t))_{t\in[0,1]}$ with selfsimilarity parameter $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. This centered process is selfsimilar with stationary increments, and lives in the second Wiener chaos. Its covariance is identical to that of the fractional Brownian motion. Our goal is to estimate its selfsimilarity parameter H from discrete observations of its sample paths. As far as we know, this direction has seen little or no attention in the literature, and the classical techniques (e.g, the ones from [5], [27], or [28]) do not work well for it. Therefore, the use of the Malliavin calculus and multiple stochastic integrals is of interest.

The Rosenblatt process can be represented as follows (see [29]): for every $t \in [0,1]$

$$Z^H(t) := Z(t) = d(H) \int_0^t \int_0^t \left[\int_{y_1 \vee y_2}^t \partial_1 K^{H'}(u, y_1) \partial_1 K^{H'}(u, y_2) du \right] dW(y_1) dW(y_2) \tag{7}$$

where $(W(t), t \in [0,1])$ is some standard Brownian motion, $K^{H'}$ is the standard kernel of fractional Brownian motion of index H' (see any reference on fBm, such as [21, Chapter 5]), and

$$H' = \frac{H+1}{2} \text{ and } d(H) = \frac{(2(2H-1))^{1/2}}{(H+1)\,H^{1/2}}. \tag{8}$$

For every $t \in [0,1]$ we will denote the kernel of the Rosenblatt process with respect to W by

$$L_t^H(y_1, y_2) := L_t(y_1, y_2) := d(H) \left[\int_{y_1 \vee y_2}^t \partial_1 K^{H'}(u, y_1) \partial_1 K^{H'}(u, y_2) du \right] 1_{[0,t]^2}(y_1, y_2). \tag{9}$$

In other words, in particular, for every t

$$Z(t) = I_2\left(L_t(\cdot)\right)$$

where I_2 denotes the multiple integral of order 2 introduced in Section 2.

Consider now the filter $a = \{-1, 1\}$ and the 2-variations given by

$$V_N(2,a) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\left(Z(\frac{i}{N}) - Z(\frac{i-1}{N})\right)^2}{\mathbf{E}\left(Z(\frac{i}{N}) - Z(\frac{i-1}{N})\right)^2} - 1 = N^{2H-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\left(Z(\frac{i}{N}) - Z(\frac{i-1}{N}) \right)^2 - N^{-2H} \right].$$

The product formula for multiple Wiener-Itô integrals (5) yields

$$I_2(f)^2 = I_4(f \otimes f) + 4 I_2(f \otimes_1 f) + 2\|f\|^2_{L^2([0,1]^2)}.$$

Setting for $i = 1, \ldots, N$

$$A_i := L_{\frac{i}{N}} - L_{\frac{i-1}{N}}; \tag{10}$$

we can thus write

$$\left(Z(\frac{i}{N}) - Z(\frac{i-1}{N}) \right)^2 = (I_2(A_i))^2 = I_4(A_i \otimes A_i) + 4 I_2(A_i \otimes_1 A_i) + N^{-2H}$$

and this implies that the 2-variation is decomposed into a 4th chaos term and a 2nd chaos term:

$$V_N(2,a) = N^{2H-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(I_4(A_i \otimes A_i) + 4 I_2(A_i \otimes_1 A_i) \right) := T_4 + T_2.$$

A detailed study of the two terms above will shed light on some interesting facts: if $H \leq \frac{3}{4}$ the term T_4 continue to exihibit "normal" behavior (when renormalized, it converges in law to a Gaussian distribution), while the term T_2, which turns out to be dominant, never converges to a Gaussian law. One can say that the second Wiener chaos portion is "ill-behaved"; however, once it is subtracted, one obtains a sequence converging to $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ for $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3})$, which has an impact for statistical applications.

3.1 Expectation evaluations

3.1.1 The term T_2

Let us evaluate the mean square of the second term

$$T_2 := 4N^{2H-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} I_2(A_i \otimes_1 A_i).$$

We use the notation $I_i = \left(\frac{i-1}{N}, \frac{i}{N}\right]$ for $i = 1, \ldots, N$. The contraction $A_i \otimes_1 A_i$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}(A_i \otimes_1 A_i)(y_1, y_2) = \int_0^1 A_i(x, y_1) A_i(x, y_2) dx = d(H)^2 \int_0^1 dx \; 1_{[0,\frac{i}{N}]}(y_1 \vee x) 1_{[0,\frac{i}{N}]}(y_2 \vee x) \\ \left(\int_{x \vee y_1}^{\frac{i}{N}} \partial_1 K^{H'}(u, x) \partial_1 K^{H'}(u, y_1) du - 1_{[0,\frac{i-1}{N}]}(y_1 \vee x) \int_{x \vee y_1}^{\frac{i-1}{N}} \partial_1 K^{H'}(u, x) \partial_1 K^{H'}(u, y_1) du \right) \\ \left(\int_{x \vee y_2}^{\frac{i}{N}} \partial_1 K^{H'}(v, x) \partial_1 K^{H'}(v, y_2) dv - 1_{[0,\frac{i-1}{N}]}(y_2 \vee x) \int_{x \vee y_2}^{\frac{i-1}{N}} \partial_1 K^{H'}(v, x) \partial_1 K^{H'}(v, y_2) dv \right). \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

With

$$a(H) := H'\left(2H' - 1\right) = H(H+1)/2 \tag{12}$$

note the following fact (see [21], Chapter 5):

$$\int_0^{u \wedge v} \partial_1 K^{H'}(u, y_1) \partial_1 K^{H'}(v, y_1) dy_1 = a(H) |u - v|^{2H'-2}; \tag{13}$$

in fact, this relation can be easily derived from $\int_0^{u \wedge v} K^{H'}(u, y_1) K^{H'}(v, y_1) dy_1 = R^{H'}(u, v)$, and will be used repeatedly in the sequel.

To use this relation, we first expand the product in the expression for the contraction in (11), taking care of keeping track of the indicator functions. The resulting initial expression for $(A_i \otimes_1 A_i)(y_1, y_2)$ contains 4 terms, which are all of the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} C_{a,b} := d(H)^2 \int_0^1 dx 1_{[0,a]}(y_1 \vee x) \, 1_{[0,b]}(y_2 \vee x) \\ \cdot \int_{u=y_1 \vee x}^{a} \partial_1 K^{H'}(u, x) \, \partial_1 K^{H'}(u, y_1) \, du \int_{v=y_2 \vee x}^{b} \partial_1 K^{H'}(v, x) \, \partial_1 K^{H'}(v, y_2) \, dv. \end{aligned}$$

Here to perform a Fubini by bringing the integral over x inside, we first note that $x < u \wedge v$ while $u \in [y_1, a]$ and $v \in [y_2, b]$. Also note that the conditions $x \leq u$ and $u \leq a$ imply $x \leq a$, and thus $1_{[0,a]}(y_1 \vee x)$ can be replaced, after Fubini, by $1_{[0,a]}(y_1)$. Therefore, using (13), the above expression equals

$$\begin{aligned} C_{a,b} &= d(H)^2 \, 1_{[0,a] \times [0,b]}(y_1, y_2) \int_{y_1}^{a} \partial_1 K^{H'}(u, y_1) \, du \int_{y_2}^{b} \partial_1 K^{H'}(v, y_2) \, dv \int_0^{u \wedge v} \partial_1 K^{H'}(u, x) \, \partial_1 K^{H'}(v, x) \, dx \\ &= d(H)^2 \, a(H) 1_{[0,a] \times [0,b]}(y_1, y_2) \int_{u=y_1}^{a} \int_{v=y_2}^{b} \partial_1 K^{H'}(u, y_1) \, \partial_1 K^{H'}(v, y_2) \, |u - v|^{2H'-2} \, du dv. \\ &= d(H)^2 \, a(H) \int_{u=y_1}^{a} \int_{v=y_2}^{b} \partial_1 K(u, y_1) \, \partial_1 K^{H'}(v, y_2) \, |u - v|^{2H'-2} \, du dv. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality above comes from the fact that the indicator functions in y_1, y_2 are redundant: they can be pulled back into the integral over $dudv$ and therein, the functions $\partial_1 K^{H'}(u, y_1)$ and $\partial_1 K^{H'}(v, y_2)$ are, by definition, as functions of y_1 and y_2, supported by smaller intervals than $[0, a]$ and $[0, b]$, namely $[0, u]$ and $[0, v]$ respectively.

Now, the contraction $(A_i \otimes_1 A_i)(y_1, y_2)$ equals $C_{i/N,i/N} + C_{(i-1)/N,(i-1)/N} - C_{(i-1)/N,i/N} - C_{i/N,(i-1)/N}$. Therefore, from the last expression above,

$$\begin{aligned}(A_i \otimes_1 A_i)(y_1, y_2) = a(H)d(H)^2 \Bigg(&\int_{y_1}^{\frac{i}{N}} du \int_{y_2}^{\frac{i}{N}} dv \partial_1 K^{H'}(u, y_1)\partial_1 K^{H'}(v, y_2)|u - v|^{2H'-2} \\ &- \int_{y_1}^{\frac{i}{N}} du \int_{y_2}^{\frac{i-1}{N}} dv \partial_1 K^{H'}(u, y_1)\partial_1 K^{H'}(v, y_2)|u - v|^{2H'-2} \\ &- \int_{y_1}^{\frac{i-1}{N}} du \int_{y_2}^{\frac{i}{N}} dv \partial_1 K^{H'}(u, y_1)\partial_1 K^{H'}(v, y_2)|u - v|^{2H'-2} \\ &+ \int_{y_1}^{\frac{i-1}{N}} du \int_{y_2}^{\frac{i-1}{N}} dv \partial_1 K^{H'}(u, y_1)\partial_1 K^{H'}(v, y_2)|u - v|^{2H'-2} \Bigg). \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Since the integrands in the above 4 integrals are identical, we can simplify the above formula, grouping the first two terms, for instance, to obtain an integral of v over $I_i = \left(\frac{i-1}{N}, \frac{i}{N}\right]$, with integration over u in $[y_1, \frac{i}{n}]$. The same operation on the last two terms gives negative the same integral over v, with integration over u in $[y_1, \frac{i-1}{n}]$. Then grouping these two resulting terms yields a single term, which is an integral for (u, v) over $I_i \times I_i$. We obtain the following final expression for our contraction:

$$(A_i \otimes_1 A_i)(y_1, y_2) = a(H)d(H)^2 \iint_{I_i \times I_i} \partial_1 K^{H'}(u, y_1)\partial_1 K^{H'}(v, y_2)|u - v|^{2H'-2} du dv. \tag{15}$$

Now, since the integrands in the double Wiener integrals defining T_2 are symmetric, we get

$$\mathbf{E}\left[T_2^2\right] = N^{4H-2} 16 \cdot 2! \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \langle A_i \otimes_1 A_i, A_j \otimes_1 A_j \rangle_{L^2([0,1]^2)}.$$

To evaluate the inner product of the two contractions, we first use Fubini with expression (15); by doing so, one must realize that the support of $\partial_1 K^{H'}(u, y_1)$ is $\{u > y_1\}$, which then makes the upper endpoint 1 for the integration in y_1 redundant; similar remarks hold with u', v, v', and y_2. In other words, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle A_i \otimes_1 A_i, A_j \otimes_1 A_j \rangle_{L^2([0,1])^2} \\ &= a(H)^2 d(H)^4 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 dy_1 dy_2 \int_{I_i} \int_{I_i} \int_{I_j} \int_{I_j} du' dv' du dv \\ &|u - v|^{2H'-2} |u' - v'|^{2H'-2} \partial_1 K^{H'}(u, y_1)\partial_1 K^{H'}(v, y_2)\partial_1 K^{H'}(u', y_1)\partial_1 K^{H'}(v', y_2) \\ &= a(H)^2 d(H)^4 \int_{I_i} \int_{I_i} \int_{I_j} \int_{I_j} |u - v|^{2H'-2} |u' - v'|^{2H'-2} du' dv' dv du \\ &\int_0^{u \wedge u'} \partial_1 K^{H'}(u, y_1)\partial_1 K^{H'}(u', y_1) dy_1 \int_0^{v \wedge v'} \partial_1 K^{H'}(v, y_2)\partial_1 K^{H'}(v', y_2) dy_2 \\ &= a(H)^4 d(H)^4 \int_{I_i} \int_{I_i} \int_{I_j} \int_{I_j} |u - v|^{2H'-2} |u' - v'|^{2H'-2} |u - u'|^{2H'-2} |v - v'|^{2H'-2} du' dv' dv du \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where we used the expression (13) in the last step. Therefore we have immediately

$$\mathbf{E}\left[T_2^2\right] = N^{4H-2}32a(H)^4d(H)^4\sum_{i,j=1}^{N}\int_{I_i}\int_{I_i}\int_{I_j}\int_{I_j}du'dv'dvdu \tag{17}$$
$$\times|u-v|^{2H'-2}|u'-v'|^{2H'-2}|u-u'|^{2H'-2}|v-v'|^{2H'-2}$$

By Lemma 10 in the Appendix, we conclude that

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\mathbf{E}\left[T_2^2\right]N^{2-2H} = 64a(H)^2d(H)^4\left(\frac{1}{2H-1}-\frac{1}{2H}\right) = 16d(H)^2 := c_{3,H}. \tag{18}$$

3.1.2 The term T_4

Now for the L^2-norm of the term denoted by

$$T_4 := N^{2H-1}\sum_{i=1}^{N}I_4(A_i\otimes A_i),$$

by the isometry formula for multiple stochastic integrals, and using a correction term to account for the fact that the integrand in T_4 is non-symmetric, we have

$$\mathbf{E}[T_4^2] = 8N^{4H-2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{N}\langle A_i\otimes A_i; A_j\otimes A_j\rangle_{L^2([0,1]^4)}$$
$$+\,4N^{4H-2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{N}4\langle A_i\otimes_1 A_j; A_j\otimes_1 A_i\rangle_{L^2([0,1]^2)} =: \mathcal{T}_{4,0}+\mathcal{T}_{4,1}.$$

We separate the calculation of the two terms $\mathcal{T}_{4,0}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{4,1}$ above. We will see that these two terms are exactly of the same magnitude, so both calculations have to be performed precisely.

The first term $\mathcal{T}_{4,0}$ can be written as

$$\mathcal{T}_{4,0} = 8N^{4H-2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{N}\left|\langle A_i,A_j\rangle_{L^2([0,1]^2)}\right|^2.$$

We calculate each individual scalar product $\langle A_i,A_j\rangle_{L^2([0,1]^2)}$ as

$$\langle A_i,A_j\rangle_{L^2([0,1]^2)} = \int_0^1\int_0^1 A_i(y_1,y_2)A_j(y_1,y_2)dy_1dy_2 = d(H)^2\int_0^1\int_0^1 dy_1dy_2 1_{[0,\frac{i}{N}\wedge\frac{j}{N}]}(y_1\vee y_2)$$
$$\left(\int_{y_1\vee y_2}^{\frac{i}{N}}\partial_1K^{H'}(u,y_1)\partial_1K^{H'}(u,y_2)du - 1_{[0,\frac{i-1}{N}]}(y_1\vee y_2)\int_{y_1\vee y_2}^{\frac{i-1}{N}}\partial_1K^{H'}(u,y_1)\partial_1K^{H'}(u,y_2)du\right)$$
$$\left(\int_{y_1\vee y_2}^{\frac{j}{N}}\partial_1K^{H'}(v,y_1)\partial_1K^{H'}(v,y_2)dv - 1_{[0,\frac{j-1}{N}]}(y_1\vee y_2)\int_{y_1\vee y_2}^{\frac{j-1}{N}}\partial_1K^{H'}(v,y_1)\partial_1K^{H'}(v,y_2)dv\right)$$
$$= d(H)^2\int_{\frac{i-1}{N}}^{\frac{i}{N}}\int_{\frac{j-1}{N}}^{\frac{j}{N}}dudv\left[\int_0^{u\wedge v}\partial_1K^{H'}(u,y_1)\partial_1K^{H'}(v,y_1)dy_1\right]^2.$$

Here (13) yields

$$\langle A_i, A_j \rangle_{L^2([0,1]^2)} = d(H)^2 a(H)^2 \int_{I_i} \int_{I_j} |u-v|^{2H-2} du dv$$

where again we used the notation $I_i = \left(\frac{i-1}{N}, \frac{i}{N}\right]$ for $i = 1, \ldots, N$. We finally obtain

$$\langle A_i, A_j \rangle_{L^2([0,1]^2)} = \frac{d(H)^2 a(H)^2}{H(2H-1)} \frac{1}{2} \left[2 \left| \frac{i-j}{N} \right|^{2H} - \left| \frac{i-j+1}{N} \right|^{2H} - \left| \frac{i-j-1}{N} \right|^{2H} \right] \tag{19}$$

where, more precisely, $d(H)^2 a(H)^2 (H(2H-1))^{-1} = 2$. Specifically with the constants $c_{1,H}$, $c_{2,H}$, and $c'_{1,H}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} c_{1,H} &:= 2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(2k^{2H} - (k-1)^{2H} - (k+1)^{2H} \right)^2 ; \\ c_{2,H} &:= 2H^2 (2H-1) / (4H-3) ; \quad c'_{1,H} := (2H(2H-1))^2 = 9/16 \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

using Lemmas 8, 9, and an analogous result for $H = 3/4$, we get, asymptotically for large N,

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} N \mathcal{T}_{4,0} = 16 c_{1,H}, \qquad 1/2 < H < \frac{3}{4}, \tag{21}$$

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} N^{4-4H} \mathcal{T}_{4,0} = 16 c_{2,H}, \qquad H > \frac{3}{4}, \tag{22}$$

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{N}{\log N} \mathcal{T}_{4,0} = 16 c'_{1,H} = 16, \qquad H = \frac{3}{4}. \tag{23}$$

The second term $\mathcal{T}_{4,1}$ can be dealt with by obtaining an expression for

$$\langle A_i \otimes_1 A_j ; A_j \otimes_1 A_i \rangle_{L^2([0,1]^2)}$$

in the same way as the expression obtained in (16). We get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{4,1} &= 16 N^{4H-2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \langle A_i \otimes_1 A_j ; A_j \otimes_1 A_i \rangle_{L^2([0,1]^2)} \\ &= 16 d(H)^4 a(H)^4 N^{-2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 dy dz dy' dz' \\ &\quad |y - z + i - j|^{2H'-2} |y' - z' + i - j|^{2H'-2} |y - y' + i - j|^{2H'-2} |z - z' + i - j|^{2H'-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now similarly to the proof of Lemma 10, we find the the following three asymptotic behaviors:

- if $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4})$, then, $\tau_{1,H}^{-1} N \mathcal{T}_{4,1}$ converges to 1, where

$$\tau_{1,H} := 16 d(H)^4 a(H)^4 c_{1,H}; \tag{24}$$

- if $H > \frac{3}{4}$, then $\tau_{2,H}^{-1} N^{4-4H} \mathcal{T}_{4,1}$ converges to 1, where

$$\tau_{2,H} := 32 d(H)^4 a(H)^4 \int_0^1 (1-x) x^{4H-4} dx; \tag{25}$$

- if $H = \frac{3}{4}$ then $\tau_{3,H}^{-1}(N/\log N)\mathcal{T}_{4,1}$ converges to 1, where

$$\tau_{3,H} := 32d(H)^4 a(H)^4. \tag{26}$$

Combining these results for $\mathcal{T}_{4,1}$ with those for $\mathcal{T}_{4,0}$ in lines (21), (22), and (23), we obtain the asymptotics of $\mathbf{E}\left[T_4^2\right]$ as $N \to \infty$:

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} N\mathbf{E}\left[T_4^2\right] = e_{1,H}, \qquad \text{if } H \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}), \quad \lim_{N\to\infty} N^{4-4H}\mathbf{E}\left[T_4^2\right] = e_{2,H}, \qquad \text{if } H \in (\frac{3}{4}, 1)$$
$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{N}{\log N}\mathbf{E}\left[T_4^2\right] = e_{3,H}, \qquad \text{if } H = \frac{3}{4}$$

where, with $\tau_{i,H} : i = 1, 2, 3$ given in (24), (25), (26), we defined

$$e_{1,H} := (1/2)c_{1,H} + \tau_{1,H}, \;\; e_{2,H} := (1/2)c_{2,H} + \tau_{2,H}, \;\; e_{3,H} := c_{3,H} + \tau_{3,H}. \tag{27}$$

Taking into account the estimations (21), (22), (23), with $c_{3,H}$ in (18), we see that $\mathbf{E}\left[T_4^2\right]$ is always of smaller order than $\mathbf{E}\left[T_2^2\right]$; therefore the mean-square behavior of V_N is given by that of the term T_2 only, which means we obtain for every $H > 1/2$

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \mathbf{E}\left[\left(N^{1-H}V_N(2,a)\frac{1}{\sqrt{c_{3,H}}}\right)^2\right] = 1. \tag{28}$$

3.2 Normality of the 4th chaos term T_4 when $H \leq 3/4$

The calculations for T_4 above prove that $\lim_{N\to\infty} \mathbf{E}[G_N^2] = 1$ for $H < 3/4$ where $e_{1,H}$ is given in (27) and

$$G_N := \sqrt{N}N^{2H-1}e_{1,H}^{-1/2} I_4\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} A_i \otimes A_i\right). \tag{29}$$

Similarly, for $H = \frac{3}{4}$, we showed that $\lim_{N\to\infty} \mathbf{E}[\tilde{G}_N^2] = 1$ where $e_{3,H}$ is given in (27) and

$$\tilde{G}_N := \sqrt{\frac{N}{\log N}}N^{2H-1}e_{3,H}^{-1} I_4\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} A_i \otimes A_i\right). \tag{30}$$

Using the criterion of Nualart and Ortiz-Latorre (Part (iv) in Theorem 1), we prove the following asymptotic normality for G_N and $\tilde{G}_N$.

Theorem 2 *If $H \in (1/2, 3/4)$, then G_N given by (29) converges in distribution as*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} G_N = \mathcal{N}(0,1). \tag{31}$$

If $H = 3/4$ then $\tilde{G}_N$ given by (30) converges in distribution as

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \tilde{G}_N = \mathcal{N}(0,1). \tag{32}$$

Proof. We will denote by c a generic positive constant not depending on N.

Step 0: setup and expectation evaluation. Using the derivation rule for multiple stochastic integrals, the Malliavin derivative of G_N is

$$D_r G_N = \sqrt{N} N^{2H-1} e_{1,H}^{-1/2} 4 \sum_{i=1}^{N} I_3\left((A_i \otimes A_i)(\cdot, r)\right)$$

and its norm is

$$\|DG_N\|^2_{L^2([0,1])} = N^{4H-1} 16 e_{1,H}^{-1} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \int_0^1 dr I_3\left((A_i \otimes A_i)(\cdot, r)\right) I_3\left((A_j \otimes A_j)(\cdot, r)\right).$$

The product formula (5) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\|DG_N\|^2_{L^2([0,1])} = N^{4H-1} 16 e_{1,H}^{-1} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \int_0^1 dr \Big[& I_6\left((A_i \otimes A_i)(\cdot, r) \otimes (A_j \otimes A_j)(\cdot, r)\right) \\
& + 9 I_4\left((A_i \otimes A_i)(\cdot, r) \otimes_1 (A_j \otimes A_j)(\cdot, r)\right) \\
& + 9 I_2\left((A_i \otimes A_i)(\cdot, r) \otimes_2 (A_j \otimes A_j)(\cdot, r)\right) \\
& + 3! I_0\left((A_i \otimes A_i)(\cdot, r) \otimes_3 (A_j \otimes A_j)(\cdot, r)\right) \Big] =: J_6 + J_4 + J_2 + J_0.
\end{aligned}$$

First note that, for the non-random term J_0 that gives the expected value of the above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_0 &= 16 e_{1,H}^{-1} N^{4H-1} 3! \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \int_{[0,1]^4} A_i(y_1, y_2) A_i(y_3, y_4) A_j(y_1, y_2) A_j(y_3, y_4) dy_1 dy_2 dy_3 dy_4 \\
&= 96 N^{4H-1} e_{1,H}^{-1} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \left| \langle A_i, A_j \rangle_{L^2([0,1]^2)} \right|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

This sum has already been treated: we know from (21) that $J_0/4$ converges to 1, i.e. that $\lim_{N\to\infty} \mathbf{E}[\|DG_N\|^2_{L^2([0,1])}] = 4$. This mean, by the Nualart–Ortiz-Latorre criterion, that we only need to show that all other terms J_6, J_4, J_2 converge to zero in $L^2(\Omega)$ as $N \to \infty$.

Step 1: order-6 chaos term. We consider first the term J_6:

$$J_6 = c N^{4H-1} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \int_0^1 dr I_6\left((A_i \otimes A_i)(\cdot, r) \otimes (A_j \otimes A_j(\cdot, r))\right) = c N^{4H-1} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} I_6\left((A_i \otimes A_j) \otimes (A_i \otimes_1 A_j)\right).$$

We study the mean square of this term. We have, since the L^2 norm of the symmetrization is less than the L^2 norm of the corresponding unsymmetrized function

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{E}\left[\left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{N} I_6\left((A_i \otimes A_j) \otimes (A_i \otimes_1 A_j)\right) \right)^2 \right] \\
& \leq 6! \sum_{i,j,k,l} \langle (A_i \otimes A_j) \otimes (A_i \otimes_1 A_j), (A_k \otimes A_l) \otimes (A_k \otimes_1 A_l) \rangle_{L^2([0,1]^6)} \\
& = 6! \sum_{i,j,k,l} \langle A_i, A_k \rangle_{L^2([0,1]^2)} \langle A_j, A_l \rangle_{L^2([0,1]^2)} \langle A_i \otimes_1 A_j, A_k \otimes_1 A_l \rangle_{L^2([0,1]^2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{E}\left[J_6^2\right] \\
&\leq cN^{8H-2}\sum_{i,j,k,l}\int_{I_i}du\int_{I_j}dv\int_{I_k}du'\int_{I_l}dv'|u-v|^{2H'-2}|u-u'|^{2H'-2}|v-v'|^{2H'-2}|u'-v'|^{2H'-2} \\
&\times\left[2\left|\frac{i-k}{N}\right|^{2H}-\left|\frac{i-k+1}{N}\right|^{2H}-\left|\frac{i-k-1}{N}\right|^{2H}\right]\left[2\left|\frac{j-l}{N}\right|^{2H}-\left|\frac{j-l+1}{N}\right|^{2H}-\left|\frac{j-l-1}{N}\right|^{2H}\right].
\end{aligned}$$

First we show that for $H\in(1/2,3/4)$, we have for large N

$$\mathbf{E}\left[J_6^2\right]\leq cN^{8H-6}. \tag{33}$$

With the notation as in Step 1 of this proof, making the change of variables $\bar{u}=(u-\frac{i-1}{N})N$ and similarly for the other integrands, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}\left[J_6^2\right] &\leq cN^{8H-2}\frac{1}{N^{8H'-8}}\frac{1}{N^4}\frac{1}{N^{4H}}\sum_{i,j,k,l}\int_{[0,1]^4}dudvdu'dv' \\
&\times|u-v+i-j|^{2H'-2}|u-u'+i-k|^{2H'-2}|u'-v+j-k|^{2H'-2}|v-v'+k-l|^{2H'-2} \\
&\times\left(2|i-k|^{2H}-|i-k+1|^{2H}-|i-k-1|^{2H}\right)\left(2|j-l|^{2H}-|j-l+1|^{2H}-|j-l-1|^{2H}\right) \\
&=c\frac{1}{N^2}\sum_{i,j,k,l}\int_{[0,1]^4}dudvdu'dv' \\
&\times|u-v+i-j|^{2H'-2}|u-u'+i-k|^{2H'-2}|u'-v+j-k|^{2H'-2}|v-v'+k-l|^{2H'-2} \\
&\times\left(2|i-k|^{2H}-|i-k+1|^{2H}-|i-k-1|^{2H}\right)\left(2|j-l|^{2H}-|j-l+1|^{2H}-|j-l-1|^{2H}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Again we use the fact that the dominant part in the above expression is the one when all indices are distant by at least two units. In this case, up to a constant, we have the upper bound $|i-k|^{2H-2}$ for the quantity $\left(2|i-k|^{2H}-|i-k+1|^{2H}-|i-k-1|^{2H}\right)$. By using Riemann sums, we can write

$$\mathbf{E}\left[J_6^2\right]\leq c\frac{1}{N^2}N^4\left(\frac{1}{N^4}\sum_{i,j,k,l}f(\frac{i}{N},\frac{j}{N},\frac{k}{N},\frac{l}{N})\right)N^{8H'-8}N^{4H-4}$$

where f is a Riemann-integrable function on $[0,1]^4$ and the Riemann sum converges to the finite integral of f therein. Estimate (33) follows.

Step 2: chaos terms of order 4 and 2. To treat the term

$$J_4=cN^{4H-1}\sum_{i,j=1}^{N}\int_0^1 drI_4\left((A_i\otimes A_i)(\cdot,r)\otimes_1(A_j\otimes A_j)(\cdot,r)\right),$$

since $I_4(g)=I_4(\tilde{g})$ where $\tilde{g}$ denotes the symmetrization of the function g, we can write

$$J_4=cN^{4H-1}\sum_{i,j=1}^{N}\langle A_i,A_j\rangle_{L^2(0,1]^2}I_4\left(A_i\otimes A_j\right)+cN^{4H-1}I_4\sum_{i,j=1}^{N}\left(A_i\otimes_1 A_j\right)\otimes\left(A_i\otimes_1 A_j\right)=:J_{4,1}+J_{4,2}.$$

Both terms above have been treated in previous computations. To illustrate it, the first summand $J_{4,1}$ can be bounded above as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{E}\left|J_{4,1}\right|^2 \\
&\leq cN^{8H-2}\sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{N}\langle A_i,A_j\rangle_{L^2([0,1]^2)}\langle A_i,A_k\rangle_{L^2([0,1]^2)}\langle A_k,A_l\rangle_{L^2([0,1]^2)}\langle A_j,A_l\rangle_{L^2([0,1]^2)} \\
&= cN^{8H-2}\sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{N}\left[\left(\frac{i-j+1}{N}\right)^{2H}+\left(\frac{i-j-1}{N}\right)^{2H}-2\left(\frac{i-j}{N}\right)^{2H}\right] \\
&\left[\left(\frac{i-k+1}{N}\right)^{2H}+\left(\frac{i-k-1}{N}\right)^{2H}-2\left(\frac{i-k}{N}\right)^{2H}\right]\left[\left(\frac{j-l+1}{N}\right)^{2H}+\left(\frac{j-l-1}{N}\right)^{2H}-2\left(\frac{j-l}{N}\right)^{2H}\right] \\
&\left[\left(\frac{k-l+1}{N}\right)^{2H}+\left(\frac{k-l-1}{N}\right)^{2H}-2\left(\frac{k-l}{N}\right)^{2H}\right]
\end{aligned}$$

and using the same bound $c|i-j|^{2H-2}$ for the quantity $|i-j+1|^{2H}+|i-j-1|^{2H}-2|i-j|^{2H}$ when $|i-j|\geq 2$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}\left|J_{4,1}\right|^2 &\leq cN^{8H-2}N^{-8H}\sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{N}|i-j|^{2H-2}|i-k|^{2H-2}|j-l|^{2H-2}|k-l|^{2H-2} \\
&\leq cN^{8H-6}\frac{1}{N^4}\sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{2}\frac{|i-j|^{2H-2}|i-k|^{2H-2}|j-l|^{2H-2}|k-l|^{2H-2}}{N^{4(2H-2)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

This tends to zero at the speed N^{8H-6} as $N\to\infty$ by a Riemann-sum argument since $H<\frac{3}{4}$.

One can also show that $\mathbf{E}\left|J_{4,2}\right|^2$ converges to zero at the same speed because

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{E}\left|J_{4,2}\right|^2 = cN^{8H-2}\sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{N}\langle(A_i\otimes_1 A_j),(A_k\otimes_1 A_l)\rangle^2_{L^2([0,1]^2)} \leq N^{8H-2}N^{-2(8H'-8)}N^{-8} \\
&\sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{N}\left(\int_{[0,1]^4}\left(|u-v|+i-j||u'-v'+k-l||u-u'+i-k||v-v'+k-l|\right)^{2H'-2}ddvdu'dvdu\right)^2 \\
&\leq cN^{8H-6}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\mathbf{E}\left[J_4^2\right]\leq cN^{8H-6} \tag{34}$$

A similar behavior can be obtained for the last term J_2 by repeating the above arguments

$$\mathbf{E}\left[J_2^2\right]\leq cN^{8H-6} \tag{35}$$

Step 3: conclusion. Putting (33), (34), (35) together, and recalling the convergence result for $\mathbf{E}\left[T_4^2\right]$ proved in the previous subsection, we can apply the Nualart–Ortiz-Latorre criterion, and use the same method as in the case $H<\frac{3}{4}$ for $H=3/4$, to conclude the theorem's proof. ∎

3.3 Anormality of the second chaos term T_2, and limit of the 2-variation

This paragraph studies the asymptotic behavior of the term denoted by T_2 which appears in the decomposition of $V_N(2,a)$. Recall that this is the dominant term, given by

$$T_2 = 4N^{2H-1} I_2\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} A_i \otimes_1 A_i\right)$$

and, with $\sqrt{c_{3,H}} = 4d(H)$ given in (18), we showed that

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \mathbf{E}\left[\left(N^{1-H} T_2 c_{3,H}^{-1/2}\right)^2\right] = 1.$$

With $T_N := N^{1-H} T_2 c_{3,H}^{-1/2}$, one can show that in $L^2(\Omega)$, $\lim_{N\to\infty} \|DT_N\|^2_{L^2([0,1])} = 2 + c$ where c is a strictly positive constant. As a consequence the Nualart-Ortiz criterion can be used to deduce that T_N do not converge to the standard normal law. However, it is straightforward to find the limit of T_2, and thus of V_N, in $L^2(\Omega)$ in this case. We have the following result.

Theorem 3 *For all $H \in (1/2, 1)$, the normalized 2-variation $N^{1-H} V_N(2,a)/(4d(H))$ converges in $L^2(\Omega)$ to the Rosenblatt random variable $Z(1)$. Note that this is the actual observed value of the Rosenblatt process at time 1.*

Proof. Since we already proved that $N^{1-H} T_4$ converges to 0 in $L^2(\Omega)$, it is sufficient to prove that $N^{1-H} T_2/(4d(H)) - Z(1)$ converges to 0 in $L^2(\Omega)$. Since T_2 is a second-chaos random variable, i.e. is of the form $I_2(f_N)$ where f_N is a symmetric function in $L^2\left([0,1]^2\right)$, it is sufficient to prove that

$$\frac{N^{1-H}}{4d(H)} f_N$$

converges to L_1 in $L^2\left([0,1]^2\right)$, where L_1 is given by (9). From (15) we get

$$f_N(y_1,y_2) = 4N^{2H-1} a(H) d(H)^2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\iint_{I_i\times I_i} |u-v|^{2H'-2} \partial_1 K^{H'}(u,y_1)\partial_1 K^{H'}(v,y_2) du dv\right) \tag{36}$$

We now show that $\frac{N^{1-H}}{4d(H)} f_N$ converges pointwise, for $y_1, y_2 \in [0,1]$ to the kernel of the Rosenblatt random variable. On the interval $I_i \times I_i$, we may replace the evaluation of $\partial_1 K^{H'}$ and $\partial_1 K^{H'}$ at u and v by setting $u = v = i/N$. We then get that $f_N(y_1,y_2)$ is asymptotically equivalent to

$$4N^{2H-1} a(H) d(H)^2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{1}_{i/N \geq y_1\vee y_2} \partial_1 K^{H'}(i/N, y_1)\partial_1 K^{H'}(i/N, y_2) \iint_{I_i\times I_i} du dv\, |u-v|^{2H'-2}$$
$$= 4N^{H-1} d(H)^2 \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{1}_{i/N \geq y_1\vee y_2} \partial_1 K^{H'}(i/N, y_1)\partial_1 K^{H'}(i/N, y_2)$$

where we used the identity $\iint_{I_i\times I_i} du dv\, |u-v|^{2H'-2} = a(H)^{-1} N^{-2H'} = a(H)^{-1} N^{-H-1}$. Therefore we can write for every $y_1, y_2 \in (0,1)^2$, by invoking a Riemann sum approximation,

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{N^{1-H}}{4d(H)} f_N(y_1,y_2) = d(H) \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{1}_{i/N \geq y_1\vee y_2} \partial_1 K^{H'}(i/N, y_1)\partial_1 K^{H'}(i/N, y_2)$$
$$= d(H) \int_{y_1\vee y_2}^{1} \partial_1 K^{H'}(u,y_1)\partial_1 K^{H'}(u,y_2) du = L_1(y_1,y_2)$$

To finish the proof, it suffices to check that the sequence $(4d(H))^{-1}N^{1-H}f_N$ is Cauchy in $L^2([0,1]^2)$ (indeed, this implies that $(4d(H))^{-1}N^{1-H}f_N$ has a limit in $L^2([0,1]^2$ which obviously coincide with the a.e. limit L_1 and then the multiple integral $I_2((4d(H))^{-1}N^{1-H}f_N)$ will converge to $I_2(L_1)$). This can be checked by a straightforward calculation. Indeed, one has, with $C(H)$ a positive constant not depending on M and N,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|N^{1-H}f_N - M^{1-H}f_M\|^2_{L^2([0,1]^2)} \\
&= C(H)N^{2H}\sum_{i,j=1}^{N}\int_{I_i}\int_{I_i}\int_{I_j}\int_{I_j}|u-v|^{2H'-2}|u'-v'|^{2H'-2}|u-u'|^{2H'-2}|v-v'|^{2H'-2}du'dv'dudv \\
&+ C(H)M^{2H}\sum_{i,j=1}^{M}\int_{\frac{i-1}{M}}^{\frac{i}{M}}\int_{\frac{i-1}{M}}^{\frac{i}{M}}\int_{\frac{j-1}{M}}^{\frac{j}{M}}\int_{\frac{j-1}{M}}^{\frac{j}{M}}|u-v|^{2H'-2}|u'-v'|^{2H'-2}|u-u'|^{2H'-2}|v-v'|^{2H'-2}du'dv'dudv \\
&- 2C(H)M^{1-H}N^{1-H}M^{2H-1}N^{2H-1}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{j=1}^{M}\int_{I_i}\int_{I_i}\int_{\frac{j-1}{M}}^{\frac{j}{M}}\int_{\frac{j-1}{M}}^{\frac{j}{M}}du'dv'dudv \\
&\times|u-v|^{2H'-2}|u'-v'|^{2H'-2}|u-u'|^{2H'-2}|v-v'|^{2H'-2}. \qquad (37)
\end{aligned}$$

The first two terms have already been studied in Lemma 10. We have shown that

$$N^{2H}\sum_{i,j=1}^{N}\int_{I_i}\int_{I_i}\int_{I_j}\int_{I_j}|u-v|^{2H'-2}|u'-v'|^{2H'-2}|u-u'|^{2H'-2}|v-v'|^{2H'-2}du'dv'dudv$$

converges to $\left(a\left(H\right)^2H(2H-1)\right)^{-1}$. Thus each of the first two terms in (37) converge to $C\left(H\right)$ times that same constant as M,N go to infinity. By the change of variables already used several times $\bar{u}=(u-\frac{i}{N})N$, the last term in (37) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&C\left(H\right)\left(MN\right)^H\frac{1}{N^2M^2}(NM)^{2H'-2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{j=1}^{M}\int_{[0,1]^4}dudvdu'dv' \\
&\times|u-v|^{2H'-2}|u'-v'|^{2H'-2}\left|\frac{u}{N}-\frac{u'}{M}+\frac{i}{N}-\frac{j}{M}\right|^{2H'-2}\left|\frac{v}{N}-\frac{v'}{M}+\frac{i}{N}-\frac{j}{M}\right|^{2H'-2} \\
&=\frac{C\left(H\right)}{MN}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{j=1}^{M}\int_{[0,1]^4}dudvdu'dv' \\
&\times|u-v|^{2H'-2}|u'-v'|^{2H'-2}\left|\frac{u}{N}-\frac{u'}{M}+\frac{i}{N}-\frac{j}{M}\right|^{2H'-2}\left|\frac{v}{N}-\frac{v'}{M}+\frac{i}{N}-\frac{j}{M}\right|^{2H'-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

For large i,j the term $\frac{u}{N}-\frac{u'}{M}$ is negligible in front of $\frac{i}{N}-\frac{j}{M}$ and it can be ignored. Therefore, the last term in (37) is a equivalent to a Riemann sum than tends as $M,N\to\infty$ to the constant $\left(\int_0^1\int_0^1|u-v|^{2H'-2}dudv\right)^2\int_0^1\int_0^1|x-y|^{2(2H'-2)}$. This is precisely equal to $2(a\left(H\right)^2H(2H-1))^{-1}$, i.e. the limit of the sum of the first two terms in (37). Since the last term has a leading negative sign, the announced Cauchy convergence is established, finishing the proof of the theorem. ∎

Remark 4 *One can show that the 2-variations $V_N(2,a)$ converge to zero almost surely as N goes to infinity. Indeed, the results in this section already show that $V_N\left(2,a\right)$ converges to 0 in $L^2\left(\Omega\right)$, and*

thus in probability, as $N \to \infty$; the almost sure convergence is obtained by using an argument in [4] (proof of Proposition 1) based on Theorem 6.2 in [6] which gives the equivalence between the almost sure convergence and the mean square convergence for empirical means of discrete stationary processes.

3.4 Normality of the adjusted variations

According to Theorem 3 which we just proved, in the Rosenblatt case, the standardization of the random variable $V_N(2,a)$ does not converge to the normal law. But this statistic, which can be written as $V_N = T_4 + T_2$ has a small *normal part*, which is given by the asymptotics of the term T_4, as we can see from Theorem 2. Therefore, $V_N - T_2$ will converge (under suitable scaling) to the Gaussian distribution. Of course, the term T_2, which is an iterated stochastic integral, is not practical because it cannot be observed. But, replacing it with its limit $Z(1)$ (this is observed), one can defined an adjusted version of the statistics V_N that converges, after standardization, to the standard normal law.

The proof of this fact is somewhat delicate. If we are to subtract a multiple of $Z\left(1\right)$ from V_N in order to recuperate T_4, and hope for a normal convergence, the first calculation would have to be as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} V_N(2,a) - \frac{\sqrt{c_{3,H}}}{N^{1-H}} Z(1) &= V_N(2,a) - T_2 + T_2 - \frac{\sqrt{c_{3,H}}}{N^{1-H}} Z(1) \\ &= T_4 + \frac{\sqrt{c_{3,H}}}{N^{1-H}} \left[\frac{N^{1-H}}{\sqrt{c_{3,H}}} T_2 - Z(1) \right] := T_4 + U_2. \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

The term T_4, when normalized as $\frac{\sqrt{N}}{\sqrt{e_{1,H}}} T_4$, converges to the standard normal law, as we proved in Theorem 2. To get a normal convergence for the entire expression in (38), one may hope that the additional term $U_2 := \frac{\sqrt{c_{3,H}}}{N^{1-H}} \left[\frac{N^{1-H}}{\sqrt{c_{3,H}}} T_2 - Z(1) \right]$ goes to 0 "fast enough". It is certainly true that U_2 does go to 0, as we have just seen in Theorem 3. However the proof of that theorem did not investigate the speed of this convergence of U_2. For this convergence to be "fast enough", one must multiply the expression by the rate $\sqrt{N}$ which is needed to ensure the normal convergence of T_4: we would need $U_2 \ll N^{-1/2}$. Unfortunately, this is not true. A more detailed calculation will show that U_2 is precisely of order $\sqrt{N}$. This means that we should investigate whether $\sqrt{N} U_2$ itself converges in distribution to a normal law. Unexpectedly, this turns out to be true if (and only if) $H < 2/3$.

Proposition 5 *With U_2 as defined in (38), and $H < 2/3$, we have $\sqrt{N} U_2$ converging in distribution to a centered normal with variance equal to*

$$f_{1,H} := 32 d\left(H\right)^4 a\left(H\right)^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2H-2} F\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) \tag{39}$$

where the function F is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) = \int_{[0,1]^4} du dv du' dv' |(u-u')x+1|^{2H'-2} \Big[a(H)^2 \left(|u-v||u'-v'||(v-v')x+1| \right)^{2H'-2} \\ -2a(H) \left(|u-v||(v-u')x+1| \right)^{2H'-2} + |(u-u')x+1|^{2H'-2} \Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

Before proving this proposition, let us record its consequence.

Theorem 6 *Let $(Z(t), t \in [0,1])$ be a Rosenblatt process with selfsimilarity parameter $H \in (1/2, 2/3)$ and let previous notations for constants prevail. Then, the following convergence occurs in distribution:*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{\sqrt{N}}{\sqrt{e_{1,H}+f_{1,H}}} \left[V_N(2,a) - \frac{\sqrt{c_{3,H}}}{N^{1-H}} Z(1) \right] = \mathcal{N}(0,1).$$

Proof. By the considerations preceding the statement of Proposition 5, and (38) in particular, we have that

$$\sqrt{N} \left[V_N(2,a) - \frac{\sqrt{c_{3,H}}}{N^{1-H}} Z(1) \right] = \sqrt{N} T_4 + \sqrt{N} U_2.$$

Theorem 2 proves that $\sqrt{N}T_4$ converges in distribution to a centered normal with variance $e_{1,H}$. Proposition 5 proves that $\sqrt{N}U_2$ converges in distribution to a centered normal with variance $f_{1,H}$. Since these two sequences of random variables live in two distinct chaoses (fourth and second respectively), Theorem 1 in [24] implies that the sum of these two sequences converges in distribution to a centered normal with variance $e_{1,H} + f_{1,H}$. The theorem is proved. ■

To prove Proposition 5, we must first perform the calculation which yields the constant $f_{1,H}$ therein. This result is relegated to the Appendix, as Lemma 11, and shows that $\mathbf{E}[(\sqrt{N}U_2)^2]$ converges to $f_{1,H}$. Another (very) technical result needed for the proof of Proposition 5, which is used to guarantee that $\sqrt{N}U_2$ has a normal limiting distribution, is also recorded in the Appendix as Lemma 12. An explanation of why the conclusions of Proposition 5 and Theorem 6 cannot hold when $H \geq 2/3$ is given at the end of this article, in the Appendix, after the proof of Lemma 12. Now we prove the proposition.

Proof of Proposition 5. Since U_2 is a member of the second chaos, we introduce a notation for its kernel. We write

$$\frac{\sqrt{N}}{\sqrt{f_{1,H}}} U_2 = I_2\left(g_N\right).$$

where g_N is therefore the following symmetric function in $L^2\left([0,1]^2\right)$:

$$g_N\left(y_1, y_2\right) := \frac{N^{H-1/2}}{\sqrt{f_{1,H}}} \left(\frac{N^{1-H}}{4d(H)} f_N(y_1, y_2) - L_1(y_1, y_2) \right).$$

Lemma 11 proves that $\mathbf{E}\left[\left(I_2\left(g_N\right)\right)^2\right] = \|g_N\|^2_{L^2([0,1]^2)}$ converges to 1 as $N \to \infty$. By the result in [23] for 2nd-chaos sequences (see Theorem 1, point (ii) in [23], which is recorded a part (iii) in Theorem 1 herein) we have that $I_2\left(g_N\right)$ will converge to a standard normal if (and only if)

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \|g_N \otimes_1 g_N\|^2_{L^2([0,1]^2)} = 0,$$

which would conclude the proof of the proposition. This fact does hold if $H < 2/3$. We have recorded this technical and delicate calculation as Lemma 12 in the Appendix. Following the proof of this lemma, is a discussion of why the above limit cannot be 0 when $H \geq 2/3$. ■

4 The estimators for the selfsimilarity parameter

In this part we construct estimators for the selfsimilarity exponent of a Hermite process based on the discrete observations of the driving process at times $0, \frac{1}{N}, \ldots, 1$. It is known that the asymptotic

behavior of the statistics $V_N(2,a)$ is related to the asymptotic properties of a class of estimators for the Hurst parameter H. This is mentioned for instance in [4].

We recall the setup of how this works. Suppose that the observed process X is a Hermite process; it may be Gaussian (fractional Brownian motion) or non-Gaussian (Rosenblatt process or even a higher order Hermite process). With $a = \{-1, +1\}$, the 2-variation is denoted by

$$S_N(2,a) = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(X(\frac{i}{N}) - X(\frac{i-1}{N})\right)^2; \tag{41}$$

Recall that $\mathbf{E}\left[S_N(2,a)\right] = N^{-2H}$. By estimating $\mathbf{E}\left[S_N(2,a)\right]$ by $S_N(2,a)$ we can construct the estimator

$$\hat{H}_N(2,a) = -\frac{\log S_N(2,a)}{2\log N}. \tag{42}$$

which coincides with the definition in (4) given at the beginning of this paper. To prove that this is a strongly consistent estimator for H, we begin by writing

$$1 + V_N(2,a) = S_N(2,a)N^{2H}$$

where V_N is the original quantity defined in (3), and thus

$$\log\left(1 + V_N(2,a)\right) = \log S_N(2,a) + 2H\log N = -2(\hat{H}_N(2,a) - H)\log N.$$

Moreover, by Remark 4, $V_N(2,a)$ converges almost surely to 0, and thus $\log\left(1 + V_N(2,a)\right) = V_N(2,a)(1+o(1))$ where $o\left(1\right)$ converges to 0 almost surely as $N \to \infty$. Hence we obtain

$$V_N(2,a) = 2(H - \hat{H}_N(2,a))\left(\log N\right)(1 + o(1)). \tag{43}$$

Relation (43) means that V_N's behavior immediately give the behavior of $\hat{H}_N - H$.

Specifically, we can now state our convergence results. In the Rosenblatt data case, the renormalized error $\hat{H}_N - H$ does not converge to the normal law. But one can obtain from Theorem 6 an adjusted version of this error that converges to the normal distribution.

Theorem 7 *Suppose that $H > \frac{1}{2}$ and the observed process Z is a Rosenblatt process with selfsimilarity parameter H. Then, strong consistency holds for $\hat{H}_N$, i.e. almost surely,*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \hat{H}_N(2,a) = H. \tag{44}$$

In addition, we have the following convergence in $L^2\left(\Omega\right)$:

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{N^{1-H}}{2d(H)}\log\left(N\right)\ \left(\hat{H}_N(2,a) - H\right) = Z(1), \tag{45}$$

where $Z\left(1\right)$ is the observed process at time 1.

Moreover, if $H < 2/3$, then, in distribution as $N \to \infty$, with $c_{3,H}$, $e_{1,H}$ and $f_{1,H}$ in (18), (27), and (39),

$$\frac{\sqrt{N}}{\sqrt{e_{1,H} + f_{1,H}}}\left[-2\log\left(N\right)\ \left(\hat{H}_N(2,a) - H\right) - \frac{\sqrt{c_{3,H}}}{N^{1-H}}Z(1)\right] \to \mathcal{N}(0,1)$$

Proof. This follows from Theorem 6, Theorem 3, and relation (43). ∎

5 Appendix

Lemma 8 *The series* $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(2k^{2H}-(k-1)^{2H}-(k+1)^{2H}\right)^2$ *is finite if and only if* $H\in(1/2,3/4)$.

Proof. Since $2k^{2H}-(k-1)^{2H}-(k+1)^{2H}=k^{2H}f\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)$, with $f(x):=2-(1-x)^{2H}-(1+x)^{2H}$ being asymptotically equivalent to $2H(2H-1)x^2$ for small x, the general term of the series is equivalent to $(2H)^2(2H-1)^2k^{4H-4}$. ∎

Lemma 9 *When* $H\in(3/4,1)$, $N^2\sum_{i,j=1,\cdots,N;|i-j|\geq 2}\left(2\left|\frac{i-j}{N}\right|^{2H}-\left|\frac{i-j-1}{N}\right|^{2H}-\left|\frac{i-j+1}{N}\right|^{2H}\right)^2$ *converges to* $H^2(2H-1)/(H-3/4)$ *as* $N\to\infty$.

Proof. Let us write $x=|i-j|/N$, $\alpha=2H$, and $h=1/N$. Then using a Taylor expansion to order 3, we have

$$2x^{\alpha}-(x-h)^{\alpha}-(x+h)^{\alpha}=-h^2\alpha(\alpha-1)x^{\alpha-2}+ch^3\xi^{\alpha-3}$$

for some $\xi\in(x-h,x+h)$ and some constant c. Under the restriction $x\geq 2h$, we have $x/2\leq x-h$, which implies that the above correction term $ch^3|\xi|^{\alpha-3}\leq c'h^3x^{\alpha-3}$ for some other constant c'. Now we can write the series of interest as

$$\sum_{i,j=1,\cdots,N;|i-j|\geq 2}\left(2\left|\frac{i-j}{N}\right|^{2H}-\left|\frac{i-j-1}{N}\right|^{2H}-\left|\frac{i-j+1}{N}\right|^{2H}\right)^2 \tag{46}$$

$$\leq\sum_{i,j=1,\cdots,N;|i-j|\geq 2}N^{-4}\left|2H(2H-1)\right|^2\left|\frac{i-j}{N}\right|^{4H-4} \tag{47}$$

$$+2\sum_{i,j=1,\cdots,N;|i-j|\geq 2}c''N^{-5}\left|\frac{i-j}{N}\right|^{4H-5}+\sum_{i,j=1,\cdots,N;|i-j|\geq 2}c''N^{-6}\left|\frac{i-j}{N}\right|^{4H-6} \tag{48}$$

where c'' is another constant. Replacing the + signs in line (48) by − signs, we obtain the opposite inequality in line (47). We will show that the terms in line (48) are of a lower order in N than the term in line (47). This will imply that $\sum_{i,j=1,\cdots,N;|i-j|\geq 2}\left|\langle A_i,A_j\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\right|^2$ is asymptotically equivalent to the right-hand side of line (47).

Using a limit of a Riemann sum, we have

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}N^{-2}\sum_{i,j=1,\cdots,N;|i-j|\geq 2}\left|(i-j)/N\right|^{4H-4}=\iint_{[0,1]^2}|x-y|^{4H-4}\,dxdy=\frac{1}{(2H-1)(4H-3)}.$$

Therefore the term on the right-hand side of line (47) is asymptotically equivalent to the expression $N^{-2}H^2(2H-1)/(4H-3)$. On the other hand, for line (48), the series cannot be compared to Riemann sums. Rather, they converge (indeed, $4H-5<-1$). We have

$$\sum_{i,j=1,\cdots,N;|i-j|\geq 2}|i-j|^{4H-5}=2N\sum_{k=2}^{N-1}k^{4H-5}\leq 2Nc_H;$$

$$\sum_{i,j=1,\cdots,N;|i-j|\geq 2}|i-j|^{4H-6}=2N\sum_{k=2}^{N-1}k^{4H-6}\leq 2Nc'_H.$$

Therefore both terms in line (48) are smaller than a constant times N^{1-4H}, which in our case is negligible compared to N^{-2}. In conclusion, we have proved that N^2 times the series (46) converges to $\frac{|2H(2H-1)|^2}{(2H-1)(4H-3)} = \frac{H^2(2H-1)}{H-3/4}$, which concludes the proof. ■

Lemma 10 *For all $H > 1/2$, with $I_i = \left(\frac{i-1}{N}, \frac{i}{N}\right]$, $(i = 1, \dots, N)$*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} N^{2H} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \int_{I_i}\int_{I_i}\int_{I_j}\int_{I_j} |u-v|^{2H'-2}|u'-v'|^{2H'-2}|u-u'|^{2H'-2}|v-v'|^{2H'-2} du'dv'dvdu \qquad (49)$$
$$= 2a(H)^{-2}\left(\frac{1}{2H-1} - \frac{1}{2H}\right)$$

Proof. We make the change of variables

$$\bar{u} = (u - \frac{i-1}{N})N$$

with $d\bar{u} = Ndu$ and we proceed similarly for the other variables u', v, v'. We obtain, for the integral we need to calculate:

$$\int_{I_i}\int_{I_i}\int_{I_j}\int_{I_j} |u-v|^{2H'-2}|u'-v'|^{2H'-2}|u-u'|^{2H'-2}|v-v'|^{2H'-2} du'dv'dvdu$$
$$= \frac{1}{N^{4H}} \int_0^1\int_0^1\int_0^1\int_0^1 dudvdu'dv'|u-v|^{2H'-2}|u'-v'|^{2H'-2}|u-u'+i-j|^{2H'-2}|v-v'+i-j|^{2H'-2},$$

where we used the fact that $8H' - 8 = 4H - 4$. This needs to be summed over $\sum_{i,j=1}^{N}$; the sum can be divided into two parts: a diagonal part containing the terms $i = j$ and a non-diagonal part containing the terms $i \neq j$. As in the calculations contained in the previous sections, one can see that the non-diagonal part is dominant. Indeed, the diagonal part of (49) is equal to

$$N^{-2H} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{[0,1]^4} dudvdu'dv'|u-v|^{2H'-2}|u'-v'|^{2H'-2}|u-u'|^{2H'-2}|v-v'|^{2H'-2}$$
$$= N^{1-2H} \int_{[0,1]^4} dudvdu'dv'|u-v|^{2H'-2}|u'-v'|^{2H'-2}|u-u'|^{2H'-2}|v-v'|^{2H'-2}$$

and this tends to zero because $H > \frac{1}{2}$.

Therefore the behavior of the quantity in the statement of the lemma will be given by that of

$$\frac{2}{N^{2H}} \sum_{i>j} \int_0^1\int_0^1\int_0^1\int_0^1 dudvdu'dv'$$
$$\times |u-v|^{2H'-2}|u'-v'|^{2H'-2}|u-u'+i-j|^{2H'-2}|v-v'+i-j|^{2H'-2}$$
$$= \frac{2}{N^{2H}} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{k=1}^{N-i} \int_0^1\int_0^1\int_0^1\int_0^1 dudvdu'dv'$$
$$\times |u-v|^{2H'-2}|u'-v'|^{2H'-2}|u-u'+k|^{2H'-2}|v-v'+k|^{2H'-2}$$
$$= \frac{2}{N^{2H}} \sum_{k=1}^{N}(N-k) \int_0^1\int_0^1\int_0^1\int_0^1 dudvdu'dv'$$
$$\times |u-v|^{2H'-2}|u'-v'|^{2H'-2}|u-u'+k|^{2H'-2}|v-v'+k|^{2H'-2}.$$

Note that

$$\frac{1}{N^{2H}}\sum_{k=1}^{N}(N-k)|u-u'+k|^{2H'-2}|v-v'+k|^{2H'-2}$$
$$=\frac{1}{N}\sum_{k=1}^{N}(1-\frac{k}{N})|\frac{u-u'}{N}+\frac{k}{N}|^{2H'-2}|\frac{v-v'}{N}+\frac{k}{N}|^{2H'-2}.$$

Because the terms of the form $(u-u')/N$ are negligible in front of k/N for all but the smallest k's, the above expression is asymptotically equivalent to the Riemann sum approximation of the Riemann integral

$$\int_0^1 (1-x)\,x^{4H'-4}dx = 1/(2H-1) - 1/(2H)$$

where we used $2H'-2 = H-1$. The lemma follows. ■

Lemma 11 *With $f_{1,H}$ given in (39), and U_2 in (38) we have* $\lim_{N\to\infty}\mathbf{E}\left[\left(\sqrt{N}U_2\right)^2\right] = f_{1,H}$.

Proof. We have seen that $\sqrt{c_{3,H}} = 4d(H)$. We also have defined

$$\sqrt{N}U_2 = N^{H-1/2}\sqrt{c_{3,H}}\left[\frac{N^{1-H}}{\sqrt{c_{3,H}}}T_2 - Z(1)\right].$$

Let us simply compute the L^2-norm of the term in brackets. Since this expression is a member of the second chaos, and more specifically since $T_2 = I_2(f_N)$ and $Z(1) = I_2(L_1)$ where f_N (given in (36)) and L_1 (given in (9)) are symmetric functions in $L^2([0,1]^2)$, it holds that

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\left(\frac{N^{1-H}}{\sqrt{c_{3,H}}}T_2 - Z(1)\right)^2\right] = \left\|\frac{N^{1-H}}{4d(H)}f_N - L_1\right\|^2_{L^2([0,1]^2)}$$
$$= \frac{N^{2-2H}}{4d(H)^2}\|f_N\|_{L^2([0,1]^2)} - 2\frac{N^{1-H}}{4d(H)}\langle f_N, L_1\rangle_{L^2([0,1]^2)} + \|L_1\|^2_{L^2([0,1]^2)}.$$

The first term has already been computed. It gives

$$\frac{N^{2-2H}}{4d(H)^2}\|f_N\|_{L^2([0,1]^2)}$$
$$= N^{-2H}a^4(H)d^2(H)\sum_{i,j=1}^{N}\int_{[0,1]^4} dudvdu'dv'\left(|u-v||u'-v'||u-u'+i-j||v-v'+i-j|\right)^{2H'-2}.$$

By using the expression of the kernel L_1 and Fubini's theorem, the scalar product of f_N and L_1 gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{N^{1-H}}{4d(H)}\langle f_N, L_1\rangle_{L^2([0,1]^2)} \\
&= \int_0^1\int_0^1 dy_1dy_2\frac{N^{1-H}}{4d(H)}f_N(y_1,y_2)L_1(y_1,y_2) \\
&= N^H a(H)^3 d(H)^2 \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{I_i}\int_{I_i} dudv \int_0^1 du' \left(|u-v||u-u'||v-u'|\right)^{2H'-2} \\
&= N^H a(H)^3 d(H)^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_{I_i}\int_{I_i} dudv \int_{I_j} du' \left(|u-v||u-u'||v-u'|\right)^{2H'-2} \\
&= N^{-2H} a(H)^3 d(H)^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_{[0,1]^3} \left(|u-v||u-u'+i-j||v-u'+i-j|\right)^{2H'-2} dudvdu'.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, the last term $\|L_1\|^2_{L^2([0,1]^2)}$ can be written in the following way

$$\begin{aligned}
\|L_1\|^2_{L^2([0,1]^2)} &= d(H)^2 a(H)^2 \int_{[0,1]^2} |u-u'|^{2(2H'-2)} dudu' \\
&= d(H)^2 a(H)^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_{I_i}\int_{I_j} |u-u'|^{2(2H'-2)} dudu' \\
&= d(H)^2 a(H)^2 N^{-2H} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_{[0,1]^2} |u-u'+i-j|^{2(2H'-2)} dudu'.
\end{aligned}$$

One can check that, when drawing these three contributions together, the "diagonal" terms corresponding to $i=j$ vanish. Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{E}\left[\left(\sqrt{N}U_2\right)^2\right] = \left\| 4d\left(H\right) N^{H-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{N^{1-H}}{4d(H)} f_N - L_1\right)\right\|^2_{L^2([0,1]^2)} \\
&= 32N^{2H-1}N^{-2H} d(H)^4 a(H)^2 \sum_{k=1}^{N-1}(N-k-1)\int_{[0,1]^4} dudvdu'dv' \\
&\times \Big[a(H)^2\left(|u-v||u'-v'||u-u'+k||v-v'+k|\right)^{2H'-2} \\
&-2a(H)\left(|u-v||u-u'+k||v-u'+k|\right)^{2H'-2} + |u-u'+k|^{2H'-2}\Big] \\
&= N^{-1}32a(H)^2 d(H)^4 \sum_{k=1}^{N-1}(N-k-1)k^{2(2H'-2)}k^{2(2H'-2)}\int_{[0,1]^4} dudvdu'dv' |\frac{u-u'}{k}+1|^{2H'-2} \\
&\times \Bigg[a(H)^2\left(|u-v||u'-v'||\frac{v-v'}{k}+1|\right)^{2H'-2} \\
&-2a(H)\left(|u-v||\frac{v-u'}{k}+1|\right)^{2H'-2} + |\frac{u-u'}{k}+1|^{2H'-2}\Bigg] \\
&= 32d(H)^4 a(H)^2 \frac{1}{N}\sum_{k=1}^{N-1}(N-k-1)k^{2H-2}F\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

where we introducted the function F given earlier in (40).

This function F is of class C^1 on the interval $[0,1]$. It can be seen that

$$\begin{aligned} F(0) &= \int_{[0,1]^4} dudvdu'dv' \left(a(H)^2 \left(|u-v||u'-v'| \right)^{2H'-2} - 2a(H)|u-v| + 1 \right) \\ &= a(H)^2 \left(\int_{[0,1]^2} |u-v|^{2H'-2} \right)^2 - 2a(H) \int_{[0,1]^2} |u-v|^{2H'-2} dudv + 1 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, one can also calculate the derivative F' and check that $F'(0) = 0$. Therefore $F(x) = o(x)$ as $x \to 0$. To investigate the sequence $a_N := N^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} (N-k-1) k^{2H-2} F\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)$, we split it up into two pieces:

$$\begin{aligned} a_N &= N^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} (N-k-1) k^{2H-2} F\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} k^{2H-2} F\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) + N^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} (k+1) k^{2H-2} F\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) =: b_N + c_N \end{aligned}$$

Since b_N is the partial sum of a sequence of positive terms, one only needs to check that the series is finite. The relation $F(1/k) \ll 1/k$ yields that it is finite iff $2H - 3 < -1$, which is true. For the term c_N, one notes that we may replace the factor $k+1$ by k, since, by the calculation done for b_N, $N^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} k^{2H-2} F\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)$ converges to 0. Thus asymptotically we have

$$c_N \simeq N^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} k^{2H-3} F\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) \leq N^{-1} \|F\|_\infty \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2H-3}$$

which thus converges to 0. We have proved that $\lim a_N = \lim b_N = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2H-2} F\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)$, which finishes the proof of the Lemma. ∎

Lemma 12 *With*

$$g_N(y_1, y_2) := \frac{N^{H-1/2}}{\sqrt{f_{1,H}}} \left(\frac{N^{1-H}}{4d(H)} f_N(y_1, y_2) - L_1(y_1, y_2) \right).$$

we have $\lim_{N\to\infty} \|g_N \otimes_1 g_N\|^2_{L^2([0,1]^2)} = 0$ *as soon as* $H < 2/3$.

Proof. We omit the leading constant $f_{1,H}^{-1/2}$ which is irrelevant. Using the expression (36) for f_N we have

$$g_N(y_1, y_2) = N^{2H-1/2} d(H) a(H) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{I_i} \int_{I_i} \partial_1 K^{H'}(u, y_1) \partial_1 K^{H'}(v, y_2) |u-v|^{2H'-2} dvdu - L_1(y_1, y_2).$$

Here and below, we will be omitting indicator functions of the type $1_{[0, \frac{i+1}{N}]}(y_1)$ because, as we said before, these are implicitly contained in the support of $\partial_1 K^{H'}$. By decomposing the expression for L_1 from (9) over the same blocks $I_i \times I_i$ as for f_N, we can now express the contraction $g_N \otimes_1 g_N$:

$$(g_N \otimes_1 g_N)(y_1, y_2) = N^{2H-1} (A_N - 2B_N + C_N)$$

where we have introduced three new quantities:

$$A_N := N^{2H} d(H)^2 a(H)^3 \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \int_{I_i}\int_{I_i} dvdu \int_{I_j}\int_{I_j} dv'du' \\ \times \left[|u-v|\cdot|u'-v'|\cdot|v-v'|\right]^{2H'-2} \partial_1 K^{H'}(u,y_1)\partial_1 K^{H'}(u',y_2),$$

and

$$B_N := N^H a(H)^2 d(H)^2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{I_i}\int_{I_i} dvdu \int_0^1 du' \left[|u-v|\cdot|u'-v|\right]^{2H'-2} \partial_1 K^{H'}(u,y_1)\partial_1 K^{H'}(u',y_2) \\ = N^H a(H)^2 d(H)^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \int_{I_i}\int_{I_i} dvdu \int_{I_j} du' \left[|u-v|\cdot|u'-v|\right]^{2H'-2} \partial_1 K^{H'}(u,y_1)\partial_1 K^{H'}(u',y_2),$$

and

$$C_N = d(H)^2 a(H) \int_0^1\int_0^1 dvdu \partial_1 K^{H'}(u,y_1)\partial_1 K^{H'}(v,y_2)|u-v|^{2H'-2} \\ = d(H)^2 a(H) \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \int_{I_i}\int_{I_j} dvdu \partial_1 K^{H'}(u,y_1)\partial_1 K^{H'}(v,y_2)|u-v|^{2H'-2}.$$

Then the squared norm of the contraction can be written as

$$\|g_N \otimes_1 g_N\|^2_{L^2([0,1]^2)} = N^{4H-2}\Big(\|A_N\|^2_{L^2([0,1]^2)} + 4\|B_N\|^2_{L^2([0,1]^2)} + \|C_N\|^2_{L^2([0,1]^2)} \\ -4\langle A_N, B_N\rangle_{L^2([0,1]^2)} + 2\langle A_N, C_N\rangle_{L^2([0,1]^2)} - 4\langle B_N, C_N\rangle_{L^2([0,1]^2)}\Big).$$

Using the definitions of A_N, B_N, and C_N, we may express all six terms above explicitly. All the computations are based on the key relation (13).

We obtain

$$\|A_N\|^2_{L^2([0,1]^2)} \\ = N^{4H} a(H)^6 d(H)^4 a(H)^2 \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{N} \int_{I_i}\int_{I_i} dvdu \int_{I_j}\int_{I_j} dv'du' \int_{I_k}\int_{I_k} d\bar{u}d\bar{v} \int_{I_l}\int_{I_l} d\bar{u}'d\bar{v}' \\ \left[|u-v|\cdot|u'-v'|\cdot|v-v'|\cdot|\bar{u}-\bar{v}|\cdot|\bar{u}'-\bar{v}'|\cdot|\bar{v}-\bar{v}'|\cdot|u-\bar{u}|\cdot|u'-\bar{u}'|\right]^{2H'-2} \\ = N^{4H} a(H)^8 d(H)^4 \frac{1}{N^8}\frac{1}{N^{8(2H'-2)}} \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{N} \int_{[0,1]^8} dudvdu'dv'd\bar{u}d\bar{v}d\bar{u}'d\bar{v}' \\ \left||u-v|\cdot|u'-v'||\bar{u}-\bar{v}||\bar{u}'-\bar{v}'|\right|^{2H'-2} \\ \left[|v-v'+i-j|\cdot|\bar{v}-\bar{v}'+k-l|\cdot|u-\bar{u}+i-k|\cdot|u'-\bar{u}'+j-l|\right]^{2H'-2},$$

and

$$\|B_N\|^2_{L^2([0,1]^2)} = N^{2H}a(H)^6d(H)^4 \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{N} \int_{I_i}\int_{I_i} dvdu \int_{I_j} du' \int_{I_k}\int_{I_k} d\bar{u}d\bar{v} \int_{I_l} d\bar{u'}$$
$$\left[|u-v|\cdot|u'-v||\bar{u}-\bar{v}|\cdot|\bar{u'}-\bar{v}|\cdot|u-\bar{u}|\cdot|u'-\bar{u'}|\right]^{2H'-2}$$
$$= N^{2H}a(H)^6d(H)^4 \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{N} \int_{[0,1]^6} dudvdu'd\bar{u}d\bar{v}d\bar{u'}$$
$$\left[|u-v|\cdot|u'-v+i-j||\bar{u}-\bar{v}|\cdot|\bar{u'}-\bar{v}+k-l|\cdot|u-\bar{u}+i-k|\cdot|u'-\bar{u'}+j-l|\right]^{2H'-2}$$

and

$$\|C_N\|^2_{L^2([0,1]^2)}$$
$$= N^{2H}a(H)^4d(H)^4 \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{N} \int_{I_i}\int_{I_j} dvdu \int_{I_k}\int_{I_l} dv'du' \left[|u-v|\cdot|u'-v'|\cdot|u-u'|\cdot|v-v'|\right]^{2H'-2}$$
$$= N^{2H}a(H)^4d(H)^4 \frac{1}{N^4}\frac{1}{N^{4(2H'-2)}} \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{N} \int_{[0,1]^4} dudvdu'dv'$$
$$\left[|u-v+i-j|\cdot|u'-v'+k-l|\cdot|u-u'+i-k|\cdot|v-v'+j-l|\right]^{2H'-2}$$

The inner product terms can be also treated in the same manner. First,

$$\langle A_N, B_N\rangle_{L^2([0,1]^2)}$$
$$= N^{3H}a(H)^7d(H)^4 \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{N} \int_{I_i}\int_{I_i} dudv \int_{I_j}\int_{I_j} du'dv' \int_{I_k}\int_{I_k} d\bar{u}d\bar{v} \int_{I_l} d\bar{u'}$$
$$\left[|u-v|\cdot|u'-v'|\cdot|v-v'|\cdot|\bar{u}-\bar{v}|\cdot|\bar{u'}-\bar{v}|\cdot|u-\bar{u}|\cdot|u'-\bar{u'}|\right]^{2H'-2}$$
$$= N^{3H}a(H)^7d(H)^4 \frac{1}{N^7}\frac{1}{N^{7(2H'-2)}} \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{N} \int_{[0,1]^7} dudvdu'dv'd\bar{u}d\bar{v}d\bar{u'}$$
$$\left[|u-v|\cdot|u'-v'|\cdot|v-v'+i-j|\cdot|\bar{u}-\bar{v}|\cdot|\bar{u'}-\bar{v}+k-l|\cdot|u-\bar{u}+i-k|\cdot|u'-\bar{u'}+j-l|\right]^{2H'-2}$$

and

$$\langle A_N, C_N\rangle_{L^2([0,1]^2)} = N^{2H}a(H)^6d(H)^4 \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{N} \int_{I_i}\int_{I_i} dudv \int_{I_j}\int_{I_j} du'dv' \int_{I_k} d\bar{u} \int_{I_l} d\bar{v}$$
$$\left[|u-v|\cdot|u'-v'|\cdot|v-v'|\cdot|\bar{u}-\bar{v}|\cdot|u-\bar{u}|\cdot|u'-\bar{v}\right]^{2H'-2}$$
$$= N^{2H}a(H)^6d(H)^4 \frac{1}{N^6}\frac{1}{N^{6(2H'-2)}} \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{N} \int_{[0,1]^6} dudvdu'dv'd\bar{u}d\bar{v}$$
$$\left[|u-v|\cdot|u'-v'|\cdot|v-v'+i-j|\cdot|u-\bar{u}+i-k|\cdot|\bar{u}-\bar{v}+k-l|\cdot u'-\bar{v}\right]^{2H'-2}$$

and finally

$$\begin{aligned}\langle B_N, C_N\rangle_{L^2([0,1]^2)} &= N^H a(H)^3 d(H)^4 \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{N} \int_{I_i}\int_{I_i} dudv \int_{I_j} du' \int_{I_k} d\bar{u} \int_{I_l} d\bar{v} \\ &\quad \left[|u-v|\cdot|u'-v|\cdot|\bar{u}-\bar{v}|\cdot|u-\bar{u}|\cdot|u'-\bar{v}|\right]^{2H'-2} \\ &= N^H a(H)^3 d(H)^4 \frac{1}{N^5}\frac{1}{N^{5(2H'-2)}} \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{N} \int_{[0,1]^5} dudvdu'd\bar{u}d\bar{v} \\ &\quad \left[|u-v|\cdot|u'-v+i-j|\cdot|\bar{u}-\bar{v}+k-l|\cdot|u-\bar{u}+i-k|\cdot|u'-\bar{v}+j-l|\right]^{2H'-2}.\end{aligned}$$

Now we summarize our computations. Note that the factors $d(H)^4$ and $\frac{1}{N^4}\frac{1}{N^{4(2H'-2)}}$ are common to all terms. We also note that any terms corresponding to difference of indices smaller than 3 can be shown to tend collectively to 0, similarly to other "diagonal" terms in this study. The proof is omitted. We thus assume that the sums over the set D of indices i,j,k,l in $\{1,\cdots,N\}$ such that $|i-j|$, $|k-l|$, $|i-k|$, and $|j-l|$ all are ≥ 2. Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\|g_N \otimes_1 g_N\|^2_{L^2([0,1]^2)} \\ &= d(H)^4 N^{4H-2}\frac{1}{N^4}\sum_{(i,j,k,l)\in D}\left(\frac{|i-j|\cdot|k-l|\cdot|i-k|\cdot|j-l|}{N^4}\right)^{2H'-2} G(\frac{1}{i-j},\frac{1}{k-l},\frac{1}{i-k},\frac{1}{j-l}) \quad (50)\end{aligned}$$

where the function G is defined for $(x,y,z,w)\in[1/2,1/2]^4$ by

$$\begin{aligned}&G(x,y,z,w) \\ &= a(H)^8\int_{[0,1]^8} dudvdu'dv'd\bar{u}d\bar{v}d\bar{u'}d\bar{v'}\left[|u-v|\cdot|u'-v'|\cdot|\bar{u}-\bar{v}|\cdot|\bar{u'}-\bar{v'}|\right]^{2H'-2} \\ &\left[|(v-v')x+1|\cdot|(\bar{v}-\bar{v'})y+1|\cdot|(u-\bar{u})z+1|\cdot|(u'-\bar{u'})w+1|\right]^{2H'-2} \\ &+4a(H)^6\int_{[0,1]^6} dudvdu'd\bar{u}d\bar{v}d\bar{u'} \\ &\left[|u-v|\cdot|\bar{u}-\bar{v}|\cdot|(u'-v)x+1|\cdot|(\bar{u'}-\bar{v})y+1|\cdot|(u-u')z+1|\cdot|(u'-\bar{u'})w+1|\right]^{2H'-2} \\ &+a(H)^4\int_{[0,1]^4} dudvdu'dvdv'\left[(u-v)x+1|\cdot|(u'-v')y+1||(u-u')z+1|\cdot|(v-v')w+1|\right]^{2H'-2} \\ &-4a(H)^7\int_{[0,1]^7} dudvdu'dv'd\bar{u}d\bar{v}d\bar{u'} \\ &\left[|u-v|\cdot|u'-v'|\cdot|\bar{u}-\bar{v}|\cdot|(v-v')x+1|\cdot|(\bar{u'}-\bar{v})y+1|\cdot|(u-u')z+1|\cdot|(u'-\bar{u'})w+1|\right]^{2H'-2} \\ &+2a(H)^6\int_{[0,1]^6} dudvdu'dv'd\bar{u}d\bar{v} \\ &\left[|u-v|\cdot|u'-v'|\cdot|(v-v')x+1|\cdot|(\bar{u}-\bar{v})y+1|\cdot|(u-u')z+1|\cdot|(u'-\bar{v})w+1|\right]^{2H'-2} \\ &-4a(H)^5\int_{[0,1]^5} dudvdu'd\bar{u}d\bar{v} \\ &\left[|u-v|\cdot|(v-u')x+1|\cdot|(\bar{u}-\bar{v})y+1|\cdot|(u-\bar{u})z+1|\cdot|(u'-\bar{v})w+1|\right]^{2H'-2}.\end{aligned}$$

It is elementary to check that G and all its partial derivatives are bounded on $[-1/2,1/2]^4$. More specifically, by using the identity

$$a(H)^{-1} = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |u-v|^{2H'-2} du dv$$

we obtain

$$G(0,0,0,0) = a(H)^4 + 4a(H)^4 + a(H)^4 - 4a(H)^4 + 2a(H)^4 - 4a(H)^4 = 0.$$

The boundedness of G's partial derivatives implies, by the mean-value theorem, that there exists a constant K such that, for all $(i,j,k,l) \in D$,

$$\left| G(\frac{1}{i-j}, \frac{1}{k-l}, \frac{1}{i-k}, \frac{1}{j-l}) \right| \leq \frac{K}{|i-j|} + \frac{K}{|k-l|} + \frac{K}{|i-k|} + \frac{K}{|j-l|}.$$

Hence from (50), because of the symmetry of the sum with respect to the indices, it is sufficient to show that the following converges to 0:

$$S := N^{4H-2} \frac{1}{N^4} \sum_{(i,j,k,l)\in D} \left(\frac{|i-j| \cdot |k-l| \cdot |i-k| \cdot |j-l|}{N^4} \right)^{H-1} \frac{1}{|i-j|}. \tag{51}$$

We will express this quantity by singling out the term $i' := i-j$ and summing over it last.

$$\begin{aligned} S &= 2N^{4H-1} \sum_{i'=3}^{N-1} \frac{1}{N^3} \sum_{\substack{(i'+j,j,k,l)\in D \\ 1\leq j\leq N-i'}} \left(\frac{|k-l| \cdot |i'+j-k| \cdot |j-l|}{N^3} \right)^{H-1} \left(\frac{i'}{N} \right)^{H-1} \frac{1}{i'} \\ &= 2N^{3H-2} \sum_{i'=3}^{N-1} \left(i'\right)^{H-2} \frac{1}{N^3} \sum_{\substack{(i'+j,j,k,l)\in D \\ 1\leq j\leq N-i'}} \left(\frac{|k-l| \cdot |i'+j-k| \cdot |j-l|}{N^3} \right)^{H-1}. \end{aligned}$$

For fixed i', we can compare the sum over j,k,l to a Riemann integral since the power $H-1 > -1$. This cannot be done, however, for $(i')^{H-2}$; rather, one must use the fact that this is the term of a summable series. We get that asymptotically for large N,

$$S \simeq 2N^{3H-2} \sum_{i'=3}^{N-1} \left(i'\right)^{H-2} g\left(i'/N\right)$$

where the function g is defined on $[0,1]$ by

$$g(x) := \int_0^{1-x} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 dy dz dw \left| (z-w)(x+y-z)(y-w) \right|^{H-1}. \tag{52}$$

It is easy to check that g is a bounded function on $[0,1]$; thus we have proved that for some constant $K > 0$,

$$S \leq K N^{3H-2} \sum_{i'=3}^{\infty} \left(i'\right)^{H-2}$$

which converges to 0 as soon as $H < 2/3$. This finishes the proof of the lemma. ■

We finish this appendix with a discussion of why the threshold $H < 2/3$ cannot be improved above, and what consequence that has. We can perform a finer analysis of the function G is the proof above. The first and second derivatives of G at $\bar{0} = (0,0,0,0)$ can be calculated by hand. The calculation is identical for $\partial G/\partial x\,(\bar{0})$ and for all other first derivatives, yielding (via the expression used above for $a\,(H)$),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{H-1}\frac{\partial G}{\partial x}\,(\bar{0}) \\
&= a(H)^6 \int_{[0,1]^4} dudvdu'dv'\,(v-v')\left[|u-v|\cdot|u'-v'|\right]^{H-1} + 4a(H)^5 \int_{[0,1]^3} dudvdu'\,(v-u')\,|u-v|^{H-1} \\
&+ a(H)^4 \int_{[0,1]^2} dudv\,(u-v) - 4a(H)^6 \int_{[0,1]^4} dudvdu'dv'\,(v-v')\left[|u-v|\cdot|u'-v'|\right]^{H-1} \\
&+ 2a(H)^6 \int_{[0,1]^4} dudvdu'dv'\,(v-v')\left[|u-v|\cdot|u'-v'|\right]^{H-1} - 4a(H)^5 \int_{[0,1]^3} dudvdu'\,(v-u')\,|u-v|^{H-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

We notice that the two lines with $4a\,(H)^5$ cancel each other out. For each of the other four lines, we see that the factor $(v-v')$ is an odd term, and the other factor is symmetric with respect to v and v'. Therefore each of the other four factors is zero individually. This proves that the gradient of G at 0 is nul. Let us express the second derivatives. Similarly to the above calculation, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{(1-H)\,(2-H)}\frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial x^2}\,(\bar{0}) \\
&= a(H)^6 \int_{[0,1]^4} dudvdu'dv'\,(v-v')^2\left[|u-v|\cdot|u'-v'|\right]^{H-1} + 4a(H)^5 \int_{[0,1]^3} dudvdu'\,(v-u')^2\,|u-v|^{H-1} \\
&+ a(H)^4 \int_{[0,1]^2} dudv\,(u-v)^2 - 4a(H)^6 \int_{[0,1]^4} dudvdu'dv'\,(v-v')^2\left[|u-v|\cdot|u'-v'|\right]^{H-1} \\
&+ 2a(H)^6 \int_{[0,1]^4} dudvdu'dv'\,(v-v')^2\left[|u-v|\cdot|u'-v'|\right]^{H-1} - 4a(H)^5 \int_{[0,1]^3} dudvdu'\,(v-u')^2\,|u-v|^{H-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Again the terms with $a\,(H)^5$ cancel each other out. The three terms with $a\,(H)^6$ add to a non-zero value, and we thus get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{(1-H)\,(2-H)}\frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial x^2}\,(\bar{0}) = &-a(H)^6 \int_{[0,1]^4} dudvdu'dv'\,(v-v')^2\left[|u-v|\cdot|u'-v'|\right]^{H-1} \\
&+ a(H)^4 \int_{[0,1]^4} dudv\,(u-v)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

While the evaluation of this integral is non-trivial, one can show that for all $H > 1/2$, it is a strictly positive constant $\gamma\,(H)$. Similar computations can be attempted for the mixed derivatives, which are all equal to some common value $\eta\,(H)$ at $\bar{0}$ because of G's symmetry, and we will see that the sign of $\eta\,(H)$ is irrelevant. Now we can write, using Taylor's formula

$$\begin{aligned}
G\,(x,y,z,w) = &\gamma\,(H)\left(x^2+y^2+z^2+w^2\right) \\
&+ \eta\,(H)\,(xy+xz+xw+yz+yw+zw) + o\left(x^2+y^2+z^2+w^2\right).
\end{aligned}$$

By taking $x^2+y^2+z^2+w^2$ sufficiently small (this corresponds to restricting $|i-j|$ and other differences to being larger than some value $m = m\,(H)$, whose corresponding "diagonal" terms not satisfying this

restriction are dealt as usual), we get for some constant $\theta(H) > 0$,

$$G(x,y,z,w) \geq \theta(H)\left(x^2+y^2+z^2+w^2\right) + \eta(H)(xy+xz+xw+yz+yw+zw).$$

Let us look first at the terms in (50) corresponding to $x^2+y^2+z^2+w^2$: these are collectively bounded below by the same sum restricted to $i = j+m$, which equals

$$d(H)^4 N^{4H-2} \frac{1}{N^4} \sum_{(j+m,j,k,l)\in D} \left(\frac{|i-j|\cdot|k-l|\cdot|i-k|\cdot|j-l|}{N^4}\right)^{2H'-2} \frac{\theta(H)}{(i-j)^2}.$$

The fact that the final factor contains $(i-j)^{-2}$ instead of $(i-j)^{-1}$ which we had for instance in (51) in the proof of the lemma does not help us. In particular, identical calculations as those following (51) show that the above is larger than

$$2N^{3H-2} g(m/N)$$

which does not go to 0 if $H \geq 2/3$ since $g(0)$ calculated from (52) is positive.

For the terms in (50) corresponding to $xy+xz+xw+yz+yw+zw$, considering for instance the term xy, similar computations to those above lead to the corresponding term in S being equal to

$$2N^{2H-2} \sum_{i'=m}^{N-1} \sum_{k'=m}^{N-1} \left(i'k'\right)^{H-2} \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{\substack{(i'+j,j,k'+l,l)\in D \\ 1\leq j\leq N-i'; 1\leq l\leq N-k'}} \left(\frac{|i'+j-k'-l|\cdot|j-l|}{N^3}\right)^{H-1}$$

$$\simeq 2N^{2H-2} \sum_{i'=m}^{N-1} \sum_{k'=m}^{N-1} \left(i'k'\right)^{H-2} \int_0^{1-i'/N} \int_0^{1-k'/N} dydw \left|(z-w)\left(\frac{i'}{N}+y-\frac{k'}{N}-w\right)(y-w)\right|^{H-1}$$

which evidently tends to 0 as soon as $H < 1$.

We conclude that if $H \geq 2/3$, $\|g_N \otimes_1 g_N\|^2_{L^2([0,1]^2)}$ does not tend to 0, and by the Nualart-Ortiz-Latorre criterion (Theorem 1 part (iii)), U_2, as defined in (38), does not converge in distribution to a normal. Hence we can guarantee that, as soon as $H \geq 2/3$, the adjusted variation in Theorem 6 does not converge to a normal. Thus the normality of our adjusted estimator in Theorem 7 holds *if and only if* $H \in (1/2, 2/3)$.

References

[1] J. Beran (1994): *Statistics for Long-Memory Processes.* Chapman and Hall.

[2] J.-C. Breton and I. Nourdin (2008): Error bounds on the non-normal approximation of Hermite power variations of fractional Brownian motion. *Electronic Communications in Probability,* **13**, 482-493.

[3] P. Breuer and P. Major (1983): Central limit theorems for nonlinear functionals of Gaussian fields. *J. Multivariate Analysis*, **13** (3), 425-441.

[4] J.F. Coeurjolly (2001): Estimating the parameters of a fractional Brownian motion by discrete variations of its sample paths. *Statistical Inference for Stochastic Processes*, **4**, 199-227.

[5] R.L. Dobrushin and P. Major (1979): Non-central limit theorems for non-linear functionals of Gaussian fields. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete*, **50**, 27-52.

[6] J. L. Doob (1953): *Stochastic Processes.* Wiley Classics Library, USA.

[7] P. Embrechts and M. Maejima (2002): *Selfsimilar processes.* Princeton University Press, Princeton, New York.

[8] X. Guyon and J. León (1989): Convergence en loi des H-variations d'un processus gaussien stationnaire sur $\mathbf{R}$. *Annales IHP*, **25**, 265-282.

[9] S.B. Hariz (2002): Limit theorems for the nonlinear functionals of stationary Gaussian processes. *J. of Multivariate Analysis*, **80**, 191-216.

[10] Y.Z. Hu and D. Nualart (2005): Renormalized self-intersection local time for fractional Brownian motion. *The Annals of Probability*, **33** (3), 948-983.

[11] G. Lang and J. Istas (1997): Quadratic variations and estimators of the Hölder index of a Gaussian process. *Annales IHP*, **33**, 407-436.

[12] B. Mandelbrot (1963): The variation of certain speculative prices. *Journal of Business* **XXXVI**, 392-417.

[13] A.I. McLeod and K.W. Kipel (1978): Preservation of the rescaled adjusted range: a reaassement of the Hurst exponent. *Water Resourc. Res.*, **14** (3), 491-508.

[14] J.R. León and C. Ludena (2007): Limits for weighted p-variations and likewise functionals of fractional diffusions with drift. *Stochastic Proc. Appl.*, **117**, 271-296.

[15] M.B. Marcus and J. Rosen (2007): Non normal CLTs for functions of the increments of Gaussian processes with conve increment's variance. Preprint.

[16] I. Nourdin (2007): Asymptotic behavior of certain weighted quadratic variation and cubic varitions of fractional Brownian motion. To appear in *Annals of Probability.*

[17] I. Nourdin and D. Nualart (2007): Central limit theorems for multiple Skorohod integrals. Preprint.

[18] I. Nourdin and G. Peccati (2008): Weighted power vatiations of iterated Brownian motion. *Electronic Journal of Probability.* **13**, 1229-1256.

[19] I. Nourdin and G. Peccati (2007): Stein's method on Wiener chaos. To appear in *Probability Theory and Related Fields.*

[20] I. Nourdin, G. Peccati and A. Réveillac (2008): Multivariate normal approximation using Stein's method and Malliavin calculus. Preprint.

[21] D. Nualart (2006): *Malliavin calculus and related topics, 2nd ed.* Springer.

[22] D. Nualart and S. Ortiz-Latorre (2008): Central limit theorems for multiple stochastic integrals and Malliavin calculus. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications,* **118**, 614-628.

[23] D. Nualart and G. Peccati (2005): Central limit theorems for sequences of multiple stochastic integrals. *The Annals of Probability*, **33** (1), 173-193.

[24] G. Peccati and C.A. Tudor (2004): Gaussian limits for vector-valued multiple stochastic integrals. *Séminaire de Probabilités*, **XXXIV**, 247-262.

[25] G. Samorodnitsky and M. Taqqu (1994): *Stable Non-Gaussian random variables.* Chapman and Hall, London.

[26] J. Swanson (2007): Variations of the solution to a stochastic heat equation. *Annals of Probability*, **35**(6), 2122-2159.

[27] M. Taqqu (1975): Weak convergence to the fractional Brownian motion and to the Rosenblatt process. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete*, **31**, 287-302.

[28] M. Taqqu (1979): Convergence of integrated processes of arbitrary Hermite rank. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete*, **50**, 53-83.

[29] C.A. Tudor (2008): Analysis of the Rosenblatt process. *ESAIM-PS*, **12**, 230-257.

[30] A.S. Ustunel (1995): *An Introduction to Analysis on Wiener Space,* Springer-Verlag.

[31] W. Willinger, M. Taqqu and V. Teverovsky (1999): Long range dependence and stock returns. *Finance and Stochastics*, **3**, 1-13.

[32] W. Willinger, M. Taqqu, W.E. Leland and D.V. Wilson (1995): Self-similarity in high speed packet traffic: analysis and modelisation of ethernet traffic measurements. *Statist. Sci.* **10**, 67-85.