



# Recursion between Mumford volumes of moduli spaces

Bertrand Eynard

## ► To cite this version:

| Bertrand Eynard. Recursion between Mumford volumes of moduli spaces. 2007. hal-00158738

**HAL Id: hal-00158738**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00158738>**

Preprint submitted on 29 Jun 2007

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Recursion between Mumford volumes of moduli spaces

*B. Eynard*<sup>1</sup>

Service de Physique Théorique de Saclay,  
F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France.

## Abstract

We propose a new proof, as well as a generalization of Mirzakhani's recursion for volumes of moduli spaces. We interpret those recursion relations in terms of expectation values in Kontsevich's integral, i.e. we relate them to a Ribbon graph decomposition of Riemann surfaces. We find a generalization of Mirzakhani's recursions to measures containing all higher Mumford's  $\kappa$  classes, and not only  $\kappa_1$  as in the Weil-Petersson case.

## 1 Introduction

Let

$$\text{Vol}_{\text{WP}}(\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(L_1, \dots, L_n)) \quad (1-1)$$

be the volume (measured with Weil-Petersson's measure) of the moduli space of genus  $g$  curves with  $n$  geodesic boundaries of length  $L_1, \dots, L_n$ . Maryam Mirzakhani found a beautiful recursion relation [11, 12] for those functions, allowing to compute all of them in principle. That relation has then received several proofs [13, 10], and we provide one more proof, more “matrix model oriented”.

The main interest of our method, is that it easily generalizes to a larger class of measures, containing all Mumford classes  $\kappa$ , which should also prove the result of Liu and Xu [10].

In fact, our recursion relations are those of [7], and they should be generalizable to a much larger set of measures, not only those based on Kontsevich's hyperelliptical

---

<sup>1</sup>E-mail: bertrand.eynard@cea.fr

spectral curve, and not only rational spectral curves. For instance they hold for the generalized Kontsevich integral whose spectral curve is not hyperelliptical, i.e. they should hopefully allow to compute also some sort of volumes of moduli spaces of stable maps with spin structures.

In [5] it was observed that after Laplace transform, Mirzakhani's recursion became identical to the solution of loop equations [7] for Kontsevich's matrix integral. Based on that remark we are in position to reprove Mirzakhani's result, and in fact we prove something more general:

Consider an arbitrary set of Kontsevich KdV times<sup>2</sup>  $t_{2d+3}$ ,  $d = 0, 1, \dots, \infty$ , we define their conjugated times  $\tilde{t}_k$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, \infty$ , by:

$$f(z) = \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2a+1)!}{a!} \frac{t_{2a+3}}{2-t_3} z^a \quad \rightarrow \quad \tilde{f}(z) = -\ln(1-f(z)) = \sum_{b=1}^{\infty} \tilde{t}_b z^b \quad (1-2)$$

Then we prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.1** *Given a set of conjugated Kontsevich times  $\tilde{t}_0, \tilde{t}_1, \tilde{t}_2, \dots$ , the following "Mumford volumes",*

$$W_{g,n}(z_1, \dots, z_n) = 2^{-d_{g,n}} (t_3 - 2)^{2-2g-n} \sum_{d_0+d_1+\dots+d_n=d_{g,n}} \sum_{k=1}^{d_0} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{b_1+\dots+b_k=d_0, b_i>0} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{2d_i+1!}{d_i!} \frac{dz_i}{z_i^{2d_i+2}} \prod_{l=1}^k \tilde{t}_{b_l} < \prod_{l=1}^k \kappa_{b_l} \prod_{i=1}^n \psi_i^{d_i} >_{g,n} \quad (1-3)$$

where  $d_{g,n} = 3g - 3 + n = \dim \mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ , satisfy the following recursion relations (where  $K = \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ ):

$$W_{0,1} = 0 \quad W_{0,2}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{dz_1 dz_2}{(z_1 - z_2)^2}$$

$$W_{g,n+1}(K, z_{n+1}) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Res}_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{dz_{n+1}}{(z_{n+1}^2 - z^2)(y(z) - y(-z))dz} \left[ W_{g-1,n+2}(z, -z, K) \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{h=0}^g \sum_{J \subset K} W_{h,1+|J|}(z, J) W_{g-h,1+n-|J|}(-z, K/J) \right] \quad (1-4)$$

where

$$y(z) = z - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t_{2k+3} z^{2k+1} \quad (1-5)$$

---

<sup>2</sup>Our definition of times  $t_k$  slightly differs from the usual one, we have  $t_k = \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{Tr} \Lambda^{-k}$ .

From theorem.1.1, we obtain as an immediate consequence if  $t_{2d+3} = -\frac{(2i\pi)^{2d}}{2d+1!} + 2\delta_{d,0}$ , i.e.  $\tilde{t}_1 = 4\pi^2$  and  $\tilde{t}_k = 0$  for  $k > 1$ , and after Laplace transform:

**Corollary 1.1** *The Weil-Petersson volumes satisfy Mirzakhani's recursions.*

The proof of theorem 1.1 is detailed in the next sections, it can be sketched as follows:

- We first define some  $W_{g,n}(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  which obey the recursion relations of [7], i.e. eq.1-4. In other words, we define them as the solution of the recursion, without knowing what they compute.
- We prove that those  $W_{g,n}(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  correspond to some expectation values in the Kontsevich integral  $Z(\Lambda) = \int dM e^{-N \text{Tr}(\frac{M^3}{3} - M\Lambda^2)}$ , where  $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ , and  $t_k = \frac{1}{N} \text{Tr} \Lambda^{-k}$ , of the form:

$$\frac{W_{g,n}(\lambda_{i_1}, \dots, \lambda_{i_n})}{dx(\lambda_{i_1}) \dots dx(\lambda_{i_n})} = (-1)^n \langle M_{i_1, i_1} \dots M_{i_n, i_n} \rangle_c^{(g)} \quad (1-6)$$

- Then we expand  $\langle M_{i_1, i_1} \dots M_{i_n, i_n} \rangle$  into Feynman ribbon graphs, which are in bijection with a cell decomposition of  $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}^{\text{comb}}$  (like in Kontsevich's first works), and the value of each of those Feynman graphs is precisely the Laplace transform of the volume of the corresponding cell.
- the sum over all cells yields the expected result: the inverse Laplace transforms of  $W_{g,n}$  are the volumes  $V_{g,n}$ , and, by definition, they satisfy the recursion relations.
- In fact the volumes are first written in terms of the first Chern classes  $\psi_i$  in formula eq.2-31, and after some combinatorics, we find more convenient to rewrite them in terms of Mumford  $\kappa$  classes.

Then, we specialize our theorem to some choices of times  $t_k$ 's, in particular the following:

- The first example is  $t_{2d+3} = -\frac{(2i\pi)^{2d}}{2d+1!} + 2\delta_{d,0}$ , in which case  $V_{g,n}$  the Laplace transform of  $W_{g,n}$  are the Weil-Petersson volumes, and thus we recover Mirzakhani's recursions.

- Our second example is  $t_k = \lambda^{-k}$ , i.e.  $\Lambda = \lambda \text{Id}$ , for which the Kontsevich integral reduces to a standard one-matrix model, and for which the  $W_{g,n}$  are known to count triangulated maps, i.e. discrete surfaces with the discrete Regge metrics (metrics whose curvature is localized on vertices and edges). We are thus able to associate some class to that discrete measure on  $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ . And we have a formula which interpolates between the enumeration of maps and the enumeration of Riemann surfaces, in agreement with the spirit of 2d-quantum gravity in the 80's [16, 2, 4].

## 2 Proof of the theorem

### 2.1 Kontsevich's integral

In his very famous work [9] Maxim Kontsevich introduced the following matrix integral as a generating function for intersection numbers

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\Lambda) &= \int dM \, e^{-N \operatorname{Tr} (\frac{M^3}{3} - M(\Lambda^2 + t_1))} \\ &= e^{\frac{2N}{3} \operatorname{Tr} \Lambda^3 + N t_1 \operatorname{Tr} \Lambda} \int dM \, e^{-N \operatorname{Tr} (\frac{M^3}{3} + M^2 \Lambda - t_1 M)} \\ (2-1) \end{aligned}$$

where the integral is a formal integral over hermitian matrices  $M$  of size  $N$ , and  $\Lambda$  is a fixed diagonal matrix

$$\Lambda = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \quad , \quad t_k = \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{Tr} \Lambda^{-k} \quad (2-2)$$

Throuthough all this article we shall assume  $t_1 = 0$ , since anyways none of the quantities we are interested in here depend on  $t_1$  (see symplectic invariance in [7], or see [3]).

In [7], a method to compute the topological expansion of such matrix integrals was developped. We first define the Kontsevich's spectral curve:

**Definition 2.1** *The spectral curve of  $Z(\Lambda)$  is the rational plane curve of equation:*

$$\mathcal{E}(x, y) = y^2 - x - \frac{y}{N} \operatorname{Tr} \frac{1}{x - t_1 - \Lambda^2} - \frac{1}{N} \left\langle \operatorname{Tr} \frac{1}{x - t_1 - \Lambda^2} M \right\rangle^{(0)} = 0 \quad (2-3)$$

*i.e. it has the following rational uniformization*

$$\mathcal{E}(x, y) = \begin{cases} x(z) = z^2 + t_1 \\ y(z) = z + \frac{1}{2N} \operatorname{Tr} \frac{1}{\Lambda(z - \Lambda)} \end{cases} = z - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t_{k+2} z^k \quad (2-4)$$

Then we define (i.e. the algebraic invariants of [7]):

**Definition 2.2** *We define the correlators:*

$$W_{0,1} = 0 \quad W_{0,2}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{dz_1 dz_2}{(z_1 - z_2)^2} \quad (2-5)$$

and we define by recursion on  $2g - 2 + n$ , the symmetric<sup>3</sup> form  $W_{g,n+1}(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n)$  by (we write  $K = \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ ):

$$W_{g,n+1}(K, z_{n+1}) = \operatorname{Res}_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{z \, dz_{n+1}}{(z_{n+1}^2 - z^2)(y(z) - y(-z))dx(z)} \left[ W_{g-1,n+2}(z, -z, K) \right]$$

---

<sup>3</sup>The non-obvious fact that this is symmetric in its  $n + 1$  variables is proved by recursion in [7].

$$(2-6) \quad + \sum_{h=0}^g \sum_{J \subset K} W_{h,1+|J|}(z, J) W_{g-h,1+n-|J|}(-z, K/J) \Big]$$

Then, if  $d\Phi = ydx$ , we define for  $g > 1$ :

$$F_g = \frac{1}{2g-2} \operatorname{Res}_{z \rightarrow 0} \Phi(z) W_{g,1}(z) \quad (2-7)$$

(there is a separate definition of  $F_g$  for  $g = 0, 1$ , but we shall not use it here).

We recall the result of [7] (which uses also [8]):

**Theorem 2.1**

$$\ln Z = \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} N^{2-2g} F_g \quad (2-8)$$

Now, we prove the more elaborate result:

**Theorem 2.2** if  $i_1, \dots, i_n$  are  $n$  distinct integers in  $[1, N]$ , then:

$$\boxed{\frac{W_n^{(g)}(\lambda_{i_1}, \dots, \lambda_{i_n})}{dx(\lambda_{i_1}) \dots dx(\lambda_{i_n})} = \langle M_{i_1, i_1} \dots M_{i_n, i_n} \rangle_c^{(g)}} \quad (2-9)$$

where  $\langle . \rangle$  means the formal expectation value with respect to the measure used to define  $Z$ , the subscript  $c$  means connected part or cumulant, and the subscript  $(g)$  means the  $g^{\text{th}}$  term in the  $1/N^2$  topological expansion.

In other words, the  $W_{g,n}$  compute some expectation values in the Kontsevich integral, which are not the same as those computed by [3].

**proof:**

From eq. 2-1, it is easy to see that:

$$N^{-n} \frac{\partial^n \ln Z}{\partial \lambda_{i_1} \dots \partial \lambda_{i_n}} = 2^n \lambda_{i_1} \dots \lambda_{i_n} \langle M_{i_1, i_1} \dots M_{i_n, i_n} \rangle_c \quad (2-10)$$

i.e., to order  $N^{2-2g-n}$ :

$$\frac{\partial^n F_g}{\partial \lambda_{i_1} \dots \partial \lambda_{i_n}} = 2^n \lambda_{i_1} \dots \lambda_{i_n} \langle M_{i_1, i_1} \dots M_{i_n, i_n} \rangle_c^{(g)} \quad (2-11)$$

Now, let us compute  $\frac{\partial F_g}{\partial \lambda_i}$  with the method of [7].

Consider an infinitesimal variation of the matrix  $\Lambda$ :  $\lambda_i \rightarrow \lambda_i + \delta \lambda_i$  (we assume  $\delta t_1 = 0$ ). It translates into the following variations of the function  $y(z)$ :

$$\delta y(z) = \frac{1}{2Nz} \operatorname{Tr} \frac{\delta \Lambda}{(z - \Lambda)^2} \quad (2-12)$$

and thus the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
-\delta y(z)dx(z) &= d\left(\frac{1}{N} \operatorname{Tr} \frac{\delta\Lambda}{z-\Lambda}\right) \\
&= \operatorname{Res}_{\zeta \rightarrow z} \frac{1}{(z-\zeta)^2} \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{Tr} \frac{\delta\Lambda}{\zeta-\Lambda} \\
&= -\sum_i \operatorname{Res}_{\zeta \rightarrow \lambda_i} \frac{1}{(z-\zeta)^2} \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{Tr} \frac{\delta\Lambda}{\zeta-\Lambda}
\end{aligned}
\tag{2-13}$$

Then, using theorem 5.1 of [7], we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta F_g &= \sum_i \operatorname{Res}_{\zeta \rightarrow \lambda_i} W_1^{(g)}(\zeta) \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{Tr} \frac{\delta\Lambda}{\zeta-\Lambda} \\
&= \sum_i \frac{W_1^{(g)}(\lambda_i)}{d\lambda_i} \frac{\delta\lambda_i}{N}
\end{aligned}
\tag{2-14}$$

i.e.

$$W_1^{(g)}(\lambda_i) = \langle M_{ii} \rangle^{(g)} dx(\lambda_i) \tag{2-15}$$

And repeating the use of theorem 5.1 in [7] recursively we get the result.  $\square$

Example:

$$\langle M_{ii} \rangle^{(1)} = \frac{1}{16(2-t_3)} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_i^5} + \frac{t_5}{(2-t_3)\lambda_i^3} \right) \longrightarrow \langle \operatorname{Tr} M \rangle^{(1)} = \frac{t_5}{8(2-t_3)^2} \tag{2-16}$$

## 2.2 Expectation values and ribbon graphs


Let  $i_1, \dots, i_n$  be  $n$  distinct given integers  $\in [1, \dots, N]$ . We want to compute:

$$\langle M_{i_1, i_1} \dots M_{i_n, i_n} \rangle^{(g)} \tag{2-17}$$

Let us also choose  $n$  positive real perimeters  $P_1, \dots, P_n$

Let  $\Gamma(g, n, m)$  be the set of tri-valent oriented ribbon graphs of genus  $g$ , with  $n$  marked faces, and  $m$  unmarked faces. Each marked face  $F = 1, \dots, n$  carries the given index  $i_F$ , and each unmarked face  $f$  carries an index  $i_f \in [1, \dots, N]$ .

Let us consider another set of graphs: Let  $\Gamma^*(g, n, m)$  be the set of oriented ribbon graphs of genus  $g$ , with trivalent and 1-valent vertices, made of  $m$  unmarked faces bordered with only tri-valent vertices, each of them carrying an index  $i_f$ , and  $n$  marked faces carrying the fixed index  $i_F \in \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$ , such that each marked face has one 1-valent vertex on its boundary. The unique trivalent vertex linked to the 1-valent vertex on each marked face, corresponds to a marked point on the boundary of that face.



For any graph  $G$  in either  $\Gamma(g, n, m)$  or  $\Gamma^*(g, n, m)$ , each edge  $e$  is bordered by two faces (possibly not different), and we denote the pair of their indices as  $(e_{\text{left}}, e_{\text{right}})$ .

Assume that  $i_1, \dots, i_n$  are distinct integers. The usual fat graph expansion of matrix integrals gives (cf [2, 4, 9]):

$$\langle M_{i_1, i_1} \dots M_{i_n, i_n} \rangle^{(g)} = N^{-m} \sum_m \sum_{G \in \Gamma_{g, n, m}^*} \sum_{\{i_f\}} \frac{(-1)^{\#\text{vertices}}}{\#\text{Aut}(G)} \prod_{e \in \text{edges}(G)} \frac{1}{\lambda_{e \text{ left}} + \lambda_{e \text{ right}}} \quad (2-18)$$

It is obtained by first expanding  $e^{-\frac{N}{3} \text{Tr } M^3} = \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \frac{N^v}{3^v v!} (-1)^v (\text{Tr } M^3)^v$ , and then computing each polynomial moment of the Gaussian measure  $e^{-N \text{Tr } \Lambda M^2}$  with the help of Wick's theorem. Each  $\text{Tr } M^3$  corresponds to a trivalent vertex, each  $M_{ii}$  corresponds to a 1-valent vertex, and edges correspond to the “propagator”  $\langle M_{ij} M_{kl} \rangle_{\text{Gauss}} = \frac{\delta_{il} \delta_{jk}}{N(\lambda_i + \lambda_j)}$ . The result is best represented as a fatgraph, whose edges are double lines, carrying two indices. The indices are conserved along simple lines. The symmetry factor comes from the combination of  $1/(3^v v!)$  and the fact that some graphs are obtained several times. Notice that  $(-1)^v = (-1)^n$ , because the total number of 1 and 3-valent vertices must be even.

Notice that the edge connected to the 1-valent vertex  $M_{i_F, i_F}$  gives a factor  $1/2\lambda_{i_F}$ , and the two edges on the boundary of face  $F$ , on each side of the 1-valent vertex give a factor  $1/(\lambda_{i_F} + \lambda_j)^2$  (where  $j$  is the index of the neighboring face), which can be written:

$$\frac{1}{(\lambda_{i_F} + \lambda_j)^2} = \int_0^\infty dl_e \int_0^{l_e} d\hat{l}_i e^{-l_e(\lambda_{i_F} + \lambda_j)} \quad (2-19)$$

and all other edges have a weight of the form:

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_{e \text{ left}} + \lambda_{e \text{ right}}} = \int_0^\infty dl_e e^{-l_e(\lambda_{e \text{ left}} + \lambda_{e \text{ right}})} \quad (2-20)$$

We are thus led to associate to each edge  $e$  a length  $l_e \in \mathbf{R}^+$ .

Therefore

$$\langle M_{i_1, i_1} \dots M_{i_n, i_n} \rangle^{(g)} = \frac{N^{-m}}{2^n \lambda_{i_1} \dots \lambda_{i_n}} \sum_m \sum_{G \in \Gamma_{g, n, m}^*} \sum_{\{i_f\}} \frac{(-1)^n}{\#\text{Aut}(G)}$$



$$(2-21) \quad \prod_{e \in \text{edges}(G)} \int_0^\infty dl_e e^{-\sum_e l_e (\lambda_{e \text{ left}} + \lambda_{e \text{ right}})} \prod_{F=1}^n \int_0^{l_{e_F}} d\hat{l}_F$$

Now, we introduce the perimeters of each face  $P_F$  for marked faces, and  $p_f$  for unmarked ones.

Notice that each graph of  $\Gamma_{g,n,m}^*$  projects on a graph of  $\Gamma_{g,n,m}$  by removing the 1-valent vertex and its adjacent trivalent vertex, and keeping a marked point on the boundary of the face  $F$ . The sum of  $\int \prod_F d\hat{l}_F$  over graphs of  $\Gamma_{g,n,m}^*$  which project to the same graph, corresponds to a sum of all possibilities of marking a point on the boundary of face  $F$ , i.e. a factor  $P_F$ , and thus removing the marked point. Therefore:

$$(2-22) \quad \begin{aligned} &= \frac{\langle M_{i_1, i_1} \dots M_{i_n, i_n} \rangle^{(g)}}{2^n \lambda_{i_1} \dots \lambda_{i_n}} \sum_m \sum_{G \in \Gamma_{g,n,m}} \sum_{\{i_f\}} \frac{(-1)^n}{\#\text{Aut}(G)} \prod_f \int_0^\infty dp_f e^{-\sum_f \lambda_{i_f} p_f} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^n \lambda_{i_1} \dots \lambda_{i_n}} \sum_m \sum_{G \in \Gamma_{g,n,m}} \frac{(-1)^n}{\#\text{Aut}(G)} \prod_F \int_0^\infty P_F dP_F e^{-\lambda_{i_F} P_F} \prod_e \int_0^\infty dl_e \prod_f \delta(p_f - \sum_{e \in \partial f} l_e) \prod_{F=1}^n \delta(P_F - \sum_{e \in \partial F} l_e) \\ &= \prod_f \int_0^\infty dp_f \frac{1}{N} \text{Tr}(e^{-p_f \Lambda}) \prod_F \int_0^\infty P_F dP_F e^{-\lambda_{i_F} P_F} \text{Vol}(\pi_G^{-1}(P_F, p_f)) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\text{Vol}(\pi_G^{-1}(P_F, p_f))$  is the volume of the pullback of the ribbon graph  $G$  in  $\mathcal{M}_{g,n+m}^{\text{comb}}$ :

$$\text{Vol}(\pi_G^{-1}(P_F, p_f)) = \int \prod_e dl_e \prod_f \delta(p_f - \sum_{e \in \partial f} l_e) \prod_{F=1}^n \delta(P_F - \sum_{e \in \partial F} l_e) \quad (2-23)$$

The number of integrations (i.e. after performing the  $\delta$ ) is  $2d_{g,n+m} = \#\text{edges} - \#\text{faces} = 2(3g - 3 + n + m)$ , which is the dimension of  $\mathcal{M}_{g,n+m}$ , therefore  $\prod_e dl_e$  is a top-dimension volume form on  $\mathcal{M}_{g,n+m}^{\text{comb}} = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+m} \times \mathbf{R}_+^{n+m}$ , i.e.:

$$\prod_e dl_e = \frac{\rho_{g,n+m}}{d_{g,n+m}!} \prod_F dP_F \prod_f dp_f \wedge \Omega^{d_{g,n+m}} \quad (2-24)$$

where  $\Omega$  is the 2-form on the strata  $\pi_G^{-1}(P_F, p_f)$  of  $\mathcal{M}_{g,n+m}^{\text{comb}}$  such that:

$$\Omega = \sum_f p_f^2 \omega_f + \sum_F P_F^2 \omega_F \quad (2-25)$$

and where  $\omega_f = \sum_{e < e'} d(l_e/p_f) \wedge d(l_{e'}/p_f)$  is the first Chern class of pullback of the cotangent bundle at the center of the face  $\psi_f = c_1(\mathcal{L}_f)$ .

Kontsevich [9] proved that the constant  $\rho_{g,n+m}$  is given by:

$$\rho_{g,n+m} = 2^{g-1-2d_{g,n+m}} \quad (2-26)$$

Thus we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Vol}(\pi_G^{-1}(P_F, p_f)) \\ = & \frac{\rho_{g,n+m}}{d_{g,n+m}!} \int_{\pi_G^{-1}(P_F, p_f)} \Omega^{d_{g,n+m}} \\ = & \rho_{g,n+m} \sum_{\sum_f d_f + \sum_F d_F = d_{g,n+m}} \int_{\pi_G^{-1}(P_F, p_f)} \prod_f \frac{p_f^{2d_f} \psi_f^{d_f}}{d_f!} \prod_F \frac{P_F^{2d_F} \psi_F^{d_F}}{d_F!} \\ = & \rho_{g,n+m} \sum_{\sum_f d_f + \sum_F d_F = d_{g,n+m}} \prod_f \frac{p_f^{2d_f}}{d_f!} \prod_F \frac{P_F^{2d_F}}{d_F!} < \prod_f \psi_f^{d_f} \prod_F \psi_F^{d_F} >_G \end{aligned} \quad (2-27)$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_f \int_0^\infty dp_f \frac{1}{N} \text{Tr} (e^{-p_f \Lambda}) \text{Vol}(\pi_G^{-1}(P_F, p_f)) \\ = & \rho_{g,n+m} \prod_f \int_0^\infty dp_f \frac{1}{N} \text{Tr} (e^{-p_f \Lambda}) \sum_{\sum_f d_f + \sum_F d_F = d_{g,n+m}} \prod_f \frac{p_f^{2d_f}}{d_f!} \prod_F \frac{P_F^{2d_F}}{d_F!} < \prod_f \psi_f^{d_f} \prod_F \psi_F^{d_F} >_G \\ = & \rho_{g,n+m} \sum_{\sum_f d_f + \sum_F d_F = d_{g,n+m}} \prod_f \frac{2d_f!}{d_f!} \frac{1}{N} \text{Tr} (\Lambda^{-(2d_f+1)}) \prod_F \frac{P_F^{2d_F}}{d_F!} < \prod_f \psi_f^{d_f} \prod_F \psi_F^{d_F} >_G \\ = & \rho_{g,n+m} \sum_{\sum_f d_f + \sum_F d_F = d_{g,n+m}} \prod_f \frac{2d_f!}{d_f!} t_{2d_f+1} \prod_F \frac{P_F^{2d_F}}{d_F!} < \prod_f \psi_f^{d_f} \prod_F \psi_F^{d_F} >_G \end{aligned} \quad (2-28)$$

and then, when we sum over all graphs (since we sum over graphs with  $m$  unmarked faces, we have to divide wrt to the symmetry factor  $m!$ , like in [9]) :

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle M_{i_1, i_1} \dots M_{i_n, i_n} \rangle^{(g)} \\ = & \frac{(-1)^n \rho_{g,n}}{2^n \lambda_{i_1} \dots \lambda_{i_n}} \prod_F \int_0^\infty P_F dP_F e^{-\lambda_{i_F} P_F} \\ & \sum_m \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\sum d_f + d_F = d_{g,n+m}} \prod_f \frac{2d_f!}{d_f!} \frac{t_{2d_f+1}}{4} \prod_F \frac{P_F^{2d_F}}{d_F!} < \prod_f \psi_f^{d_f} \prod_F \psi_F^{d_F} > \end{aligned} \quad (2-29)$$

Therefore, if we write:

$$\frac{W_{g,n}(\lambda_{i_1}, \dots, \lambda_{i_n})}{d\lambda_{i_1} \dots d\lambda_{i_n}} = \int_0^\infty dP_1 \dots dP_n \prod_F P_F e^{-\lambda_{i_F} P_F} V_{g,n}(P_1, \dots, P_n) \quad (2-30)$$

we find that the inverse Laplace transform of  $W_{g,n}$  is:

$$\boxed{V_{g,n}(P_1, \dots, P_n) = \rho_{g,n} \sum_m \frac{(-1)^n}{m!} \sum_{\sum_1^m d_f + \sum_1^n d_F = d_{g,n} + m} \prod_f \frac{2d_f!}{d_f!} \frac{t_{2d_f+1}}{4} \prod_F \frac{P_F^{2d_F}}{d_F!} < \prod_f \psi_f^{d_f} \prod_F \psi_F^{d_F} >} \quad (2-31)$$

where the intersection theory is computed on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+m}$ .

Since we are interested only in the perimeters of the  $n$  marked faces, we may try to perform the integration over the  $m$  unmarked faces, i.e. we introduce the forgetful projection  $\pi_{n+m \rightarrow n} : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+m} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$  which “forgets” the  $m$  remaining points. It is known [1, 16] that the push forward of the classes  $\psi_f^{d_f}$ , can then be rewritten in terms of Mumford’s [14] tautological classes  $\kappa_b$  on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ , by the relation:

$$(\pi_{n+m \rightarrow n})_*(\psi_1^{a_1+1} \dots \psi_m^{a_m+1} \prod_F \psi_F^{d_F}) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_m} \prod_{c=\text{cycles of } \sigma} \kappa_{\sum_{i \in c} a_i} \prod_F \psi_F^{d_F} \quad (2-32)$$

Therefore, if we rewrite  $d_f = a_f + 1$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\rho_{g,n}} V_{g,n}(P_1, \dots, P_n) \\ &= \sum_m \frac{(-1)^n}{m!} \sum_{\sum_1^m a_f + \sum_1^n d_F = d_{g,n}} \prod_f \frac{2a_f + 1!}{a_f!} \frac{t_{2a_f+3}}{2} \prod_F \frac{P_F^{2d_F}}{d_F!} < \prod_f \psi_f^{a_f+1} \prod_F \psi_F^{d_F} > \\ &= \sum_m \frac{(-1)^n}{m!} \sum_{\sum_1^m a_f + \sum_1^n d_F = d_{g,n}} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_m} \prod_f \frac{2a_f + 1!}{a_f!} \frac{t_{2a_f+3}}{2} \prod_F \frac{P_F^{2d_F}}{d_F!} < \prod_c \kappa_{\sum_c a_i} \prod_F \psi_F^{d_F} > \\ &= (-1)^n \sum_{d_0 + d_1 + \dots + d_F = d_{g,n}} \prod_F \frac{P_F^{2d_F}}{d_F!} \sum_m \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{a_1 + \dots + a_m = d_0, a_f \geq 0} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_m} \prod_f \frac{2a_f + 1!}{a_f!} \frac{t_{2a_f+3}}{2} < \prod_c \kappa_{\sum_c a_i} \prod_F \psi_F^{d_F} > \end{aligned} \quad (2-33)$$

Now, instead of summing over permutations, let us sum over classes of permutations, i.e. partitions  $l_1 \geq l_2 \geq \dots \geq l_k > 0$ , and we denote  $|l| = \sum_i l_i = m$  the weight of the

class, and  $||[l]||$  the size of the class:

$$|[l]| = \frac{|l|!}{\prod_i l_i \prod_j (\#\{i/ l_i = j\})!} \quad (2-34)$$

The sum over the  $a$ 's for each class gives:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(-1)^n}{\rho_{g,n}} V_{g,n}(P_1, \dots, P_n) \\ = & \sum_{d_0+d_1+\dots+d_F=d_{g,n}} \prod_F \frac{P_F^{2d_F}}{d_F!} \sum_k \sum_{l_1 \geq l_2 \geq \dots \geq l_k > 0} \frac{|[l]|}{|l|!} \sum_{a_{i,j}, i=1, \dots, k, j=1, \dots, l_i} \\ & \delta(\sum_{i,j} a_{i,j} - d_0) \prod_{i,j} \frac{2a_{i,j} + 1!}{a_{i,j}!} \frac{t_{2a_{i,j}+3}}{2} < \prod_{i=1}^k \kappa_{\sum_{j=1}^{l_i} a_{i,j}} \prod_F \psi_F^{d_F} > \end{aligned} \quad (2-35)$$

Since the summand is symmetric in the  $l_i$ 's, the ordered sum over  $l_1 \geq \dots \geq l_k$ , can be replaced by an unordered sum (multiplying by  $1/k!$ , and by  $\prod_i (\#\{i/ l_i = j\})!$  in case some  $l_i$  coincide):

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(-1)^n}{\rho_{g,n}} V_{g,n}(P_1, \dots, P_n) \\ = & \sum_{d_0+d_1+\dots+d_F=d_{g,n}} \prod_F \frac{P_F^{2d_F}}{d_F!} \sum_k \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_k > 0} \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{l_i} \sum_{a_{i,j}, i=1, \dots, k, j=1, \dots, l_i} \\ & \delta(\sum_{i,j} a_{i,j} - d_0) \prod_{i,j} \frac{2a_{i,j} + 1!}{a_{i,j}!} \frac{t_{2a_{i,j}+3}}{2} < \prod_{i=1}^k \kappa_{\sum_{j=1}^{l_i} a_{i,j}} \prod_F \psi_F^{d_F} > \\ = & \sum_{d_0+d_1+\dots+d_F=d_{g,n}} \prod_F \frac{P_F^{2d_F}}{d_F!} \sum_k \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{b_1+b_2+\dots+b_k=d_0} \prod_{i=1}^k \tilde{t}_{b_i} < \prod_{i=1}^k \kappa_{b_i} \prod_F \psi_F^{d_F} > \end{aligned} \quad (2-36)$$

where

$$\tilde{t}_b = \sum_{l>0} \frac{1}{l} \sum_{a_1+\dots+a_l=b} \prod_j \frac{2a_j + 1!}{a_j!} \frac{t_{2a_j+3}}{2} \quad (2-37)$$

$\tilde{t}_b$  can be computed as follows: introduce the generating function

$$g(z) = \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \frac{2a + 1!}{a!} \frac{t_{2a+3}}{2} z^a \quad (2-38)$$

then  $\tilde{t}_b$  is

$$\tilde{t}_b = \sum_{l>0} \frac{1}{l} (g^l)_b = (-\ln(1-g))_b \quad (2-39)$$

where the subscript  $b$  means the coefficient of  $z^b$  in the small  $z$  Taylor expansion of the corresponding function, i.e.

$$-\ln(1 - g(z)) = \sum_{b=0}^{\infty} \tilde{t}_b z^b = \tilde{g}(z) \quad , \quad 1 - g(z) = e^{-\tilde{g}(z)} \quad (2-40)$$

In fact, it is better to treat the  $a = 0$  and  $b = 0$  terms separately. Define:

$$f(z) = 1 - \frac{1 - g(z)}{1 - \frac{t_3}{2}} = \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} \frac{2a+1!}{a!} \frac{t_{2a+3}}{2 - t_3} z^a \quad (2-41)$$

and

$$\tilde{f}(z) = -\ln(1 - f(z)) = \tilde{g}(z) - \tilde{t}_0 = \sum_{b=1}^{\infty} \tilde{t}_b z^b \quad (2-42)$$

We have:

$$\tilde{t}_0 = -\ln\left(1 - \frac{t_3}{2}\right) \quad (2-43)$$

and  $\tilde{t}_b$  is now a finite sum:

$$\tilde{t}_b = \sum_{l=1}^b \frac{(-1)^l}{l} \sum_{a_1 + \dots + a_l = b, a_i > 0} \prod_j \frac{2a_j + 1!}{a_j!} \frac{t_{2a_j+3}}{t_3 - 2} \quad (2-44)$$

Using that  $\kappa_0 = 2g - 2 + n$ , we may also perform the sum over all vanishing  $b$ 's. Let us change  $k \rightarrow k + l$  where  $l$  is the number of vanishing  $b$ 's, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(-1)^n}{\rho_{g,n}} V_{g,n}(P_1, \dots, P_n) &= \sum_{d_0 + d_1 + \dots + d_F = d_{g,n}} \prod_F \frac{P_F^{2d_F}}{d_F!} \sum_k \sum_l \frac{1}{k!l!} (\tilde{t}_0 \kappa_0)^l \\ &\quad \sum_{b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_k = d_0, b_i > 0} \prod_{i=1}^k \tilde{t}_{b_i} < \prod_{i=1}^k \kappa_{b_i} \prod_F \psi_F^{d_F} > \\ &= e^{\tilde{t}_0 \kappa_0} \sum_{d_0 + d_1 + \dots + d_F = d_{g,n}} \prod_F \frac{P_F^{2d_F}}{d_F!} \sum_k \frac{1}{k!} \\ &\quad \sum_{b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_k = d_0, b_i > 0} \prod_{i=1}^k \tilde{t}_{b_i} < \prod_{i=1}^k \kappa_{b_i} \prod_F \psi_F^{d_F} > \\ &= \left( \frac{2}{2 - t_3} \right)^{2g-2+n} \sum_{d_0 + d_1 + \dots + d_F = d_{g,n}} \prod_F \frac{P_F^{2d_F}}{d_F!} \sum_k \frac{1}{k!} \\ &\quad \sum_{b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_k = d_0, b_i > 0} \prod_{i=1}^k \tilde{t}_{b_i} < \prod_{i=1}^k \kappa_{b_i} \prod_F \psi_F^{d_F} > \end{aligned} \quad (2-45)$$

Notice that:

$$\rho_{g,n} 2^{2g-2+n} = 2^{-d_{g,n}} \quad (2-46)$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2^{d_{g,n}} (t_3 - 2)^{2g-2+n} V_{g,n}(P_1, \dots, P_n) \\
&= \sum_{d_0+d_1+\dots+d_F=d_{g,n}} \prod_F \frac{P_F^{2d_F}}{d_F!} \sum_k \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{b_1+b_2+\dots+b_k=d_0, b_i>0} \prod_{i=1}^k \tilde{t}_{b_i} < \prod_{i=1}^k \kappa_{b_i} \prod_F \psi_F^{d_F} > \\
(2-47)
\end{aligned}$$

Finally we obtain theorem 1.1  $\square$ .

### 3 Examples

#### 3.1 Some examples

First, we give a few examples with general times  $t_k$ 's.

Using formula 2-44, we have:

$$\tilde{t}_1 = -6 \frac{t_5}{t_3 - 2} \quad , \quad \tilde{t}_2 = -60 \frac{t_7}{t_3 - 2} + 18 \frac{t_5^2}{(t_3 - 2)^2} \quad (3-1)$$

$$\tilde{t}_3 = -\frac{7!}{3!} \frac{t_9}{t_3 - 2} + \frac{3!5!}{2!} \frac{t_5 t_7}{(t_3 - 2)^2} - \frac{3!^3}{3} \frac{t_5^3}{(t_3 - 2)^3} \quad , \dots \quad (3-2)$$

Then we use theorem 1.1 for some examples. In the examples that follow, the first expression is the definition eq.1-3, while the second expression results from the recursion eq.1-4.

$$W_{0,3}(z_1, z_2, z_3) = \frac{1}{t_3 - 2} \frac{dz_1 dz_2 dz_3}{z_1^2 z_2^2 z_3^2} < 1 >_0 = \frac{1}{t_3 - 2} \frac{dz_1 dz_2 dz_3}{z_1^2 z_2^2 z_3^2} \quad (3-3)$$

i.e.

$$V_{0,3}(L_1, L_2, L_3) = \frac{1}{t_3 - 2} \quad , \quad < 1 >_0 = 1 \quad (3-4)$$

$$W_{1,1}(z) = \frac{dz}{2(t_3 - 2)} \left( \frac{6}{z^4} < \psi >_1 + \frac{\tilde{t}_1}{z^2} < \kappa_1 >_1 \right) = \frac{dz}{8(t_3 - 2)} \left( \frac{1}{z^4} - \frac{t_5}{(t_3 - 2)z^2} \right) \quad (3-5)$$

i.e.

$$< \psi >_1 = \frac{1}{24} \quad , \quad < \kappa_1 >_1 = \frac{1}{24} \quad (3-6)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
W_{1,2}(z_1, z_2) &= \frac{dz_1 dz_2}{4(t_3 - 2)^2 z_1^6 z_2^6} \left[ \frac{5!}{2!} (z_1^4 < \psi_2^2 > + z_2^4 < \psi_1^2 >) + 3!^2 z_1^2 z_2^2 < \psi_1 \psi_2 > \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \tilde{t}_1 z_1^2 z_2^4 < \kappa_1 \psi_1 > + \tilde{t}_1 z_1^4 z_2^2 < \kappa_1 \psi_2 > + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{t}_1^2 z_1^4 z_2^4 < \kappa_1^2 > + \tilde{t}_2 z_1^4 z_2^4 < \kappa_2 > \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{dz_1 dz_2}{8(t_3 - 2)^4 z_1^6 z_2^6} \left[ (t_3 - 2)^2 (5z_1^4 + 5z_2^4 + 3z_1^2 z_2^2) + 6t_5^2 z_1^4 z_2^4 - (t_3 - 2)(6t_5 z_1^4 z_2^2 + 6t_5 z_1^2 z_2^4 + 5t_7 z_1^4 z_2^4) \right] \quad (3-7)$$

i.e.

$$< \kappa_1 \psi_1 >_1 = \frac{1}{2} \quad , \quad < \kappa_1^2 >_1 = \frac{1}{8} \quad , \quad < \kappa_2 >_1 = \frac{1}{24} \quad (3-8)$$

The recursion equation 1-4 also gives:

$$W_{2,1}(z) = -\frac{dz}{128(2-t_3)^7 z^{10}} \left[ 252 t_5^4 z^8 + 12 t_5^2 z^6 (2-t_3)(50 t_7 z^2 + 21 t_5) + z^4 (2-t_3)^2 (252 t_5^2 + 348 t_5 t_7 z^2 + 145 t_7^2 z^4 + 308 t_5 t_9 z^4) + z^2 (2-t_3)(203 t_5 + 145 z^2 t_7 + 105 z^4 t_9 + 105 z^6 t_{11}) + 105 (2-t_3)^4 \right]. \quad (3-9)$$

$$W_{4,0}(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = 12 \frac{dz_1 dz_2 dz_3 dz_4}{(t_3 - 2)^3 z_1^2 z_2^2 z_3^2 z_4^2} \left( (t_3 - 2)(z_1^{-2} + z_2^{-2} + z_3^{-2} + z_4^{-2}) - t_5 \right) \quad (3-10)$$

and so on ...

### 3.2 Specialisation to the Weil-Petersson measure

Now, we specialize to the Weil-Petersson spectral curve of [5]:

$$y(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sin(2\pi z) \quad \rightarrow \quad t_{2d+3} = \frac{(2i\pi)^{2d}}{2d+1!} + 2\delta_{d,0} \quad \rightarrow \quad f(z) = 1 - e^{-4\pi^2 z} \quad (3-11)$$

so that:

$$\tilde{f}(z) = 4\pi^2 z \quad \rightarrow \quad \tilde{t}_b = 4\pi^2 \delta_{b,1} + \delta_{b,0} \ln(-2) \quad (3-12)$$

therefore each  $b_i$  must be 1, and we must have  $k = d_0$ , and we get:

$$V_{g,n}(P_1, \dots, P_n) = 2^{-d_{g,n}} \sum_{d_0 + d_1 + \dots + d_F = d_{g,n}} \frac{2^{d_0}}{d_0!} \prod_F \frac{P_F^{2d_F}}{d_F!} < (2\pi^2 \kappa_1)^{d_0} \prod_F \psi_F^{d_F} >$$

(3-13)

which is, after Wolpert's relation [17], the Weil-Petersson volume since  $2\pi^2 \kappa_1$  is the Weil-Petersson Kähler form, and thus, we have rederived Mirzakhani's recursion relation.

### 3.3 Specialisation to the $\kappa_2$ measure

Just to illustrate our method, we consider the integrals with only  $\kappa_2$ :

$$V_{g,n}(P_1, \dots, P_n) = 2^{-d_{g,n}} \sum_{2d_0+d_1+\dots+d_F=d_{g,n}} \frac{1}{d_0!} \prod_F \frac{P_F^{2d_F}}{d_F!} < (\tilde{t}_2 \kappa_2)^{d_0} \prod_F \psi_F^{d_F} > \quad (3-14)$$

which correspond to the conjugated times

$$\tilde{f}(z) = \tilde{t}_2 z^2 \quad \rightarrow \quad f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k \tilde{t}_2^k}{k!} z^{2k} \quad (3-15)$$

i.e.  $t_3 = 3$ , and

$$t_{4a+3} = 4(-1)^a \tilde{t}_2^a \frac{2a!}{a!(4a+1)!} - \delta_{a,0} \quad (3-16)$$

The corresponding spectral curve is:

$$y(z) = -\frac{z}{2} + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-\tilde{t}_2)^k \frac{2k!}{k!(4k+1)!} z^{4k+1} \quad (3-17)$$

with that spectral curve, the volumes  $V_{g,n}$  satisfy the recursion of theorem 1.1.

### 3.4 Specialisation to discrete measure

Let us consider the example where  $\Lambda = \lambda \text{Id}$ , which is particularly important because

$$Z = \int dM \, e^{-N \text{Tr} \left( \frac{M^3}{3} - M(\lambda^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda}) \right)} \propto \int dM \, e^{-\frac{N}{T} \text{Tr} \left( \frac{1}{2} M^2 - \frac{M^3}{3} \right)} \quad (3-18)$$

where

$$T = -\frac{1}{8} \left( \lambda^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda} \right)^{-3/2} \quad (3-19)$$

i.e. Kontsevich integral reduces to the usual cubic one-matrix model, which is known to count triangulated maps [2].

In that case we have:

$$t_k = \lambda^{-k} \quad (3-20)$$

thus for  $b \geq 1$ :

$$\tilde{t}_b = 2^b \lambda^{-2b} \sum_{l=1}^b \frac{1}{l} (1 - 2\lambda^3)^{-l} \sum_{a_1+\dots+a_l=b, a_i>0} \prod_i (2a_i + 1)!! \quad (3-21)$$

For instance we have:

$$V_{0,3}(L) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{-3} - 2} \quad (3-22)$$

$$V_{1,1}(L) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\lambda^{-3} - 2} (L < \psi_1 >_1 + \tilde{t}_1 < \kappa_1 >_1) = \frac{-1}{8(2 - \lambda^{-3})} \left( \frac{L}{6} + \frac{\lambda^{-5}}{2 - \lambda^{-3}} \right) \quad (3-23)$$



where  $\tilde{t}_1 = 6\lambda^{-2}(1 - 2\lambda^3)^{-1}$ .

It would be interesting to understand how this relates to the discrete Regge measure on the set triangulated maps. In the case of triangulated maps, loop equations, i.e. the recursion equation eq.1-4 are known as Tutte's equations [15] which give a recursive manner to enumerate maps. This shows how general the recursion equation eq.1-4 is.

## 4 Other properties

From the general properties of the invariants of [7], we immediately have the following properties:

- Integrability. The  $F_g$ 's satisfy Hirota equations for KdV hierarchy. That property is well known and it motivated the first works on Witten-Kontsevich conjecture [9].
- Virasoro. The invariants of [7] were initially obtained in [6, 7] from the loop equations, i.e. Virasoro constraints satisfied by  $Z(\Lambda)$ .
- Dilaton equation, we have:

$$W_{g,n}(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \frac{1}{2g + n - 2} \operatorname{Res}_{z \rightarrow 0} \Phi(z) W_{g,n+1}(z_1, \dots, z_n, z) \quad (4-1)$$

where  $d\Phi = ydx$ .

For the Weil-Petersson case, after Laplace transform this translates into [5]:

$$V_{g,n}(L_1, \dots, L_n)_{\text{WP}} = \frac{1}{2g + n - 2} \frac{\partial}{\partial L_{n+1}} V_{g,n+1}(L_1, \dots, L_n, 2i\pi)_{\text{WP}} \quad (4-2)$$

- It was also found in [7] how all those quantities behave at singular points of the spectral curve, and thus obtain the so-called double scaling limit.
- The invariants constructed in [7] have many other nice properties, and it would be interesting to explore their applications to algebraic geometry...

## 5 Conclusion

In this paper we have shown how powerful the loop equation method is, and that the structure of the recursion equation eq.1-4 (i.e. Virasoro or W-algebra constraints) is very universal.

We have thus provided a new proof of Mirzakhani's relations, exploiting the numerous properties of the invariants introduced in [7]. However, the construction of [7]

is much more general than that of Mirzakhani, since it can be applied to any spectral curve and not only the Weil-Petersson curve  $y = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sin(2\pi\sqrt{x})$ . In other words, we have Mirzakhani-like recursions for other measures, and theorem.1.1 gives the relationship between a choice of  $t_k$ 's (i.e. a spectral curve) and a measure on moduli spaces. Moreover, the recursion relations always imply integrability and Virasoro.

It would be interesting to understand what the algebraic invariants  $W_{g,n}$  defined by the recursion relation of [7] compute for an arbitrary spectral curve, not necessarily hyperelliptical neither rational...

## Acknowledgments

We would like to thank M. Bertola, J. Hurtubise, M. Kontsevich, D. Korotkin and N. Orantin for useful and fruitful discussions on this subject. This work is partly supported by the Enigma European network MRT-CT-2004-5652, by the ANR project Géométrie et intégrabilité en physique mathématique ANR-05-BLAN-0029-01, by the Enrage European network MRTN-CT-2004-005616, by the European Science Foundation through the Misgam program, by the French and Japanese governments through PAI Sakurav, by the Quebec government with the FQRNT.

## References

- [1] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, “combinatorial and algebro-geometric cohomology classes on the moduli spaces of curves”, *J. Algebraic Geometry*, **5** (1996), 705-709.
- [2] E. Brezin, C. Itzykson, G. Parisi, and J. Zuber, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **59**, 35 (1978).
- [3] P. Di Francesco, C. Itzykson, and J.-B. Zuber, Polynomial averages in the Kontsevich model, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 151 (1993), 193219.
- [4] P. Di Francesco, P. Ginsparg, J. Zinn-Justin, “2D Gravity and Random Matrices”, *Phys. Rep.* **254**, 1 (1995).
- [5] “Weil-Petersson volume of moduli spaces, Mirzakhani’s recursion and matrix models”, B. Eynard, N. Orantin, math-ph: arXiv:0705.3600v1.
- [6] B. Eynard, “Topological expansion for the 1-hermitian matrix model correlation functions”, *JHEP/024A/0904*, hep-th/0407261.
- [7] B.Eynard, N.Orantin, “Invariants of algebraic curves and topological expansion”, math-ph/0702045.

- [8] B. Eynard, N. Orantin, “Topological expansion of mixed correlations in the hermitian 2 Matrix Model and  $x - y$  symmetry of the  $F_g$  algebraic invariants”, math-ph/arXiv:0705.0958v1.
- [9] M. Kontsevich, “Intersection theory on the moduli space of curves and the matrix Airy function”, Comm. Math. Phys. **147** (1992), no 1. 1-23.
- [10] K. Liu, H. Xu, “A simple proof of Mirzakhani’s recursion formula of Weil-Petersson volumes”, math.AG/0705.2086.
- [11] M. Mirzakhani, “Simple geodesics and Weil-Petersson volumes of moduli spaces of bordered Riemann surfaces”, Invent. Math. 167, 179-222 (2007).
- [12] M. Mirzakhani, “Weil-Petersson volumes and intersection theory on the moduli space of curves”, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 20 (2007), 1-23.
- [13] M. Mulase, B. Safnuk, “Mirzakhani’s recursion relations, Virasoro constraints and the KdV hierarchy”, math.AG/0101147.
- [14] D. Mumford, “Towards an enumerative geometry of the moduli space of curves”, in Arithmetic and Geometry (M. Artin, J. Tate, editors), vol. 2, Birkhauser, Boston, 1983, pp. 271-328.
- [15] W.T. Tutte, “A census of planar triangulations”, *Can. J. Math.* **14** (1962) 21-38.
- [16] E. Witten, “Two-dimensional gravity and intersection theory on moduli space”, Surveys in Differential Geometry **1** (1991), 243-310.
- [17] S. Wolpert, “On the homology of the moduli space of stable curves”, Ann. Math., 118 (1983) 491-523.