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Abstract. We study the boundary value problem $-\operatorname{div}\left(\left(|\nabla u|^{p_{1}(x)-2}+|\nabla u|^{p_{2}(x)-2}\right) \nabla u\right)=\lambda|u|^{q(x)-2} u$ in $\Omega$, $u=0$ on $\partial \Omega$, where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with smooth boundary, $\lambda$ is a positive real number, and the continuous functions $p_{1}, p_{2}$, and $q$ satisfy $1<p_{2}(x)<q(x)<p_{1}(x)<N$ and $\max _{y \in \bar{\Omega}} q(y)<\frac{N p_{2}(x)}{N-p_{2}(x)}$ for any $x \in \bar{\Omega}$. The main result of this paper establishes the existence of two positive constants $\lambda_{0}$ and $\lambda_{1}$ with $\lambda_{0} \leq \lambda_{1}$ such that any $\lambda \in\left[\lambda_{1}, \infty\right)$ is an eigenvalue, while any $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{0}\right)$ is not an eigenvalue of the above problem.
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## 1 Introduction and preliminary results

In this paper we are concerned with the study of the eigenvalue problem

$$
\begin{cases}-\operatorname{div}\left(\left(|\nabla u|^{p_{1}(x)-2}+|\nabla u|^{p_{2}(x)-2}\right) \nabla u\right)=\lambda|u|^{q(x)-2} u, & \text { for }  \tag{1}\\ u=0, & \text { for } \quad x \in \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 3)$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary, $\lambda>0$ is a real number, and $p_{1}$, $p_{2}, q$ are continuous functions on $\bar{\Omega}$.

The study of eigenvalue problems involving operators with variable exponents growth conditions has captured a special attention in the last few years. This is in keeping with the fact that operators which arise in such kind of problems, like the $p(x)$-Laplace operator (i.e., $\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u\right)$, where $p(x)$ is a continuous positive function), are not homogeneous and thus, a large number of techniques which

[^0]can be applied in the homogeneous case (when $p(x)$ is a positive constant) fail in this new setting. A typical example is the Lagrange multiplier theorem, which does not apply to the eigenvalue problem
\[

$$
\begin{cases}-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u\right)=\lambda|u|^{q(x)-2} u, & \text { for } \quad x \in \Omega  \tag{2}\\ u=0, & \text { for } \quad x \in \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$
\]

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a bounded domain. This is due to the fact that the associated Rayleigh quotient is not homogeneous, provided both $p$ and $q$ are not constant.

On the other hand, problems like (2) have been largely considered in the literature in the recent years. We give in what follows a concise but complete image of the actual stage of research on this topic.

- In the case when $p(x)=q(x)$ on $\bar{\Omega}$, Fan, Zhang and Zhao [8] established the existence of infinitely many eigenvalues for problem (2) by using an argument based on the Ljusternik-Schnirelmann critical point theory. Denoting by $\Lambda$ the set of all nonnegative eigenvalues, Fan, Zhang and Zhao showed that $\Lambda$ is discrete, $\sup \Lambda=+\infty$ and they pointed out that only under special conditions, which are somehow connected with a kind of monotony of the function $p(x)$, we have inf $\Lambda>0$ (this is in contrast with the case when $p(x)$ is a constant; then, we always have $\inf \Lambda>0$ ).
- In the case when $\min _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} q(x)<\min _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} p(x)$ and $q(x)$ has a subcritical growth Mihăilescu and Rădulescu [12] used the Ekeland's variational principle in order to prove the existence of a continuous family of eigenvalues which lies in a neighborhood of the origin.
- In the case when $\max _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} p(x)<\min _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} q(x)$ and $q(x)$ has a subcritical growth a mountain-pass argument, similar with those used by Fan and Zhang in the proof of Theorem 4.7 in [7] , can be applied in order to show that any $\lambda>0$ is an eigenvalue of problem (2).
- In the case when $\max _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} q(x)<\min _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} p(x)$ it can be proved that the energy functional associated to problem (23) has a nontrivial minimum for any positive $\lambda$ large enough (see Theorem 4.7 in (7]). Clearly, in this case the result in [12] can be also applied. Consequently, in this situation there exist two positive constants $\lambda^{\star}$ and $\lambda^{\star \star}$ such that any $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{\star}\right) \cup\left(\lambda^{\star \star}, \infty\right)$ is an eigenvalue of problem (2).

In this paper we study problem (1]) under the following assumptions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
1<p_{2}(x)<\min _{y \in \bar{\Omega}} q(y) \leq \max _{y \in \bar{\Omega}} q(y)<p_{1}(x)<N, \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{y \in \bar{\Omega}} q(y)<\frac{N p_{2}(x)}{N-p_{2}(x)}, \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the case considered here is different from all the cases studied before. In this new situation we will show the existence of two positive constants $\lambda_{0}$ and $\lambda_{1}$ with $\lambda_{0} \leq \lambda_{1}$ such that any $\lambda \in\left[\lambda_{1}, \infty\right)$ is an eigenvalue of problem (11) while any $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{0}\right)$ is not an eigenvalue of problem (11). An important
consequence of our study is that, under hypotheses (3) and (4), we have

$$
\inf _{u \in W_{0}^{1, p_{1}(x)}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_{1}(x)}|\nabla u|^{p_{1}(x)} d x+\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_{2}(x)}|\nabla u|^{p_{2}(x)} d x}{\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)}|u|^{q(x)} d x}>0
$$

That fact is proved by using the Lagrange Multiplier Theorem. The absence of homogeneity will be balanced by the fact that assumptions (3) and (4) yield

$$
\lim _{\|u\|_{p_{1}(x)} \rightarrow 0} \frac{\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_{1}(x)}|\nabla u|^{p_{1}(x)} d x+\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_{2}(x)}|\nabla u|^{p_{2}(x)} d x}{\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)}|u|^{q(x)} d x}=\infty
$$

and

$$
\lim _{\|u\|_{p_{1}(x)} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_{1}(x)}|\nabla u|^{p_{1}(x)} d x+\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_{2}(x)}|\nabla u|^{p_{2}(x)} d x}{\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)}|u|^{q(x)} d x}=\infty
$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{p_{1}(x)}$ stands for the norm in the variable exponent Sobolev space $W_{0}^{1, p_{1}(x)}(\Omega)$.
We start with some preliminary basic results on the theory of Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces with variable exponent. For more details we refer to the book by Musielak [14] and the papers by Edmunds et al. [4] 5, [6], Kovacik and Rákosník [10], Mihăilescu and Rădulescu [11, 13], and Samko and Vakulov [16].

Set

$$
C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})=\{h ; h \in C(\bar{\Omega}), h(x)>1 \text { for all } x \in \bar{\Omega}\}
$$

For any $h \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$ we define

$$
h^{+}=\sup _{x \in \Omega} h(x) \quad \text { and } \quad h^{-}=\inf _{x \in \Omega} h(x)
$$

For any $p \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$, we define the variable exponent Lebesgue space

$$
L^{p(x)}(\Omega)=\left\{u ; u \text { is a measurable real-valued function such that } \int_{\Omega}|u(x)|^{p(x)} d x<\infty\right\}
$$

We define on this space the Luxemburg norm by

$$
|u|_{p(x)}=\inf \left\{\mu>0 ; \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{u(x)}{\mu}\right|^{p(x)} d x \leq 1\right\}
$$

Let $L^{p^{\prime}(x)}(\Omega)$ denote the conjugate space of $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, where $1 / p(x)+1 / p^{\prime}(x)=1$. For any $u \in$ $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $v \in L^{p^{\prime}(x)}(\Omega)$ the Hölder type inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\Omega} u v d x\right| \leq\left(\frac{1}{p^{-}}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime-}}\right)|u|_{p(x)}|v|_{p^{\prime}(x)} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds true.
An important role in manipulating the generalized Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces is played by the modular of the $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ space, which is the mapping $\rho_{p(x)}: L^{p(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\rho_{p(x)}(u)=\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x .
$$

If $\left(u_{n}\right), u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ then the following relations hold true

$$
\begin{align*}
&|u|_{p(x)}>1 \Rightarrow \quad|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{-}} \leq \rho_{p(x)}(u) \leq|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{+}}  \tag{6}\\
&|u|_{p(x)}<1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{+}} \leq \rho_{p(x)}(u) \leq|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{-}}  \tag{7}\\
&\left|u_{n}-u\right|_{p(x)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \rho_{p(x)}\left(u_{n}-u\right) \rightarrow 0 . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we define $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ as the closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ under the norm

$$
\|u\|_{p_{(x)}}=|\nabla u|_{p(x)} .
$$

The space $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ is a separable and reflexive Banach space. We note that if $s \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $s(x)<p^{\star}(x)$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ then the embedding $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{s(x)}(\Omega)$ is compact and continuous, where $p^{\star}(x)=\frac{N p(x)}{N-p(x)}$ if $p(x)<N$ or $p^{\star}(x)=+\infty$ if $p(x) \geq N$.

For applications of Sobolev spaces with variable exponent we refer to Acerbi and Mingione (17), Chen, Levine and Rao [2], Diening [3], Halsey [9], Ruzicka [15], and Zhikov [18]).

## 2 The main result

Since $p_{2}(x)<p_{1}(x)$ for any $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ it follows that $W_{0}^{1, p_{1}(x)}(\Omega)$ is continuously embedded in $W_{0}^{1, p_{2}(x)}(\Omega)$. Thus, a solution for a problem of type (11) will be sought in the variable exponent space $W_{0}^{1, p_{1}(x)}(\Omega)$.

We say that $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is an eigenvalue of problem (1) if there exists $u \in W_{0}^{1, p_{1}(x)}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{p_{1}(x)-2}+|\nabla u|^{p_{2}(x)-2}\right) \nabla u \nabla v d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q(x)-2} u v d x=0,
$$

for all $v \in W_{0}^{1, p_{1}(x)}(\Omega)$. We point out that if $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of problem (11) then the corresponding eigenfunction $u \in W_{0}^{1, p_{1}(x)}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}$ is a weak solution of problem (11).

Define

$$
\lambda_{1}:=\inf _{u \in W_{0}^{1, p_{1}(x)}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_{1}(x)}|\nabla u|^{p_{1}(x)} d x+\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_{2}(x)}|\nabla u|^{p_{2}(x)} d x}{\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)}|u|^{q(x)} d x} .
$$

Our main result is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Assume that conditions ( $B_{3}$ ) and ( ( 4 ) are fulfilled. Then $\lambda_{1}>0$. Moreover, any $\lambda \in\left[\lambda_{1}, \infty\right)$ is an eigenvalue of problem (1). Furthermore, there exists a positive constant $\lambda_{0}$ such that $\lambda_{0} \leq \lambda_{1}$ and any $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{0}\right)$ is not an eigenvalue of problem (四).

Proof. Let $E$ denote the generalized Sobolev space $W_{0}^{1, p_{1}(x)}(\Omega)$. We denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the norm on $W_{0}^{1, p_{1}(x)}(\Omega)$ and by $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ the norm on $W_{0}^{1, p_{2}(x)}(\Omega)$.

Define the functionals $J, I, J_{1}, I_{1}: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\begin{gathered}
J(u)=\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_{1}(x)}|\nabla u|^{p_{1}(x)} d x+\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_{2}(x)}|\nabla u|^{p_{2}(x)} d x, \\
I(u)=\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)}|u|^{q(x)} d x, \\
J_{1}(u)=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p_{1}(x)} d x+\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p_{2}(x)} d x, \\
I_{1}(u)=\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q(x)} d x .
\end{gathered}
$$

Standard arguments imply that $J, I \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$ and for all $u, v \in E$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle J^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle= & \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{p_{1}(x)-2}+|\nabla u|^{p_{2}(x)-2}\right) \nabla u \nabla v d x \\
& \left\langle I^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q(x)-2} u v d x
\end{aligned}
$$

We split the proof of Theorem 11 into four steps.

- Step 1. We show that $\lambda_{1}>0$.

Since for any $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ we have $p_{1}(x)>q^{+} \geq q(x) \geq q^{-}>p_{2}(x)$ we deduce that for any $u \in E$,

$$
2\left(|\nabla u(x)|^{p_{1}(x)}+|\nabla u(x)|^{p_{2}(x)}\right) \geq|\nabla u(x)|^{q^{+}}+|\nabla u(x)|^{q^{-}}
$$

and

$$
|u(x)|^{q^{+}}+|u(x)|^{q^{-}} \geq|u(x)|^{q(x)}
$$

Integrating the above inequalities we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{p_{1}(x)}+|\nabla u|^{p_{2}(x)}\right) d x \geq \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{q^{+}}+|\nabla u|^{q^{-}}\right) d x, \quad \forall u \in E \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(|u|^{q^{+}}+|u|^{q^{-}}\right) d x \geq \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q(x)} d x, \quad \forall u \in E \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Sobolev embeddings, there exist positive constants $\lambda_{q^{+}}$and $\lambda_{q^{-}}$such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{q^{+}} d x \geq \lambda_{q^{+}} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q^{+}} d x, \quad \forall u \in W_{0}^{1, q^{+}}(\Omega) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{q^{-}} d x \geq \lambda_{q^{-}} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q^{-}} d x, \quad \forall u \in W_{0}^{1, q^{-}}(\Omega) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using again the fact that $q^{-} \leq q^{+}<p_{1}(x)$ for any $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ we deduce that $E$ is continuously embedded in $W_{0}^{1, q^{+}}(\Omega)$ and in $W_{0}^{1, q^{-}}(\Omega)$. Thus, inequalities (11) and (12) hold true for any $u \in E$.

Using inequalities (11), (12) and (10) it is clear that there exists a positive constant $\mu$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{q^{+}}+|\nabla u|^{q^{-}}\right) d x \geq \mu \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q(x)} d x, \quad \forall u \in E . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, inequalities (13) and (9) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{p_{1}(x)}+|\nabla u|^{p_{2}(x)}\right) d x \geq \frac{\mu}{2} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q(x)} d x, \quad \forall u \in E \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By relation (14) we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{0}=\inf _{v \in E \backslash\{0\}} \frac{J_{1}(v)}{I_{1}(v)}>0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{1}(u) \geq \lambda_{0} I_{1}(u), \quad \forall u \in E \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above inequality yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}^{+} \cdot J(u) \geq J_{1}(u) \geq \lambda_{0} I_{1}(u) \geq \lambda_{0} I(u) \quad \forall u \in E \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last inequality assures that $\lambda_{1}>0$ and thus, step 1 is verified.

- Step 2. We show that $\lambda_{1}$ is an eigenvalue of problem (11).

Lemma 1. The following relations hold true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{J(u)}{I(u)}=\infty \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\|u\| \rightarrow 0} \frac{J(u)}{I(u)}=\infty \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $E$ is continuously embedded in $L^{q^{ \pm}}(\Omega)$ it follows that there exist two positive constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\| \geq c_{1} \cdot|u|_{q^{+}}, \quad \forall u \in E \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\| \geq c_{2} \cdot|u|_{q^{-}}, \quad \forall u \in E \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $u \in E$ with $\|u\|>1$ by relations (6), (10), (20), (21) we infer

$$
\frac{J(u)}{I(u)} \geq \frac{\frac{\|u\|^{p_{1}^{-}}}{p_{1}^{+}}}{\frac{|u|_{q^{+}}^{q^{+}}+|u|_{q^{-}}^{q^{-}}}{q^{-}}} \geq \frac{\frac{\|u\|^{p_{1}^{-}}}{p_{1}^{+}}}{\frac{c_{1}^{-q^{+}}\|u\|^{q^{+}}+c_{2}^{-q^{-}}\|u\|^{q^{-}}}{q^{-}}}
$$

Since $p_{1}^{-}>q^{+} \geq q^{-}$, passing to the limit as $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality we deduce that relation (18) holds true.

Next, let us remark that since $p_{1}(x)>p_{2}(x)$ for any $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, the space $W_{0}^{1, p_{1}(x)}(\Omega)$ is continuously embedded in $W_{0}^{1, p_{2}(x)}(\Omega)$. Thus, if $\|u\| \rightarrow 0$ then $\|u\|_{1} \rightarrow 0$.

The above remarks enable us to affirm that for any $u \in E$ with $\|u\|<1$ small enough we have $\|u\|_{1}<1$.

On the other hand, since (\#) holds true we deduce that $W_{0}^{1, p_{2}(x)}(\Omega)$ is continuously embedded in $L^{q^{ \pm}}(\Omega)$. It follows that there exist two positive constants $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{1} \geq d_{1} \cdot|u|_{q^{+}}, \quad \forall u \in W_{0}^{1, p_{2}(x)}(\Omega) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{1} \geq d_{2} \cdot|u|_{q^{-}}, \quad \forall u \in W_{0}^{1, p_{2}(x)}(\Omega) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, for any $u \in E$ with $\|u\|<1$ small enough, relations (7), (10), (22), (23) imply

$$
\frac{J(u)}{I(u)} \geq \frac{\frac{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p_{2}(x)} d x}{p_{2}^{+}}}{\frac{|u|_{q^{+}}^{q^{+}}+|u|_{q^{-}}^{q^{-}}}{q^{-}}} \geq \frac{\frac{\|u\|_{1}^{p_{2}^{+}}}{p_{2}^{+}}}{\frac{d_{1}^{-q^{+}}\|u\|_{1}^{q^{+}}+d_{2}^{-q^{-}}\|u\|_{1}^{q^{-}}}{q^{-}}}
$$

Since $p_{2}^{+}<q^{-} \leq q^{+}$, passing to the limit as $\|u\| \rightarrow 0$ (and thus, $\|u\|_{1} \rightarrow 0$ ) in the above inequality we deduce that relation (19) holds true. The proof of Lemma 11 is complete.

Lemma 2. There exists $u \in E \backslash\{0\}$ such that $\frac{J(u)}{I(u)}=\lambda_{1}$.
Proof. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset E \backslash\{0\}$ be a minimizing sequence for $\lambda_{1}$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{J\left(u_{n}\right)}{I\left(u_{n}\right)}=\lambda_{1}>0 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

By relation (18) it is clear that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $E$. Since $E$ is reflexive it follows that there exists $u \in E$ such that $u_{n}$ converges weakly to $u$ in $E$. On the other hand, similar arguments as those used in the proof of Lemma 3.4 in (11] show that the functional $J$ is weakly lower semi-continuous. Thus, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} J\left(u_{n}\right) \geq J(u) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

By relation (4) it follows that $E$ is compactly embedded in $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$. Thus, $u_{n}$ converges strongly in $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$. Then, by relation (8) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} I\left(u_{n}\right)=I(u) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relations (25) and (26) imply that if $u \not \equiv 0$ then

$$
\frac{J(u)}{I(u)}=\lambda_{1}
$$

Thus, in order to conclude that the lemma holds true it is enough to show that $u$ is not trivial. Assume by contradiction the contrary. Then $u_{n}$ converges weakly to 0 in $E$ and strongly in $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$. In other words, we will have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} I\left(u_{n}\right)=0 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $\epsilon \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}\right)$ be fixed by relation (24) we deduce that for $n$ large enough we have

$$
\left|J\left(u_{n}\right)-\lambda_{1} I\left(u_{n}\right)\right|<\epsilon I\left(u_{n}\right),
$$

or

$$
\left(\lambda_{1}-\epsilon\right) I\left(u_{n}\right)<J\left(u_{n}\right)<\left(\lambda_{1}+\epsilon\right) I\left(u_{n}\right) .
$$

Passing to the limit in the above inequalities and taking into account that relation (27) holds true we find

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} J\left(u_{n}\right)=0
$$

That fact combined with relation (8) implies that actually $u_{n}$ converges strongly to 0 in $E$, i.e. $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n}\right\|=0$. By this information and relation (19) we get

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{J\left(u_{n}\right)}{I\left(u_{n}\right)}=\infty,
$$

and this is a contradiction. Thus, $u \not \equiv 0$. The proof of Lemma 2 is complete.
By Lemma 0 we conclude that there exists $u \in E \backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{J(u)}{I(u)}=\lambda_{1}=\inf _{w \in E \backslash\{0\}} \frac{J(w)}{I(w)} . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for any $v \in E$ we have

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d \epsilon} \frac{J(u+\epsilon v)}{I(u+\epsilon v)}\right|_{\epsilon=0}=0 .
$$

A simple computation yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{p_{1}(x)-2}+|\nabla u|^{p_{2}(x)-2}\right) \nabla u \nabla v d x \cdot I(u)-J(u) \cdot \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q(x)-2} u v d x=0, \quad \forall v \in E . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relation (29) combined with the fact that $J(u)=\lambda_{1} I(u)$ and $I(u) \neq 0$ implies the fact that $\lambda_{1}$ is an eigenvalue of problem (®). Thus, step 2 is verified.

- Step 3. We show that any $\lambda \in\left(\lambda_{1}, \infty\right)$ is an eigenvalue of problem (17).

Let $\lambda \in\left(\lambda_{1}, \infty\right)$ be arbitrary but fixed. Define $T_{\lambda}: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
T_{\lambda}(u)=J(u)-\lambda I(u) .
$$

Clearly, $T_{\lambda} \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$ with

$$
\left\langle T_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\left\langle J^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle-\lambda\left\langle I^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle, \quad \forall u \in E .
$$

Thus, $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of problem (1]) if and only if there exists $u_{\lambda} \in E \backslash\{0\}$ a critical point of $T_{\lambda}$.
With similar arguments as in the proof of relation (18) we can show that $T_{\lambda}$ is coercive, i.e. $\lim _{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty} T_{\lambda}(u)=\infty$. On the other hand, as we have already remarked, similar arguments as those used in the proof of Lemma 3.4 in [11] show that the functional $T_{\lambda}$ is weakly lower semi-continuous. These two facts enable us to apply Theorem 1.2 in 17] in order to prove that there exists $u_{\lambda} \in E$ a global minimum point of $T_{\lambda}$ and thus, a critical point of $T_{\lambda}$. In order to conclude that step 4 holds true it is enough to show that $u_{\lambda}$ is not trivial. Indeed, since $\lambda_{1}=\inf _{u \in E \backslash\{0\}} \frac{J(u)}{I(u)}$ and $\lambda>\lambda_{1}$ it follows that there exists $v_{\lambda} \in E$ such that

$$
J\left(v_{\lambda}\right)<\lambda I\left(v_{\lambda}\right)
$$

or

$$
T_{\lambda}\left(v_{\lambda}\right)<0
$$

Thus,

$$
\inf _{E} T_{\lambda}<0
$$

and we conclude that $u_{\lambda}$ is a nontrivial critical point of $T_{\lambda}$, or $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of problem (11). Thus, step 3 is verified.

- Step 4. Any $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{0}\right)$, where $\lambda_{0}$ is given by (15), is not an eigenvalue of problem (11).

Indeed, assuming by contradiction that there exists $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{0}\right)$ an eigenvalue of problem (11) it follows that there exists $u_{\lambda} \in E \backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$
\left\langle J^{\prime}\left(u_{\lambda}\right), v\right\rangle=\lambda\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{\lambda}\right), v\right\rangle, \quad \forall v \in E
$$

Thus, for $v=u_{\lambda}$ we find

$$
\left\langle J^{\prime}\left(u_{\lambda}\right), u_{\lambda}\right\rangle=\lambda\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{\lambda}\right), u_{\lambda}\right\rangle
$$

that is,

$$
J_{1}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)=\lambda I_{1}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)
$$

The fact that $u_{\lambda} \in E \backslash\{0\}$ assures that $I_{1}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)>0$. Since $\lambda<\lambda_{0}$, the above information yields

$$
J_{1}\left(u_{\lambda}\right) \geq \lambda_{0} I_{1}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)>\lambda I_{1}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)=J_{1}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)
$$

Clearly, the above inequalities lead to a contradiction. Thus, step 4 is verified.
By steps 2, 3 and 4 we deduce that $\lambda_{0} \leq \lambda_{1}$. The proof of Theorem in now complete.
Remark 1. At this stage we are not able to deduce whether $\lambda_{0}=\lambda_{1}$ or $\lambda_{0}<\lambda_{1}$. In the latter case an interesting question concerns the existence of eigenvalues of problem (1]) in the interval $\left[\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}\right)$. We propose to the reader the study of these open problems.
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