



About Brézis-Merle Problem in dimension 2.

Samy Skander Bahoura

► To cite this version:

| Samy Skander Bahoura. About Brézis-Merle Problem in dimension 2.. 2013. hal-00149613v3

HAL Id: hal-00149613

<https://hal.science/hal-00149613v3>

Preprint submitted on 27 Jun 2013 (v3), last revised 3 Aug 2020 (v9)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A new proof for Brezis-Merle Problem with Lipschitz condition

Samy Skander Bahoura*

Equipe d'Analyse Complexe et Geometrie, Universite Pierre et Marie Curie, 75005 Paris, France.

Abstract

We give a quantization analysis to Brezis-Merle problem with Dirichlet condition. An application, we have a new proof to Brezis-Merle Problem with Lipschitz condition.

Keywords: quantization, blow-up, boundary, a priori estimate, Lipschitz condition.

MSC: 35J60, 35B44, 35B45

1 Introduction and Main Results

We set $\Delta = -\partial_{11} - \partial_{22}$ on open set Ω of $\mathbb{R}^2$ with a smooth boundary.

We consider the following equation:

$$(P) \begin{cases} \Delta u = V e^u & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

The previous equation was studied by many authors, with or without the boundary condition, also for Riemann surfaces, see [1,18], we can find some existence and compactness results.

Among other results, we can see in [4] the following important Theorem,

Theorem. (Brezis-Merle [4]). *If $(u_i)_i$ and $(V_i)_i$ are two sequences of functions relatively to the problem (P) with, $0 < a \leq V_i \leq b < +\infty$, then, for all compact set K of Ω ,*

$$\sup_K u_i \leq c = c(a, b, m, K, \Omega).$$

We can find in [4] an interior estimate if we assume $a = 0$, but we need an assumption on the integral of e^{u_i} .

If we assume V with more regularity, we can have another type of estimates, a sup + inf type inequalities. It was proved by Shafrir see [15], that, if $(u_i)_i, (V_i)_i$ are two sequences of functions solutions of the previous equation without assumption on the boundary and, $0 < a \leq V_i \leq b < +\infty$, then we have the following interior estimate:

*e-mails: samybahoura@yahoo.fr, samybahoura@gmail.com

$$C\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) \sup_K u_i + \inf_{\Omega} u_i \leq c = c(a, b, K, \Omega).$$

We can see in [7] an explicit value of $C\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{a}{b}}$. In his proof, Shafrir has used the Stokes formula and an isoperimetric inequality, see [2]. For Chen-Lin, they have used the blow-up analysis combined with some geometric type inequality for the integral curvature.

Now, if we suppose $(V_i)_i$ uniformly Lipschitzian with A the Lipschitz constant, then, $C(a/b) = 1$ and $c = c(a, b, A, K, \Omega)$, see Brézis-Li-Shafrir [5]. This result was extended for Hölderian sequences $(V_i)_i$ by Chen-Lin, see [7]. Also, we can see in [12], an extension of the Brezis-Li-Shafrir result to compact Riemann surface without boundary. We can see in [13] explicit form, $(8\pi m, m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ exactly), for the numbers in front of the Dirac masses when the solutions blow-up. Here, the notion of isolated blow-up point is used. Also, we can see in [8] and [18] refined estimates near the isolated blow-up points and the bubbling behavior of the blow-up sequences.

Here we give the behavior of the blow-up points on the boundary and a new proof of Brezis-Merle conjecture with Lipschitz condition.

The Brezis-Merle Conjecture (see [4]) is:

Conjecture. Suppose that $V_i \rightarrow V$ in $C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ with $0 \leq V_i \leq b$ for some positive constant b . Also, we consider a sequence of solutions (u_i) of (P) relatively to (V_i) such that,

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{u_i} dx \leq C,$$

is it possible to have:

$$\|u_i\|_{L^\infty} \leq C = C(b, \Omega, C)?$$

Here, we give a characterization of the behavior of the blow-up points on the boundary and also a new proof of Ma-Wei theorem. For the behavior of the blow-up points on the boundary, the following condition is enough,

$$0 \leq V_i \leq b,$$

The condition $V_i \rightarrow V$ in $C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ is not necessary.

But for the new proof for the Brezis- Merle problem we assume that:

$$\|\nabla V_i\|_{L^\infty} \leq A.$$

We have the following characterization of the behavior of the blow-up points on the boundary.

Theorem 1.1 *Assume that $\max_{\Omega} u_i \rightarrow +\infty$, Where (u_i) are solutions of the probleme (P) with:*

$$0 \leq V_i \leq b, \text{ and } \int_{\Omega} e^{u_i} dx \leq C, \forall i,$$

then, after passing to a subsequence, there is a function u , there is a number $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and N points $x_1, \dots, x_N \in \partial\Omega$, such that,

$$\partial_\nu u_i \rightarrow \partial_\nu u + \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j \delta_{x_j}, \quad \alpha_j \geq 4\pi, \quad \text{weakly in the sense of measure } L^1(\partial\Omega).$$

$$u_i \rightarrow u \quad \text{in } C_{loc}^1(\bar{\Omega} - \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}).$$

In the following theorem, we have a new proof for the global a priori estimate which concern the problem (P). The proof of Chen-Li and Ma-Wei [6, 14], use the moving-plane method.

Theorem 1.2 *Assume that (u_i) are solutions of (P) relatively to (V_i) with the following conditions:*

$$\|\nabla V_i\|_{L^\infty} \leq A \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega} e^{u_i} \leq C,$$

We have,

$$\|u_i\|_{L^\infty} \leq c(b, A, C, \Omega),$$

2 Proof of the theorems

Proof of theorem 1.1:

We have,

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \partial_\nu u_i d\sigma \leq C,$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\partial_\nu u_i > 0$. Thus, (using the weak convergence in the space of Radon measures), we have the existence of a positive Radon measure μ such that,

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \partial_\nu u_i \phi d\sigma \rightarrow \mu(\phi), \quad \forall \phi \in C^0(\partial\Omega).$$

We take an $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$ such that, $\mu(x_0) < 4\pi$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that the following curve, $B(x_0, \epsilon) \cap \partial\Omega := I_\epsilon$ is an interval. (In this case, it is more simple to construct the following test function η_ϵ). We choose a function η_ϵ such that,

$$\begin{cases} \eta_\epsilon \equiv 1, & \text{on } I_\epsilon, \quad 0 < \epsilon < \delta/2, \\ \eta_\epsilon \equiv 0, & \text{outside } I_{2\epsilon}, \\ 0 \leq \eta_\epsilon \leq 1, \\ \|\nabla \eta_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(I_{2\epsilon})} \leq \frac{C_0(\Omega, x_0)}{\epsilon}. \end{cases}$$

We take a $\tilde{\eta}_\epsilon$ such that,

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon = \eta_\epsilon & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

We use the following estimate, see [3, 11, 17],

$$\|\nabla u_i\|_{L^q} \leq C_q, \quad \forall i \text{ and } 1 < q < 2.$$

We deduce from the last estimate that, (u_i) converge weakly in $W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$, almost everywhere to a function $u \geq 0$ and $\int_\Omega e^u < +\infty$ (by Fatou lemma). Also, V_i weakly converge to a nonnegative function V in L^∞ . The function u is in $W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ solution of :

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = Ve^u \in L^1(\Omega) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

According to the corollary 1 of Brezis-Merle result, see [4], we have $e^{ku} \in L^1(\Omega)$, $k > 1$. By the elliptic estimates, we have $u \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$.

We can write,

$$\Delta((u_i - u)\tilde{\eta}_\epsilon) = (V_i e^{u_i} - V e^u)\tilde{\eta}_\epsilon - 2 < \nabla(u_i - u)|\nabla\tilde{\eta}_\epsilon >. \quad (1)$$

We use the interior estimate of Brezis-Merle, see [4],

Step 1: Estimate of the integral of the first term of the right hand side of (1).

We use the Green formula between $\tilde{\eta}_\epsilon$ and u , we obtain,

$$\int_\Omega V e^u \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} \partial_\nu u \eta_\epsilon \leq 4\epsilon \|\partial_\nu u\|_{L^\infty} = C\epsilon \quad (2)$$

We have,

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u_i = V_i e^{u_i} & \text{in } \Omega \\ u_i = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

We use the Green formula between u_i and $\tilde{\eta}_\epsilon$ to have:

$$\int_\Omega V_i e^{u_i} \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} \partial_\nu u_i \eta_\epsilon d\sigma \rightarrow \mu(\eta_\epsilon) \leq \mu(I_{2\epsilon}) \leq 4\pi - \epsilon_0, \quad \epsilon_0 > 0 \quad (3)$$

From (2) and (3) we have for all $\epsilon > 0$ there is $i_0 = i_0(\epsilon)$ such that, for $i \geq i_0$,

$$\int_\Omega |(V_i e^{u_i} - V e^u)\tilde{\eta}_\epsilon| dx \leq 4\pi - \epsilon_0 + C\epsilon \quad (4)$$

Step 2: Estimate of integral of the second term of the right hand side of (1).

Let $\Sigma_\epsilon = \{x \in \Omega, d(x, \partial\Omega) = \epsilon^2\}$ and $\Omega_{\epsilon^2} = \{x \in \Omega, d(x, \partial\Omega) \geq \epsilon^2\}$, $\epsilon > 0$. Then, for ϵ small enough, Σ_ϵ is hypersurface.

The measure of $\Omega - \Omega_{\epsilon^2}$ is $k_2\epsilon^2 \leq \mu_L(\Omega - \Omega_{\epsilon^2}) \leq k_1\epsilon^2$.

Remark: for the unit ball $\bar{B}(0, 1)$, our new manifold is $\bar{B}(0, 1 - \epsilon^2)$.

We write,

$$\int_{\Omega} | \langle \nabla(u_i - u) | \nabla \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon \rangle | dx = \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon^2}} | \langle \nabla(u_i - u) | \nabla \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon \rangle | dx + \int_{\Omega - \Omega_{\epsilon^2}} \langle \nabla(u_i - u) | \nabla \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon \rangle | dx. \quad (5)$$

Step 2.1: Estimate of $\int_{\Omega - \Omega_{\epsilon^2}} | \langle \nabla(u_i - u) | \nabla \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon \rangle | dx$.

First, we know from the elliptic estimates that $\|\nabla \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_1/\epsilon$, C_1 depends on Ω

We know that $(|\nabla u_i|)_i$ is bounded in L^q , $1 < q < 2$, we can extract from this sequence a subsequence which converge weakly to $h \in L^q$. But, we know that we have locally the uniform convergence to $|\nabla u|$ (by Brezis-Merle theorem), then, $h = |\nabla u|$ a.e. Let q' be the conjugate of q .

We have, $\forall f \in L^{q'}(\Omega)$

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_i| f dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u| f dx$$

If we take $f = 1_{\Omega - \Omega_{\epsilon^2}}$, we have:

$$\text{for } \epsilon > 0 \exists i_1 = i_1(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}, \quad i \geq i_1, \quad \int_{\Omega - \Omega_{\epsilon^2}} |\nabla u_i| \leq \int_{\Omega - \Omega_{\epsilon^2}} |\nabla u| + \epsilon^2.$$

Then, for $i \geq i_1(\epsilon)$,

$$\int_{\Omega - \Omega_{\epsilon^2}} |\nabla u_i| \leq \text{mes}(\Omega - \Omega_{\epsilon^2}) \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} + \epsilon^2 = \epsilon^2 (k_1 \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} + 1).$$

Thus, we obtain,

$$\int_{\Omega - \Omega_{\epsilon^2}} | \langle \nabla(u_i - u) | \nabla \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon \rangle | dx \leq \epsilon C_1 (2k_1 \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} + 1) \quad (6)$$

The constant C_1 does not depend on ϵ but on Ω .

Step 2.2: Estimate of $\int_{\Omega_{\epsilon^2}} | \langle \nabla(u_i - u) | \nabla \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon \rangle | dx$.

We know that, $\Omega_\epsilon \subset \subset \Omega$, and (because of Brezis-Merle's interior estimates) $u_i \rightarrow u$ in $C^1(\Omega_{\epsilon^2})$. We have,

$$\|\nabla(u_i - u)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_{\epsilon_2})} \leq \epsilon^2, \text{ for } i \geq i_3 = i_3(\epsilon).$$

We write,

$$\int_{\Omega_{\epsilon_2}} |\langle \nabla(u_i - u) | \nabla \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon \rangle| dx \leq \|\nabla(u_i - u)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_{\epsilon_2})} \|\nabla \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_1 \epsilon \text{ for } i \geq i_3,$$

For $\epsilon > 0$, we have for $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \geq \max\{i_1, i_2, i_3\}$,

$$\int_{\Omega} |\langle \nabla(u_i - u) | \nabla \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon \rangle| dx \leq \epsilon C_1 (2k_1 \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} + 2) \quad (7)$$

From (4) and (7), we have, for $\epsilon > 0$, there is $i_3 = i_3(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$, $i_3 = \max\{i_0, i_1, i_2\}$ such that,

$$\int_{\Omega} |\Delta[(u_i - u)\tilde{\eta}_\epsilon]| dx \leq 4\pi - \epsilon_0 + \epsilon 2C_1 (2k_1 \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} + 2 + C) \quad (8)$$

We choose $\epsilon > 0$ small enough to have a good estimate of (1).

Indeed, we have:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta[(u_i - u)\tilde{\eta}_\epsilon] = g_{i,\epsilon} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ (u_i - u)\tilde{\eta}_\epsilon = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

with $\|g_{i,\epsilon}\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq 4\pi - \epsilon_0$.

We can use Theorem 1 of [4] to conclude that there is $q > 1$ such that:

$$\int_{V_\epsilon(x_0)} e^{q(u_i - u)} dx \leq \int_{\Omega} e^{q(u_i - u)\tilde{\eta}_\epsilon} dx \leq C(\epsilon, \Omega).$$

where, $V_\epsilon(x_0)$ is a neighborhood of x_0 in $\bar{\Omega}$.

Thus, for each $x_0 \in \partial\Omega - \{\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_m\}$ there is $\epsilon_{x_0} > 0$, $q_{x_0} > 1$ such that:

$$\int_{B(x_0, \epsilon_{x_0})} e^{q_{x_0} u_i} dx \leq C, \quad \forall i.$$

Now, we consider a cutoff function $\eta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that:

$$\eta \equiv 1 \text{ on } B(x_0, \epsilon_{x_0}/2) \text{ and } \eta \equiv 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^2 - B(x_0, 2\epsilon_{x_0}/3).$$

We write,

$$\Delta(u_i \eta) = V_i e^{u_i} \eta - 2 \langle \nabla u_i | \nabla \eta \rangle + u_i \Delta \eta.$$

By the elliptic estimates, $(u_i \eta)_i$ is uniformly bounded in $W^{2,q_1}(\Omega)$ and also, in $C^1(\bar{\Omega})$.

Finally, we have, for some $\epsilon > 0$ small enough,

$$\|u_i\|_{C^{1,\theta}[B(x_0,\epsilon)]} \leq c_3 \quad \forall i.$$

We have proved that, there is a finite number of points $\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_m$ such that the sequence $(u_i)_i$ is locally uniformly bounded in $\bar{\Omega} - \{\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_m\}$.

Proof of theorem 1.2:

The Pohozaev identity gives :

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} [(\partial_\nu u_i) \nabla u_i - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u_i|^2 \nu] dx = \int_{\Omega} \nabla V_i e^{u_i} - \int_{\partial\Omega} V_i e^{u_i} \nu,$$

We use the boundary condition to have:

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} (\partial_\nu u_i)^2 dx \leq c_0(b, A, C, \Omega).$$

Thus we can use the weak convergence in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ to have a subsequence $\partial_\nu u_i$, such that:

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \partial_\nu u_i \phi dx \rightarrow \int_{\partial\Omega} \partial_\nu u \phi dx, \quad \forall \phi \in L^2(\partial\Omega),$$

Thus, $\alpha_j = 0$, $j = 1, \dots, N$ and (u_i) is uniformly bounded.

Remarks:

The most important application of the hypothesis that the functions V_i are uniformly lipschitzian is the fact that we can bound the L^2 (on $\partial\Omega$) norm of the sequence $\partial_\nu u_i$, and the consequence of this fact is, because $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ is reflexive, the weak convergence to a new function.

The weak convergence of $V_i e^{u_i}$ is true, because we have proved that (this is a consequence of the proof of the first theorem and by integration by part):

$$\int_{\Omega} V_i e^{u_i} \phi dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} V e^u \phi dx + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \phi(x_i)$$

in particular, because $x_i \in \partial\Omega$, if we take $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ it vanishes on the boundary and thus $\phi(x_i) = 0$. But it is not enough to remove the concentration points.

The goal is to know what happens on the boundary because the concentration points are on $\partial\Omega$ and not in Ω . And we want to remove them.

This is a reason why we are interested by the convergence of $\partial_\nu u_i$ (and thus, in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$), and not $V_i e^{u_i}$ (on $L^1(\Omega)$). Because, since the beginning we took $\partial_\nu u_i$ and we work with $\partial_\nu u_i$, on the boundary. (the proof of the first theorem uses also the interior estimate).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.

The work is supported by YY. Li's Grant. The author would like to thank Pr. YY.Li for his support.

References

- [1] T. Aubin. Some Nonlinear Problems in Riemannian Geometry. Springer-Verlag, 1998. (existence results).
- [2] C. Bandle. Isoperimetric Inequalities and Applications. Pitman, 1980.
- [3] L. Boccardo, T. Gallouet. Nonlinear elliptic and parabolic equations involving measure data. J. Funct. Anal. 87 no 1, (1989), 149-169.
- [4] H. Brézis, F. Merle. Uniform estimates and Blow-up behavior for solutions of $-\Delta u = V(x)e^u$ in two dimension. Commun. in Partial Differential Equations, 16 (8 and 9), 1223-1253(1991).Comm.Part.Diff. Equations. 1991.
- [5] H. Brezis, YY. Li and I. Shafrir. A sup+inf inequality for some nonlinear elliptic equations involving exponential nonlinearities. J.Funct.Anal.115 (1993) 344-358.
- [6] W. Chen, C. Li. A priori estimates for solutions to nonlinear elliptic equations. Arch. Rational. Mech. Anal. 122 (1993) 145-157.
- [7] C-C. Chen, C-S. Lin. A sharp sup+inf inequality for a nonlinear elliptic equation in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Commun. Anal. Geom. 6, No.1, 1-19 (1998).
- [8] C-C.Chen, C-S. Lin. Sharp estimates for solutions of multi-bubbles in compact Riemann surfaces. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 55 (2002), no. 6, 728-771
- [9] Chang, Sun-Yung A, Gursky, Matthew J, Yang, Paul C. Scalar curvature equation on 2- and 3-spheres. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 1 (1993), no. 2, 205–229.
- [10] D.G. De Figueiredo, P.L. Lions, R.D. Nussbaum, A priori Estimates and Existence of Positive Solutions of Semilinear Elliptic Equations, J. Math. Pures et Appl., vol 61, 1982, pp.41-63.
- [11] Ding.W, Jost. J, Li. J, Wang. G. The differential equation $\Delta u = 8\pi - 8\pi h e^u$ on a compact Riemann surface. Asian J. Math. 1 (1997), no. 2, 230–248
- [12] YY. Li. Harnack Type Inequality: the method of moving planes. Commun. Math. Phys. 200,421-444 (1999).
- [13] YY. Li, I. Shafrir. Blow-up analysis for solutions of $-\Delta u = V e^u$ in dimension two. Indiana. Math. J. Vol 3, no 4. (1994). 1255-1270.
- [14] L. Ma, J-C. Wei. Convergence for a Liouville equation. Comment. Math. Helv. 76 (2001) 506-514.
- [15] I. Shafrir. A sup+inf inequality for the equation $-\Delta u = V e^u$. C. R. Acad.Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 315 (1992), no. 2, 159-164.
- [16] Nagasaki, K, Suzuki,T. Asymptotic analysis for two-dimensional elliptic eigenvalue problems with exponentially dominated nonlinearities. Asymptotic Anal. 3 (1990), no. 2, 173–188
- [17] Tarantello, G. Multiple condensate solutions for the Chern-Simons-Higgs theory. J. Math. Phys. 37 (1996), no. 8, 3769–3796.
- [18] L. Zhang. Blowup solutions of some nonlinear elliptic equations involving exponential nonlinearities. Comm. Math. Phys. 268 (2006), no. 1, 105-133.