



**HAL**  
open science

# Une nouvelle condition d'indépendance pour le théorème de la limite centrale

René Blacher

► **To cite this version:**

René Blacher. Une nouvelle condition d'indépendance pour le théorème de la limite centrale. 2007.  
hal-00144878

**HAL Id: hal-00144878**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00144878>**

Preprint submitted on 6 May 2007

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Une Nouvelle Condition d'indépendance pour le théorème de la limite centrale.

**René Blacher**  
**Laboratoire LMC**  
**BP 53**  
**38.041 Grenoble Cedex 9 FRANCE**

**Résumé:** On prouve un théorème de la limite centrale avec des conditions d'indépendance asymptotique beaucoup plus faibles que les hypothèses classiques.

**Summary :** We prove a Central Limit theorem with assumptions which are many much weak than the classical assumptions.

**Key Words :** Central Limit Theorem, strongly mixing sequence, martingale, moments.

## I Introduction

**Notations 1-1 :** Let  $\{X_n\}$  be a sequence of random variables defined on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  such that, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbb{E}\{X_n\} = 0$  and  $0 < \mathbb{E}\{|X_n|^2\} < \infty$ .

Let  $\sigma(n)^2$  be the variance of  $X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$ . One sets  $S_n = (X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n) / \sigma(n)$ .

---

There is two classical ways to study the central limit theorem (CLT). One can use mixing conditions or martingales.

**Strong Mixing condition 1-2 :** The process  $\{X_n\}$  is said to be strongly mixing with coefficient  $\alpha$  if

$$\sup_{A \in \mathcal{M}_1^n, B \in \mathcal{M}_{n+h}^\infty} |P(A \cap B) - P(A)P(B)| = \alpha(h) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } h \rightarrow \infty \text{ (I-1)},$$

where for  $a < b$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_a^b$  is the  $\sigma$ -field generated by  $(X_a, X_{a+1}, \dots, X_b)$ .

In 1962 Ibragimov has obtained a necessary and sufficient condition under which strongly mixing sequences satisfy the CLT (cf [1] and Denker [11] p 269-274).

**Theorem 1-1** : Assume that  $\{X_n\}$  is strictly stationary such that (I-1) holds. Assume that  $\sigma^2(n) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

d

Then,  $S_n \rightarrow N(0,1)$  if and only if  $(S_n)^2$  is uniformly integrable.

In this case,  $\sigma^2(n) = nh(n)$  where  $h$  is a slowly varying function

Ibragimov has deduced some results for functionals of mixing sequences. For example, Ibragimov has proved the theorem 18-6-2 of [13].

These results have been developed by several authors, e.g. Bradley [2]-[3], Peligrad [4], Dehling-Denker-Philips [5], Davydov [6], Chan [7], Tjøsteim [8], Liebscher [9], Utev [10], and many others, Mervelede-Peligrad [45], Neumeyer [46], Dedecker-Rio [47], Johnson Barron [48] (cf also Eberlein-Taqq [11], Hall-Heyde [12]), Ibragimov-Linnik [13] and Doukhan [14] and [49]-[54]).

The CLT has been proved for martingales also cf [12]. These results have been developed by Chao [40], De Meyer [41], Wang-Yang-Zhou [42], Mervelede [43], Ouchti [44]).

In the most part of these papers, the CLT is studied with the convergence in distribution. However, some authors have studied the moment's convergence (Bernstein [19], Brown [20], Eissein-Janson [21], Herndoff [22], Birkel [23], Krugov [24], Mairoboda [25], Yokohama [26],[27], Ibragimov [55], Soulier [56], Rozovsky [57]). For example, Yokohama has obtained necessary conditions in order to the moments converges. Cox-Kim have studied the moments bounds [28].

Then, under some assumptions (cf [27] and [34], and [12] p 71) the MCLT holds

M

(Moments's Central Limit theorem) :  $S_n \rightarrow N(0,1)$ , that is, for all  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbb{E} \{(S_n)^p\} \rightarrow \mu_p$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $\mu_p$  is the  $p$ -th moment of  $N(0,1)$ .

Now, mixing condition or martingale condition are the most used assumptions. But, these conditions are not necessary conditions. As a matter of fact, those are strong assumptions : e.g. Strong mixing condition does not hold for some AR(1) processes (cf [13] p 360-362, [11] p 180). However the CLT holds (cf th 18-6-5 of [13])

Then, classical conditions are too strong. As a matter of fact, those are a tiny minority of asymptotic independence conditions which are sufficient on order that the CLT holds .

Because strong mixing condition is too strong and martingale condition is too specific, some authors have introduced weaker hypotheses : Versik Ornstein (cf [2] [5]) , Withers [15], Cogburn [16] (cf also Rosenblatt [17]), Pinsker [18] Doukhan-Prieur [62].

These conditions are more general than mixing conditions or martingale conditions but they are not necessary conditions. As matter of fact they are not founded on a measure of dependence which determines completely dependence. Then, in order to obtain a full solution, we have used a such measure : the higher order correlation coefficients  $\rho_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n}$ . These coefficients are perfectly adapted to MCLT.

Because dependence is completely determined by the  $\rho_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n}$  's , one can ask what is their part in the MCLT. In [33] one has obtained necessary and sufficient conditions for MCLT . One has deduced almost minimal assumptions for the MCLT.

## II Generalization of mixing conditions for MCLT

Now, this type of assumptions is different of 1.2. Then, one wants a condition whose the writing is near of that of mixing condition.

With this aim, one decomposes  $X_1+X_2+\dots+X_n$  in  $X_1+X_2+\dots+X_u$  ,  $X_{u+1}+X_{u+2}+\dots+X_{u+t}$  and  $X_{u+t+1}+X_{u+t+2}+\dots+X_{u+t+u}$  , where  $u$  and  $t$  are functions of  $n$  :  $u = u(n)$ ,  $t=t(n)$ ,  $u+t+u = n$ .

**Notations 2-1 :** We denote by  $\kappa(n) \in \mathbb{N}$ , an increasing sequence such that  $\kappa(1)= 0$ ,  $\kappa(n) \leq n$  and  $\kappa(n)/n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  . We define the sequences  $u=u(n)$  and  $t=t(n)$  by :  $u(1)=1$ ,  $u(n) = \max \{ m \in \mathbb{N}^* \mid 2m+\kappa(m) \leq n \}$  and  $t(1)=0$ ,  $t(n) = n-2u(n)$  if  $n \geq 2$ .

We shall choose  $\kappa$  such that  $u(n) \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $n/u(n) \rightarrow 2$  and  $t(n)/u(n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  (cf 5-18). Moreover, if  $\kappa(n) \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $t(n) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Now, we need to normalize the associated sums. Then, one tolerates the following notations (cf 1-1).

**Notations 2-2 :** Let  $\sigma(u)^2$  be the variance of  $X_1+X_2+\dots+X_u$  . One sets  $S_u = (X_1+X_2+\dots+X_u) / \sigma(u)$ ,  $\xi_u = (X_{u+1}+X_{u+2}+\dots+X_{u+t}) / \sigma(u)$  and  $S'_u = (X_{u+t+1}+X_{u+t+2}+\dots+X_{u+t+u}) / \sigma(u)$ . If  $t(n)=0$ , we set  $\xi_u = 0$ .

Then, one can assume  $\mathbb{E}\{(S_u)^p(S'_u)^q\} - \mathbb{E}\{(S_u)^p\}\mathbb{E}\{(S'_u)^q\} \rightarrow 0$  for all  $(p,q)$  as

M

asymptotic independence condition. Indeed, if  $\xi_u$  is negligible  $S_n \rightarrow N(0,1)$ .

Now in order to prove the MCLT for some distributions, one generalize this condition by the following way (cf [61]).

**Theorem 2-1** : Assume  $\mathbb{E}\{X_n\} = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Assume that, for all  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p < 2k+1$ ,  $\mathbb{E}\{(X_n)^p\} < \infty$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and that  $\mathbb{E}\{(\xi_u)^p\} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Assume that there exists two sequences of random variables  $\{U'_u\}$  and  $\{U''_u\}$ ,  $\mathbb{E}\{(U'_u)^p\} + \mathbb{E}\{(U''_u)^p\} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p < 2k+1$ , such that the following assumptions hold.

**H<sub>ms</sub>(2k)** :  $\forall p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p < 2k+1$ ,  $\mathbb{E}\{(S_u + U'_u)^p\} - \mathbb{E}\{(S'_u + U'_u)^p\} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**H<sub>ml</sub>(2k)** :  $\forall (p,q) \in \mathbb{N}^{*2}$ ,  $p+q < 2k+1$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}\{(S_u + U'_u)^p(S'_u + U''_u)^q\} - \mathbb{E}\{(S_u + U'_u)^p\}\mathbb{E}\{(S'_u + U''_u)^q\} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then, for all  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p \leq 2k$ ,  $\mathbb{E}\{(S_n)^p\} \rightarrow \mu_p$ .

If  $k = \infty$ , we set  $H_{ms}(\infty) = H_{ms}$  and  $H_{ml}(\infty) = H_{ml}$ . Then, compare  $H_{ml}$  and  $H_{ms}$  with classical assumptions. Of course, if  $\{X_n\}$  is strictly stationary,  $H_{ms}$  holds.

Assume that  $\{X_n\}$  is a strictly stationary  $\phi$ -mixing process. By theorem 17-2-3 of [13],

$$|\mathbb{E}\{(S_u)^p(S'_u)^q\} - \mathbb{E}\{(S_u)^p\}\mathbb{E}\{(S'_u)^q\}| \leq 2\phi(t) \mathbb{E}\{|S_u|^{pa}\}^{1/a} \mathbb{E}\{|S'_u|^{qb}\}^{1/b},$$

where  $a, b > 1$   $a^{-1} + b^{-1} = 1$ .

Similarly, if  $\{X_n\}$  is a strictly stationary strongly mixing process, by theorem 17-2-2 of [13], there exists a function  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  such that, for all  $(p,q) \in \mathbb{N}^{*2}$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,

$$\mathfrak{F}(\beta, p, q) |\mathbb{E}\{(S_u)^p(S'_u)^q\} - \mathbb{E}\{(S_u)^p\}\mathbb{E}\{(S'_u)^q\}| \leq \alpha(t)^{1-\beta},$$

where  $\beta \geq 0$ .

Remark that it is not needed that  $H_{ml}$  holds if  $\{X_n\}$  is strong mixing. But it is the case

M

if  $S_n \rightarrow N(0,1)$ . In this case  $H_{ml}$  is weaker than the strong mixing assumption : no conditions are dictated to the rate of convergence of  $\mathbb{E}\{(S_u)^p(S'_u)^q\} - \mathbb{E}\{(S_u)^p\}\mathbb{E}\{(S'_u)^q\}$ .

**Example 2-3 :** For example, if  $\Theta_1$  has the standart normal distribution and  $g_i(\Theta_1) =$   
 $M$   
 $i^{-3}\sin(2\pi i\Theta_1)$ ,  $S_n \rightarrow N(0,1)$  (cf [61]).

**Example 2-4 :** Let  $\{\Theta_t\}$  be an IID sequence of random variables such that  $\Theta_1$  has the uniform distribution on  $[-1,1]$ . Let  $\{\Psi_t\}$  be a strictly stationary process independent of  $\{\Theta_t\}$ . Let  $X_t$  be the process

$$X_t = \sum_{i \geq 0} i^{-3/2} L_{2i}(\Theta_{t+i}) f_i(\Psi_{t+i}) \quad ,$$

where  $\{L_i\}$  is the family of Legendre polynomials such that  $L_i(x) = x^i + b_{i-1}x^{i-1} + \dots + b_0$  , and where  $|f_i(\Psi_1)| \leq 1$ . One assumes that  $\{X_t\}$  satisfies the first assumptions of theorem 1-7 of [33].

Then,  $\mathbb{E}\{L_i(\Theta_1)\pi(\Theta_1)\} = 0$  for all polynomial  $\pi$  such that  $\deg(\pi) < i$ . One deduces that  $X_t$  satisfies the second assumptions of theorem 1-7 of [33]., but is not a martingale.

$M$

Then, by theorem 1-7 of [33],  $S_n \rightarrow N(0,1)$  .

One deduce that  $H_{mI}$  holds.

Remark that the dependence between  $\{X_t\}$  and  $\{X_{t+h}\}$  can be strong, e.g., if  $f_i(\Psi_t) = \Psi_t$ , it is enough that  $|\mathbb{E}\{(\Psi_t)^2(\Psi_{t+h})^2\} - \mathbb{E}\{(\Psi_t)^2\}\mathbb{E}\{(\Psi_{t+h})^2\}| \leq e(h) \rightarrow 0$  in

$M$

order that  $S_n \rightarrow N(0,1)$  .

### III Application to CLT

Theorem 2-1 suggests that one can replace the strong mixing condition by the following way.

**Definition 3-1 :** We define condition  $\mathbf{H}_S$  and  $\mathbf{H}_I$  by the following way. There exists two sequences of random variables  $\mathfrak{U}_u$  and  $\mathfrak{V}'_u$ ,  $\mathbb{E}\{\mathfrak{U}_u\} = \mathbb{E}\{\mathfrak{V}'_u\} = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{E}\{(\mathfrak{U}_u)^2\} + \mathbb{E}\{(\mathfrak{V}'_u)^2\} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  , such that

$$\mathbf{H}_S : \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \forall j \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbf{P}\{A_{k,j}\} - \mathbf{P}\{B_{k,j}\} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad ,$$

$$\mathbf{H}_I : \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \forall (j,j') \in \mathbb{N}^2, \mathbf{P}\{A_{k,j} \cap B_{k,j'}\} - \mathbf{P}\{A_{k,j}\}\mathbf{P}\{B_{k,j'}\} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad ,$$

where  $A_{k,j}$  and  $B_{k,j}$  are the events  $A_{k,j} = \{ \sigma^*(u)^{-1}(S_u + \mathcal{V}_u) \in [4^{-k}j, 4^{-k}(j+1)[ \}$  and  $B_{k,j} = \{ \sigma^*(u)^{-1}(S'_u + \mathcal{V}'_u) \in [4^{-k}j, 4^{-k}(j+1)[ \}$  with  $\sigma^*(u)^2 = \mathbb{E}\{(S_u + \mathcal{V}_u)^2\}$ .

---

In particular, if  $\mathcal{V}_u = \mathcal{V}'_u = 0$ ,  $A_{k,j} = \{ S_u \in [4^{-k}j, 4^{-k}(j+1)[ \}$  and  $B_{k,j} = \{ S'_u \in [4^{-k}j, 4^{-k}(j+1)[ \}$ . Remark also that  $\sigma^*(u)^2 \rightarrow 1$ .

Moreover, if the CLT holds and if  $\mathbf{H}_S$  and  $\mathbf{H}_I$  hold for  $\mathcal{V}_u = \mathcal{V}'_u = 0$ , then,  $\mathbf{H}_S$  and  $\mathbf{H}_I$  hold also for all sequences  $\mathcal{V}_u$  and  $\mathcal{V}'_u$  such that  $\mathbb{E}\{\mathcal{V}_u^2\} + \mathbb{E}\{\mathcal{V}'_u^2\} \rightarrow 0$ .

Now, generally, it is complicated to prove that  $\mathbf{H}_I$  holds for  $\mathcal{V}_u = \mathcal{V}'_u = 0$ . Then it is simpler to use the above assumptions. For example, if  $\Psi_t = \theta_t$ ,  $\mathbf{H}_I$  and  $\mathbf{H}_S$  hold if  $t(n) \rightarrow \infty$  with  $\mathcal{V}_u = -r_u$  and  $\mathcal{V}'_u = -r'_u$ .

Then the following theorem holds.

**Theorem 3-1** : We keep the previous notations. Assume that  $\mathbf{H}_S$  and  $\mathbf{H}_I$  hold. Assume that  $\mathbb{E}\{S_u^2\} - \mathbb{E}\{S'_u^2\} \rightarrow 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}\{\xi_u^2\} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Then,  $S_n \rightarrow N(0,1)$  if and only if  $(S_n + \mathcal{V}_n)^2$  is uniformly integrable.

In this case,  $\sigma(n) \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\sigma(n)/\sigma(u) \rightarrow 2^{1/2}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

---

Remark that  $n/u \rightarrow 2$  (cf lemma 5-18). Then, “ $\sigma(n)/\sigma(u) \rightarrow 2^{1/2}$ ” is weaker than “ $h$  is a slowly varying function”, that is  $\sigma(tn)^2/\sigma(n)^2 \rightarrow t$  for all  $t > 0$  (cf [13], 18-2-3, p 325 and 394).

One can also compare  $\mathbf{H}_I$  with the other generalizations of the strong mixing condition : [2],[5],[15],[16], [17] , [18] and [62] .

Of course, in  $\mathbf{H}_I$  the uniformity of the strong mixing condition is suppressed.

Moreover, if  $\{X_n\}$  is strongly mixing,  $\mathbf{H}_I$  holds :  $\sup |\mathbf{P}\{A_{k,j} \cap B_{k,j}\} - \mathbf{P}\{A_{k,j}\}\mathbf{P}\{B_{k,j}\}| \leq \alpha\{t(n)\}$ .

Now, in some cases, it may be simpler to use  $\mathbf{H}_{mI}$  than  $\mathbf{H}_I$ .

**Corollary 3-2** : Assume that  $\mathbb{E}\{|X_n|^4\} < \infty$  and that  $\mathbb{E}\{(\xi_u)^4\} \rightarrow 0$ . Assume that  $\mathbf{H}_S$ ,  $\mathbf{H}_I$ ,  $\mathbf{H}_{mS}(4)$  and  $\mathbf{H}_{mI}(4)$  hold.

Then ,  $S_n \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1)$ . Moreover,  $\mathbb{E}\{(S_n)^3\} \rightarrow 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}\{(S_n)^4\} \rightarrow 3$ .

---

**Proposition 3-3** : Assume that the assumptions of theorem 2-1 hold with  $k=\infty$ . Then,  $\mathbf{H_I}$  and  $\mathbf{H_S}$  hold.

---

**Proof** : By our assumptions,  $\mathbb{E}\{(S_u+U_u)^k\} \rightarrow \mu_k$ . By  $\mathbf{H_{mS}}$ ,  $\mathbb{E}\{(S'_u+U'_u)^k\} \rightarrow \mu_k$ . By  $\mathbf{H_{mI}}$ , for all  $(a,b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\mathbb{E}\{(a(S_u+U_u)+b(S'_u+U'_u))^k\}$  converges to the  $k$ -th moment of  $N(0, a^2+b^2)$ . One deduces that  $(S_u+U_u, S'_u+U'_u) \xrightarrow{d} N_2(0, \mathbf{I}_2) = N(0,1) \otimes N(0,1)$ .

---

#### IV The central limit theorem for functionals.

Let  $\{X_t\}$  be the process  $X_t = \sum_{i \geq 0} g_{i+1}(\Theta_{t+i}) f_{i+1}(\Psi_{t+i})$ , where  $\{\Psi_t\}$  is a strictly stationary process and where  $\{\Theta_t\}$  is an IID sequence independent of  $\{\Psi_t\}$ . We assume that, for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $g_i$  and  $f_i$  are measurable,  $\mathbb{E}\{g_i(\Theta_1) f_i(\Psi_1)\} = 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}\{g_i(\Theta_1)^2 f_i(\Psi_1)^2\} < \infty$ .

Then, one can write  $S_n = M_n + r_n$  with  $M_n = \frac{1}{\sigma(n)} \sum_{r=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^r g_s(\Theta_r) f_s(\Psi_r)$ ,  $r_n = \frac{1}{\sigma(n)} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=r+1}^{r+n} g_s(\Theta_{n+r}) f_s(\Psi_{n+r})$  :  $\mathbb{E}\{M_n\} = \mathbb{E}\{r_n\} = 0$ .

Of course,  $S_{u(n)} = M_{u(n)} + r_{u(n)}$ . Moreover, one can write  $S'_{u(n)} = M'_{u(n)} + r'_{u(n)}$  with  $M'_{u(n)} = \frac{1}{\sigma(u)} \sum_{r=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^r g_s(\Theta_{r+u+t}) f_s(\Psi_{r+u+t})$  and  $r'_{u(n)} = \frac{1}{\sigma(u)} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=r+1}^{r+n} g_s(\Theta_{n+r+u+t}) f_s(\Psi_{n+r+u+t})$ .

Under some assumptions, one can prove that  $\mathbf{H_I}$  holds. In particular that is the case if  $\Psi_t = \theta_t$  where  $\{\theta_t\}$  is a strongly mixing process. Indeed, it is easy to prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 4-1** : Assume that  $X_t = \sum_{i \geq 0} g_{i+1}(\Theta_{t+i}) f_{i+1}(\theta_{t+i})$  with  $\mathbb{E}\{g_i(\Theta_1)\} = 0$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume that  $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} g_i(\Theta_1) f_i(\theta_1)$  converges in  $L^2(\Omega)$  to  $G$  such that  $\int G^2.dP > 0$  with

$$\sum_{s=1}^n \int \left( \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} g_i(\Theta_1) f_i(\theta_1) \right)^2 .dP < C < \infty .$$

Then,  $\sigma(n) \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\mathbb{E}\{(r_n)^2\} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover,  $\mathbf{H_I}$  and  $\mathbf{H_S}$  hold with  $\mathcal{V}_u = -r_u$  and  $\mathcal{V}'_u = -r'_u$  if  $t(n) \rightarrow \infty$ .

---

Therefore, under the assumptions of 4-1,  $\{X_n\}$  satisfies the CLT if and only if  $(M_n)^2$  is uniformly integrable.

Now, we know that  $(S_n + \mathcal{V}_n)^2$  is uniformly integrable if  $\mathbb{E}\{|S_n + \mathcal{V}_n|^{2+\delta}\} \leq C < \infty$  where  $\delta > 0$  ([11], p 270). Then, one can use the following property.

**Proposition 4-2** : Assume that the assumptions of 4-1 hold. We set  $G_r(\Theta_1, \theta_1) = \sum_{s=1}^r g_s(\Theta_1) f_s(\theta_1)$ . Then, there exists  $K > 0$  such that  $\mathbb{E}\{(M_n)^4\} < K$  if and only there exists  $B > 0$  such that  $n^2 \sum_{r=1}^n \mathbb{E}\{G_r(\Theta_1, \theta_1)^4\} \leq B < \infty$ .

---

Assume that  $\text{Sup} \left| \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} g_i(\Theta_1) f_i(\theta_1) \right| = \varepsilon(s)$  with  $\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon(s) < C < \infty$ . Then, there exists  $M^* > 0$  such that  $|G_r(\Theta_1, \theta_1)| \leq M^*$ . Therefore, there exists  $K > 0$  such that  $\mathbb{E}\{(M_n)^4\} \leq K$ .

d

**4-3 Example** : Assume  $g_i(\Theta_1) f_i(\theta_1) = (1/i)^3 \exp\{-i(\Theta_1)^2\} \cos(2\pi i \theta_1)$ . Then,  $S_n \rightarrow N(0,1)$ .

---

d

Then, in these two examples,  $S_n \rightarrow N(0,1)$ . Remark that no condition on  $\alpha(n)$ , the mixing coefficient of  $\{\theta_t\}$  is necessary.

**4-3 Generalization** : For example, let  $X_t = \sum_{i \geq 0} f_{i+1}(\theta_{t+i})$  where  $F_r(\theta_1) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} f_i(\theta_1)$

converges in  $L^2(\Omega)$  to  $F$  such that  $\int F^2.dP > 0$  . Let  $e(s) = \int \left( \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} f_i(\theta_1) \right)^2 .dP$  .

If  $\{\theta_t\}$  is strong mixing, one can assume  $\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} e(s)^{1/2} < \infty$  in order that  $H_I$  holds.

## V : Generalization of Theorem 3-1.

### V-1 : Notations

In this section , one uses assumptions more general. Remark that we do not assume  $\mathbb{E}\{(X_n)\} = 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}\{(X_n)^2\} < \infty$  .

**Notations 5-1** : Let  $N(n)$  be an increasing sequence. Let  $\{X_{n,t}\}$ ,  $n,t \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $1 \leq t \leq N(n)$ , be a triangular array of random variables defined on  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{H}, \mathbf{P})$ . For any  $r \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , we denote by  $\Psi_r(n)$  a real sequence such that  $\Psi_r(n) > 0$ .

For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and all  $r \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , such that  $u^r(n) > 1$ , one sets

$$S_{r,n} = \Psi_r(n)^{-1} (X_{n,1} + X_{n,2} + \dots + X_{n,u^r(N)}) ,$$

$$\xi_{r,n} = \Psi_r(n)^{-1} (X_{n,u^r(N)+1} + X_{n,u^r(N)+2} + \dots + X_{n,u^r(N)+t_r(N)}) ,$$

$$S'_{r,n} = \Psi_r(n)^{-1} (X_{n,u^r(N)+t_r(N)+1} + X_{n,u^r(N)+t_r(N)+2} + \dots + X_{n,u^r(N)+t_r(N)+u^r(N)}) ,$$

where  $N = N(n)$ ,  $t_r(n) = t(u^{r-1}(N))$  , and  $u^r(N) = u[u[\dots[u(N)]\dots]]$  .

We also write

$$S_n = S_{0,n} = \Psi_0(n)^{-1} (X_{n,1} + X_{n,1} + \dots + X_{n,N}) .$$

Let  $\mathcal{J}_{k,j}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , be a sequence of nested partitions of  $\mathbb{R} : \mathbb{R} = \bigcup_{j \in \mathcal{N}_k} \mathcal{J}_{k,j}$  where the  $\mathcal{J}_{k,j}$ 's are intervals and  $\mathcal{N}_k \subset \mathbb{N}$ . One assumes that, for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and all bounded interval  $\mathcal{K}$ , there exists a finite set  $\mathcal{Z}_k \subset \mathcal{N}_k$  such that  $\mathcal{K} \subset \bigcup_{j \in \mathcal{Z}_k} \mathcal{J}_{k,j}$ . One assumes also that  $|\mathcal{J}_{k,j}| \leq \epsilon_k$  where  $\epsilon_k \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $|\mathcal{J}_{k,j}|$  is the length of  $\mathcal{J}_{k,j}$ .

Moreover, we write the uniform integrability of  $(S_n + \nu_n)^2$  in the form :

$$\mathbb{E}_{|S_n + \nu_n| \geq k} \{(S_n + \nu_n)^2\} \leq \Delta_k, \text{ with } \Delta_k \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $\mathbb{E}_{|S_n + \nu_n| \geq k} \{(S_n + \nu_n)^2\} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{1}_{|S_n + \nu_n| \geq k} (S_n + \nu_n)^2 dP.$

As a matter of fact, we shall decompose  $S_{0,n}$  in the form

$$\begin{aligned} (\Psi_0(n) / \Psi_1(n)) S_{0,n} &= \Psi_1(n)^{-1} (X_{n,1} + X_{n,1} + \dots + X_{n,N}) \\ &= S_{1,n} + \xi_{1,n} + S'_{1,n} \\ &= \Psi_1(n)^{-1} [(X_{n,1} + \dots + X_{n,u(N)}) + (X_{n,u(N)+1} + \dots + X_{n,u(N)+t(N)}) + (X_{n,u(N)+t(N)+1} + \dots + X_{n,n})]. \end{aligned}$$

After, we shall decompose  $S_{1,n}$  in

$$\begin{aligned} (\Psi_1(n) / \Psi_2(n)) S_{1,n} &= S_{2,n} + S'_{2,n} + \xi_{2,n} \\ &= \Psi_2(n)^{-1} [(X_{n,1} + \dots + X_{n,u(u(N))}) + (X_{n,u(u(N))+1} + \dots + X_{n,u(u(N))+t(u(N))}) \\ &\quad + (X_{n,u(u(N))+t(u(N))+1} + \dots + X_{n,n})]. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover we replace partitions  $[4^{-k}j, 4^{-k}(j+1)[$  by more general partition  $\mathcal{J}_{k,j}$  which have the same useful properties. For example, if  $\mathcal{J}_{k,j} = [4^{-k}j, 4^{-k}(j+1)[$ ,  $|\mathcal{J}_{k,j}| = \epsilon_k = 4^{-k} \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover, for all bounded interval  $\mathcal{K}$  there exists  $P, Q \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\mathcal{K} \subset [P, Q[$ . Then,  $[P, Q[ = \bigcup [4^{-k}j, 4^{-k}(j+1)[$  where  $P4^k \leq j < Q4^k$ .

Then, we shall prove that theorem 3-1 holds for events  $A_{k,j} = \{\sigma^*(u)^{-1}(S_u + \nu_u) \in \mathbf{J}_{k,j}\}$  and  $B_{k,j} = \{\sigma^*(u)^{-1}(S'_u + \nu'_u) \in \mathbf{J}_{k,j}\}$ .

**Assumptions 5-2 :** We assume that  $e_k = 4^{-k}$ . Let  $\mathbf{K}_k$  be an increasing sequence of bounded intervals such that  $\mathbf{K}_k = \bigcup_{j \in \mathfrak{Z}_k} \mathbf{J}_{k,i}$  where  $\mathfrak{Z}_k \subset \mathbf{N}_k$  is a finite set for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbb{R} = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{K}_k$ . We set  $b_k = \sup\{|x| \mid x \in \mathbf{K}_k\}$ . We denote by  $\mathfrak{C}_k$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\{\mathbf{J}_{k,j}\}_{j \in \mathfrak{Z}_k}$ .

Let  $k, h \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\tau > 0$ . One sets

$$\varepsilon_{k,h}^I(n) = \sup_{n' \geq n} \left\{ \sup_{\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{B}' \in \mathfrak{C}_k, r \leq h} \left\{ |\mathbf{P}\{(S_{r,n'} \in \mathbf{B}) \cap (S'_{r,n'} \in \mathbf{B}')\} - \mathbf{P}\{S_{r,n'} \in \mathbf{B}\} \mathbf{P}\{S'_{r,n'} \in \mathbf{B}'\}| \right\} \right\},$$

$$\varepsilon_{k,h}^S(n) = \sup_{n' \geq n} \left\{ \sup_{\mathbf{B} \in \mathfrak{C}_k, r \leq h} \left\{ |\mathbf{P}\{S_{r,n'} \in \mathbf{B}\} - \mathbf{P}\{S'_{r,n'} \in \mathbf{B}'\}| \right\} \right\},$$

$$\varepsilon_{\tau,h}^\Psi(n) = \sup_{n' \geq n, 0 < r \leq h} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\Psi_{r-1}\{N(n')\}}{2^\tau \Psi_r\{N(n')\}} \right\}.$$

Moreover, one denotes by  $\varepsilon_h^\xi(n)$  a non increasing sequence such that  $\mathbf{P}\{|\xi_{r,n}| > \varepsilon_h^\xi(n)\} < \varepsilon_h^\xi(n)$  for all  $r=1,2,\dots,h$ .

One sets  $\varepsilon_k^\tau(n) = b_{2k} \varepsilon_{\tau,k}^\Psi(n) + \varepsilon_k^\xi(n) + \varepsilon_{2k,k}^I(n) + \varepsilon_{2k,k}^S(n)$ .

In paragraph V-3, we shall suppose that  $\mathbf{P}\{(S_{r,n} \in \mathbf{J}_{k,j}) \cap (S'_{r,n} \in \mathbf{J}_{k,j'})\} - \mathbf{P}\{S_{r,n} \in \mathbf{J}_{k,j}\} \mathbf{P}\{S'_{r,n} \in \mathbf{J}_{k,j'}\} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $k, j, j', r$ . Then, because  $\mathfrak{C}_k$  is finite,  $\varepsilon_{k,h}^I(n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Moreover, we shall suppose also that, for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a decreasing sequence  $\varepsilon_r^\xi(n)$  such that  $\mathbf{P}\{|\xi_{r,n}| > \varepsilon_r^\xi(n)\} < \varepsilon_r^\xi(n)$  for all  $r=1,2,\dots,h$ . Then,  $\varepsilon_h^\xi(u)$  is also decreasing.

These assumptions more general as those of §III will can be used for a more complete study of the part of dependence coefficients in limit distributions (in particular, for the laws of large numbers and for the convergence to the Poisson distribution). We shall study these generalizations in full detail later.

Recall also that some CLT have been obtained for triangular array of random variables or if  $\sigma(n)^2 \geq n^r$ ,  $r > 2$  (cf [8], [9], [10]). Non stationarity is studied in [7].

## V-2 : Lemmas

In this paragraph, one proves some inequalities under the previous hypotheses. Moreover, we assume that the following assumption holds.

**Hypothesis 5-3 :** In this paragraph V-2, we assume that, for all  $r=1,2,\dots,h$ ,

$$\mathbf{P}\{S_{r,n} \in \mathfrak{K}_k\} \leq 4^{-k} \quad (\text{V-1}).$$


---

Then the following result holds.

**Lemma 5-4 :** For all  $r=1,2,\dots,h$ ,

$$\mathbf{P}\{S'_{r,n} \in \mathfrak{K}_k\} \leq 4^{-k} + \varepsilon_{k,h}^S(n) \quad (\text{V-2}).$$


---

One proves the following inequalities by using the same way as Volkonskii and Rosanov ([38] : condition I' . cf also [37]).

**Lemma 5-5 :** Let  $k$  and  $h \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $D > 0$ . Let  $\zeta = \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{J}_k} \alpha_j \mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{J}_{k,j}}$  and  $\eta = \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{J}_k} \beta_j \mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{J}_{k,j}}$  where  $|\alpha_j| \leq D$  and  $|\beta_j| \leq D$ . Then, for all  $r=1,2,\dots,h$ , the following inequalities hold :

$$|\mathbb{E}\{\zeta(S_{r,n})\} - \mathbb{E}\{\zeta(S'_{r,n})\}| \leq 2D\varepsilon_{k,h}^S(n) \quad (\text{V-3}) ,$$

$$|\mathbb{E}\{\zeta(S_{r,n})\eta(S'_{r,n})\} - \mathbb{E}\{\zeta(S_{r,n})\}\mathbb{E}\{\eta(S'_{r,n})\}| \leq 4D^2\varepsilon_{k,h}^I(n) \quad (\text{V-4}) .$$


---

**Lemma 5-6 :** Let  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $k$  and  $h \in \mathbb{N}$  . Then, for all  $r=1,2,\dots,h$ ,

$$|\mathbb{E}\{e^{itS_{r,n}}\} - \mathbb{E}\{e^{itS'_{r,n}}\}| \leq 6\varepsilon_{k,h}^S(n) + 4 \cdot 4^{-k} + 4|t|4^{-k} \quad (\text{V-5}) ,$$

$$|\mathbb{E}\{e^{itS_{r,n}+itS'_{r,n}}\} - \mathbb{E}\{e^{itS_{r,n}}\}\mathbb{E}\{e^{itS'_{r,n}}\}| \leq 16\varepsilon_{k,h}^I(n) + 8\varepsilon_{k,h}^S(n) + 16 \cdot 4^{-k} + 16|t|4^{-k} \quad (\text{V-6}).$$


---

**Proof :** There exists  $C_{k;t} = \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{J}_k} \alpha_j^{k,t} \mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{J}_{k,j}}$ ,  $|C_{k;t}| \leq 1$ , such that  $|\cos(ts) - C_{k;t}| \leq 4^{-k}|t|$  if  $s \in \mathfrak{K}_k$ . Then, by (V-1), (V-2) and (V-3),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \mathbb{E} \{ \cos(tS_{r,n}) \} - \mathbb{E} \{ \cos(tS'_{r,n}) \} \right| \\
& \leq \left| \mathbb{E}_{S_{r,n} \in \mathfrak{H}_k} \{ \cos(tS_{r,n}) \} - \mathbb{E}_{S'_{r,n} \in \mathfrak{H}_k} \{ \cos(tS'_{r,n}) \} \right| + 2.4^{-k} + \mathfrak{E}_{k,h}^S(n) \\
& \leq \left| \mathbb{E}_{S_{r,n} \in \mathfrak{H}_k} \{ C_{k;t}(S_{r,n}) \} - \mathbb{E}_{S'_{r,n} \in \mathfrak{H}_k} \{ C_{k;t}(S'_{r,n}) \} \right| + 2.4^{-k} + 2.4^{-k}|t| + \mathfrak{E}_{k,h}^S(n) \\
& \leq 2.4^{-k} + 2.4^{-k}|t| + 3\mathfrak{E}_{k,h}^S(n) .
\end{aligned}$$

One uses the same way with  $\mathbb{E} \{ \sin(tS_{r,n}) \}$ ,  $\mathbb{E} \{ \cos(tS_{r,n})\sin(tS_{r,n}) \}$ , etc (cf [37]).

---

**Lemma 5-7 :** Let  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $k$  and  $h \in \mathbb{N}$  . Then, for all  $r=1,2,\dots,h$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \mathbb{E} \{ e^{it\psi_{r-1}(N).S_{r-1,n}/\psi_r(N)} \} - \mathbb{E} \{ e^{itS_{r,n}} \}^2 \right| \\
& \leq (2+|t|)\mathfrak{E}_h^\xi(n) + 16\mathfrak{E}_{k,h}^I(n) + 14\mathfrak{E}_{k,h}^S(n) + 20.4^{-k} + 20|t|4^{-k} \quad (\text{V-7}) .
\end{aligned}$$

---

**Proof :** We know that  $|e^{ib} - e^{ia}|$  for all  $(a,b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \mathbb{E} \{ e^{it\psi_{r-1}(N).S_{r-1,n}/\psi_r(N)} \} - \mathbb{E} \{ e^{itS_{r,n} + itS'_{r,n}} \} \right| \\
& \leq \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left| e^{itS_{r,n} + itS'_{r,n}} - e^{it\xi_{r,n}} \right| \right\} \leq \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left| e^{it\xi_{r,n}} - e^0 \right| \right\} \\
& \leq \mathbb{E}_{|\xi_{r,n}| > \mathfrak{E}_h^\xi(n)} \{ 2 \} + \mathbb{E}_{|\xi_{r,n}| \leq \mathfrak{E}_h^\xi(n)} \{ |t.\xi_{r,n} - 0| \} \leq (2+|t|)\mathfrak{E}_h^\xi(n) .
\end{aligned}$$

Then, it is enough to use this inequality and (V-5) and (V-6) about

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \mathbb{E} \{ e^{it\psi_{r-1}(N).S_{r-1,n}/\psi_r(N)} \} - \mathbb{E} \{ e^{itS_{r,n} + itS'_{r,n}} \} \right| \\
& \left| \mathbb{E} \{ e^{itS_{r,n} + itS'_{r,n}} \} - \mathbb{E} \{ e^{itS_{r,n}} \} \mathbb{E} \{ e^{itS'_{r,n}} \} \right|
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left| \mathbb{E} \{ e^{itS_{r,n}} \} \left( \mathbb{E} \{ e^{itS'_{r,n}} \} - \mathbb{E} \{ e^{itS_{r,n}} \} \right) \right| .$$

---

**Lemma 5-8 :** For all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  all  $k$  and  $h \in \mathbb{N}$  , and all  $r=1,2,\dots,h$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \mathbb{E} \{ e^{itS_{r-1,n}} \} - \left( \mathbb{E} \{ e^{itS_{r,n} / 2^t} \} \right)^2 \right| \\
& \leq b_k |t| \mathfrak{E}_{\tau,h}^\Psi(n) + (2+2^{-\tau}|t|)\mathfrak{E}_h^\xi(n) + 16\mathfrak{E}_{k,h}^I(n) + 14\mathfrak{E}_{k,h}^S(n) + 4^{-k} (22 + 20|t|2^{-\tau}) \quad (\text{V-8}) .
\end{aligned}$$

---

**Proof :** By (V-1), one can write

$$\left| \mathbb{E} \{ e^{itS_{r-1,n}} \} - \mathbb{E} \{ e^{it2^{-\tau}\psi_{r-1}(N).S_{r-1,n}/\psi_r(N)} \} - \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \mathbb{E}_{|S_{r-1,n}| \leq b_k} \left\{ \left| e^{itS_{r-1,n}} - e^{it2^{-\tau}\psi_{r-1}(N) \cdot S_{r-1,n}/\psi_r(N)} \right| \right\} + 2.4^{-k} \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}_{|S_{r-1,n}| \leq b_k} \left\{ \left| tS_{r-1,n} - t2^{-\tau}\psi_{r-1}(N) \cdot S_{r-1,n}/\psi_r(N) \right| \right\} + 2.4^{-k} \\
&\leq t.b_k \mathbf{E}_{\tau,h}^{\Psi}(n) + 2.4^{-k}.
\end{aligned}$$

The result follows from (V-7).

**Lemma 5-9 :** For all  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , we set  $\delta_r = 2^{-r\tau}$ . For all  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  and all  $k$  and  $h \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \mathbb{E}\{\exp(itS_{0,n})\} - \mathbb{E}\{\exp(\delta_h itS_{h,n})\} \right|^{2h} \\
&\leq 2^h (b_{k+h}|t| \mathbf{E}_{\tau,h}^{\Psi}(n) + (2+|t|) \mathbf{E}_h^{\xi}(n) + 16 \mathbf{E}_{k+h,h}^I(n) + 14 \mathbf{E}_{k+h,h}^S(n) ) + 4^{-k} (44 + 40|t|) \quad (\text{V-9}).
\end{aligned}$$

**Proof :** Let  $k' \leq k$ . Clearly,  $\mathfrak{E}_k \subset \mathfrak{E}_{k'}$ . Then,  $\mathbf{E}_{k,h}^S(n) \leq \mathbf{E}_{k',h}^S(n)$  and  $\mathbf{E}_{k,h}^I(n) \leq \mathbf{E}_{k',h}^I(n)$ . Therefore, by using (V-8),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \mathbb{E}\{\exp(itS_{0,n})\} - \mathbb{E}\{\exp(\delta_h itS_{h,n})\} \right|^{2h} \\
&\leq \left| \sum_{r=0}^{h-1} \left[ \mathbb{E}\{\exp(\delta_r itS_{r,n})\}^{2^r} - \mathbb{E}\{\exp(\delta_{r+1} itS_{r+1,n})\}^{2^{r+1}} \right] \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{r=0}^{h-1} 2^r \left| \mathbb{E}\{\exp(\delta_r itS_{r,n})\} - \mathbb{E}\{\exp(\delta_{r+1} itS_{r+1,n})\} \right|^{2^r} \\
&\leq \sum_{r=0}^{h-1} 2^r b_{k+r} 2^{-r\tau} |t| \mathbf{E}_{\tau,h}^{\Psi}(n) + \sum_{r=0}^{h-1} 2^r (2+2^{-(r+1)\tau}|t|) \mathbf{E}_h^{\xi}(n) \\
&\quad + 16 \sum_{r=0}^{h-1} 2^r \mathbf{E}_{k+r,h}^I(n) + 14 \sum_{r=0}^{h-1} 2^r \mathbf{E}_{k+r,h}^S(n) + 22 \sum_{r=0}^{h-1} 2^{-2k-r} + 20 \sum_{r=0}^{h-1} 2^{-2k-r-2^{-(r+1)\tau}|t|} \\
&\leq |t| \sum_{r=0}^{h-1} 2^r b_{k+h} \mathbf{E}_{\tau,h}^{\Psi}(n) + (2+|t|) \sum_{r=0}^{h-1} 2^r \mathbf{E}_h^{\xi}(n) \\
&\quad + 16 \sum_{r=0}^{h-1} 2^r \mathbf{E}_{k+h,h}^I(n) + 14 \sum_{r=0}^{h-1} 2^r \mathbf{E}_{k+h,h}^S(n) + 4^{-k} (44+40|t|).
\end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 5-10 :** Let  $h_n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  be a non-decreasing sequence. Then, for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \mathbb{E}\{\exp(itS_{0,n})\} - \mathbb{E}\{\exp(\delta_{h_n} itS_{h_n,n})\} \right|^{2^{h_n}} \\ & \leq 2^{h_n} (b_{2^{h_n}} |t| \mathbf{E}_{\tau, h_n}^{\Psi}(n) + (2+|t|) \mathbf{E}_{h_n}^{\xi}(n) + 16 \mathbf{E}_{2^{h_n}, h_n}^I(n) + 14 \mathbf{E}_{2^{h_n}, h_n}^S(n)) \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad + 4^{-h_n} (44 + 40|t|) \quad (\text{V-10}). \end{aligned}$$


---

### V-3 : Some propositions

By using the previous lemmas, we obtain the following results.

**Proposition 5-11:** Assume that, for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $\mathbf{E}_{k(n)}^{\tau} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and that, for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , all  $\tau > 0$ , all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $\mathbf{P}\{S_{r,n} \notin \mathcal{K}_k\} \leq 4^{-k}$ .

Then,  $S_{0,n}$  converges in distribution to a random variable  $L$  if and only if there exists a non decreasing sequence  $k_n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $k_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , such that the two following assertions hold.

$$2^{k_n} \mathbf{E}_{k_n}^{\tau}(n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (\text{V-11}),$$

$$2^{-\tau k_n} \left( \sum_1^n + \sum_{2^+}^n + \dots + \sum_{2^{k_n}}^n \right) \xrightarrow{d} L \quad (\text{V-12}),$$

where the  $\sum_j^n$ 's,  $j=1,2,\dots,2^{k_n}$ , are independent random variables which have the same distribution as  $S_{k_n,n}$ .

**Proof :** Assume that (V-11) and (V-12) hold.

$$\text{By (V-12), } \mathbb{E}\{\exp(itL)\} - \mathbb{E}\{\exp(\delta_{k_n} itS_{k_n,n})\}^{2^{k_n}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

$$\text{By (V-10) and (V-11), } \mathbb{E}\{\exp(itS_{0,n})\} - \mathbb{E}\{\exp(\delta_{k_n} itS_{k_n,n})\}^{2^{k_n}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We deduce that  $\mathbb{E}\{\exp(itS_{0,n})\} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}\{\exp(itL)\}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Assume that  $S_{0,n}$  converges to  $L$ . It is always possible to choose an increasing sequence  $\{k_n\}$  which increases enough slowly in order that (V-11) holds. For a such sequence, by (V-10),

$$\mathbb{E}\{\exp(\delta_{k_n} itS_{k_n,n})\}^{2^{k_n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}\{\exp(itL)\} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$


---

Now, by (V-9) the following result holds.

**Corollary 5-12 :** Assume that all the assumptions of proposition 5-11 hold. Then, for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbb{E}\{\exp(2^{-r\tau}itS_{r,n})\}^{2^r} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}\{\exp(itL)\}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

d

In particular, if  $\mathbb{E}\{\exp(itL)\} = \exp(-t^2/2)$  and  $\tau=1/2$ ,  $S_{r,n} \rightarrow N(0,1)$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, we can apply proposition 5-11 for the convergence to the normal distribution. In this cas, we choose the following assumptions.

**Assumptions 5-13 :** We assume that, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbb{E}\{X_{n,t}\} = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{E}\{(X_{n,t})^2\} < \infty$  and  $\sigma_r(n)^2 = \Psi_r(n)^2 = \mathbb{E}\{(X_{n,1}+X_{n,2}+\dots+X_{n,u^r(n)})^2\} < \infty$ . We assume that, for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathfrak{K}_k$  is the smallest subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $[-2^k, 2^k] \subset \mathfrak{K}_k$ . We assume also that, for all  $k$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{k,h}^I(n) \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\varepsilon_{k,h}^S(n) \rightarrow 0$  and  $\varepsilon_h^{\xi}(n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Then, one generalizes the theorem 1-3 of [13]. In this case,  $\tau=1/2$ .

d

**Corollary 5-14 :** Assume that the assumptions of 5-13 hold. Then,  $S_{r,n} \rightarrow N(0,1)$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , if and only if there exists a non-decreasing sequence  $k_n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $k_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , such that (V-11) and (V-13) hold for  $\tau=1/2$ , where (V-13) is the Lindeberg condition :

$$\forall d > 0, \mathbb{E}_{|S_{k_n,n}| > d2^{k_n/2}} \{(S_{k_n,n})^2\} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (\text{V-13}).$$

**Proof :** By the Bienaymé-Tschébycheff Inequality,  $\mathbf{P}\{S_{r,n} \notin \mathfrak{K}_k\} \leq 4^{-k}$ . Moreover, by the theorem of page 103 of [39], (V-12) is equivalent to (V-13) if  $L \rightsquigarrow N(0,1)$  and  $\tau=1/2$ .

d

Assume that (V-11) and (V-13) hold. By proposition 5-11,  $S_{0,n} \rightarrow N(0,1)$ . By (V-9),  $S_{r,n} \rightarrow N(0,1)$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ .

d

Assume that  $S_{r,n} \rightarrow N(0,1)$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . By (V-7),  $\mathbb{E}\{e^{it\sigma_{r-1}(N)S_{r-1,n}/\sigma_r(N)}\} \rightarrow \exp(-t^2/2)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore,  $\sigma_{r-1}(N)S_{r-1,n}/\sigma_r(N) \rightarrow L \rightsquigarrow N(0,2)$ . Therefore,

d

$$\text{for all } r \in \mathbb{N}^*, \quad \sigma_{r-1}(N)/\sigma_r(N) \rightarrow \sqrt{2} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (\text{V-14}).$$

Then, we can use theorem 5-11 with  $\tau=1/2$  .

---

Now, one can always build a proper sequence  $k_n$  if the Lindeberg Condition holds.

d

**Corollary 5-15 :** Assume that the assumptions of 5-13 hold. Then,  $S_{r,n} \rightarrow N(0,1)$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , if and only if (V-14) holds and there exists an increasing sequence  $N_k$  such that for all  $n \geq N_k$ ,

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \mathbb{E}_{|S_{r,n}| > 2^{k/4}} \{ (S_{r,n})^2 \} \leq \Delta'_k \text{ for all } r \leq k \quad (\text{V-15})$$

where  $\Delta'_k \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  .

---

**Proof :** Assume that  $N_k$  is strictly increasing. We define  $h_n$  by  $h_n=1$  if  $n < N_2$  and  $h_n=s$  if  $N_s \leq n < N_{s+1}$ .

Then, for all  $m \geq N_{h_n}$ ,  $\mathbb{E}_{|S_{r,m}| > 2^{h_n/4}} \{ (S_{r,m})^2 \} \leq \Delta'_{h_n}$  for all  $r \leq h_n$  .

Let  $k_n$  be a sequence such that  $k_n \leq h_n$  and  $k_n \rightarrow \infty$  . Because  $h_{n+1} = h_n$  or  $h_{n+1} = h_n + 1$ , there exists  $t$  such that  $k_n = h_t$  . Therefore, for all  $m \geq N_{h_t}$ ,  $\mathbb{E}_{|S_{r,m}| > 2^{k_n/4}} \{ (S_{r,m})^2 \} =$

$\mathbb{E}_{|S_{r,m}| > 2^{h_t/4}} \{ (S_{r,m})^2 \} \leq \Delta'_{h_t}$  for any  $r \leq h_t = k_n$  . Moreover,  $n \geq N_{h_n} \geq N_{h_t}$  . Then, one

can apply the previous inequality with  $m=n$  and  $r \leq k_n$  :  $\mathbb{E}_{|S_{k_n,n}| > 2^{k_n/4}} \{ (S_{k_n,n})^2 \} \leq \Delta'_{k_n}$  .

Therefore, (V-13) holds for all sequences  $k_n \leq h_n$  .

Moreover, one can choose  $k_n$  which increase slowly in order that (V-11) holds. On the other hand, the proof of the necessary condition is classical (e.g. cf [13], p 339).

---

#### V-4 : Proof of theorem 3-1.

In order to prove theorem 3-1, the following lemma is needed.

**Lemma 5-16 :** Assume that the assumptions of 5-13 hold. Moreover, we assume that, for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbb{E}\{(S'_{r,n})^2\} - \mathbb{E}\{(S_{r,n})^2\} = \mathcal{E}_{2(n)}^r \rightarrow 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}\{(\xi_{r,n})^2\} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and that

$$\mathbb{E}_{|S_{r,n}| > k} \{(S_{r,n})^2\} \leq \Delta_k^r \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \quad (\text{V-16}).$$

Then, (V-14) holds.

**Proof :** One can assume that  $\pi_k^r = \Delta_{2k}^r$  is non-increasing. We know that  $b_k \leq 2^{k+1}$  and  $\mathbf{P}\{S_{r,n} \notin \mathcal{K}_k\} \leq 4^{-k}$ .

There exists  $I_k^2 = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{K}_k} \beta_i^k \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{K}_k, i}$ ,  $|\beta_i^k| \leq 4^{k+1}$  such that  $|s^2 - I_k^2(s)| \leq (2.2^{k+1})4^{-k}$  if  $s \in \mathcal{K}_k$ .

Then, by (V-3), for  $r > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \mathbb{E}_{S_{r,n} \notin \mathcal{K}_k} \{(S_{r,n})^2\} - \mathbb{E}_{S'_{r,n} \notin \mathcal{K}_k} \{(S'_{r,n})^2\} \right| \\ & \leq \left| 1 - \mathbb{E}_{S_{r,n} \in \mathcal{K}_k} \{(S_{r,n})^2\} - (1 + \mathcal{E}_{2(n)}^r - \mathbb{E}_{S'_{r,n} \in \mathcal{K}_k} \{(S'_{r,n})^2\}) \right| \\ & \leq \left| \mathbb{E}_{S_{r,n} \in \mathcal{K}_k} \{I_k^2(S_{r,n})\} - \mathbb{E}_{S'_{r,n} \in \mathcal{K}_k} \{I_k^2(S'_{r,n})\} \right| + 8.2^{-k} + |\mathcal{E}_{2(n)}^r| \\ & \leq 2.4^{k+1} \mathcal{E}_{k,r}^S(n) + 8.2^{-k} + |\mathcal{E}_{2(n)}^r|. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\left| \mathbb{E}_{S'_{r,n} \notin \mathcal{K}_k} \{(S'_{r,n})^2\} \right| \leq \pi_k^r + 2.4^{k+1} \mathcal{E}_{k,r}^S(n) + 8.2^{-k} + |\mathcal{E}_{2(n)}^r|$ .

Let  $N_k^r$  such that, for all  $n \geq N_k^r$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_{2(n)}^r \leq 2^{-k}$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{k,r}^S(n) \leq 8^{-k}$ . We assume  $N_k^r$  increasing. We define  $\mu_k^r > 0$  by  $(\mu_k^r)^2 = \max_{n < N_k^r} \left\{ \pi_k^r + 17.2^{-k}, \text{Max}_{S'_{r,n} \notin \mathcal{K}_k} (\mathbb{E}\{(S'_{r,n})^2\}) \right\}$ .

Then, the following lemma is needed.

**Lemma 5-17 :** Under the previous assumptions

$$\mu_k^r \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \text{ (V-17).}$$


---

**Proof :** let  $\gamma > 0$ . There exists  $K$  such that  $\pi_k^r + 17.2^{-K} \leq \gamma$ . Then, there exists  $K' > 0$  such that

$$\text{Max}_{n < N_k^r} \left( \mathbb{E}_{S'_{r,n} \notin \mathfrak{K}_{K'}} \{ (S'_{r,n})^2 \} \right) \leq \gamma.$$

Let  $k \geq \max(K, K')$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Max}_{n < N_k^r} \left( \mathbb{E}_{S'_{r,n} \notin \mathfrak{K}_k} \{ (S'_{r,n})^2 \} \right) \\ & \leq \max \left\{ \text{Max}_{n < N_k^r} \left( \mathbb{E}_{S'_{r,n} \notin \mathfrak{K}_{K'}} \{ (S'_{r,n})^2 \} \right), \text{Max}_{N_k^r \leq n < N_k^r} \left( \mathbb{E}_{S'_{r,n} \notin \mathfrak{K}_K} \{ (S'_{r,n})^2 \} \right) \right\} \\ & \leq \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

We deduce (V-17).

---

$$\text{Clearly, } \mathbb{E}_{S'_{r,n} \notin \mathfrak{K}_k} \{ (S'_{r,n})^2 \} \leq (\mu_k^r)^2 \text{ and } \mathbb{E}_{S_{r,n} \notin \mathfrak{K}_k} \{ (S_{r,n})^2 \} \leq (\mu_k^r)^2.$$

Moreover, there exists  $B_r > 0$  such that  $\mathbb{E} \{ |S'_{r,n}| \} \leq B_r$  and  $\mathbb{E} \{ |S'_{r,n}|^2 \} \leq (B_r)^2$ .

Then, by Schwartz Inequality,  $\left| \mathbb{E}_{S_{r,n} \notin \mathfrak{K}_k} \{ S_{r,n} S'_{r,n} \} \right| \leq B_r \mu_k^r$ , for example.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \mathbb{E} \{ S_{r,n} S'_{r,n} \} - \mathbb{E} \{ S_{r,n} \} \mathbb{E} \{ S'_{r,n} \} \right| \\
& \leq \left| \mathbb{E}_{S_{r,n} \in \mathbb{K}_k} \{ S_{r,n} S'_{r,n} \} - \mathbb{E}_{S_{r,n} \in \mathbb{K}_k} \{ S_{r,n} \} \mathbb{E} \{ S'_{r,n} \} \right| \\
& \quad + \left| \mathbb{E}_{S_{r,n} \notin \mathbb{K}_k} \{ S_{r,n} S'_{r,n} \} \right| + B_r \left| \mathbb{E}_{S_{r,n} \notin \mathbb{K}_k} \{ S_{r,n} \} \right| \\
& \leq \left| \mathbb{E}_{S_{r,n} \in \mathbb{K}_k} \{ S_{r,n} S'_{r,n} \} - \mathbb{E}_{S_{r,n} \in \mathbb{K}_k} \{ S_{r,n} \} \mathbb{E} \{ S'_{r,n} \} \right| + 2 B_r \mu_k^r \\
& \leq \left| \mathbb{E}_{\{S_{r,n} \in \mathbb{K}_k\} \cap \{S'_{r,n} \in \mathbb{K}_k\}} \{ S_{r,n} S'_{r,n} \} - \mathbb{E}_{S_{r,n} \in \mathbb{K}_k} \{ S_{r,n} \} \mathbb{E}_{S'_{r,n} \in \mathbb{K}_k} \{ S'_{r,n} \} \right| \\
& \quad + 2 B_r \mu_k^r + 2 \mu_k^r .
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, there exists  $I_k = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{K}_k} \beta_i^k \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{K}_k, i}$ ,  $|\alpha_i^k| \leq 2^{k+1}$ , such that  $|s - I_k(s)| \leq 4^{-k}$

and  $|I_k(s)| \leq s$  if  $s \in \mathbb{K}_k$ .

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \mathbb{E}_{\{S_{r,n} \in \mathbb{K}_k\} \cap \{S'_{r,n} \in \mathbb{K}_k\}} \{ S_{r,n} S'_{r,n} \} - \mathbb{E}_{S_{r,n} \in \mathbb{K}_k} \{ S_{r,n} \} \mathbb{E}_{S'_{r,n} \in \mathbb{K}_k} \{ S'_{r,n} \} \right| \\
& \leq \left| \mathbb{E}_{\{S_{r,n} \in \mathbb{K}_k\} \cap \{S'_{r,n} \in \mathbb{K}_k\}} \{ I_k(S_{r,n}) S'_{r,n} \} - \mathbb{E}_{S_{r,n} \in \mathbb{K}_k} \{ I_k(S_{r,n}) \} \mathbb{E}_{S'_{r,n} \in \mathbb{K}_k} \{ S'_{r,n} \} \right| \\
& \quad + 4^{-k} \left| \mathbb{E}_{\{S_{r,n} \in \mathbb{K}_k\} \cap \{S'_{r,n} \in \mathbb{K}_k\}} \{ S'_{r,n} \} \right| + 4^{-k} \left| \mathbb{E}_{S'_{r,n} \in \mathbb{K}_k} \{ S'_{r,n} \} \right| \\
& \leq \left| \mathbb{E}_{\{S_{r,n} \in \mathbb{K}_k\} \cap \{S'_{r,n} \in \mathbb{K}_k\}} \{ I_k(S_{r,n}) S'_{r,n} \} - \mathbb{E}_{S_{r,n} \in \mathbb{K}_k} \{ I_k(S_{r,n}) \} \mathbb{E}_{S'_{r,n} \in \mathbb{K}_k} \{ S'_{r,n} \} \right| \\
& \quad + 2 B_r 4^{-k}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \left| \mathbb{E} \left\{ \mathbb{I}_k(S_{r,n}) \mathbb{I}_k(S'_{r,n}) \right\} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \mathbb{E}_{S_{r,n} \in \mathbb{K}_k} \left\{ \mathbb{I}_k(S_{r,n}) \right\} \mathbb{E}_{S'_{r,n} \in \mathbb{K}_k} \left\{ \mathbb{I}_k(S'_{r,n}) \right\} \right| \\
&\quad + 4^{-k} \mathbb{E}_{\{S_{r,n} \in \mathbb{K}_k\} \cap \{S'_{r,n} \in \mathbb{K}_k\}} \left\{ |\mathbb{I}_k(S_{r,n})| \right\} + 4^{-k} \mathbb{E}_{S_{r,n} \in \mathbb{K}_k} \left\{ |\mathbb{I}_k(S_{r,n})| \right\} + 2B_r 4^{-k} \\
&\leq 4.4^{k+1} \mathcal{E}_{k,r}^I(n) + 2(1+B_r)4^{-k} .
\end{aligned}$$

These inequalities hold for all  $k$ . Therefore, by (V-17),  $\mathbb{E}\{S_{r,n}S'_{r,n}\}$  converges to 0. Moreover,  $\mathbb{E}\{S_{r,n}\xi_{r,n}\}^2 \leq \mathbb{E}\{(S_{r,n})^2\} \mathbb{E}\{(\xi_{r,n})^2\}$  which converges to 0. Therefore,  $\mathbb{E}\{(S_{r,n} + \xi_{r,n} + S'_{r,n})^2\}$  converges to 2. Then, (V-14) holds.

**Lemma 5-18 :** Under the assumptions of definition 3-1,  $n/(u(n)) \rightarrow 2$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover, if  $\mathbb{E}\{(S'_u)^2\} - \mathbb{E}\{(S_u)^2\} \rightarrow 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}\{(\xi_u)^2\} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\sigma(n)^2/\sigma(u)^2 \rightarrow 2$  and  $\sigma(n) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Proof :** by notations 2-1, if  $n > 1$ ,  $3(u+1) \geq 2(u+1) + \kappa(u+1) > n > 2u + \kappa(u)$ . Therefore,  $u(n) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $2 + 2/u + [\kappa(u+1)/(u+1)] [(u+1)/u] > n/u \geq 2 + \kappa(u)/u$ . Then, by notations 2-1,  $n/(u(n)) \rightarrow 2$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Assume that  $\mathbb{E}\{(S'_u)^2\} - \mathbb{E}\{(S_u)^2\} \rightarrow 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}\{(\xi_u)^2\} \rightarrow 0$ . Then,  $1 = \mathbb{E}\{(S'_n)^2\} = [\sigma(u)^2/\sigma(n)^2] \mathbb{E}\{(S_u + \xi_u + S'_u)^2\} = [\sigma(u)^2/\sigma(n)^2] [\mathbb{E}\{(S_u)^2\} + o(1)] = [\sigma(u)^2/\sigma(n)^2] [2 + o(1)]$ . Then,  $\sigma(n)^2/\sigma(u)^2 \rightarrow 2$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Therefore, there exists  $N_0$  such that, for  $n \geq N_0$ ,  $\sigma(n)^2/\sigma(u)^2 \geq 5/3$  and  $7/3 \geq n/u(n)$ . Then, if  $n \geq (7/3)^k N_0$ ,  $u^k(n) \geq N_0$ . Therefore, there exists  $k' \geq k$  such that  $u^{k'-1}(n) \geq N_0$  and  $u^{k'}(n) < N_0$ . Let  $M = \min\{\sigma(n)^2 \mid n < N_0\}$ . Then,  $\sigma(n)^2 \geq (5/3)^k M$ . Because,  $\sigma(n) > 0$ ,  $\sigma(n) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**5-19 : Proof of theorem 3-1.** Let  $M_r$  be an increasing sequence properly chosen : e.g. one can assume  $u^{r_n} \rightarrow \infty$  where  $r_n = r$  if  $M_r < n \leq M_{r+1}$ . We set  $V(n) = \sigma(n)u_n$ ,  $V'(n) = \sigma(n)u'_n$  and  $N(n) = n$ .

If  $n \leq M_1$ , we define  $X_{n,t}$  by  $X_{n,t} = X_t$  if  $t < n$  and  $X_{n,n} = X_n + V_n$ .

If  $M_1 < n \leq M_2$ , we set  $X_{n,t} = X_t$  if  $t \neq u, u+1, n$  and  $X_{n,u} = X_u + V_u$ ,  $X_{n,u+1} = X_{u+1} + V_n - V_u - V'_u$ ,  $X_{n,n} = X_n + V'_u$ .

If  $M_h < n \leq M_{h+1}$ , we set  $X_{n,t} = X_t$  if  $t \neq u^r(n), u^r(n)+1$ , for  $r=0,1,\dots,h$  and  $X_{n,u^h(n)} = X_{u^h(n)} + V_{u^h(n)}$ ,  $X_{n,u^r(n)} = X_{u^r(n)} + V'_{u^r(n)}$  for  $r=0,1,2,\dots,h-1$ ,  $X_{n,u^r(n)+1} = X_{u^r(n)+1} + V_{u^{r-1}(n)} - V_{u^r(n)} - V'_{u^r(n)}$  for  $r=1,2,\dots,h$  (cf also [37]).

Then, for  $n > M_r$ ,

$$\sigma_r(n)S_{r,n} = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{u^r(n)} + V_{u^r(n)} = \sigma_r(u^r(n))(S_{u^r(n)} + \mathcal{U}_{u^r(n)}),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_r(n)S'_{r,n} &= X_{u^r(n)+t^r(n)+1} + X_{u^r(n)+t^r(n)+2} + \dots + X_{u^{r-1}(n)} + V'_{u^r(n)} \\ &= \sigma_r(u^r(n))(S'_{u^r(n)} + \mathcal{V}'_{u^r(n)}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\sigma_r(n)\xi_{r,n} = X_{u^r(n)+1} + X_{u^r(n)+2} + \dots + X_{u^r(n)+t^r(n)} + V_{u^{r-1}(n)} - V_{u^r(n)} + V'_{u^r(n)}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Therefore, } \sigma_r(N)^2 &= \mathbb{E}\{(\sigma_r(N)S_{r,n})^2\} = \sigma(u^r(n))^2 \mathbb{E}\{(S_{u^r(n)} + \mathcal{U}_{u^r(n)})^2\} = \\ &= \sigma(u^r(n))^2 \sigma^*(u^r(n))^2. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore, } S_{r,n} = \sigma^*(u^r(n))^{-1}(S_{u^r(n)} + \mathcal{U}_{u^r(n)}) \text{ and } S'_{r,n} = \sigma^*(u^r(n))^{-1}(S'_{u^r(n)} + \mathcal{V}'_{u^r(n)}).$$

Then,  $\mathbf{E}_{k,h}^I(n) \rightarrow 0$  and  $\mathbf{E}_{k,h}^S(n) \rightarrow 0$ .

By our assumptions,  $\sigma^*(u^r(n))^2 \rightarrow 1$ .

Then,  $\mathbb{E}\{(S_{r,n})^2\} - \mathbb{E}\{(S'_{r,n})^2\} \rightarrow 0$ .

Moreover, by lemma 5-18,  $\sigma(u^r(n))^2/\sigma(u^{r-1}(n))^2 \rightarrow 2$ . Then,  $\mathbb{E}\{(\xi_{r,n})^2\} \rightarrow 0$ . Therefore, one can assume  $\mathbf{E}_h^\xi(n) \rightarrow 0$ .

On the other hand, by our assumptions, there exists  $\Delta_k^r \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_{|S_{r,n}| \geq k} \{ (S_{r,n})^2 \} \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\left| \sigma^{*(u^r(n))^{-1}}(S_{u^r(n)} + \nu_{u^r(n)}) \right| \geq k} \left\{ \sigma^{*(u^r(n))^{-2}} (S_{u^r(n)} + \nu_{u^r(n)})^2 \right\} \leq \Delta_k^r . \end{aligned}$$

Then, (V-14) holds.

Then all the assumptions of corollary 5-15 are satisfied by  $\{X_n\}$ . Therefore,  $S_n \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1)$ .

## References

- [1] IBRAGIMOV I.A. (1962) Some limit theorems for stationary processes. Theory of Probability and its Applications, vol VII n° 4, p 349-382.
- [2] BRADLEY R.C. (1984) On a very weak Bernoulli condition. Stochastics, 13, 61-81.
- [3] BRADLEY R. (1988) A Central limit theorem for  $\rho$ -mixing sequences with infinite variance. Ann Proba 16, 313- 332.
- [4] PELIGRAD M (1990) On Ibragimov-Iosifescu conjecture for  $\varphi$ -mixing sequences. Stochastic Processes and their Applications, 35-2, 293-307.
- [5] DEHLING H. DENKER M. PHILLIPPS W. (1984) Versik Processes and very weak Bernoulli processes with summable rates are independent. Proc. Amer. Math Soc. 91, 618-624.
- [6] DAVIDOV YU. A. (1970) The invariance principle for stationary processes. Theory of Probability and its Applications, vol XV, N° 3 p 487-498.
- [7] CHAAN K.S. (1989) A note on the geometric ergodicity of a Markov chain. Advances in applied probability. 22, 587-611.
- [8] TJØSTHEIM D. (1990) Non linear times series and Martkov chains. Advances in Applied probability. 22, 587-611.

- [9] LIEBSCHER E. (1996) Central Limit Theorems for sums of  $\alpha$ -mixing random variables. *Stochastics and Stochastics Reports*, 59, 241-258.
- [10] UTEV S. A. (1990) On the central limit theorem for  $\psi$ -mixing arrays of random variables. *Theory of Probability and its Applications*. 35, 131-159.
- [11] EBERLEIN S., TAQQ M.S. (1986) *Dependence in Probability and Statistics*. Birkhäuser, Boston.
- [12] HALL P. HEYDE C.C. (1980) *Martingale Limit Theory and its applications*. Academic Press . New York.
- [13] IBRAGIMOV I.A. LINNIK Yu V.(1971) *Independent and stationary sequences of random variables*. Wolters-Noordhoff, Groningen.
- [14] DOUKHAN P. (1994) *Mixing. Properties and examples*. Springer Verlag. New York.
- [15] WITHERS C. S. (1981) Central limit theorems for dependent random variables. I. *Z. Wahrsch. verw. Gebiete*, 54, 509-534.
- [16] COGBURN R. (1960) Asymptotic properties of stationary sequences. *Univ. Calif. Publ. Statist.* 3, 99-146.
- [17] ROSENBLATT M. (1972) Uniform ergodicity and strong mixing. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete*. 24, 79-84.
- [18] PINSKER M.S. (1964) *Information and information stability of random variables and processes*. Holden Day, San Francisco.
- [19] BERSTEIN (1939) Quelques remarques sur le théorème limite Liapounoff. *Dokl. Akad, Nauk SSSR*, 24, 3-8.
- [20] BROWN (1970) Characteristics functions, moments and the Central Limit Theorem. *Ann. Math. Statist.* 41, 658-664.
- [21] ESSEEN C.G. JANSON S. (1985) On moments conditions for normed sums of independent random variables and martingales differences. *Stochastics Processes and their Applications*, 19, 173-182.
- [22] HERRNDORF N. (1984) A functional Central Limit Theorem for  $\rho$ -mixing sequences. *Journal of multivariate analysis*. 15, 141-146.

- [23] BIRKEL T. (1988) Moment bounds for associated sequences. *Ann. Prob.* 16-3, 1184-1193.
- [24] KRUGOV V.M. (1988) The convergence of moments of random sums. *Theory of Probability and its applications.* 33-2, 339-342.
- [25] MAIROBODA R. E. (1989) The Central limit Theorem for empirical moment generating functions. *Theory of Probability and its applications*, vol 34-2, 332-335.
- [26] YOKOHAMA R. (1980) Moment bounds for stationary mixing sequences. *Z Wahrscheinlichkeistheorie, ver. Gebiete*, 52, 45-57.
- [27] YOKOHAMA R. (1983) The convergence of moments in the Central limit Theorem for stationary  $\varphi$ -mixing processes. *Analysis mathematica*, 9, 79-84.
- [28] COX D. KIM T.Y. (1995) Moment bounds for mixing random variables useful in nonparametric function estimation. *Stochastics Processes and their Applications.* 56, 151-158.
- [29] LANCASTER H. O. (1958) The structure of bivariate distribution. *Ann. Math Statis.* 29, 719-736.
- [30] LANCASTER H. O. (1960) Orthogonal models for contingency tables. *Developments in statistics.* Academic Press, New York.
- [31] BLACHER R. (1993) Higher Order Correlation Coefficients. *Statistics* 25, 1-15.
- [32] BLACHER R. (1989) Loi de la somme de n variables aléatoires et théorème de la limite centrale par le coefficients de dépendance polynomiale et les moments. Research report 768M IMAG Grenoble.
- [33] BLACHER R. (1995) Central limit theorem by polynomial dependence coefficients. *Journal of computational and applied mathematics* 57, 45-56.
- [34] BLACHER R. (1990-1) Théorème de la limite centrale par les moments. *Compte Rendu de l'Académie des Sciences de Paris.* t-311 série I, p 465-468.
- [35] BLACHER R. (1990) Quelques applications des fonctions orthogonales en probabilité et statistique. Thèse de l'Université Joseph Fourier, Grenoble.
- [36] BLACHER R. (1984) Coefficients de Correlation d'ordre (i,j) et variances d'ordre j. *International Symposium of Bar Le Duc Orthogonal Polynomials* Springer Verlag.

- [37] BLACHER R. (2005) New form for Central Limit Theorem II. Research report , IMAG Grenoble.
- [38] VOLKONSKII V.A ROZANOV.YU. A. (1959) Some limit theorems for random functions I Theory of Probability and its applications, vol IV n° 2, 178-197.
- [39] GNEDENKO B.V. KOLMOGOROV A. N. (1968) Limit Distributions for sums of independent random variables. Addison Wesley, Publishing Company, Reading Massachussetts, London.[39]
- [40] CHAO C.C. (1997) A note on applications of the martingale central limit theorem to random permutations. Random str.Algo., 10, n°3, 323-332.
- [41] DE MEYER B. (1998) The maximal variation of a bounded martingale and the central limit theorem. Ann Inst H.Poincaré, Prob-stat 34, I, 49-59.
- [42] WANG Y., YANG. Y, ZHOU H (2003) A random functional central limit theorem for processes of product sums of liner processes generated by martingale differences. Chin. Ann. Math. Ser B24, n°4, 449-456.
- [43] MERVELEDE F. (2003) On the central limit theorem and its weak invariance principle for strongly mixing sequences with values in a Hilbert space via martingale approximation. J. Theor. Prob. 16, n°3, 625-653.
- [44] OUCHTI L.. (2005) On the rate of convergence in the central limit theorem for martingales difference sequences. Ann Inst H.Poincaré, Prob-stat 41, I, 35-43.
- [45] MERLEVEDE F. PELIGRAD M (2000) The functional central limit theorem under the strong mixing condition , The Annals of Probability, 28, 3 1336-1352
- [46] NEUMEYER N. (2004) A Central Limit theorem for two sample U-processes, Stat Prob letters, 67, 73-87.
- [47] DEDECKER J. RIO E. (2000) On the functional central limit theorem for stationary processes. Ann. Inst Henri Poincaré, Prob§stat, 36, 1 1-34.
- [48] JOHNSON O. BARRON A. (2004) Fisher information inequalities and the Central Limit Theorem. Probab. Theory. Related Fields, 129, 3, 391-409.
- [49] DUDZINSKY M. (2003) A Note on the almost sure Central Limit Theorem for some dependent random variables. Stat. Prob. Lett , 61 1, 31-40.

- [50] RYCHLIK Z., SZUSTER K. (2003) On strong versions of the Central Limit Theorem. Stat. Proba. Lett., 61, 4, 347-357.
- [51] GRIN' A.G. (2002) On the minimal condition of weak dependency in the Central Limit Theorem for stationary sequences. Theo. Prob. Appl. 47, 3, 506-510.
- [52] LEE O. KIM J. (2001) Strict stationarity and functional Central Limit Theorem for ARCH/GARCH models. Bull . Korean. Math. Soc. 38, 3, 495-504.
- [53] SONG L. (1999) A counter example in the Central Limit Theorem. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 31, 2, 222-230.
- [54] SZEIDI L. ZOLOTAREV Y. M. (1998) The Central Limit Theorem without the condition of Independence. J. Math. Sci. New York 91, 3, 3002-3004.
- [55] IBRAGIMOV I. LIFSHITS M. (1998) On the convergence of generalized moments in almost sure central limit theorem. Stat & Prob Letters 343-351.
- [56] SOULIER P. (2001) Moment bounds and the central limit theorem for functions of Gaussian vectors. Stat & Prob Letters 193-203.
- [57] ROZOVSKY L.V. . (2002) An estimate of the remainder in the central limit theorem for a sum of independent random variables with infinite moments of a higher order. Theor Proba & its appl, 174-183.
- [58] BLACHER R. (2007) A full solution for the problem of random number. Research report : 2006, IMAG Grenoble.
- [59] BLACHER R. (2003) Multivariate quadratic forms of random vectors. Jour. Multi. Analysis. 87, 2-23.
- [60] BLACHER R. (2006) New forms for the central limit theorem. Reserach Report IMAG Grenoble
- [61] BLACHER R. (2007) Central Limit Theorem By Moment. Stat Prob Letters. A paraitre .
- [62] COULON-PRIEUR C. DOUKHAN P. (2000) A triangular central limit theorem under a new weak dependence condition. Stat Prob. Letters 47 61-68.