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# DATA RECONCILIATION IN GENERALIZED LINEAR DYNAMIC SYSTEMS 

Mohamed DAROUACH, Michel ZASADZINSKI<br>C.R.A.N. - C.N.R.S. U.A. 821<br>Université de Nancy I<br>I.U.T. de Longwy, route de Romain, 54400 Longwy, FRANCE


#### Abstract

A generalized linear dynamic model or singular model, for which the standard state space representation and the Kalman filtering cannot be applied, is used to develop a new algorithm to solve the linear dynamic material balance problem. This algorithm is based on the method developed in the steady state case and leads to a recursive scheme, which is very useful in real time processing. It reduces the computational problem such as singularities and round-off errors that may occur in complex systems. Convergence conditions are given and verified for the dynamic material balance case.


## 1. Introduction

Data reconciliation is of fundamental importance in plant operation due to inaccuracies and uncertainties in the measurements. Most previous works have been limited to the steady state systems described by linear and bilinear constraints, involving unknown parameters, as can be seen from the survey papers [Hlavacek (1977), Mah (1981), Tamhane and Mah (1985) and Mah (1987)]. However in many practical situations the process conditions are continuously undergoing changes and steady state is never truly reached.

A quasisteady-state system described by an algebraic model, a measurement equation, and a transition equation defined by a random walk process, was treated by Stanley and Mah (1977). It was shown that estimation in this case can be an application of the discrete Kalman filter. Darouach et al. (1988 a) have proposed a new algorithm based on Kalman filter and sequential processing developed by additional constraints.

Data reconciliation for linear dynamic systems was treated by Gertler and Almasy (1973). They showed that the dynamic material balance model can be represented by continuous state space equations or after discretization by a sampled input-output representation. For this representation, Gertler (1979) showed that solving this problem in an optimal way is too
complicated to allow a general closed-form solution and a suboptimal approach was presented. Narasimhan and Mah (1988) have extended the formulation of the hypothesis of the GLR (Generalized Likelihood Ratio) method proposed by Willsky and Jones (1974) to gross error identification in closed-loop dynamic processes described by a stochastic linear discrete model. Almasy (1989 a, b) presented the dynamic balance equations in state space models form in which the environmental effects (EE) are described by a random walk process. The data reconciliation in this case is reduced to a discrete Kalman filter as in the quasi-steady state problem.

The purpose of this paper is to present a new on line estimation algorithm for systems described by dynamic material balance equations. The model considered is linear and deterministic with all variables measured (inputs, outputs and states). This model can be written in discrete difference equations form $E X_{k+1}=B X_{k}$, where $X_{k}$ is the vector formed by all the unknown variables at time instant k . These equations, containing more variables than constraints, cannot be written in a standard state equation form. This model is called singular or generalized dynamic model [Dai (1989)] because the matrix $E$ is singular and therefore the standard Kalman filter cannot be applied to estimate $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}$. Generally this type of model is used to represent dynamical systems described by a set of differentialalgebraic equations. An application can be for processes, composed by a fast subsystem such as exchangers and a slow subsystem such as heater, the first one has fast dynamics which can be neglected in comparison with the dynamics of the second. Differential-algebraic equations are suitable for these processes. A recursive optimal solution in weighted least squares sense is proposed to estimate vector $X_{k}$. The convergence conditions are given and verified for the dynamic linear balance equations.

## 2. Problem statement

We consider a linear time-invariant system described by a process network formed by $n$ nodes and $v$ streams. The material balance equations can be written in the following discrete form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}^{*}{ }_{\mathrm{i}+1}=\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{M} \mathrm{Q}^{*}{ }_{\mathrm{i}+1} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{Q}^{*}{ }_{\mathrm{i}+1}$ is the true vector of the flows of dimension v at time instant $(i+1)$ and $W^{*}{ }_{i}$ is the true vector of the volumes of dimension $n$ at time instant i. $M$ is the $n_{x v}$ incidence matrix of full row rank. The element $m_{i j}$ of M denotes the topology of nodes and streams with $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{ij}}=1$ if stream j is an input to node i , and $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{ij}}=-1$ if stream j is an output from node i .

For simplicity sake, we will assume that the balance equations contain only measured variables. The measurements are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{Q}^{*} \mathrm{i}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{W}^{*}{ }_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v_{i}$ is a $v_{x} 1$ vector of normally distributed random measurement noise with zero mean and known covariance matrix $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}}>0$, and $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is an $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{x}} 1$ vector of normally distributed random measurement noise with zero mean and known covariance matrix $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{W}}>0$.

Equation (1) can be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
-E X_{i+1}+B X_{i}=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{i}^{*}=\binom{W^{*}}{Q_{i}}, E=(I \mid-M)$ and $B=(I \mid 0)$. Also equations (2)-(3) become

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{X}^{*}{ }_{\mathrm{i}}+\varepsilon_{\mathrm{i}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z_{i}=\binom{W_{i}}{Q_{i}}$ and $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{i}}=\binom{\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}}$ with $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{i}}$ is a $(\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{v})_{\mathrm{x}} 1$ vector of normally distributed random measurement noise with zero mean and known covariance matrix

$$
\mathrm{V}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{W}} & 0  \tag{6}\\
0 & \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{Q}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Our aim is to estimate $X_{i}$ based on the measurement equation (5) and the model (4).

## 3. Derivation of the estimation algorithm

Here we consider the problem of estimating the vector $X_{i}$ at time instants $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{k}+1$. From (4) and (5) we can collect the ( $k+1$ ) measurements and the k constraints as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{X}^{*}+\varepsilon  \tag{7-1}\\
& \Phi_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{X}^{*}=0 \tag{7-2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{Z}=\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{i}}\right), \mathrm{X}^{*}=\left(\mathrm{X}^{*}{ }_{\mathrm{i}}\right), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}=\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ for $\mathrm{i}=1$ to $\mathrm{k}+1$ and

$$
\Phi_{\mathrm{k}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
\mathrm{B} & -\mathrm{E} & 0 & \ldots & . & 0 \\
0 & \mathrm{~B} & -\mathrm{E} & \ldots & . & 0 \\
0 \\
. & \cdot & \ldots & . & . & \cdot \\
0 & \cdot & \ldots & \mathrm{~B} & -\mathrm{E}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\varphi_{1} \\
\varphi_{2} \\
\dot{\varphi}_{\mathrm{k}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Now with these notations, dynamic data reconciliation problem can be formulated as in the steady state case, that is, the minimization of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{J}=\frac{1}{2}(\hat{\mathrm{X}}-\mathrm{Z})^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~V}^{-1}(\hat{\mathrm{X}}-\mathrm{Z}) \tag{8-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to the constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\mathrm{k}} \hat{\mathrm{X}}=0 \tag{8-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of this problem is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{X}=P Z \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P$ is the projection matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{V} \Phi_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~V} \Phi_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{-1} \Phi_{\mathrm{k}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equations (9)-(10) we can see that the computational volume increases with the number of observations, this leads to several numerical problems such as round-off errors and singularities. To avoid these, a recursive solution based on the sequential method developed for the steady state case [Darouach et al. (1988 b)] can be proposed. Matrix $\Phi_{k}$ is partitioned as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\mathrm{k}}=\binom{\Phi_{\mathrm{k}-1}}{\varphi_{\mathrm{k}}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{x}}((\mathrm{k}+1)(\mathrm{v}+\mathrm{n}))$ matrix $\varphi_{\mathrm{k}}$ is the $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ block of n rows of matrix $\Phi_{\mathrm{k}}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\mathrm{k}}=(0|0 \ldots 0| \mathrm{B} \mid-\mathrm{E}) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Matrix $\Phi_{k}$ is a full row rank matrix if matrix pencil (sE-B), where $s$ is a complex variable, is of full row rank [Gantmacher (1959)]. We can apply the result of appendix $A$ to obtain the following algorithm.

The estimates $\hat{X}_{j / k+1}$ of the vector $X_{j}$ at time instant $j$ based on the knowledge of measurements up to time $\mathrm{k}+1(\mathrm{j}<\mathrm{k}+1)$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{X}_{j / k+1}=\hat{X}_{j / k}+\sum_{j k}^{k} B^{T} \Omega_{k}\left(E Z_{k+1}-B \hat{X}_{k / k}\right)  \tag{13-a}\\
& \hat{X}_{k+1 / k+1}=V^{T} \Omega_{k} B \hat{X}_{k / k}+\left(I-V^{T} \Omega_{k} E\right) Z_{k+1} \tag{13-b}
\end{align*}
$$

and its covariance matrices are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j(k+1)}^{k+1}=\sum_{j k}^{k} B^{T} \Omega_{k} E V \quad \text { for } j<k+1 \tag{14-a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{(\mathrm{k}+1)(\mathrm{k}+1)}^{\mathrm{k}+1}=\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{VE}^{\mathrm{T}} \Omega_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{EV} \tag{14-b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{\mathrm{k}}=\left(\mathrm{B} \sum_{\mathrm{kk}}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~B}^{\mathrm{T}}+\mathrm{E} \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{-1} \tag{14-c}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the initial conditions $\hat{\mathrm{X}}_{1 / 1}=\mathrm{Z}_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{11}^{1}=\mathrm{V}>0$.
The recursive expressions of equations (13) and (14) constitute a generalized algorithm of the Kalman filter in absence of process noise, and represent a systematic approach to real time linear filtering (13-b) and smoothing (13-a) with a well-established optimality criterion. Standard Kalman filter can be obtained from (13)-(14) with E = I.

Equations (13) and (14) are obtained only under the assumption that matrix pencil (sE - B) is of full row rank. The model (4) is general since E may be singular, it can include algebraic equations.

Before turning to the application of the above algorithm to the initial problem described by (1)-(3), we can analyze its asymptotic properties and give sufficient conditions for its convergence.

## 4. Convergence analysis of the algorithm

In this section, we look at stability properties of the filter given by equations (13)-(14). One consideration of both practical and theoretical interests is the stability of the filter. Stability refers to the behaviour of estimates given by equations (13).

From equation (13-b) we can see that the state transition matrix of the filter is $\Psi_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{VE}^{\mathrm{T}} \Omega_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{B}$ which is a function of sequence $\Omega_{\mathrm{k}}$.

From equation (13-a), the new estimate $\hat{X}_{j / k+1}$ is given by the prior estimate $\hat{X}_{j / k}$ plus an appropriately weighted measurement residual $\left(E Z_{k+1}\right.$ $B \hat{X}_{k / k}$ ). If sequence $\sum_{j k}^{k}$ converges to zero when $k$ increases, then there is no significant change in the new estimate. This implies that the filter memory is limited, and the estimate can be calculated only on the fixed number of measurements.

It is easy to see that expression (14-a) can be rewritten as a system of $(\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{v})_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{v})$ matrix difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}+1}=\Psi_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{T}}=\sum_{\mathrm{jk}}^{\mathrm{k}}$ and $\Psi_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{VE}^{\mathrm{T}} \Omega_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{B}$.
This shows that the stability of the filter (13-b) implies the convergence of sequence $\sum_{j k}^{k}$ to zero when $k$ increases. This stability is given by the following theorem [Willems (1970)].

## Theorem 1

If the matrix $\Psi_{k}$ is bounded, then the null solution of (15) is uniformly asymptotically stable if and only if there exists a non-stationary decreasing positive definite Lyapunov function whose difference along the solution of (15) is given decreasing, negative definite, non-stationary quadratic form.

To study this stability, we must first study the asymptotic properties of sequences $\Omega_{\mathrm{k}}$ or $\sum_{\mathrm{kk}}^{\mathrm{k}}$.

From (14-b) and (14-c) we have the following recursive equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{(k+1)(k+1)}^{\mathrm{k}+1}=\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{V} \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathrm{~B} \sum_{\mathrm{kk}}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~B}^{\mathrm{T}}+\mathrm{EV} \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{EV} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

To simplify we adopt the following notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{\mathrm{kk}}^{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then equation (16) can be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{k+1}=V-V E^{T}\left(B V_{k} B^{T}+E V E^{T}\right)^{-1} E V \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

If matrices E and B are of full row rank [Zasadzinski (1990)], by using the inversion lemma, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{k+1}=D+F V_{k} F^{T}-F V_{k} B^{T}\left(B V_{k} B^{T}+R\right)^{-1} B V_{k} F^{T} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{VE}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathrm{EVE}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{EVE}^{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{VE}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathrm{EVE}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{EV}$, and where R is a positive definite matrix and D is a semi-positive definite matrix.

Equation (19) is the standard form of the Riccati equation [Caines (1988)]. The study of the asymptotic properties of sequences $\sum_{\mathrm{kk}}^{\mathrm{k}}$ or $\Omega_{\mathrm{k}}$ is reduced to the study of the convergence of the Riccati equation (19). We can give the following theorem [Caines (1988)].

## Theorem 2

Let ( $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{F}$ ) be detectable and let $(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{S})$ be stabilizable, where S is any square root matrix of D , then given any symmetric positive condition $\mathrm{V}_{0}>0$, the sequence of solutions $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{k}\right.$ is the positive integer $\}$ generated by (19) converges to the unique symmetric semi-positive solution $Y$ to the algebraic Riccati equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{FYF}^{\mathrm{T}}-\mathrm{FYB}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathrm{BYB}^{\mathrm{T}}+\mathrm{R}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{BYF}^{\mathrm{T}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case where ( $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{S}$ ) is controllable, Y is strictly positive.

The proof of this theorem is given in Caines (1988). The conditions for detectability and stabilizability are summarized in appendix $B$.

If the conditions of convergence of (19) are verified, the sequence $\Omega_{\mathrm{k}}$ generated by (14-c) converges to the unique solution $\Omega$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega=\left(\mathrm{BYB}^{\mathrm{T}}+\mathrm{EV} \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{-1} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where Y is the solution of the equation (20). The convergence of sequence $\Omega_{\mathrm{k}}$, given by using theorem 2, guarantees that the state transition matrix of state space equation (13-b) is bounded.

## 5. Application to data reconciliation

We now turn to the data reconciliation problem described by equations (1), (2) and (3), which corresponds to matrices $E=(I \mid-M)$ and $B=(I \mid 0)$. In this case, the rank condition, $\operatorname{rank}((s E-A))=n$, is always verified. The algorithm (13)-(14), with $E$ and $B$ replaced by their values, becomes

$$
\begin{gather*}
\hat{X}_{\mathrm{j} / \mathrm{k}+1}=\binom{\hat{W}_{\mathrm{j} / \mathrm{k}+1}}{\hat{\mathrm{Q}}_{\mathrm{j} / \mathrm{k}+1}}=\binom{\hat{\mathrm{W}}_{\mathrm{j} / \mathrm{k}}}{\hat{\mathrm{Q}}_{\mathrm{j} / \mathrm{k}}}+\sum_{\mathrm{jk}}^{\mathrm{k}}\binom{\Omega_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{k}+1}-\Omega_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{k}+1}-\Omega_{\mathrm{k}} \hat{W}_{\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{k}}}{0}  \tag{22-a}\\
\hat{X}_{\mathrm{k}+1 / \mathrm{k}+1}=\binom{\hat{\mathrm{W}}_{\mathrm{k}+1 / \mathrm{k}+1}}{\hat{\mathrm{Q}}_{\mathrm{k}+1 / \mathrm{k}+1}}=\binom{\left(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{W}} \Omega_{\mathrm{k}}\right) \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{k}+1}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{W}} \Omega_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{MQ}_{\mathrm{k}+1}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{W}} \Omega_{\mathrm{k}} \hat{W}_{\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{k}}}{\left(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{T}} \Omega_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{M}\right) \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{k}+1}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{T}} \Omega_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{k}+1}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{T}} \Omega_{\mathrm{k}} \hat{W}_{\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{k}}}  \tag{22-b}\\
\sum_{\mathrm{j}(\mathrm{k}+1)}^{\mathrm{k}+1}=\sum_{\mathrm{jk}}^{\mathrm{k}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Omega_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{W}} & -\Omega_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{MV}_{\mathrm{Q}} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)  \tag{23-a}\\
\sum_{(\mathrm{k}+1)(\mathrm{k}+1)}^{\mathrm{k}+1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{W}}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{W}} \Omega_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{W}} & \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{W}} \Omega_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{MV}_{\mathrm{Q}} \\
\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{T}} \Omega_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{W}} & \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{Q}}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{T}} \Omega_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{MV} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{Q}}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{23-b}\\
\Omega_{\mathrm{k}}=\left(\sum_{\mathrm{W}}^{\mathrm{k}}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{W}}+\mathrm{MV}_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{-1} \tag{23-c}
\end{gather*}
$$

with

$$
\Sigma_{\mathrm{kk}}^{\mathrm{k}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Sigma_{\mathrm{W}}^{\mathrm{k}} & \Sigma_{\mathrm{QW}}^{\mathrm{k}} \\
\Sigma_{\mathrm{WQ}}^{\mathrm{k}} & \Sigma_{\mathrm{Q}}^{\mathrm{k}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\Sigma_{\mathrm{Q}}^{\mathrm{k}}$ is the variance matrix of the estimate $\hat{\mathrm{Q}}_{\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{k}}, \Sigma_{\mathrm{W}}^{\mathrm{k}}$ is the variance matrix of $\hat{W}_{k / k}$ and $\sum_{\mathrm{QW}}^{\mathrm{k}}$ is the cross-covariance matrix of $\hat{\mathrm{Q}}_{\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{k}}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{W}}_{\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{k}}$.

According to the convergence conditions given by theorem 2, first we must calculate matrices F and D given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{VE}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathrm{EVE}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{~B} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{VE}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathrm{EVE}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{EV} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (1) and (4) in (24) and (25) gives

$$
F=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{F}_{1} & 0 \\
\mathrm{~F}_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\mathrm{F}_{1}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{W}}\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{W}}+\mathrm{MV} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{-1}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2}=-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{W}}+\mathrm{MV} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{-1}$ and

$$
\mathrm{D}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{D}_{1} & \mathrm{D}_{2} \\
\mathrm{D}_{2}^{T} & \mathrm{D}_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{D}_{1}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{W}}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{W}}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{W}}+\mathrm{MV}_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{W}} \\
& \mathrm{D}_{2}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{W}}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{W}}+\mathrm{MV}_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{MV}_{\mathrm{Q}} \\
& \mathrm{D}_{3}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}^{-}} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{W}}+\mathrm{MV}_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{MV}_{\mathrm{Q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The pair $(B, F)$ is given by

$$
(\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{~F})=\left((\mathrm{I} \mid 0),\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{F}_{1} & 0 \\
\mathrm{~F}_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right)\right)
$$

and we have

$$
\left(\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{T}}, \mathrm{~B}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)=\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{F}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} & \mathrm{~F}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}}  \tag{26}\\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right),\binom{\mathrm{I}}{0}\right)
$$

In appendix $C$, we prove that $(F, S)$ is stabilizable and ( $B, F$ ) detectable, with $S$ being any square root matrix of $D$. Consequently, the convergence of sequence $\sum_{\mathrm{kk}}^{\mathrm{k}}$ is proved for the system described by equations (1), (2) and (3).

From equations (13-b) and (15), theorem 1 reduces the stability of the filter and the convergence of sequence $\Sigma_{j k}^{k}$ to the following conditions

1- $\Psi_{\mathrm{k}}$ must be bounded,
2- there exists a Lyapunov function.
These conditions are always verified, see appendix $D$.

## 6. Numerical example

As an application example of the algorithm, let us consider the system represented by the process network of figure 1 . This system is formed by 8 streams and 4 nodes. Its incidence matrix is given by

$$
\mathbf{M}=\left(\begin{array}{rrrrrrrr}
1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

The measurement data were generated from the true values that obey the balance relations with an addition of normally distributed random noises, with variances $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{W}}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}}$ of measurement errors on W and Q respectively

$$
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{W}}=\left(\begin{array}{rrrrrrr}
225 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
& 0 & 144 & 0 & 0 \\
& 0 & 0 & 324 & 0 \\
& 0 & 0 & 0 & 484
\end{array}\right)
$$

The true, measured and estimated values of volumes $\mathrm{W}_{1}, \mathrm{~W}_{2}, \mathrm{~W}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{4}$ of nodes $1,2,3$ and 4 are shown in figures $2,3,4$ and 5 respectively.

In order to show the convergence of the algorithm, the evolution of the norm $\left\|\sum_{\mathrm{kk}}^{\mathrm{k}}\right\|$ (the largest singular value) is plotted in figure 6. This norm converges to a constant value 29.09. Convergence conditions of theorem 2 are verified since pair $(F, S)$ is stabilizable and controllable and pair ( $B, F$ ) is detectable. Indeed, if we take matrix $\mathrm{K}_{13}$ in equation (C-4) as

$$
\mathrm{K}_{13}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

spectral radius of matrix ( $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{SK}$ ) is less than one ( $\mathrm{C}-3$ ).
Once sequence $\sum_{\mathrm{kk}}^{\mathrm{k}}$ has converged, the estimation algorithm is then reduced to equations (22) and (23-a).

Figure 7 shows the evolution of the norm $\left\|\sum_{j k}^{k}\right\|$ (the largest singular value) for $\mathrm{j}=1,10,20,30$ and 40 . We can see the parallel evolution of norms $\left\|\Sigma_{\mathrm{jk}}^{\mathrm{k}}\right\|$.

In addition, in order to update the past estimates at time instant j in presence of measurements at time instant $k(k>j)$, we can use a moving window for the equation (22-a).

## Conclusions

We have shown that the dynamic material balance equation (1) can be represented by a generalized dynamic model (4). This formulation is used to develop a new method for solving the data reconciliation problem. The obtained recursive estimates include the filtering (13-b), (14-b) and the smoothing (13-a), (14-a) and represent a systematic approach to real time processing. Only deterministic systems with uncertain measurements have been considered. The convergence of this method has been proved in the dynamic data reconciliation case.

Differential-algebraic equations are usually met in chemical processes and constitute a class of singular systems. For these systems the algorithm presented may be applied.

## Notation

$\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{E}=$ constraint matrices in the generalized dynamic system, Eq (4)
$\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}_{1}=$ matrices in appendix $\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{Eq}(\mathrm{D}-7)$
$\mathrm{D}=$ matrix, Eq (19)
$D_{1}, D_{2}, D_{3}=$ submatrices of $D$
$\mathrm{F}=$ matrix, Eq (19)
$\mathrm{F}_{1}, \mathrm{~F}_{2}=$ submatrices of F
$\mathrm{I}=$ identity matrix
$\mathrm{J}=$ quadratic criterion
$\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{K}_{1}, \mathrm{~K}_{2}, \mathrm{~K}_{11}, \mathrm{~K}_{12}, \mathrm{~K}_{13}, \mathrm{~K}_{14}=$ matrices in appendix C
$\mathrm{M}=$ incidence matrix
$\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{ij}}=$ element ( $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$ ) of incidence matrix M
$\mathrm{n}=$ number of constraints or number of nodes
$\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}}=$ projection matrices
$\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ vector of flow measurements at time instant i
$Q^{*}{ }_{i}=$ vector of true values of flows at time instant $i$
$\hat{Q}_{j / k}=$ vector of flow estimates at time instant $j$ given the measurements up to time instant $k$
R = matrix, Eq (19)
$S=$ square root of matrix $D$
$S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}=$ submatrices of $S$ in appendix $C$
$\mathrm{V}=$ covariance matrix of measurement errors
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}}=\sum_{\mathrm{kk}}^{\mathrm{k}}$
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}}=$ covariance matrix of measurement errors on flows Q
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{W}}=$ covariance matrix of measurement errors on volumes W
$\mathrm{v}=$ number of flows
$v_{i}=$ vector of measurement errors on $Q_{i}$
$\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ vector of volume measurements at time instant i
$\mathrm{W}^{*}{ }_{\mathrm{i}}=$ vector of true values of volumes at time instant i
$\hat{W}_{j / k}=$ vector of volume estimates at time instant $j$ given the measurements up to time instant $k$
$\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ vector of measurement errors on $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}}$
$\mathrm{X}^{*}=$ vector of true values of unknown variables from time instant 1 to time instant (k+1), Eq (7)
$\hat{X}=$ vector of unknown variable estimates from time instant 1 to time instant (k+1), Eq (9)
$X^{*}{ }_{i}=$ vector of true values of unknown variables at time instant i
$\hat{X}_{j / k}=$ vector of unknown variable estimates at time instant $j$ given the measurements up to time instant $k$
$\mathrm{Y}=$ matrix $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}}$ when sequence (20) has converged
$Y_{k}=\left(\sum_{j k}^{k}\right)^{T}$
$\mathrm{Z}=$ vector of measurements from time instant 1 to time instant $(\mathrm{k}+1)$, Eq (7)
$\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ vector of measurements at time instant i

## Greek letters

$\varepsilon=$ vector of measurement errors from time instant 1 to time instant $(k+1)$,
Eq (7)
$\vartheta()=$. Lyapunov function in appendix D
$\varepsilon_{\mathrm{i}}=$ vector of measurement errors at time instant i
$\Sigma_{\mathrm{k}}=$ covariance matrix in appendix $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{Eq}$ (A-5)
$\Sigma_{\mathrm{ij}}^{\mathrm{k}}=\operatorname{block}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})$ of $\Sigma_{\mathrm{k}}$
$\Sigma_{\mathrm{Q}}^{\mathrm{k}}=$ covariance matrix of $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{k}}$
$\sum_{\mathrm{W}}^{\mathrm{k}}=$ covariance matrix of $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{k}}$
$\Sigma_{\mathrm{WQ}}^{\mathrm{k}}, \Sigma_{\mathrm{QW}}^{\mathrm{k}}=$ cross-covariance matrices between $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{k}}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{k}}$
$\Phi_{\mathrm{k}}=$ constraint matrix, Eq (7)
$\varphi_{k}=\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ block of n rows of $\Phi_{\mathrm{k}}$
$\Psi_{\mathrm{k}}=$ transition matrix, Eq (15)
$\Omega=$ matrix $\Omega_{\mathrm{k}}$ at the convergence
$\Omega_{\mathrm{k}}=$ matrix, Eq (14)

## Other symbols

$\rho()=$. spectral radius of matrix
$\operatorname{Det}()=$. determinant of matrix
$\|\cdot\|=$ norm of matrix (largest singular value)
(.,.) $=$ pair of matrices
$\operatorname{rank}()=.\operatorname{rank}$ of matrix

## Appendix A

We consider the problem (8) with definitions (11) and (12), and we call $\hat{X}_{\mathrm{k}}$ the estimate and $\Sigma_{\mathrm{k}}$ its variance in presence of the constraint $\Phi_{\mathrm{k}} \hat{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{k}}=0$. From the steady state sequential method obtained by additional linear constraints [Darouach et al. (1988 b)], we prove that the new estimate $\hat{X}_{k+1}$ and its variance $\Sigma_{\mathrm{k}+1}$ can be established in term of the additional constraint $\varphi_{\mathrm{k}} \hat{X}_{\mathrm{k}+1}=0$, and we obtain the following results

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{X}_{\mathrm{k}+1}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}+1} \hat{X}_{\mathrm{k}}  \tag{A-1}\\
& \Sigma_{\mathrm{k}+1}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}+1} \sum_{\mathrm{k}} \tag{A-2}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}+1}=\mathrm{I}-\sum_{\mathrm{k}} \varphi_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{T}} \Omega_{\mathrm{k}} \varphi_{\mathrm{k}} \tag{A-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{\mathrm{k}}=\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{k}} \Sigma_{\mathrm{k}} \varphi_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{-1} \tag{A-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The covariance matrix $\sum_{\mathrm{k}}$ can be written

$$
\Sigma_{\mathrm{k}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\Sigma_{11}^{\mathrm{k}} & . . \Sigma_{1 \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{k}} & 0  \tag{A-5}\\
. & . . & . & . \\
\sum_{\mathrm{k} 1}^{\mathrm{k}} & . & . & \Sigma_{\mathrm{kk}}^{\mathrm{k}} \\
0 & 0 \\
0 & \ldots & 0 & \mathrm{~V}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\Sigma_{i j}^{k}$ is the element in the $(i, j)$ block of dimension $(n+v)_{x}(n+v)$. After some manipulations, using (12) and (A-1)-(A-5), one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{\mathrm{k}}^{-1}=\mathrm{B} \sum_{\mathrm{kk}}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~B}^{\mathrm{T}}+\mathrm{EVE}^{\mathrm{T}} \tag{A-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}+1}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
\mathrm{I} & 0 & . & 0 & -\sum_{1 \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~B}^{\mathrm{T}} \Omega_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~B} & \sum_{1 \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~B}^{\mathrm{T}} \Omega_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{E}  \tag{A-7}\\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
0 & \cdot & 0 & \mathrm{I} & -\sum_{(\mathrm{k}-1) \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~B}^{\mathrm{T}} \Omega_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~B} & \sum_{(\mathrm{k}-1) \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~B}^{\mathrm{T}} \Omega_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{E}}^{0} \begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{k} \\
0
\end{array} \cdot . \\
0 & . & 0 & \mathrm{I}-\sum_{\mathrm{kk}}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~B}^{\mathrm{T}} \Omega_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~B} & \sum_{\mathrm{kk}}^{\mathrm{k}} \Omega_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~B}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{E} \\
0 & . & 0 & \mathrm{VE}^{\mathrm{T}} \Omega_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~B} & \mathrm{I}-\mathrm{VE}^{\mathrm{T}} \Omega_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{E}
\end{array}\right)
$$

We can see that equation (A-7) only requires to know the $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ block column of the matrix $\Sigma_{k}$. From (A-7), the $(k+1)^{\text {th }}$ block column of covariance matrix $\Sigma_{\mathrm{k}+1}$ is given by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\sum_{1(k+1)}^{\mathrm{k}+1}  \tag{A-8}\\
\vdots \\
\sum_{\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{k}+1)}^{\mathrm{k}+1} \\
\sum_{(\mathrm{k}+1)(\mathrm{k}+1)}^{\mathrm{k}+1}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\sum_{1 \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~B}^{\mathrm{T}} \Omega_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{EV} \\
\vdots \\
\sum_{\mathrm{kk}}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~B}^{\mathrm{T}} \Omega_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{EV} \\
\mathrm{~V}-\mathrm{VE}^{\mathrm{T}} \Omega_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{EV}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The estimate $\hat{X}_{k+1}$ is given in term of $\hat{X}_{k}$ by

$$
\hat{X}_{\mathrm{k}+1}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\hat{X}_{1 / \mathrm{k}+1}  \tag{A-9}\\
\vdots \\
\hat{X}_{\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{k}+1} \\
\hat{X}_{\mathrm{k}+1 / \mathrm{k}+1}
\end{array}\right)=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}+1}\binom{\hat{X}_{\mathrm{k}}}{\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}+1}}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}+1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\hat{X}_{1 / \mathrm{k}} \\
\vdots \\
\hat{X}_{\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{k}} \\
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}+1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

which can be written

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\hat{X}_{1 / k+1}  \tag{A-10}\\
\quad: \\
\hat{X}_{k / k+1} \\
\hat{X}_{k+1 / k+1}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l}
\hat{X}_{1 / k}-\sum_{1 k}^{k} B^{T} \Omega_{k}\left(B \hat{X}_{k / k}-E Z_{k+1}\right) \\
\vdots \\
\hat{X}_{k / k}-\sum_{k k}^{k} B^{T} \Omega_{k}\left(B \hat{X}_{k / k}-E Z_{k+1}\right) \\
Z_{k+1}+V E^{T} \Omega_{k}\left(B \hat{X}_{k / k}-E Z_{k+1}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Appendix B

Detectability and stabilizability [Mahmoud and Singh (1984)]
Let us consider the linear discrete-time system described by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}+1}=\mathrm{A} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}+\mathrm{B} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}}  \tag{B-1}\\
& \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{C} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}} \tag{B-2}
\end{align*}
$$

## Definition 1

Let $A$ be a $n_{x n}$ matrix and $B$ a $n_{x} m$ matrix. We say that $(A, B)$ is said to be stabilizable if there exists a $m \times n$ matrix $K$ such as the eigenvalues of ( $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{BK}$ ) lie within the unit circle.

As for observability and controllability, there is a duality between detectability and stabilizability.

We say that $(C, A)$ is detectable if $\left(A^{T}, C^{T}\right)$ is stabilizable.
Clearly, (A,B) controllable implies (A,B) stabilizable and (C,A) observable implies (C,A) detectable.

We can also find the following definitions.

## Definition 2

The system (B-1)-(B-2) is said to be stabilizable if all uncontrollable modes have eigenvalues strictly inside the unit circle.

## Definition 3

The system (B-1)-(B-2) is said to be detectable if all unobservable modes have eigenvalues strictly inside the unit circle.

Now if the system (B-1)-(B-2) is transformed into the following form

$$
Y_{k+1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{A}_{1} & \mathrm{~A}_{2}  \tag{B-3}\\
0 & \mathrm{~A}_{4}
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}}+\binom{\mathrm{B}_{1}}{0} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{k}}
$$

we can state that the linear time-invariant system (B-1) is stabilizable if and only if the pair $\left(A_{1}, B_{1}\right)$ is completely reachable and all the eigenvalues of the matrix $\mathrm{A}_{4}$ have moduli strictly less than one.

## Appendix $\mathbf{C}$

From appendix $B$, the detectability of $(B, F)$ is given by the stabilizability of $\left(\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{T}}, \mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)$ and can be reduced to the reachability of the pair $\left(\mathrm{F}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}, \mathrm{I}\right)$, which can be verified by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{rank}\left(\left(\mathrm{I}\left|\mathrm{~F}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\right| \mathrm{F}_{1}^{2 \mathrm{~T}} \mid \ldots\right)\right)=\mathrm{n} \tag{C-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $S$ be any square root matrix of $D$

$$
\mathrm{S}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{S}_{1} & \mathrm{~S}_{2}  \tag{C-2}\\
\mathrm{~S}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}} & \mathrm{~S}_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The stabilizability of the pair ( $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{S}$ ) can be verified by the existence of the matrix $K$ such that the eigenvalues of ( $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{SK}$ ) lie within the unit circle.

Consider the matrix $K=S^{T} K_{1}$, then the matrix ( $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{SK}$ ) can be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{SK}=\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{DK}_{1} \tag{C-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For

$$
\mathrm{K}_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{K}_{11} & \mathrm{~K}_{12} \\
\mathrm{~K}_{13} & \mathrm{~K}_{14}
\end{array}\right)
$$

the matrix $\left(\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{DK}_{1}\right)$ is given by

$$
\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{DK}_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{F}_{1}-\mathrm{D}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{11}-\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{13} & 0  \tag{C-4}\\
\mathrm{~F}_{2}-\mathrm{D}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~K}_{11}-\mathrm{D}_{3} \mathrm{~K}_{13} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

for $K_{12}=K_{14}=0$.
The eigenvalues of $\left(\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{DK}_{1}\right)$ are the solutions of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Det}\left(\lambda \mathrm{I}-\left(\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{DK}_{1}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Det}(\lambda \mathrm{I}) \operatorname{Det}\left(\lambda \mathrm{I}-\left(\mathrm{F}_{1}-\mathrm{D}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{11}-\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{13}\right)\right)=0 \tag{C-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrix

$$
D_{1}=V_{W}-V_{W}\left(V_{W}+M V_{Q} M^{T}\right)^{-1} V_{W}=V_{W}\left(V_{W}+M V_{Q} M^{T}\right)^{-1}\left(M V_{Q} M^{T}\right)
$$

is non-singular.
If we take $\mathrm{K}_{11}=-\mathrm{D}_{1}^{-1} \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{13}$, the equation (C-5) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Det}(\lambda I) \operatorname{Det}\left(\lambda I-F_{1}\right)=0 \tag{C-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The stabilizability condition is reduced to spectral radius of $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ which must be less than one. This condition can be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(\mathrm{F}_{1}\right)=\rho\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{W}}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{W}}+\mathrm{MV}_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{-1}\right)<1 \tag{C-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is verified [Horn and Johnson (1985) p 471], since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{W}}+\mathrm{MV}_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{T}}>\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{W}} \tag{C-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, the convergence of the recurrence (23-b) is proved for the system described by (1).

## Appendix D

The state transition matrix $\Psi_{\mathrm{k}}$ can be written

$$
\Psi_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{VE}^{\mathrm{T}} \Omega_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~B}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{W}} \Omega_{\mathrm{k}} & 0  \tag{D-1}\\
-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{T}} \Omega_{\mathrm{k}} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Its spectral radius is given by the one of $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{W}} \Omega_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$. From (14-c) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{W}} \Omega_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{W}}\left(\sum_{\mathrm{W}}^{\mathrm{k}}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{W}}+\mathrm{MV}_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{-1} \tag{D-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\sum_{\mathrm{W}}^{\mathrm{k}}$ is a positive definite matrix we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{\mathrm{W}}^{\mathrm{k}}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{W}}+\mathrm{MV}_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{T}}>\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{W}} \tag{D-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which yields to the condition $\rho\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{W}}\right)<1$ as (C-7). Thus $\rho\left(\Psi_{\mathrm{k}}\right)<1$ and $\Psi_{\mathrm{k}}$ is bounded.

Now to complete the proof of the stability, we consider the following Lyapunov function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vartheta\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}} \tag{D-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we shall prove that $\left(\vartheta\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}+1}\right)-\vartheta\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)\right)$ is negative. This difference is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\vartheta\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}+1}\right)-\vartheta\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}\right) & =\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}+1}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}+1}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}} \\
& =\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\Psi_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \Psi_{\mathrm{k}}-\mathrm{V}^{-1}\right) \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}} \tag{D-5}
\end{align*}
$$

and we must prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}=\Psi_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \Psi_{\mathrm{k}}-\mathrm{V}^{-1} \tag{D-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a negative definite matrix. Substituting (D-1) into (D-6) we obtain

$$
\mathrm{C}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{C}_{1} & 0  \tag{D-7}\\
0 & -\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Q}}^{-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{1}=\Omega_{\mathrm{k}}\left[\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{W}}+\mathrm{M} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{T}}-\Omega_{\mathrm{k}}^{-1} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{W}}^{-1} \Omega_{\mathrm{k}}^{-1}\right] \Omega_{\mathrm{k}} \tag{D-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From expression of $\Omega_{\mathrm{k}}^{-1}$ (23-c), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{\mathrm{k}}^{-1} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{W}}^{-1} \Omega_{\mathrm{k}}^{-1}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{W}}+\mathrm{M} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{T}}+\mathrm{G} \tag{D-9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G$ is a symmetric positive definite matrix. Substituting (D-9) into (D8) gives $C_{1}<0$ and matrix $C$ is negative definite.
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figure 1 : process network

figure 2 : true, measured and estimated values of $\mathrm{W}_{1}$

figure 3 : true, measured and estimated values of $W_{2}$

figure 4 : true, measured and estimated values of $W_{3}$

figure 5 : true, measured and estimated values of $\mathrm{W}_{4}$

figure 6 : evolution of the norm $\left\|\Sigma_{\mathrm{kk}}^{\mathrm{k}}\right\|$

figure 7 : evolution of the norm $\left\|\Sigma_{j k}^{k}\right\|$ for $j=1,10,20,30$ and 40

