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#### Abstract

In this paper, we establish some local stability estimate for a problem of determining a domain $D$ appearing in the heat equation: $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}-\Delta u+\chi_{D} u=0$ from a Neumann additional data on a part of the lateral boundary. This estimate is obtained by taking advantage of some shape optimization tools.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $\Omega$ be an open bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$ and $T$ be an arbitrary positive constant. Let $Q_{T}=\Omega \times(0, T), \Sigma_{0}=\Omega \times\{0\}$ and $\Sigma_{T}=\partial \Omega \times(0, T)$. We consider the following initial boundary value problem (IBVP)

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}-\Delta u+\chi_{D} u=0 & \text { in } Q_{T}  \tag{1}\\ u=u_{0} & \text { on } \Sigma_{0} \\ u=f & \text { on } \Sigma_{T}\end{cases}
$$

[^0]where $\chi_{D}$ denotes the characteristic function of a subdomain $D$ of $\Omega$, and $u_{0}$ and $f$ are given functions defined respectively on $\Omega$ and $\Sigma_{T}$.

The IBVP (1) describes the heat conduction procedure in a given medium $\Omega$ and $\chi_{D} u(x, t)$ represents the discontinuous heat source. In the present paper we are concerned with the inverse problem consisting in the determination of heat conduction properties of the medium from additional information about the solution. Mathematically speaking, we discuss the following inverse problem: Determine $D$ in the $I B V P$ (1) from the additional data on the flux $\left.\frac{\partial u(D)}{\partial \nu}\right|_{\Gamma_{T}}$, where $\Gamma_{T}=\Gamma \times(0, T), \Gamma$ is a subset of $\partial \Omega$ and $u(D)$ is the solution of IBVP (1) corresponding to $D$.

Here and henceforth $\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}$ denotes the derivative with respect to the outward normal to $\partial \Omega$.

In recent years, there are several works devoted to the study of uniqueness and stability results for the inverse problem associated to steady state case governed by elliptic equations (see for instance [1,3,5,6,9,11] and the references therein). The main purpose of this paper is to extend the strategy from $[5,6]$ to the parabolic IBVP (1). More precisely we examine the local stability in the inverse heat problem. That is to establish the following estimate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{meas}\left(D_{V} \Delta D\right) \leq C\left\|\left.\left(\frac{\partial u\left(D_{V}\right)}{\partial \nu}-\frac{\partial u(D)}{\partial \nu}\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{T}}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V$ is an admissible vector field, $D_{V}=(I+V)(D), I$ denotes the identity matrix of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $\mathcal{X}$ is a suitable Sobolev space. The proof of this estimate relies on the Gâteaux-differentiability of the mapping: $V \rightarrow \frac{\partial u\left(D_{V}\right)}{\partial \nu}$ at $V=0$ and the injectivity of its Gâteaux derivative, by taking advantage of some shape optimization tools.

Before closing this introduction, we notice that a problem of determining the diffusivity of a parabolic equation arising in hydrology, where the diffusivities are of the form $a(x)=A+K \chi_{D}(x)$ has been considered previously by Bellout [2] where entirely different methods were used. The author obtains a (weak) local stability result. In [4] Cannon and Pérez-Esteva obtain a logarithmic stability estimate for a problem of finding a region $D$, where $D$ have a symmetic property, in the 3D heat equation: $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}-\Delta u=f(t) \chi_{D}(x)$. They use a fundamental solution representation for the solution of this equation in order to derive the stability estimate. More recently, Hettlich and Rundell [10] considered a 2 D heat equation of the form $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}-\Delta u=\chi_{D}(x)$, where $D$ is an unknown subdomain of a disc. They establish the uniqueness in determining the subdomain $D$ from the measures of the solution at two different points on the boundary.

## 2 Position of the problem and statement of the main result

Throughout this paper, we assume that $\Omega$ is of class $\mathcal{C}^{2}$ and $D \subset \subset \Omega$ is of class $\mathcal{C}^{1}$. We suppose also that $f \in \mathcal{C}^{2,1}\left(\Sigma_{T}\right)$ and that $u_{0} \in \mathcal{C}^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$. In this case, it is known (see, for example [8] or [12]) that the unique solution of IBVP (1), $u=u(D)$, associated to fixed $D$ belongs to $H^{2,1}\left(Q_{T}\right) \cap \mathcal{C}\left(\bar{Q}_{T}\right)$, where $H^{r, s}\left(Q_{T}\right):=L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{r}(\Omega)\right) \cap H^{s}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$, (for $\left.r, s \in \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$.
For the study of the stability result, we will use shape optimization techniques which are based on the computation of the shape derivative of $u$ with respect to $D$, in the direction of a vector field $V$. For this, we fixe $\Omega_{0}$ an open subset of $\Omega$ with smooth boundary, such that $D \subset \bar{\Omega}_{0} \subset \subset \Omega$ and we introduce the closed subspace of vector fields:

$$
X=\left\{V \in \mathcal{C}^{1, b}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} ; \mathbb{R}^{N}\right) / \operatorname{supp} V \subset \bar{\Omega}_{0}\right\}
$$

where $\mathcal{C}^{1, b}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} ; \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is the Banach space of vector fields in $\mathcal{C}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} ; \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ which are bounded and having bounded first derivatives. We define the quotient Banach space $Y=X / \mathcal{F}$, where $\mathcal{F}=\{V \in X / V \cdot n=0\}$, where $Y$ is equipped with the usual quotient norm, denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{Y}$, and $n$ is the outward normal to $\partial D$. Next, we choose $\mathcal{U}$ a neighborhood of 0 in $Y$ in such way that $D_{V}=(I+V) D$ is contained in $\bar{\Omega}_{0}$, for all $V \in \mathcal{U}$, where $I$ denotes the identity matrix of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. The aim of this paper is to show a stability result of type (2), for all $V \in \mathcal{U}$. For this, the basic idea is to show that the operator

$$
\Lambda:\left.V \in \mathcal{U} \longrightarrow \frac{\partial u_{V}}{\partial \nu}\right|_{\Gamma_{T}} \in \mathcal{X}
$$

is Gâteaux-differentiable at $V=0$ and its derivative is one to one, where $\mathcal{X}$ is an appropriate space and $u_{V}=u\left(D_{V}\right)$ is the solution of IBVP (1) with $D_{V}$ in place of $D$. In order to state our main result, we need to introduce the following Sobolev space $H^{r, s}\left(\Gamma_{T}\right):=L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{r}(\Gamma)\right) \cap H^{s}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Gamma)\right.$ ) (for all $s, r \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$) equiped with its natural norm and for $r, s \leq 0$ we define by duality $H^{r, s}\left(\Gamma_{T}\right):=\left(H^{-r,-s}\left(\Gamma_{T}\right)\right)^{\prime}$.

Theorem 1. Let us assume
(H1) $\Gamma$ is a closed subset of $\partial \Omega$ with nonempty interior.
(H2) $f$ is non negative and non identically null on $\Sigma_{T}$,
(H3) $\quad u_{0} \geq 0$ and supp $u_{0} \subset \Omega \backslash \bar{\Omega}_{0}$.
Then $\Lambda$ has the following properties
(i) $\Lambda$ is Gâteaux-differentiable at $V=0$ and its derivative at $V=0$ is given by

$$
\Lambda^{\prime}(0)(V):=\lim _{s \longrightarrow 0} \frac{\Lambda(s V)-\Lambda(0)}{s}=\frac{\partial u^{\prime}(V)}{\partial \nu} \in H^{-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{4}}\left(\Gamma_{T}\right),
$$

where $u^{\prime}(V)$ is the shape derivative of $u$ in the direction $V$ defined in (6).
(ii) $\operatorname{Ker} \Lambda^{\prime}(0)=\left\{0_{Y}\right\}$.

## 3 Proof of Theorem 1 and local stability result

We first begin by showing that the restriction of the normal derivative of $u_{V}$ on $\Gamma_{T}$ is contained in the space $H^{-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{4}}\left(\Gamma_{T}\right)$. This is stated in the following lemma.

Lemma 1. The trace operator

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{1}: \mathcal{V}\left(W_{T}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\Delta\right) & \longrightarrow H^{-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{4}}\left(\Gamma_{T}\right) \\
v & \longrightarrow \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}
\end{aligned}
$$

is continuous, where
$\mathcal{V}\left(W_{T}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\Delta\right)=\left\{v \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap H^{1}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right) / \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}-\Delta v \in L^{2}\left(W_{T}\right)\right\}$
and $W_{T}=\Omega \backslash \bar{\Omega}_{0} \times(0, T)$
Proof. From Costabel [7], the trace operator $\gamma_{1}$ is continuous from $H^{1, \frac{1}{2}}\left(W_{T}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\right.$ $\Delta)=\left\{v \in H^{1, \frac{1}{2}}\left(W_{T}\right) / \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}-\Delta v \in L^{2}\left(W_{T}\right)\right\}$ to $H^{-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{4}}\left(\Gamma_{T}\right)$. Accordingly, the proof of the lemma follows from the continuous imbedding of $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap$ $H^{1}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}\left(\Omega \backslash \bar{\Omega}_{0}\right)\right) \cap H^{1}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}\left(\Omega \backslash \bar{\Omega}_{0}\right)\right)$ and the density result of $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}\left(\Omega \backslash \bar{\Omega}_{0}\right)\right) \cap H^{1}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}\left(\Omega \backslash \bar{\Omega}_{0}\right)\right)$ in $H^{1, \frac{1}{2}}\left(W_{T}\right)$ (see for example [7]).

Now, the proof of the theorem is based on the following steps. The first step consists in proving Gâteaux-differentiability of $\Lambda$ at $V=0$.

Proposition 1. $\Lambda$ is Gâteaux-differentiable at 0 and $\Lambda^{\prime}(0)(V)=\left.\frac{\partial u^{\prime}(V)}{\partial \nu}\right|_{\Gamma_{T}}$,
where $u^{\prime}(V) \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap H^{1}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)$ is the solution of the IBVP

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{\partial u^{\prime}}{\partial t}-\Delta u^{\prime}+\chi_{D} u^{\prime}=\mu(V) & \text { in } Q_{T}  \tag{3}\\ u^{\prime}=0 & \text { in } \Sigma_{0} \\ u^{\prime}=0 & \text { on } \Sigma_{T},\end{cases}
$$

where $\mu(V) \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)$ is defined by

$$
\langle\mu(V), \psi\rangle=-\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\partial D}(V \cdot n) u \psi \quad \text { for all } \psi \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)
$$

here $n$ denotes the outward normal to $\partial D$ and $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ stands for the duality pairing between $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)$ and $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$.

Proof. Let $F_{0} \in \mathcal{C}^{2,1}\left(\bar{Q}_{T}\right)$, be such that $\left.F_{0}\right|_{\Sigma_{T}}=f$. We consider $\Psi \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Psi=1 \text { on } \Xi(\partial \Omega) \\
\operatorname{supp} \Psi \subset \Omega \backslash \bar{\Omega}_{0},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Xi(\partial \Omega)$ is a neighborhood of $\Gamma$. We denote by $F$ the function defined by $F=\Psi F_{0}$. Since $\chi_{D} F=0$ on $Q_{T}$, it follows easily that $v=u-F$ is solution of the IBVP

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}-\Delta v+\chi_{D} v=G & \text { in } Q_{T}  \tag{4}\\ v=U_{0} & \text { on } \Sigma_{0} \\ v=0 & \text { on } \Sigma_{T}\end{cases}
$$

where $U_{0}(x)=u_{0}(x)-F(x, 0)$ and $G=\Delta F-\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}$. In addition, from Renardy [13], the unique solution $v$ of (4) belongs to the space $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap$ $H^{1}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)$.

Now, we will compute the shape derivative of $v$ with respect to $D$. For this, we fixe $V \in X$ and we choose a small interval $J_{\varepsilon}=(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ in such way that $D_{s}=(I+s V) D \subset \bar{\Omega}_{0}$, for all $s \in J_{\varepsilon}$. Let us consider $v_{s}=u_{s}-F$ the solution of IBVP (4) with $D_{s}$ in place of $D$. As in [14], we define the material derivative of $v$ in the direction of the vector field $V$ by

$$
\dot{v}=\lim _{s \longrightarrow 0} \frac{v^{s}-v}{s}
$$

where $v^{s}=v_{s} \circ T_{s}, T_{s}=T_{s}(V)=\left((I+s V), I_{1}\right)$ and $I_{1}$ is the identity mapping of $\mathbb{R}$, and $v=v(D)$ is the solution of IBVP (4). In order to determine the material derivative $\dot{v}$ in the direction of a vector field $V$ it should be remarked that $v_{s}$ satisfy the following integral identity

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\iint_{Q_{T}} v_{s} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} d x d t+\iint_{Q_{T}} \nabla v_{s} \cdot \nabla \varphi d x d t+\iint_{Q_{T}} \chi_{D_{s}} v_{s} \varphi d x d t \\
\quad=\iint_{Q_{T}} G \varphi d x d t+\int_{\Sigma_{0}} U_{0} \varphi d x
\end{gathered}
$$

for all $\varphi \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap H^{1}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)$, such that $\varphi(x, T)=0$.
Using the change of variable $x=T_{s}(X)$. It can be shown that $v^{s}=v_{s} \circ T_{s}$ is solution of the following integral identity

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\iint_{Q_{T}} \gamma(s) v^{s} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} d x d t+\iint_{Q_{T}} A(s) \nabla v^{s} \cdot \nabla \varphi d x d t \iint_{Q_{T}} \chi_{D} v^{s} \varphi d x d t \\
& \quad=\iint_{Q_{T}} G^{s} \varphi d x d t+\int_{\Sigma_{0}} U_{0}^{s} \varphi d x
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\varphi \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap H^{1}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)$, such that $\varphi(x, T)=0$. Here $\gamma(s)=\operatorname{det}(I+s D V), A(s)=\gamma(s) D T_{s}^{-1 t}\left(D T_{s}^{-1}\right), U_{0}^{s}=\gamma(s) U_{0} \circ T_{s}$ and $G^{s}=\gamma(s) G \circ T_{s}$, with $D V=\left(\partial_{i} V_{j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}, D T_{s}^{-1}$ denotes the inverse of the matrix $D T_{s}$ and ${ }^{t}\left(D T_{s}^{-1}\right)$ denotes the transpose of the matrix $D T_{s}^{-1}$. We note that the small interval $J_{\varepsilon}$ is also chosen such that $\gamma(s) \geq 0$, for all $s \in J_{\varepsilon}$. Arguing as in Sokolowski and Zolesio [14] and using the following relations

$$
\lim _{s \longrightarrow 0} \frac{\gamma(s)-1}{s}=\operatorname{div}(V), \quad \lim _{s \longrightarrow 0} \frac{A(s)-I}{s}=A^{\prime}=D V+{ }^{t}(D V)-\operatorname{div}(V) I
$$

and

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{U_{0}^{s}-U_{0}}{s}=\nabla U_{0} \cdot V, \quad \lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{G^{s}-G}{s}=\nabla G \cdot V,
$$

we can prove that $\dot{v}$ exists in the space $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap H^{1}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)$ and satisfies the following IBVP

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rlrl}
\frac{\partial \dot{v}}{\partial t}-\Delta \dot{v}+\chi_{D} \dot{v} & &  \tag{5}\\
& =\operatorname{div}(G V)-\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \operatorname{div}(V)+\operatorname{div}\left(A^{\prime} \nabla v\right)-\chi_{D} v \operatorname{div}(V) & & \text { in } Q_{T} \\
\dot{v} & =\operatorname{div}\left(U_{0} V\right) & & \text { on } \Sigma_{0} \\
\dot{v} & =0 & & \text { on } \Sigma_{T}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Now, since supp $U_{0} \subset \Omega / \bar{\Omega}_{0}$ and $\operatorname{supp} V \subset \bar{\Omega}_{0}$, we have that $\left.\dot{v}\right|_{\Sigma_{0}}=0$. By using the following known formula

$$
\operatorname{div}\left(A^{\prime} \nabla v\right)=\Delta v \operatorname{div}(V)-\Delta(\nabla v \cdot V)+\nabla(\Delta v) \cdot V
$$

and the fact that $v^{\prime}$, the shape derivative of $v$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{\prime}=\dot{v}-\nabla v \cdot V, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can show that $v^{\prime}$ is solution of the IBVP

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{\partial v^{\prime}}{\partial t}-\Delta v^{\prime}+\chi_{D} v^{\prime}=\mu(V) & \text { in } Q_{T}  \tag{7}\\ v^{\prime}=0 & \text { on } \Sigma_{0} \\ v^{\prime}=0 & \text { on } \Sigma_{T}\end{cases}
$$

where $\mu(V)=v \nabla \chi_{D} \cdot V \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)$ is defined, for all $\psi \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$, by

$$
\begin{aligned}
<\mu(V), \psi> & =<v \nabla \chi_{D} \cdot V, \psi> \\
& =-\iint_{Q_{T}} \chi_{D} \operatorname{div}(v \psi V) \\
& =-\int_{0}^{T} \int_{D} \operatorname{div}(v \psi V)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $D$ is chosen enough regular, an application of the Green's formula leads to

$$
<\mu(V), \psi>=-\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\partial D} v \psi(V \cdot n)
$$

We note that from Renardy [13], the solution $v^{\prime}$ of (7) is in the space $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap$ $H^{1}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)$. Since we have $u^{s}=v^{s}+F^{s}\left(\right.$ with $\left.F^{s}=F \circ\left((I+s V), I_{1}\right)\right)$, then the shape derivative of $u$ is given by $u^{\prime}=v^{\prime}+F^{\prime}$. Following Sokolowski and Zolesio [14], we have that

$$
F^{\prime}=\lim _{s \longrightarrow 0} \frac{F^{s}-F}{s}-\nabla F \cdot V=0
$$

and consequently $u^{\prime}=v^{\prime} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap H^{1}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)$ is solution of IBVP (7) with $\mu(V)=u \nabla \chi_{D} \cdot V$ (because $F \nabla \chi_{D} \cdot V=0$ ).

Next, since $u_{s}=u\left(D_{s}\right)$ the solution of IBVP (1), with $D_{s}$ in place of $D$, is in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap H^{1}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)$ and satisfies the following equation in $W_{T}=\Omega \backslash \bar{\Omega}_{0} \times(0, T)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u_{s}}{\partial t}-\Delta u_{s}=0 \quad \text { in } \quad W_{T} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have that $u_{s} \in \mathcal{V}\left(W_{T}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\Delta\right)$ and consequently $u^{s}=u_{s} \circ T_{s}$ is also in $\mathcal{V}\left(W_{T}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\Delta\right)$. From equation (3) we have that $u^{\prime}$ exists in the space $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap H^{1}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)$ and satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u^{\prime}}{\partial t}-\Delta u^{\prime}=0 \quad \text { on } \quad W_{T} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we have that $u^{\prime}$ exists in $\mathcal{V}\left(W_{T}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\Delta\right)$. Hence, we have shown that the mapping

$$
s \in J_{\varepsilon}=(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \longrightarrow u^{s}=u_{s} \circ T_{s} \in \mathcal{V}\left(W_{T}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\Delta\right)
$$

is differentiable at $s=0$ and its derivative at $s=0$ is equal to $\left.u^{\prime}\right|_{W_{T}}$. Moreover, from Lemma 1, we have that the trace operator

$$
\left.\omega \in \mathcal{V}\left(W_{T}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\Delta\right) \longrightarrow \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \nu}\right|_{\Gamma_{T}} \in H^{-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{4}}\left(\Gamma_{T}\right)
$$

is continuous. This imply that the operator

$$
\Lambda:\left.\theta \in \mathcal{U} \longrightarrow \frac{\partial u_{\theta}}{\partial \nu}\right|_{\Gamma_{T}} \in H^{-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{4}}\left(\Gamma_{T}\right),
$$

has directional derivative at 0 in the direction $V$ given by

$$
\Lambda^{\prime}(0)(V)=\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Lambda(s V)-\Lambda(0)}{s}=\frac{\partial u^{\prime}(V)}{\partial \nu} \in H^{-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{4}}\left(\Gamma_{T}\right)
$$

Finally, to show that $\Lambda$ is Gâteaux-differentiable at 0 , it remains to prove that the operator

$$
\Lambda^{\prime}(0): V \in Y \longrightarrow \frac{\partial u^{\prime}(V)}{\partial \nu} \in H^{-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{4}}\left(\Gamma_{T}\right)
$$

is bounded. Indeed, let $V \in X$. On account of the continuity of the trace operator from $H^{1, \frac{1}{2}}\left(W_{T}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\Delta\right)$ to $H^{-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{4}}\left(\Gamma_{T}\right)$, due to Costabel [7] there exists $C_{1}>0$ such that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Lambda^{\prime}(0)(V)\right\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{4}}\left(\Gamma_{T}\right)} & =\left\|\frac{\partial u^{\prime}(V)}{\partial \nu}\right\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{4}}\left(\Gamma_{T}\right)} \\
& \leq C_{1}\left\|u^{\prime}(V)\right\|_{H^{1, \frac{1}{2}}\left(W_{T}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, since $u^{\prime} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap H^{1}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right) \subset L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega \backslash\right.$ $\left.\left.\bar{\Omega}_{0}\right)\right) \cap H^{1}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}\left(\Omega \backslash \bar{\Omega}_{0}\right)\right)$ and $\frac{\partial u^{\prime}}{\partial t}-\Delta u^{\prime}=0$, it follows from [7] that there exists two constants $C_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\left\|u^{\prime}(V)\right\|_{H^{1, \frac{1}{2}}\left(W_{T}\right)} \leq C_{2}\left\|u^{\prime}(V)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}\left(\Omega \backslash \bar{\Omega}_{0}\right)\right)} \leq C_{2}\left\|u^{\prime}(V)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)}
$$

Using an a priori estimate for the solution of IBVP (3) (see Renardy [13]), we conclude that

$$
\left\|u^{\prime}(V)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)} \leq C_{3}\|\mu(V)\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)}
$$

where $C_{3}$ is a positive constant. By elementary calculation we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Lambda^{\prime}(0)(V)\right\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{4}\left(\Gamma_{T}\right)}} & \leq C_{4}\|V \cdot n\|_{L^{\infty}(\partial D)} \\
& \leq C_{4}\|(V+W) \cdot n\|_{L^{\infty}(\partial D)}, \text { for all } W \in \mathcal{F} \\
& \leq C_{4}\|V\|_{Y}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{4}$ is a positive constant independent of $V$. This ends the proof of the proposition.

Now, to prove that $\Lambda^{\prime}(0)$ is injective, we need the two following lemmas

Lemma 2. Assume that $f$ and $u_{0}$ satisfy the hypotheses (H2)-(H3). Then the solution of IBVP (1) satisfies $u>0$ on $\partial D \times(0, T)$.

Proof. Let $H_{0}^{2,1}\left(Q_{T}\right):=\left\{\varphi \in H^{2,1}\left(Q_{T}\right)\right.$ such that $\left.\left.\varphi\right|_{\Sigma_{T}}=0\right\}$. It is easily seen that $u$ is solution of the following integral identity:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} u(x, \tau) \varphi(x, \tau) d x-\int_{\Sigma_{0}} u_{0} \varphi d x \\
& \quad-\iint_{Q_{\tau}} u \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} d x d t+\iint_{Q_{\tau}} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi d x d t+\iint_{Q_{\tau}} \chi_{D} u \varphi d x d t=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

for a.e. $\tau \in(0, T)$ and for all $\varphi \in H_{0}^{2,1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$. By Choosing $\varphi=-u_{-} \in H_{0}^{2,1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ together with the relations

$$
u^{+} u^{-}=\nabla u^{+} \cdot \nabla u^{-}=u^{+} \frac{\partial u^{-}}{\partial t}=u^{-} \frac{\partial u^{+}}{\partial t}=0, \quad \text { for a. e. }
$$

where $u_{-}:=-\inf (u, 0)$ and $u_{+}:=\sup (u, 0)$, we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2}\left(u^{-}(x, \tau)\right)^{2} d x+\int_{Q_{\tau}}\left|\nabla u^{-}\right|^{2} d x d t+\int_{Q_{\tau}} \chi_{D}\left(u^{-}\right)^{2} d x d t=0 .
$$

It follows that $u^{-}=0$ a.e. on $Q_{T}$ and therefore $u=u^{+} \geq 0$ on $Q_{T}$.
Now, we suppose by absurd that there exists $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in \partial D \times(0, T)$ such that $u\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)=0$. By using Harnack inequality for weak solution (see [15]), we can construct two small cubes $R^{+}$and $R^{-}$contained in $Q_{T}$ such that $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in R^{-}$ and

$$
\sup _{R^{+}} u \leq C \inf _{R^{-}} u
$$

where $C$ is a constant independent of $u$. Thus $u$ is identically null on $R^{+}$. According to the uniqueness of continuation property we can conclude that $u=0$ on $Q_{T}$. But this contradicts the fact that $f=\left.u\right|_{\Sigma_{T}}$ is non identically null.

Lemma 3. For all $V \in X$, if $\Lambda^{\prime}(0) V=0$ then $\mu(V)=0$.

Proof. Let $V$ in $X$ such that $\Lambda^{\prime}(0) V=0$. Then from Proposition 1, we have $\left.\frac{\partial u^{\prime}(V)}{\partial \nu}\right|_{\Gamma_{T}}=0$. We define $u_{e}^{\prime}:=\left.u^{\prime}(V)\right|_{\Omega \backslash \bar{D} \times(0, T)}$. By using the fact that $\mu(V)$ is supported on $\partial D \times(0, T)$, we conclude that $u_{e}^{\prime}$ is solution of the problem

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{\partial u_{e}^{\prime}}{\partial t}-\Delta u_{e}^{\prime}=0 & \text { in }(\Omega \backslash \bar{D}) \times(0, T)  \tag{10}\\ u_{e}^{\prime}=0 & \text { on } \Sigma_{T} \\ \frac{\partial u_{e}^{\prime}}{\partial \nu}=0 & \text { on } \Gamma \times(0, T)\end{cases}
$$

According to the uniqueness of the continuation for the heat equation, we deduce that $u_{e}^{\prime}=0$ on $(\Omega \backslash \bar{D}) \times(0, T)$.

Now, set $u_{i}^{\prime}:=\left.u^{\prime}(V)\right|_{D \times(0, T)}$. we verify that $u_{i}^{\prime}$ is solution of the following IBVP

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{\partial u_{i}^{\prime}}{\partial t}-\Delta u_{i}^{\prime}+u_{i}^{\prime}=0 & \text { in } D \times(0, T)  \tag{11}\\ u_{i}^{\prime}(x, 0)=0 & \text { on } D \\ u_{i}^{\prime}=0 & \text { on } \partial D \times(0, T)\end{cases}
$$

It follows from the uniqueness of the solution that $u_{i}^{\prime}=0$ in $D \times(0, T)$ and therefore $u^{\prime}(V)=0$ in $\Omega \times(0, T)$. This leads to desired conclusion.

Proof of Theorem 1. The item (i) was established in Proposition 1. To prove (ii), let $V$ be in Ker $\Lambda^{\prime}(0)$. According to Lemma 1, it follows that $\mu(V)=0$ and thus $V \cdot n u=0$ on $\partial D \times(0, T)$. But from Lemma 2, we known that $u>0$ on $\partial D \times(0, T)$. This leads to $V \in \mathcal{F}$, namely $V=0_{Y}$.

As consequence of the theorem, we state the following local stability result:

Corollary 1. Let assumptions (H1) - (H3) hold. Then for all $V \in X \backslash \mathcal{F}$, there exists two constants $\varepsilon=\varepsilon(V)$ and $k=k(V)$ such that:

$$
\text { meas } \left.\left(D_{s V} \Delta D\right) \leq k\left\|\frac{\partial u_{s V}}{\partial \nu}-\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{4}}\left(\Gamma_{T}\right),}, \quad \text { for all } s \in\right]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon[\text {, }
$$

where $u(s V)$ is the solution of IBVP (1) associated to $D_{s V}$.

Proof. The proof of this result follows from the fact that

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow 0}\left\|\frac{\Lambda(s V)-\Lambda(0)}{s}\right\|=\left\|\Lambda^{\prime}(0)(V)\right\|>0 \quad \text { for all } V \in X \backslash \mathcal{F}
$$

and the inequality (see for instance [6]):

$$
\text { meas }\left(D_{s V} \Delta D\right) \leq k_{1}(V)|s|
$$

## Acknowledgements

This work was carried out in the framework of the on going project "Inverse problems and shape optimization" when the first and the third authors was visiting Professors at Laboratoire de Mathématiques Jean Leray, UMR662, CNRS/Université de Nantes/École Centrale de Nantes.

## References

[1] G. Alessandrini, V. Isakov, Analyticity and uniqueness for the inverse conductivity problem, Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste 28 (1996) 351-369.
[2] H. Bellout, Stability result for the inverse transmissivity problem, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 168 (1992) 13-27.
[3] H. Bellout, A. Friedman, V. Isakov, Stability for an inverse problem in potential theory, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 332 (1992) 271-296.
[4] J.R. Cannon, S. Péres-Esteva, Uniqueness and stability of 3D heat sources, Inverse Problems 7 (1991) 57-62.
[5] M. Choulli, Local stability estimate for an inverse conductivity problem, Inverse Problems 19 (2003) 895-907.
[6] M. Choulli, On the determination of an inhomogeneity in an elliptic equation. Avaible at: http://www.math.univ-metz.fr/ chouli/publi.html (to appear in Appl. Anal.).
[7] M. Costabel, Boundary integral operators for the heat equation, Integral Equations Operator Theory 13 (1990) 498-552.
[8] A. Friedman, Partial Differential Equations of Parabolic Type, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1964.
[9] F. Hettlich, W. Rundell, The determination of a discontinuity in a conductivity from a single boundary measurement, Inverse Problems 14 (1998) 67-82.
[10] F. Hettlich, W. Rundell, Identification of a discontinuous source in the heat equation, Inverse Problems 17 (2001) 1465-1482.
[11] V. Isakov, Inverse Source Problems, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol 34, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1990.
[12] O.A. Ladyzhenskaja, V.A. Solonnikov, N.N. Ural'ceva, Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type, Vol 23, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1968.
[13] M. Renardy, R.C. Rogers, An Introduction to Partial Differential Equations, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.
[14] J. Sokolowski, J.P. Zolesio, Introduction to Shape Optimization, Shape Sensitivity Analysis, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
[15] N.S. Trudinger, Pointwise estimates and quasilinear parabolic equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 21 (1968) 205-226.


[^0]:    * Corresponding author.

    Email addresses: chakib@fstbm.ac.ma (A. Chakib), nachaoui@math.univ-nantes.fr (A. Nachaoui), a.zeghal@fstbm.ac.ma (A. Zeghal).

