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#### Abstract

We describe the limit laws, as $t \rightarrow \infty$, of a Bessel process $\left(R_{s}, s \leq t\right)$ of dimension $d \in(0,2)$ penalized by an integrable function of its local time $L_{t}$ at 0 , thus extending our previous work of this kind, relative to Brownian motion.
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## 1 Introduction

1) Let $\left(\Omega,\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0},\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}, \mathcal{F}_{\infty}, P_{0}\right)$ denote the canonical real-valued Brownian motion, starting from 0 . We denote by $\left(L_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ its local time at 0 .
Let $h: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be a Borel function such that : $\int_{0}^{\infty} h(x) d x=1$.
Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(x)=\int_{0}^{x} h(y) d y, \quad x \geq 0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

the primitive of $h$ such that $H(0)=0$.
For any $t \geq 0$, we introduce the probability $P_{0}^{(t)}$ on $\mathcal{F}_{t}$, which is defined by :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{0}^{(t)}\left(\Lambda_{t}\right)=\frac{E_{0}\left(1_{\Lambda_{t}} h\left(L_{t}\right)\right)}{E_{0}\left[h\left(L_{t}\right)\right]}, \quad \Lambda_{t} \in \mathcal{F}_{t} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have shown, in [19] that the limit, as $t \rightarrow \infty$, of $P_{0}^{(t)}\left(\Lambda_{s}\right)$, for $\Lambda_{s} \in \mathcal{F}_{s}$, and $s$ fixed, exists :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{0}^{(h)}\left(\Lambda_{s}\right):=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} P_{0}^{(t)}\left(\Lambda_{s}\right) \quad\left(s \geq 0, \Lambda_{s} \in \mathcal{F}_{s}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a kind of "Brownian Gibbs measure", which induces a probability on $\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\infty}\right)$; in [19], we described precisely the process $\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ under $Q_{0}^{(h)}$; the pair $\left(X_{t}, L_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is Markov under $Q_{0}^{(h)}$, while $\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is not, in general, Markov on its own.
2) The aim of the present work is to extend the above result for a d-dimensional Bessel process, $0<d<2$. Denote by $\nu=\frac{d}{2}-1$ the index of this Bessel process, and let $\left.\alpha=-\nu=1-\frac{d}{2} \in\right] 0,1[$.
More precisely, let $\left(\Omega_{+},\left(R_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0},\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}, \mathcal{F}_{\infty},\left(P_{r}^{(-\alpha)}\right)_{r \geq 0}\right)$ denote the canonical Bessel process of dimension $d$, or index $\nu=-\alpha$, with $\alpha \in] 0,1\left[. \Omega_{+}\right.$denotes the set of continuous functions from $\mathbb{R}_{+}$to $\mathbb{R}_{+},\left(R_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$ is the coordinate process on $\Omega_{+}$, and $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$ its natural filtration.
Finally, we denote : $\mathcal{F}_{\infty}=\vee_{s \geq 0} \mathcal{F}_{s}$.
The probability $P_{r}^{(-\alpha)}$ makes the coordinate process $\left(R_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$ a Bessel process with index $(-\alpha)$, starting from $r$. We denote by $\left(L_{t}^{x} ; t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$the jointly continuous family of local times of the process $\left(R_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$. We choose the normalization of this family such that $\left(R_{t}^{2 \alpha}-L_{t}^{0}, t \geq 0\right)$ is a martingale.
We note simply $\left(L_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ for $\left(L_{t}^{0}\right)_{t \geq 0}$, and we consider a probability density $h: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$. Similarly as in (1.3), we are interested in the limit, as $t \rightarrow \infty$, of :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{0}^{(t)}\left(\Lambda_{s}\right)=\frac{E_{0}^{(-\alpha)}\left(1_{\Lambda_{s}} h\left(L_{t}\right)\right)}{E_{0}^{(-\alpha)}\left(h\left(L_{t}\right)\right)}, \quad \Lambda_{s} \in \mathcal{F}_{s} ; s \text { fixed } \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since, throughout this paper, the process of reference shall be the $d$-dimensional Bessel process with index $(-\alpha)$, we shall almost never again mention $(-\alpha)$ in our symbols, e.g., we shall write $E_{0}$ for $E_{0}^{(-\alpha)}$. We shall prove :

Theorem 1.1 Let $h$ as in 1).

1. For every $s \geq 0$, and $\Lambda_{s} \in \mathcal{F}_{s}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{0}^{(h)}\left(\Lambda_{s}\right):=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E_{0}\left(1_{\Lambda_{s}} h\left(L_{t}\right)\right)}{E_{0}\left(h\left(L_{t}\right)\right)} \quad \text { exists. } \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. $Q_{0}^{(h)}$ satisfies :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{0}^{(h)}\left(\Lambda_{s}\right)=E_{0}\left(1_{\Lambda_{s}} M_{s}^{h}\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{s}^{h}:=h\left(L_{s}\right) R_{s}^{2 \alpha}+1-H\left(L_{s}\right) . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The process $\left(M_{s}^{h}\right)_{s \geq 0}$ is a $\left(\left(\mathcal{F}_{s}\right), P_{0}\right)$ positive martingale, which converges to 0 , as $s \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, it is not a uniformly integrable martingale.
3. The formula (1.6) induces a probability $Q_{0}^{(h)}$ on $\left(\Omega_{+}, \mathcal{F}_{\infty}\right)$. Under $Q_{0}^{(h)}$, the canonical process $\left(R_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$ satisfies :
(a) The random variable $L_{\infty}$ is finite a.s., and it admits $h$ as its probability density.
(b) Let $g=\sup \left\{t \geq 0: R_{t}=0\right\}$. Then, $Q_{0}^{(h)}(0<g<\infty)=1$.
(c) $i$. The two processes $\left(R_{t}, t \leq g\right)$ and $\left(R_{g+t}, t \geq 0\right)$ are independent;
ii. The process $\left(R_{g+t}, t \geq 0\right)$ is a Bessel process with dimension $(4-d)$, starting from 0 ;
iii. Conditionally on $L_{\infty}=l$, the process $\left(R_{t}, t \leq g\right)$ is a Bessel process of dimension $d$, starting from 0 , stopped at $\tau_{l}:=\inf \left\{t>0: L_{t}>l\right\}$.
4. Let:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{t}:=4 \alpha^{2} \int_{0}^{t} R_{s}^{2(2 \alpha-1)} d s \quad t \geq 0 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and denote its inverse by :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(u):=\inf \left\{t \geq 0: A_{t}>u\right\} . \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, under $Q_{0}^{(h)}$, the process $\left(R_{\rho_{u}}^{2 \alpha}+L_{\rho_{u}}, u \geq 0\right)$ is a 3-dimensional Bessel process, starting from 0 , which is independent from the random variable $L_{\infty}$.

Remark 1.2 1. We now remark that, for $d=1$, i.e : $\alpha=1 / 2$, part 4. of Theorem 1.1 may be presented as follows :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(R_{t}+L_{t}, t \geq 0\right) \text { is a 3-dimensional Bessel process, independent from } L_{\infty} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Via Lévy's theorem (: if $\left(B_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$ denotes a Brownian motion, starting from 0 , and if : $S_{t}^{B}=\sup _{s \leq t} B_{s}$, then the two processes $\left(S_{t}^{B}-B_{t}, S_{t}^{B} ; t \geq 0\right)$ and $\left(\left|B_{t}\right|, L_{t} ; t \geq 0\right)$ have the same law ), the result (1.10) has already been obtained in [20] : thus, point 4. of Theorem 1.1 appears as a generalization of Pitman's theorem which asserts that :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(2 S_{t}^{B}-B_{t} ; t \geq 0\right) \stackrel{(d)}{=}\left(\left|B_{t}\right|+L_{t}, t \geq 0\right) \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a 3-dimensional Bessel process.
3) Just as we did in [18] concerning the 1-dimensional case, the above Theorem 1.1 invites to study the penalization with a function of the local time, not for the Bessel process itself, but for its "long bridges".
Precisely, we shall be interested to show the existence of the limit, as $t \rightarrow \infty$, of :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{0}\left(\Lambda_{s} \mid L_{t}=y\right), \quad y \geq 0, \Lambda_{s} \in \mathcal{F}_{s} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and even of :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{0}\left(\Lambda_{s} \mid R_{t}=a, L_{t}=y\right), \quad a \geq 0, y \geq 0, \Lambda_{s} \in \mathcal{F}_{s} \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We obtain the following :
Theorem 1.3 1. The limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{0}^{(y)}\left(\Lambda_{s}\right):=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} P_{0}\left(\Lambda_{s} \mid L_{t}=y\right) \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

(with $\Lambda_{s} \in \mathcal{F}_{s}$ ) exists and satisfies :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{0}^{(y)}\left(\Lambda_{s}\right)=p_{L_{s}}(y) E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} R_{s}^{2 \alpha} \mid L_{s}=y\right]+E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} 1_{\left(L_{s}<y\right)}\right] \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{L_{s}}$ is the density of $L_{s}$.
2. The preceding formula (1.15) induces a probability $Q_{0}^{(y)}$ on $\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\infty}\right)$. The probability $Q_{0}^{(h)}$ defined in Theorem 1.1 admits the following disintegration:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{0}^{(h)}(\cdot)=\int_{0}^{\infty} h(y) Q_{0}^{(y)}(\cdot) d y \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, for any $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}_{\infty}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{0}^{(h)}\left(\Lambda \mid L_{\infty}=y\right)=Q_{0}^{(y)}(\Lambda) \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the conditional law of $Q_{0}^{(h)}$ given $L_{\infty}=y$ does not depend on $h$.
3. For every $s \geq 0, \Lambda_{s} \in \mathcal{F}_{s}$ and every $x, y \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{0}^{(x, y)}\left(\Lambda_{s}\right):=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} P_{0}\left(\Lambda_{s} \mid R_{t}=x, L_{t}=y\right) \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists, and satisfies :

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{0}^{(x, y)}\left(\Lambda_{s}\right)= & \frac{x}{x+y x^{1-2 \alpha}} p_{L_{s}}(y) E_{0}\left(1_{\Lambda_{s}} R_{s}^{2 \alpha} \mid L_{s}=y\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{x+y x^{1-2 \alpha}} E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} 1_{\left(L_{s}<y\right)}\left\{x+\left(y-L_{s}+R_{s}^{2 \alpha}\right) x^{1-2 \alpha}\right\}\right] \tag{1.19}
\end{align*}
$$

4. For every $x, y \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{0}^{(x, y)}(\cdot)=\frac{x}{x+y x^{1-2 \alpha}} Q_{0}^{(y)}(\cdot)+\frac{x^{1-2 \alpha}}{x+y x^{1-2 \alpha}} \int_{0}^{y} Q_{0}^{(z)}(\cdot) d z \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that formula (1.20) simplifies, in the case $\alpha=1 / 2$, to yield formula (1.13) of Theorem 1.3 in [18] (via Lévy's Theorem) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{0}^{(x, y)}(\cdot)=\frac{x}{x+y} Q_{0}^{(y)}(\cdot)+\frac{1}{x+y} \int_{0}^{y} Q_{0}^{(z)}(\cdot) d z \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

4) As a Corollary of Theorem 1.3, we now present Theorem 1.4, which describes the penalization of "long Bessel bridges" by an integrable function of their local times at 0 (see formula (1.23) below).

Theorem 1.4 Let $\left(\Omega_{+},\left(R_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0},\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}, \mathcal{F}_{\infty}, P_{0}\right)$ denote the canonical Bessel process starting from 0 , with dimension $d=2(1-\alpha), 0<\alpha<1$.

1. Let $h: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be a Borel function such that $\int_{0}^{\infty} h(y) d y=1$. Denote, for $x>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{h}_{x}:=\int_{0}^{\infty} h(y)\left(x+y x^{1-2 \alpha}\right) d y \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

assumed to be finite, and $h_{x}^{*}=1 / \bar{h}_{x}$.
Then, for every $s>0$, and $\Lambda_{s} \in \mathcal{F}_{s}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E_{0}\left(\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} h\left(L_{t}\right) \mid R_{t}=x\right]\right.}{E_{0}\left[h\left(L_{t}\right) \mid R_{t}=x\right]} \quad \text { exists and is equal to } Q_{0}^{\left(h_{x}\right)}\left(\Lambda_{s}\right) \text {, } \tag{1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where :

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{x}(y)=h_{x}^{*}\left\{x h(y)+x^{1-2 \alpha}(1-H(y))\right\} \quad(y \geq 0) \tag{1.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Let $f: \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be a Borel function such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{f}:=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}} f(x, y)\left(x+y x^{1-2 \alpha}\right) d x d y<\infty \tag{1.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for every $s \geq 0$, and $\Lambda_{s} \in \mathcal{F}_{s}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} f\left(R_{t}, L_{t}\right)\right]}{E_{0}\left[f\left(R_{t}, L_{t}\right)\right]} \quad \text { exists and is equal to } Q_{0}^{(\tilde{f})}\left(\Lambda_{s}\right), \tag{1.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

with :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{f}(y):=f^{*}\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty} x f(x, y) d x+\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{1-2 \alpha} d x \int_{y}^{\infty} f(x, z) d z\right\} \tag{1.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $f^{*}=1 / \bar{f}$.

Note that, for both points 1. and 2. of Theorem 1.4, the main properties of the canonical process $\left(R_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ under the limit probabilities $Q_{0}^{\left(h_{x}\right)}$ and $Q_{0}^{(\widetilde{f})}$ are given by Theorem 1.1: it suffices, in this Theorem 1.1, to replace $h$ resp. by $h_{a}$ and $\widetilde{f}$ (and to note that: $\int_{0}^{\infty} h_{a}(y) d y=\int_{0}^{\infty} \widetilde{f}(y) d y=1$ ).
5) Point 2. of Theorem 1.5 invites to study the penalization of $\left(R_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ by a function of $L_{t}$ and $R_{t}$ which is not integrable, i.e. which does not satisfy (1.25). This led us to the following :

Theorem 1.5 Let $\lambda>0$, and $h: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$a Borel function such that :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} h(y) d y<\infty \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{0}^{\infty} h(y) e^{-\sigma_{\lambda} y} d y=1 \tag{1.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\lambda}:=\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{2 \alpha} \frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \tag{1.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

1. For every $s \geq 0$, and $\Lambda_{s} \in \mathcal{F}_{s}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} h\left(L_{t}\right) \exp \left(\lambda R_{t}\right)\right]}{E_{0}\left[h\left(L_{t}\right) \exp \left(\lambda R_{t}\right)\right]} \quad \text { exists } \tag{1.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is equal to :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{0}^{(\lambda, \widetilde{h})}\left(\Lambda_{s}\right):=E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} M_{s}^{\lambda, \widetilde{h}}\right] \tag{1.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{s}^{\lambda, \widetilde{h}}:=e^{-\lambda^{2} s / 2} R_{s}^{\alpha} & {\left[\widetilde{h}\left(L_{s}\right)\left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^{\alpha} \Gamma(1+\alpha) I_{\alpha}\left(\lambda R_{s}\right)\right.} \\
& \left.+\left(1-\widetilde{H}\left(L_{s}\right)\right)\left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^{-\alpha} \Gamma(1-\alpha) I_{-\alpha}\left(\lambda R_{s}\right)\right] \tag{1.32}
\end{align*}
$$

where $I_{\nu}$ denotes the modified Bessel function with index $\nu$ (cf [9]), and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{h}(y):=h(y)-\sigma_{\lambda} e^{\sigma_{\lambda} y} \int_{y}^{\infty} h(z) e^{-\sigma_{\lambda} z} d z  \tag{1.33}\\
& 1-\widetilde{H}(y):=e^{\sigma_{\lambda} y} \int_{y}^{\infty} h(z) e^{-\sigma_{\lambda} z} d z=\int_{y}^{\infty} \tilde{h}(z) d z . \tag{1.34}
\end{align*}
$$

2. ( $M_{s}^{\lambda, \widetilde{h}}, s \geq 0$ ) is a positive martingale, which tends to 0 a.s. as $s \rightarrow \infty$.
3. Formula (1.31) induces a probability $Q_{0}^{(\lambda, \tilde{h})}$ on the canonical space $\left(\Omega_{+}, \mathcal{F}_{\infty}\right)$, with respect to which the canonical process $\left(R_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$ satisfies :
(a) $L_{\infty}$ is finite a.s. and its distribution function is :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{0}^{(\lambda, \widetilde{h})}\left(L_{\infty}<c\right)=1-(1-\widetilde{H}(c)) e^{-c \sigma_{\lambda}} \tag{1.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\sigma_{\lambda}$ given by (1.29).
(b) Let $g=\inf \left\{t \geq 0: L_{t}=L_{\infty}\right\}=\sup \left\{t \geq 0: R_{t}=0\right\}$. Then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{0}^{(\lambda, \widetilde{h})}(0<g<\infty)=1 \tag{1.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) i. The processes $\left(R_{t}, t<g\right)$ and $\left(R_{g+t}, t \geq 0\right)$ are independent.
ii. The process $\left(R_{g+t}, t \geq 0\right)$ is a diffusion process starting from 0 , whose infinitesimal generator $\mathcal{L}^{\uparrow}$ satisfies :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}^{\uparrow} f(r)=\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime \prime}(r)+\left\{\frac{1-2 \alpha}{2 r}+\lambda \frac{I_{\alpha-1}(\lambda r)}{I_{\alpha}(\lambda r)}\right\} f^{\prime}(r) \tag{1.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

iii. Conditionally on $L_{\infty}=l$, the process $\left(R_{t}, t \leq g\right)$ is a diffusion process starting from 0 , whose infinitesimal generator $\mathcal{L}^{\downarrow}$ satisfies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}^{\downarrow} f(r)=\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime \prime}(r)+\left\{\frac{1-2 \alpha}{2 r}-\lambda \frac{K_{\alpha-1}(\lambda r)}{K_{\alpha}(\lambda r)}\right\} f^{\prime}(r) \tag{1.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

stopped when its local time at 0 reaches level l.
Remark 1.6 1. Let $h_{0}: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$a Borel function such that $\int_{0}^{\infty} h_{0}(y) d y<\infty$. Note that $h=h_{0} / c$ verifies (1.28) where $c=\int_{0}^{\infty} h(y) e^{-\sigma_{\lambda} y} d y$.
2. It is not difficult to check that, as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, Theorem 1.5 yields precisely Theorem 1.1, because $I_{\nu}(z) \underset{z \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu+1)}\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{\nu}$ and $K_{\nu}(z) \underset{z \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \frac{1}{2} \Gamma(\nu)\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{-\nu} \quad(c f[9])$.
3. Recall that the diffusions whose infinitesimal generators $\mathcal{L}^{\uparrow}$ and $\mathcal{L} \downarrow$ are given in (1.37) and (1.38) are the Bessel processes with dimension $d=2(1-\alpha)$, and drift $\lambda \uparrow$ and $\lambda \downarrow$ respectively. These processes have been studied by Watanabe [21] and Pitman-Yor [14]. They play an important role in Matsumoto-Yor ([12], [13]).
5) Organization of the paper.

- In Section 2, we define precisely the normalization of the continuous family of the local times $\left(L_{t}^{x} ; t \geq 0 ; x \geq 0\right)$ of the Bessel process $\left(R_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$ of dimension $\left.d \in\right] 0,2[$, which we use throughout this paper.
- In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.1.
- In Section 4 we prove Theorem 1.3, and we deduce Theorem 1.4 from Theorem 1.3.
- Finally, Section 5 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.5.

6) An overview of some penalization results. In our paper [17], we propose a survey-without proofs- of most of the results obtained in our previous works [18], [19], [20] on the subject.

Acknowledgment: We thank the referee for a detailed list of suggestions which helped us to improve our paper.

## 2 Definition and properties of the local time at 0

1) The Bessel process $\left(R_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$, with dimension $d=2(1-\alpha) \in(0,2)$ which is being considered throughout this paper, is an $\mathbb{R}_{+}$-valued diffusion whose infinitesimal generator $\mathcal{L}$ is defined as :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L} f(r)=\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^{2} f}{d r^{2}}+\frac{1-2 \alpha}{2 r} \frac{d f}{d r} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the domain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}=\left\{f: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} ; \mathcal{L} f \in \mathcal{C}_{b}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) ; \lim _{r \rightarrow 0} r^{1-2 \alpha} f^{\prime}(r)=0\right\} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

2) The normalization we shall use for the local time at $0,\left(L_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$ of $\left(R_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$ is such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(N_{t}:=R_{t}^{2 \alpha}-L_{t}, t \geq 0\right) \quad \text { is a martingale } \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that the bracket of $\left(N_{t}\right)$ equals :

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{t}:=<N>_{t}=4 \alpha^{2} \int_{0}^{t} R_{s}^{2(2 \alpha-1)} d s \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that there exists a reflecting Brownian motion $\left(\gamma_{u}, u \geq 0\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{t}^{2 \alpha}=\gamma_{A_{t}} \quad ; \quad L_{t}=\ell_{A_{t}} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(\ell_{u}, u \geq 0\right)$ is the local time at 0 of $\gamma$, chosen such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\gamma_{u}-\ell_{u}, u \geq 0\right) \text { is a }\left(\mathcal{F}_{u}^{\gamma}:=\sigma\left\{\gamma_{s}, s \leq u\right\}, u \geq 0\right) \text { martingale. } \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the finiteness of $A_{t}$, especially for $\alpha<1 / 2$, follows from :

$$
E_{0}\left[R_{s}^{2(2 \alpha-1)}\right]=\frac{2^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} s^{\alpha-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{2 \alpha-1} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2 s}} d x=\frac{2^{2 \alpha-1} \Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} s^{2 \alpha-1}
$$

which implies that $E_{0}\left[A_{t}\right]<\infty$.
3) With this normalization of $\left(L_{t}, t \geq 0\right)(c f[3])$, the occupation density formula writes :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} g\left(R_{s}\right) d s=\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{\infty} g(x) L_{t}^{x} x^{1-2 \alpha} d x \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every Borel function $g: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$, and $\left\{L_{t}^{x}\right\}$ a jointly continuous family of local times, such that $L_{t}^{0}=L_{t}$.
4) Under $P_{0}$,
the variable $L_{t}$ is distributed as $t^{\alpha} L_{1}$,
and the law of $L_{1}$ is the Mittag-Leffler distribution of index $\alpha$ (see for instance [2]; for details see [3] and [10], p. 142), with density $p_{L_{1}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{L_{1}}(l)=\frac{1}{\pi \alpha l} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1} \Gamma(\alpha k+1)}{k!}\left(\frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} 2^{-\alpha} l\right)^{k} \sin (k \pi \alpha) \quad(l \geq 0) . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular it satisfies :

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{L_{1}}(0)=\lim _{l \rightarrow 0} p_{L_{1}}(l)=\frac{2^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

5) Define the right continuous inverse of $L$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{l}:=\inf \left\{t \geq 0: L_{t}>l\right\} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $\left(\tau_{l}, l \geq 0\right)$ is a stable subordinator with index $\alpha$; more precisely, its Laplace transform is given by :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left[\exp \left(-\lambda \tau_{l}\right)\right]=\exp \left(-l \frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} 2^{-\alpha} \lambda^{\alpha}\right) \quad \lambda, l \geq 0 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

6) Let $T_{0}=\inf \left\{t \geq 0: R_{t}=0\right\}$ denote the first hitting time of 0 for the process $\left(R_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$.

Then, $T_{0}$ is, under $P_{r}$, distributed as :

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{0} \stackrel{(d)}{=} r^{2} / 2 \gamma_{\alpha} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma_{\alpha}$ is a standard gamma variable with index $\alpha$.
Consequently,

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{r}\left(T_{0} \in d t\right)=\frac{2^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} t^{-\alpha-1} r^{2 \alpha} \exp \left(-\frac{r^{2}}{2 t}\right) 1_{[0, \infty[ }(t) d t  \tag{2.14}\\
& E_{r}\left[e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} T_{0}}\right]=\frac{2}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\left(\frac{\lambda r}{2}\right)^{\alpha} K_{\alpha}(\lambda r) \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Identity (2.15) is found in [8], see also Proposition (2.3) in [14]. For (2.14), which also extends to $\alpha=1$ (that is : $d=0$, when $\left(R_{t}\right)$ is the 0 -dimensional Bessel process), see [4], and e.g ([16], ex 4.16, p321). In [3], the reader will find a more detailed discussion of the various normalisations of the local time process $\left(L_{t}\right)$ at level 0 for a Bessel process of dimension $d \in(0,2)$ which have been used in the literature. The results presented in this section may be considered as standard knowledge; see, e.g. Borodin-Salminen [1] for a more general presentation of diffusion local times.
7) In Section 5, the role of the following martingale will be crucial :

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{t}^{\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} \downarrow}:=R_{t}^{\alpha} K_{\alpha}\left(\lambda R_{t}\right) \exp \left(\sigma_{\lambda} L_{t}-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{2}\right), \quad t \geq 0 \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{\lambda}$ is defined in (1.29).
That this process is indeed a martingale follows from the computation relative to a general diffusion $\left(R_{t}\right)$, its local time $\left(L_{t}\right)$, and inverse local time $\left(\tau_{l}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0}\left[e^{-\mu \tau_{l}} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]=e^{-\mu t} f\left(R_{t}, l-L_{t}\right), \quad \text { on }\left\{t<\tau_{l}\right\}=\left\{L_{t}<l\right\} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(r, \lambda)=E_{r}[A(\lambda)]$, with $A(\lambda)=e^{-\mu \tau_{\lambda}}$.
Using the strong Markov property we obtain :

$$
f(r, \lambda)=E_{r}\left[e^{-\mu T_{0}(R)}\right] e^{-\lambda \psi(\mu)},
$$

where $\psi(\mu)$ denotes the Lévy exponent for $\left(\tau_{l}\right)$.
Using the Laplace transform given in (2.15), for $\mu=\lambda^{2} / 2$, and the fact that : $\psi\left(\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2}\right)=\sigma_{\lambda}$ in this particular case (cf (2.12) above), we get :

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{t}^{\alpha} K_{\alpha}\left(\lambda R_{t}\right) \exp \left(\sigma_{\lambda} L_{t}-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{2}\right)=C_{\lambda} e^{\sigma_{\lambda} l} E_{0}\left[e^{-\mu \tau_{l}} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right], \quad \text { on }\left\{t<\tau_{l}\right\} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{\lambda}$ is a positive constant.
Now property (2.16) is a direct consequence of (2.18) together with the following calculations :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{0}\left[M_{t}^{\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} \downarrow} 1_{\Lambda_{s}}\right]=\lim _{l \rightarrow \infty} E_{0}\left[M_{t}^{\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} \downarrow} 1_{\Lambda_{s}} 1_{\left\{s<\tau_{l}\right\}}\right]=\lim _{l \rightarrow \infty} E_{0}\left[C_{\lambda} e^{\sigma_{\lambda} l} E_{0}\left[e^{-\mu \tau_{l}} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right] 1_{\Lambda_{s}} 1_{\left\{s<\tau_{l}\right\}}\right] \\
& =\lim _{l \rightarrow \infty} E_{0}\left[M_{s}^{\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} \downarrow} 1_{\Lambda_{s}} 1_{\left\{s<\tau_{l}\right\}}\right]=E_{0}\left[M_{s}^{\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} \downarrow} 1_{\Lambda_{s}}\right] \text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $s \leq t$ and $\Lambda_{s} \in \mathcal{F}_{s}$.

## 3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof of Theorem 1.1
The proof of Theorem 1.1 will be divided into eleven steps.

1) We prove the existence of : $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} P_{0}^{(t)}\left(\Lambda_{s}\right)$.

Let $s \geq 0$, and $\Lambda_{s} \in \mathcal{F}_{s}$. By conditioning $h\left(L_{t}\right)$ with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{s}$, we get :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} h\left(L_{t}\right)\right]}{E_{0}\left[h\left(L_{t}\right)\right]}=\frac{E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} \theta\left(R_{s}, L_{s}, t-s\right)\right]}{\theta(0,0, t)} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(r, y, u):=E_{r}\left[h\left(y+L_{u}\right)\right], \quad r, y, u \geq 0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we are led to estimate $\theta(r, y, u)$ when $u$ tends to $+\infty$.
We denote by $T_{0}$ the first hitting time of 0 by the process $\left(R_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{0}:=\inf \left\{t \geq 0, R_{t}=0\right\} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we obtain :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(r, y, t)=\theta_{1}(r, y, t)+\theta_{2}(r, y, t) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{1}(r, y, t)=h(y) P_{r}\left(T_{0}>t\right), \quad \theta_{2}(r, y, t)=E_{r}\left[1_{\left\{T_{0}<t\right\}} h\left(y+L_{T_{0}+\left(t-T_{0}\right)}\right)\right] \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We examine separately the two terms $\theta_{1}(r, y, t)$ and $\theta_{2}(r, y, t)$ featured in (3.5).
From (2.13), the first term $\theta_{1}(r, y, t)$ is equal to :

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta_{1}(r, y, t) & =h(y) P\left(\gamma_{\alpha}<\frac{r^{2}}{2 t}\right) \\
& =\frac{h(y)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{\frac{r^{2}}{2 t}} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x} d x \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{h(y)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}\left(\frac{r^{2}}{2 t}\right)^{\alpha} \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

As to the second term $\theta_{2}(r, y, t)$, we find it to be equal, thanks to the scaling property (2.8), and after conditioning with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{T_{0}}$, to :

$$
\theta_{2}(r, y, t)=E_{r}\left[1_{\left\{T_{0}<t\right\}} \theta_{3}\left(y, t-T_{0}\right)\right],
$$

with $\left.\theta_{3}(y, u)=E_{0}\left[h\left(y+u^{\alpha} L_{1}\right)\right)\right]$.
Hence, denoting by $p_{L_{1}}$ the density of $L_{1}$, under $P_{0}$ :

$$
\theta_{2}(r, y, t)=\int_{0}^{\infty} E_{r}\left[1_{\left\{T_{0}<t\right\}} h\left(y+\left(t-T_{0}\right)^{\alpha} x\right)\right] p_{L_{1}}(x) d x
$$

so that, after making the change of variable : $\left(t-T_{0}\right)^{\alpha} x=z$, we get :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{2}(r, y, t)=E_{r}\left[1_{\left\{T_{0}<t\right\}} \frac{1}{\left(t-T_{0}\right)^{\alpha}} \int_{0}^{\infty} h(y+z) p_{L_{1}}\left(\frac{z}{\left(t-T_{0}\right)^{\alpha}}\right) d z\right] . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently (2.10) implies :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{2}(r, y, t) \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{p_{L_{1}}(0)}{t^{\alpha}} \int_{0}^{\infty} h(y+z) d z=\frac{2^{-\alpha}}{t^{\alpha} \Gamma(1+\alpha)}[1-H(y)] \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Bringing together (3.6), (3.8) and (3.4), we get :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(r, y, t) \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{1}{t^{\alpha}} \frac{2^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}\left(h(y) r^{2 \alpha}+1-H(y)\right) . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then deduce from (3.1) and (3.9) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} h\left(L_{t}\right)\right]}{E_{0}\left[h\left(L_{t}\right)\right]}=E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}}\left(h\left(L_{s}\right) R_{s}^{2 \alpha}+1-H\left(L_{s}\right)\right)\right] . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that, in (3.10), exchanging the order of taking either the limit or the expectation does not make any problem, since it is justified by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, once it has been noted that, with the help of (3.6) and (3.7) :

$$
t^{\alpha} \theta(r, y, t) \leq C[h(y)+1] r^{2 \alpha} .
$$

2) We now show that $\left(M_{s}^{h}:=h\left(L_{s}\right) R_{s}^{2 \alpha}+1-H\left(L_{s}\right), s \geq 0\right)$ is a martingale.

For $h$ in $C^{1}$, it easily follows from Itô's formula using (2.3), that ( $M_{s}^{h}, s \geq 0$ ) is a local martingale. Moreover it writes :

$$
M_{s}^{h}=1+\int_{0}^{s} h\left(L_{u}\right) d N_{u}, \quad s \geq 0 .
$$

Now, to obtain the general case, it remains to apply the monotone class theorem. We might also have used a balayage argument, see e.g. [16], Chap. VI. Thus, in particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{t}^{h}=1+\int_{0}^{t} h\left(L_{s}\right) d N_{s}, \quad t \geq 0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(N_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$ is the martingale defined by (2.3) is a local martingale.
Since $M_{t}^{h} \geq 0$, for any $t \geq 0,\left(M_{t}^{h}\right)$ is a positive supermartingale. In order to prove that $\left(M_{t}^{h}, t \geq 0\right)$ is a martingale, it suffices to show :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0}\left(M_{t}^{h}\right)=1, \text { for every } t \geq 0 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $h_{n}(x)=(h(x) \wedge n) 1_{(x \leq n)}$. It is clear that $\left(M_{t}^{h_{n}}\right)$ is a martingale, therefore (3.12) implies that : $E_{0}\left[M_{t}^{h_{n}}\right]=1$. Then, with the help of Beppo-Levi's Theorem, we obtain :

$$
1=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(E_{0}\left[h_{n}\left(L_{t}\right) R_{t}^{2 \alpha}+\left(1-H_{n}\left(L_{t}\right)\right]\right)=E_{0}\left[h\left(L_{t}\right) R_{t}^{2 \alpha}+1-H\left(L_{t}\right)\right]=E_{0}\left[M_{t}^{h}\right]\right.
$$

3) We now prove that : $M_{t}^{h} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Since $\left(M_{t}^{h}, t \geq 0\right)$ is a positive martingale, it converges a.s. as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\tau_{l}=\inf \left\{s>0: L_{s}>l\right\}$ denote the inverse local time. Then :

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\tau_{l}}^{h}=h\left(L_{\tau_{l}}\right) R_{\tau_{l}}^{2 \alpha}+1-H\left(L_{\tau_{l}}\right)=1-H(l) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } l \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $M_{t}^{h} \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\rightarrow} 0$ a.s. In particular, the martingale $\left(M_{t}^{h}, t \geq 0\right)$ is not uniformly integrable.
4) We now establish that $Q_{0}^{(h)}\left(L_{\infty} \in d l\right)=h(l) d l$.

Indeed, for every $t \geq 0$, using (1.6), Doob's optional stopping theorem and (3.13), we have :

$$
Q_{0}^{(h)}\left(L_{t}>c\right)=Q_{0}^{(h)}\left(t>\tau_{c}\right)=E_{0}\left[1_{\left\{\tau_{c}<t\right\}} M_{t}^{h}\right]=E_{0}\left[1_{\left\{\tau_{c}<t\right\}} M_{\tau_{c}}^{h}\right]=(1-H(c)) P_{0}\left(t>\tau_{c}\right)
$$

Consequently, letting $t \rightarrow+\infty$, we obtain :

$$
Q_{0}^{(h)}\left(L_{\infty}>c\right)=1-H(c)
$$

5) An auxiliary result.

In the sequel, we shall use a general result about continuous positive martingales, which is stated and proven in [19], and which we shall then apply to $M=M^{h}$. Thus, we present this result without proof.

Proposition 3.1 (Theorem 4.2 in [19])
Let $\left(\Omega,\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}, \mathcal{F}_{\infty}, \mathbb{P}\right)$ denote a given filtered probability space, and consider a strictly positive continuous martingale $\left(M_{t}\right)$, with respect to $\left(\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P}\right)$, such that $M_{0}=1$ and $M_{\infty}=0$ a.s. We then define the probability $\mathbb{Q}$ on $\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\infty}\right)$ via :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{Q}\left(\Lambda_{t}\right)=E\left[1_{\Lambda_{t}} M_{t}\right] \quad t \geq 0, \Lambda_{t} \in \mathcal{F}_{t} . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also define :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{M}_{t}=\inf _{s \leq t} M_{s} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, under $\mathbb{Q}$, the following holds:

1. $\underline{M}_{\infty}$ is uniformly distributed on $[0,1]$.
2. Let $g:=\sup \left\{t \geq 0, M_{t}=\underline{M} \infty\right\}$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{Q}(0<g<\infty)=1 \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. Let :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{t}=\mathbb{Q}\left(g>t \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then :
(a) $Z_{t}=\underline{M}_{t} / M_{t}$.
(b) $\left(Z_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$ is a $\left(\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{Q}\right)$ positive supermartingale with additive decomposition :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{t}=1-\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\underline{M}_{u}}{M_{u}^{2}} d \widetilde{M}_{u}+\ln \left(\underline{M}_{t}\right) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{M}_{t}:=M_{t}-\int_{0}^{t} \frac{d<M>_{u}}{M_{u}}$ is the martingale part of $\left(M_{t}\right)$ under $\mathbb{Q}$, from Girsanov's theorem.

## 6) We now remark that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{M}_{t}^{h}=1-H\left(L_{t}\right) . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed,

$$
M_{s}^{h}=h\left(L_{s}\right) R_{s}^{2 \alpha}+1-H\left(L_{s}\right) \geq 1-H\left(L_{s}\right) \geq 1-H\left(L_{t}\right), \quad \text { for any } 0 \leq s \leq t
$$

Moreover $M_{g_{t}}^{h}=1-H\left(L_{t}\right)$ where $g_{t}=\sup \left\{s \leq t ; R_{s}=0\right\}$. This implies (3.19).
We also note that (3.19), together with point 1. of Proposition 3.1, allows to rediscover the fact, obtained in 4), that $Q_{0}^{(h)}\left(L_{\infty} \in d l\right)=h(l) d l$. Indeed, we get :

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{0}^{(h)}\left(L_{\infty}<c\right) & =Q_{0}^{(h)}\left(H\left(L_{\infty}\right)<H(c)\right)=Q_{0}^{(h)}\left(1-H\left(L_{\infty}\right)>1-H(c)\right) \\
& =Q_{0}^{(h)}\left(\underline{M}_{\infty}^{h}>1-H(c)\right)=H(c)
\end{aligned}
$$

7) Another definition of $g$.

Let $g$ be defined as in point 2. of Proposition 3.1, but we now replace $M$ by $M^{h}$, ie :

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\sup \left\{t \geq 0 ; M_{t}^{h}=\underline{M}_{\infty}^{h}\right\} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, under $Q_{0}^{(h)}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\sup \left\{s \geq 0, R_{s}=0\right\}=\inf \left\{s \geq 0, L_{s}=L_{\infty}\right\} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, (3.21) follows from (3.19) and the fact that $\underline{M}_{t}^{h}=1-H\left(L_{t}\right)$ is constant after $g$ (hence, so is $L_{t}$ ).
8) A preliminary step to prove point 3. (c) of Theorem 1.1.

We shall use the technique of progressive enlargement of filtrations (see [6], [22], [7] and[11]). We denote by $\left(\mathcal{G}_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$ the smallest filtration which contains $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$, and which makes $g$, defined by (3.21), a ( $\left.\mathcal{G}_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$ stopping time.
a) Recall that $\left(N_{t}\right)$ is the $\left(\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}, P_{0}\right)$ martingale defined by (2.3), whose bracket is given by (2.4). Hence, from Girsanov's theorem, and (3.11), the process :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{N}_{t}:=N_{t}-\int_{0}^{t} \frac{h\left(L_{u}\right)}{M_{u}^{h}} d<N>_{u} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a $\left(\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}, Q_{0}^{(h)}\right)$ martingale, so that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{t}^{2 \alpha}=L_{t}+\widetilde{N}_{t}+\int_{0}^{t} \frac{h\left(L_{u}\right)}{M_{u}^{h}} d<N>_{u} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

b) From Proposition 3.1, 3. (b), we have :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{t}=Q_{0}^{(h)}\left(g>t \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right)=1-\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\underline{M}_{u}^{h}}{\left(M_{u}^{h}\right)^{2}} d \widetilde{M}_{u}^{h}+\ln \left(\underline{M}_{t}^{h}\right) \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{M}_{t}^{h}=M_{t}^{h}-\int_{0}^{t} \frac{d<M^{h}>_{u}}{M_{u}^{h}} \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

a $\left(\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}, Q_{0}^{(h)}\right)$ martingale.
Due to (3.22), (3.25) and (3.11) we have :

$$
<\tilde{N}, \int_{0}^{\cdot} \frac{\underline{M}_{u}^{h}}{\left(M_{u}^{h}\right)^{2}} d \widetilde{M}_{u}^{h}>_{t}=<N, \int_{0}^{\cdot} \frac{\underline{M}_{u}^{h}}{\left(M_{u}^{h}\right)^{2}} d M_{u}^{h}>_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\underline{M}_{u}^{h}}{\left(M_{u}^{h}\right)^{2}} h\left(L_{u}\right) d<N>_{u}
$$

We deduce, after Jeulin [6] and Yor [23], that in the filtration $\left(\mathcal{G}_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$, under $Q_{0}^{(h)}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{N}_{t}=\tilde{N}_{t}^{(2)}-\int_{0}^{t \wedge g} \frac{1}{Z_{u}} \frac{\underline{M}_{u}^{h}}{\left(M_{u}^{h}\right)^{2}} h\left(L_{u}\right) d<N>_{u}+\int_{t \wedge g}^{t} \frac{1}{1-Z_{u}} \frac{\underline{M}_{u}^{h}}{\left(M_{u}^{h}\right)^{2}} h\left(L_{u}\right) d<N>_{u} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(\widetilde{N}_{t}^{(2)}, t \geq 0\right)$ is a $\left(\left(\mathcal{G}_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}, Q_{0}^{(h)}\right)$ local martingale.
Plugging (3.26) in (3.23), we obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{t}^{2 \alpha}=L_{t} & +\int_{0}^{t} \frac{h\left(L_{u}\right)}{M_{u}^{h}} d<N>_{u}+\widetilde{N}_{t}^{(2)}-\int_{0}^{t \wedge g} \frac{1}{Z_{u}} \frac{\underline{M}_{u}^{h}}{\left(M_{u}^{h}\right)^{2}} h\left(L_{u}\right) d<N>_{u} \\
& +\int_{t \wedge g}^{t} \frac{1}{1-Z_{u}} \frac{\underline{M}_{u}^{h}}{\left(M_{u}^{h}\right)^{2}} h\left(L_{u}\right) d<N>_{u} \tag{3.27}
\end{align*}
$$

Using 3. (a) of Proposition 3.1 the relation (3.27) simplifies, and becomes :

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{t}^{2 \alpha}=L_{t}+\widetilde{N}_{t}^{(2)}+\int_{t \wedge g}^{t} \frac{1}{M_{u}^{h}-\underline{M}_{u}^{h}} h\left(L_{u}\right) d<N>_{u} . \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

But, since, from (3.19), we have :

$$
M_{u}^{h}-\underline{M}_{u}^{h}=h\left(L_{u}\right) R_{u}^{2 \alpha}+1-H\left(L_{u}\right)-\left(1-H\left(L_{u}\right)\right)=h\left(L_{u}\right) R_{u}^{2 \alpha}
$$

then (2.4) implies :

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{t}^{2 \alpha}=L_{t}+\widetilde{N}_{t}^{(2)}+4 \alpha^{2} \int_{t \wedge g}^{t} R_{u}^{2(\alpha-1)} d u \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that, despite the different changes of probability, or of filtration, which we have made, the brackets of $N$ and $\widetilde{N}^{(2)}$ are equal, hence :

$$
\begin{equation*}
<\tilde{N}^{(2)}>_{t}=4 \alpha^{2} \int_{0}^{t} R_{u}^{2(2 \alpha-1)} d u \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

9) Description of the $Q_{0}^{(h)}$ process, after $g$.

From (3.29) and because $R_{g}=0$ and $L_{t+g}=0, t \geq 0$, we have :

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{g+t}^{2 \alpha}=\tilde{N}_{t}^{(3)}+4 \alpha^{2} \int_{0}^{t} R_{g+s}^{2(\alpha-1)} d s \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{N}_{t}^{(3)}=\widetilde{N}_{g+t}^{(2)}-\widetilde{N}_{g}^{(2)}, t \geq 0 \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $g$ is a $\left(\mathcal{G}_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ stopping time, therefore $\left(\tilde{N}_{t}^{(3)}\right)$ is a $\left(\left(\mathcal{G}_{g+t}\right)_{t \geq 0}, Q_{0}^{(h)}\right)$ continuous local martingale.
We then apply Itô's formula to compute $f\left(R_{g+t}^{2 \alpha}\right)$, with $f(x):=x^{1 / 2 \alpha}$; we get, from (3.30) :

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{g+t} & =\frac{1}{2 \alpha} \int_{0}^{t} R_{g+s}^{1-2 \alpha} d \widetilde{N}_{s}^{(3)}+2 \alpha \int_{0}^{t} R_{g+s}^{1-2 \alpha} R_{g+s}^{2(\alpha-1)} d s+\frac{1-2 \alpha}{2} \int_{0}^{t} R_{g+s}^{1-4 \alpha} R_{g+s}^{2(2 \alpha-1)} d s \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \alpha} \int_{0}^{t} R_{g+s}^{1-2 \alpha} d \widetilde{N}_{s}^{(3)}+\frac{1+2 \alpha}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{d s}{R_{g+s}} \tag{3.33}
\end{align*}
$$

But, from (3.30), the $\left(\left(\mathcal{G}_{g+t}\right)_{t \geq 0}, Q_{0}^{(h)}\right)$ local martingale $\left(B_{t}:=\frac{1}{2 \alpha} \int_{0}^{t} R_{g+s}^{1-2 \alpha} d \widetilde{N}_{s}^{(3)}, t \geq 0\right)$ admits as bracket :

$$
\frac{1}{4 \alpha^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} R_{g+s}^{2(1-2 \alpha)}\left(4 \alpha^{2}\right) R_{g+s}^{2(2 \alpha-1)} d s=t
$$

This implies that $\left(B_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$ is a $\left(\left(\mathcal{G}_{g+t}\right)_{t \geq 0}, Q_{0}^{(h)}\right)$ Brownian motion and is therefore independent from $\mathcal{G}_{g}$.
Finally $\left(R_{g+t}\right)$ solves :

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{g+t}=B_{t}+\frac{1+2 \alpha}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{d s}{R_{g+s}} \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

This proves that $\left(R_{g+t}, t \geq 0\right)$ is a Bessel process starting from 0 , with dimension $\delta=2+2 \alpha=4-d$. The solution of (3.34) being strong, the processes $\left(R_{t}, t \leq g\right)$ and ( $R_{g+t}, t \geq 0$ ) are independent under $Q_{0}^{(h)}$.
10) Description of the $Q_{0}^{(h)}$ process before $g$.

Before $g$, we have, from (3.29) and (3.30) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{t \wedge g}^{2 \alpha}=L_{t \wedge g}+\widetilde{N}_{t \wedge g}^{(2)}, \quad \text { with } \quad<\tilde{N}^{(2)}>_{t}=4 \alpha^{2} \int_{0}^{t} R_{s}^{2(2 \alpha-1)} d s \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us introduce :

$$
\beta_{t}:=\frac{1}{2 \alpha} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{R_{u}^{2 \alpha-1}} d \widetilde{N}_{u}^{(2)}, \quad t \geq 0
$$

It is clear that (3.35) implies that $\left(\beta_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$ is a $\left(\left(\mathcal{G}_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}, Q_{0}^{(h)}\right)$ Brownian motion and :

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{t \wedge g}^{2 \alpha}=L_{t \wedge g}+2 \alpha \int_{0}^{t \wedge g} R_{s}^{2 \alpha-1} d \beta_{s} \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then applying Itô's formula to (3.36), to compute $R_{t \wedge g}^{2}=\left(R_{t \wedge g}^{2 \alpha}\right)^{1 / \alpha}$, we obtain (since $1 / \alpha>1$ ):

$$
R_{t \wedge g}^{2}=\int_{0}^{t \wedge g} \frac{1}{\alpha} R_{s}^{2(1-\alpha)} 2 \alpha R_{s}^{2 \alpha-1} d \beta_{s}+\frac{1-\alpha}{2 \alpha^{2}} \int_{0}^{t \wedge g} R_{s}^{2(1-2 \alpha)}\left(4 \alpha^{2}\right) R_{s}^{2(2 \alpha-1)} d s
$$

(note that, because $\frac{1}{\alpha}>1$, the term in $d L_{s}$ disappears) hence :

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{t \wedge g}^{2}=2 \int_{0}^{t \wedge g} \sqrt{R_{s}^{2}} d \beta_{s}+2(1-\alpha)(t \wedge g) \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

which proves that $R_{t \wedge g}^{2}$ is the square of a Bessel process with dimension $d=2(1-\alpha)$ stopped at time $g=\inf \left\{t \geq 0 ; L_{t}=L_{\infty}\right\}$.
11) We now prove point 4. of Theorem 1.1.

We first show that $\left(R_{\rho(u)}^{2 \alpha}+L_{\rho(u)}, u \geq 0\right)$ is a 3 -dimensional Bessel process, starting from 0 (recall that $\rho(u)$ is defined by (1.9)).
a) Let us start by studying the process $\left(R_{t}\right)$ before $g$.

It is clear that (3.36) implies :

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\rho(u)}^{2 \alpha}=L_{\rho(u)}-W_{u}, \quad u \leq A_{g} \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(W_{u}:=-2 \alpha \int_{0}^{\rho(u)} R_{s}^{2 \alpha-1} d \beta_{s}, u \geq 0\right)$ is $\left(\left(\mathcal{G}_{\rho(u)}\right)_{u \geq 0}, Q_{0}^{(h)}\right)$ Brownian motion.
From Skorokhod's reflection lemma ([16], Chap. VI) we have : $L_{\rho(u)}=\sup _{s \leq u} W_{s}, u \geq 0$.
According to Pitman's theorem (cf [15]), the process $\left(2 \sup _{u \leq t} W_{u}-W_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$ is a 3-dimensional Bessel process, started at 0 .
Finally $\left(R_{\rho(u)}^{2 \alpha}+L_{\rho(u)}, u \leq A_{g}\right)$ is a three dimensional Bessel process, started at 0 , stopped at the stopping time $A_{g}$.
b) We consider now $\left(R_{g+t}\right)$.

We first observe :

$$
\widetilde{R}_{u}^{2 \alpha}:=R_{\rho\left(u+A_{g}\right)}^{2 \alpha}=R_{g+\widetilde{\rho}(u)}^{2 \alpha}, \quad u \geq 0
$$

where $(\widetilde{\rho}(u))_{u \geq 0}$ is the right-inverse of :

$$
\widetilde{A}_{t}=A_{g+t}-A_{g}=4 \alpha^{2} \int_{0}^{t} R_{s+g}^{2(2 \alpha-1)} d s, \quad t \geq 0
$$

(recall that $\left(A_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is the process defined by (1.8)).
Then (3.31) may be written as :

$$
\widetilde{R}_{u}^{2 \alpha}=\widetilde{N}_{\tilde{\rho}(u)}^{(3)}+\int_{0}^{\tilde{\rho}(u)} \frac{d \widetilde{A}_{s}}{R_{s+g}^{2 \alpha}} .
$$

Since $\left(W_{u}^{\prime}:=\widetilde{N}_{\tilde{\rho}(u)}^{(3)}, u \geq 0\right)$ is a $\left(\left(\mathcal{G}_{\widetilde{\rho}(u)}\right)_{u \geq 0}, Q_{0}^{(h)}\right)$ martingale, with bracket $\widetilde{A}_{\tilde{\rho}(u)}=u$, we obtain after making the change of variables $\widetilde{A}_{s}=v$ :

$$
\widetilde{R}_{t}^{2 \alpha}=W_{t}^{\prime}+\int_{0}^{t} \frac{d v}{\widetilde{R}_{v}^{2 \alpha}}
$$

Note that $L_{\rho\left(t+A_{g}\right)}=L_{g+\tilde{\rho}(t)}=L_{g}$ is a $\mathcal{G}_{\widetilde{\rho}(0)}$ measurable r.v. Consequently, $\left(\widetilde{R}_{t}^{2 \alpha}+L_{\rho\left(t+A_{g}\right)}, t \geq 0\right)$ is a 3 -dimensional Bessel process, starting from $L_{g}$.
This result, together with point a) proves that $\left(R_{\rho(t)}^{2 \alpha}+L_{\rho(t)} t \geq 0\right)$ is a three-dimensional Bessel process started at 0 .
The independence of $L_{\infty}$ and of $\left(R_{\rho(u)}^{2 \alpha}+L_{\rho(u)} ; u \geq 0\right)$ follows from the fact that the law of $\left(R_{\rho(u)}^{2 \alpha}+\right.$ $\left.L_{\rho(u)} ; u \geq 0\right)$, conditionally on $L_{\infty}=y$, does not depend on $y$.

Remark 3.2 1. Replacing in step 4) of the above proof, the event $\left\{L_{t}>c\right\}$ by $\left\{L_{t_{1}}>c_{1}, \ldots, L_{t_{n}}>\right.$ $\left.c_{n}\right\}$ with $t_{1}>\ldots>t_{n}>0$ and $c_{1} \geq \ldots \geq c_{n}>0$, proves that the law of the process $\left(L_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$ under $Q_{0}^{(h)}$ is the same as that of the process $\left(L_{t} \wedge \xi, t \geq 0\right)$ under $P_{0}$, where $\xi$ is a random variable of density $h$ and independent from $\left(L_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$ (under $P_{0}$ ).
2. We now present a heuristic method to obtain the distribution of $L_{\infty}$ under $Q_{0}^{(h)}$. We write, for every function $g$, bounded and continuous :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{E_{0}\left[g\left(L_{t}\right) h\left(L_{t}\right)\right]}{E_{0}\left[h\left(L_{t}\right)\right]} & =\frac{E_{0}\left[g\left(t^{\alpha} L_{1}\right) h\left(t^{\alpha} L_{1}\right)\right]}{E_{0}\left[h\left(t^{\alpha} L_{1}\right)\right]} \quad \text { (by scaling) } \\
& =\frac{\int_{0}^{\infty}(g h)\left(t^{\alpha} x\right) p_{L_{1}}(x) d x}{\int_{0}^{\infty} h\left(t^{\alpha} x\right) p_{L_{1}}(x) d x} \\
& =\frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} g h(y) p_{L_{1}}\left(\frac{y}{t^{\alpha}}\right) d y}{\int_{0}^{\infty} h(y) p_{L_{1}}\left(\frac{y}{t^{\alpha}}\right) d y}
\end{aligned}
$$

Property (2.10) implies :

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E_{0}\left[g\left(L_{t}\right) h\left(L_{t}\right)\right]}{E_{0}\left[h\left(L_{t}\right)\right]}=\frac{p_{L_{1}}(0) \int_{0}^{\infty}(g h)(y) d y}{p_{L_{1}}(0) \int_{0}^{\infty} h(y) d y}=\int_{0}^{\infty} g(y) h(y) d y
$$

However, this computation is not, at least without any further justification, "licit". The correct manner to obtain the law of $L_{\infty}$ under $Q_{0}^{(h)}$ is to first study

$$
\frac{E_{0}\left(g\left(L_{s}\right) h\left(L_{t}\right)\right)}{E_{0}\left(h\left(L_{t}\right)\right)} \quad \text { for a fixed } s<t
$$

then to first let $t$ tend to $+\infty$, and finally to let $s$ tend to $\infty$.

## 4 Proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4

Recall that under $P_{0},\left(R_{t}\right)$ is a $d$-dimensional Bessel process started at 0 , with $d=2(1-\alpha)$ and $\alpha \in] 0,1[$.
To prove Theorems 1.3 and 1.4, it is convenient to introduce the following notation :

1. $p_{L, t}(l)$ is the density function of $L_{t}$, under $P_{0}$,
2. $p_{R, L, t}(r, l)$ is the density function of the couple $\left(R_{t}, L_{t}\right)$, under $P_{0}$,
3. $\left\{\Pi_{t}\right\}$ denotes the semigroup of $\left(R_{t}, L_{t}\right)$,
4. $p_{t}^{(\mu)}(x, y)$ denotes the density of the transition semigroup of the Bessel process with index $\mu$, at time $t>0$.

### 4.1 Proof of Theorem 1.3

We begin the proof of Theorem 1.3 with two preliminary results : Lemma 4.1 and 4.2 below, in which we compute the conditional expectation of an event $\Lambda_{s}$ in $\mathcal{F}_{s}$, given $L_{t}$, resp. given $X_{t}, L_{t}$ with $t>s$.

Lemma 4.1 For every $s$ and $t$ such that $0 \leq s \leq t, \Lambda_{s} \in \mathcal{F}_{s}$, and $y \geq 0$, one has :

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} \mid L_{t}=y\right] & =\frac{p_{L, s}(y)}{p_{L, t}(y)} E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} \varphi_{1}\left(t-s, R_{s}\right) \mid L_{s}=y\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{p_{L, t}(y)} E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} 1_{\left(L_{s}<y\right)} \varphi_{2}\left(t-s, R_{s}, y-L_{s}\right)\right] \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi_{1}(u, r):=P_{r}\left(T_{0}>u\right), \quad r, u \geq 0  \tag{4.2}\\
& \varphi_{2}(u, r, l):=E_{r}\left[1_{\left\{T_{0}<u\right\}} p_{L, u-T_{0}}(l)\right], \quad r, l, u \geq 0 \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

and $T_{0}:=\inf \left\{s \geq 0, R_{s}=0\right\}$.
Proof. Let $f: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be a positive, Borel function. We compute in two different manners the quantity $E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} f\left(L_{t}\right)\right]$.
On one hand, by conditioning with respect to $L_{t}=y$, we obtain :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0}\left(1_{\Lambda_{s}} f\left(L_{t}\right)\right)=\int_{0}^{\infty} E_{0}\left(1_{\Lambda_{s}} \mid L_{t}=y\right) f(y) p_{L, t}(y) d y \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by conditioning with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{s}$, we obtain :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} f\left(L_{t}\right)\right]=E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} E\left(f\left(L_{t}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right)\right]=E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} \Pi_{t-s} f\left(R_{s}, L_{s}\right)\right] \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us introduce :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(t, l)=E_{0}\left[f\left(l+L_{t}\right)\right]=\int_{0}^{\infty} f(y) 1_{\{y>l\}} p_{L, t}(y-l) d y \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, using the strong Markov property at time $T_{0}$, we get :

$$
\begin{align*}
\Pi_{u} f(r, l) & =E_{r}\left[f\left(l+L_{u}\right)\right]=f(l) P_{r}\left(T_{0}>u\right)+E_{r}\left[1_{\left\{T_{0}<u\right\}} \psi\left(u-T_{0}, l\right)\right] \\
& =f(l) \varphi_{1}(u, r)+\int_{0}^{\infty} f(y) \varphi_{2}(u, r, y-l) 1_{\{y>l\}} d y \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, plugging (4.7) into (4.5), and then comparing (4.4) and (4.5), for an arbitrary function $f$, yields Lemma 4.1.

Lemma 4.2 For every $a, y \geq 0, s \geq 0, \Lambda_{s} \in \mathcal{F}_{s}$, and $t \geq s$,

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{0}\left(1_{\Lambda_{s}} \mid R_{t}=x, L_{t}=y\right)= & \frac{p_{L, s}(y) x^{-2 \alpha}}{p_{R, L, t}(x, y)} E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} R_{s}^{2 \alpha} p_{t-s}^{(\alpha)}\left(R_{s}, x\right) \mid L_{s}=y\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{p_{R, L, t}(x, y)} E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} \varphi_{3}\left(t-s, R_{s}, x, y-L_{s}\right) 1_{\left\{y>L_{s}\right\}}\right] \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{3}(u, r, x, y):=E_{r}\left[1_{\left\{T_{0}<u\right\}} p_{R, L, u-T_{0}}(x, y)\right], \quad r, u, x, y \geq 0, \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $g: \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$be a positive Borel function. We shall compute in two different manners the quantity $E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} g\left(R_{t}, L_{t}\right)\right]$. First, by conditioning with respect to $R_{t}=x$ and $L_{t}=y$, we obtain :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} g\left(R_{t}, L_{t}\right)\right]=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}} E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} \mid R_{t}=x, L_{t}=y\right] g(x, y) p_{R, L, t}(x, y) d x d y \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Secondly, by conditioning with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{s}$, we obtain :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} g\left(R_{t}, L_{t}\right)\right]=E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} \Pi_{t-s} g\left(R_{s}, L_{s}\right)\right] \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{u} g(r, l)=E_{r}\left[g\left(R_{u}, L_{u}+l\right)\right) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We proceed as in the proof of Lemma 4.1, decomposing the right-hand side of (4.12) in two parts $A_{1}$, resp. $A_{2}$ depending upon whether $u$ is smaller, or greater than $T_{0}=\inf \left\{s \geq 0 ; R_{s}=0\right\}$. Thus, we obtain :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{u} g(r, l)=A_{1}+A_{2} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where :

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}:=E_{r}\left[g\left(R_{u}, l\right) 1_{\left(T_{0}>u\right)}\right], \quad A_{2}:=E_{r}\left[g\left(R_{u}, L_{u}+l\right) 1_{\left(T_{0}<u\right)}\right] . \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall study successively $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$.
a) Recall the absolute continuity relationship between : $P_{r}^{-\alpha}{ }_{\mid \mathcal{F}_{u} \cap\left\{u<T_{0}\right\}}$ and $\left.P_{r}^{(+\alpha)}\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{u}}$ (see, [16], Chap. XI or [5], section 1.2):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.P_{r}^{-\alpha}{ }_{\mid \mathcal{F}_{u} \cap\left\{u<T_{0}\right\}}=\left(\frac{r}{R_{u}}\right)^{2 \alpha} P_{r}^{\alpha} \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{u} . \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently :

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}=E_{r}^{(\alpha)}\left[\frac{r^{2 \alpha}}{R_{u}^{2 \alpha}} g\left(R_{u}, l\right)\right]=r^{2 \alpha} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{g(x, l)}{x^{2 \alpha}} p_{u}^{(\alpha)}(r, x) d x \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

b) Next we compute $A_{2}$. Conditioning with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{T_{0}}$, we get :

$$
A_{2}=E_{r}\left[1_{\left\{T_{0}<u\right\}} \psi_{2}\left(u-T_{0}, l\right)\right],
$$

with

$$
\psi_{2}(v, l)=E_{0}\left[g\left(R_{v}, L_{v}+l\right)\right]=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}} g(x, y) p_{R, L, v}(x, y-l) 1_{\{y>l\}} d x d y
$$

Using (4.9) we have :

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}} g(x, y) \varphi_{3}(u, r, x, y-l) 1_{\{y>l\}} d x d y \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (4.13), (4.14), (4.16) and (4.17), we get :

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} g\left(R_{t}, L_{t}\right)\right]= & E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} R_{s}^{2 \alpha} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{g\left(x, L_{s}\right)}{x^{2 \alpha}} p_{t-s}^{(\alpha)}\left(R_{s}, x\right) d x\right] \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}} g(x, y) E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} \varphi_{3}\left(t-s, R_{s}, x, y-L_{s}\right) 1_{\left\{y>L_{s}\right\}}\right] d x d y
\end{aligned}
$$

It is then easy to conclude since the function $g$ is arbitrary.

To prove the existence of the limit (1.14) (resp. (1.18)) of Theorem 1.3, we need to obtain an asymptotic estimate of $p_{L, t}(y)$ (resp. $p_{R, L, t}(x, y)$ ) as $t \rightarrow \infty$. The first result may be obtained directly. As for $p_{R, L, t}(x, y)$, we first prove in Lemma 4.3 below that this function can be written as a convolution of two functions, having a decay rate of the type $C t^{-(1+\alpha)}(t \rightarrow \infty)$. Then Lemma 4.4 allows to prove in Lemma 4.5 that $t \mapsto p_{R, L, t}(x, y)$ enjoys an analogous polynomial decay.

Lemma 4.3 Let $\gamma_{1}$ denote the density of $\tau_{1}$.

1. For every $x, y, q \geq 0$ there is the identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-q t} p_{R, L, t}(x, y) d t=\frac{2^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-q t-\frac{x^{2}}{2 t}} \frac{x}{t^{1+\alpha}} d t\right)\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-q t} \gamma_{1}\left(\frac{t}{y^{1 / \alpha}}\right) \frac{d t}{y^{1 / \alpha}}\right) \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Let $\left.\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}:\right] 0, \infty[\times] 0, \infty[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the two functions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{1}(x, t):=\frac{2^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \frac{x}{t^{\alpha+1}} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2 t}}, \quad x, t>0 \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{2}(y, t):=\frac{1}{y^{1 / \alpha}} \gamma_{1}\left(\frac{t}{y^{1 / \alpha}}\right), \quad y, t>0 \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then :

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{R, L, t}(x, y)=\left(\beta_{1}(x, \cdot) * \beta_{2}(y, \cdot)\right)(t) \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\Theta$ denote an exponential variable with parameter $q>0$, independent from $\left(R_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$. Let $\chi_{\Theta}$ denote the last zero of $\left(R_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$ before $\Theta$. It is well known, from the last exit decomposition results, that $\left(R_{t}, t \leq \chi_{\Theta}\right)$ and ( $\left.R_{\chi_{\Theta}+u}, u \leq \Theta-\chi_{\Theta}\right)$ are two independent processes. Since $R_{\mathcal{X}_{\Theta}}=0$, and $L_{\Theta}=L_{\chi_{\Theta}}$, it follows that $R_{\Theta}$ and $L_{\Theta}$ are independent. As a consequence, we obtain, for every pair $f, g$ of $\mathbb{R}_{+}$valued Borel functions :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0}\left[f\left(R_{\Theta}\right) g\left(L_{\Theta}\right)\right]=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{3}} q e^{-q t} f(\lambda) g(y) p_{R, L, t}(\lambda, y) d \lambda d y d t=E_{0}\left[f\left(R_{\Theta}\right)\right] E_{0}\left[g\left(L_{\Theta}\right)\right] \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

a) We first compute $E_{0}\left[f\left(R_{\Theta}\right)\right]$.

Recall :

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{t}^{(-\alpha)}(\lambda)=\frac{2^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} t^{\alpha-1} \lambda^{1-2 \alpha} e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2 t}} 1_{\{\lambda>0\}} \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

then :

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{0}\left[f\left(R_{\Theta}\right)\right] & =\int_{0}^{\infty} q e^{-q t} d t \int_{0}^{\infty} f(\lambda) p_{t}^{(-\alpha)}(\lambda) d \lambda \\
& =q \int_{0}^{\infty} d t \int_{0}^{\infty} f(\lambda) \frac{2^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} t^{\alpha-1} \lambda^{1-2 \alpha} \exp \left\{-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2 t}-q t\right\} d \lambda \\
& =\frac{2^{\alpha} q}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{\infty} f(\lambda) \lambda^{1-2 \alpha} d \lambda \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\alpha-1} \exp \left\{-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2 t}-q t\right\} d t \tag{4.24}
\end{align*}
$$

Setting $t=\lambda^{2} /(2 q s)$ we obtain :

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{0}\left[f\left(R_{\Theta}\right)\right] & =\frac{q^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{\infty} f(\lambda) d \lambda \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda}{s^{\alpha+1}} \exp \left\{-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2 s}-q s\right\} d s  \tag{4.25}\\
& =\frac{2^{1+\alpha / 2} q^{1-\alpha / 2}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{\infty} f(\lambda) K_{-\alpha}(\lambda \sqrt{2 q}) \frac{d \lambda}{\lambda^{\alpha}}
\end{align*}
$$

b) As for the computation of $E_{0}\left[g\left(L_{\Theta}\right)\right]$, we observe that $L_{\Theta}$ is exponentially distributed with parameter $\frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}\left(\frac{q}{2}\right)^{\alpha}$, since from (2.12) we have :

$$
P_{0}\left(L_{\Theta}>l\right)=P_{0}\left(\Theta>\tau_{l}\right)=E_{0}\left(e^{-q \tau_{l}}\right)=\exp \left\{-l \frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}\left(\frac{q}{2}\right)^{\alpha}\right\}, \quad l>0
$$

Hence :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0}\left[g\left(L_{\Theta}\right)\right]=\frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}\left(\frac{q}{2}\right)^{\alpha} \int_{0}^{\infty} g(l) \exp \left\{-l \frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}\left(\frac{q}{2}\right)^{\alpha}\right\} d l \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying (2.12) with $(l, \lambda)$ changed into $\left(1, q l^{1 / \alpha}\right)$ yields to :

$$
\exp \left\{-l \frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}\left(\frac{q}{2}\right)^{\alpha}\right\}=E\left[e^{-q l^{1 / \alpha} \tau_{1}}\right]=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-q l^{1 / \alpha} s} \gamma_{1}(s) d s=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-q t} \gamma_{1}\left(\frac{t}{l^{1 / \alpha}}\right) \frac{d t}{l^{1 / \alpha}}
$$

Consequently :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0}\left[g\left(L_{\Theta}\right)\right]=\frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}\left(\frac{q}{2}\right)^{\alpha} \int_{0}^{\infty} g(l) d l \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-q t} \gamma_{1}\left(\frac{t}{l^{1 / \alpha}}\right) \frac{d t}{l^{1 / \alpha}} \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

c) Since $f$ and $g$ are arbitrary, it is clear that (4.22), (4.25) and (4.27) imply (4.18).
d) (4.21) follows directly from (4.18).

Lemma 4.4 Let $\beta_{1}^{0}$ and $\beta_{2}^{0}$ be two integrable functions from $\mathbb{R}_{+}$to $\mathbb{R}_{+}$, such that :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{1}^{0}(t) \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{k_{1}}{t^{1+\alpha}} \quad, \quad \beta_{2}^{0}(t) \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{k_{2}}{t^{1+\alpha}} . \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{1}^{0} * \beta_{2}^{0}(t) \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{1}{t^{1+\alpha}}\left\{k_{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \beta_{2}^{0}(u) d u+k_{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \beta_{1}^{0}(u) d u\right\} . \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let us write :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta_{1}^{0} * \beta_{2}^{0}(t) & =\int_{0}^{t} \beta_{1}^{0}(u) \beta_{2}^{0}(t-u) d u \\
& =\int_{0}^{\varepsilon t} \beta_{1}^{0}(u) \beta_{2}^{0}(t-u) d u+\int_{\varepsilon t}^{(1-\varepsilon) t} \cdots d u+\int_{(1-\varepsilon) t}^{t} \cdots d u \\
& =I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $t$ large enough, one has :

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2} & =t \int_{\varepsilon}^{1-\varepsilon} \beta_{1}^{0}(t u) \beta_{2}^{0}(t(1-u)) d u \\
& \leq \frac{k_{1}^{\prime} k_{2}^{\prime}}{t^{1+2 \alpha}} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1-\varepsilon} \frac{1}{u^{1+\alpha}} \frac{1}{(1-u)^{1+\alpha}} d v .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $I_{2}=o\left(\frac{1}{t^{1+\alpha}}\right), t \rightarrow \infty$ and this term does not contribute to the limit. On the other hand, for any $0<\delta<k_{2}$, there exists $r_{0}>0$ such that :

$$
\frac{k_{2}-\delta}{r^{1+\alpha}} \leq \beta_{2}^{0}(r) \leq \frac{k_{2}+\delta}{r^{1+\alpha}}, \quad r \geq r_{0}
$$

Let $0<\varepsilon<1 / 2$ and $t \geq 2 r_{0}$. For any $u \leq \varepsilon t$ we have : $t \geq t-u \geq t(1-\varepsilon) \geq t / 2 \geq r_{0}$. Therefore replacing $r$ by $t-u$ in the previous inequality we get :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{k_{2}-\delta}{t^{1+\alpha}} \leq \beta_{2}^{0}(t-u) \leq \frac{k_{2}+\delta}{t^{1+\alpha}} \frac{1}{(1-\varepsilon)^{1+\alpha}}, \quad t \geq 2 r_{0} \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (4.30) over $[0, \varepsilon t]$ with respect to $\beta_{1}^{0}(u) d u$, we obtain :

$$
\left(k_{2}-\delta\right) \int_{0}^{\varepsilon t} \beta_{1}^{0}(u) d u \leq t^{1+\alpha} I_{1} \leq \frac{k_{2}+\delta}{(1-\varepsilon)^{1+\alpha}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \beta_{1}^{0}(u) d u .
$$

Taking the limit $t \rightarrow \infty$ we have :

$$
\left(k_{2}-\delta\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} \beta_{1}^{0}(u) d u \leq \liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left(t^{1+\alpha} I_{1}\right) \leq \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left(t^{1+\alpha} I_{1}\right) \leq \frac{k_{2}+\delta}{(1-\varepsilon)^{1+\alpha}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \beta_{1}^{0}(u) d u
$$

Taking the limit $\delta, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ implies that $I_{1} \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{k_{2}}{t^{(1+\alpha)}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \beta_{1}^{0}(u) d u$.
Since

$$
I_{3}=\int_{(1-\varepsilon) t}^{t} \beta_{1}^{0}(u) \beta_{2}^{0}(t-u) d u=\int_{0}^{\varepsilon t} \beta_{2}^{0}(u) \beta_{1}^{0}(t-u) d u
$$

we can apply the previous result, with $\beta_{1}^{0}$ and $\beta_{2}^{0}$ interchanged, to obtain :

$$
I_{3} \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{k_{1}}{t^{(1+\alpha)}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \beta_{2}^{0}(u) d u .
$$

Thanks to Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4, we are able to determine the asymptotic behavior of $p_{R, L, t}(x, y)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Observe that we may not deduce it from (4.18), since we do not know that $t \mapsto p_{R, L, t}(x, y)$ is monotone, hence the Tauberian theorem may not be applied.

Lemma 4.5 The following equivalence holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{R, L, t}(x, y) \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{2^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \frac{x+y x^{1-2 \alpha}}{t^{1+\alpha}}, \quad x, y>0 . \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Recall that $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ are defined resp. in (4.19), (4.20).
a) It is clear that :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{1}(x, t) \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{2^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \frac{x}{t^{\alpha+1}} \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that from (2.12), we have :

$$
E_{0}\left(e^{-q \tau_{1}}\right)=\exp -\left(\frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}\left(\frac{q}{2}\right)^{\alpha}\right), \quad q \geq 0
$$

Then we deduce, by differentiating both sides of this identity with respect to $q$ and using the Tauberian theorem that :

$$
\gamma_{1}(t) \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{\alpha 2^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \frac{1}{t^{1+\alpha}}
$$

Hence :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{2}(y, t) \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{\alpha 2^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \frac{y}{t^{1+\alpha}} \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

b) We have :

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \beta_{1}(x, t) d t & =\frac{x^{1-2 \alpha}}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \Gamma(\alpha)=\frac{x^{1-2 \alpha}}{\alpha}  \tag{4.34}\\
\int_{0}^{\infty} \beta_{2}(y, t) d t & =1 \tag{4.35}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, Lemma 4.5 follows from Lemma 4.4, together with (4.32)-(4.35).

## Proof of Theorem 1.3

1) a) We first prove points 1. and 2. of Theorem 1.3.

We claim that the proofs of (1.14) and (1.15) follow immediately from Lemma 4.1.
From (2.13), we have :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{r}\left(T_{0}>t-s\right)=P\left(\gamma_{\alpha}<\frac{r^{2}}{2(t-s)}\right) \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}\left(\frac{r^{2}}{2 t}\right)^{\alpha} \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relations (2.8) and (2.10) imply :

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{L_{t}}(y)=\frac{1}{t^{\alpha}} p_{L_{1}}\left(\frac{y}{t^{\alpha}}\right) \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{1}{t^{\alpha}} p_{L_{1}}(0)=\frac{1}{t^{\alpha}} \frac{2^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} . \tag{4.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the limit $t \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.1), using (4.2), (4.3) and the two estimates (4.36), (4.37) above demonstrate point 1. of Theorem 1.3.
b) We now prove (1.16).

Let $h: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$as in Theorem 1.1 and $\Lambda_{s} \in \mathcal{F}_{s}$. Thanks to the definition (1.15) of $Q_{0}^{(y)}$ we have :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\infty} Q_{0}^{(y)}\left(\Lambda_{s}\right) h(y) d y & =\int_{0}^{\infty} p_{L_{s}}(y) E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} R_{s}^{2 \alpha} \mid L_{s}=y\right] h(y) d y+\int_{0}^{\infty} E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} 1_{\left\{L_{s}<y\right\}}\right] h(y) d y \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} h\left(L_{s}\right) R_{s}^{2 \alpha} \mid L_{s}=y\right] p_{L_{s}}(y) d y+E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} \int_{0}^{\infty} h(y) 1_{\left\{L_{s}<y\right\}} d y\right] \\
& =E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}}\left\{h\left(L_{s}\right) R_{s}^{2 \alpha}+1-H\left(L_{s}\right)\right\}\right]=E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} M_{s}^{h}\right] \\
& =Q_{0}^{(h)}\left(\Lambda_{s}\right)=\int_{0}^{\infty} Q_{0}^{(h)}\left(\Lambda_{s} \mid L_{\infty}=y\right) h(y) d y
\end{aligned}
$$

the latter relation following from the fact that $L_{\infty}$ admits $h$ as its probability density.
Therefore the two probability measures on $\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\infty}\right), \int_{0}^{\infty} Q_{0}^{(y)}(\cdot) h(y) d y$ and $Q_{0}^{(h)}$ coincide on $\mathcal{F}_{s}$, for any $s \geq 0$, hence they are equal :

$$
Q_{0}^{(h)}(\cdot)=\int_{0}^{\infty} Q_{0}^{(y)}(\cdot) h(y) d y
$$

On the other hand, from the definition (1.15) of $Q_{0}^{(y)}$, we easily deduce that $Q_{0}^{(y)}$ is carried by $L_{\infty}=y$. Indeed, for every $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{0}^{(y)}\left(L_{\infty} \leq y-\varepsilon\right) & =\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} Q_{0}^{(y)}\left(L_{s} \leq y-\varepsilon\right) \\
& =\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty}\left\{p_{L_{s}}(y) E_{0}\left[1_{\left\{L_{s} \leq y-\varepsilon\right\}} R_{s}^{2 \alpha} \mid L_{s}=y\right]+P_{0}\left(L_{s} \leq y-\varepsilon\right)\right\} \\
& =\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} P_{0}\left(L_{s} \leq y-\varepsilon\right) \\
& =0 \quad \text { since } L_{\infty}=\infty, P_{0} \text { a.s. }
\end{aligned}
$$

A similar computation shows that $Q_{0}^{(y)}\left(L_{\infty} \geq y+\varepsilon\right)=0$.
Consequently :

$$
Q_{0}^{(h)}\left(\cdot \mid L_{\infty}=y\right)=Q_{0}^{(y)}(\cdot)
$$

2) Proof of point 3. of Theorem 1.3.

To prove that the limit in (1.18) exists, we start with Lemma 4.2 :

$$
E_{0}\left(\Lambda_{s} \mid R_{t}=x, L_{t}=y\right)=\Theta_{1}+\Theta_{2}, \quad \Lambda \in \mathcal{F}_{s}, t>s
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\Theta_{1} & =\frac{p_{L_{s}}(y) x^{-2 \alpha}}{p_{R, L, t}(x, y)} E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} R_{s}^{2 \alpha} p_{t-s}^{(\alpha)}\left(R_{s}, x\right) \mid L_{s}=y\right] \\
\Theta_{2} & =\frac{1}{p_{R, L, t}(x, y)} E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} \varphi_{3}\left(t-s, R_{s}, x, y-L_{s}\right) 1_{\left\{y>L_{s}\right\}}\right] \tag{4.38}
\end{align*}
$$

the function $\varphi_{3}$ being defined by (4.9).
We study successively the limits of $\Theta_{1}, \Theta_{2}$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
a) From ([16], Chap. 10), we have :

$$
p_{t}^{(\alpha)}(r, a)=\frac{a}{t}\left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^{\alpha} I_{\alpha}\left(\frac{a r}{t}\right) \exp -\frac{a^{2}+r^{2}}{2 t} .
$$

Since $I_{\alpha}(z) \underset{z \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{\alpha}$ an equivalent for $p_{t}^{(\alpha)}(r, a)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ is easily deduced :

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{t}^{(\alpha)}(r, a) \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{2^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \frac{a^{1+2 \alpha}}{t^{1+\alpha}} \tag{4.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently using moreover Lemma 4.5, we obtain :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \Theta_{1}=\frac{p_{L_{s}}(y) x}{x+y x^{1-2 \alpha}} E_{0}\left(1_{\Lambda_{s}} R_{s}^{2 \alpha} \mid L_{s}=y\right) \tag{4.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

b) Next we study the limit of $\Theta_{2}$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

It is clear that (4.9) may be interpreted as :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{3}(u, r, x, y)=\left(\mu(r, \cdot) \star p_{R, L, \cdot}(x, y)\right)(u), \tag{4.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu$ is the density function of $T_{0}$ under $P_{r}$.
Thanks to (2.14), we have :

$$
\mu(r, t) \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{\alpha 2^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \frac{r^{2 \alpha}}{t^{1+\alpha}} .
$$

Taking $q=0$ in (4.18) we have :

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} p_{R, L, t}(x, y) d t=\frac{x^{1-2 \alpha}}{\alpha} .
$$

Hence, applying (4.41) together with Lemmas 4.5 and 4.4 leads to :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varphi_{3}(u, r, x, y) \underset{u \rightarrow \infty}{\sim}\left[\frac{\alpha 2^{-\alpha} r^{2 \alpha}}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \frac{x^{1-2 \alpha}}{\alpha}+\frac{2^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}\left(x+y x^{1-2 \alpha}\right)\right] \frac{1}{u^{1+\alpha}} \\
\underset{u \rightarrow \infty}{\sim}  \tag{4.42}\\
\frac{2^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}\left[x+\left(r^{2 \alpha}+y\right) x^{1-2 \alpha}\right] \frac{1}{u^{1+\alpha}}
\end{gather*}
$$

Plugging this expression in (4.38), and using again (4.31), we deduce that :

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \Theta_{2}=\frac{1}{x+y x^{1-2 \alpha}} E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} 1_{\left(L_{s}<y\right)}\left(x+\left(R_{s}^{2 \alpha}+y-L_{s}\right) a^{1-2 \alpha}\right]\right) .
$$

This result together with (4.40), proves that the limit in (1.18) exists and has the form given in (1.19). 3) We end the proof of Theorem 1.3, by showing point 4.

Following the definitions (1.19) and (1.15), of resp. $Q_{0}^{(x, y)}$ and $Q_{0}^{(y)}$, we have :

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(x+y x^{1-2 \alpha}\right) Q_{0}^{(x, y)}\left(\Lambda_{s}\right)= & x\left(Q_{0}^{(y)}\left(\Lambda_{s}\right)-E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} 1_{\left\{L_{s}<y\right\}}\right]\right) \\
& +E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} 1_{\left\{L_{s}<y\right\}}\left(x+\left(y-L_{s}+R_{s}^{2 \alpha}\right) x^{1-2 \alpha}\right)\right] \\
= & x Q_{0}^{(y)}\left(\Lambda_{s}\right)+x^{1-2 \alpha} E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} 1_{\left\{L_{s}<y\right\}}\left(y-L_{s}+R_{s}^{2 \alpha}\right)\right] \tag{4.43}
\end{align*}
$$

For a given $y>0$, let $h^{y}$ be the function : $h^{y}(x)=\frac{1}{y} 1_{[0, y]}(x), x \geq 0$, and $H^{y}$ the primitive of $h^{y}$, vanishing at 0 ; hence :

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-H^{y}(x)=\int_{x}^{\infty} h^{y}(z) d z=1_{\{x \leq y\}}\left(1-\frac{x}{y}\right) \tag{4.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thanks to (1.16), (1.7) and (1.6), we have :

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} Q_{0}^{(z)}\left(\Lambda_{s}\right) h^{y}(z) d z & =E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} M_{s}^{h^{y}}\right] \\
& =E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}}\left(h^{y}\left(L_{s}\right) R_{s}^{2 \alpha}+1_{\left\{L_{s}<y\right\}}\left(1-\frac{L_{s}}{y}\right)\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{y} E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}}\left(R_{s}^{2 \alpha}+y-L_{s}\right) 1_{\left\{L_{s}<y\right\}}\right] \tag{4.45}
\end{align*}
$$

Plugging (4.45) in (4.43), we get :

$$
Q_{0}^{(x, y)}\left(\Lambda_{s}\right)=\frac{x}{x+y x^{1-2 \alpha}} Q^{(y)}\left(\Lambda_{s}\right)+\frac{x^{1-2 \alpha}}{x+y x^{1-2 \alpha}} \int_{0}^{y} Q_{0}^{(z)}\left(\Lambda_{s}\right) d z
$$

This ends the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Remark 4.6 Suppose that $\alpha=1 / 2$ (i.e : $d=1$ ).

1. Several of the above computations become easier, in particular, that of the function $\varphi_{3}$ introduced in (4.9). From Lévy's theorem :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(S_{t}-X_{t}, S_{t}\right), t \geq 0\right) \stackrel{(d)}{=}\left(\left(R_{t}, L_{t}\right), t \geq 0\right) \tag{4.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, on the left-hand side $\left(X_{t}\right)$ is a standard Brownian motion started at $0,\left(S_{t}\right)$ its unilateral maximum, i.e. $S_{t}=\max _{u \leq t} X_{u}$, and the right-hand side $\left(R_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$ is a reflected Brownian motion (i.e. a Bessel process with index $(-1 / 2)$ ), and $\left(L_{t}\right)$ its local time at level 0 , and ([16] section III. 3 p105), we have :

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{R, L, t}(x, y)=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi t^{3}}}(x+y) e^{-\frac{(x+y)^{2}}{2 t}}\left(=2 \frac{P_{0}\left(T_{x+y}(X) \in d t\right)}{d t}\right), \quad x, y>0 \tag{4.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

since :

$$
P_{0}\left(T_{r}(X) \in d t\right)=\sqrt{\frac{1}{2 \pi t^{3}}} r e^{-\frac{r^{2}}{2 t}} 1_{\{t>0\}} d t, \quad r>0
$$

where $T_{r}(X)$ denotes the first hitting time of level $r$ for the Brownian motion $\left(X_{t}\right)$.
Since under $P_{r}, T_{0}$ is distributed as $T_{r}(X)$ and $T_{r}(X)+\widehat{T_{r^{\prime}}(X)}$ is distributed as $T_{r+r^{\prime}}(X)$, where $\widehat{T_{r^{\prime}}(X)}$ is independent from $T_{r}(X)$ and $\widehat{T_{r^{\prime}}(X)} \stackrel{(d)}{=} T_{r^{\prime}}(X)$, then using (4.41), we have :

$$
\varphi_{3}(u, r, x, y)=2 \frac{P\left(T_{x+y+r}(X) \in d u\right)}{d u}
$$

This implies that

$$
\varphi_{3}(u, r, x, y) \underset{u \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi u^{3}}}(x+y+r)
$$

We recover (4.42).
2. We keep the notation relative to Brownian motion introduced above. We have proven in ([18], Theorems 1.2, 1.3 and the proof of Theorem 1.3) that, for $a<y, y \geq 0$ and $\Lambda_{u} \in \mathcal{F}_{u}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} E\left[1_{\Lambda_{u}} \mid X_{t}\right. & \left.=a, S_{t}=y\right] \\
& =\frac{y-a}{2 y-a} p_{S_{u}}(y) E\left[1_{\Lambda_{u}}\left(y-X_{u}\right) \mid S_{u}=y\right]+\frac{1}{2 y-a} E\left[1_{\Lambda_{u}} 1_{\left\{S_{u}<y\right\}}\left(2 y-a-X_{u}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $p_{S_{u}}$ denotes the density function of $S_{u}$.
Obviously, this result is equivalent to :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} E\left[1_{\Lambda_{u}} \mid X_{t}=\right. & \left.y-x, S_{t}=y\right] \\
& =\frac{x}{x+y} p_{S_{u}}(y) E\left[1_{\Lambda_{u}}\left(y-X_{u}\right) \mid S_{u}=y\right]+\frac{1}{x+y} E\left[1_{\Lambda_{u}} 1_{\left\{S_{u}<y\right\}}\left(x+y-X_{u}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, from Lévy's theorem (4.46), we obtain :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{u}} \mid R_{t}\right. & \left.=x, L_{t}=y\right] \\
& =\frac{x}{x+y} p_{L_{u}}(y) E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{u}} R_{u} \mid L_{u}=y\right]+\frac{1}{x+y} E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{u}} 1_{\left\{L_{u}<y\right\}}\left(x+y-L_{u}+R_{u}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

which is indeed (1.19) of our Theorem 1.3 for $\alpha=1 / 2$.

### 4.2 Proof of Theorem 1.4

Let $h: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be a probability density. Recall that $\bar{h}_{x}=\int_{0}^{\infty} h(y)\left(x+y x^{1-2 \alpha}\right) d y<\infty$ where
$x>0$. Define $H(z)=\int_{0}^{z} h(y) d y, z \geq 0$.

1) We first prove (1.23).

It is clear that :

$$
P_{0}\left(L_{t} \in d y \mid R_{t}=x\right)=\frac{p_{R, L, t}(x, y)}{p_{t}^{(-\alpha)}(0, x)} d y=2^{-\alpha} \Gamma(1-\alpha) \frac{e^{x^{2} / 2 t}}{x^{1-2 \alpha}} \frac{1}{t^{\alpha-1}} p_{R, L, t}(x, y) d y
$$

Applying Lemma 4.5, formula (4.31), we obtain :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P_{0}\left(L_{t} \in d y \mid R_{t}=x\right)}{d y} \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{2^{-2 \alpha} \Gamma(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \frac{x+y x^{1-2 \alpha}}{x^{1-2 \alpha}} \frac{1}{t^{2 \alpha}} . \tag{4.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\frac{E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} h\left(L_{t}\right) \mid R_{t}=x\right]}{E_{0}\left[h\left(L_{t}\right) \mid R_{t}=x\right]}=\frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} \mid R_{t}=a, L_{t}=y\right] h(y) P_{0}\left(L_{t} \in d y \mid R_{t}=x\right)}{\int_{0}^{\infty} h(y) P_{0}\left(L_{t} \in d y \mid R_{t}=x\right)}
$$

we deduce from (4.48) and point 3. of Theorem 1.3 that:

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} h\left(L_{t}\right) \mid R_{t}=x\right]}{E_{0}\left[h\left(L_{t}\right) \mid R_{t}=x\right]}=h_{x}^{*} \int_{0}^{\infty} Q_{0}^{(x, y)}\left(\Lambda_{s}\right) h(y)\left(x+y x^{1-2 \alpha}\right) d y
$$

where $h_{x}^{*}=1 / \bar{h}_{x}$.
According to (1.20), we may write the right-hand side as follows :

$$
h_{x}^{*}\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty} Q_{0}^{(y)}\left(\Lambda_{s}\right) x h(y) d y+\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{1-2 \alpha} h(y) d y \int_{0}^{y} Q_{0}^{(z)}\left(\Lambda_{s}\right) d z\right\} .
$$

Applying Fubini's theorem, the previous term equals :

$$
h_{x}^{*}\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty} Q_{0}^{(y)}\left(\Lambda_{s}\right)\left(x h(y)+x^{1-2 \alpha}(1-H(y))\right) d y\right\}=Q^{h_{x}}\left(\Lambda_{s}\right) .
$$

This proves (1.23).
2) Next we prove point 2. of Theorem 1.4.

Let $f: \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be Borel and such that (1.25) holds.
We proceed as above. Using Lemma 4.5 and point 3. of Theorem 1.3 we have :

$$
\frac{E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} f\left(R_{t}, L_{t}\right)\right]}{E_{0}\left[f\left(R_{t}, L_{t}\right)\right]}=\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}} E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} \mid R_{t}=x, L_{t}=y\right] f(x, y) p_{R, L, t}(x, y) d x d y}{\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}} f(x, y) p_{R, L, t}(x, y) d x d y}
$$

Consequently :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} f\left(R_{t}, L_{t}\right)\right]}{E_{0}\left[f\left(R_{t}, L_{t}\right)\right]}=f^{*} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}} Q_{0}^{(x, y)}\left(\Lambda_{s}\right)\left(x+y x^{1-2 \alpha}\right) f(x, y) d y . \\
\frac{E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} f\left(R_{t}, L_{t}\right)\right]}{E_{0}\left[f\left(R_{t}, L_{t}\right)\right]}=\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}} E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} \mid R_{t}=x, L_{t}=y\right] f(x, y) p_{R, L, t}(x, y) d x d y}{\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}} f(x, y) p_{R, L, t}(x, y) d x d y} \\
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} f\left(R_{t}, L_{t}\right)\right]}{E_{0}\left[f\left(R_{t}, L_{t}\right)\right]}=f^{*} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}} Q_{0}^{(x, y)}\left(\Lambda_{s}\right)\left(x+y x^{1-2 \alpha}\right) f(x, y) d y .
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence, from (1.20) and Fubini's theorem, this limit equals :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{*}\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}}\left(x Q_{0}^{(y)}\left(\Lambda_{s}\right)+x^{1-2 \alpha} \int_{0}^{y} Q_{0}^{(z)}\left(\Lambda_{s}\right) d z\right) f(x, y) d x d y\right\} \\
= & f^{*} \int_{0}^{\infty} Q_{0}^{(y)}\left(\Lambda_{s}\right) d y\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty} x f(x, y) d x+\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{1-2 \alpha} d x \int_{y}^{\infty} f(x, z) d z\right\} \\
= & \int_{0}^{\infty} Q_{0}^{(y)}\left(\Lambda_{s}\right) \tilde{f}(y) d y=Q_{0}^{(\tilde{f})}\left(\Lambda_{s}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This ends the proof of Theorem 1.4.

## 5 Proof of Theorem 1.5

Let $h: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$satisfy (1.28).

1) We first prove point 1. of Theorem 1.5 .

Let $t>s \geq 0$ and $\Lambda_{s} \in \mathcal{F}_{s}$.
The Markov property at time $s$ allows to write :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} h\left(L_{t}\right) e^{\lambda R_{t}}\right]}{E_{0}\left[h\left(L_{t}\right) e^{\lambda R_{t}}\right]}=\frac{N(s, t)}{D(t)} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{align*}
& D(t)=E_{0}\left[h\left(L_{t}\right) e^{\lambda R_{t}}\right]=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}} h(y) e^{\lambda x} p_{R, L, t}(x, y) d x d y  \tag{5.2}\\
& N(s, t)=E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} h\left(L_{t}\right) e^{\lambda R_{t}}\right]=E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} N_{1}\left(R_{s}, L_{s}, t-s\right)\right]  \tag{5.3}\\
& N_{1}(x, y, u)=E_{x}\left[h\left(y+L_{u}\right) e^{\lambda R_{u}}\right], \quad x, y, u \geq 0 \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Recall that $p_{R, L, t}(x, y)$ denotes the density function of $\left(R_{t}, L_{t}\right)$ under $P_{0}$.
We study successively the asymptotic behaviors of $D(t)$ and of $N_{1}(x, y, t)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Note that we cannot apply Lemma 4.5 since $f(x, y)=h(y) e^{\lambda x}$ does not satisfy (1.25).
1.a) Let us determine the rate of decay of $D(t)$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Since $p_{R, L, t}$ satisfies (4.21), then

$$
D(t)=\frac{2^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{d u}{(t-u)^{\alpha+1}} \int_{0}^{\infty} d y h(y) \beta_{2}(y, u) \int_{0}^{\infty} x e^{\lambda x-\frac{x^{2}}{2(t-u)}} d x
$$

Setting $x=\lambda(t-u)+z \sqrt{t-u}$ in the integral with respect to $d x$, we obtain :

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} x e^{\lambda x-\frac{x^{2}}{2(t-u)}} d x=(t-u)^{3 / 2} e^{\frac{\lambda^{2}(t-u)}{2}} \int_{-\lambda \sqrt{t-u}}^{\infty}\left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{t-u}}+\lambda\right) e^{-z^{2} / 2} d z \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \lambda \sqrt{2 \pi}(t-u)^{3 / 2} e^{\frac{\lambda^{2}(t-u)}{2}}
$$

Consequently :

$$
\begin{aligned}
D(t) & \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \\
\underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} & \frac{2^{-\alpha} \lambda \sqrt{2 \pi}}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{e^{\frac{\lambda^{2}(t-u)}{2}}}{(t-u)^{\alpha-1 / 2}} d u \int_{0}^{\infty} h(y) \beta_{2}(y, u) d y \\
\Gamma(1+\alpha) & t^{-\alpha} \lambda \sqrt{2 \pi} \\
\frac{1}{2}-\alpha & e^{\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{2}} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2} u}{2}} d u \int_{0}^{\infty} h(y) \beta_{2}(y, u) d y
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, using the definition (4.20) of $\beta_{2}$, we get :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D(t) \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{2^{-\alpha} \lambda \sqrt{2 \pi}}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} t^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} e^{\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{h(y)}{y^{1 / \alpha}} d y \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2} u}{2}} \gamma_{1}\left(\frac{u}{y^{1 / \alpha}}\right) d u \\
& \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{2^{-\alpha} \lambda \sqrt{2 \pi}}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} t^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} e^{\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} h(y) d y \int_{0}^{t / y^{1 / \alpha}} \gamma_{1}(v) e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2} y^{1 / \alpha}}{2} v} d v . \\
& \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{2^{-\alpha} \lambda \sqrt{2 \pi}}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} t^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} e^{\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} h(y) d y \int_{0}^{\infty} \gamma_{1}(v) e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2} y^{1 / \alpha}}{2} v} d v .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\gamma_{1}$ being the density function of $\tau_{1}$, applying identity (2.12) (with $l=1$ and $\lambda$ replaced by $\frac{\lambda^{2} y^{1 / \alpha}}{2}$ ) leads to :

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(t) \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{2^{-\alpha} \lambda \sqrt{2 \pi}}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} h(y) e^{-\sigma_{\lambda} y} d y\right) t^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} e^{\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{2}}, \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\sigma_{\lambda}$ is defined by (1.29).
We now consider the function $N_{1}(x, y, u)$ defined by (5.4), where $x, y \geq 0$ are fixed and $u \rightarrow \infty$.
We decompose $N_{1}(x, y, u)$ as the sum of two terms :

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{1}(x, y, u)=N_{1,1}(x, y, u)+N_{1,2}(x, y, u) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where :

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{1,1}(x, y, u)=E_{x}\left[h\left(y+L_{u}\right) e^{\lambda R_{u}} 1_{\left\{u<T_{0}\right\}}\right]=h(y) E_{x}\left[e^{\lambda R_{u}} 1_{\left\{u<T_{0}\right\}}\right] \\
& N_{1,2}(x, y, u)=E_{x}\left[h\left(y+L_{u}\right) e^{\lambda R_{u}} 1_{\left\{u \geq T_{0}\right\}}\right] \tag{5.7}
\end{align*}
$$

1. b) We look for an equivalent of $N_{1,1}(x, y, u)$, as $u \rightarrow \infty$.

From the absolute continuity relationship between Bessel laws (cf ex 1.22, chap XI in [16])) we get :

$$
N_{1,1}(x, y, u)=h(y) E_{x}^{(\alpha)}\left[\frac{x^{2 \alpha}}{R_{u}^{2 \alpha}} e^{\lambda R_{u}}\right],
$$

where as in the Introduction, under $P_{x}^{(\alpha)}$, the process $\left(R_{t}\right)$ is a Bessel process with index $\alpha$, starting at $x$.
Since (cf for instance section 1. p446 of [16]) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{x}^{(\alpha)}\left(R_{u} \in d a\right)=\frac{a}{u}\left(\frac{a}{x}\right)^{\alpha} I_{\alpha}\left(\frac{a x}{u}\right) \exp -\frac{x^{2}+a^{2}}{2 u} d a \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get:

$$
N_{1,1}(x, y, u)=h(y) \frac{x^{\alpha}}{u} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2 u}+\frac{\lambda^{2} u}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} a^{1-\alpha} I_{\alpha}\left(\frac{a x}{u}\right) e^{-\frac{1}{2 u}(a-\lambda u)^{2}} d a
$$

Setting $a=\lambda u+\sqrt{u} b$, we get :

$$
\begin{align*}
N_{1,1}(x, y, u) & =h(y) x^{\alpha} u^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2 u}+\frac{\lambda^{2} u}{2}} \int_{-\lambda \sqrt{u}}^{\infty}\left(\lambda+\frac{b}{\sqrt{u}}\right)^{1-\alpha} I_{\alpha}\left(\left(\lambda+\frac{b}{\sqrt{u}}\right) x\right) e^{-b^{2} / 2} d b \\
& \underset{u \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} h(y) x^{\alpha} \lambda^{1-\alpha} I_{\alpha}(\lambda x) \sqrt{2 \pi} u^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} e^{\frac{\lambda^{2} u}{2}} . \tag{5.9}
\end{align*}
$$

1. c) We now find an equivalent of $N_{1,2}(x, y, u), u \rightarrow \infty$.

Conditioning with respect to $T_{0}$, we get :

$$
N_{1,2}(x, y, u)=E_{x}\left[\psi\left(u-T_{0}\right) 1_{\left\{u \geq T_{0}\right\}}\right]
$$

where:

$$
\psi(v)=E_{0}\left[h\left(y+L_{v}\right) e^{\lambda R_{v}}\right] .
$$

Applying (5.5), we have :

$$
\psi(v) \underset{v \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{2^{-\alpha} \sqrt{2 \pi} \lambda}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} h(y+z) e^{-\sigma_{\lambda} z} d z\right) v^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} e^{\frac{\lambda^{2} v}{2}}
$$

Consequently :

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{1,2}(x, y, u) \underset{u \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{2^{-\alpha} \sqrt{2 \pi} \lambda e^{\sigma_{\lambda} y}}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}\left(\int_{y}^{\infty} h(z) e^{-\sigma_{\lambda} z} d z\right) E_{x}\left[e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} T_{0}}\right] u^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} e^{\frac{\lambda^{2} u}{2}} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.15), we get finally :

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{1,2}(x, y, u) \underset{u \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \sqrt{2 \pi} \frac{2^{1-2 \alpha} \lambda^{\alpha+1}}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha+1)} x^{\alpha} K_{\alpha}(\lambda x) e^{\frac{\lambda^{2} u}{2}} u^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}(1-\widetilde{H}(y)) \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we denoted :

$$
1-\widetilde{H}(y):=e^{y \sigma_{\lambda}} \int_{y}^{\infty} h(z) e^{-\sigma_{\lambda} z} d z
$$

1. d) We now compute $: \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} h\left(L_{t}\right) e^{\lambda R_{t}}\right]}{E_{0}\left[h\left(L_{t}\right) e^{\lambda R_{t}}\right]}$.

From (5.5), (5.6), (5.7), (5.9) and (5.11), we have :

$$
\frac{E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} h\left(L_{t}\right) e^{\lambda R_{t}}\right]}{E_{0}\left[h\left(L_{t}\right) e^{\lambda R_{t}}\right]} \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{A_{t}}{B_{t}}
$$

where :

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{t}:= & E_{0}\left(1 _ { \Lambda _ { s } } \left[\sqrt{2 \pi} h\left(L_{s}\right) R_{s}^{\alpha} \lambda^{1-\alpha} I_{\alpha}\left(\lambda R_{s}\right)(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} e^{\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2}(t-s)}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{\sqrt{2 \pi} 2^{1-2 \alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(1+\alpha)} \lambda^{\alpha+1} R_{s}^{\alpha} K_{\alpha}\left(\lambda R_{s}\right) e^{\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2}(t-s)}(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}\right]\right) \\
B_{t}= & \frac{2^{-\alpha} \sqrt{2 \pi}}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \lambda e^{\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{2}} t^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} \int_{0}^{\infty} h(\ell) e^{-\ell \sigma_{\lambda}} d \ell \tag{5.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} h\left(L_{t}\right) e^{\lambda R_{t}}\right]}{E_{0}\left[h\left(L_{t}\right) e^{\lambda R_{t}}\right]}=E_{0}\left[1_{\Lambda_{s}} M_{s}\right] \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{s}=e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2} s}{2}} R_{s}^{\alpha}\left\{\left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^{\alpha} \Gamma(1+\alpha) h\left(L_{s}\right) I_{\alpha}\left(\lambda R_{s}\right)+\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{2}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\left(1-\widetilde{H}\left(L_{s}\right)\right) K_{\alpha}\left(\lambda R_{s}\right)\right\} . \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

2) We now prove that $M_{s}=M_{s}^{\lambda, \tilde{h}}, s \geq 0$, where $\left(M_{s}^{\lambda, \tilde{h}}\right)$ is the process defined by (1.32). From (1.33) and (1.34), the function $h$ can be written as a linear combination of $\tilde{h}$ and $1-\tilde{H}$ :

$$
h(y)=\widetilde{h}(y)+\sigma_{\lambda} e^{\sigma_{\lambda} y} \int_{y}^{\infty} h(z) e^{-\sigma_{\lambda} z} d z=\widetilde{h}(y)+\sigma_{\lambda}(1-\tilde{H}(y))
$$

Consequently :

$$
M_{s}=e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2} s}{2}} R_{s}^{\alpha}\left\{\left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^{\alpha} \Gamma(1+\alpha) \tilde{h}\left(L_{s}\right) I_{\alpha}\left(\lambda R_{s}\right)+\left(1-\widetilde{H}\left(L_{s}\right)\right) \xi_{s}\right\}
$$

where :

$$
\xi_{s}=\left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^{\alpha} \Gamma(1+\alpha) \sigma_{\lambda} I_{\alpha}\left(\lambda R_{s}\right)+\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{2}{\Gamma(\alpha)} K_{\alpha}\left(\lambda R_{s}\right) .
$$

Given the relations (see [9] p3 and p108) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(1-\alpha)=\frac{\pi}{\sin (\pi \alpha)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \quad, \quad K_{\alpha}(r)=\frac{\pi}{2 \sin (\pi \alpha)}\left(I_{\alpha}(r)-I_{-\alpha}(r)\right) \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the definition (1.29) of $\sigma_{\lambda}$ implies:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{s} & =\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{\alpha} \Gamma(1-\alpha) I_{\alpha}\left(\lambda R_{s}\right)+\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{\alpha} \Gamma(1-\alpha)\left(I_{\alpha}\left(\lambda R_{s}\right)-I_{-\alpha}\left(\lambda R_{s}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{\alpha} \Gamma(1-\alpha) I_{-\alpha}\left(\lambda R_{s}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves $M_{s}=M_{s}^{\lambda, \tilde{h}}$.
The local behavior of $I_{\beta}(z), z \rightarrow 0$ is known (see [9] formula (5.7.1) p108) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\beta}(z)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\beta)}\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{\beta}+0\left(z^{\beta+2}\right) \quad(z \rightarrow 0) \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} r^{\alpha} I_{\alpha}(\lambda r)=0, \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{r \rightarrow 0}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{\alpha} \Gamma(1-\alpha) r^{\alpha} I_{-\alpha}(\lambda r)=1 \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies :

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} R_{s}^{\alpha} I_{\alpha}\left(\lambda R_{s}\right)=0, \quad \lim _{s \rightarrow 0} R_{s}^{\alpha} \xi_{s}=1
$$

It is clear that $(1.28)$ and (1.34] imply that $\tilde{H}(0)=0$. As a result : $M_{0}^{\lambda, \tilde{h}}=1$.
This ends the proof of point 1. of Theorem 1.5.
3) We verify that $\left(M_{s}^{\lambda, \tilde{h}}, s \geq 0\right)$ is a martingale.

We shall show that $\left(M_{t}^{\lambda, \tilde{h}}, t \geq 0\right)$ is a local martingale. It will suffice to assume that $\tilde{h}$ is of class $C^{1}$ to prove that $\left(M_{t}^{\lambda, \tilde{h}}\right)$ is a martingale (cf. point 2) of the proof of Theorem 1.1).
It is clear that $\left(M_{t}^{\lambda, \tilde{h}}\right)$ can be decomposed as follows :

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{t}^{\lambda, \tilde{h}}=e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{2}}\left\{\left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^{\alpha} \Gamma(1+\alpha) \tilde{h}\left(L_{t}\right) \Psi_{1}\left(R_{t}\right)+\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{\alpha} \Gamma(1-\alpha)\left(1-\widetilde{H}\left(L_{t}\right)\right) \Psi_{2}\left(R_{t}\right)\right\} \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Psi_{1}, \Psi_{2}: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$are defined by :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{1}(r):=r^{\alpha} I_{\alpha}(\lambda r) \quad \Psi_{2}(r):=r^{\alpha} I_{-\alpha}(\lambda r) \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. a) In a first step, we prove that $\left(\Psi_{i}\left(R_{t}\right)\right), i=1,2$ are two semimartingales, and we determine their decompositions.
Using [9] p110, we have :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y^{\prime \prime}(r)+\frac{1}{r} Y^{\prime}(r)=\left(1+\frac{\alpha^{2}}{r^{2}}\right) Y(r) \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y(r)$ denotes either $I_{\alpha}(r)$ or $K_{\alpha}(r)$.
Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L} \Psi_{i}(r)=\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} \Psi_{i}(r) \quad(i=1,2) \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ denotes the infinitesimal generator of $\left(R_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$ (cf. (2.1)).
We deduce from property (5.16) (with $\beta=-\alpha$ ) and the definition (2.2) of the domain $\mathcal{D}$ of $\mathcal{L}$ that $\Psi_{2} \in \mathcal{D}$, and :

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi_{2}\left(R_{t}\right) & =R_{t}^{\alpha} I_{-\alpha}\left(\lambda R_{t}\right)=\int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{L} \Psi_{2}\left(R_{s}\right) d s+M_{2}(t) \\
& =\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{t} R_{s}^{\alpha} I_{-\alpha}\left(\lambda R_{s}\right) d s+M_{2}(t) \tag{5.22}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left(M_{2}(t), t \geq 0\right)$ is a $P_{0}$ local martingale.
As $\Psi_{1} \notin \mathcal{D}$, we write :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{1}(r)=r^{\alpha} I_{\alpha}(\lambda r)=\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} r^{2 \alpha}+\widetilde{\Psi}_{1}(r), \quad r>0 \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relation (5.16) implies that $\widetilde{\Psi}_{1} \in \mathcal{D}$. Moreover $\mathcal{L} \Psi_{1}=\mathcal{L} \widetilde{\Psi}_{1}$, then $\left(\widetilde{\Psi}_{1}\left(R_{t}\right)-\int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{L} \Psi_{1}\left(R_{s}\right) d s\right)$ is a local martingale.
Since from (2.3), $\left(R_{t}^{2 \alpha}-L_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$ is a martingale, then, with the help of (5.21), we get :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{1}\left(R_{t}\right)=R_{t}^{\alpha} I_{\alpha}\left(\lambda R_{t}\right)=\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} L_{t}+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{t} R_{s}^{\alpha} I_{\alpha}\left(\lambda R_{s}\right) d s+M_{1}(t) \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(M_{1}(t), t \geq 0\right)$ is a $P_{0}$ local martingale.
3. b) We are now able to prove that $\left(M_{t}^{\lambda, \tilde{h}}\right)$ is a $P_{0}$ local martingale.

With the help of (1.34), (5.18),(5.22) and (5.24), we deduce, from Itô's formula, that :

$$
\begin{align*}
d M_{t}^{\lambda, \tilde{h}}= & -\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} M_{t}^{\lambda, \tilde{h}} d t+e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{2}}\left\{\left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^{\alpha} \Gamma(1+\alpha) \tilde{h}^{\prime}\left(L_{t}\right) \Psi_{1}\left(R_{t}\right) d L_{t}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^{\alpha} \Gamma(1+\alpha) \tilde{h}\left(L_{t}\right)\left[\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} d L_{t}+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} R_{t}^{\alpha} I_{\alpha}\left(\lambda R_{t}\right) d t\right]\right\} \\
& \left.+e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{2}}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{\alpha} \Gamma(1-\alpha)\left\{-\tilde{h}\left(L_{t}\right) \Psi_{2}\left(R_{t}\right)\right) d L_{t}+\left(1-\tilde{H}\left(L_{t}\right)\right) R_{t}^{\alpha} I_{-\alpha}\left(\lambda R_{t}\right) d t\right\} \\
& +d M_{3}(t) \tag{5.25}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left(M_{3}(t)\right)$ is a local martingale.
It is clear that (5.17) implies that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{1}(0)=0, \quad \Psi_{2}(0)=\left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \tag{5.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using moreover (5.14), it is easy to verify that, in (5.25), both the terms in $(d t)$ and those in $\left(d L_{t}\right)$ are equal to 0 .
Note that the relations (5.26) and (5.18) force $M_{0}^{\lambda, \tilde{h}}=1$.
4) We now prove point 3. (a) of Theorem 1.5.

Indeed, for every $t$ and $c>0$, one has :

$$
Q_{0}^{(\lambda, \tilde{h})}\left(L_{t}>c\right)=Q_{0}^{(\lambda, \tilde{h})}\left(\tau_{c}<t\right)=E_{0}\left[1_{\left(\tau_{c}<t\right)} M_{t}^{\lambda, \tilde{h}}\right]
$$

Using successively Doob's optional stopping theorem and the property : $M_{\tau_{c}}^{\lambda, \tilde{h}}=e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} \tau_{c}}(1-\widetilde{H}(c))$, we obtain :

$$
Q_{0}^{(\lambda, \tilde{h})}\left(L_{t}>c\right)=E_{0}\left[1_{\left(\tau_{c}<t\right)} M_{\tau_{c}}^{\lambda, \tilde{h}}\right]=(1-\widetilde{H}(c)) E_{0}\left[1_{\left(\tau_{c}<t\right)} e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} \tau_{c}}\right]
$$

Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$ in the expression above and using (2.12) and (1.29) leads to :

$$
Q_{0}^{(\lambda, \tilde{h})}\left(L_{\infty}>c\right)=(1-\widetilde{H}(c)) E_{0}\left[e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} \tau_{c}}\right]=(1-\widetilde{H}(c)) e^{-c \sigma_{\lambda}}
$$

In order to end the proof of Theorem 1.5 (i.e. points 3 . (b) and (c)), we shall use the technique of progressive enlargement of filtrations, with respect to $g=\sup \left\{t \geq 0: R_{t}=0\right\}$. Thus, we define $\left(\mathcal{G}_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$ to be the smallest filtration which contains $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$ and which makes $g$ a $\left(\mathcal{G}_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ stopping
time. In order to use the enlargement formulae (see for instance [6] or [22]), it is necessary (cf (5.50)) to compute the $\left(\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right), Q_{0}^{(\lambda, \tilde{h})}\right)$ supermartingale :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{t}:=Q_{0}^{(\lambda, \tilde{h})}\left(g>t \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right) \tag{5.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

We determine $Z_{t}$ in the next Lemma 5.1.

Lemma 5.1 We have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{t}=\frac{2^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{2}}\left(1-\widetilde{H}\left(L_{t}\right)\right)\left(\lambda R_{t}\right)^{\alpha} K_{\alpha}\left(\lambda R_{t}\right) \frac{1}{M_{t}^{\lambda, \tilde{h}}}  \tag{5.28}\\
& Q_{0}^{(\lambda, \tilde{h})}(g<\infty)=1 \tag{5.29}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof of Lemma 5.1 1) For any $\Gamma_{t} \in \mathcal{F}_{t}$ we compute:

$$
E_{Q_{0}^{(\lambda, \tilde{h})}}\left[1_{\Gamma_{t}} 1_{\{g>t\}}\right]=E_{Q_{0}^{\lambda, \tilde{h}}}\left[1_{\Gamma_{t}} 1_{\left\{d_{t}<\infty\right\}}\right]=E_{0}\left[1_{\Gamma_{t}} 1_{\left\{d_{t}<\infty\right\}} M_{d_{t}}^{\lambda, \tilde{h}}\right]
$$

where $d_{t}=\inf \left\{s>t ; R_{s}=0\right\}$ is the first time of visit of 0 after time $t$.
Since $M_{d_{t}}=\left(1-\widetilde{H}\left(L_{t}\right)\right) e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} d_{t}}$, then according to Doob's optional stopping theorem we have :

$$
E_{Q_{0}^{(\lambda, \tilde{h})}}\left[1_{\Gamma_{t}} 1_{\{g>t\}}\right]=E_{0}\left[1_{\Gamma_{t}} 1_{\left\{d_{t}<\infty\right\}}\left(1-\widetilde{H}\left(L_{t}\right)\right) e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} d_{t}}\right]
$$

Applying the Markov property at time $t$, we get :

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{Q_{0}^{(\lambda, \tilde{h})}}\left[1_{\Gamma_{t}} 1_{\{g>t\}}\right] & =e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{2}} E_{0}\left[1_{\Gamma_{t}}\left(1-\widetilde{H}\left(L_{t}\right)\right) E_{R_{t}}\left[e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} T_{0}}\right]\right] \\
& =e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{2}} E_{Q_{0}^{(\lambda, \tilde{h})}}\left[1_{\Gamma_{t}}\left(1-\widetilde{H}\left(L_{t}\right)\right) E_{R_{t}}\left[e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} T_{0}}\right] \frac{1}{M_{t}^{\lambda, \tilde{h}}}\right] \tag{5.30}
\end{align*}
$$

Formula (5.28) now follows immediately from (2.15).
2) Taking $\Gamma_{t}=\Omega$ in (5.30), we have :

$$
Q_{0}^{(\lambda, \tilde{h})}(g>t)=e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2} t}{2}} E_{0}\left[\left(1-\widetilde{H}\left(L_{t}\right)\right) E_{R_{t}}\left[e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} T_{0}}\right]\right] \leq e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} t}
$$

Thus, $Q_{0}^{(\lambda, \tilde{h})}(g<\infty)=1$; and it is clear that $Q_{0}^{\lambda, \tilde{h}}(g>0)=1$, since the probabilities $Q_{0}^{(\lambda, \tilde{h})}$ and $P_{0}$ are equivalent on each $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{F}_{t}$.

To obtain the laws of $\left(R_{t}, t \leq g\right)$ and $\left(R_{g+t}, t \geq 0\right)$, the following lemma constitutes a main step.
Lemma 5.2 There exists a $\left(\left(\mathcal{G}_{t}, t \geq 0\right), Q_{0}^{(\lambda, \tilde{h})}\right)$ Brownian motion $\left(W_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$, starting from 0 , such that :

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{t}^{2 \alpha}=2 \alpha \int_{0}^{t} R_{s}^{2 \alpha-1} d W_{s}+L_{t}-2 \alpha \lambda \int_{0}^{t \wedge g} R_{s}^{2 \alpha-1} \frac{K_{\alpha-1}}{K_{\alpha}}\left(\lambda R_{s}\right) d s+2 \alpha \lambda \int_{t \wedge g}^{t} R_{s}^{2 \alpha-1} \frac{I_{\alpha-1}}{I_{\alpha}}\left(\lambda R_{s}\right) d s \tag{5.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Lemma 5.2 We proceed in 4 steps.
i) In order to simplify our notation, we define :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A_{1}:=\left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^{\alpha} \Gamma(1+\alpha) I_{\alpha-1}\left(\lambda R_{s}\right) & A_{2}:=\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{\alpha} \Gamma(1-\alpha) I_{1-\alpha}\left(\lambda R_{s}\right) \\
A_{3}:=\left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^{\alpha} \Gamma(1+\alpha) I_{\alpha}\left(\lambda R_{s}\right) & A_{4}:=\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{\alpha} \Gamma(1-\alpha) I_{-\alpha}\left(\lambda R_{s}\right)  \tag{5.32}\\
A_{5}:=\frac{2^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \lambda^{\alpha+1} K_{\alpha-1}\left(\lambda R_{s}\right) & A_{6}:=\frac{2^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \lambda^{\alpha} K_{\alpha}\left(\lambda R_{s}\right) \\
\tilde{h}:=\tilde{h}\left(L_{s}\right) & \widetilde{H}:=\widetilde{H}\left(L_{s}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Hence, with the help of these notation :

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{s}^{\lambda, \tilde{h}}=e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2} s}{2}} R_{s}^{\alpha}\left(A_{3} \tilde{h}+A_{4}(1-\widetilde{H})\right)  \tag{5.33}\\
& Z_{s}=\frac{A_{6}(1-\widetilde{H})}{A_{3} \tilde{h}+A_{4}(1-\widetilde{H})} \tag{5.34}
\end{align*}
$$

ii) With the help of (2.3), we know that there exists a $\left(\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}, P_{0}\right)$ Brownian motion $\left(B_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$ such that :

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{t}^{2 \alpha}=2 \alpha \int_{0}^{t} R_{s}^{2 \alpha-1} d B_{s}+L_{t} \tag{5.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

This leads us to write the following function $\Psi_{1}(r)$ as a function of $r^{2 \alpha}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{1}(r):=r^{\alpha} I_{\alpha}(\lambda r)=\bar{\Psi}_{1}\left(r^{2 \alpha}\right), \quad r>0 \tag{5.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\Psi}_{1}(x)=\sqrt{x} I_{\alpha}\left(\lambda x^{\frac{1}{2 \alpha}}\right), \quad x>0 \tag{5.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the first formula of (5.7.9) in ([9], p.110) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha I_{\alpha}(r)+r I_{\alpha}^{\prime}(r)=r I_{\alpha-1}(r) \tag{5.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is easy to prove that :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\Psi}_{1}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{\lambda}{2 \alpha} x^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2 \alpha}} I_{\alpha-1}\left(\lambda x^{\frac{1}{2 \alpha}}\right), \quad x>0 \tag{5.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, introducing :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{2}(r)=r^{\alpha} I_{-\alpha}(\lambda r)=\bar{\Psi}_{2}\left(r^{2 \alpha}\right), \quad r>0 \tag{5.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using the second identity of (5.7.9) in ([9], p.110) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha I_{-\alpha}(r)+r I_{-\alpha}^{\prime}(r)=r I_{1-\alpha}(r) \tag{5.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\Psi}_{2}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{\lambda}{2 \alpha} x^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2 \alpha}} I_{1-\alpha}\left(\lambda x^{\frac{1}{2 \alpha}}\right), \quad x>0 \tag{5.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that $\left(M_{t}^{\lambda, \tilde{h}}\right)$ is a $\left(\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}, P_{0}\right)$ martingale and is given by (5.18). Using the above notation, we have:

$$
M_{s}^{\lambda, \tilde{h}}=e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2} s}{2}}\left(\left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^{\alpha} \Gamma(1+\alpha) \tilde{h} \bar{\Psi}_{1}\left(R_{s}^{2 \alpha}\right)+\left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^{-\alpha} \Gamma(1-\alpha)(1-\widetilde{H}) \bar{\Psi}_{2}\left(R_{s}^{2 \alpha}\right)\right)
$$

then Itô's formula and (5.35) imply :

$$
\begin{align*}
d M_{s}^{\lambda, \tilde{h}}= & e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2} s}{2}}\left[\lambda\left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^{\alpha} \Gamma(1+\alpha) \tilde{h}\left(L_{s}\right) R_{s}^{\alpha} I_{\alpha-1}\left(\lambda R_{s}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\lambda\left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^{-\alpha} \Gamma(1-\alpha)\left(1-\widetilde{H}\left(L_{s}\right)\right) R_{s}^{\alpha} I_{1-\alpha}\left(\lambda R_{s}\right)\right] d B_{s} \\
= & \lambda e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2} s}{2}} R_{s}^{\alpha}\left(A_{1} \tilde{h}+A_{2}(1-\widetilde{H})\right) d B_{s} . \tag{5.43}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we consider $\mathcal{N}_{s}$, the numerator of $Z_{s}$, in (5.28), i.e :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}_{s}:=\frac{2^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2} s}{2}}\left(1-\widetilde{H}\left(L_{s}\right)\right)\left(\lambda R_{s}\right)^{\alpha} K_{\alpha}\left(\lambda R_{s}\right)=e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2} s}{2}}(1-\widetilde{H}) R_{s}^{\alpha} A_{6} . \tag{5.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha K_{\alpha}(r)+r K_{\alpha}^{\prime}(r)=-r K_{\alpha-1}(r), \tag{5.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

reasoning as previously, we can prove that, under $P_{0},\left(\mathcal{N}_{s}, s \geq 0\right)$ is a semi-martingale, whose martingale $\left(\mathbf{M}(\mathcal{N})_{s}\right)$ part satisfies :

$$
\begin{align*}
d \mathbf{M}(\mathcal{N})_{s} & =-\frac{2^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \lambda\left(1-\widetilde{H}\left(L_{s}\right)\right) e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2} s}{2}}\left(\lambda R_{s}\right)^{\alpha} K_{\alpha-1}\left(\lambda R_{s}\right) d B_{s} \\
& =-(1-\widetilde{H}) e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2} s}{2}} R_{s}^{\alpha} A_{5} d B_{s} . \tag{5.46}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, denoting $\left(\mathbf{M}(Z)_{s}\right)$ the martingale part of the semi-martingale $\left(Z_{s}, s \geq 0\right)$ under $P_{0}$, and using (5.33), (5.46), (5.44) and (5.43), we get :

$$
\begin{align*}
d \mathbf{M}(Z)_{s} & =\frac{M_{s}^{\lambda, \tilde{h}} d \mathbf{M}(\mathcal{N})_{s}-\mathcal{N}_{s} d M_{s}^{\lambda, \tilde{h}}}{\left(M_{s}^{\lambda, \tilde{h}}\right)^{2}} \\
& =-(1-\widetilde{H}) \frac{A_{5}\left(A_{3} \tilde{h}+A_{4}(1-\widetilde{H})\right)+\lambda A_{6}\left(A_{1} \tilde{h}+A_{2}(1-\widetilde{H})\right)}{\left(A_{3} \tilde{h}+A_{4}(1-\widetilde{H})\right)^{2}} d B_{s} \tag{5.47}
\end{align*}
$$

iii) According to Girsanov's Theorem, we deduce from (5.43), (5.33), the existence of a $\left(\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}, Q_{0}^{(\lambda, \tilde{h})}\right)$ Brownian motion ( $\left.\widetilde{B}_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$ such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{t}=\widetilde{B}_{t}+\lambda \int_{0}^{t} \frac{A_{1} \tilde{h}+A_{2}(1-\widetilde{H})}{A_{3} \tilde{h}+A_{4}(1-\widetilde{H})} d s \tag{5.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, from (5.47), under $Q_{0}^{(\lambda, \tilde{h})}$, the martingale part $\left(\mathbf{M}^{Q}(Z)_{t}\right)$ of $\left(Z_{t}\right)$ is given by :

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \mathbf{M}^{Q}(Z)_{s}=-(1-\widetilde{H}) \frac{A_{5}\left(A_{3} \tilde{h}+A_{4}(1-\widetilde{H})\right)+\lambda A_{6}\left(A_{1} \tilde{h}+A_{2}(1-\widetilde{H})\right)}{\left(A_{3} \tilde{h}+A_{4}(1-\widetilde{H})\right)^{2}} d \widetilde{B}_{s} \tag{5.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

iv) In this last step, we now use the technique of progressive enlargement of filtrations (see for instance [6], [7], [11] or [22]) under the probability $Q_{0}^{(\lambda, \tilde{h})}$.
With respect to $\left(\mathcal{G}_{t}\right)$, the smallest filtration containing $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)$ and such that $g$ is a $\left(\mathcal{G}_{t}\right)$ stopping time, there exists a $\left(\left(\mathcal{G}_{t}\right), Q_{0}^{(\lambda, \tilde{h})}\right)$ Brownian motion $\left(W_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$ starting from 0 , such that :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{B}_{t}=W_{t}+\int_{0}^{t \wedge g} \frac{1}{Z_{u}} d<Z, \widetilde{B}>_{u}-\int_{t \wedge g}^{t} \frac{1}{1-Z_{u}} d<Z, \widetilde{B}>_{u} \tag{5.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that $<Z, \widetilde{B}>=<\mathbf{M}(Z), \widetilde{B}>$. Consequently ,relations (5.48) and (5.49) imply that :

$$
\begin{equation*}
d<Z, \widetilde{B}>_{s}=-(1-\widetilde{H}) \frac{A_{5}\left(A_{3} \tilde{h}+A_{4}(1-\widetilde{H})\right)+\lambda A_{6}\left(A_{1} \tilde{h}+A_{2}(1-\widetilde{H})\right)}{\left(A_{3} \tilde{h}+A_{4}(1-\widetilde{H})\right)^{2}} d s \tag{5.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (5.35), (5.48) and (5.50), we get :

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{t}^{2 \alpha}=L_{t} & +2 \alpha \int_{0}^{t} R_{s}^{2 \alpha-1} d W_{s}+2 \alpha \lambda \int_{0}^{t} \frac{A_{1} \tilde{h}+A_{2}(1-\widetilde{H})}{A_{3} \tilde{h}+A_{4}(1-\widetilde{H})} R_{s}^{2 \alpha-1} d s \\
& -2 \alpha \int_{0}^{t \wedge g} \frac{A_{5}\left(A_{3} \tilde{h}+A_{4}(1-\widetilde{H})\right)+\lambda A_{6}\left(A_{1} \tilde{h}+A_{2}(1-\widetilde{H})\right)}{A_{6}\left(A_{3} \tilde{h}+A_{4}(1-\widetilde{H})\right)} R_{s}^{2 \alpha-1} d s \\
& +2 \alpha \int_{t \wedge g}^{t}(1-\widetilde{H}) \frac{A_{5}\left(A_{3} \tilde{h}+A_{4}(1-\widetilde{H})\right)+\lambda A_{6}\left(A_{1} \tilde{h}+A_{2}(1-\widetilde{H})\right)}{\left.\left(A_{3} \tilde{h}+A_{4}(1-\widetilde{H})\right)\left(A_{3} \tilde{h}+A_{4}(1-\widetilde{H})\right)-A_{6}(1-\widetilde{H})\right)} R_{s}^{2 \alpha-1} d s,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used (5.34) and :

$$
\frac{1}{1-Z_{s}}=\frac{A_{3} \tilde{h}+A_{4}(1-\widetilde{H})}{A_{3} \tilde{h}+A_{4}(1-\widetilde{H})-A_{6}(1-\widetilde{H})}
$$

Hence :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.R_{t}^{2 \alpha}=L_{t}+2 \alpha \int_{0}^{t} R_{s}^{2 \alpha-1} d W_{s}+2 \alpha \int_{0}^{t \wedge g} R_{s}^{2 \alpha-1} \varphi_{1}(s) d s\right)+2 \alpha \int_{t \wedge g}^{t} R_{s}^{2 \alpha-1} \varphi_{2}(s) d s \tag{5.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

with :

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{1}(s) & =\lambda \frac{A_{1} \tilde{h}+A_{2}(1-\widetilde{H})}{A_{3} \tilde{h}+A_{4}(1-\widetilde{H})}-\frac{A_{5}\left(A_{3} \tilde{h}+A_{4}(1-\widetilde{H})\right)+\lambda A_{6}\left(A_{1} \tilde{h}+A_{2}(1-\widetilde{H})\right)}{A_{6}\left(A_{3} \tilde{h}+A_{4}(1-\widetilde{H})\right)} \\
& =-\frac{A_{5}}{A_{6}}=-\lambda \frac{K_{\alpha-1}\left(\lambda R_{s}\right)}{K_{\alpha}\left(\lambda R_{s}\right)} \tag{5.53}
\end{align*}
$$

and :

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{2}(s) & =\lambda \frac{A_{1} \tilde{h}+A_{2}(1-\widetilde{H})}{A_{3} \tilde{h}+A_{4}(1-\widetilde{H})}+(1-\widetilde{H}) \frac{A_{5}\left(A_{3} \tilde{h}+A_{4}(1-\widetilde{H})\right)+\lambda A_{6}\left(A_{1} \tilde{h}+A_{2}(1-\widetilde{H})\right)}{\left(A_{3} \tilde{h}+A_{4}(1-\widetilde{H})\right)\left(A_{3} \tilde{h}+A_{4}(1-\widetilde{H})\right)-A_{6}(1-\widetilde{H})} \\
& =\frac{\lambda A_{1} \tilde{h}+(1-\widetilde{H})\left(\lambda A_{2}+A_{5}\right)}{A_{3} \tilde{h}+\left(A_{4}-A_{6}\right)(1-\widetilde{H})} \tag{5.54}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (5.15) we have :

$$
A_{4}-A_{6}=\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{\alpha} \Gamma(1-\alpha) I_{-\alpha}\left(\lambda R_{s}\right)-\frac{2^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \lambda^{\alpha} K_{\alpha}\left(\lambda R_{s}\right)=\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{\alpha} \Gamma(1-\alpha) I_{\alpha}\left(\lambda R_{s}\right)
$$

Similarly :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda A_{2}+A_{5} & =\lambda\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{\alpha} \Gamma(1-\alpha) I_{1-\alpha}\left(\lambda R_{s}\right)+\frac{2^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \lambda^{\alpha+1} K_{\alpha-1}\left(\lambda R_{s}\right) \\
& =\lambda\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{\alpha} \Gamma(1-\alpha) I_{\alpha-1}\left(\lambda R_{s}\right)=\lambda\left(A_{4}-A_{6}\right) \frac{A_{1}}{A_{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{2}(s)=\frac{\lambda A_{1} \tilde{h}+(1-\widetilde{H}) \lambda\left(A_{4}-A_{6}\right) \frac{A_{1}}{A_{3}}}{A_{3} \tilde{h}+\left(A_{4}-A_{6}\right)(1-\widetilde{H})}=\frac{\lambda A_{1}}{A_{3}}=\lambda \frac{I_{\alpha-1}\left(\lambda R_{s}\right)}{I_{\alpha}\left(\lambda R_{s}\right)} . \tag{5.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that plugging (5.53) and (5.55) in (5.52) proves Lemma 5.2.
4) Proof of point 3. (c) of Theorem 1.5.
4. a) First, we study $\left(R_{t}, t \leq g\right)$ under $Q_{0}^{(\lambda, h)}$.

Let $f: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be a function of class $C^{2}$, whose support does not contain 0 . We apply Itô's formula to (5.31) and with the function $g(x)=f\left(x^{1 / 2 \alpha}\right)$.
We compute the two first derivatives of $g$ in terms of those of $f$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
g^{\prime}(x) & =f^{\prime}\left(x^{1 / 2 \alpha}\right) \frac{1}{2 \alpha} x^{\frac{1-2 \alpha}{2 \alpha}} \\
g^{\prime \prime}(x) & =f^{\prime \prime}\left(x^{1 / 2 \alpha}\right) \frac{1}{4 \alpha^{2}} x^{\frac{2(1-2 \alpha)}{2 \alpha}}+\frac{1-2 \alpha}{4 \alpha^{2}} f^{\prime}\left(x^{1 / 2 \alpha}\right) x^{\frac{1-4 \alpha}{2 \alpha}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, we get, for $t<g$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(R_{t}\right)= & g\left(R_{t}^{2 \alpha}\right)=\int_{0}^{t} f^{\prime}\left(R_{s}\right) \frac{1}{2 \alpha} R_{s}^{1-2 \alpha}\left[2 \alpha R_{s}^{2 \alpha-1}\left(d W_{s}-\frac{\lambda K_{\alpha-1}}{K_{\alpha}}\left(\lambda R_{s}\right) d s\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left[f^{\prime \prime}\left(R_{s}\right) \frac{1}{4 \alpha^{2}} R_{s}^{2(1-2 \alpha)}+\frac{1-2 \alpha}{4 \alpha^{2}} f^{\prime}\left(R_{s}\right) R_{s}^{1-4 \alpha}\right] 4 \alpha^{2} R_{s}^{2(2 \alpha-1)} d s \\
= & \int_{0}^{t} f^{\prime}\left(R_{s}\right) d W_{s}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(R_{s}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(R_{s}\right)\left(\frac{1-2 \alpha}{2 R_{s}}-\frac{\lambda K_{\alpha-1}}{K_{\alpha}}\left(\lambda R_{s}\right)\right)\right] d s
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves that the process $\left(R_{t}, t \leq g\right)$ admits the infinitesimal generator :

$$
\mathcal{L}^{\downarrow} f(r)=\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime \prime}(r)+\left(\frac{1-2 \alpha}{2 r}-\frac{\lambda K_{\alpha-1}}{K_{\alpha}}(\lambda r)\right) f^{\prime}(r) .
$$

4. b) In this last step we focus on $\left(R_{g+t}, t \geq 0\right)$.

It can be proved analogously, that the infinitesimal generator of this process is $\mathcal{L}^{\uparrow}$ (this operator is defined by (1.37)). The independence of the processes $\left(R_{t}, t \leq g\right)$ and $\left(R_{g+t}, t \geq 0\right)$ follows from the fact that the stochastic differential equation :

$$
\widetilde{R}_{t}=W_{t}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[\frac{1-2 \alpha}{2 \widetilde{R}_{s}}+\frac{\lambda I_{\alpha-1}}{I_{\alpha}}\left(\lambda \widetilde{R}_{s}\right)\right] d s
$$

admits a unique strong solution.
Note that from (5.16) :

$$
\frac{1-2 \alpha}{2 r}+\lambda \frac{I_{\alpha-1}}{I_{\alpha}}(\lambda r) \underset{r \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \frac{1}{2 r}(1-2 \alpha+4 \alpha)=\frac{1+2 \alpha}{2 r} .
$$

Then, the process $\left(R_{g+t}, t \geq 0\right)$ behaves, near 0 , as a Bessel process with dimension : $\delta=2(1+\alpha)=$ $4-d$. In particular, starting from the origin, it immediately leaves 0 , and never comes back to it (since $\alpha>0$, hence $\delta>2$ ).

Remark 5.3 We note that, most likely, the description we have just given of the $Q_{0}^{(\lambda, h)}$-process may be reproduced for a "general" diffusion. The role of the functions $r^{\alpha} I_{\alpha}(\lambda r)$ and $r^{\alpha} I_{-\alpha}(\lambda r)$ being then played by two linearly independent eigenfunctions of the infinitesimal generator. (See, e.g, [14] for a general framework).
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