



**HAL**  
open science

**IONS-04 (INTEX Ozonesonde Network Study, 2004) :  
Summertime UT/LS (Upper Troposphere/Lower Stratosphere)  
Ozone over Northeastern North America.**

A. Thompson, J. Stone, J. Witte, R. Bradley Pierce, R. Chatfield, S. Oltmans, O.  
Cooper, B. Taubman, B. Johnson, E. Joseph, et al.

► **To cite this version:**

A. Thompson, J. Stone, J. Witte, R. Bradley Pierce, R. Chatfield, et al.. IONS-04 (INTEX Ozonesonde Network Study, 2004) : Summertime UT/LS (Upper Troposphere/Lower Stratosphere) Ozone over Northeastern North America.. Journal of Geophysical Research, 2007, -, <10.1029/2006JD007441>. <hal-00138029>

**HAL Id: hal-00138029**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00138029v1>**

Submitted on 16 Jun 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Copyright - All rights reserved

## Intercontinental Chemical Transport Experiment Ozonesonde Network Study (IONS) 2004:

### 1. Summertime upper troposphere/lower stratosphere ozone over northeastern North America

Anne M. Thompson,<sup>1</sup> Jesse B. Stone,<sup>1</sup> Jacquelyn C. Witte,<sup>2,3</sup> Sonya K. Miller,<sup>1</sup> R. Bradley Pierce,<sup>4</sup> Robert B. Chatfield,<sup>5</sup> Samuel J. Oltmans,<sup>6</sup> Owen R. Cooper,<sup>7,8</sup> Amber L. Loucks,<sup>1</sup> Brett F. Taubman,<sup>1</sup> Bryan J. Johnson,<sup>6</sup> Everette Joseph,<sup>9</sup> Tom L. Kucsera,<sup>2,3</sup> John T. Merrill,<sup>10</sup> Gary A. Morris,<sup>11</sup> Scott Hersey,<sup>12</sup> Gerry Forbes,<sup>13</sup> Michael J. Newchurch,<sup>14</sup> F. J. Schmidlin,<sup>15</sup> David W. Tarasick,<sup>16</sup> Valérie Thouret,<sup>17</sup> and Jean-Pierre Cammas<sup>17</sup>

Received 25 April 2006; revised 15 November 2006; accepted 10 January 2007; published 15 May 2007.

[1] Coordinated ozonesonde launches from the Intercontinental Transport Experiment (INTEX) Ozonesonde Network Study (IONS) (<http://croc.gsfc.nasa.gov/intex/ions.html>) in July–August 2004 provided nearly 300 O<sub>3</sub> profiles from eleven North American sites and the R/V *Ronald H. Brown* in the Gulf of Maine. With the IONS period dominated by low-pressure conditions over northeastern North America (NENA), the free troposphere in that region was frequently enriched by stratospheric O<sub>3</sub>. Stratospheric O<sub>3</sub> contributions to the NENA tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> budget are computed through analyses of O<sub>3</sub> laminae (Pierce and Grant, 1998; Teitelbaum et al., 1996), tracers (potential vorticity, water vapor), and trajectories. The lasting influence of stratospheric incursions into the troposphere is demonstrated, and the computed stratospheric contribution to tropospheric column O<sub>3</sub> over the R/V *Ronald H. Brown* and six sites in Michigan, Virginia, Maryland, Rhode Island, and Nova Scotia, 23% ± 3%, is similar to summertime budgets derived from European O<sub>3</sub> profiles (Collette and Ancellet, 2005). Analysis of potential vorticity, Wallops ozonesondes (37.9°N, 75.5°W), and Measurements of Ozone by Airbus In-service Aircraft (MOZAIC) O<sub>3</sub> profiles for NENA airports in June–July–August 1996–2004 shows that the stratospheric fraction in 2004 may be typical. Boundary layer O<sub>3</sub> at Wallops and northeast U.S. sites during IONS also resembled O<sub>3</sub> climatology (June–July–August 1996–2003). However, statistical classification of Wallops O<sub>3</sub> profiles shows the frequency of profiles with background, nonpolluted boundary layer O<sub>3</sub> was greater than normal during IONS.

**Citation:** Thompson, A. M., et al. (2007), Intercontinental Chemical Transport Experiment Ozonesonde Network Study (IONS) 2004: 1. Summertime upper troposphere/lower stratosphere ozone over northeastern North America, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, D12S12, doi:10.1029/2006JD007441.

<sup>1</sup>Meteorology Department, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania, USA.

<sup>2</sup>Science Systems and Applications, Inc., Lanham, Maryland, USA.

<sup>3</sup>Also at NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland, USA.

<sup>4</sup>Atmospheric Sciences Competency, NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, Virginia, USA.

<sup>5</sup>SGG Division, NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, California, USA.

<sup>6</sup>Earth Systems Research Laboratory, NOAA, Boulder, Colorado, USA.

<sup>7</sup>Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, Boulder, Colorado, USA.

<sup>8</sup>Also at Earth Systems Research Laboratory, NOAA, Boulder, Colorado, USA.

<sup>9</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, Howard University, Washington, D. C., USA.

<sup>10</sup>Graduate School of Oceanography, University of Rhode Island, Narragansett, Rhode Island, USA.

<sup>11</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, Valparaiso University, Valparaiso, Indiana, USA.

<sup>12</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, Rice University, Houston, Texas, USA.

<sup>13</sup>Environment Canada Sable Island, Dartmouth, Nova Scotia, Canada.

<sup>14</sup>Atmospheric Science Department, University of Alabama, Huntsville, Alabama, USA.

<sup>15</sup>NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Wallops Flight Facility, Wallops Island, Virginia, USA.

<sup>16</sup>Meteorological Services Centre, Environment Canada, Downsview, Ontario, Canada.

<sup>17</sup>Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, UMR 5560, Laboratoire d'Aérodynamique, Observatoire Midi-Pyrénées, Toulouse, France.

## 1. Introduction

[2] An ozonesonde network provides consistently placed and well-resolved (100 m in vertical) observations of tropospheric and stratospheric O<sub>3</sub> that satellite and aircraft platforms do not achieve. Relative ease of operations allows for strategic design and sampling to address the mechanistic requirements of a field campaign. When combined with meteorological fields and P-T-U (pressure-temperature-humidity) radiosonde data, portions of the O<sub>3</sub> budget can be deduced without complex models. Illustrations are given by *Teitelbaum et al.* [1994, 1996] where O<sub>3</sub> and water vapor laminae were used to infer gravity and Rossby wave influences in soundings. Similarly, *Merrill et al.* [1996] used O<sub>3</sub> soundings to identify a wave-breaking mechanism for introducing stratospheric O<sub>3</sub> into the middle and upper troposphere in northern hemisphere summer. The work of Collette and colleagues [*Collette et al.*, 2005; *Collette and Ancellet*, 2005], based on thousands of European O<sub>3</sub> profiles from soundings and aircraft, show how persistent are stratospheric influences on O<sub>3</sub> in the free troposphere.

[3] The importance of stratospheric O<sub>3</sub> on the midlatitude free troposphere has also been demonstrated with aircraft data [*Parrish et al.*, 2000; *Esler et al.*, 2003] and by trajectories related to surface O<sub>3</sub> [*Moody et al.*, 1995; *Merrill et al.*, 1996]. These observations show more stratospheric flux during springtime (as expected from models [e.g., *Meloan et al.*, 2003; *Olsen et al.*, 2004]) but the frequency of stratospheric encounters at other seasons is remarkable. Aircraft O<sub>3</sub> profile classifications [*Browell et al.*, 1996a] displayed stratospheric influences in 40–50% (frequency, not mass content) of midlatitude O<sub>3</sub> profiles above 300 hPa during late summer and early fall. In northeastern U.S. and North Atlantic flights designed to sample aircraft and surface-influenced pollution in early fall 1997 [*Singh et al.*, 1999; *Thompson et al.*, 1999], stratospheric O<sub>3</sub> was encountered on 40% of flights. *Cho et al.* [1999, 2001] detailed gravity wave influences on the UT/LS and showed that pollution transport can be modified by stratospheric capping.

[4] During the ICARTT (International Consortium on Atmospheric Research on Transport and Transformation [*Fehsenfeld et al.*, 2006]) and INTEX-NA (Intercontinental Chemical Transport Experiment–North America [*Singh et al.*, 2006]) experiments in July–August 2004, coordinated ozonesonde launches were made through IONS (INTEX Ozonesonde Network Study). In this study, we apply the methods of *Teitelbaum et al.* [1994] and *Pierce and Grant* [1998] to IONS O<sub>3</sub> profiles to address the following: (1) How much tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> in northeastern North America (NENA) originated in the stratosphere? (2) Given that July–August 2004 meteorology over NENA was dominated by persistent low-pressure conditions that favored injection of stratospheric O<sub>3</sub> to the troposphere, was stratospheric influence unusually high compared to other years? (3) How did 2004 BL (boundary layer) O<sub>3</sub> in NENA compare to climatology? Experimental and analytical methods are described with a case study in section 2, followed by two sections that answer the questions.

## 2. Observations and Analysis

### 2.1. IONS-04: Experiment and Ancillary Data

[5] The strategic objectives defining IONS were three-fold. (1) With coordinated launches at participating stations

(Table 1), measurements were timed for satellite overpasses; sonde data were transmitted within 8 hours of launch to North American and European research aircraft field sites to guide Lagrangian sampling. (2) Coverage of IONS from south central United States through maritime Canada, spanning eight of the stations and a ship in the Gulf of Maine, was designed for a cross-sectional view over a major pollution pathway. This is illustrated by the ozone mixing ratio “curtain” for 21 July 2004 (Figure 1). (3) Soundings over coastal California, Colorado, Michigan and Ontario allowed study of intercontinental and cross-continental flows.

[6] Over 290 soundings were taken between 1 July and 15 August 2004, with ECC (electrochemical concentration cell) ozonesondes at all locations. Water vapor was derived from P-T-U profiles measured by radiosondes that flew with the ozonesondes. The ozonesonde-radiosonde system used at Trinidad Head, Houston, Pellston, Huntsville, Narragansett and the R/V *Ronald H. Brown* [*Thompson et al.*, 2000] recorded daily profiles at 1.2-s frequency, generally during overpasses of the Aqua and Aura satellites. The latter was launched on 15 July 2004 with four O<sub>3</sub> instruments (<http://aura.gsfc.nasa.gov>). Other IONS sites launched sondes 1–3 times/week. Images of all IONS O<sub>3</sub> profiles are at <http://croc.gsfc.nasa.gov/intex/ions.html>.

[7] For comparisons with O<sub>3</sub> climatology over NENA, MOZAIC profiles (Measurements of Ozone and Water Vapour by Airbus In-service Aircraft; <http://mozaic.aero.obs-mip.fr/web>) and surface O<sub>3</sub> from an EPA network, <http://www.epa.gov/ttn/airs/airsaqs/detaildata/downloadaqsdata.htm>, are used. Satellite data, trajectory-enhanced meteorological fields and imagery as well as standard analyses (e.g., potential vorticity, potential temperature; all determined with GEOS-4, <http://croc.gsfc.nasa.gov/intex>) are used to interpret O<sub>3</sub> and P-T-U profiles. All trajectories are run with a kinematic version of the Goddard trajectory model [*Schoeberl and Newman*, 1995] using GEOS-4 analyses.

### 2.2. Evaluation of Boundary Layer and Stratospherically Influenced Ozone

[8] Tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> within each sounding is assumed to originate from four processes: boundary layer photochemistry (BL), stratospheric intrusion (ST), interaction of regional pollution with convection plus photochemical reactions from lightning-generated NO (RCL), and advection, including recent transport and aged ozone (AD).

[9] The first two terms in the tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> budget, BL and ST, are foci for the present study. These are computed as follows:

[10] 1. The first term is boundary layer (BL) O<sub>3</sub>. Except for a few low-level inversions (200–300 m), the P-T-U profiles show that the BL over IONS stations was 0.5–1.5 km. The lower limit held for the shipboard soundings [*Angevine et al.*, 2006]. Computed BL O<sub>3</sub> is based on integration from the surface to 0.5 or 1 km, with column amounts given in DU (Dobson Units; 1 DU = 2.69 × 10<sup>16</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>). For comparison to O<sub>3</sub> measured at surface monitoring locations, IONS “surface O<sub>3</sub>” is determined by averaging O<sub>3</sub> measured by the sonde from the surface to 100 m. Including more than the lowest 2–3 values allows for the sonde response time and correction for interferences with surface ECC O<sub>3</sub> readings, although no definite interferences

**Table 1.** IONS Stations in 2004: R/V *Ronald H. Brown* Operating From Portsmouth, New Hampshire

Station	Location
Beltsville, Maryland, USA	39.04°N, 76.52°W
Boulder, Colorado, USA	40.30°N, 105.20°W
Egbert, Ontario, Canada	44.23°N, 79.78°W
Houston, Texas, USA	29.72°N, 95.40°W
Huntsville, Alabama, USA	35.28°N, 86.58°W
Narragansett, Rhode Island, USA	41.49°N, 71.42°W
Pellston, Michigan, USA	45.57°N, 84.68°W
Sable Island, Nova Scotia, Canada	43.93°N, 60.01°W
Trinidad Head, California, USA	40.80°N, 124.15°W
Wallops Island, Virginia, USA	37.85°N, 75.50°W
Yarmouth, Nova Scotia, Canada	43.87°N, 66.12°W


were detected. A similar calculation gives “surface O<sub>3</sub>” from the MOZAIC profiles.

[11] 2. The second term is ST O<sub>3</sub> amount. Determination of the stratospheric contribution to tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> is based on modification of the technique for classifying waves in stable O<sub>3</sub> layers that was introduced by *Teitelbaum et al.* [1994, 1996] and *Pierce and Grant* [1998]. Designated here as the PT (for Pierce and Teitelbaum) or lamina-labeling method, the use of laminae is based on the observation by *Holton* [1987] and others [*Dobson*, 1973; *Reid and Vaughan*, 1991], that most soundings show a relatively constant O<sub>3</sub> profile within the troposphere or stratosphere except where regional perturbations lead to stable layers that deviate significantly from the mean. *Newell et al.* [1999] made similar observations about aircraft O<sub>3</sub> profiles.


[12] In Figure 1, a cross section of O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios from IONS soundings, all the profiles illustrated display a relatively constant mixing ratio between the BL and the

tropopause, whether the latter is determined by conventional thermal or ozone-based definitions. This is evident in individual O<sub>3</sub> profiles depicted in Figure 2a. In Figure 1, Huntsville and Beltsville display a distinct tropopause; the region below the sharp red-to-brown transition can be considered as the upper troposphere (UT). The UT has a more transitional character in a 2-km thick region shaded red-orange-yellow for the other stations. For Sable Island, the mean tropospheric mixing ratio is 40–45 ppbv, corresponding to light blue-light green in Figure 1. For all profiles except Sable Island, the midtropospheric mean O<sub>3</sub> is ~75 ppbv, green-to-yellow shading, and the UT mean is ~100 ppbv O<sub>3</sub>, orange in Figure 1.

[13] In the PT method, stable laminae in O<sub>3</sub> and potential temperature are identified within an ozonesonde-radiosonde pair. Each stable lamina of tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> that is designated as a Rossby wave (RW) is associated with a filament from wave-breaking, i.e., stratospheric influence, provided there is supporting evidence from trajectories and/or tracers, H<sub>2</sub>O or pv (compare the GW studies of *Teitelbaum et al.* [1994]). To apply the laminar method to the tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> budget, the tropopause is first determined. Both a TTP (thermal tropopause) and OTP, an ozone-defined tropopause, are used. The latter is determined with the method of *Browell and coworkers* [*Browell et al.*, 1996a, 1996b; *Fenn et al.*, 1999] where the OTP is the first 100 ppbv O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratio point below a specified rate of stratospheric ozone decline. In Figure 1 this generally corresponds to the first occurrence of 100 ppbv O<sub>3</sub> below the brown color. The pv-tropopause has been compared to the OTP and TTP from the soundings. Our GEOS-4 fields, at 1 × 1-degree resolution, do not resolve the differences among the northeastern and North Atlantic sites studied here. For 21 July 2004, the



**Figure 1.** Cross section of O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios below 17 km on 21 July 2004 from IONS soundings with sites arranged by increasing latitude. The corresponding longitudes order sites from south central to northeast United States–maritime Canada. The four New England–maritime Canada stations (Narragansett, R/V *Ronald H. Brown*, Yarmouth, and Sable Island) display a progression of tropopause height (the red-brown transition in most cases) that increases with increasing latitude and eastward increasing longitude (coordinates in Table 1).



**Figure 2.** (a) Progression of O<sub>3</sub> and relative humidity (RH) profile mixing ratios for four of the stations illustrated in Figure 1, for 21 July 2004. (b) The 300 hPa surface winds and temperature, with blue dots denoting the IONS sites (Table 1), showing cooler temperature along a northeast coastal tongue. This is associated with higher epv (not shown) in a pattern of increasing tropopause height from west to east.

**Table 2.** Ozone on 21 July 2004 at IONS Locations, With Stratospherically Influenced Tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> (ST) and BL O<sub>3</sub><sup>a</sup>

21 Jul 2004	Pells	Belts	WFF	Narra	RHB	Yarm	Sable Island
O <sub>3</sub> (to OTP), DU	42	67.3	69.9	37.3	43.6	52.3	29.7
OTP, km	13.9	13.8	12.4	7.0	9.5	12.7	14.1
RW-OTP (minimum) <sup>b</sup> , DU	5.8	6.6	14.2	0.0	0.0	14.6	2.7
RW-OTP (maximum) <sup>b</sup> , DU	15.7	32.2	22.1	6.7	12.6	21.2	12.8
RW-OTP (minimum fraction)	0.14	0.10	0.20	0.0	0.0	0.28	0.09
RW-OTP (maximum fraction)	0.37	0.48	0.32	0.18	0.29	0.41	0.43
O <sub>3</sub> (to TTP), DU	42.0	49.4	77.2	85.0	60.0	52.3	28.2
TTP, km	13.4	10.9	14.2	13.9	12.7	12.8	13.9
RW-TTP (fraction)	0.23	0.15	0.30	0.33	0.16	0.34	0.16
RW to ST scale factor	...	0.5	0.65	1.0	0.65	0.77	0.0
BL (surface to 0.5 km), DU	1.5	3.4	2.5	2.7	1.6	2.5	1.6
BL (0.5 km fraction to OTP)	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.04	0.05	0.03
BL (surface to 1.0 km), DU	4.4	5.0	4.4	4.4	3.6	3.8	2.6
BL (1.0 km fraction to OTP)	0.10	0.11	0.09	0.10	0.08	0.08	0.06

<sup>a</sup>Pells, Pellston; Belts, Beltsville; WFF, Wallops Island; Narra, Narragansett; RHB, R/V *Ronald H. Brown*; Yarm, Yarmouth.

<sup>b</sup>Minimum, maximum determinations defined in section 2.2 and Figure 3.

example followed in this section (Table 2) Beltsville, Wallops and Narragansett are assigned the same tropopause whereas analysis of the soundings (Figures 1 and 2a) implies a 2.9- to 6.8-km difference, depending on whether OTP or TTP is used.

[14] Following *Pierce and Grant* [1998], laminae are analyzed to 25 or 30 km in the following procedure:

[15] Step 1 is to locate laminae in O<sub>3</sub> through normalization to a running mean and in potential temperature,  $\theta$ , from the radiosonde data. For the 21 July 2004 example, Sable Island has pronounced laminae at 13 km, 10.5 km and 4 km. These appear as solid lines and positive deviations from the 40–45 ppbv O<sub>3</sub> mean in Figure 3a. The  $\theta$  deviations are dotted lines. Negative deviations define O<sub>3</sub> laminae at 13.5 km, 12.2 km, 9 km and 2.5 km. Positive O<sub>3</sub> deviations for the R/V *Ronald H. Brown* and Narragansett (Figures 3b and 3c) are significant at 9 km, where Figure 1 displays more red than orange, and at 3–4 km, which is more yellow-orange than the 75 ppbv O<sub>3</sub> mean.

[16] Step 2 is to compare correlations between O<sub>3</sub> and  $\theta$  gradients to identify gravity waves (GW) and RW. The correlations (dashed lines in Figure 3), with positive values >0.7 define GW. A range of RW limits, with RW denoted by a “low-correlation” criterion, is investigated. Standard PT practice sets the GW limit for correlation within  $\pm 0.3$ . We also considered a RW classification defined with the correlation cutoff raised to  $\pm 0.4$  and lowered to  $\pm 0.2$ , to bracket, respectively, maximum and minimum RW values.

[17] Step 3 is to compute integrated ozone in a layer, in Dobson Units.

[18] Step 4 is to add all such layers within a profile to obtain total RW, absolute and fraction, within the O<sub>3</sub> profile from surface to OTP or TTP.

[19] Two sources of uncertainty affect the RW determination in steps 1–4. First, not all O<sub>3</sub> profiles display sufficiently stable layers to give meaningful results with lamina-labeling. This eliminates profiles affected by active mixing, presumably from both surface and the stratosphere. Depending on the site, 10–20% of the NENA IONS profiles are excluded by this criterion. Second, O<sub>3</sub> and P-T-U data between 750 and 200 hPa during IONS were such that the O<sub>3</sub>– $\theta$  gradient correlations often shifted near the edges of the RW range, e.g., the correlation curve for R/V *Ronald H. Brown* near 9 km in Figure 3b. These uncertain-

ties are estimated as equivalent to a typical O<sub>3</sub> column depth in 0.5 km ( $\pm 2$ –3 DU).

[20] Table 2 summarizes the BL and RW amounts and fractions for six NENA stations on 21 July, along with the same day’s soundings over Pellston, Michigan. Three RW values are given, two based on the minimum and maximum RW criteria for the O<sub>3</sub>-defined tropopause (OTP) and one derived from the standard RW criterion, 0.3 absolute for the low-correlation limit [*Teitelbaum et al.*, 1994; *Pierce and Grant*, 1998], with a thermally defined tropopause (TTP).


[21] For 21 July 2004, the TTP for the NENA sites is 11–14 km. For all but two stations (R/V *Ronald H. Brown* and Narragansett), the OTP and TTP difference is less than 3 km. The greatest divergence between the OTP and TTP, nearly 7 km at Narragansett, leads to a large difference between tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> column and RW fractions computed with the two criteria. The tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> is 37 DU integrated to the OTP (at 7.0 km) whereas total O<sub>3</sub> is 85 DU to 13.9 km. This is equivalent to an RW minimum fraction of 0 (maximum of 0.18), based on the OTP. The conventional RW criterion, correlation  $\leq |0.3|$  with the TTP, gives a fractional value for RW O<sub>3</sub> = 0.33 at Narragansett.

[22] The final step in lamina-labeling as applied to IONS data is the use of tracers to assign the amount of O<sub>3</sub> identified as RW to ST O<sub>3</sub> within the profile.


[23] In step 5 each layer labeled as RW is examined with respect to its corresponding RH or degree of undersaturation; back trajectory origins and potential vorticity [*Stone*, 2006] to assign a scale factor. The scale factor for the 21 July laminae appears in Table 2. Stratospherically influenced O<sub>3</sub> layer amounts are added together to give the total ST O<sub>3</sub> amount.

[24] A systematic analysis of layers in European summer O<sub>3</sub> profiles was presented by *Collette et al.* [2005], who assigned ST amounts affecting the free tropospheric segment of soundings through a 21-factor principal component analysis. They found no differences when the number of factors was reduced to six and the humidity-O<sub>3</sub> relationship turned out to be the most robust indicator of ST impact. Thus our method maps well to the more statistically comprehensive analysis of *Collette et al.* [2005].

[25] In the 21 July 2004 IONS examples, RW is equivalent to ST at Narragansett because the RW-labeled laminae correspond to relatively dry segments of the profile. For



**Figure 3.** Elements of PT analysis for three ozone profiles shown in Figures 1 and 2a: (a) Sable Island, (b) R/V *Ronald H. Brown*, and (c) Narragansett. Ozone laminae (normalized relative to a running mean within the profile) are solid lines. Dotted lines are normalized potential temperature ( $\theta$ ) laminae from P-T-U measurements of the radiosonde flown with the ozonesonde. Dashed lines signify correlations between O<sub>3</sub> and  $\theta$  gradients. Low correlations, within the shaded ranges, are attributed to Rossby waves (RW). The corresponding O<sub>3</sub> layer amounts for a “minimum” RW O<sub>3</sub> in Table 2 are based on dashed lines falling between  $-0.2$  and  $0.2$ ; upper limits for RW O<sub>3</sub> (Table 2 “maximum”) are based on  $-0.4$  to  $0.4$ . The PT method assigns gravity wave (GW) character to high correlations ( $>0.7$ ) between O<sub>3</sub> and  $\theta$  gradients.



8-Day Back-trajectories (in white): RHBrown (P=300mb), Narragansett (P=550mb), Sable Is (P=400mb)


**Figure 4.** IONS sites (red dots) with origins of 8-day back trajectories (in white) from sites Narragansett, R/V *Ronald H. Brown*, and Sable Island, superimposed on trajectory-mapped epv for 21 July 2004. Levels chosen for the trajectory initialization correspond to RW laminae (Figure 3). Pv and meteorological fields used in trajectories, initialized at  $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$  resolution, are from the Goddard Assimilation Model (GEOS-4 version [Bloom *et al.*, 2005]). Trajectory mapping (as depicted in the map) displays a 5-day impact of pv in air parcels arriving at each grid point in contrast to an instantaneous pv value at the location.

Sable Island the entire troposphere is near-saturation and the scale factor is 0. These interpretations are reinforced by pv (in the analyses here, Ertel's pv, computed from GEOS-4) and the origins of back trajectories (Figure 4). Back trajectories initiated from the midtroposphere over the R/V *Ronald H. Brown* and Narragansett show  $O_3$  in those air parcels originating over Canada at  $60^\circ N$  (Figure 4) where the trajectory-mapped pv corresponds to stratospheric values. The levels for the  $O_3$  soundings at which the air parcel trajectories were initialized correspond to laminae associated with RW. The trajectory-mapped pv in Figure 4 displays a filament with elevated pv ( $>3$  pvu at  $\sim 10$  km in Figure 4) over the R/V *Ronald H. Brown* and Narragansett, extending south to the mid-Atlantic region. The temperature on 21 July 2004 (Figure 2b) shows the narrow filament to

be cooler than the surrounding regions. To the east of the filament is a transition toward subtropical pv levels (denoted purple in Figure 4) that includes Yarmouth (red spot in western Nova Scotia; cf. Table 1) and Sable Island (easternmost red spot in Figure 4). As the transition suggests, Yarmouth's 10-km  $O_3$  mixing ratio ( $\sim 80$  ppbv; Figure 1) is less than the 10-km  $O_3$  mixing ratio at Narragansett and the R/V *Ronald H. Brown*, both  $>100$  ppbv. Lamina-labeling is sufficiently sensitive to pick up RW character in the Yarmouth sounding (Table 2); relatively low RH (Figure 2a) confirms stratospheric influence below 12 km.

### 3. Results: The 2004 IONS ST and BL Ozone

[26] Section 3.1 summarizes statistics for BL and ST  $O_3$  over all the NENA IONS soundings. These are discussed



**Figure 5.** (a) Daily pv (interpolated at 150 hPa,  $\sim 13$  km, from GEOS-4 analyses) from 1 July to 15 August 2004 (pluses) with  $O_3$  mixing ratio at 150 hPa (squares) at Narragansett (blue) and Sable Island (red). The R/V *Ronald H. Brown* and Yarmouth pv and  $O_3$  are similar to Narragansett. (b) Scatterplot of  $O_3$  and pv (150 hPa) over five IONS sites for 1 July to 15 August 2004.

with reference to ancillary observations during the IONS period in section 3.2.

### 3.1. Composite ST and BL Ozone Statistics During IONS

[27] The Narragansett OTP-TTP discrepancy described in section 2.2 is not typical for IONS. Over the entire set of profiles analyzed by lamina-labeling, the mean OTP-TTP difference is 0.3 km. Thus, for statistical analysis of ST and BL fractions over IONS profiles as a whole, a single definition (OTP) is used. Averaged parameters for OTP,  $O_3$  column amounts, ST and BL fractions appear in Table 3.

[28] Amounts and fractions of ST  $O_3$  are similar among NENA sites. The mean ST fraction is 0.23 ( $\pm 0.03$ ). BL  $O_3$

amounts for Trinidad Head (not shown) and Pellston, sites usually remote from pollution, are roughly half the amount at NENA locations. Sable Island is also low in BL  $O_3$  because it usually had marine, southeasterly near-surface flows. The most urban site, Beltsville, has the highest BL  $O_3$ ,  $\sim 20\%$  greater than the other locations listed in Table 3.

### 3.2. Observations Related to IONS ST and BL Ozone

[29] Three additional analyses put 2004 ST and BL  $O_3$  into perspective.

[30] 1. The first analysis involves ozone at 10–15 km. To further minimize ambiguity in the interpretation of ST  $O_3$  due to tropopause definitions, the integrated 10–15 km  $O_3$  column is computed (average value in Table 3). This can be

**Table 3.** Maximum and Minimum ST and BL O<sub>3</sub> Amounts and Fractions for IONS-04 Soundings (1 July to 13 August 2004)

IONS-04	Pells	Belts	WFF	Narr	RHB	Yarm	Sable	Trini
O <sub>3</sub> (to OTP), DU	39.9	47.1	47.5	44.7	45.6	45.4	40.7	40
OTP, km	11.7	12.1	13.8	12.2	12.5	12.6	14.8	12.6
RW-OTP (minimum), <sup>a</sup> DU	9.8	14.3	13.6	12.1	8.4	12.5	8.7	9.8
RW-OTP (maximum), <sup>a</sup> DU	17.4	21.8	25.2	20.4	19.3	20.9	17.7	20.3
RW-OTP (minimum fraction)	0.24	0.30	0.29	0.27	0.18	0.28	0.21	0.24
RW-OTP (maximum fraction)	0.44	0.46	0.53	0.46	0.42	0.46	0.43	0.51
RW to ST scale factor <sup>a</sup>	...	0.62	0.56	0.64	0.68	0.63	0.70	...
ST O <sub>3</sub> , <sup>b</sup> DU	...	13.5	16.0	11.7	11.8	11.1	6.6	...
ST O <sub>3</sub> (fraction)	...	0.24	0.28	0.23	0.18	0.22	0.24	...
O <sub>3</sub> (to 15 km), DU	59.6	58.2	49.6	57.2	60.6	52.8	47.5	49.7
BL (surface to 1.0 km), DU	2.6	5.0	4.4	4.4	3.9	3.8	2.6	2.4
BL (1.0 km fraction to OTP)	0.07	0.11	0.09	0.10	0.09	0.08	0.06	0.06
Surface O <sub>3</sub> (0–100 m), ppbv	34	66	47	46	30	35	25	18

<sup>a</sup>Minimum, maximum determinations defined in section 2.2 and Figure 3.

<sup>b</sup>Average from scaling RW-OTP maximum and minimum to ST O<sub>3</sub>.

considered a geometrically prescribed UT/LS O<sub>3</sub> amount. Figure 6a shows that the fraction of O<sub>3</sub> in the 10–15 km layer, relative to surface-to-15 km O<sub>3</sub>, compares well with the below-tropopause RW fraction. RW O<sub>3</sub> fraction averages 0.35 among the six NENA sites; with scaling, the ST O<sub>3</sub> fraction is about 1/3 lower.

[31] 2. The second analysis uses MOZAIC LTO (landing and takeoff) profiles [Thouret *et al.*, 1998]. These are normally measured below 200 hPa (~11 km) and are useful for characterizing UT/LS O<sub>3</sub> when limited sonde data are available [Cooper *et al.*, 2005, 2006] (section 4). The 9–11 km O<sub>3</sub> segments from MOZAIC profiles during JJA 2004, mostly from LTO at New York and Washington, are compared with IONS segments between 9 and 11 km at NENA locations (Figure 6b). Strong similarity in the fractions suggests that characteristics of IONS sonde O<sub>3</sub> profiles, e.g., fractions of ST O<sub>3</sub>, apply to the larger NENA region.

[32] 3. The third analysis employs potential vorticity as a tracer. The mean tropopause height (OTP in Table 3) for the six NENA sites corresponds to 150 hPa. A sequence of 150 hPa O<sub>3</sub> from two IONS sites shows typical tracking with pv (Figure 5a). Stratospheric O<sub>3</sub> is identified with O<sub>3</sub> > 150 ppbv and pv > 3 pvu. When mean O<sub>3</sub> is ~100 ppbv and pv is less than 2 pvu, the PT method may show RW segments and ST O<sub>3</sub>. The positive correlation between pv and O<sub>3</sub> at the sites in Figure 5b is typical of IONS data as are recurring episodes of stratospheric influence throughout July-early August 2004. Thus pv is used as a tracer for stratospheric influence when profile data are not available above 200 hPa (section 4). Figure 5a may suggest a tendency toward lower pv (and lower O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratio) as summer progresses. This is consistent with trajectory analysis of MOZAIC LTO profiles in which Cooper *et al.* [2005] conclude that stratosphere-to-troposphere injections occur throughout North American summer but with declining O<sub>3</sub> flux over time.

[33] Figure 7 presents O<sub>3</sub> surface mixing ratio for six NENA sites during IONS and for four MOZAIC airports (surface to 100 m means in JJA 2004). Because profile data represent 20 s of sampling, surface readings from O<sub>3</sub> monitoring sites (continuous readings) near each city are also presented. The latter are daytime averages from sites reporting to the EPA (<http://www.epa.gov/ttn/airs/airsaqs/detaildata/downloadaqsdata.htm>). The marine locations,


R/V *Ronald H. Brown*, Sable Island and Yarmouth on the western Nova Scotia coast, are in the 25–35 ppbv range. This resembles O<sub>3</sub> in the lowest layer shown for 21 July 2004 in Figures 1 and 2a. On average for the IONS period, Wallops and Narragansett surface O<sub>3</sub>, at 47 and 46 ppbv (Figure 7), respectively, are more affected by pollution than Yarmouth and the R/V *Ronald H. Brown*. The near-urban location, Beltsville, averaged 66 ppbv for the IONS period, greater than the nearby MOZAIC (Washington-Dulles) and EPA Baltimore-Washington data (JJA mean O<sub>3</sub> = 45 ppbv; Figure 7). On average, O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios at IONS sites are lower than MOZAIC surface measurements. Besides the latter being more urban, aircraft profiling usually occurs a few hours after the sondes, at midafternoon to early evening, when surface O<sub>3</sub> is near its daily maximum.

#### 4. The 2004 Ozone and Climatology

[34] To compare ozone from IONS with recent climatology (1996–2004), Wallops sondes and MOZAIC profiles are used to examine the free troposphere and UT/LS (section 4.1) and BL and surface ozone (section 4.2).

##### 4.1. UT/LS Ozone and Potential Vorticity Over NENA (1996–2004)

[35] Wallops is the only NENA IONS station with a multiyear sounding record [Newchurch *et al.*, 2003]. Normal sampling frequency is weekly, usually midweek within a couple hours of local noon. ST and BL O<sub>3</sub>, as in Table 3, are computed from Wallops soundings for JJA 1996–2004. Figure 8a, the time series of mean ST O<sub>3</sub>, shows that 2004 is not unusual in the recent climatology. Nor is the fraction of UT/LS ozone in the 10–15 km layer, also displayed in Figure 8a. Wallops, at the southern end of the region designated as NENA in this study, may not be representative of the northeastern United States or maritimes. MOZAIC LTO O<sub>3</sub> profiles are used as a reference for the mid-upper troposphere (300–200 hPa, 9–11 km). A time series of 1996–2004 MOZAIC and Wallops 9–11 km O<sub>3</sub> column amounts (Figure 8b) shows remarkable consistency within each data set, though MOZAIC averages 2–3 DU greater in absolute O<sub>3</sub> amount and 30–40% fractionally. For 2004, the standard deviation of both data sets is small and the 9–11 km column and fractional amounts are identical, as implied by Figure 7b.



**Figure 6.** (a) Mean RW and ST, fraction of stratospherically influenced O<sub>3</sub> below tropopause (Table 3) at NENA IONS sites, and the mean fraction of O<sub>3</sub> within the layer 10–15 km compared to the total surface-to-15 km O<sub>3</sub> column. RW and ST O<sub>3</sub> amounts are based on averages of minimum and maximum RW-OTP (Table 3). (b) Fractions of O<sub>3</sub> within 9–11 km layer relative to O<sub>3</sub> column from surface to 11 km for IONS sites and MOZAIC LTO profiles at four NENA airports during JJA 2004.

[36] To compensate for limited pre-2004 O<sub>3</sub> data above 200 hPa over NENA, statistics for pv at 150 hPa [cf. *Thompson et al.*, 1999] are used to determine whether ST O<sub>3</sub> fractions during IONS might be unusual. Figure 9 displays probability distributions of daily epv for JJA in 1996–2004 over the locations of Pellston and the six NENA sites analyzed in Tables 2 and 3 and Figures 6 and 7. The 2004 distribution (red in Figure 9) is somewhat broader than other years, with more values >10 pvu. However, standard statistical tests do not show 2004 to be anomalous. Thus pv statistics at IONS sites, like the Wallops O<sub>3</sub> analysis, suggest that 2004 was a typical year for UT/LS O<sub>3</sub> over NENA.


#### 4.2. BL Ozone at NENA IONS Sites in the 1996–2004 Context

[37] The synoptic conditions of NENA during IONS appeared to be anomalous when compared to climatology.

Parameters like temperature, cloud cover, precipitation amount (not shown; see analyses at <http://www.cdc.noaa.gov>) describe a summer that was cooler and wetter than normal. Ozone at the surface, based on daily maximum O<sub>3</sub> values from continuous data over JJA 2004 at EPA sites around Washington, DC; Baltimore and Philadelphia, were in the lowest 25–30% of values recorded during the period 1984–2004 (not shown).


[38] Figure 10 shows the surface (lowest 100 meter averages) O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratio for Wallops and MOZAIC NENA locations, averaged over JJA from 1996 to 2004. EPA daytime mean O<sub>3</sub> averaged over the 5 locations of Figure 7 is also displayed. The individual city data, as means and medians based on data from <http://www.epa.gov/ttn/airs/airsaqs/detaildata/downloadaqdata.htm>, appear in the Auxiliary Material.<sup>1</sup> The EPA O<sub>3</sub> resembles the Wallops

<sup>1</sup>Auxiliary materials are available at <ftp://ftp.agu.org/apend/jd/2006jd007441>.




**Figure 7.** Near-surface O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratio (surface to 100 m) at six IONS sites, averaged during 1 July to 15 August 2004, and mean JJA 2004 surface O<sub>3</sub> from four MOZAIC airports (Boston, New York, Philadelphia, and Washington).

**a) Different Measures of ST at Wallops**




**b) Wallops and MOZAIC in the 9-11 km Column**



**Figure 8.** (a) Mean ST O<sub>3</sub> (DU and fraction) from JJA 1996–2004 at Wallops evaluated by the PT method with mean 10–15 km O<sub>3</sub> amounts from the same soundings. RW O<sub>3</sub> is also displayed. (b) The 9–11 km O<sub>3</sub> amount and fractions from JJA soundings at Wallops and for JJA MOZAIC profiles at New York, Washington, Boston, and Philadelphia.

**Histogram of EPV from 1996-2004 at IONS Sites**



**Figure 9.** Histograms of pv for 1 July through 15 August for years 1996–2004 averaged over locations corresponding to Pellston, Beltsville, and Wallops (same grid point in the meteorological analysis); Narragansett-Yarmouth-R/V Ronald H. Brown (same value in the grid); and Sable Island. Statistical tests (e.g., Kruskal-Wallis) to determine whether any year(s) were distinct showed that 2004 (red) was not; only 2001 (dashed) was unique.

pattern, with the two means within 7 ppbv of one another, Wallops higher in all but 2 years. Wallops surface O<sub>3</sub> during 2004 does not stand out in the record, but mean surface O<sub>3</sub> is 15% lower than the 2001 and 2002 Wallops means. The O<sub>3</sub> column (to 2.0 km) at Wallops was 8.7 DU in 2004 compared to 10.5 ± 0.5 DU for 1996–2003. The MOZAIC surface O<sub>3</sub> data are not always consistent with Wallops and EPA records. It is not clear why MOZAIC O<sub>3</sub> is so low in 1998 and 2000.


[39] Means are not always the most effective way to evaluate O<sub>3</sub> profiles, as *Diab et al.* [2003, 2004] demonstrated with statistical classification of sonde and MOZAIC data over the Johannesburg (25°S, 28°E) area. Like the IONS sites, Johannesburg is a region of mixed pollution, marine and stratospheric influences. Prototype O<sub>3</sub> profiles for the middle and lower troposphere over Johannesburg, corresponding to distinct meteorological situations, emerged from agglomerative hierarchical clustering [*Diab et al.*, 2004] of the data. Following the latter study, we classified the O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratio measurements taken from Wallops sondes up to 200 hPa for JJA in 1996–2004 (148 total sondes in Figure 11). Three prototypes are distinguished in clustered distributions of the Wallops profiles. Category 1, resembling marine tropical air, is minimally polluted throughout the profile. A second category is relatively unpolluted in the lowest 5 km but displays higher O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratio in the middle troposphere. Category 3 is distinguished with high pollution frequency below 5 km. The BL O<sub>3</sub> means are 44 ppbv for category 1, 46 ppbv for category 2, and 56 ppbv for category 3. The yearly distribution for Wallops, displayed as fractions of each year’s JJA profile count, appears in Figure 11. Wallops in 2004 was unique in having 20% of its profiles in category 1, compared to 5 years in 1996–2004 with 5–10% occurrence and 3 years with none. Only 30% of Wallops profiles (a minimum value, met only in one other year) were in category 3 (high-pollution) for 2004, compared to >40% of JJA profiles in five summers in the 1996–2003 period.

**5. Discussion and Summary**


**5.1. Comparison With Other Ozone Studies**

[40] The laminar- and trajectory-based analysis of ST O<sub>3</sub> is based on processes traced for a week or so. During INTEX-A, O<sub>3</sub> and other pollutants were followed by satellite [*Martin et al.*, 2006; *Pfister et al.*, 2005, 2006;

**Mean Surface Ozone at Wallops, MOZAIC (NYC,BOS,PHL,WAS), and EPA Sites (BOS,NYC,PHL,WAS,BAL)**



**Figure 10.** Surface O<sub>3</sub> (mean from surface to 0.1 km) for 1996–2004 (during JJA) from Wallops soundings (blue) and average from MOZAIC LTO profiles at four NENA airports (red). Same profiles are used as in Figure 8. Also displayed are daytime (0900–2100 local time, averaged, in yellow) surface O<sub>3</sub> readings from stations around five NENA cities (New York, Washington, Baltimore, Boston, and Philadelphia). Auxiliary Material gives city-by-city annual mean and median EPA O<sub>3</sub> values.



**Figure 11.** Histogram of the fractions of three statistically classified types of Wallops JJA  $O_3$  profiles (from 0 to 10 km) for 1996–2004. The number of soundings for each JJA is at bottom. Profiles assigned to type 1 represent a low “background” marine-type profile in which  $O_3$  declines monotonically above the surface. Classes 2 and 3 are discriminated by degree of  $O_3$  pollution in the lower troposphere, with class 3 having greatest  $O_3$  below 600 hPa. Class 2 fraction for 2004 is not exceptional but class 1 profiles are the highest fraction in 1996–2004. Class 3 fraction is a low value matched in only one other year. The years 2002 and 2004 represent an opposite distribution. Violations of the National Ambient Air Quality Standard for  $O_3$  numbered 18 in Maryland in 2002 and only one in 2004. Similar statistics applied to New York, Rhode Island, and Massachusetts.

W. W. McMillan et al., AIRS views of transport from 10–23 July 2004 Alaskan/Canadian fires: Correlation of AIRS CO and MODIS AOD and validation of AIRS CO and  $O_3$  retrievals from INTEX-NA aircraft in situ measurements, unpublished manuscript, 2007], aircraft [Pfister et al., 2006; T. D. Fairlie et al., Impact of multiscale dynamical processes and mixing on the chemical composition of the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere during INTEX-A, submitted to *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 2006, hereinafter referred to as Fairlie et al., submitted manuscript, 2006], ship [Fehsenfeld et al., 2006] and a remote ground site [Val Martin et al., 2006]. An  $O_3$  sensor with guided balloon technology followed  $O_3$  laminae with >100 ppbv mixing ratios from New England to the North Atlantic for a week or more [Mao et al., 2006]. Certain trajectory-based techniques allow budget estimates based on longer-term histories. Using a FLEXPART approach [Stohl et al., 1998; Stohl, 2001], Cooper et al. [2006] conclude that NO from lightning was responsible for much UT  $O_3$  measured in IONS soundings over eastern North America. Relevant source apportionments of summertime European  $O_3$  soundings and aircraft profiles appear in Colette et al., [2005]. Their summertime ST fractions average 15–20%, slightly less than the NENA average computed in this study.

[41] The IONS network is the first time that NENA sondes have been distributed with sufficient temporal and spatial coverage to permit evaluation of day-to-day  $O_3$  budgets [Thompson et al., 2007]. The data have been used to evaluate regional and global models [Pierce et al., 2007; Pfister et al., 2006; Tarasick et al., 2007] and to assess  $O_3$

contributions with the FLEX-PART trajectory approach [Cooper et al., 2006]. In the latter study, combined MOZAIC and IONS profiles for some sites make direct comparison between the ST  $O_3$  analyses from the PT (lamina-labeling) method and the FLEXPART budgets impractical. However, for NENA sites where comparisons are straightforward (Sable, Narragansett and the R/V *Ronald H. Brown*), FLEXPART assigns a 10–15% fraction of below-tropopause  $O_3$  to stratospheric influence. The FLEXPART budget is based on an effective tropopause height that averages 1–2 km lower than OTP in Table 3. This would be equivalent to 3–4 DU less ST  $O_3$  (Table 3) or 10% less absolute ST  $O_3$ , bringing the lamina-labeling and FLEXPART estimates into agreement with one another.

## 5.2. Summary

[42] Using several hundred  $O_3$  soundings collected through the IONS network in July–August 2004, the present study evaluates two terms in the budget, BL and ST  $O_3$ . The emphasis is on ST  $O_3$  determined with lamina-labeling and tracers. Over six NENA sites (Wallops, Beltsville, Narragansett, Yarmouth, Sable and the R/V *Ronald H. Brown*) the mean ST  $O_3$  fraction is 23 ( $\pm 3\%$ ). This value is  $\sim 20\%$  fractionally greater than the stratospheric attribution of thousands of summertime  $O_3$  profiles collected over Europe [Collette and Ancellet, 2005]. The latter analysis was based on a more comprehensive set of correlative parameters though the final criteria (humidity and back trajectories) resembled the ones used in the present study.

[43] For the IONS period our ST  $O_3$  amounts are equivalent to stratospherically influenced tropospheric  $O_3$  determined from FLEXPART [Cooper et al., 2006] when tropopause differences between the two methods are taken into account. Other pertinent analyses are those of Pierce et al. [2007] and Fairlie et al. (submitted manuscript, 2006).

[44] BL column  $O_3$  and surface  $O_3$  were also determined from the 2004 IONS soundings. A climatological perspective on IONS is given by Wallops sondes, EPA data from the NE United States and NENA MOZAIC landing/takeoff  $O_3$  profiles from 1996 to 2004. The BL in 2004 over Wallops showed a very low frequency of  $O_3$  surface pollution for JJA in the 1996–2004 period. However, on average, Wallops surface  $O_3$  in 2004 was close to the 9-year average. The MOZAIC and EPA surface  $O_3$  records for 2004 were not unusual either.

[45] For Wallops, the  $O_3$  profiles and pv tracer showed that stratospheric influences in 2004 were typical. Potential vorticity statistics for IONS locations during JJA 1996–2004 suggest that a 15–30% summertime ST  $O_3$  value over NENA could be a general result. Although episodes of midlatitude ST  $O_3$  in summer [Moody et al., 1995; Merrill et al., 1996] or fall [Cho et al., 1999; Thompson et al., 1999] are known over eastern North America and the North Atlantic, there has not been enough profile data to evaluate the  $O_3$  budget. The statistics presented here show that persistent summertime stratospheric influences in the North American troposphere may be more prevalent than previously considered. This conclusion is based on the unique temporal and spatial coverage afforded by the IONS network, MOZAIC and the long-term sounding record at Wallops.

[46] **Acknowledgments.** We are grateful to chief scientist T. S. Bates, J. E. Johnson, D. Hamilton (NOAA/PMEL), and the crew of the R/V *Ronald H. Brown*. Students J. F. Liesch, V. Davis, M. Taylor, O. Hylton, and L. M. Zamora and the NASA/Goddard Directors Discretionary Fund provided the Beltsville soundings. Thanks to J. L. Moody and A. Klepac (University of Virginia) for the Pellston sondes. Useful comments were made by R. R. Dickerson (University of Maryland), K. E. Pickering (NASA/Goddard), and J. L. Moody. NASA's Tropospheric Chemistry Program, NOAA's CMDL and Aeronomy Labs, and Environment Canada were the primary IONS sponsors. Analysis by A.M.T. and J.B.S., whose M.S. Thesis is based on this work, is supported by NASA Aura Validation and Penn State's Meteorology Department. Thanks to C. A. Piety (University of Maryland) and M. P. McEvoy (Penn State) for EPA data and graphics assistance. All IONS data and images reside at the ICARTT/INTEX archive: <http://cloud1.arc.nasa.gov>.

## References

- Angevine, W. M., J. E. Hare, C. W. Fairall, D. E. Wolfe, R. J. Hill, W. A. Brewer, and A. B. White (2006), Structure and formation of the highly stable marine boundary layer over the Gulf of Maine, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *111*, D23S22, doi:10.1029/2006JD007465.
- Bloom, S., et al. (2005), Documentation and validation of the Goddard Earth Observing System (GEOS) data assimilation system—Version 4, *Tech. Rep. Ser. Global Model. Data Assimilation, 104606*, NASA Goddard Space Flight Cent., Greenbelt, Md.
- Browell, E. V., et al. (1996a), Large-scale air mass characteristics observed over the Western Pacific during summertime, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *101*, 1691–1712.
- Browell, E. V., et al. (1996b), Ozone and aerosol distributions and air mass characteristics over the South Atlantic Basin during the burning season, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *101*, 24,043–24,068.
- Cho, J. Y. N., et al. (1999), Observations of convective and dynamical instabilities in tropopause folds and their contribution to stratosphere-troposphere exchange, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *104*, 21,549–21,568.
- Cho, J. Y. N., R. E. Newell, E. V. Browell, W. B. Grant, C. F. Butler, and M. A. Fenn (2001), Observation of pollution plume capping by a tropopause fold, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *28*, 3243–3246.
- Collette, A., and G. Ancellet (2005), Impact of vertical transport processes on the tropospheric ozone layering above Europe. Part II: Climatological analysis of the past 30 years, *Atmos. Environ.*, *39*, 5423–5435.
- Collette, A., G. Ancellet, and F. Borchi (2005), Impact of vertical transport processes on the tropospheric ozone layering above Europe. Part I: Study of air mass origin using multivariate analysis, clustering and trajectories, *Atmos. Environ.*, *39*, 5409–5422.
- Cooper, O. R., et al. (2005), A springtime comparison of tropospheric ozone and transport pathways on the east and west coasts of the United States, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *110*, D05S90, doi:10.1029/2004JD005183.
- Cooper, O. R., et al. (2006), Large upper tropospheric ozone enhancements above mid-latitude North America during summer: In situ evidence from the IONS and MOZAIC ozone measurement network, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *111*, D24S05, doi:10.1029/2006JD007306.
- Diab, R. D., A. Raghunandan, A. M. Thompson, and V. Thouret (2003), Classification of tropospheric ozone profiles over Johannesburg based on MOZAIC aircraft data, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, *3*, 713–723.
- Diab, R. D., A. M. Thompson, K. Mari, L. Ramsay, and G. J. R. Coetzee (2004), Tropospheric ozone climatology over Irene, South Africa from 1990–1994 and 1998–2002, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *109*, D20301, doi:10.1029/2004JD004793.
- Dobson, G. M. B. (1973), The laminated structure of the ozone in the stratosphere, *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, *99*, 599–607.
- Esler, J. G., P. Haynes, K. S. Law, H. Barjat, K. Dewey, J. Kent, S. Schmitgen, and N. Brough (2003), Transport and mixing between air masses in cold frontal regions during Dynamics and Chemistry of Frontal Zones (DCFZ), *J. Geophys. Res.*, *108*(D4), 4142, doi:10.1029/2001JD001494.
- Fehsenfeld, F. C., et al. (2006), International Consortium for Atmospheric Research on Transport and Transformation (ICARTT): North America to Europe—Overview of the 2004 summer field study, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *111*, D23S01, doi:10.1029/2006JD007829.
- Fenn, M., et al. (1999), Ozone and aerosol distributions and air mass characteristics over the south Pacific during the burning season, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *104*, 16,197–16,212.
- Holton, J. R. (1987), The production of temporal variability in trace constituent concentrations, in *Transport Processes in the Middle Atmosphere*, edited by G. Visconti and R. Garcia, pp. 313–326, Springer, New York.
- Mao, H., R. Talbot, D. Troop, R. Johnson, S. Businger, and A. M. Thompson (2006), Smart balloon observations over the North Atlantic: O<sub>3</sub> data analysis and modeling, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *111*, D23S56, doi:10.1029/2005JD006507.
- Martin, R. V., C. E. Sioris, K. Chance, T. B. Ryerson, T. H. Bertram, P. J. Wooldridge, R. C. Cohen, J. A. Neuman, A. Swanson, and F. M. Flocke (2006), Evaluation of space-based constraints on global nitrogen oxide emissions with regional aircraft measurements over and downwind of eastern North America, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *111*, D15308, doi:10.1029/2005JD006680.
- Meloan, J., et al. (2003), Stratosphere-troposphere exchange: A model and method intercomparison, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *108*(D12), 4142, doi:10.1029/2001JD001494.
- Merrill, J. T., J. L. Moody, S. J. Oltmans, and H. Levy II (1996), Meteorological analysis of tropospheric ozone profiles at Bermuda, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *101*, 29,201–29,212.
- Moody, J. L., S. J. Oltmans, H. Levy II, and J. T. Merrill (1995), Transport climatology of tropospheric ozone: Bermuda, 1988–1992, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *100*, 7179–7194.
- Newchurch, M. J., M. A. Ayoub, S. Oltmans, B. Johnson, and F. J. Schmidlin (2003), Vertical distribution of ozone at four sites in the United States, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *108*(D1), 4031, doi:10.1029/2002JD002059.
- Newell, R. N., V. Thouret, J. Y. N. Cho, P. Stoller, A. Marengo, and H. G. Smit (1999), Ubiquity of quasi-horizontal layers in the troposphere, *Nature*, *398*, 316–319.
- Olsen, M. A., M. R. Schoeberl, and A. R. Douglass (2004), Stratospheric-tropospheric exchange of mass and ozone, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *109*, D24114, doi:10.1029/2004JD005186.
- Parrish, D. D., J. S. Holloway, R. Jakoubek, M. Trainer, T. B. Ryerson, G. Hübler, F. C. Fehsenfeld, J. L. Moody, and O. R. Cooper (2000), Mixing of anthropogenic pollution with stratospheric ozone: A case study from the North Atlantic wintertime troposphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *105*(D19), 24,363–24,374.
- Pfister, G., P. G. Hess, L. K. Emmons, J.-F. Lamarque, C. Wiedinmyer, D. P. Edwards, G. Pétron, J. C. Gille, and G. W. Sachse (2005), Quantifying CO emissions from the 2004 Alaskan wildfires using MOPITT CO data, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *32*, L11809, doi:10.1029/2005GL022995.
- Pfister, G. G., et al. (2006), Ozone production from boreal forest fire emissions, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *111*, D24S07, doi:10.1029/2006JD007695.
- Pierce, R. B., and W. B. Grant (1998), Seasonal evolution of Rossby and gravity wave induced laminae in ozonesonde data obtained from Wallops Island, Virginia, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *25*, 1859–1862.
- Pierce, R. B., et al. (2007), Chemical data assimilation estimates of continental U. S. ozone and nitrogen budgets during INTEX-A, *J. Geophys. Res.*, doi:10.1029/2006JD007722, in press.
- Reid, S. J., and G. Vaughan (1991), Lamination in ozone profiles in the lower stratosphere, *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, *117*, 825–844.
- Schoeberl, M. R., and P. A. Newman (1995), A multiple-level trajectory analysis of vortex filaments, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *100*, 25,801–25,815.
- Singh, H. B., A. M. Thompson, and H. Schlager (1999), SONEX airborne mission and coordinated POLINAT-2 activity: Overview and accomplishments, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *26*(20), 3053–3056.
- Singh, H. B., W. H. Brune, J. H. Crawford, D. J. Jacob, and P. B. Russell (2006), Overview of the summer 2004 Intercontinental Chemical Transport Experiment—North America (INTEX-A), *J. Geophys. Res.*, *111*, D24S01, doi:10.1029/2006JD007905.
- Stohl, A. (2001), A 1-year Lagrangian “climatology” of air-streams in the Northern Hemisphere troposphere and lowermost stratosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *106*(D7), 7263–7280.
- Stohl, A., M. Hittenberger, and G. Wotawa (1998), Validation of the Lagrangian dispersion model FLEXPART against large scale tracer experiment data, *Atmos. Environ.*, *32*, 4245–4264.
- Stone, J. B. (2006), Regional variability of Rossby-wave-influenced ozone in the troposphere, M.S. Thesis, Penn. State Univ., University Park.
- Tarasick, D. W., et al. (2007), Comparison of Canadian air quality forecast models with tropospheric ozone profile measurements above midlatitude North America during the IONS/ICARTT Campaign: Evidence for stratospheric input, *J. Geophys. Res.*, doi:10.1029/2006JD007782, in press.
- Teitelbaum, H., J. Ovarlez, H. Kelder, and F. Lott (1994), Some observations of gravity-wave-induced structure in ozone and water vapour during EASOE, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *21*, 1483–1486.
- Teitelbaum, H., M. Moustouli, J. Ovarlez, and H. Kelder (1996), The role of atmospheric waves in the laminated structure of ozone profiles at high latitudes, *Tellus, Ser. A*, *48*, 442–455.
- Thompson, A. M., L. C. Sparling, Y. Kondo, B. E. Anderson, G. L. Gregory, and G. W. Sachse (1999), Perspectives on NO, NO<sub>y</sub> and fine aerosol sources and variability during SONEX, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *26*, 3073–3076.
- Thompson, A. M., B. G. Doddridge, J. C. Witte, R. D. Hudson, W. T. Luke, J. E. Johnson, B. J. Johnson, S. J. Oltmans, and R. Weller (2000), A tropical Atlantic ozone paradox: Shipboard and satellite views of a tropospheric ozone maximum and wave-one in January–February 1999, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *27*, 3317–3320.

- Thompson, A. M., et al. (2007), Intercontinental Chemical Transport Experiment Ozone-sonde Network Study 2004: 2. Tropospheric ozone budgets and variability over northeastern North America, *J. Geophys. Res.*, doi:10.1029/2006JD007670, in press.
- Thouret, V., A. Marengo, J. A. Logan, P. Nédélec, and C. Grouhel (1998), Comparisons of ozone measurements from the MOZAIC airborne program and the ozone sounding network at eight locations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103(D19), 25,695–25,720.
- Val Martín, M., R. E. Honrath, R. C. Owen, G. Pfister, P. Fialho, and R. Barata (2006), Significant enhancements of nitrogen oxides, black carbon and ozone in the North Atlantic lower free troposphere resulting from North American boreal wildfires, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111, D23S60, doi:10.1029/2006JD007530.
- 
- J.-P. Cammas and V. Thouret, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, UMR 5560, Laboratoire d'Aérodynamique, Observatoire Midi-Pyrénées, 14 Avenue E. Belin, F-31400, Toulouse, France. (camjp@aero.obs-mip.fr; thov@aero.obs-mip.fr)
- R. B. Chatfield, SGG Division, NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA 94035, USA. (chatfield@clio.arc.nasa.gov)
- O. R. Cooper, Earth Systems Research Laboratory, NOAA, Boulder, CO 80305, USA. (owen.r.cooper@noaa.gov)
- G. Forbes, Environment Canada Sable Island, 45 Alderney Drive, Dartmouth, NS, Canada, B2Y 2N6. (gerry.forbes@ec.gc.ca)
- S. Hersey, Department of Physics and Astronomy, MS-61, Rice University, 6100 Main Street, Houston, TX 77005, USA. (shersey@rice.edu)
- B. J. Johnson and S. J. Oltmans, Earth Systems Research Laboratory, NOAA, Boulder, CO 80305, USA. (bryan.johnson@noaa.gov; samuel.j.oltmans@noaa.gov)
- E. Joseph, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Howard University, 2355 6th Street NW, Washington, DC 20059, USA. (ejoseph@howard.edu)
- T. L. Kucsera and J. C. Witte, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Code 613.3, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA. (tlk@croc.gsfc.nasa.gov; witte@gavial.gsfc.nasa.gov)
- A. L. Loucks, S. K. Miller, J. B. Stone, B. F. Taubman, and A. M. Thompson, Meteorology Department, Pennsylvania State University, 503 Walker Building, University Park, PA 16802-5013, USA. (anne@met.psu.edu)
- J. T. Merrill, Graduate School of Oceanography, 312 CACS Building, University of Rhode Island, Narragansett, RI 02882, USA. (jmerrill@gso.uri.edu)
- G. A. Morris, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Valparaiso University, 1610 Chapel Drive East, Valparaiso, IN 46383, USA. (gmmorris@valpo.edu)
- M. J. Newchurch, Atmospheric Science Department, University of Alabama, 320 Sparkman Drive, NSSTC 3040, Huntsville, AL 35806, USA. (mike@nsstc.uah.edu)
- R. B. Pierce, Atmospheric Sciences Competency, NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA 23681, USA. (r.b.pierce@larc.nasa.gov)
- F. J. Schmidlin, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Wallops Flight Facility, Wallops Island, VA 23337, USA. (fjs@osb1.wff.nasa.gov)
- D. W. Tarasick, Meteorological Services Centre, Environment Canada, Downsview, ON, Canada, M3H 5T4. (david.tarasick@ec.gc.ca)