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#### Abstract

We consider a smooth groupoid of the form $\Sigma \rtimes \Gamma$ where $\Sigma$ is a Riemann surface and $\Gamma$ a discrete pseudogroup acting on $\Sigma$ by local conformal diffeomorphisms. After defining a $K$-cycle on the crossed product $C_{0}(\Sigma) \rtimes \Gamma$ generalising the classical Dolbeault complex, we compute its Chern character in cyclic cohomology, using the index theorem of Connes and Moscovici. This involves in particular a generalisation of the Euler class constructed from the modular automorphism group of the von Neumann algebra $L^{\infty}(\Sigma) \rtimes \Gamma$.


## I. Introduction

In a series of papers [4, [5] Connes and Moscovici proved a general index theorem for transversally (hypo)elliptic operators on foliations. After constructing $K$-cycles on the algebra crossed product $C_{0}(M) \rtimes \Gamma$, where $\Gamma$ is a discrete pseudogroup acting on the manifold $M$ by local diffeomorphisms [4], they developed a theory of characteristic classes for actions of Hopf algebras that generalise the usual Chern-Weil construction to the non-commutative case [5, [5]. The Chern character of the concerned $K$-cycles is then captured in the periodic cyclic cohomology of a particular Hopf algebra encoding the action of the diffeomorphisms on $M$. The nice thing is that this cyclic cohomology can be completely exhausted as Gelfand-Fuchs cohomology and renders the index computable.
We shall illustrate these methods with a specific example, namely the crossed product of a Riemann surface $\Sigma$ by a discrete pseudogroup $\Gamma$ of local conformal mappings. We find that the relevant characteristic classes are the fundamental class [ $\Sigma$ ] and a cyclic 2-cocycle on $C_{c}^{\infty}(\Sigma) \rtimes \Gamma$ generalising the (Poincaré dual of the) usual Euler class. When applied to the $K$-cycle represented by the Dolbeault operator of $\Sigma \rtimes \Gamma$, this yields a non-commutative version of the RiemannRoch theorem. Throughout the text we also stress the crucial role played by the modular automorphism group of the von Neumann algebra $L^{\infty}(\Sigma) \rtimes \Gamma$.

[^0]
## II. The Dolbeault $K$-cycle

Let $\Sigma$ be a Riemann surface without boundary and $\Gamma$ a pseudogroup of local conformal mappings of $\Sigma$ into itself. We want to define a $K$-cycle on the algebra $C_{0}(\Sigma) \rtimes \Gamma$ generalising the classical Dolbeault complex. Following [4], the first step consists in lifting the action of $\Gamma$ to the bundle $P$ over $\Sigma$, whose fiber at point $x$ is the set of Kähler metrics corresponding to the complex structure of $\Sigma$ at $x$. By the obvious correspondence metric $\leftrightarrow$ volume form, $P$ is the $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}$-principal bundle of densities on $\Sigma$. The pseudogroup $\Gamma$ acts canonically on $P$ and we consider the crossed procuct $C_{0}(P) \rtimes \Gamma$.
Let $\nu$ be a smooth volume form on $\Sigma$. As in [2], this gives a weight on the von Neumann algebra $L^{\infty}(\Sigma) \rtimes \Gamma$ together with a representative $\sigma$ of its modular automorphism group. Moreover $\sigma$ leaves $C_{0}(\Sigma) \rtimes \Gamma$ globally invariant and one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0}(P) \rtimes \Gamma=\left(C_{0}(\Sigma) \rtimes \Gamma\right) \rtimes_{\sigma} \mathbb{R} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the space $P$ is identified with $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ thanks to the choice of the global section $\nu$. Therefore one has a Thom-Connes isomorphism [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{i}\left(C_{0}(\Sigma) \rtimes \Gamma\right) \rightarrow K_{i+1}\left(C_{0}(P) \rtimes \Gamma\right), \quad i=0,1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we shall obtain the desired $K$-homology class on $C_{0}(P) \rtimes \Gamma$. The reason for working on $P$ rather than $\Sigma$ is that $P$ carries quasi $\Gamma$-invariant metric structures, allowing the construction of $K$-cycles represented by differential hypoelliptic operators [ $\square$ ].

More precisely, consider the product $P \times \mathbb{R}$, viewed as a bundle over $\Sigma$ with 2-dimensional fiber. The action of $\Gamma$ extends to $P \times \mathbb{R}$ by making $\mathbb{R}$ invariant. Up to another Thom isomorphism, the $K$-cycle may be defined on $C_{0}(P \times \mathbb{R}) \rtimes \Gamma=\left(C_{0}(P) \rtimes \Gamma\right) \otimes C_{0}(\mathbb{R})$. By a choice of horizontal subspaces on the bundle $P \times \mathbb{R}$, one can lift the Dolbeault operator $\bar{\partial}$ of $\Sigma$. This yields the horizontal operator $Q_{H}=\bar{\partial}+\bar{\partial}^{*}$, where the adjoint $\bar{\partial}^{*}$ is taken relative to the $L^{2}$-norm given by the canonical invariant measure on $P \times \mathbb{R}$ (see $\dagger$ for details). Finally, consider the signature operator of the fibers, $Q_{V}=d_{V} d_{V}^{*}-d_{V}^{*} d_{V}$, where $d_{V}$ is the vertical differential. Then the sum $Q=Q_{H}+Q_{V}$ is a hypoelliptic operator representing our Dolbeault $K$-cycle.
This construction ensures that the principal symbol of $Q$ is completely canonical, because related only to the fibration of $P \times \mathbb{R}$ over $\Sigma$, and hence is invariant under $\Gamma$. Another choice of horizontal subspaces does not change the leading term of the symbol of $Q$. This is basically the reason why $Q$ allows to construct a spectral triple (of even parity) for the algebra $C_{c}^{\infty}(P \times \mathbb{R}) \rtimes \Gamma$.
If $\Gamma=\mathrm{Id}$, then $C_{0}(P \times \mathbb{R}) \rtimes \Gamma=C_{0}(\Sigma) \otimes C_{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and the addition of $Q_{V}$ to $Q_{H}$ is nothing else but a Thom isomorphism in $K$-homology

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{*}\left(C_{0}(\Sigma)\right) \rightarrow K^{*}\left(C_{0}(P \times \mathbb{R})\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

sending the classical Dolbeault elliptic operator $\bar{\partial}+\bar{\partial}^{*}$ to $Q$.

Now we want to compute the Chern character of $Q$ in the periodic cyclic cohomology $H^{*}\left(C_{c}^{\infty}(P \times \mathbb{R}) \rtimes \Gamma\right)$ using the index theorem of [5]. We need first to construct an odd cycle by tensoring the Dolbeault complex with the spectral triple of the real line $\left(C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}), L^{2}(\mathbb{R}), i \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)$. In this way we get a differential operator $Q^{\prime}=Q+i \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ whose Chern character lives in the cyclic cohomology of $\left(C_{c}^{\infty}(P) \rtimes \Gamma\right) \otimes C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. By Bott periodicity it is just the cup product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ch}_{*}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)=\varphi \#\left[\mathbb{R}^{2}\right] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

of a cyclic cocycle $\varphi \in H C^{*}\left(C_{c}^{\infty}(P) \rtimes \Gamma\right)$ by the fundamental class of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. The main theorem of [5] states that $\varphi$ can be computed from Gelfand-Fuchs cohomology, after transiting through the cyclic cohomology of a particular Hopf algebra. We perform the explicit computation in the remaining of the paper.

## III. The Hopf algebra and its cyclic cohomology

First we reduce to the case of a flat Riemann surface, since for any groupoid $\Sigma \rtimes \Gamma$ one can find a flat surface $\Sigma^{\prime}$ and a pseudogroup $\Gamma^{\prime}$ acting by conformal transformations on $\Sigma^{\prime}$ such that $C_{0}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right) \rtimes \Gamma^{\prime}$ is Morita equivalent to $C_{0}(\Sigma) \rtimes \Gamma$ (see [5] and section V below).

Let then $\Sigma$ be a flat Riemann surface and $(z, \bar{z})$ a complex coordinate system corresponding to the complex structure of $\Sigma$. Let $F$ be the $G l(1, \mathbb{C})$-principal bundle over $\Sigma$ of frames corresponding to the conformal structure. $F$ is gifted with the coordinate system $(z, \bar{z}, y, \bar{y}), y, \bar{y} \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$. A point of $F$ is the frame

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y \partial_{z}, \bar{y} \partial_{\bar{z}}\right) \quad \text { at }(z, \bar{z}) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The action of a discrete pseudogroup $\Gamma$ of conformal transformations on $\Sigma$ can be lifted to an action on $F$ by pushforward on frames. More precisely, a holomorphic transformation $\psi \in \Gamma$ acts on the coordinates by

$$
\begin{align*}
& z \rightarrow \psi(z) \quad \operatorname{Dom} \psi \subset F  \tag{6}\\
& y \rightarrow \psi^{\prime}(z) y, \quad \psi^{\prime}(z)=\partial_{z} \psi(z) . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $C_{c}^{\infty}(F)$ be the algebra of smooth complex-valued functions with compact support on $F$, and consider the crossed product $\mathcal{A}=C_{c}^{\infty}(F) \rtimes \Gamma$. $\mathcal{A}$ is the associative algebra linearly generated by elements of the form $f U_{\psi}^{*}$ with $\psi \in \Gamma$, $f \in C_{c}^{\infty}(F), \operatorname{supp} f \subset \operatorname{Dom} \psi$. We adopt the notation $U_{\psi} \equiv U_{\psi^{-1}}^{*}$ for the inverse of $U_{\psi}^{*}$. The multiplication rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1} U_{\psi_{1}}^{*} f_{2} U_{\psi_{2}}^{*}=f_{1}\left(f_{2} \circ \psi_{1}\right) U_{\psi_{2} \psi_{1}}^{*} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

makes good sense thanks to the condition $\operatorname{supp} f_{i} \subset \operatorname{Dom} \psi_{i}$. We introduce now the differential operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=y \partial_{z} \quad Y=y \partial_{y} \quad \bar{X}=\bar{y} \partial_{\bar{z}} \quad \bar{Y}=\bar{y} \partial_{\bar{y}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

forming a basis of the set of smooth vector fields viewed as a module over $C^{\infty}(F)$. These operators act on $\mathcal{A}$ in a natural way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X .\left(f U_{\psi}^{*}\right)=(X . f) U_{\psi}^{*}, \quad Y .\left(f U_{\psi}^{*}\right)=(Y . f) U_{\psi}^{*} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and similarly for $\bar{X}, \bar{Y}$. Remark that the system $(z, \bar{z})$ determines a smooth volume form $\frac{d z \wedge d \bar{z}}{2 i}$ on $\Sigma$. This in turn gives a representative $\sigma$ of the modular automorphism group of $L^{\infty}(\Sigma) \rtimes \Gamma$, whose action on $C_{c}^{\infty}(\Sigma) \rtimes \Gamma$ reads (cf. [3] chap. III)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{t}\left(f U_{\psi}^{*}\right)=\left|\psi^{\prime}\right|^{2 i t} f U_{\psi}^{*}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We let $D$ be the derivation corresponding to the infinitesimal action of $\sigma$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=-\left.i \frac{d}{d t} \sigma_{t}\right|_{t=0} \quad D\left(f U_{\psi}^{*}\right)=\ln \left|\psi^{\prime}\right|^{2} f U_{\psi}^{*} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operators $\delta_{n}, \bar{\delta}_{n}, n \geq 1$ are defined recursively

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{n}=\underbrace{[X, \ldots[X}_{n}, D] \ldots] \quad \bar{\delta}_{n}=\underbrace{[\bar{X}, \ldots[\bar{X}}_{n}, D] \ldots] . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Their action on $\mathcal{A}$ are explicitly given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{n}\left(f U_{\psi}^{*}\right)=y^{n} \partial_{z}^{n}\left(\ln \psi^{\prime}\right) f U_{\psi}^{*}, \quad \bar{\delta}_{n}\left(f U_{\psi}^{*}\right)=y^{n} \partial_{z}^{n}\left(\ln \overline{\psi^{\prime}}\right) f U_{\psi}^{*} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $\delta_{n}, \bar{\delta}_{n}$ represent in some sense the Taylor expansion of $D$. All these operators fulfill the commutation relations

$$
\begin{align*}
{[Y, X] } & =X & {\left[Y, \delta_{n}\right]=n \delta_{n} } \\
{\left[X, \delta_{n}\right] } & =\delta_{n+1} & {\left[\delta_{n}, \delta_{m}\right]=0 } \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

and similarly for the conjugates $\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{\delta}_{n}$. Thus $\left\{X, Y, \delta_{n}, \bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{\delta}_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ form a basis of a (complex) Lie algebra. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be its enveloping algebra. The remarkable fact is that $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hopf algebra. First, the coproduct $\Delta: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ is determined by the action of $\mathcal{H}$ on $\mathcal{A}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta h\left(a_{1} \otimes a_{2}\right)=h\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right) \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H}, a_{i} \in \mathcal{A} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

One has

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta X & =1 \otimes X+X \otimes 1+\delta_{1} \otimes Y  \tag{17}\\
\Delta Y & =1 \otimes Y+Y \otimes 1 \quad \Delta \delta_{1}=1 \otimes \delta_{1}+\delta_{1} \otimes 1
\end{align*}
$$

$\Delta \delta_{n}$ for $n>1$ is obtained recursively from (13) using the fact that $\Delta$ is an algebra homomorphism, $\Delta\left(h_{1} h_{2}\right)=\Delta h_{1} \Delta h_{2}$. Similarly for the conjugate elements. The counit $\varepsilon: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies simply $\varepsilon(1)=1, \varepsilon(h)=0 \forall h \neq 1$.
Finally, $\mathcal{H}$ has an antipode $S: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, determined uniquely by the condition $m \circ S \otimes \operatorname{Id} \circ \Delta=m \circ \operatorname{Id} \otimes S \circ \Delta=\eta \varepsilon$, where $m: \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is the multiplication and $\eta: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ the unit of $\mathcal{H}$. One finds

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(X)=-X+\delta_{1} Y \quad S(Y)=-Y \quad S\left(\delta_{1}\right)=-\delta_{1} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $S$ is an antiautomorphism: $S\left(h_{1} h_{2}\right)=S\left(h_{2}\right) S\left(h_{1}\right)$, the values of $S\left(\delta_{n}\right)$, $n>1$ follow.

We are interested now in the cyclic cohomology of $\mathcal{H}\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { D }\end{array}\right.$, 6 . As a space, the cochain complex $C^{*}(\mathcal{H})$ is the tensor algebra over $\mathcal{H}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{*}(\mathcal{H})=\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The crucial step is the construction of a characteristic map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma: \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n} \rightarrow C^{n}\left(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{*}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

from the cochain complex of $\mathcal{H}$ to the Hochschild complex of $\mathcal{A}$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{A}^{*}$ [3]. First $F$ has a canonical $\Gamma$-invariant measure $d v=d z d \bar{z} \frac{d y d \bar{y}}{(y \bar{y})^{2}}$. This yields a trace $\tau$ on $\mathcal{A}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau(f) & =\int_{F} f d v \quad f \in C_{c}^{\infty}(F), \\
\tau\left(f U_{\psi}^{*}\right) & =0 \quad \text { if } \psi \neq 1 \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Then the characteristic map sends the $n$-cochain $h_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n} \in \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ to the Hochschild cochain $\gamma\left(h_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n}\right) \in C^{n}\left(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{*}\right)$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma\left(h_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n}\right)\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)=\tau\left(a_{0} h_{1}\left(a_{1}\right) \ldots h_{n}\left(a_{n}\right)\right), \quad a_{i} \in \mathcal{A} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The cyclic cohomology of $\mathcal{H}$ is defined such that $\gamma$ is a morphism of cyclic complexes. One introduces the face operators $\delta^{i}: \mathcal{H}^{\otimes(n-1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ for $0 \leq i \leq$ $n$ :

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\delta^{0}\left(h_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n-1}\right) & =1 \otimes h_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n-1} & \\
\delta^{i}\left(h_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n-1}\right) & =h_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \Delta h_{i} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n-1} \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \\
\delta^{n}\left(h_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n-1}\right) & =h_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n-1} \otimes 1 & \tag{23}
\end{array}
$$

as well as the degeneracy operators $\sigma_{i}: \mathcal{H}^{\otimes(n+1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{i}\left(h_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n+1}\right)=h_{1} \otimes \ldots \varepsilon\left(h_{i+1}\right) \ldots \otimes h_{n+1} \quad 0 \leq i \leq n \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, the cyclic structure is provided by the antipode $S$ and the multiplication of $\mathcal{H}$. Consider the twisted antipode $\tilde{S}=(\delta \otimes S) \circ \Delta$, where $\delta: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a character such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(h(a) b)=\tau(a \tilde{S}(h)(b)) \quad \forall a, b \in \mathcal{A} . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

This last formula plays the role of ordinary integration by parts. One finds:

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta(1) & =1, \quad \delta(Y)=\delta(\bar{Y})=1 \\
\delta(X) & =\delta(\bar{X})=\delta\left(\delta_{n}\right)=\delta\left(\bar{\delta}_{n}\right)=0 \quad \forall n \geq 1 \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

The definition implies $\tilde{S}^{2}=1$. Connes and Moscovici proved in [6] that the latter identity is sufficient to ensure the existence of a cyclicity operator $\tau_{n}$ : $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{n}\left(h_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n}\right)=\left(\Delta^{n-1} \tilde{S}\left(h_{1}\right)\right) \cdot h_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n} \otimes 1 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\left(\tau_{n}\right)^{n+1}=1$. Now $C^{*}(\mathcal{H})$ endowed with $\delta^{i}, \sigma_{i}, \tau_{n}$ defines a cyclic complex. The Hochschild coboundary operator $b: \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{\otimes(n+1)}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=\sum_{i=0}^{n+1}(-)^{i} \delta^{i} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and Connes' operator $B: \mathcal{H}^{\otimes(n+1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-)^{n i}\left(\tau_{n}\right)^{i} B_{0} \quad B_{0}=\sigma_{n} \tau_{n+1}+(-)^{n} \sigma_{n} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

They fulfill the usual relations $B^{2}=b^{2}=b B+B b=0$, so that $C^{*}(\mathcal{H}, b, B)$ is a bicomplex. We define the cyclic cohomology $H C^{*}(\mathcal{H})$ as the $b$-cohomology of the subcomplex of cyclic cochains. The corresponding periodic cyclic cohomology $H^{*}(\mathcal{H})$ is isomorphic to the cohomology of the bicomplex $C^{*}(\mathcal{H}, b, B)$ [3]. Furthermore, the definitions of $\delta^{i}, \sigma_{i}, \tau_{n}$ imply that $\gamma$ is a morphism of cyclic complexes. Consequently, $\gamma$ passes to cyclic cohomology

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma: H C^{*}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow H C^{*}(\mathcal{A}) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

as well as to periodic cyclic cohomology

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma: H^{*}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow H^{*}(\mathcal{A}) . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact we are not interested in the frame bundle $F$ but rather in the bundle of metrics $P=F / S O(2)$, where $S O(2) \subset G l(1, \mathbb{C})$ is the group of rotations of frames. $P$ is gifted with the coordinate chart $(z, \bar{z}, r)$ where the radial coordinate $r$ is obtained from the decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=e^{-r+i \theta} \quad r \in \mathbb{R}, \theta \in[0,2 \pi) . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The pseudogroup $\Gamma$ still acts on $P$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
z & \rightarrow \psi(z) \quad \bar{z} \rightarrow \overline{\psi(z)} \\
r & \rightarrow r-\frac{1}{2} \ln \left|\psi^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

Define $\mathcal{A}_{1}=\mathcal{A}^{S O(2)} \subset \mathcal{A}$ the subalgebra of elements of $\mathcal{A}$ invariant under the (right) action of $S O(2)$ on $F$. $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ is canonically isomorphic to the crossed product $C_{c}^{\infty}(P) \rtimes \Gamma$. $P$ carries a $\Gamma$-invariant measure $d v_{1}=e^{2 r} d z d \bar{z} d r$, so that there is a trace on $\mathcal{A}_{1}$, namely

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau_{1}(f) & =\int_{P} f d v_{1} \quad f \in C_{c}^{\infty}(P) \\
\tau_{1}\left(f U_{\psi}^{*}\right) & =0 \quad \text { if } \psi \neq 1 \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus passing to $S O(2)$-invariants yields an induced characteristic map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{1}: H C^{*}(\mathcal{H}, S O(2)) \rightarrow H C^{*}\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}\right) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

from the relative cyclic cohomology of $\mathcal{H}$, with $\gamma_{1}\left(h_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n}\right)\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)=$ $\tau_{1}\left(a_{0} h_{1}\left(a_{1}\right) \ldots h_{1}\left(a_{n}\right)\right), a_{i} \in \mathcal{A}_{1}$, where $h_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n}$ represents an element of $H C^{*}(\mathcal{H}, S O(2))$. The map $\gamma_{1}$ generalises the classical Chern-Weil construction of characteristic classes from connexions and curvatures. In the crossed product case $\Sigma \rtimes \Gamma$, these classes are captured by the periodic cyclic cohomology of $\mathcal{H}$. The authors of [5] computed the latter as Gelfand-Fuchs cohomology. This is the subject of the next section.

## IV. Gelfand-Fuchs cohomology

Let $G$ be the group of complex analytic transformations of $\mathbb{C}$. $G$ has a unique decomposition $G=G_{1} G_{2}$, where $G_{1}$ is the group of affine transformations

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \rightarrow a x+b, \quad x \in \mathbb{C}, a, b \in \mathbb{C} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $G_{2}$ is the group of transformations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \rightarrow x+o(x) . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Any element of $G$ is then the composition $k \circ \psi$ for $k \in G_{1}, \psi \in G_{2}$. Since $G_{2}$ is the left quotient of $G$ by $G_{1}, G_{1}$ acts on $G_{2}$ from the right: for $k \in G_{1}, \psi \in G_{2}$, one has $\psi \triangleleft k \in G_{2}$. Similarly, $G_{2}$ acts on $G_{1}$ from the left: $\psi \triangleright k \in G_{1}$.

Remark that $G_{1}$ is the crossed product $\mathbb{C} \rtimes G l(1, \mathbb{C})$. The space $\mathbb{C} \times G l(1, \mathbb{C})$ is a prototype for the frame bundle $F$ of a flat Riemann surface. This motivates the notation $a=y, b=z$ for the coordinates on $G_{1}$. Under this identification, the left action of $G_{2}$ on $G_{1}$ corresponds to the action of $G_{2}$ on $F$ : for a holomorphic transformation $\psi \in G_{2}$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
z \rightarrow \psi(z), \quad y \rightarrow \psi^{\prime}(z) y \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\psi(0)=0, \psi^{\prime}(0)=1$. Furthermore, the vector fields $X, \bar{X}, Y, \bar{Y}$ form a basis of invariant vector fields for the left action of $G_{1}$ on itself, i.e. a basis of the (complexified) Lie algebra of $G_{1}$. Its dual basis is given by the left-invariant 1-forms (Maurer-Cartan form)

$$
\begin{array}{rlll}
\omega_{-1} & =y^{-1} d z & & \bar{\omega}_{-1}=\bar{y}^{-1} d \bar{z} \\
\omega_{0} & =y^{-1} d y & & \bar{\omega}_{0}=\bar{y}^{-1} d \bar{y} . \tag{39}
\end{array}
$$

The left action $G_{2} \triangleright G_{1}$ implies a right action of $G_{2}$ on forms by pullback. One has in particular, for $\psi \in G_{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{-1} \circ \psi=\omega_{-1} \quad \omega_{0} \circ \psi=\omega_{0}+y \partial_{z} \ln \psi^{\prime} \omega_{-1} \quad \text { and c.c. } \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider now the discrete crossed product $\mathcal{H}_{*}=C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G_{1}\right) \rtimes G_{2}$ where $G_{2}$ acts on $C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G_{1}\right)$ by pullback. As a coalgebra, $\mathcal{H}$ is dual to the algebra $\mathcal{H}_{*}$. One has a natural action of $\mathcal{H}$ on $\mathcal{H}_{*}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
X .\left(f U_{\psi}^{*}\right) & =X . f U_{\psi}^{*} \quad f \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G_{1}\right), \psi \in G_{2}, \\
\delta_{n}\left(f U_{\psi}^{*}\right) & =y^{n} \partial_{z}^{n} \ln \psi^{\prime} f U_{\psi}^{*} \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

and so on with $Y, \bar{X} \ldots$ The operators $\delta_{n}, \overline{\delta_{n}}$ have in fact an interpretation in terms of coordinates on the group $G_{2}$ : for $\psi \in G_{2}, \delta_{n}(\psi)$ is by definition the value of the function $\delta_{n}\left(U_{\psi}^{*}\right) U_{\psi}$ at $1 \in G_{1}$. For any $k \in G_{1}$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\delta_{n}\left(U_{\psi}^{*}\right) U_{\psi}\right](k)=\delta_{n}(\psi \triangleleft k) \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that (40) rewrites

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{0} \circ \psi=\omega_{0}+\delta_{1}(\psi \triangleleft k) \omega_{-1} \quad \text { at } k \in G_{1} . \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Hopf subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}$ generated by $\delta_{n}, \overline{\delta_{n}}, n \geq 1$, corresponds to the commutative Hopf algebra of functions on $G_{2}$ which are polynomial in these coordinates.

Let $A$ be the complexification of the formal Lie algebra of $G$. It coincides with the jets of holomorphic and antiholomorphic vector fields of any order on $\mathbb{C}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\partial_{x}, & x \partial_{x}, \ldots, x^{n} \partial_{x}, \ldots \\
\partial_{\bar{x}}, & \bar{x} \partial_{\bar{x}}, \ldots, \bar{x}^{n} \partial_{\bar{x}}, \ldots \tag{44}
\end{array}
$$

The Lie bracket between the elements of the above basis is thus

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[x^{n} \partial_{x}, x^{m} \partial_{x}\right] } & =(m-n) x^{n+m-1} \partial_{x} \quad \text { and c.c. } \\
{\left[x^{n} \partial_{x}, \bar{x}^{m} \partial_{\bar{x}}\right] } & =0 \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

Define the generator of dilatations $H=x \partial_{x}+\bar{x} \partial_{\bar{x}}$ and of rotations $J=x \partial_{x}-$ $\bar{x} \partial_{\bar{x}}$. They fulfill the properties

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[H, x^{n} \partial_{x}\right] } & =(n-1) x^{n} \partial_{x} & & {\left[H, \bar{x}^{n} \partial_{\bar{x}}\right]=(n-1) \bar{x}^{n} \partial_{\bar{x}} } \\
{\left[J, x^{n} \partial_{x}\right] } & =(n-1) x^{n} \partial_{x} & & {\left[J, \bar{x}^{n} \partial_{\bar{x}}\right]=-(n-1) \bar{x}^{n} \partial_{\bar{x}} . } \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

We are interested in the Lie algebra cohomology of $A$ (see [7]). The complex $C^{*}(A)$ of cochains is the exterior algebra generated by the dual basis $\left\{\omega^{n}, \bar{\omega}^{n}\right\}_{n \geq-1}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega^{n}\left(x^{m} \partial_{x}\right)=\delta_{n+1}^{m} \quad \omega^{n}\left(\bar{x}^{m} \partial_{\bar{x}}\right)=0  \tag{47}\\
& \bar{\omega}^{n}\left(x^{m} \partial_{x}\right)=0 \quad \bar{\omega}^{n}\left(\bar{x}^{m} \partial_{\bar{x}}\right)=\delta_{n+1}^{m} \quad \forall n \geq-1, m \geq 0
\end{align*}
$$

and the coboundary operator is uniquely defined by its action on 1-cochains

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \omega(X, Y)=-\omega([X, Y]) \quad \forall X, Y \in A \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

¿From [5] we know that the periodic cyclic cohomology $H^{*}(\mathcal{H}, S O(2))$ is isomorphic to the relative Lie algebra cohomology $H^{*}(A, S O(2))$, i.e. the cohomology of the basic subcomplex of cochains on $A$ relative to the Cartan operation $(L, i)$ of $J$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{J} \omega=\left(i_{J} d+d i_{J}\right) \omega \quad \forall \omega \in C^{*}(A) \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

We say that a cochain $\omega \in C^{*}(A)$ is of weight $r$ if $L_{H} \omega=-r \omega$. Remark that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{H} \omega^{n}=-n \omega^{n}, \quad L_{H} \bar{\omega}^{n}=-n \bar{\omega}^{n} \quad \forall n \geq-1 \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $C^{*}(A)$ is the direct sum, for $r \geq-2$, of the spaces $C_{r}^{*}(A)$ of weight $r$. Since $[H, J]=0, C_{r}^{*}(A)$ is stable under the Cartan operation of $J$ and we note $C_{r}^{*}(A, S O(2))$ the complex of basic cochains of weight $r$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{*}(A, S O(2))=\bigoplus_{r=-2}^{\infty} C_{r}^{*}(A, S O(2)) \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any cocycle $\omega \in C_{r}^{*}(A, S O(2))$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{H} \omega=d i_{H} \omega=-r \omega \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $C_{r}^{*}(A, S O(2))$ is acyclic whenever $r \neq 0$. Hence $H^{*}(A, S O(2))$ is equal to the cohomology of the finite-dimensional subcomplex $C_{0}^{*}(A, S O(2))$. The direct computation gives

$$
\begin{array}{lcl}
H^{0}(A, S O(2))=\mathbb{C} & \text { with representative } & 1 \\
H^{2}(A, S O(2))=\mathbb{C} & \prime \prime & \omega^{-1} \omega^{1} \\
H^{3}(A, S O(2))=\mathbb{C} & \prime \prime & \left(\omega^{-1} \omega^{1}-\bar{\omega}^{-1} \bar{\omega}^{1}\right)\left(\omega^{0}+\bar{\omega}^{0}\right)  \tag{53}\\
H^{5}(A, S O(2))=\mathbb{C} & \prime \prime & \omega^{1} \omega^{-1} \bar{\omega}^{1} \bar{\omega}^{-1}\left(\omega^{0}+\bar{\omega}^{0}\right)
\end{array}
$$

The other cohomology groups vanish.

Next we construct a map $C$ from $C^{*}(A)$ to the bicomplex $\left(C^{n, m}, d_{1}, d_{2}\right)_{n, m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of (3) chap. III.2. $\delta$. Let $\Omega^{m}\left(G_{1}\right)$ be the space $m$-forms on $G_{1} . C^{n, m}$ is the space of totally antisymmetric maps $\gamma: G_{2}{ }^{n+1} \rightarrow \Omega^{m}\left(G_{1}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma\left(g_{0} g, \ldots, g_{n} g\right)=\gamma\left(g_{0}, \ldots, g_{n}\right) \circ g \quad g_{i} \in G_{2}, g \in G \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{i} g$ is given by the right action of $G$ on $G_{2}$, and $G$ acts on $\Omega^{*}\left(G_{1}\right)$ by pullback (left action of $G$ on $G_{1}$ ).
The first differential $d_{1}: C^{n, m} \rightarrow C^{n+1, m}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(d_{1} \gamma\right)\left(g_{0}, \ldots, g_{n+1}\right)=(-)^{m} \sum_{i=0}^{n+1}(-)^{i} \gamma\left(g_{0}, \ldots, \stackrel{\vee}{g}_{i}, \ldots, g_{n+1}\right) \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $d_{2}: C^{n, m} \rightarrow C^{n, m+1}$ is just the de Rham coboundary on $\Omega^{*}\left(G_{1}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(d_{2} \gamma\right)\left(g_{0}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)=d\left(\gamma\left(g_{o}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)\right) \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Of course $d_{1}^{2}=d_{2}^{2}=d_{1} d_{2}+d_{2} d_{1}=0$. Remark that for $\gamma \in C^{n, m}$, the invariance property (54) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma\left(g_{0}, \ldots, g_{n}\right) \circ k=\gamma\left(g_{0} \triangleleft k, \ldots, g_{n} \triangleleft k\right) \quad \forall k \in G_{1} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

in other words the value of $\gamma\left(g_{0}, \ldots, g_{n}\right) \in \Omega^{m}\left(G_{1}\right)$ at $k$ is deduced from its value at 1 .

Let us describe now the construction of $C$. As a vector space, the Lie algebra $A$ is just the direct sum $\mathbf{G}_{1} \oplus \mathbf{G}_{2}, \mathbf{G}_{i}$ being the (complexified) Lie algebra of $G_{i}$. The cochain complex $C^{*}(A)$ is then the exterior product $\Lambda A^{*}=\Lambda \mathbf{G}_{1}{ }^{*} \otimes \Lambda \mathbf{G}_{2}{ }^{*}$. One identifies $\mathbf{G}_{1}{ }^{*}$ with the cotangent space $T_{1}^{*}\left(G_{1}\right)$ of $G_{1}$ at the identity. Since $G_{2}$ fixes $1 \in G_{1}$, there is a right action of $G_{2}$ on $\Lambda \mathbf{G}_{1}{ }^{*}$ by pullback. The basis $\left\{\omega^{-1}, \omega^{0}, \bar{\omega}^{-1}, \bar{\omega}^{0}\right\}$ of $\mathbf{G}_{1}{ }^{*}$ is represented by left-invariant one-forms on $G_{1}$ through the identification

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega^{-1} & \rightarrow-\omega_{-1}=-y^{-1} d z \quad \bar{\omega}^{-1} \rightarrow-\bar{\omega}_{-1}=-\bar{y}^{-1} d \bar{z} \\
\omega^{0} & \rightarrow-\omega^{0}=-y^{-1} d y \quad \bar{\omega}^{0} \rightarrow-\bar{\omega}^{0}=-\bar{y}^{-1} d \bar{y} \tag{58}
\end{align*}
$$

and the right action of $\psi \in G_{2}$ reads (cf. (40))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{-1} \cdot \psi=\omega^{-1}, \quad \omega^{0} \cdot \psi=\omega^{0}+\delta_{1}(\psi) \omega^{-1} . \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we view a cochain $\omega \in C^{*}(A)$ as a cochain of the Lie algebra of $G_{2}$ with coefficients in the right $G_{2}$-module $\Lambda \mathbf{G}_{1}{ }^{*}$. It is represented by a $\Lambda \mathbf{G}_{1}{ }^{*}$-valued right-invariant form $\mu$ on $G_{2}$. Then $C(\omega) \in C^{*, *}$ evaluated on $\left(g_{0}, \ldots, g_{n}\right) \in$ $G_{2}{ }^{n+1}$ is a differential form on $G_{1}$ whose value at $1 \in G_{1}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(\omega)\left(g_{0}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)=\int_{\Delta\left(g_{0}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)} \mu \quad \in \Lambda T_{1}^{*}\left(G_{1}\right) \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta\left(g_{0}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)$ is the affine simplex in the coordinates $\delta_{i}, \overline{\delta_{i}}$, with vertices $\left(g_{0}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)$. Let $\left\{\rho_{j}\right\}$ be a basis of left-invariant forms on $G_{1}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(\omega)\left(g_{0}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)=\sum_{j} p_{j}\left(g_{0}, \ldots, g_{n}\right) \rho_{j} \quad \text { at } 1 \in G_{1} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{j}\left(g_{0}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)$ are polynomials in the coordinates $\delta_{i}, \overline{\delta_{i}}$. The invariance property (54) enables us to compute the value of $C(\omega)\left(g_{0}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)$ at any $k \in G_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(\omega)\left(g_{0}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)(k)=\sum_{j} p_{j}\left(g_{0} \triangleleft k, \ldots, g_{n} \triangleleft k\right) \rho_{j} \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $\rho_{j} \circ k=\rho_{j}$.
Connes and Moscovici showed in 55 that $C$ is a morphism from $C^{*}(A, d)$ to the bicomplex $\left(C^{n, m}, d_{1}, d_{2}\right)_{n, m \in \mathbb{Z}}$. In the relative case, it restricts to a morphism from $C^{*}(A, S O(2), d)$ to the subcomplex $\left(C_{\text {bas. }}^{n, m}, d_{1}, d_{2}\right)$ of antisymmetric cochains on $G_{2}$ with values in the basic de Rham cohomology $\Omega^{*}(P)=$ $\Omega^{*}\left(G_{1} / S O(2)\right)$.

It remains to compute the image of $H^{*}(A, S O(2))$ by $C$. We restrict ourselves to even cocycles, i.e. the unit $1 \in H^{0}(A, S O(2))$ and the first Chern class $c_{1} \in H^{2}(A, S O(2))$, defined as the class

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}=\left[2 \omega^{-1} \omega^{1}\right] . \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

One has $C(1) \in C_{\text {bas. }}^{0,0}$. The immediate result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(1)\left(g_{0}\right)=1, \quad g_{0} \in G_{2} \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the first Chern class, we must transform $c_{1}$ into a right-invariant form on $G_{2}$ with values in $\Lambda T_{1}^{*}\left(G_{1}\right)$. We already know that $\omega^{-1}$ is represented by $-\omega_{-1}=-y^{-1} d z$, which satisfies $\omega_{-1} \circ \psi=\omega_{-1}, \forall \psi \in G_{2}$. Next, the Taylor expansion of an element $\psi \in G_{2}$ can be expressed in the coordinates $\delta_{n}$ thanks to the obvious formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \psi^{\prime}(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \delta_{n}(\psi) x^{n}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{C} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

One finds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(x)=x+\frac{1}{2} \delta_{1}(\psi) x^{2}+\frac{1}{3!}\left(\delta_{2}(\psi)+\delta_{1}(\psi)^{2}\right) x^{3}+O\left(x^{4}\right) . \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

It shows that the cochain $\omega^{1} \in C^{*}(A)$ is represented by the right-invariant 1 -form $\frac{1}{2} d \delta_{1}$ on $G_{2}$. Thus at $1 \in G_{1}, C\left(c_{1}\right) \in C_{b a s .}^{1,1}$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
C\left(c_{1}\right)\left(g_{0}, g_{1}\right) & =\int_{\Delta\left(g_{0}, g_{1}\right)}-\omega_{-1} d \delta_{1} \\
& =-\omega_{-1}\left(\delta_{1}\left(g_{1}\right)-\delta_{1}\left(g_{0}\right)\right) \quad g_{i} \in G_{2} \tag{67}
\end{align*}
$$

and at $k \in G_{1}$, the 1 -form $C\left(c_{1}\right)\left(g_{0}, g_{1}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
C\left(c_{1}\right)\left(g_{0}, g_{1}\right)=-\omega_{-1}\left(\delta_{1}\left(g_{1} \triangleleft k\right)-\delta_{1}\left(g_{0} \triangleleft k\right)\right) . \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\omega_{-1}=y^{-1} d z$ and $\delta_{1}(g \triangleleft k)=y \partial_{z} \ln g^{\prime}(z), z$ and $y$ being the coordinates of $k$, one has explicitly

$$
\begin{equation*}
C\left(c_{1}\right)\left(g_{0}, g_{1}\right)=-d z\left(\partial_{z} \ln g_{1}^{\prime}(z)-\partial_{z} \ln g_{0}^{\prime}(z)\right) \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is a basic form on $G_{1}$ relative to $S O(2)$, then descends to a form on $P=$ $G_{1} / S O(2)$ as expected.

The last step is to use the map $\Phi$ of [3] theorem 14 p. 220 from $\left(C^{n, m}, d_{1}, d_{2}\right)$ to the $(b, B)$ bicomplex of the discrete crossed product $C_{c}^{\infty}(P) \rtimes G_{2}$. Define the algebra

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}=\Omega^{*}(P) \hat{\otimes} \Lambda \mathbb{C}\left(G_{2}^{\prime}\right) \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Lambda \mathbb{C}\left(G_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ is the exterior algebra generated by the elements $\delta_{\psi}, \psi \in G_{2}$, with $\delta_{e}=0$ for the identity $e$ of $G_{2}$. With the de Rham coboundary $d$ of $\Omega^{*}(P), \mathcal{B}$ is a differential algebra. Now form the crossed product $\mathcal{B} \rtimes G_{2}$, with multiplication rules

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{\psi}^{*} \alpha U_{\psi} & =\alpha \circ \psi, \quad \alpha \in \Omega^{*}(P), \psi \in G_{2} \\
U_{\psi_{1}}^{*} \delta_{\psi_{2}} U_{\psi_{1}} & =\delta_{\psi_{2} \circ \psi_{1}}-\delta_{\psi_{1}}, \quad \psi_{i} \in G_{2} \tag{71}
\end{align*}
$$

Endow $\mathcal{B} \rtimes G_{2}$ with the differential $\tilde{d}$ acting on an element $b U_{\psi}^{*}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{d}\left(b U_{\psi}^{*}\right)=d b U_{\psi}^{*}-(-)^{\partial b} b \delta_{\psi} U_{\psi}^{*} \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d b$ comes from the de Rham coboundary of $\Omega^{*}(P)$. The map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi:\left(C^{*, *}, d_{1}, d_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(C_{c}^{\infty}(P) \rtimes G_{2}, b, B\right) \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

is constructed as follows. Let $\gamma \in C_{\text {bas. }}^{n, m}$. It yields a linear form $\tilde{\gamma}$ on $\mathcal{B} \rtimes G_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\gamma}\left(\alpha \otimes \delta_{g_{1}} \ldots \delta_{g_{n}}\right) & =\int_{P} \alpha \wedge \gamma\left(1, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right), \quad \alpha \in \Omega^{*}(P), g_{i} \in G_{2} \\
\tilde{\gamma}\left(b U_{\psi}^{*}\right) & =0 \quad \text { if } \psi \neq 1 \tag{74}
\end{align*}
$$

Then $\Phi(\gamma)$ is the following $l$-cochain on $C_{c}^{\infty}(P) \rtimes G_{2}, l=\operatorname{dim} P-m+n$

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi(\gamma)\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{l}\right)= & \frac{n!}{(l+1)!} \sum_{j=0}^{l}(-)^{j(l-j)} \tilde{\gamma}\left(\tilde{d} x_{j+1} \ldots \tilde{d} x_{l} x_{0} \tilde{d} x_{1} \ldots \tilde{d} x_{j}\right) \\
& x_{i} \in C_{c}^{\infty}(P) \rtimes G_{2} \subset \mathcal{B} \rtimes G_{2} \tag{75}
\end{align*}
$$

The essential tool is that $\Phi$ is a morphism of bicomplexes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(d_{1} \gamma\right)=b \Phi(\gamma), \quad \Phi\left(d_{2} \gamma\right)=B \Phi(\gamma) \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $d_{1} \gamma=d_{2} \gamma=0, \Phi(\gamma)$ is a cyclic cocycle. This happens in our case. Since $P$ is a 3 -dimensional manifold, the image of $C(1)$ under $\Phi$ is the cyclic 3-cocycle

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(C(1))\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{3}\right)=\int_{P} x_{0} d x_{1} \ldots d x_{3}, \quad x_{i} \in C_{c}^{\infty}(P) \rtimes G_{2} \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d\left(f U_{\psi}^{*}\right)=d f U_{\psi}^{*}$ for $f \in C_{c}^{\infty}(P), \psi \in G_{2}$, and the integration is extended over $\Omega^{*}(P) \rtimes G_{2}$ by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{P} \alpha U_{\psi}^{*}=0 \quad \text { if } \psi \neq 1, \alpha \in \Omega^{*}(P) \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

The image of $\gamma=C\left(c_{1}\right)$ is more complicated to compute. One has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\gamma}\left(\alpha \otimes \delta_{g}\right)=-\int_{P} \alpha \wedge y^{-1} d z \delta_{1}(g \triangleleft k), \quad \alpha \in \Omega^{2}(P), g \in G_{2} \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y^{-1} d z \delta_{1}(g \triangleleft k)=d z \partial_{z} \ln g^{\prime}(z)$ is, of course, a 1-form on $P . \Phi(\gamma)$ is the cyclic 3-cocycle

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi(\gamma)\left(f_{0} U_{\psi_{0}}^{*}, \ldots, f_{3} U_{\psi_{3}}^{*}\right)=-\tilde{\gamma}\left(f_{0} U_{\psi_{0}}^{*} d f_{1} U_{\psi_{1}}^{*} d f_{2} U_{\psi_{2}}^{*} f_{3} \delta_{\psi_{3}} U_{\psi_{3}}^{*}\right. \\
&+f_{0} U_{\psi_{0}}^{*} d f_{1} U_{\psi_{1}}^{*} f_{2} \delta_{\psi_{2}} U_{\psi_{2}}^{*} d f_{3} U_{\psi_{3}}^{*} \\
&\left.+f_{0} U_{\psi_{0}}^{*} f_{1} \delta_{\psi_{1}} U_{\psi_{1}}^{*} d f_{2} U_{\psi_{2}}^{*} d f_{3} U_{\psi_{3}}^{*}\right) \\
&=\tilde{\gamma}\left(f_{0}\left(d f_{1} \circ \psi_{0}\right)\left(d f_{2} \circ \psi_{1} \psi_{0}\right)\left(f_{3} \circ \psi_{2} \psi_{1} \psi_{0}\right) \delta_{\psi_{2} \psi_{1} \psi_{0}}\right.  \tag{80}\\
&+f_{0}\left(d f_{1} \circ \psi_{0}\right)\left(f_{2} \circ \psi_{1} \psi_{0}\right)\left(d f_{3} \circ \psi_{2} \psi_{1} \psi_{0}\right)\left(\delta_{\psi_{2} \psi_{1} \psi_{0}}-\delta_{\psi_{1} \psi_{0}}\right) \\
&\left.-f_{0}\left(f_{1} \circ \psi_{0}\right)\left(d f_{2} \circ \psi_{1} \psi_{0}\right)\left(d f_{3} \circ \psi_{2} \psi_{1} \psi_{0}\right)\left(\delta_{\psi_{1} \psi_{0}}-\delta_{\psi_{0}}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

upon assuming that $\psi_{3} \psi_{2} \psi_{1} \psi_{0}=\mathrm{Id}$. Using the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{1}(\psi \triangleleft k)=\left[\delta_{1}\left(U_{\psi}^{*}\right) U_{\psi}\right](k), \quad \forall k \in G_{1}, \psi \in G_{2} \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

the computation gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(\gamma)\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{3}\right)=\int_{P} x_{0}\left(d x_{1} d x_{2} \delta_{1}\left(x_{3}\right)+d x_{1} \delta_{1}\left(x_{2}\right) d x_{3}+\delta_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) d x_{2} d x_{3}\right) y^{-1} d z \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now recall that $P$ has an invariant volume form $d v_{1}=e^{2 r} d z d \bar{z} d r$. The differential $d f$ of a function on $P$ makes use of the horizontal $X=y \partial_{z}, \bar{X}=\bar{y} \partial_{\bar{z}}$ and vertical $Y+\bar{Y}=-\partial_{r}$ vector fields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d f=y^{-1} d z X . f+\bar{y}^{-1} d \bar{z} \bar{X} \cdot f-d r(Y+\bar{Y}) \cdot f . \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then using the relations (40) one sees that $\Phi\left(C\left(c_{1}\right)\right)$ is a sum of terms involving the Hopf algebra

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(C\left(c_{1}\right)\right)\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{3}\right)=\sum_{i} \int_{P} x_{0} h_{1}^{i}\left(x_{1}\right) \ldots h_{3}^{i}\left(x_{3}\right) d v_{1} \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum $\sum_{i} h_{1}^{i} \otimes h_{2}^{i} \otimes h_{3}^{i}$ is a cyclic 3 -cocycle of $\mathcal{H}$ relative to $S O(2)$. This follows from the existence of the characteristic map (35)

$$
\begin{equation*}
H C^{*}(\mathcal{H}, S O(2)) \rightarrow H C^{*}\left(C_{c}^{\infty}(P) \rtimes G_{2}\right) \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the duality between $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{*}=C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G_{1}\right) \rtimes G_{2}$ (cf. [5]).
Returning to the initial situation, where $F$ is the frame bundle of a flat Riemann surface $\Sigma$, and $P=F / S O(2)$ the bundle of metrics, the above computation shows that the cyclic 3-cocycle on $\mathcal{A}_{1}=C_{c}^{\infty}(P) \rtimes \Gamma$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[c_{1}\right]\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{3}\right)=\sum_{i} \int_{P} a_{0} h_{1}^{i}\left(a_{1}\right) \ldots h_{3}^{i}\left(a_{3}\right) d v_{1}, \quad a_{i} \in \mathcal{A}_{1} \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the image of $C\left(c_{1}\right)$ by the characteristic map $H C^{*}(\mathcal{H}, S O(2)) \rightarrow H C^{*}\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}\right)$. Also the fundamental class

$$
\begin{equation*}
[P]\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{3}\right)=\int_{P} a_{0} d a_{1} d a_{2} d a_{3} \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

is in the range of the characteristic map.
Since Connes and Moscovici showed that the Gelfand-Fuchs cohomology $H^{*}(A, S O(2))$ is isomorphic to the periodic cyclic cohomology of $\mathcal{H}$, we have completely determined the odd part of the range of the characteristic map. We can summarize the result in the following

Proposition 1 Under the characteristic map

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{*}(A, S O(2)) \simeq H^{*}(\mathcal{H}, S O(2)) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}\right) \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

the unit $1 \in H^{0}(A, S O(2))$ maps to the fundamental class $[P]$ represented by the cyclic 3 -cocycle

$$
\begin{equation*}
[P]\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{3}\right)=\int_{P} a_{0} d a_{1} d a_{2} d a_{3}, \quad a_{i} \in \mathcal{A}_{1} \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the first Chern class $c_{1} \in H^{2}(A, S O(2))$ gives the cocycle $\left[c_{1}\right] \in H C^{3}\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[c_{1}\right]\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{3}\right)=\int_{P} a_{0}\left(d a_{1} d a_{2} \delta_{1}\left(a_{3}\right)+d a_{1} \delta_{1}\left(a_{2}\right) d a_{3}+\delta_{1}\left(a_{1}\right) d a_{2} d a_{3}\right) y^{-1} d z \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

In section II we considered an odd $K$-cycle on $C_{0}\left(P \times \mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \rtimes \Gamma$ represented by a differential operator $Q^{\prime}$, which is equivalent, up to Bott periodicity, to an odd $K$-cycle on $C_{0}(P) \rtimes \Gamma . Q^{\prime}$ is a matrix-valued polynomial in the vector fields $X, \bar{X}, Y+\bar{Y}$ and the partial derivatives along the two directions of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. Its Chern character is the cup product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ch}_{*}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)=\varphi \#\left[\mathbb{R}^{2}\right] \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

of a cyclic cocycle $\varphi \in \operatorname{HC}^{\text {odd }}\left(C_{c}^{\infty}(P) \rtimes \Gamma\right)$ by the fundamental class of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. The index theorem of Connes and Moscovici states that $\varphi$ is in the range of the characteristic map (we have to assume that the action of $\Gamma$ on $\Sigma$ has no fixed point). Hence it is a linear combination of the characteristic classes $[P]$ and $\left[c_{1}\right]$. We shall determine the coefficients by using the classical Riemann-Roch theorem.

## V. A Riemann-Roch theorem for crossed products

We shall first use the Thom isomorphism in $K$-theory [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{i}\left(C_{0}(\Sigma) \rtimes \Gamma\right) \rightarrow K_{i+1}\left(C_{0}(P) \rtimes \Gamma\right) \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

to descend the characteristic classes $[P]$ and $\left[c_{1}\right]$ down to the cyclic cohomology of $C_{c}^{\infty}(\Sigma) \rtimes \Gamma$. Recall that $C_{0}(P) \rtimes \Gamma$ is just the crossed product of $C_{0}(\Sigma) \rtimes \Gamma$ by the modular automorphism group $\sigma$ of the associated von Neumann algebra

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0}(P) \rtimes \Gamma=\left(C_{0}(\Sigma) \rtimes \Gamma\right) \rtimes_{\sigma} \mathbb{R} . \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

By homotopy we can deform $\sigma$ continuously into the trivial action. For $\lambda \in$ $[0,1]$, let $\sigma_{t}^{\lambda}=\sigma_{\lambda t}, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\sigma^{1}=\sigma, \sigma^{0}=\operatorname{Id}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(C_{0}(\Sigma) \rtimes \Gamma\right) \rtimes_{\mathrm{Id}} \mathbb{R}=C_{0}(\Sigma) \rtimes \Gamma \otimes C_{0}(\mathbb{R}) \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, the coordinate system $(z, \bar{z})$ of $\Sigma$ gives a smooth volume form $\frac{d z \wedge d \bar{z}}{2 i}$ together with a representative of $\sigma$, whose action on the subalgebra $C_{c}^{\infty}(\Sigma) \rtimes \Gamma$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{t}\left(f U_{\psi}^{*}\right)=f\left|\psi^{\prime}\right|^{2 i t} U_{\psi}^{*}, \quad f \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\Sigma), \psi \in \Gamma \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

and accordingly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{t}^{\lambda}\left(f U_{\psi}^{*}\right)=f\left|\psi^{\prime}\right|^{2 i \lambda t} U_{\psi}^{*} . \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark that the algebra $\left(C_{0}(\Sigma) \rtimes \Gamma\right) \rtimes_{\sigma^{\lambda}} \mathbb{R}$ is equal to the crossed product $C_{0}(P) \rtimes_{\lambda} \Gamma$ obtained from the following deformed action of $\Gamma$ on $P$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
z & \rightarrow \psi(z) \quad \bar{z} \rightarrow \overline{\psi(z)}  \tag{97}\\
r & \rightarrow r-\frac{1}{2} \lambda \ln \left|\psi^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} \quad \psi \in \Gamma .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence for any $\lambda \in[0,1]$, one has a Thom isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{\lambda}: K_{0}\left(C_{0}(\Sigma) \rtimes \Gamma\right) \rightarrow K_{1}\left(C_{0}(P) \rtimes_{\lambda} \Gamma\right), \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\Phi^{0}$ is just the connecting map $K_{0}\left(C_{0}(\Sigma) \rtimes \Gamma\right) \rightarrow K_{1}\left(S\left(C_{0}(\Sigma) \rtimes \Gamma\right)\right.$ ). We introduce also the family $\left\{[P]^{\lambda}\right\}_{\lambda \in[0,1]}$ of cyclic cocycles

$$
\begin{equation*}
[P]^{\lambda}\left(a_{0}^{\lambda}, \ldots, a_{3}^{\lambda}\right)=\int_{P} a_{0}^{\lambda} d a_{1}^{\lambda} \ldots d a_{3}^{\lambda}, \quad \forall a_{i}^{\lambda} \in C_{c}^{\infty}(P) \rtimes_{\lambda} \Gamma . \tag{99}
\end{equation*}
$$

One has $[P]^{1}=[P]$ and $[P]^{0}=[\Sigma] \#[\mathbb{R}] \in\left(C_{c}^{\infty}(\Sigma) \rtimes \Gamma\right) \otimes C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\Sigma]\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}\right)=\int_{\Sigma} a_{0} d a_{1} d a_{2} \quad \forall a_{i} \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\Sigma) \rtimes \Gamma \tag{100}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover for any element $[e] \in K_{0}\left(C_{0}(\Sigma) \rtimes \Gamma\right)$ such that $\Phi^{\lambda}([e])$ is in the domain of definition of $[P]^{\lambda}$, the pairing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Phi^{\lambda}([e]),[P]^{\lambda}\right\rangle \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

depends continuously upon $\lambda$. Next for any $\lambda \in(0,1]$, consider the vertical diffeomorphism of $P$ whose action on the coordinates $(z, \bar{z}, r)$ reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\lambda}(z)=z \quad \tilde{\lambda}(\bar{z})=\bar{z} \quad \tilde{\lambda}(r)=\lambda r . \tag{102}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus for $\lambda \neq 0$ one has an algebra isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{\lambda}: C_{c}^{\infty}(P) \rtimes_{\lambda} \Gamma \rightarrow C_{c}^{\infty}(P) \rtimes \Gamma \tag{103}
\end{equation*}
$$

by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{\lambda}\left(f U_{\psi}^{*}\right)=f \circ \tilde{\lambda} U_{\psi}^{*} \quad \forall f \in C_{c}^{\infty}(P), \psi \in \Gamma . \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $\lambda \neq 0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\chi_{\lambda}\right)_{*} \circ \Phi^{\lambda} & =\Phi^{1}  \tag{105}\\
\left(\chi_{\lambda}\right)^{*}[P]^{1} & =[P]^{\lambda} . \tag{106}
\end{align*}
$$

Eq.(105) comes from the unicity of the Thom map (cf. [1]), and (106) is obvious. Thus $\left\langle\Phi^{\lambda}([e]),[P]^{\lambda}\right\rangle$ is constant for $\lambda \neq 0$, and by continuity at 0

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Phi^{1}([e]),[P]\right\rangle=\langle[e],[\Sigma]\rangle \tag{107}
\end{equation*}
$$

This shows that the image of $[P]$ by Thom isomorphism is the cyclic 2-cocycle $[\Sigma]$ corresponding to the fundamental class of $\Sigma$. In exactly the same way we show that the image of $\left[c_{1}\right]$ is the cyclic 2-cocycle $\tau$ defined, for $a_{i}=f_{i} U_{\psi_{i}}^{*} \in$ $C_{c}^{\infty}(\Sigma) \rtimes \Gamma$, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}\right)=\int_{\Sigma} a_{0}\left(d a_{1} \partial \ln \psi_{2}^{\prime} a_{2}+\partial \ln \psi_{1}^{\prime} a_{1} d a_{2}\right) \tag{108}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\partial=d z \partial_{z}$. Note that in the decomposition of the differential on $\Sigma$, $d=\partial+\bar{\partial}$, both $\partial$ and $\bar{\partial}$ commute with the pullbacks by the conformal transformations $\psi \in \Gamma$.

So far we have considered a flat Riemann surface and the constructions we made were relative to a coordinate system $(z, \bar{z})$. We shall now remove this unpleasant feature by using the Morita equivalence [5]. In order to understand the general situation, let us first treat the particular case of the Riemann sphere $S^{2}=\mathbb{C} \cup\{\infty\}$. We consider an open covering of the sphere by two planes: $S^{2}=U_{1} \cup U_{2}, U_{1}=\mathbb{C}, U_{2}=\mathbb{C}$, together with the glueing function $g$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
g: U_{1} \backslash\{0\} & \rightarrow U_{2} \backslash\{0\} \\
z & \mapsto \frac{1}{z} \tag{109}
\end{align*}
$$

The pseudogroup of conformal transformations $\Gamma_{0}$ generated by $\left\{U_{g}^{*}, U_{g}\right\}$ acts on the disjoint union $\Sigma=U_{1} \amalg U_{2}$, which is flat. Then $S^{2}$ is described by the groupoid $\Sigma \rtimes \Gamma_{0}$. If $\Gamma$ is a pseudogroup of local transformations of $S^{2}$, there exists a pseudogroup $\Gamma^{\prime}$ containing $\Gamma_{0}$, acting on $\Sigma$ and such that the crossed product $C^{\infty}\left(S^{2}\right) \rtimes \Gamma$ is Morita equivalent to $C_{c}^{\infty}(\Sigma) \rtimes \Gamma^{\prime}$. The latter splits into four parts: it is the direct sum, for $i, j=1,2$, of elements of the form $f_{i j} U_{\psi_{i j}}^{*}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{i j}: U_{i} \rightarrow U_{j} \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{supp} f_{i j} \subset \operatorname{Dom} \psi_{i j} \tag{110}
\end{equation*}
$$

For convenience, we adopt a matricial notation for any generic element $b \in$ $C_{c}^{\infty}(\Sigma) \rtimes \Gamma^{\prime}:$

$$
b=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
b_{11} & b_{12}  \tag{111}\\
b_{21} & b_{22}
\end{array}\right), \quad b_{i j}=f_{i j} U_{\psi_{i j}}^{*} .
$$

Now the Morita equivalence is explicitly realized through the following idempotent $e \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\Sigma) \rtimes \Gamma^{\prime}$ :

$$
e=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\rho_{1}{ }^{2} & \rho_{1} \rho_{2} U_{g}^{*}  \tag{112}\\
U_{g} \rho_{2} \rho_{1} & U_{g} \rho_{2}{ }^{2} U_{g}^{*}
\end{array}\right), \quad e^{2}=e
$$

where $\left\{\rho_{i}\right\}_{i=1,2}$ is a partition of unity relative to the covering $\left\{U_{i}\right\}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{1} \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(U_{1}\right), \quad \rho_{1}{ }^{2}+\rho_{2}^{2}=1 \text { on } S^{2}=U_{1} \cup\{\infty\} . \tag{113}
\end{equation*}
$$

The reduction of $C_{c}^{\infty}(\Sigma) \rtimes \Gamma^{\prime}$ by $e$ is the subalgebra

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(C_{c}^{\infty}(\Sigma) \rtimes \Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{e}=\left\{b \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\Sigma) \rtimes \Gamma^{\prime} / b=b e=e b\right\} . \tag{114}
\end{equation*}
$$

Its elements are of the form

$$
e b e=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\rho_{1} c \rho_{1} & \rho_{1} c \rho_{2} U_{g}^{*}  \tag{115}\\
U_{g} \rho_{2} c \rho_{1} & U_{g} \rho_{2} c \rho_{2} U_{g}^{*}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $c=\rho_{1} b_{11} \rho_{1}+\rho_{2} U_{g}^{*} b_{21} \rho_{1}+\rho_{1} b_{12} U_{g} \rho_{2}+\rho_{2} U_{g}^{*} b_{22} U_{g} \rho_{2}$. Then $c$ can be considered as an element of $C^{\infty}\left(S^{2}\right) \rtimes \Gamma$ under the identification $S^{2}=U_{1} \cup\{\infty\}$. $\left(C_{c}^{\infty}(\Sigma) \rtimes \Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{e}$ and $C^{\infty}\left(S^{2}\right) \rtimes \Gamma$ are isomorphic through the map

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta: C^{\infty}\left(S^{2}\right) \rtimes \Gamma & \longrightarrow\left(C_{c}^{\infty}(\Sigma) \rtimes \Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{e} \\
a & \longmapsto\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\rho_{1} a \rho_{1} & \rho_{1} a \rho_{2} U_{g}^{*} \\
U_{g} \rho_{2} a \rho_{1} & U_{g} \rho_{2} a \rho_{2} U_{g}^{*}
\end{array}\right) . \tag{116}
\end{align*}
$$

We are ready to compute the pullbacks of $[\Sigma]$ and $\tau \in H C^{2}\left(C_{c}^{\infty}(\Sigma) \rtimes \Gamma^{\prime}\right)$ by $\theta$. This yields the following cyclic 2-cocycles on $C^{\infty}\left(S^{2}\right) \rtimes \Gamma$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta^{*}[\Sigma]= & {\left[S^{2}\right] } \\
\left(\theta^{*} \tau\right)\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}\right)= & \int_{S^{2}} a_{0}\left(d a_{1}\left(\partial \ln \psi_{2}^{\prime} a_{2}+\left[a_{2}, \rho_{2}^{2} \partial \ln g^{\prime}\right]\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\partial \ln \psi_{1}^{\prime} a_{1}+\left[a_{1}, \rho_{2}^{2} \partial \ln g^{\prime}\right]\right)\right)  \tag{117}\\
& -\int_{S^{2}} a_{2} a_{0} a_{1} d\left(\rho_{2}^{2}\right) \partial \ln g^{\prime},
\end{align*}
$$

with $a_{i}=f_{i} U_{\psi_{i}}^{*} \in C^{\infty}\left(S^{2}\right) \rtimes \Gamma$. In formula (117), $S^{2}=U_{1} \cup\{\infty\}$ is gifted with the coordinate shart $(z, \bar{z})$ of $U_{1}$, which makes sense to $\psi_{i}^{\prime}(z)=\partial_{z} \psi_{i}(z)$ and $g^{\prime}(z)=\partial_{z} g(z)=-1 / z^{2}$, but gives singular expressions at 0 and $\infty$. We can overcome this difficulty by introducing a smooth volume form $\nu=\rho(z, \bar{z}) \frac{d z \wedge d \bar{z}}{2 i}$ on $S^{2}$. The associated modular automorphism group $\sigma^{\nu}$ leaves $C^{\infty}\left(S^{2}\right) \rtimes \Gamma$ globally invariant and is expressed in the coordinates $(z, \bar{z})$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{t}^{\nu}\left(f U_{\psi}^{*}\right)=\left(\frac{\nu \circ \psi}{\nu}\right)^{i t} f U_{\psi}^{*}=\left(\frac{\rho \circ \psi}{\rho}\left|\partial_{z} \psi\right|^{2}\right)^{i t} f U_{\psi}^{*}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{118}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the derivation $\delta^{\nu}$ on $C^{\infty}\left(S^{2}\right) \rtimes \Gamma$

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta^{\nu}\left(f U_{\psi}^{*}\right) & \equiv-\left.i\left[\partial, \frac{d}{d t} \sigma_{t}^{\nu}\right]\left(f U_{\psi}^{*}\right)\right|_{t=0} \\
& =\left[\partial, \ln \left(\frac{\rho \circ \psi}{\rho}\left|\partial_{z} \psi\right|^{2}\right)\right]\left(f U_{\psi}^{*}\right)  \tag{119}\\
& =\partial \ln \psi^{\prime} f U_{\psi}^{*}-\left[\partial \ln \rho, f U_{\psi}^{*}\right] \tag{120}
\end{align*}
$$

One has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial \ln \psi^{\prime} f U_{\psi}^{*}+\left[f U_{\psi}^{*}, \rho_{2}^{2} \partial \ln g^{\prime}\right]=\delta^{\nu}\left(f U_{\psi}^{*}\right)+\left[\partial \ln \rho-\rho_{2}^{2} \partial \ln g^{\prime}, f U_{\psi}^{*}\right], \tag{121}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the 1-form $\omega=\partial \ln \rho-\rho_{2}{ }^{2} \partial \ln g^{\prime}$ is globally defined, nowhere singular on $S^{2}$. Let $R^{\nu}=\partial \bar{\partial} \ln \rho$ be the curvature 2 -form associated to the Kähler metric $\rho d z \otimes d \bar{z}$. One has the commutation rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\bar{\partial} \delta^{\nu}+\delta^{\nu} \bar{\partial}\right) a=\left[R^{\nu}, a\right] \quad \forall a \in C^{\infty}\left(S^{2}\right) \rtimes \Gamma . \tag{122}
\end{equation*}
$$

Simple algebraic manipulations show that the following 2-cochain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{\nu}\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}\right)=\int_{S^{2}} a_{0}\left(d a_{1} \delta^{\nu} a_{2}+\delta^{\nu} a_{1} d a_{2}\right)+\int_{S^{2}} a_{2} a_{0} a_{1} R^{\nu} \tag{123}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a cyclic cocycle. Moreover, $\tau^{\nu}$ is cohomologous to $\theta^{*} \tau$. To see this, let $\varphi$ be the cyclic 1-cochain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(a_{0}, a_{1}\right)=\int_{S^{2}}\left(a_{0} d a_{1}-a_{1} d a_{0}\right) \omega \tag{124}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for all $a_{i} \in C^{\infty}\left(S^{2}\right) \rtimes \Gamma$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\tau^{\nu}-\theta^{*} \tau\right)\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}\right) & =-\int_{S^{2}}\left(a_{0} d a_{1} a_{2}+a_{2} d a_{0} a_{1}+a_{1} d a_{2} a_{0}\right) \omega \\
& =b \varphi\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}\right) \tag{125}
\end{align*}
$$

It is clear now that the construction of characteristic classes for an arbitrary (non flat) Riemann surface $\Sigma$ follows exactly the same steps as in the above example. Using an open cover with partition of unity, one gets the desired cyclic cocycles by pullback. Choose a smooth measure $\nu$ on $\Sigma$, then the associated modular group is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{t}^{\nu}\left(f U_{\psi}^{*}\right)=\left(\frac{\nu \circ \psi}{\nu}\right)^{i t} f U_{\psi}^{*} \quad f U_{\psi}^{*} \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\Sigma) \rtimes \Gamma \tag{126}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding derivation

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{\nu}\left(f U_{\psi}^{*}\right)=\ln \left(\frac{\nu \circ \psi}{\nu}\right) f U_{\psi}^{*} \tag{127}
\end{equation*}
$$

allows to define the noncommutative differential

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{\nu}=\left[\partial, D^{\nu}\right] \tag{128}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the characteristic classes of the groupoid $\Sigma \rtimes \Gamma$ are given by [ $\Sigma$ ] and $\left[\tau^{\nu}\right] \in H C^{2}\left(C_{c}^{\infty}(\Sigma) \rtimes \Gamma\right)$, where $\tau^{\nu}$ is given by eq. (123) with $S^{2}$ replaced by $\Sigma$.

In the case $\Gamma=I d$, the crossed product reduces to the commutative algebra $C_{c}^{\infty}(\Sigma)$ for which $\left(\delta^{\nu}=0\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{\nu}\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}\right)=\int_{\Sigma} a_{0} a_{1} a_{2} R^{\nu} \tag{129}
\end{equation*}
$$

is just the image of the cyclic 0 -cocycle

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{0}^{\nu}(a)=\int_{\Sigma} a R^{\nu} \tag{130}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the suspension map in cyclic cohomology $S: H C^{*}\left(C_{c}^{\infty}(\Sigma)\right) \rightarrow H C^{*+2}\left(C_{c}^{\infty}(\Sigma)\right)$. Thus the periodic cyclic cohomology class of $\tau^{\nu}$ corresponds in de Rham homology to the cap product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left[\tau^{\nu}\right]=c_{1}(\kappa) \cap[\Sigma] \quad \in H_{0}(\Sigma) \tag{131}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the first Chern class of the holomorphic tangent bundle $\kappa$ by the fundamental class. This motivates the following definition:

Definition 2 Let $\Sigma$ be a Riemann surface without boundary and $\Gamma$ a discrete pseudogroup acting on $\Sigma$ by local conformal transformations. Let $\nu$ be a smooth volume form on $\Sigma$, and $\sigma^{\nu}$ the associated modular automorphism group leaving $C_{c}^{\infty}(\Sigma) \rtimes \Gamma$ globally invariant. Then the Euler class $e(\Sigma \rtimes \Gamma)$ is the class of the following cyclic 2-cocycle on $C_{c}^{\infty}(\Sigma) \rtimes \Gamma$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \tau^{\nu}\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Sigma}\left(a_{2} a_{0} a_{1} R^{\nu}+a_{0}\left(d a_{1} \delta^{\nu} a_{2}+\delta^{\nu} a_{1} d a_{2}\right)\right) \tag{132}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta^{\nu}$ is the derivation $-i\left[\partial,\left.\frac{d}{d t} \sigma_{t}^{\nu}\right|_{t=0}\right]$, and $R^{\nu}$ is the curvature of the Kähler metric determined by $\nu$ and the complex structure of $\Sigma$. Moreover, this cohomology class is independent of $\nu$.

Now if $\Gamma=\mathrm{Id}$, the operator $Q$ of section II defines an element of the $K$ homology of $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}^{2}$. It corresponds to the tensor product of the classical Dolbeault complex $[\bar{\partial}]$ of $\Sigma$ by the signature complex $[\sigma]$ of the fiber $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, so that its Chern character in de Rham homology is the cup product

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{ch}_{*}(Q) & =\operatorname{ch}_{*}([\bar{\partial}]) \# \operatorname{ch}_{*}([\sigma]) \\
& =\left([\Sigma]+\frac{1}{2} c_{1}(\kappa) \cap[\Sigma]\right) \# 2\left[\mathbb{R}^{2}\right] \quad \in H_{*}\left(\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \tag{133}
\end{align*}
$$

which yields, by Thom isomorphism, the homology class on $\Sigma$

$$
\begin{equation*}
2[\Sigma]+c_{1}(\kappa) \cap[\Sigma] \quad \in H_{*}(\Sigma) . \tag{134}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next for any $\Gamma$, we know from the last section that the Chern character of the Dolbeault $K$-cycle, expressed in the periodic cyclic cohomology of $C_{c}^{\infty}(\Sigma) \rtimes \Gamma$, is a linear combination of $[\Sigma]$ and $e(\Sigma \rtimes \Gamma)$. Thus we deduce immediately the following generalisation of the Riemann-Roch theorem:

Theorem 3 Let $\Sigma$ be a Riemann surface without boundary and $\Gamma$ a discrete pseudogroup acting on $\Sigma$ by local conformal mappings without fixed point. The Chern character of the Dolbeault $K$-cycle is represented by the following cyclic 2-cocycle on $C_{c}^{\infty}(\Sigma) \rtimes \Gamma$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ch}_{*}(Q)=2[\Sigma]+e(\Sigma \rtimes \Gamma) . \tag{135}
\end{equation*}
$$
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