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# THE DETERMINANT OF THE DIRICHLET-TO-NEUMANN MAP FOR SURFACES WITH BOUNDARY 

COLIN GUILLARMOU AND LAURENT GUILLOPÉ


#### Abstract

For any orientable compact surface with boundary, we compute the regularized determinant of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann (DN) map in terms of main value at 0 of a Ruelle zeta function using uniformization of Mazzeo-Taylor. We apply it to compact hyperbolic surfaces with totally geodesic boundary and obtain various interpretations of the determinant of the Dirichlet Laplacian in terms of dynamical zeta functions. We also relate in any dimension the DN map for the Yamabe operator to the scattering operator for a conformally compact related problem by using uniformization.


## 1. Introduction

Let $(\bar{X}, \bar{g})$ be a connected compact Riemannian manifold with boundary, then the Dirichlet-to-Neumann (DN) map is the map

$$
\mathcal{N}: C^{\infty}(\partial \bar{X}) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(\partial \bar{X})
$$

defined by the following problem: let $f \in C^{\infty}(\partial \bar{X})$ and let $u \in C^{\infty}(\bar{X})$ be the solution of

$$
\Delta_{\bar{g}} u=0,\left.\quad u\right|_{\partial \bar{X}}=f
$$

then if $\partial_{n}$ is the interior pointing vector field which is normal to $\partial \bar{X}$, we set

$$
\mathcal{N} f:=-\left.\partial_{n} u\right|_{\partial \bar{X}} .
$$

It is well-known that $\mathcal{N}$ is an elliptic self-adjoint pseudo-differential operator on $\partial \bar{X}$ with principal symbol $|\xi|_{h_{0}}, \xi \in T^{*} \partial \bar{X}$, if $h_{0}:=\left.g\right|_{T \partial \bar{X}}$ (see [35, 7.11] for example). It is then possible to define its determinant by the Ray-Singer method 32]. Indeed, if $A$ is an elliptic self-adjoint pseudo-differential operator of order $p>0$ with positive principal symbol, we can set, following (34, 32, 23],

$$
\operatorname{det}(A)=e^{-\partial_{s} \zeta_{A}(0)}, \quad \zeta_{A}(s)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{-s}\right)
$$

where $\zeta_{A}(s)$ is a priori defined for $\Re(s) \gg 0$ but has a meromorphic extension to $\mathbb{C}$ with no pole at $s=0$. If we apply this to $A=\mathcal{N}$, we obtain $\operatorname{det}(\mathcal{N})=0$ since the constant function is always an eigenvector for the eigenvalue 0 of $\mathcal{N}$, we then have to modify the $\operatorname{definition~of~} \operatorname{det}(\mathcal{N})$. If $\Pi$ is the orthogonal projection in $L^{2}\left(\partial \bar{X}, \mathrm{~d} v o l_{h_{0}}\right)$ onto the kernel ker $\mathcal{N}$, i.e. on the vector space of constant functions, we take $\operatorname{det}^{\prime} \mathcal{N}$ defined by

$$
\operatorname{det}^{\prime}(\mathcal{N})=e^{-\partial_{s} \zeta_{\mathcal{N}}^{*}(0)}, \quad \zeta_{\mathcal{N}}^{*}(s)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathcal{N}^{-s}(1-\Pi)\right)
$$

which is well defined as before.
In the case of $X$ being a surface, if $\ell_{\bar{g}}(\partial \bar{X})$ is the length of the boundary $\partial \bar{X}$ for the metric $\bar{g}$, we prove that $\operatorname{det}^{\prime}(\mathcal{N}) / \ell_{\bar{g}}(\partial \bar{X})$ is a conformal invariant of the conformal manifold with boundary ( $\bar{X},[\bar{g}]$ ), it thus suffices to compute it on a conformal representative.

For any smooth compact surface with boundary, Mazzeo and Taylor 26 show that there exists a unique conformally compact metric $g$ on the interior $X$ of $\bar{X}$ such that $g$ has curvature -1 and $g$ is conformal to $\bar{g}$. The manifold $(X, g)$ is then isometric to an infinite volume quotient $X \simeq \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^{2}$ of the hyperbolic plane by a convex co-compact group of isometries. We use this uniformization to compute $\operatorname{det}^{\prime}(\mathcal{N})$, although the DN map in this case does not really make sense, but instead we have the scattering operator.

Before stating the result, we need to recall a few definitions about Riemann surfaces and their Selberg (resp. Ruelle) zeta function. Let $\Gamma \subset \operatorname{Isom}^{+}\left(\mathbb{H}^{2}\right)$ be a Fuchsian subgroup with only hyperbolic elements (i.e. fixing 2 points at the boundary of $\mathbb{H}^{2}$ ), the quotient $X=\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^{2}$ is a geometrically finite complete hyperbolic manifold. We recall that any $\gamma \in \Gamma$ is conjugated to the dilation $z \rightarrow e^{\ell(\gamma)} z$, with translation length $\ell(\gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$in the hyperbolic half-plane model $\mathbb{H}^{2}=\{z \in \mathbb{C} ; \Im(z)>0\}$, note that the set $[\Gamma]$ of primitive conjugacy classes of $\Gamma$ is in one-to-one correspondence with the set $[C]$ of primitive closed oriented geodesics $c$, the length of the closed geodesic $c$ corresponding to $\gamma$ being equal to $\ell(\gamma)$. There is a dynamical Ruelle type zeta function defined by the formula ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\Gamma}(\lambda):=\prod_{[\gamma] \in[\Gamma]}\left(1-e^{-\lambda \ell(\gamma)}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Selberg zeta function

$$
Z_{\Gamma}(\lambda):=\prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}} R_{\Gamma}(\lambda+k)
$$

These products converge for $\Re(\lambda)>\delta$ where $\delta \in[0,1]$ is the exponent of convergence of the Poincaré series of $\Gamma$, equal to 1 only if $\Gamma$ is cocompact. Moreover they admit an analytic extension ${ }^{2}$ to $\mathbb{C}$ and verify the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\Gamma}(\lambda)=Z_{\Gamma}(\lambda) / Z_{\Gamma}(\lambda+1) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are able to compute the determinant of the DN map $\mathcal{N}$ using the uniformization of 26]:
Theorem 1.1. Let $(\bar{X}, \bar{g})$ be a smooth compact orientable connected Riemannian surface of Euler characteristic $\chi(\bar{X})$, with boundary $\partial \bar{X}$ of length $\ell(\partial \bar{X})$, and let $\mathcal{N}$ be the Dirichlet-toNeumann operator of $\Delta_{\bar{g}}$ on $\partial \bar{X}$. The quotient $\operatorname{det}^{\prime}(\mathcal{N}) / \ell(\partial \bar{X})$ is an invariant of the conformal class of $\bar{g}$.

Let $g$ be the unique up to isometry, infinite volume, complete hyperbolic metric $g$ on $X$ conformal to $\bar{g}_{X}$ and let $\Gamma \subset \operatorname{Isom}^{+}\left(\mathbb{H}^{2}\right)$ the geometrically finite Fuchsian group such that $(X, g)$ is isometric to the space form $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^{2}$. If we denote by $R_{\Gamma}(\lambda)$ the Ruelle zeta function of $\Gamma$, we have

$$
\frac{\operatorname{det}^{\prime}(\mathcal{N})}{\ell(\partial \bar{X})}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \chi(\bar{X})=1 \\ \ell(\gamma) / \pi & \text { if } \chi(\bar{X})=0 \\ {\left[(2 \pi \lambda)^{\chi(\bar{X})-1} R_{\Gamma}(\lambda)\right]_{\mid \lambda=0} / \chi(\bar{X})} & \text { if } \chi(\bar{X})<0\end{cases}
$$

In the second case $\chi(\bar{X})=0$, the group $\Gamma$ is cyclic elementary, generated by the hyperbolic isometry $\gamma$ with translation length $\ell(\gamma)$, length of the unique closed geodesic of the cylinder $\bar{X} \simeq \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^{2}$.

The proof of this theorem is based on a functional equation for Selberg zeta function for convex co-compact groups obtained in previous work [17] and the observation that the DN map for $(\bar{X}, \bar{g})$ is, modulo constant, the scattering operator $S(\lambda)$ of the uniformized non-compact manifold $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^{2}$ at the parameter value $\lambda=1$ : this is discussed in more generality at the end of the introduction. It is also worth to say that the proof shows that 0 is always a resonance of multiplicity 1 with resonant state 1 for the Laplacian on any convex co-compact surface except when it's a cylinder where it is then of multiplicity 2 .

Remarks: Note that for closed hyperbolic manifolds, the main value of $R_{\Gamma}(\lambda)$ for some unitary representations of $\Gamma$ at $\lambda=0$ is related to Reidemeister torsion by a result of Fried 9]. It is also worthwhile to recall that the case of the disc (i.e. $\chi(\bar{X})=1$ ) was known from Edward-Wu [6] by explicit computation. In a similar way, we will give in an appendix the explicit computation for the cylinder to check that it fits with the value found in Theorem 1.1.

[^0]We apply this analysis for the special case where $\bar{X}$ is an oriented hyperbolic surface with geodesic boundary. In that case, $\bar{X}$ is isometric to $G_{0} \backslash \mathbb{H}^{2}$ for some discrete groups of isometries of $\mathbb{H}^{2}$ (containing symmetries of order 2 ), we define $G:=G_{0} \cap \operatorname{Isom}^{+}\left(\mathbb{H}^{2}\right)$ its index 2 subgroup of direct isometries, which is a co-compact Fuchsian group: $M:=G \backslash \mathbb{H}^{2}$ is a closed hyperbolic surface, in fact the double of $\bar{X}$ along the boundary $\partial \bar{X}$. There are natural Ruelle and Selberg type zeta functions (see Guillopé 19, Section 5]) attached to $G_{0}$ or equivalently to $\bar{X}$. Let $\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{N}$ be the lengths of the geodesic boundary components of $\bar{X}$ and let $[C]$ be the set of primitive oriented closed geodesics $c$ of length $\ell_{c}$ and with $n_{c}$ geometric reflections (according to the geometric optic law) on $\partial \bar{X}$, then the zeta functions ${ }^{3}$ are defined by the following products:

$$
\begin{gather*}
R_{\partial \bar{X}}(\lambda):=\prod_{j=1}^{N}\left(1-e^{-\lambda \ell_{j}}\right)^{2}, \quad R_{G_{0}}(\lambda):=\prod_{c \in[C]}\left(1-(-1)^{n_{c}} e^{-\lambda \ell_{c}}\right)\left(1-e^{-(\lambda+1) \ell_{c}}\right)  \tag{1.3}\\
Z_{G_{0}}(\lambda):=\prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}} R_{\partial \bar{X}}(\lambda+2 k) R_{G_{0}}(\lambda+2 k)
\end{gather*}
$$

We show, using Theorem 1.1 and a trace formula of Guillopé 19,
Theorem 1.2. Let $(\bar{X}, \bar{g}) \simeq G_{0} \backslash \mathbb{H}^{2}$ be an orientable hyperbolic smooth surface with geodesic boundary, let $\chi(\bar{X})$ be its Euler characteristic and $\Delta_{\bar{X}}$ the Dirichlet realization of its Laplacian. Let $G=G_{0} \cap \mathrm{Isom}^{+}\left(\mathbb{H}^{2}\right)$ be the subgroup of $G_{0}$ of direct isometries and $Z_{G}$ be the associated Selberg zeta function. Let $\Gamma$ be the Fuchsian group, like in Theorem 1.1, such that the complete hyperbolic surface $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^{2}$ is conformally diffeomorphic to $\left(X,\left.\bar{g}\right|_{X}\right)$ and $R_{\Gamma}$ its Ruelle zeta function. Then

$$
\left.\left(\operatorname{det}\left(\Delta_{\bar{X}}\right)\right)^{2}=Z_{G_{0}}(1)^{2} e^{-2 \eta \chi(\bar{X})-\frac{\ell(\partial \bar{X})}{4}}=-\frac{Z_{G}^{\prime}(1) e^{-2 \eta \chi(\bar{X})}(2 \pi)^{-\chi(\bar{X})}}{[\lambda \chi(\bar{X})-1} R_{\Gamma}(\lambda)\right]_{\mid \lambda=0}
$$

where $\eta:=2 \zeta^{\prime}(-1)-\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{2} \log (2 \pi)$ with $\zeta$ the Riemann zeta function. Thus

$$
\left[\lambda^{\chi(\bar{X})-1} R_{\Gamma}(\lambda)\right]_{\mid \lambda=0}=-\frac{Z_{G}^{\prime}(1)}{Z_{G_{0}}(1)^{2}} e^{\frac{\ell(\partial \bar{X})}{4}}(2 \pi)^{-\chi(\bar{X})} .
$$

Although the products defining $R_{\Gamma}(\lambda), Z_{G}(\lambda), Z_{G_{0}}(\lambda)$ do not converge, we can view the last identity of Theorem 1.2 as a relation between length spectrum of $\Gamma \mathbb{H}^{2}$ and $G_{0} \mathbb{H}^{2}$, which does not appear obvious at all.

In the last section we discuss in more generality (in higher dimension) the relation between Dirichlet-to-Neumann map and scattering operator. An $(n+1)$-dimensional asymptotically hyperbolic manifold $(X, g)$ is a complete Riemannian non-compact manifold, which is the interior of a smooth compact manifold with boundary $\bar{X}$ such that for any boundary defining function $x$ of $\partial \bar{X}$ (i.e. $\partial \bar{X}=\{x=0\}$ and $\left.d x\right|_{\partial \bar{X}} \neq 0$ ), then $\bar{g}=x^{2} g$ is a smooth metric on $\bar{X}$ such that $|d x|_{x^{2} g}=1$ on $\partial \bar{X}$. The metric $h_{0}:=\left.\bar{g}\right|_{T \partial \bar{X}}$ induced on $\partial \bar{X}$ depends on $x$ and another choice of $x$ yields a metric on $\partial \bar{X}$ conformal to $h_{0}$, we thus define the conformal infinity of $(X, g)$ as the conformal class of $\left[h_{0}\right]$ on $\partial \bar{X}$. There is a natural meromorphic family of operators (defined in Section 2) $\mathcal{S}(\lambda)$ (for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ) called scattering operator, acting on $C^{\infty}(\partial \bar{X})$, these are elliptic conformally covariant pseudo-differential operators of order $2 \lambda-n$ with principal symbol $|\xi|_{h_{0}}^{2 \lambda-n}$ where $h_{0}=\left.\bar{g}\right|_{T \partial \bar{X}}$ is a conformal representative of the conformal infinity of $(X, g)$. When $g$ is Einstein, Graham and Zworski 14] showed that $\mathcal{S}(n / 2+k)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ are conformal powers of the Laplacian on the boundary $\bar{\partial} \bar{X}$, initially defined in 12]. Since $\mathcal{S}(\lambda)$ has order 1 when $\lambda=(n+1) / 2$ and the same principal symbol than a Dirichlet-to-Neumann map on the compact manifold $(\bar{X}, \bar{g})$, we may expect that it is realized as a DN map for an elliptic compact problem with boundary. We observe that when $g$ has constant scalar curvature (for instance if $g$ is Einstein), then $\mathcal{S}\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)$ is the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map of the conformal Laplacian on a whole class of smooth metric $\bar{g}$ on $\bar{X}$, conformal to $g$, with $\left.\bar{g}\right|_{T \partial \bar{X}}=h_{0}$ and with minimal boundary $\partial \bar{X}$. Conversely it is clear that there is no constant curvature uniformization when

[^1]$n+1>2$, but instead there is a solution of a singular Yamabe problem, that is, for a given $(\bar{X}, \bar{g})$, there exists an asymptotically hyperbolic metric with constant curvature on the interior $X$ in the conformal class of $\bar{g}$. The existence and regularity of such a solution of this singular Yamabe problem is due to Aviles-Mac Owen [1], Mazzeo [24] and Andersson-Chruściel-Friedrich [2]. If $K$ is the mean curvature of $\partial \bar{X}$ for $\bar{g}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ is the DN map for the conformal Laplacian $\bar{P}=\Delta_{\bar{g}}+\operatorname{Scal}_{\bar{g}}(n-1) /(4 n)$, we show that $\mathcal{N}+(n-1) K / 2$ is the value $\mathcal{S}((n+1) / 2)$ for a complete manifold with constant negative scalar curvature, conformal to $\bar{g}$ on the interior $X$ of $\bar{X}$. Note that $\mathcal{N}+(n-1) K / 2$ is known to be the natural conformally covariant operator on the boundary associated to $P$, see [4].
Acknowledgement. We thank E. Aubry, P. Delanoë, M. Harmer, A. Hassell, R. Mazzeo and P. Perry for useful discussions and for pointing out the right references. C.G. acknowledges support of NSF grant DMS0500788, and french ANR grants JC05-52556 and JC0546063.

## 2. The DN map on compact surfaces with boundary

We now recall the definition of the scattering operator $\mathcal{S}(\lambda)$ on an asymptotically hyperbolic manifold $(X, g)$ of dimension $n+1$. From Graham-Lee [15], for any choice $h_{0}$ in the conformal infinity [ $h_{0}$ ], such a metric can be written uniquely in a collar neighbourhood $[0, \epsilon)_{x} \times \partial \bar{X}$ of the boundary under the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\frac{d x^{2}+h(x)}{x^{2}}, \quad h(0)=h_{0} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some smooth 1-parameter family of metric $h(x)$ on $\partial \bar{X}$. If $h(x)$ has a Taylor expansion at $x=0$ with only even powers of $x$, then $g$ is called even (see 16]). If $g$ is even and $f \in$ $C^{\infty}(\partial \bar{X}), \Re(\lambda) \geq n / 2$ and $\lambda \notin n / 2+\mathbb{N}$, then $\mathcal{S}(\lambda) f:=\left.c(\lambda) u_{\lambda}^{+}\right|_{\partial \bar{X}} \in C^{\infty}(\partial \bar{X})$ where ${ }^{4} c(\lambda)$ is the normalisation constant $c(\lambda):=2^{2 \lambda-n} \Gamma\left(\lambda-\frac{n}{2}\right) / \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}-\lambda\right)$ and $u_{\lambda}, u_{\lambda}^{ \pm}$are defined by solving the Poisson problem (14]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Delta_{g}-\lambda(n-\lambda)\right) u_{\lambda}=0, \quad u_{\lambda}=x^{n-\lambda} u_{\lambda}^{-}+x^{\lambda} u_{\lambda}^{+}, \quad u_{\lambda}^{ \pm} \in C^{\infty}(\bar{X}),\left.\quad u_{\lambda}^{-}\right|_{\partial \bar{X}}=f \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

here $x$ denotes a boundary defining function of $\partial \bar{X}$. We see that $\mathcal{S}(\lambda) f$ depends on $g$ and on the choice of $x$ or equivalently on the choice of conformal representative $h_{0}=\left.x^{2} g\right|_{T \partial \bar{X}}$ of the conformal infinity of $(X, g)$. Changing $h_{0}$ into $\hat{h}_{0}=e^{2 \omega_{0}} h_{0}$ with $\omega_{0} \in C^{\infty}(\partial \bar{X})$ induces the scattering operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathcal{S}}(\lambda)=e^{-\lambda \omega_{0}} \mathcal{S}(\lambda) e^{(n-\lambda) \omega_{0}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From [21, 14], $\mathcal{S}(\lambda)$ is holomorphic in the half plane $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} ; \Re(\lambda)>n / 2\}$, moreover it is a pseudodifferential operator of order $2 \lambda-n$ with principal symbol $|\xi|_{h_{0}}^{2 \lambda-n}$ (thus elliptic) and it is self-adjoint when $\lambda \in(n / 2,+\infty)$, which makes its zeta regularized determinant well defined by [23]. If the dimension $n+1$ is even, one shows easily that if $\hat{h}_{0}$ is conformal to $h_{0}$, the conformal relation (2.3) between the associated operators $\mathcal{S}(\lambda)$ and $\hat{\mathcal{S}}(\lambda)$ implies that $\operatorname{det}(\mathcal{S}(\lambda))=\operatorname{det}(\hat{\mathcal{S}}(\lambda))$, see [17, Sec. 4] for instance.

We are back to our case of surfaces (here $n=1$ ), thus let $(\bar{X}, \bar{g})$ be a smooth Riemannian surface with boundary. We first relate $\mathcal{N}$ to the scattering operator of an associated non-compact hyperbolic surface. Let $\rho$ be a function that defines $\partial \bar{X}$ and such that $\bar{g}=d \rho^{2}+h_{0}+O(\rho)$ for some metric $h_{0}$ on $\partial \bar{X}$, so the normal vector field to the boundary is $\partial_{n}=\partial_{\rho}$ on $\partial \bar{X}$. Let $g=\hat{\rho}^{-2} \bar{g}$ be the unique complete hyperbolic metric on the interior $X$ of $\bar{X}$, obtained by Mazzeo-Taylor [26], where $\hat{\rho}=\rho+O\left(\rho^{2}\right)$ is some smooth function on $\bar{X}$, then $(X, g)$ is an asymptotically hyperbolic manifold in the sense stated in the introduction. Then $g$ is even since the metric outside some compact is the metric on a hyperbolic funnel, that is $d r^{2}+\cosh ^{2}(r) d t^{2}$ on $(0, \infty)_{r} \times(\mathbb{R} / a \mathbb{Z})_{t}$ for some $a>0$ (it suffices to set $x=e^{-r}$ to have a model form (2.1)). Therefore the geodesic function $x$ such that $g$ is like (2.1) implies $h(x)=h_{0}+O\left(x^{2}\right)$ and $x=\rho+O\left(\rho^{2}\right)$. By studying

[^2]the Poisson problem at energy $\lambda$ close to 1 for $\Delta_{g}$, for any $f \in C^{\infty}(\partial \bar{X})$, there exists a unique $u_{\lambda} \in C^{\infty}(X)$ such that
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Delta_{g}-\lambda(1-\lambda)\right) u_{\lambda}=0, \quad u_{\lambda} \sim_{x \rightarrow 0} x^{1-\lambda}\left(f+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x^{2 j} f_{2 j}^{-}(\lambda)\right)+x^{\lambda}\left(c(\lambda) \mathcal{S}(\lambda) f+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x^{2 j} f_{2 j}^{+}(\lambda)\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

for some $f_{j}^{ \pm}(\lambda) \in C^{\infty}(\partial \bar{X})$ (we used evenness of the metric so that odd powers of $x$ are zeros, see [14). In particular at $\lambda=1$ we have $u:=u_{1} \in C^{\infty}(\bar{X})$ and $\Delta_{g} u=0$ but $\Delta_{g}=\hat{\rho}^{2} \Delta_{\bar{g}}$ thus

$$
\Delta_{\bar{g}} u=0, \quad u \in C^{\infty}(\bar{X}), \quad u=f+x S(1) f+O\left(\rho^{2}\right)
$$

but since $\partial_{x}=\partial_{\hat{\rho}}=\partial_{\rho}=\partial_{n}$ on $\partial \bar{X}$ we automatically get
Lemma 2.1. The Dirichlet-to-Neumann map $\mathcal{N}$ for $\Delta_{\bar{g}}$ is given by the scattering operator $\mathcal{S}(1)$ at energy 1 for the Laplacian $\Delta_{g}$ on the asymptotically hyperbolic manifold ( $X, g$ ) conformal to $\bar{g}$, where $\mathcal{S}(\lambda)$ is defined using the boundary defining function associated to the representative $h_{0}=\left.\bar{g}\right|_{T \partial \bar{X}}$ of the conformal infinity $\left[h_{0}\right]$ of $(X, g)$.

Remark: taking a conformal metric $\hat{g}=e^{2 \omega} \bar{g}$ on $\bar{X}$ gives a Laplacian $\Delta_{\hat{g}}=e^{-2 \omega} \Delta_{\bar{g}}$ and the normal vector field to the boundary becomes $\partial_{n}=e^{-\omega_{0}} \partial_{x}$ where $\omega_{0}=\left.\omega\right|_{\partial \bar{X}}$. We deduce that the associated Dirichlet-to-Neumann map $\hat{\mathcal{N}}$ is $\hat{\mathcal{N}}=e^{-\omega_{0}} \mathcal{N}$.

We begin by showing
Lemma 2.2. If $\hat{h}_{0}=e^{2 \omega_{0}} h_{0}$ is another conformal representative of the metric on $\partial \bar{X}$, the associated scattering operator $\hat{\mathcal{S}}(1)$ has for determinant

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\operatorname{det}^{\prime}(\hat{\mathcal{S}}(1))}{\ell_{\hat{h}_{0}}(\partial \bar{X})}=\frac{\operatorname{det}^{\prime}(\mathcal{S}(1))}{\ell_{h_{0}}(\partial \bar{X})} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\ell_{h_{0}}(\partial \bar{X}), \ell_{\hat{h}_{0}}(\partial \bar{X})$ are the length of the boundary $\partial \bar{X}$ for the respective metrics $h_{0}$ and $\hat{h}_{0}$. As a consequence, $\operatorname{det}^{\prime}(\mathcal{N}) / \ell_{\bar{g}}(\partial \bar{X})$ is a conformal invariant of the conformal class of $\bar{g}$ on $\bar{X}$.
Proof: Since $\mathcal{S}(1)=\mathcal{N}$, the kernel of $\mathcal{S}(1)$ is one dimensional composed of the constants. Thus $\operatorname{det}(\mathcal{S}(1))=0$ and we need to consider $\operatorname{det}^{\prime}(\mathcal{S}(1))$. By the main formula of Paycha-Scott [31] (see also [27, 17]),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}(\mathcal{S}(\lambda))=\exp (\operatorname{TR}(\log \mathcal{S}(\lambda))), \quad \operatorname{det}^{\prime}(\mathcal{S}(1))=\exp (\operatorname{TR}(\log (\mathcal{S}(1))(1-\Pi))) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where TR is the Kontsevitch-Vishik trace of [23], $\log (\mathcal{S}(1))$ is defined by a contour integral (see [23, 31] for details), and $\Pi$ the orthogonal projection on the constants for the volume density induced by $h_{0}$. It is important to note that this formula holds (i.e. Guillemin-Wodzicki residue trace do not show-up in the formula) since $\mathcal{S}(1)$ has regular parity in the sense of 17, Sect. 2] and thus $\log \mathcal{S}(1)$ as well, in view of the parity of the Taylor expansion of $h(x)$ in power of $x$ at $x=0$ which holds by hyperbolicity of $g$, see again 17 for more details. If $h_{t}=e^{2 t \omega} h_{0}$ is a conformal change then the associated scattering operator at energy 1 is unitarily equivalent to the self-adjoint operator $\mathcal{S}_{t}(1)=e^{-t \frac{\omega}{2}} \mathcal{S}(1) e^{-t \frac{\omega}{2}}$ on $L^{2}\left(\partial \bar{X}\right.$, dvol $\left._{h_{0}}\right)$, with $L^{2}$ kernel projector

$$
\Pi_{t}=\left(\ell_{h_{t}}(\partial \bar{X})\right)^{-1} e^{t \frac{\omega}{2}} \otimes e^{t \frac{\omega}{2}}
$$

where $\ell_{h_{t}}(\partial \bar{X})$ is the length of $\partial \bar{X}$ for the metric $h_{t}$. First we have that

$$
\partial_{t}\left(\log \left(\mathcal{S}_{t}(1)\right)\left(1-\Pi_{t}\right)\right)=\left(\partial_{t} \mathcal{S}_{t}(1)\right) \mathcal{S}_{t}(1)^{-1}\left(1-\Pi_{t}\right)-\log \left(\mathcal{S}_{t}(1)\right) \partial_{t} \Pi_{t}
$$

where $\mathcal{S}_{t}(1)^{-1}$ is the unique operator (modulo $\Pi_{t}$ ) satisfying $\mathcal{S}_{t}(1) \mathcal{S}_{t}(1)^{-1}=\mathcal{S}_{t}(1)^{-1} \mathcal{S}_{t}(1)=$ $1-\Pi_{t}$, that is by an elementary computation $S_{t}(1)^{-1}=\left(1-\Pi_{t}\right) e^{t \frac{\omega}{2}} \mathcal{S}(1)^{-1} e^{t \frac{\omega}{2}}\left(1-\Pi_{t}\right)$ where $\mathcal{S}(1)^{-1}=\mathcal{S}_{0}(1)^{-1}$. Thus taking the log derivative of $\operatorname{det}^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{S}_{t}(1)\right)$ with respect to $t$ gives (by the same arguments than 17, Sec. 4]) that

$$
\partial_{t} \log \left(\operatorname{det}^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{S}_{t}(1)\right)\right)=-\operatorname{TR}\left(\omega\left(1-\Pi_{t}\right)\right)-\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(\log \left(\mathcal{S}_{t}(1)\right) \partial_{t} \Pi_{t}\right)\right.
$$

where $\operatorname{Tr}$ is the usual trace. Using that $\Pi_{t}^{2}=\Pi_{t}$ and the cyclicity of the trace, the second term is clearly vanishing since $\log \left(\mathcal{S}_{t}(1)\right) \Pi_{t}=\Pi_{t} \log \left(\mathcal{S}_{t}(1)\right)=0$ and $\partial_{t} \Pi_{t}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\omega \Pi_{t}+\Pi_{t} \omega\right)+\alpha(t) \Pi_{t}$ for some constant $\alpha_{t}$. Now $\operatorname{TR}(\omega)=0$ since the Kontsevitch-Vishik trace of a differential operator is 0 in odd dimension (see 23]), and we have

$$
\operatorname{TR}\left(\omega \Pi_{t}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Pi_{t} \omega \Pi_{t}\right)=\frac{\int_{\partial \bar{X}} \omega e^{t \omega} \operatorname{dvol}_{h_{0}}}{\int_{\partial \bar{X}} e^{t \omega} \operatorname{dvol}_{h_{0}}}=\partial_{t} \log \left(\ell_{h_{t}}(\partial \bar{X})\right)
$$

Then denoting $\hat{h}:=h_{1}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{S}}(1):=\mathcal{S}_{1}(1)$, we get the right law for the determinant The last statement in the Lemma is a straightforward corollary, using the remark before the Lemma.

We now prove the main Theorem 1.1.
Proof: Since $\mathcal{S}(1)=\mathcal{N}$, it is clear that the kernel of $\mathcal{S}(1)$ is one dimensional, composed of the constants. According to (2.6), we need to analyze $\operatorname{det} \mathcal{S}(\lambda)$ in the neighborhood of $\lambda=1$.

From [17, Th. 1.3] and the fact that $\Delta_{g}$ has no $L^{2}$ zero-eigenvalue, $\operatorname{det}(\mathcal{S}(\lambda))$ has no pole in a neighbourhood of $\lambda=1$ and is holomorphic near $\lambda=1$ with a zero of order

$$
\nu_{1}:=-\operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{\lambda=1}\left(\partial_{\lambda} \mathcal{S}(\lambda) \mathcal{S}^{-1}(\lambda)\right)\right)
$$

Let us now compute $\nu_{1}$. We consider the largest integer $k$ such that there exists a holomorphic (in $\lambda$ ) family of functions $u_{\lambda}$ in $L^{2}(\partial \bar{X})$ with $u_{1} \in \operatorname{ker} \mathcal{S}(1)$, and such that $\mathcal{S}(\lambda) u_{\lambda}=O\left((\lambda-1)^{k}\right)$. This maximum is achieved for some $u_{\lambda}$, is positive and is exactly $\nu_{1}$ by Gohberg-Sigal theory (see 10] or 18]) Thus there exists a family of functions $u_{\lambda}$ on $\partial \bar{X}$, holomorphic in $\lambda$, with $u_{1} \in \operatorname{ker} \mathcal{S}(1)$ such that $\mathcal{S}(\lambda) u_{\lambda}=(\lambda-1)^{\nu_{1}} \psi+O\left((\lambda-1)^{\nu_{1}+1}\right)$ for some function $\psi \neq 0$. Then setting $u_{\lambda}=u_{1}+(\lambda-1) v+O\left((\lambda-1)^{2}\right)$ we get the equation

$$
\mathcal{S}(\lambda) u_{\lambda}=(\lambda-1)\left(\mathcal{S}(1) v+\mathcal{S}^{\prime}(1) u_{1}\right)+O\left((\lambda-1)^{2}\right)
$$

which we multiply with $u_{1}$, integrate and use self adjointness of $\mathcal{S}(1)$ with $\mathbb{R} u_{1}=\operatorname{ker} \mathcal{S}(1)$ to deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathcal{S}(\lambda) u_{\lambda}, u_{1}\right\rangle=(\lambda-1) \int_{\partial \bar{X}} u_{1} \mathcal{S}^{\prime}(1) u_{1} \operatorname{dvol}_{h_{0}}+O\left((\lambda-1)^{2}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

But following the notation of Fefferman-Graham 7. Prop. 1.3], we have a kind of $Q$ curvature defined by $Q=S^{\prime}(1) 1=-S^{\prime}(1) 1$ (we used again that $S(1) 1=0$ ) and they prove the identity

$$
\int_{\partial \bar{X}} Q \operatorname{dvol}_{h_{0}}=-0-\operatorname{vol}(X)
$$

where $0-\operatorname{vol}(X)$ is the renormalized volume (also called 0 -volume) of $X$, i.e. the constant $V$ in the expansion

$$
\operatorname{Vol}(x>\epsilon)=c_{0} \epsilon^{-1}+V+O(\epsilon), \quad \text { as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0
$$

It is however proved, from Gauss-Bonnet formula, by Guillopé-Zworski 20] and Epstein [30, Appendix] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0-\operatorname{vol}(X)=-2 \pi \chi(\bar{X})=2 \pi(2 g+N-2) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi(\bar{X})$ is the Euler characteristic of $\bar{X}, g$ is its genus and $N$ the number of boundary components. Since $u_{1}$ is constant, the coefficient of $(\lambda-1)$ in 2.7) does not vanish if $\chi(\bar{X}) \neq 0$ and then $\nu_{1}=1$.

Recall (see 14) that $\mathcal{S}(\lambda)$ is self-adjoint for $\lambda$ real, Fredholm, analytic in $\lambda$ near $\lambda=1$ and invertible in a small pointed disc (of radius $\epsilon>0$ ) centered at 1 , moreover $\mathcal{S}(1)$ has 0 as isolated eigenvalue of multiplicity 1 , then one can use Kato perturbation theory [22, VII, 3] to deduce that for $\lambda$ near $\lambda=1$, the spectrum of the operator $\mathcal{S}(\lambda)$ near 0 is an isolated eigenvalue of multiplicity 1 , that we denote $\alpha(\lambda)$; moreover it is holomorphic in $\lambda$ near 1 and there is a holomorphic $L^{2}$ normalized associated eigenvector $u_{\lambda}$. We have $u_{\lambda}=u_{1}+O(\lambda-1)$ where
$u_{1}=\ell(\partial \bar{X})^{-1 / 2} \in \operatorname{ker} \mathcal{S}(1), \ell(\partial \bar{X})$ being the length of the curve $\partial \bar{X}$ for the metric $h_{0}$, and we get the equation
$\mathcal{S}(\lambda) u_{\lambda}=\alpha(\lambda) u_{\lambda}, \quad u_{\lambda}=\ell(\partial \bar{X})^{-1 / 2}+(\lambda-1) v+O\left((\lambda-1)^{2}\right), \quad \alpha(\lambda)=(\lambda-1) \beta+O\left((\lambda-1)^{2}\right)$
for some $v \in C^{\infty}(\partial \bar{X})$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Taking a Taylor expansion of (2.9) yields

$$
\mathcal{S}(1) v+\mathcal{S}^{\prime}(1) u_{1}=\beta u_{1}
$$

where we used the notation ' for $\partial_{\lambda}$. Pairing as before with $u_{1}$ and using that $\mathcal{S}(1)$ is self adjoint and previous arguments with $\int_{\partial \bar{X}} Q \operatorname{dvol}_{h_{0}}=2 \pi \chi(\bar{X})$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=-\frac{2 \pi \chi(\bar{X})}{\ell(\partial \bar{X})} . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $\Pi_{\lambda}$ be the orthogonal projection onto $\operatorname{ker}(\mathcal{S}(\lambda)-\alpha(\lambda))$, and we define the function

$$
h(\lambda):=\exp \left(\operatorname{TR}\left(\log (\mathcal{S}(\lambda))\left(1-\Pi_{\lambda}\right)\right)\right)
$$

It is analytic near $\lambda=1$ and $\Pi_{1}=\Pi$ thus the limit of $h(\lambda)$ at $\lambda=1$ is $h(1)=\operatorname{det}^{\prime}(\mathcal{S}(1))$ by (2.6), the value we search to compute. For $\lambda \neq 1$, we can decompose

$$
h(\lambda)=\operatorname{det}(\mathcal{S}(\lambda)) \exp \left(-\operatorname{TR}\left(\Pi_{\lambda}(\log \mathcal{S}(\lambda)) \Pi_{\lambda}\right)\right)
$$

and since the KV Trace TR is the trace on finite rank operators, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Pi_{\lambda} \log (\mathcal{S}(\lambda)) \Pi_{\lambda}\right)=\log (\alpha(\lambda))=\log ((\lambda-1) \beta)(1+O(\lambda-1)) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which proves that

$$
\operatorname{det}^{\prime}(\mathcal{S}(1))=\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 1} \frac{\operatorname{det} \mathcal{S}(\lambda)}{\beta(\lambda-1)}
$$

In 17], we proved the functional equation

$$
\operatorname{det}(\mathcal{S}(\lambda))=\frac{Z_{\Gamma}(1-\lambda)}{Z_{\Gamma}(\lambda)} \exp \left(-2 \pi \chi(\bar{X}) \int_{0}^{\lambda-\frac{1}{2}} t \tan (\pi t) d t\right)
$$

which, following Voros [36, Eq 7.24, 7.25], can be written under the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}(\mathcal{S}(\lambda))=\frac{Z_{\Gamma}(1-\lambda)}{Z_{\Gamma}(\lambda)}\left(\frac{(2 \pi)^{1-2 \lambda} \Gamma(1-\lambda) \Gamma(\lambda) G(\lambda)^{2}}{G(2-\lambda)^{2}}\right)^{-\chi(\bar{X})} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G$ is the Barnes function (see [36, Appendix]) which satisfies in particular $\Gamma(z) G(z)=$ $G(z+1)$ and $G(1)=1$. Writing $Z_{\Gamma}(1-\lambda)=R_{\Gamma}(1-\lambda) Z_{\Gamma}(2-\lambda)$ in (2.12) and using that $Z_{\Gamma}(\lambda)$ is holomorphic at $\lambda=1$ implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}^{\prime}(\mathcal{S}(1)) & =-\beta^{-1}\left[\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 1} \frac{R_{\Gamma}(1-\lambda)}{(1-\lambda)^{1-\chi(\bar{X})}}\right] \lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 1}\left[\frac{(1-\lambda)(2 \pi)^{1-2 \lambda} \Gamma(1-\lambda) \Gamma(\lambda) G(\lambda)^{2}}{G(2-\lambda)^{2}}\right]^{-\chi(\bar{X})} \\
& =(2 \pi)^{\chi(\bar{X})-1} \frac{\ell(\partial \bar{X})}{\chi(\bar{X})} \lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 1} \frac{R_{\Gamma}(1-\lambda)}{(1-\lambda)^{1-\chi(\bar{X})}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

and we are done when $\chi(\bar{X})<0$.
If $\chi(\bar{X})=1, \bar{X}$ is a topological disc and the uniformization that puts a complete hyperbolic metric on $X$ is the usual hyperbolic disc. The proof 17] of the formula (2.12) remains true by setting $Z_{\Gamma}(\lambda):=1$ and we can proceed as before where now $\Pi_{\lambda}$ is the projection on the constants $\Pi_{\lambda}=u_{1}\left\langle u_{1},.\right\rangle$ if $u_{1}$ is like above. We finally obtain

$$
\operatorname{det}^{\prime}(\mathcal{S}(1))=-\frac{\ell(\partial \bar{X})}{2 \pi} \lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 1} \frac{\operatorname{det}(\mathcal{S}(\lambda))}{\lambda-1}=\ell(\partial \bar{X})
$$

and we are done for this case. Notice that it matches with the result of Edward-Wu [6].
The last case $\chi(\bar{X})=0$ corresponds to the cylinder, whose interior $X$ is uniformized by the cyclic elementary group $\Gamma=\langle\gamma\rangle$, with a unique closed geodesic of length $\ell$, the translation length of the generator $\gamma$. In other words, $X$ is conformal to the hyperbolic cylinder $H_{\ell}=\left(\mathbb{R}_{r} \times\right.$
$\left.(\mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z})_{t}, g=d r^{2}+\cosh ^{2}(r) \ell^{2} d t^{2}\right)$ where $\ell>0$ is the length of the unique closed geodesic $\{r=0\}$. By (2.5), it suffices to compute it for the conformal representative of the boundary at infinity $\left.\left(|\sinh (r)|^{-2} g\right)\right|_{T \partial \bar{X}}=\ell^{2} d t^{2}$ and the result will be given by multiplying by $\ell_{h_{0}}(\partial \bar{X}) / 2 \ell$. The scattering matrix for the conformal representative $\ell^{2} d t^{2}$ is computed in 14, it is decomposable on the Fourier modes, the solution of (2.4) for data $f=1$ on the boundary is

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{\lambda}(r, t)= & \left.|\sinh r|^{\lambda-1} F\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{2}, 1-\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{3}{2}-\lambda ;-\sinh ^{-2}(r)\right)\right) \\
& +\left(\frac{\Gamma(\lambda / 2)}{\Gamma((1-\lambda) / 2)}\right)^{2} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}-\lambda\right)}{\Gamma\left(\lambda-\frac{1}{2}\right)}|\sinh r|^{-\lambda} F\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\lambda+1}{2}, \lambda+\frac{1}{2} ;-\sinh ^{-2}(r)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $F$ is the hypergeometric function but since here we chose $x=|\sinh r|^{-1}$ (to have the right conformal representative on $\partial \bar{X})$ and since $F(a, b, c ; 0)=1$, this gives easily $\mathcal{S}(\lambda) 1$ :

$$
\mathcal{S}(\lambda) 1=2^{2 \lambda-1}\left(\frac{\Gamma(\lambda / 2)}{\Gamma((1-\lambda) / 2)}\right)^{2}=\frac{\pi}{2}(1-\lambda)^{2}+O\left((1-\lambda)^{3}\right), \quad \lambda \rightarrow 1
$$

We can thus do the same reasoning as above, but now $\nu_{1}=2, u_{\lambda}=u_{1}$ and $\beta=\pi / 2$, we finally get, for the conformal representative $\ell^{2} d t^{2}$,

$$
\operatorname{det}^{\prime}(\mathcal{S}(1))=\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 1} \frac{\operatorname{det} \mathcal{S}(\lambda)}{\pi(\lambda-1)^{2} / 2}=\frac{2}{\pi} \lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 1} \frac{R_{\Gamma}(1-\lambda)}{(1-\lambda)^{2}}=\frac{2 \ell^{2}}{\pi}
$$

where the Selberg zeta function for this special case in the functional equation $\left(\begin{array}{l}2.12\end{array}\right)$ is $Z_{\Gamma}(\lambda)=$ $\prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}}\left(1-e^{-(\lambda+k) \ell}\right)^{2}$ (see Prop 3.3 of Patterson 29]), thus here $R_{\Gamma}(\lambda)=\left(1-e^{-\lambda \ell}\right)^{2}$. This gives the proof. For completeness, we will give another explicit computation of this case in the Appendix using the flat annulus conformal to the hyperbolic cylinder $H_{\ell}$.

## 3. The DN map on hyperbolic surfaces with totally geodesic boundary

We study here the special case of a compact hyperbolic surface with geodesic boundary $(\bar{X}, \bar{g})$. The surface $\bar{X}$ is uniformized so that $\bar{X}$ is isometric to $G_{0} \backslash \mathbb{H}^{2}$ where $G_{0}$ is a group of isometries of $\mathbb{H}^{2}$, containing some symmetries. Associated to $G_{0}$, there is a natural Selberg type zeta function $Z_{G_{0}}$ 199, defined in (1.3). Now, let $M=\bar{X} \sqcup \bar{X}$ be the manifold obtained by gluing two copies of $\bar{X}$ at the boundary $\partial \bar{X}$, then $M$ has smooth structure of surface with no boundary such that the natural involution is smooth. We can extend the metric $\bar{g}$ on $M$ by symmetry and the new metric, called $g$, is smooth on $M$ since the structure of the metric $\bar{g}$ in Fermi coordinates $(r, t) \in[0, \epsilon) \times(\mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z})$ near each connected component $C=\{r=0\}$ of $\partial \bar{X}$ is

$$
\bar{g}=d r^{2}+\ell^{2} \cosh ^{2}(t) d t^{2}
$$

for $\ell>0$ the length of $C$. The manifold $M$ is isometric to the quotient $G \backslash \mathbb{H}^{2}$ of the hyperbolic plane by the co-compact Fuchsian group $G=G_{0} \cap \operatorname{Isom}^{+}\left(\mathbb{H}^{2}\right)$, the subgroup of index 2 of direct isometries of $G_{0}$, we will call $(M, g)$ the double of $(\bar{X}, \bar{g})$. Let us denote by $V:=\partial \bar{X}$ the boundary of $\bar{X}$, the manifold $M \backslash V$ can be compactified canonically so that it corresponds to two connected components isometric to $\bar{X}$, we will consider this manifold and will denote it $\bar{X}^{2}$ by abuse of notation.
Theorem 3.1. Let $(\bar{X}, \bar{g})$ be a hyperbolic smooth surface with geodesic boundary, with Euler characteristic $\chi(\bar{X})$, and let $\Delta_{\bar{X}}$ be the Dirichlet realization of its Laplacian. Let $G=G_{0} \cap$ Isom ${ }^{+}\left(\mathbb{H}^{2}\right)$ be a cocompact group such that the double of $(\bar{X}, \bar{g})$ is isometric to $G \backslash \mathbb{H}^{2}$ and $Z_{G}(s)$ the associated Selberg zeta function. Let $\Gamma$ be a Fuchsian group, like in Theorem 1.1, such that the complete hyperbolic $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^{2}$ is conformally diffeomorphic to $\left(X,\left.\bar{g}\right|_{X}\right)$ and $R_{\Gamma}(\lambda)$ its Ruelle zeta function defined in (1.1). Then

$$
\left(\operatorname{det}\left(\Delta_{\bar{X}}\right)\right)^{2}=-\frac{Z_{G}^{\prime}(1) e^{-2 \eta \chi(\bar{X})}(2 \pi)^{-\chi(\bar{X})}}{\left[\lambda \chi(\bar{X})-1 R_{\Gamma}(\lambda)\right]_{\mid \lambda=0}}
$$

where $\eta:=2 \zeta^{\prime}(-1)-\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{2} \log (2 \pi)$ with $\zeta$ the Riemann zeta function.

Proof: We denote by $\Delta_{\bar{X}^{2}}$ the Laplacian on $M$ with Dirichlet condition on the geodesic boundary $\partial \bar{X}$, that is the direct sum $\Delta_{\bar{X}} \oplus \Delta_{\bar{X}}$ on the two copies of $\bar{X}$ in $M$, then its spectrum is clearly the same than $\Delta_{\bar{X}}$ but with double the multiplicity and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(\Delta_{\bar{X}^{2}}\right)=\left(\operatorname{det}\left(\Delta_{\bar{X}}\right)\right)^{2} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the proof of Theorem $B^{*}$ of Burghelea-Friedlander-Kappeler [3], we get that, if $\Delta_{M}$ is the Laplacian on $(M, g)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\operatorname{det}^{\prime}\left(\Delta_{M}\right)}{\operatorname{det}\left(\Delta_{\bar{X}^{2}}\right)}=\frac{\operatorname{vol}(M)}{2 \ell(\partial \bar{X})} \operatorname{det}^{\prime}(\mathcal{N}) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{N}$ is the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map on $\partial \bar{X}$ defined in the Introduction for either copy of $\bar{X}$ in $M, \operatorname{vol}(M)$ is the volume of $M$ for the hyperbolic metric $g$ (i.e. $-4 \pi \chi(\bar{X})$ by GaussBonnet formula), $\ell(\partial \bar{X})$ is the length of the geodesic boundary $\partial \bar{X}$. But from Sarnak 33 (see also D'Hoker-Phong [5], Voros [36]) we have (recall $\chi(M)=2 \chi(\bar{X})$ )

$$
\operatorname{det}^{\prime}\left(\Delta_{M}\right)=Z_{G}^{\prime}(1) e^{-2 \eta \chi(X)}, \quad \eta=2 \zeta^{\prime}(-1)-\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{2} \log (2 \pi)
$$

with $\zeta$ the Riemann zeta function. Using this, combined with (3.2) and (3.1) and Theorem 1.1 we get the result.

We now present another method to compute the determinant $\operatorname{det}\left(\Delta_{\bar{X}}\right)$ of the Dirichlet Laplacian on a hyperbolic surface with geodesic boundary $\bar{X}$, using the Selberg function $Z_{G_{0}}(\lambda)$ and methods of Sarnak [33] and a trace formula by Guillopé 19. Prop 3.1] that

Proposition 3.2. If $\bar{X}=G_{0} \backslash \mathbb{H}^{2}$ is a hyperbolic surface with geodesic boundary $\partial \bar{X}$ of length $\ell(\partial \bar{X})$ and $\Delta_{\bar{X}}$ is the Dirichlet Laplacian on $\bar{X}$, then

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\Delta_{\bar{X}}-\lambda(1-\lambda)\right)=Z_{G_{0}}(\lambda)\left(e^{\eta-\frac{\ell(\partial \bar{X})}{8 \chi(X)}(1-2 \lambda)+\lambda(1-\lambda)} \frac{\left(\Gamma_{2}(\lambda)\right)^{2}(2 \pi)^{\lambda-1}}{\Gamma(\lambda)}\right)^{-\chi(\bar{X})}
$$

where $\chi(\bar{X})$ is the Euler characteristic of $\bar{X}, Z_{G_{0}}(\lambda)$ is the Selberg zeta function of (1.3) and $\eta$ is the constant defined in Theorem 3.1.

Proof: It suffices to apply the proof of Sarnak [33] (done in the case with no boundary) to the trace formula obtained in Proposition 3.1 of 19

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{Z_{G_{0}}^{\prime}(\lambda)}{(2 \lambda-1) Z_{G_{0}}(\lambda)}= & \operatorname{Tr}\left(R_{\bar{X}}(\lambda)-R_{\bar{X}}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)\right)+\frac{Z_{G_{0}}^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)}{\left(2 \lambda_{0}-1\right) Z_{G_{0}}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)} \\
& -\chi(\bar{X})\left(\frac{\Gamma^{\prime}(\lambda)}{\Gamma(\lambda)}-\frac{\Gamma^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\lambda_{0}\right)}\right)-\frac{\ell(\partial \bar{X})}{4}\left(\frac{1}{2 \lambda-1}-\frac{1}{2 \lambda_{0}-1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

here $R_{\bar{X}}(\lambda):=\left(\Delta_{\bar{X}}-\lambda(1-\lambda)\right)^{-1}$. Indeed one deduces from this the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(\Delta_{\bar{X}}-\lambda(1-\lambda)\right)=Z_{G_{0}}(\lambda) e^{-\frac{\ell(\partial \bar{X})}{4} \lambda+C \lambda(1-\lambda)+D}\left(\frac{\left(\Gamma_{2}(\lambda)\right)^{2}(2 \pi)^{\lambda}}{\Gamma(\lambda)}\right)^{-\chi(\bar{X})} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constants $C, D$ and where the digamma function $\Gamma_{2}$ is the inverse of the Barnes function $G$ used in (2.12). To compute the constants, we consider the asymptotics as $\lambda \rightarrow+\infty$ of this identity. First we get an asymptotic for the left hand side through the use of the heat kernel small time asymptotic as in [33]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log \left(\operatorname{det}\left(\Delta_{\bar{X}}-\lambda(1-\lambda)\right)\right)= & -a_{1} \lambda(\lambda-1) \log (\lambda(\lambda-1))+a_{1} \lambda(\lambda-1)+2 \sqrt{\pi} a_{2}\left(\lambda-\frac{1}{2}\right) \\
& +a_{3} \log (\lambda(\lambda-1))+o(1) \\
= & -a_{1} \lambda^{2} \log \lambda+a_{1} \lambda^{2}+2 a_{1} \lambda \log \lambda+2 \sqrt{\pi} \lambda a_{2}+2 a_{3} \log \lambda \\
& -\sqrt{\pi} a_{2}-\frac{1}{2} a_{1}+o(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ are the heat invariant obtained in [28, Appendix]

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{-t \Delta_{\bar{X}}}\right)=t^{-1}\left(a_{1}+a_{2} t^{\frac{1}{2}}+a_{3} t\right)+o(1)=\left(-\frac{1}{2} \chi(\bar{X})-\frac{\ell(\partial \bar{X})}{8 \sqrt{\pi}} t^{\frac{1}{2}}+\frac{\chi(\bar{X})}{6} t\right)+o(1)
$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$. On the other hand, using Stirling formula and $\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow+\infty} Z_{G_{0}}(\lambda)=1$ in the right hand side of (3.3), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \log \left(\operatorname{det}\left(\Delta_{\bar{X}}-\lambda(1-\lambda)\right)\right)= & -\chi(\bar{X})\left(-(\lambda-1)^{2} \log (\lambda-1)+\frac{3}{2}(\lambda-1)^{2}-\lambda \log \lambda+\lambda\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{2}{3} \log \lambda+\log (2 \pi)-2 \zeta^{\prime}(-1)\right)+C \lambda(1-\lambda)+D-\frac{\ell(\partial \bar{X})}{4} \lambda+o(1) \\
= & -\chi(\bar{X})\left(-\lambda^{2} \log \lambda+\frac{3}{2} \lambda^{2}+\lambda \log \lambda-\lambda-\frac{1}{3} \log \lambda+\frac{1}{2} \log (2 \pi)\right. \\
& \left.-2 \zeta^{\prime}(-1)\right)-C \lambda^{2}+\left(C-\frac{\ell(\partial \bar{X})}{4}\right) \lambda+D+o(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Identifying the coefficient gives

$$
C=-\chi(\bar{X}), \quad D=\chi(\bar{X})\left(\frac{1}{2} \log (2 \pi)-2 \zeta^{\prime}(-1)+\frac{1}{4}\right)+\frac{\ell(\partial \bar{X})}{8}
$$

and this gives the desired formula.
Now observe that $\operatorname{det}\left(\Delta_{\bar{X}}-\lambda(1-\lambda)\right)^{2}=\operatorname{det}\left(\Delta_{\bar{X}^{2}}-\lambda(1-\lambda)\right)$ where $\bar{X}^{2}$ is two disjoint copies of $\bar{X}$ and $\Delta_{\bar{X}^{2}}=\Delta_{\bar{X}} \oplus \Delta_{\bar{X}}$ the Dirichlet Laplacian. Similarly one has $\chi(M)=2 \chi(\bar{X})$ thus one can use Sarnak's formula [33] to get

Corollary 3.3. With previous notations, we have the identity

$$
\frac{\operatorname{det}\left(\Delta_{M}-\lambda(1-\lambda)\right)}{\operatorname{det}\left(\Delta_{\bar{X}^{2}}-\lambda(1-\lambda)\right)}=\frac{Z_{G}(\lambda)}{Z_{G_{0}}(\lambda)^{2}} e^{-\frac{\ell(\partial \bar{X})}{4}(1-2 \lambda)}
$$

Recall from 19] that $Z_{G_{0}}(\lambda)$ is holomorphic with no zero near $\lambda=1$ thus combining Proposition 3.2 with Theorem 3.1 we get the formula
Corollary 3.4. With notations of Theorem 3.1, we have

$$
\left.\left(\operatorname{det}\left(\Delta_{\bar{X}}\right)\right)^{2}=Z_{G_{0}}(1)^{2} e^{-2 \eta \chi(\bar{X})-\frac{\ell(\partial \overline{\bar{x}})}{4}}=-\frac{Z_{G}^{\prime}(1) e^{-2 \eta \chi(\bar{X})}(2 \pi)^{-\chi(\bar{X})}}{[\lambda \chi(\bar{X})-1} R_{\Gamma}(\lambda)\right]_{\mid \lambda=0} \quad
$$

and thus

$$
\left[\lambda^{\chi(\bar{X})-1} R_{\Gamma}(\lambda)\right]_{\mid \lambda=0}=-\frac{Z_{G}^{\prime}(1)}{Z_{G_{0}}(1)^{2}} e^{\frac{\ell(\partial \bar{X})}{4}}(2 \pi)^{-\chi(\bar{X})}
$$

This formula relates in a regularized way the the length spectrum of $\bar{X}$ and that of the noncompact uniformization $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{H}^{2}$. It does not appear obvious to us how to obtain any relation between these spectrum by other methods.

## 4. Dirichlet-to-Neumann for Yamabe operator

We discuss now what is the higher dimensional version of Lemma 2.1.
First, let $(X, g)$ an asymptotically hyperbolic manifold with constant scalar curvature equal to $\operatorname{Scal}_{g}=-n(n+1)$. From Graham-Lee [15], there is boundary defining function $x$ such that the metric near the boundary is $\left(d x^{2}+h(x)\right) / x^{2}$ for some 1-parameter family of metric $h(x)$ on $\partial \bar{X}$. A straightforward computation gives

$$
\operatorname{Scal}_{g}=-n(n+1)=-n(n+1)+n x \partial_{x} \log (\operatorname{det} h(x))+x^{2} \operatorname{Scal}_{\bar{g}}
$$

which implies that $\operatorname{tr}_{h_{0}}\left(h_{1}\right)=0$ if $h_{0}=h(0)$ and $h_{1}=\partial_{x} h(0)$. The Poisson problem (2.2) with initial data $f \in C^{\infty}(\partial \bar{X})$ can then be solved at $\lambda=(n+1) / 2$ by results of 14, 16) (for such $\lambda$, we do not need full evenness of $g$ but only $\left.\operatorname{tr}_{h_{0}}\left(h_{1}\right)\right)$. Since

$$
\left(\Delta_{g}-\frac{n+1}{2} \cdot \frac{n-1}{2}\right) \hat{\rho}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} u=0, \quad u \in C^{\infty}(\bar{X}),\left.\quad u\right|_{\partial \bar{X}}=f
$$

is equivalent to solve the elliptic Dirichlet problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Delta_{\bar{g}}+\operatorname{Scal}_{\bar{g}} \frac{n-1}{4 n}\right) u=0,\left.\quad u\right|_{\partial \bar{X}}=f \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\bar{g}=x^{2} g$ by conformal covariance of the Yamabe operator, and since $\partial_{n}=\partial_{x}$ at the boundary, we deduce that $\mathcal{S}((n+1) / 2)=\mathcal{N}$ where $\mathcal{N}$ is the DN map for the conformal Laplacian of $(\bar{X}, \bar{g})$ and $\mathcal{S}(\lambda)$ is the scattering operator for $(X, g)$ with boundary defining function. Remark that $\partial \bar{X}$ is a minimal hypersurface of $(\bar{X}, \bar{g})$ since $h_{1}=0$.

Conversely, let $(\bar{X}, \bar{g})$ be an $(n+1)$-dimensional smooth compact Riemannian manifold with boundary, then it is proved by Aviles-Mac Owen [1] that there exists a complete metric $g_{0}$ on the interior $X$ with negative constant scalar curvature $\operatorname{Scal}_{g_{0}}=-n(n+1)$. Moreover it is proved by Andersson-Chruściel-Friedrich [2, Th. 1.3] (see also Mazzeo 24]) that $g_{0}$ is asymptotically hyperbolic with log terms in the expansion, more precisely let $\rho$ be a geodesic boundary defining function of $\partial \bar{X}$ for $\bar{g}$, i.e. $\bar{g}=d \rho^{2}+\bar{h}(\rho)$ for some 1 parameter family of metric $\bar{h}(\rho)$ on $\partial \bar{X}$, we have

$$
g_{0}=\frac{\bar{g}\left(1+\rho v+\rho^{n} w\right)}{\rho^{2}}=\frac{\bar{g}}{\hat{\rho}^{2}},
$$

with $v \in C^{\infty}(\bar{X}), w \in C^{\infty}(X)$ and $w$ having a polyhomogenous expansion

$$
w(\rho, y) \sim \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{N_{i}} u_{i j}(y) \rho^{i}(\log \rho)^{j}
$$

near the boundary ( $y$ are coordinates on $\partial \bar{X}, N_{i} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $u_{i j} \in C^{\infty}(\partial \bar{X})$ ). Note that by Graham-Lee Lemma [13], there exists for $h_{0}:=\bar{h}(0)=\left.\bar{g}\right|_{T \partial \bar{X}}$ a boundary defining function $x=\rho+O\left(\rho^{2}\right)$ such that $g_{0}=\left(d x^{2}+h(x)\right) / x^{2}$ near $\partial \bar{X}$ with $h(x)$ a 1-parameter family of metrics on $\partial \bar{X}$ such that $h(0)=h_{0}$, with the regularity of $\rho v+\rho^{n} w$. We denote by $\overline{g_{0}}=x^{2} g_{0}$ and as before $\operatorname{Tr}_{h_{0}}\left(h_{1}\right)=0$ if $h(x)=h_{0}+x h_{1}+O\left(x^{2}\right)$ (i.e. $h_{1}$ is the second fundamental form of $\bar{g}_{0}$ ). Then we can consider the elliptic Dirichlet problem (4.1) where $f \in C^{\infty}(\bar{X})$ is fixed. It has a unique solution $u \in C^{\infty}(\bar{X})$ which allows to define $\mathcal{N}: C^{\infty}(\partial \bar{X}) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(\partial \bar{X})$ by

$$
\mathcal{N} f=-\left.\partial_{n} u\right|_{\partial \bar{X}}
$$

where $u$ is the solution of (4.1) and $\partial_{n}=\partial_{\rho}=\partial_{x}$ the interior unit normal vector field to $\partial \bar{X}$. An easy computation as above shows that (4.1) is equivalent to solving

$$
\left(\Delta_{g_{0}}-\frac{n+1}{2} \cdot \frac{n-1}{2}\right) \hat{\rho}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} u=0, \quad u \in C^{\infty}(\bar{X}),\left.\quad u\right|_{\partial \bar{X}}=f
$$

by conformal covariance of the Yamabe operator. But this is exactly the Poisson problem at energy $\lambda=(n+1) / 2$ for the asymptotically hyperbolic manifold $g_{0}$, dealt with ${ }^{5}$ by GrahamZworski 14]. Since $\partial_{n}=\partial_{x}$ we thus deduce that

$$
\mathcal{N} f=-S\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right) f+\frac{(n-1) \omega}{2} f
$$

where $\hat{\rho}=x\left(1+x \omega+O\left(x^{2}\right)\right)$ for some $\omega \in C^{\infty}(\partial \bar{X})$. But $\bar{g} x^{2}=\hat{\rho}^{2} \bar{g}_{0}$ thus applying to any vector field $V \in \partial X$, this gives

$$
\left(h_{0}(V)+x h_{1}(V)\right)(1-2 x \omega)=\left(h_{0}(V)+x \bar{h}_{1}(V)\right)+O\left(x^{2}\right)
$$

where $\bar{h}_{1}=\partial_{\rho} \bar{h}(0)$ is the second fundamental form of $\bar{g}$, this implies clearly that $\bar{h}_{1}=h_{1}-2 \omega h_{0}$ and, taking the trace with respect to metric $h_{0}$, we get $\omega=-\frac{1}{2 n} \operatorname{Tr}_{h_{0}}\left(\bar{h}_{1}\right)$. We have thus proved

Proposition 4.1. The Dirichlet-to-Neumann map for the conformal Laplacian of $\bar{g}$ is

$$
\mathcal{N}=\mathcal{S}\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)-\frac{(n-1)}{2} K
$$

where $K=\operatorname{Tr}_{h_{0}}\left(\bar{h}_{1}\right) / 2 n$ is the mean curvature of $\partial \bar{X}$ for $\bar{g}, \mathcal{S}(\lambda)$ is the scattering operator associated to the complete metric with constant scalar curvature metric $g_{0}$, conformal to $\bar{g}$, and for choice of conformal representative $h_{0}=\left.\bar{g}\right|_{T \partial \bar{X}}$.

Another consequence, if $(\bar{X}, \bar{g})$ is conformal to a convex co-compact quotient $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ in even dimension, the determinant of $\mathcal{N}+(n-1) K / 2$ can be obtained by the functional equation of 177 in terms of a special values of Selberg zeta function of $\Gamma$. We do not write the details and refer the reader to that paper, since this is much less interesting than for surfaces.

## 5. Appendix: the cylinder

As a particular case of [26], a smooth surface with boundary $\bar{X}$, with Euler characteristic $\chi(\bar{X})=0$, is conformal to a hyperbolic cylinder $\langle\gamma\rangle \backslash \mathbb{H}^{2}$ with $\gamma: z \rightarrow e^{\ell} z$, which himself is conformal to the flat annulus $A_{\rho}:=\{z \in \mathbb{C} ; 1<|z|<\rho\}$ with $\rho:=e^{2 \pi^{2} / \ell}$, a conformal diffeomorphism being induced by the map

$$
U: z \in \mathbb{H}^{2} \rightarrow e^{2 i \pi(\log z) / \ell+2 \pi^{2} / \ell}
$$

satisfying $U(z)=U\left(e^{\ell} z\right)$.
We compute in this appendix the determinant of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map for the annulus $\overline{A_{\rho}}$ and check that if fits with the value found by the technic of the functional equation of Selberg zeta function used above, giving an alternative way of computing $\operatorname{det}^{\prime}(\mathcal{N})$ for the cylinder, much in the spirit of (6].

Polar coordinates $z=r e^{\mathrm{i} \theta}$ induce Fourier mode decompositions

$$
f=\left(f_{\rho}, f_{1}\right) \in L^{2}\left(\partial A_{\rho}\right) \simeq L^{2}(\mathbb{R} / 2 \pi \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2} \rightarrow\left(\widehat{f}_{\rho}(n), \widehat{f}_{1}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} F_{n}
$$

with $F_{n} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2}$ and the $D N \operatorname{map} \mathcal{N} \simeq \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{N}_{n}$ is diagonal with respect to this Fourier decomposition. The harmonic functions $h_{0}$ and $h_{1}$ defined on $A_{\rho}$ by

$$
h_{0}(z)=1, \quad h_{1}(z)=1-(1+\rho) \ln |z| /(\rho \ln \rho), \quad z \in A_{\rho}
$$

[^3]give eigenvectors of the DN map on $F_{0}:(1,1)$ and $\left(-\rho^{-1}, 1\right)$ with respective eigenvalues 0 and $(1+\rho) /(\rho \ln \rho)$.

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{*}$, the harmonic functions

$$
h_{\rho, n}(z)=\frac{z^{n}-\bar{z}^{-n}}{\rho^{n}-\rho^{-n}}, \quad h_{1, n}(z)=\frac{\rho^{n} \bar{z}^{-n}-\rho^{-n} z^{n}}{\rho^{n}-\rho^{-n}}
$$

have traces on $\partial A_{\rho}$ inducing the canonical base of $F_{n} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2}$

$$
h_{\rho, n}(z)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
e^{\text {in } \theta} & \text { if }|z|=\rho \\
0 & \text { if }|z|=1
\end{array}, \quad h_{1, n}(z)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if }|z|=\rho \\
e^{\text {in } \theta} & \text { if }|z|=1\end{cases}\right.
$$

and their derivatives under the radial vector field $\partial_{r}$

$$
\partial_{r} h_{\rho, n}\left(r e^{\mathrm{i} n \theta}\right)=n \frac{r^{n-1}+r^{-n-1}}{\rho^{n}-\rho^{-n}} e^{\mathrm{i} n \theta}, \quad \partial_{r} h_{1, n}\left(r e^{\mathrm{i} n \theta}\right)=-n \frac{\rho^{n} r^{-n-1}+\rho^{-n} r^{n-1}}{\rho^{n}-\rho^{-n}} e^{\mathrm{i} n \theta}
$$

give the matrix $\mathcal{N}_{n}$ with respect to the canonical base of $F_{n}$. Observing that the interior normal derivative $\partial_{n}$ is $-\partial_{r}$ on $\{|z|=\rho\}$ and its opposite $\partial_{r}$ on $\{|z|=1\}$, we have, with $\alpha=\log \rho$,

$$
\mathcal{N}_{n}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
n \rho^{-1} \frac{\rho^{n}+\rho^{-n}}{\rho^{n}-\rho^{-n}} & -\frac{2 n \rho^{-1}}{\rho^{n}-\rho^{-n}}  \tag{5.1}\\
-\frac{2 n}{\rho^{n}-\rho^{-n}} & n \frac{\rho^{n}+\rho^{-n}}{\rho^{n}-\rho^{-n}}
\end{array}\right)=\frac{n}{\sinh (n \alpha)}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha} \cosh (n \alpha) & -\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha} \\
-1 & \cosh (n \alpha)
\end{array}\right)
$$

with determinant $\delta_{n}=n^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha}$ and eigenvalues

$$
\lambda_{n, \pm}=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha / 2} n}{\sinh (n \alpha)} \cosh (\alpha / 2) \cosh (n \alpha) \pm \sqrt{\sinh ^{2}(\alpha / 2) \cosh ^{2}(n \alpha)+1}
$$

The following lemma claims the product relation " $\Pi \lambda_{n,+} \Pi \lambda_{n,-}=\prod \delta_{n}$ ":
Lemma 5.1. Let $u=\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ a sequence with positive terms such that $u_{n}=n^{k}\left(1+\varepsilon_{n}\right)$ with $\varepsilon_{n}=O\left(\mathrm{e}^{-a n}\right)$ for some non negative $k$ and $a$. If $\zeta_{u}$ is the zeta function $\zeta_{u}(s)=\sum_{n>1} u_{n}^{-s}$ convergent for $\Re s>k^{-1}$, then $\zeta_{u}$ extends meromorphically to the complex plane and is regular for $s=0$ with

$$
\zeta_{u}(0)=\zeta(0), \quad \partial_{s} \zeta_{u}(0)=k \zeta^{\prime}(0)-\sum_{n \geq 1} \ln \left(1+\varepsilon_{n}\right)
$$

where $\zeta$ is the Riemann zeta function. If $u, v$ are two such sequences, then the sequence $w$ defined by $w_{n}=u_{n} v_{n}, n \geq 1$ is again of the same type and $\partial_{s} \zeta_{u}(0)+\partial_{s} \zeta_{v}(0)=\partial_{s} \zeta_{w}(0)$.

Proof: Let $G(s, \varepsilon)$ be the function defined for $\varepsilon$ small and $s \in \mathbb{C}$, holomorphic in $s$, such that

$$
(1+\varepsilon)^{-s}=1-s G(s, \varepsilon), \quad|G(s, \varepsilon)|+\left|\partial_{s} G(s, \varepsilon)\right|=_{s, \varepsilon \sim 0} O(\varepsilon), \quad G(s, \varepsilon)_{\mid s=0}=\ln (1+\varepsilon)
$$

We have then

$$
\zeta_{u}(s)=\sum_{n \geq 1}\left(n^{k}\left(1+\varepsilon_{n}\right)\right)^{-s}=\sum_{n \geq 1} n^{-k s}-s \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{-k s} G\left(s, \varepsilon_{n}\right)=\zeta(k s)-s \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{-k s} G\left(s, \varepsilon_{n}\right)
$$

and

$$
\zeta_{u}(0)=\zeta(0), \quad \partial_{s} \zeta_{u}(0)=k \zeta^{\prime}(0)-\sum_{n \geq 1} G\left(0, \varepsilon_{n}\right)=k \zeta^{\prime}(0)-\sum_{n \geq 1} \ln \left(1+\varepsilon_{n}\right)
$$

The $\zeta$-regularized determinant $\operatorname{det}^{\prime} \mathcal{N}$ for the DN map on the annulus $\overline{A_{\rho}}$ is defined through the zeta function

$$
\zeta_{\rho}(s)=[(1+\rho) /(\rho \ln \rho)]^{-s}+\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{*}}\left[\lambda_{-, n}^{-s}+\lambda_{+, n}^{-s}\right] .
$$

According to the preceding Lemma, if $\widetilde{\zeta}_{\rho}$ is the zeta function defined by $\widetilde{\zeta}_{\rho}(s)=[(1+\rho) /(\rho \ln \rho)]^{-s}+$ $2 \sum_{n \geq 1} \delta_{n}^{-s}$. We have

$$
\partial_{s} \zeta_{\rho}(0)=\partial_{s} \widetilde{\zeta}_{\rho}(0)=-\ln [(1+\rho) /(\rho \ln \rho)]+2 \alpha \zeta(0)+4 \zeta^{\prime}(0)=-\ln [(1+\rho) /(\ln \rho)]-2 \ln (2 \pi)
$$

where we have used $\lambda_{n,+} \lambda_{n,-}=\delta_{|n|}=n^{2} e^{-\alpha}$ (so that the $\log \left(1+\epsilon_{n}\right)$ terms disappear in the Lemma) and for the last equality $\zeta(0)=-1 / 2$ and $\zeta^{\prime}(0)=-\ln (2 \pi) / 2$. Hence

$$
\frac{\operatorname{det}^{\prime} \mathcal{N}}{\ell\left(\partial \overline{A_{\rho}}\right)}=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\partial_{s} \zeta_{\rho}(0)}}{2 \pi(1+\rho)}=\frac{2 \pi}{\ln \rho}=\frac{\ell}{\pi}
$$

which perfectly fits with Theorem 1.1.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The original zeta function of Ruelle was actually defined by the inverse of this one, we prefer to use the convention of Fried \&].
    ${ }^{2}$ For the compact case, this is a consequence of Selberg trace formula, here this follows from Fried [8] and Patterson-Perry 30] for instance.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ The function we use is actually the square root of that of 19 .

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ We changed the convention since in the litterature, $\left.u_{\lambda}^{+}\right|_{\partial \bar{X}}$ would be the scattering operator acting on $f$.

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ They actually study it for smooth asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds but their proof works as well when log-terms enter the expansion of the metric at the boundary, in particular here the first log terms appear at order $x^{n} \log (x)$, thus they do not change the form of the two first asymptotic terms in the solution of the Poisson problem at energy $(n+1) / 2$ : one has

    $$
    \hat{\rho}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} u \sim x^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\left(f+O\left(x^{2}\right)\right)+x^{\frac{n+1}{2}}\left(-\mathcal{S}\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right) f+O(x)\right)
    $$

    where $\mathcal{S}(\lambda)$ is the scattering operator (see 14), the fact that there is no $x^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \log x$ terms and no other terms than $x^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \mathcal{S}((n+1) / 2) f$ at order $x^{\frac{n+1}{2}}$ is because $\operatorname{Tr}_{h_{0}}\left(h_{1}\right)=0$ (then $\mathcal{S}(\lambda)$ has no residue at $\left.(n+1) / 2\right)$, see Lemma 4.1 of 16] for more details.

