

Evidence of strong inertia-gravity wave activity during POMME experiment

Pascale Bouruet-Aubertot, Herlé Mercier, Fabienne Gaillard, Pascale

Lherminier

► To cite this version:

Pascale Bouruet-Aubertot, Herlé Mercier, Fabienne Gaillard, Pascale Lherminier. Evidence of strong inertia-gravity wave activity during POMME experiment. Journal of Geophysical Research, 2005, 110, pp.C07S06. 10.1029/2004JC002747. hal-00122475

HAL Id: hal-00122475 https://hal.science/hal-00122475

Submitted on 1 Feb 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Evidence of strong inertia-gravity wave activity during the POMME experiment

Pascale Bouruet-Aubertot

Laboratoire d'Océanographie et du Climat: Expérimentations et Approches Numériques/Institut Pierre-Simon Laplace, UMR 7617, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique/Université Pierre et Marie Curie/Institut de Recherche pour le Développement, Paris, France

H. Mercier, F. Gaillard, and P. Lherminier

Laboratoire de Physique des Océans, UMR 6523, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique/Institut Français de Recherche pour l'Exploitation de la Mer/Université de Bretagne Occidentale, Plouzané, France

Received 8 October 2004; revised 13 April 2005; accepted 16 May 2005; published 28 July 2005.

[1] The purpose of this paper is to characterize inertia-gravity waves (IGW) activity and to investigate the variability of these waves in relationship to atmospheric forcing and larger-scale motions. To this aim, we analyzed Eulerian measurements of horizontal currents and temperature collected over 1 year during the Programme Océan Multidisciplinaire Méso Echelle (POMME). We focused on the main frequency components of the IGW spectrum, namely the inertial frequency f and the semidiurnal frequency M2. Time evolution of the relative energy of these two components gave evidence of isolated events of high intensity. We performed a detailed analysis of these events and identified mechanisms of generation of these waves. Localized spots of intense, near-inertial IGW were observed in winter. During the mixed layer deepening, one event was correlated with plume-like structures resulting from peaks of intense surface cooling. This suggests a local generation process driven by strong downward vertical motions. Instead, other events of strong IGW could be related to submesoscale features, characteristic of a frontal region, in particular, wave trapping within anticyclonic eddies. In contrast, a downward energy propagation down to about 500 m below the mixed layer was isolated after a stormy period. Eventually, internal tidal beams, possibly generated at a nearby seamount, intermittently crossed the mooring, though less energetically than the previous events. Last, we estimated the eddy diffusivity from the velocity vertical shear. Large variations were obtained, from 10^{-6} m²/s up to 10^{-3} m²/s, consistent with the intense events previously isolated.

Citation: Bouruet-Aubertot, P., H. Mercier, F. Gaillard, and P. Lherminier (2005), Evidence of strong inertia-gravity wave activity during the POMME experiment, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *110*, C07S06, doi:10.1029/2004JC002747.

1. Introduction

[2] Understanding and quantifying the processes that drive *T-S* variability in the upper layers was one of the main objectives of the Programme Océan Multidisciplinaire Méso Echelle (POMME) experiment. This question is of particular importance in an area where formation and subduction of the Subpolar Mode Water occur [*Paillet* and Mercier, 1997]. The atmospheric forcing, both thermodynamic and mechanical, obviously plays a major role in the subduction process [*Woods and Barkmann*, 1986]. Nevertheless the background mesoscale field contributes to this process. Indeed these motions are responsible for part of the mixed layer variability that is related to subduction. In the North Atlantic this impact of mesoscale motions was quantified using a general circulation model (GCM) by

Valdivieso da Costa et al. [2005]. Emphasis on these mesoscale processes influencing subduction and primary production was thus given in the experiment [Reverdin et al., 2005; Giordani et al., 2005]. Nevertheless motions at smaller scales, namely submesoscale, are to be considered. In particular, regions of large vertical velocities resulting from filamentation of mesoscale structures, could increase subduction rates significantly [Paci et al., 2005]. Quite naturally this leads to consider as well inertia-gravity waves (IGW). In the upper ocean generation of these waves mainly results from an indirect excitation by the wind [D'Asaro, 1985] through horizontally convergent motions in the mixed layer [e.g., D'Asaro et al., 1995]. This energy input from the atmospheric forcing into inertia-gravity waves can provide a dynamical pathway that eventually contributes to surface and deeper layers exchanges. These exchanges are mainly driven by vertical turbulent mixing that contributes to subduction processes. Also the presence of a mesoscale eddy field can influence the propagation of these waves



Figure 1. Map of the Programme Océan Multidisciplinaire Méso Echelle (POMME) region with the bathymetry displayed with a gray scale; the five moorings, north, south, east, west, and central, are indicated by the letters N, S, E, W, and C. Acoustic Doppler current profiler (ADCP) data, temperature, and salinity measurements were only collected for two of them, N and S; data from the latter mooring were available later and therefore are not presented here. Note the Azores-Biscay ridge along the northeast direction in between the central and north moorings.

[e.g., *Klein and Llewellyn-Smith*, 2001], leading to wave focusing and trapping [*Kunze and Sanford*, 1984; *Kunze et al.*, 1995]. Eventually, another pathway for IGW is through spontaneous generation during geostrophic adjustment [e.g., *Tang*, 1979] thus possibly involving the mesoscale eddy field. The full picture is then a complex one involving the atmospheric forcing as a main energy source for IGW evolving within a background mesoscale field that could both strongly influence the propagation of these waves and generate them.

[3] In this context our purpose is to characterize the IGW field in order to estimate the impact of these waves in terms of energy fluxes into the interior and vertical mixing. This analysis will benefit from the knowledge of the atmospheric forcing and information on the mesoscale eddy field as inferred from Lagrangian float trajectories and inverse models implemented during POMME. Our analysis is based on Eulerian data collected over 13 months at the northern boundary of the domain (Figure 1), consisting of acoustic Doppler current profiler (ADCP) and temperature data in the upper 500 m with a few current and temperature data down to 4000 m.

[4] This paper is organized as follows: we describe in section 2 the mooring chain we focus on, at the northern boundary of the POMME domain, and give some details on the data sampling and postprocessing. An overview of the temporal evolution of the atmospheric forcing and meso-scale oceanic motions, which both constitute potential

sources for IGW, is then introduced in section 3. The evolution of supra-inertial kinetic energy content is described as well. We then infer the occurrence of IGW of high amplitude from the time evolution of the two prevailing frequencies, the inertial frequency, f and the semidiurnal frequency, M2. A detailed analysis of these events is conducted in section 4 with a focus on wintertime events. The impact of the events on vertical turbulent mixing is then addressed in section 5. To do so the vertical eddy diffusivity is inferred from the velocity vertical shear. Discussion and conclusion are the subject of section 6.

2. Measurements at the North Mooring

[5] We analyze the 13 month data (from 22 September 2000 until 22 October 2001) collected at the north mooring, $45^{\circ}N-18^{\circ}W$, located at the middle of the northern boundary of the POMME region, about 1.5° to the north of the Azores-Biscay Ridge summit (Figure 1). The bathymetry is typical of a ridge spur with several seamounts identified in the vicinity of the mooring site that was located in a valley with bottom depth equals to 4840 m. In the upper 500 m current time series with a 16 m vertical resolution are available from a 75 kHz RD Instruments ADCP. However we focused our analysis in the depth range [240, 480] m where the time sampling of the measurements was regular enough for a statistical analysis. In addition, six temperature time series within [185, 435] m were obtained with a



Figure 2. Time evolution of the atmospheric forcing at the north mooring starting on 22 September 2000 (data kindly provided by *Caniaux et al.* [2005a, 2005b]): (a) net heat flux (W/m^2) ; (b) wind stress (N/m^2) ; (c) wind direction (dg) respective to the west-east direction; and (d) mixed layer depth inferred from a model using a Kalman filter [*Gaillard et al.*, 2005].

vertical separation of 50 m from Wadar T sensors and, at the upper most level, from a Seacat from Seabird Instruments that also provided salinity data. For the rest of the water column four RCM8 AAnderaa current and temperature meters were at 752, 1052, 2552 and 4051 m depth. The temporal sampling after data processing is equal to 1 hour for the ADCP data, to 30 min for the AAnderaa current and temperature meters and to 15 min for the Wadar and Seacat time series.

3. Overview of the Temporal Evolution

[6] In this section we give an overview of the time evolution of the atmospheric forcing and of the mesoscale circulation as inferred from subdiurnal motions, which both constitute a source for IGW either by indirect excitation for the atmospheric forcing or through geostrophic adjustment for the eddies.

3.1. Large-Scale Context and Background Stratification

[7] Wind stress and surface heat fluxes were computed using a synthetic approach by *Caniaux et al.* [2005a, 2005b]. These quantities are represented in Figure 2 over the time

interval ranging from 22 September 2000 to 22 October 2001. As expected the net surface heat flux decreases in Autumn with low negative values until the beginning of January (about day 100). Meanwhile and until the end of February (day 150) episodes of strong winds are encountered. The strong variations in the wind stress associated with these events are likely to lead to IGW generation. Then, from mid-January until the end of June, the net heat flux gradually increases before decreasing again. The net heat flux changes sign on 6 March 2001 (day 165). At that time, the mixed layer depth is at a maximum and the subsequent warming results in a rapid decrease in the mixed layer depth (Figure 2d). Over all these spring and summer months winds are much weaker though a few periods of stronger winds are noticed but are of less intensity than in winter.

[8] The time evolution of the stratification is documented, with six temperature time series in the upper layer and a salinity time series at the upper level, z = 185 m, as well as with (*T*, *S*) profiles, at different locations in the POMME area, taken during four cruises (P0, September 2000; P1, February 2001; P2, April 2001; P3, September 2001). Thus we get some insights on the variability of the stratification from the vertical temperature gradient, $\partial_z T$ (Figure 3). Until



Figure 3. Vertical temperature gradient computed from the six temperature time series, with 50 m vertical separation, as a function of time and depth.

the beginning of November ($t \sim 50$ days) the vertical temperature gradient is fairly weak while during the second half of the autumn ($t \sim 50-100$ days) bursts of stronger stratification are observed, which sometimes extend down to 400 m (e.g., at $t \sim 70-75$ days in Figure 3). This evolution occurs during the deepening of the mixed layer during a period of minimum net heat flux (Figure 2a). Indeed the mixed layer depth typically varies from ~ 30 m down to ~ 150 m from September to December in the northern area of POMME (Figure 2d). Note that because of the short duration (less than a day) of these more stratified bursts it is unlikely that they could be the signature of a passing eddy. Next, from mid-January and over the next 2 months, ($t \sim 110-180$ days), a strong variability is observed, in the upper 300 m, that takes the form of water patches of almost homogeneous temperature. These plumelike structures, lasting for a few days, suggest mixed layer intrusions that can be seen in Figure 2d (see section 4.2 as well). Meanwhile, below, at depth $z \sim 350$ m, spots of strong vertical temperature gradients are observed. Then, while the mixed layer shallows, the variability of the temperature gradient remains weak until the end of August $(t \sim 345 \text{ days})$. Finally a period of strong vertical temperature gradient is observed from mid-September until mid-October.

[9] An overview of subdiurnal motions, based on a 2 day averaging of the ADCP data, is given by a vector plot as a function of time and depth (Figure 4). This plot provides

insights on the eddy activity since the annual mean current is small as in the climatology, of less than 4 cm/s, and directed toward the southeast in the upper layer [Paillet and Mercier, 1997]. Superimposed to this mean circulation, a variability at higher frequency is noticed during autumn and winter that can be attributed to eddy motions. Then weaker currents are later observed until the next autumn. More precisely the time evolution of the vertically averaged horizontal kinetic energy (Figure 5a) shows two maxima of about 300 cm/s² around day 50 (mid-November) and later within [160–180] (beginning of March). Eventually an increase in kinetic energy is observed at the beginning of the following autumn (Figure 5). Note the peaks in subdiurnal kinetic energy during stormy periods when IGW are likely to be generated. As a consequence interactions between IGW and energetic mesoscale motions as well as spontaneous generation are likely to take place during these time intervals.

3.2. Supra-Inertial Oceanic Motions

[10] Time evolution of the vertically averaged horizontal kinetic energy of supra-inertial motions shows a strong variability (Figure 5b). In particular four bursts of high horizontal kinetic energy occur during autumn and winter: around mid-October (days 15–25), and from February until mid-March (days 130, 140, and 170–180). These peaks can be either related to storms and/or to a high level of subdiurnal kinetic energy. Indeed, in February–March,



Figure 4. Vector plot of subdiurnal horizontal currents as a function of time and depth. A 2 day low-pass filter was applied to the ADCP time series. The maximum velocity is equal to 30 cm/s.

some of the events are simultaneously observed with a high level of subdiurnal horizontal kinetic energy. We next computed frequency spectra of kinetic energy. As expected two main peaks, around the inertial and semidiurnal frequencies, were obtained [e.g., van Haren, 2004]. Thus we focused on the time evolution of the energy content in the two frequency bands [0.9f, 1.1f] and [0.9M2, 1.1M2] as a simple means to detect events of strong IGW. To this aim we performed wavelet transforms of the current time series that is able to track short time duration intense events. Figure 6 represents the time-depth evolution of the amplitudes of the velocity in these two frequency bands. For clarity, we plot separately the ADCP data (Figures 6a and 6c), with a regular vertical separation of 16 m, and the AAnderaa current meter data which are sparse, the depths of the measurements being indicated by the arrows (Figures 6b and 6d). Note that the deepest current meter data, z =4051 m, are not taken into account in this figure because of their very small signature. In the upper layer three main events of strong near-inertial waves are clearly identified in Figure 6a at the beginning of October (day ~ 20) and during winter (days $\sim 130-140$ and days $\sim 170-180$). Unsurprisingly we retrieve the events inferred from the supra-inertial averaged kinetic energy (Figure 5b). However the focus on the f component shows that the events differ regarding their depth distribution: while an almost continuous distribution

is observed during the first stormy period, localized spots are observed during winter. Deeper, at depths 752 m and 1052 m, spots of high *f* amplitude are observed during the same periods as the upper depth events already mentioned (Figure 6b). However a close correlation with the upper depth events is not expected since the generation zones differ. In contrast the *M*2 signal is weaker, with a maximum amplitude about half that of the *f* component but more *M*2 events are observed (Figure 6c). *M*2 events are observed over most of the year but with more intensity during autumn (days ~60–100 and 350–390) and winter (days ~160– 200). Deeper, at depths 752 m and 1052 m, localized spots are observed as well mostly in autumn and winter (Figure 6d).

4. Focus on Events of High-Amplitude Inertia-Gravity Waves

[11] We present in this section a detailed analysis of three episodes of high-amplitude waves presumably of different origin. The first episode occurs at the beginning of fall and is related to storms. During the second episode that takes place during winter near-inertial waves are either induced by overlying convective plumes or trapped within anticyclonic eddies. The third episode gives evidence of internal tides.



Figure 5. (a) Horizontal kinetic energy (in (cm/s)²) averaged over [-480 m, -240 m] as a function of time of (a) subdiurnal currents (for comparison, the maximum total kinetic energy is equal to 800 (cm/s)² at $t \sim 170$, while the maximum 3 month average horizontal kinetic energy is much smaller (equal to 150 (cm/s)²)) and (b) supra-inertial currents.

4.1. Storm-Generated Near-Inertial Waves

[12] The horizontal components of the velocity filtered in the near-inertial frequency band [0.9f, 1.1f] during the first strong episode of near-inertial waves are displayed in Figure 7. Evidence of two events at days 15 and 22, respectively, first occurring at depth z = 240 m, is seen in Figure 7. The phase tilting upward indicates a downward energy propagation (Figure 7).

[13] In order to estimate quantitatively the downward energy propagation of these events we computed the correlation coefficient of the complex near-inertial velocity, D, within the time interval [10–40] days, with a reference depth at the upper level $z_1 = 240$ m. This coefficient is computed using the following expression [see also *Qi et al.*, 1995, equation (8)]:

$$\Gamma_{z_1-z_2}(\tau) = \frac{\langle D_{z_1}^*(t) D_{z_2}(t+\tau) \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle D_{z_1}^*(t) D_{z_1}(t) \rangle} \sqrt{\langle D_{z_2}^*(t+\tau) D_{z_2}(t+\tau) \rangle}}.$$
 (1)

[14] The modulus of this complex correlation coefficient is displayed as a function of the delay τ and depth in Figure 8. The maximum correlation value follows a straight line consistently with a downward energy propagation. Thus we estimated the vertical group velocity from the slope of this line. A value of 1 mm/s = 86.4 m/d is obtained. The mean horizontal kinetic energy of the *f* component during this time interval, 15-22 days, is equal to 4 J/m³, to be compared with a 14 J/m³ mean value for the total horizontal kinetic energy and with a 9 J/m³ mean value for subdiurnal kinetic energy. The vertical flux of horizontal kinetic energy for the *f* component is thus of the order of 4 mW/m². Note that due to a lack of resolution of near surface currents we could not compare this value with the energy flux associated with mixed layer motions. These results suggest that these waves were generated from the base of the mixed layer after a storm.

[15] To check the relevance of this hypothesis we estimated the time required for the waves to propagate from the base of the mixed layer down to the observed data. To this aim, we assumed a WKB scaling that provides an estimate of the variation along the vertical of the vertical group velocity, however strictly valid if the typical vertical wavelength is smaller than the scale of variation of the medium. In this framework the vertical group velocity varies inversely with the buoyancy frequency [e.g., *Qi et al.*, 1995; *Leaman and Sanford*, 1975]: $cg_z(z) = cg_{\zeta}(N_r/N(z))$, where cg_{ζ} is a constant, computed from the value of $cg_z = 1$ mm/s at depth z = 400 m, N_r is a reference buoyancy frequency, equal to 5×10^{-3} rad/s, and N(z), the buoyancy frequency



Figure 6. (a) Amplitude of the *F* component of the horizontal current from ADCP as a function of time and depth within [240 m, 480 m]. (b) Same as in Figure 6a, but for the AAnderaa current meter; the arrows indicate the depth of the instruments. (c) Amplitude of the *M*2 component of the horizontal current from ADCP as a function of time and depth within [240 m, 480 m]. (d) Same as in Figure 6c, but for the AAnderaa current meter; the arrows indicate the depth of the instruments. For clarity, only values greater than a threshold value of 20 mm/s are represented. Note that the scales for *f* and *M*2 are the same.

profile estimated from ship stations in this area in September. A value of about 5 days was obtained for the signal to propagate from z = 20 m down to z = 240 m. This is quite consistent with the wind stress time evolution (Figure 2a) which shows two peaks at days 11 and 18 that can have led to the wave groups observed at days 15 and 22 at z = 240 m. Note however the uncertainty of the estimate which relies on WKB scaling, and neglects the mesoscale eddy field and currents which influence inertia-gravity wave propagation.

4.2. Energetic Events During Winter

[16] We focus on the energetic waves that were observed between January and the end of March. Evidence of these waves is given in Figure 9a by a succession of spots of high amplitude of the f component, around days 130, 140, 170 and 185. These events last typically less than 3 days and are localized along the vertical except for the day 170 event. The lack of vertical coherence, contrary to what is observed for instance when storm generated IGW, suggests that these waves are of different origin. Indeed these intense wave events might be related either to the strong variability of the stratification or to the mesoscale eddy field as shown by the high level of subinertial kinetic energy. More precisely, until about the end of February (day 150), well-mixed patches at upper depth (Figure 3), possibly associated with mixed layer intrusions, suggest that the strong variability of the deep winter mixed layer might play a role in IGW generation. Alternatively these intense events might be identified as near-inertial waves trapped within anticyclonic eddy structures [e.g., *Kunze and Sanford*, 1984]. The frequency of these waves is slightly subinertial because of the background anticyclonic vorticity which explains why trapping can result. To address this point we applied the method described by *Lilly and Rhines* [2002] in order to isolate eddies, when the frequency of the waves is slightly subinertial. This second mechanism could be of relevance in particular for the events that occur after mixed layer shallowing.

[17] In order to get further insights into the mixed layer variability, and especially into the plume-like structure (Figure 3), the net and latent heat fluxes [*Caniaux et al.*, 2005a, 2005b] were analyzed. As shown in Figure 10a there is a net heat loss for the ocean until approximately day 160. During this period, the beginning of January until the beginning of March, winter surface cooling, mainly driven by evaporation, leads to a deepening of the mixed layer. Interestingly peaks of minimum net heat flux are observed simultaneously to the low-stratification events for time around days 120–130, 135, which could confirm the hypothesis of plume-like structures. Furthermore the intru-



Figure 7. Near-inertial horizontal velocity as a function of time and depth during the first autumn storms: (a) eastward velocity component and (b) northward velocity component.

sion of the mixed layer down to the measurement depth interval was revealed by subsurface measurements by a Carioca buoy. Indeed the correspondence between the subsurface temperature around days 151-153 and the z = 185 m temperature, with a temperature difference less than 0.1° C, shows that the mixed layer extends at least down to 185 m (Figure 10b). Note that these observations are

consistent with the mixed layer depth variation during this period as inferred from an inverse model (Figure 2d) [see *Gaillard et al.*, 2005]. Indeed in this simulation the mixed layer deepens until the beginning of March (day \sim 150), reaching a maximum value of about 300 m in this area.

[18] To address the question of the relationship between plume-like structure and strong IGW more precisely we



Figure 8. Correlation coefficient of the *F* component of the horizontal current within time interval [10, 40] days, with reference level at the upper depth z = 240 m. The logarithm of this quantity is displayed for better visualization of the line of maximum correlation.



Figure 9. (a) Amplitude of the F component of the horizontal current. (b) Negative values of the vertical current averaged over two inertial periods. (c) Averaged vertical temperature gradient.

represented the vertical velocity averaged over two inertial periods in Figure 9b. (We checked that zooplankton motions do not bias significantly this signal.) This reveals that the regions of the most negative vertical velocities, around days 120-130 and 150-155 are associated with these plumes (Figure 3). In one case, around day 130, near-inertial waves are observed just below. Note that the frequency of these waves is slightly supra-inertial, $\sim 1.04f$, for this event which excludes a possible trapping within an anticyclonic eddy. Instead measurements suggest that the impinging convective plume leads to the generation of IGW in the underlying stratified fluid. We focused here on the amount of energy in the near-inertial frequency band but it is worthwhile to mention that an increase in the energy level at high frequency (>1.1M2) is observed as well.

[19] In contrast, this mechanism of generation is less convincing for the other event, around day 140, owing to a weaker downward vertical velocity within the plume. The slightly subinertial frequency of this event, $\sim 0.9f$, rather suggests wave trapping. In fact the hodograph of the velocity averaged over two inertial periods reveals strong changes in the velocity direction (Figure 11a). Moreover these changes are consistent with an east-northeast advection of a submesoscale anticyclonic eddy through the mooring. A section in a vertical plane parallel to this horizontal advection direction, with isotherms superimposed, confirms that the azimuthal velocity is that of an anticyclonic eddy (Figure 11b). The estimated radius of the

eddy is of 6 km, that is of submesoscale, with a vorticity of the order of 0.2f. Hence according to this rough estimate, the effective inertial frequency is reduced by about 10% which is consistent with the frequency of the observed waves. Similarly the event observed just before day 170 has a slightly subinertial frequency ($\sim 0.9f$) that can be correlated as well with the east-southeast advection of an anticyclonic structure (Figures 11c and 11d). The last event observed around day 180 is slightly supra-inertial. Nevertheless, these last two events have some similarity, both being related to the occurrence of three peaks in temperature with a temperature jump of 1°C (Figure 10). Meanwhile an increase in salinity is observed at z = 185 m. This variation is consistent with the T-S relationship in this region. The resulting density variation is a decrease of about 0.15 kg/m³. In between the density reaches its maximum value close to 1027.1 which is as well the maximum surface density value as mentioned by Reverdin et al. [2005]. These strong density variations are typical of a frontal region. Indeed reanalyses performed by Assenbaum and Reverdin [2005] show that the mooring lies in a region between a northern cyclonic eddy and a southern anticyclonic region. These reanalyses are thus consistent with the eastward advection of submesoscale eddies previously shown.

[20] In summary, when the ADCP data lied within the "boundary" between the mixed layer and the stratified water, we got evidence of plume-like structures, induced by surface cooling, that quite likely acted as wave gener-



Figure 10. (a) Total surface heat flux (black line) and latent heat flux (shaded line) at the north mooring (from *Caniaux et al.* [2005a, 2005b]) during winter. Temperature time series during winter at depth: (b) z = 185 m (the subsurface temperature (z = 2 m) measured from a Carioca buoy that passed by the mooring around days 151-153 is represented as well with a shaded line); (c) z = 285 m; (d) z = 385 m.

ators at the base of the mixed layer: the associated strong downward vertical motions leading to IGW generation just below. Later, after the shallowing of the mixed layer, observations indicate that strong IGW are related to submesoscale structures, in particular near-inertial waves trapping within anticyclonic structures.

4.3. Evidence of Intermittent Internal Tides

[21] The second dominant frequency component is the semidiurnal frequency, M2, of maximum amplitude about half that of the inertial frequency (Figure 6). We focus here on the time period between 5 December (day 75) and the end of December (day 95). The amplitude of the velocity in the frequency band [0.9M2, 1.1M2] is displayed in Figure 12a over this time interval as a function of time and depth. Localized events of high amplitude can be seen. Their vertical distribution, spots, suggests that internal tidal beams go through the mooring intermittently. The upward energy propagation within these beams is enlightened on filtered velocity plots (Figure 12b) and is quantitatively evidenced by the anticyclonic rotation of velocity in the direction of phase propagation (increasing depths). These beams would be generated in a region of "adequately" varying topography and, depending on the stratification and currents, would propagate across the mooring. To check this possibility we performed a simplified ray tracing, taking into account the vertical variation of *N* only: $\Delta x/\Delta z = \sqrt{(N^2 - \omega^2)/(\omega^2 - f^2)}$ and then, from the ray path in a vertical plane, we searched for possible sites of generation. Among the different sea mounts in the area (Figure 1) one located at (45.4°N, 18°W), namely 45 km from the mooring, with a summit at 3700 m depth is a possible candidate. Nevertheless the more detailed analysis of internal tide generation that would be required to confirm this scenario cannot be conducted with the available data set collected during POMME.

5. Estimates of Vertical Eddy Diffusivity and Link With Individual Events and Large-Scale Forcing

[22] The vertical eddy diffusivity, *K*, is classically related to kinetic energy dissipation rate, ϵ , following *Osborn* [1980]: $K = \Gamma \epsilon / N^2$ where Γ is a mixing efficiency assumed to be constant. We use here this formulation but replacing the kinetic energy dissipation by a fine-scale parameterization based on vertical shear [e.g., *Gregg*, 1989]. Our computation is based on the *Polzin and Firing* [1997] formulation, namely,

$$K = \Gamma \frac{\epsilon}{N^2} = \Gamma \frac{\epsilon_0}{N_0^2} \frac{f}{f_0} E^2, \qquad (2)$$



Figure 11. Evidence of an anticyclonic eddy passing by the north mooring around day 140 (following *Lilly and Rhines* [2002]): (a) hodograph with time indicated by the color scale at depth z = 400 m; (b) azimuthal velocity with isotherms superimposed; the vertical section is in the E-NE direction, with perpendicular velocity component represented with color scale, and positive velocities are in the WNW direction. Evidence of an anticyclonic eddy passing by the north mooring around day 170: (c) hodograph with time indicated by the color scale at depth z = 300 m; (d) azimuthal velocity with isotherms superimposed; the vertical section is in the E-SE direction, with perpendicular velocity component represented with color scale, and positive velocities are in the WNW direction. Evidence of an anticyclonic eddy passing by the north mooring around day 170: (c) hodograph with time indicated by the color scale at depth z = 300 m; (d) azimuthal velocity with isotherms superimposed; the vertical section is in the E-SE direction, with perpendicular velocity component represented with color scale, and positive velocities are in the NNE direction. Velocity and temperature have been averaged over two inertial periods for clarity.

with Γ , the mixing efficiency of a value of 0.2, $\epsilon_0 = 7.8 \ 10^{-10}$ W/kg, a reference kinetic energy dissipation rate, $N_0 = 3$ cph, a reference buoyancy frequency, f_0 , the inertial frequency at 30 dg latitude, and *E* is the shear spectral level normalized to the GM76 spectrum. Note that time-dependent buoyancy frequency values were inferred from an inverse model [*Gaillard et al.*, 2005] and the shear spectral level was estimated from the ratio between the 16 m shear estimated from the ADCP measurements after filtering the subinertial frequencies and that of GM76.

[23] Figure 13 displays the time-depth evolution of the eddy diffusivity. Strong variability is obtained with values within $[10^{-6}, 10^{-3}]$ m²/s. This range is consistent with typical values of 10^{-5} in calm regions (GM level) and up to 10^{-3} in the most turbulent regions. More precisely some spots of strong mixing can be related to the intense events just described (Figure 6): the almost inertial storm generated IGW between days 15-25, the two small spots around days 50 and 60 corresponding to internal tidal beams, wintertime

events with the highest mixing values occurring around the most intense F spots at days 130, 140 and 170-180 and eventually the *M*2 tidal beams at the end of the time series.

[24] Finally a synthetic picture that summarizes the contribution of the near-inertial IGW to vertical turbulent mixing is shown in Figure 14. A first comparison between depth-averaged quantities, namely the dimensionless kinetic energy level and the amplitude of the near-inertial frequency band, shows that most of the variability can be attributed to the near inertial IGW (Figures 14b and 14c). Furthermore a good representation of the spatiotemporal distribution of the eddy diffusivity is obtained when the almost inertial component of the shear is retained only (Figures 14a and 14d).

6. Conclusion

[25] We focused our analysis on supra-inertial motions because of their importance in setting and controlling vertical mixing, as inferred from 13 month measurements



Figure 12. (a) Amplitude of the *M*² component of the horizontal current between mid-November and the end of December. (b) Filtered time series of the eastward and northward baroclinic velocity components.

at the north mooring, located at the middle of the northern boundary of the POMME area. A strong variability of the energy of these motions was obtained that could be mostly correlated either with atmospheric forcing or with largerscale motions.

[26] We first isolated events of high-amplitude IGW from the time evolution of the two prevailing frequency components, the inertial frequency and the semidiurnal frequency. The horizontal kinetic energy in the inertial frequency band was typically higher than that of the *M*2 component by at least a factor of 4. The highest energy levels for the near inertial frequencies were observed between September and mid-April, with different mechanisms of generation that we attempted to isolate. By contrast internal tidal beams at the semidiurnal frequency were found to be an almost permanent, though intermittent, feature all over the year. These beams could possibly be generated at a nearby seamount from which they would propagate through the mooring intermittently depending on stratification and larger-scale velocity field.

[27] First during fall, there was evidence of almost inertial waves propagating downward, down to at least 500 m. The energy flux associated with these waves and the time required for downward propagation from the base of the mixed layer were estimated. It was thus possible to establish

the link between the generation of these waves and the passage of storms. Evidence of storm generated IGW was previously provided by different authors [*Leaman and Sanford*, 1975; *Pinkel*, 1984], and most significantly during the dedicated field work Ocean Storms Experiment [*D'Asaro*, 1995]. The estimated horizontal kinetic energy and vertical flux were of the same order as typical values during ocean storm experiment [*Qi et al.*, 1995].

[28] The most interesting observations with respect to the POMME experiment objectives occurred in winter, especially in January and February when the mixed layer deepening extended down to the depth of measurements. We thus got evidence of plume-like structure made of $\sigma =$ 27.1 water induced by short time period surface cooling events. The strong vertical motions associated with one plume very likely led to IGW generation in the underlying stratified waters. Both of these phenomena, upper convection and IGW, led to intense mixing. Next, and during the shallowing of the mixed layer, spots of energetic waves appeared to be correlated with submesoscale structures, eddies or filaments. In particular for two of these events we gave evidence of IGW trapping within anticyclonic eddies. More generally the strong signature in temperature and salinity of these submesoscale features, indicate a southern origin. Thus they would result



Figure 13. Eddy diffusivity inferred using Polzin's method (see text). Values are averaged over 6 hours.

from instabilities occurring in this frontal region, possibly enhanced by the passage of storms. However, these structures could not be better identified than from the mooring measurements since their length scale is unresolved by the array measurements. Hence the intense wintertime events are either triggered by surface buoyancy loss or by submesoscale features. The first mechanism, IGW generation during wintertime convection, is an efficient mean for energy transfer into the wave field as shown by Garwood and Harcourt [1999] using LES at high latitudes. Our measurements suggest that this is as well the case at midlatitude. However it appears that the frequency range of the waves excited by convective plumes differ in these two cases: while an energy increase at the buoyancy frequency is observed in the former case, a strong signal near the inertial frequency, as well as at high frequency, is obtained here. It would be interesting to investigate whether these differences could be related to the spatial structure of the convective events.

[29] Note that the wintertime events isolated here differ from the cooling events, mentioned by *Large et al.* [1986] that were characterized by a SST decrease and an increase in temperature below the thermocline. These events were interpreted as the result of turbulent mixing induced by inertial motions favored by an inertial component of the wind [*Large and Crawford*, 1995]. The late winter events observed in POMME are clearly of different nature. First there is no surface cooling but instead a net heat flux from the atmosphere to the ocean. Next, and more importantly, the *T-S* variations are consistent with the advection of southern water across the front.

[30] Owing to the lack of *T-S* measurements the only possible estimate of eddy diffusivity was based on the vertical shear, with a stratification inferred from an inverse model [*Gaillard et al.*, 2005]. A wide range of values, from 10^{-6} m²/s up to 10^{-3} m²/s, was obtained, with a spatio-temporal distribution consistent with the intense events previously analyzed. Wintertime events led to the highest eddy diffusivity values and this enhances their importance regarding subduction and spring bloom.

[31] This first characterization of IGW during POMME experiment showed the strong variability of these waves and the significant impact of intense events on energy fluxes and mixing. The most interesting point we shall further investigate is the analysis of the intense wintertime events isolated here. This includes intense surface cooling and interactions between IGW and larger-scale features. Indeed for the latter point, the analysis of wintertime events show wave trapping within submesoscale eddies. It is hoped that the analysis of floats trajectories, next carried on, will provide further insights on these interactions as well as idealized direct numerical simulations focused on these



Figure 14. (a) Eddy diffusivity as in Figure 12. (b) Depth-averaged ratio between the horizontal kinetic energy and that of the GM spectrum. (c) Eddy diffusivity inferred from the vertical shear in the near-inertial frequency band only. (d) Depth-averaged amplitude of the *F* component of the horizontal current. Note that, as in Figure 12, \log_{10} of the eddy diffusivity is represented from -5 to -3 in Figure 14a and from -5 to -3.7 in Figure 14c.

events. Eventually the analysis of other moorings, located southward, should give a contrasting picture to that drawn here due to both weaker atmospheric forcing and mesoscale activity.

[32] Acknowledgments. We acknowledge H. Giordani and G. Caniaux for providing information on the atmospheric forcing, J. Lilly for his help in interpreting the averaged hodographs, and G. Reverdin for useful discussions. We also thank the reviewers for their helpful comments. This work was supported by the French programs PATOM and PROOF (CNRS/INSU).

References

- Assenbaum, M., and G. Reverdin (2005), Near real-time analysis of the mesoscale circulation during the POMME experiment, *Deep Sea Res., Part I*, in press.
- Caniaux, G., A. Brut, D. Bourras, H. Giordani, A. Paci, L. Prieur, and G. Reverdin (2005a), A 1 year sea surface heat budget in the northeastern Atlantic basin during the POMME experiment: 1. Flux estimates, J. Geophys. Res., 110, C07S02, doi:10.1029/2004JC002596.
- Caniaux, G., S. Belamari, H. Giordani, A. Paci, L. Prieur, and G. Reverdin (2005b), A 1 year sea surface heat budget in the northeastern Atlantic basin during the POMME experiment: 2. Flux optimization, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *110*, C07S03, doi:10.1029/2004JC002695.
- D'Asaro, E. A. (1985), The energy flux from the wind to near-inertial motions in the surface mixed layer, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 15, 1043–1059.
- D'Asaro, E. A. (1995), A collection of papers on the Ocean Storms Experiment, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 25, 2817–2818.
- D'Asaro, E. A., C. Eriksen, M. Levine, P. Niller, C. Paulson, and P. van Meurs (1995), Upper ocean inertial currents forced by a strong storm. part I: Data and comparisons with linear theory, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 25, 2909–2936.

- Gaillard, F., H. Mercier, and C. Kermabon (2005), A synthesis of the POMME physical data set: One year monitoring of the upper layer, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *110*, C07S07, doi:10.1029/2004JC002764.
- Garwood, R. W., and R. R. Harcourt (1999), Large-eddy simulation of pressure transport below the mixed layer, in *Proceedings of the 'Aha Huliko'a Hawaiin Winter Workshop, January 1999*, edited by P. Muller and D. Henderson, pp. 189–196, Hawaii Inst. of Geophys. and Planetol., Honolulu.
- Giordani, H., G. Caniaux, L. Prieur, A. Paci, and S. Giraud (2005), A 1 year mesoscale simulation of the northeast Atlantic: Mixed layer heat and mass budgets during the POMME experiment, J. Geophys. Res., 110, C07S08, doi:10.1029/2004JC002765.
- Gregg, M. C. (1989), Scaling turbulent dissipation in the thermocline, J. Geophys. Res., 94, 9686–9698.
- Klein, P., and S. Llewellyn-Smith (2001), Horizontal dispersion of nearinertial oscillations in a turbulent mesoscale eddy field, *J. Mar. Res.*, 59, 697–723.
- Kunze, E., and T. B. Sanford (1984), Observations of near-inertial waves in a front, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 14, 566–581.
 Kunze, E., R. W. Schmitt, and J. M. Toole (1995), The energy balance in a
- Kunze, E., R. W. Schmitt, and J. M. Toole (1995), The energy balance in a warm-core ring's near-inertial critical layer, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 25, 947– 961.
- Large, W. G., and G. B. Crawford (1995), Observations and simulations of upper-ocean response to wind events during the ocean storms experiment, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 25, 2831–2852.
- Large, W. G., J. C. McWilliams, and P. P. Niiler (1986), Upper-ocean thermal response to strong autumnal forcing of the northeast Pacific, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 16, 1524–1550.
- Leaman, K. D., and T. B. Sanford (1975), Vertical energy propagation of inertial waves: A vector spectral analysis of velocity profiles, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 80, 1975–1978.
- Lilly, J. M., and P. B. Rhines (2002), Coherent eddies in the Labrador Sea observed from a mooring, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 32, 585–598.
- Osborn, T. R. (1980), Estimates of the local rate of vertical diffusion from dissipation measurements, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 10, 83–89.

C07S06

- Paci, A., G. Caniaux, M. Gavart, H. Giordani, M. Lévy, L. Prieur, and G. Reverdin (2005), A high-resolution simulation of the ocean during the POMME experiment: Simulation results and comparison with observations, J. Geophys. Res., doi:10.1029/2004JC002712, in press.
- Paillet, J., and H. Mercier (1997), An inverse model of the eastern North Atlantic general circulation and thermocline ventilation, *Deep Sea Res.*, *Part A*, 44, 1293–1328.
- Pinkel, R. (1984), Doppler observations of internal waves: The wavenumber frequency spectrum, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 14, 1249–1270.
- Polzin, K. L., and E. Firing (1997), Estimates of diapycnal mixing using LADCP and CTD data from 18°S, *Int. WOCE Newsl.*, 29, 39–41.
- Qi, H., R. A. De Szoeke, and C. A. Paulson (1995), The structure of near-inertial waves during ocean storms, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 25, 2853–2871.
- Reverdin, G., M. Assenbaum, and L. Prieur (2005), Eastern North Atlantic Mode Waters during POMME (September 2000–2001), J. Geophys. Res., 110, C07S04, doi:10.1029/2004JC002613.
- Tang, C. L. (1979), Inertial waves in the Gulf of St. Lawrence: A study of geostrophic adjustment, Atmos. Ocean, 17, 135–156.

- Valdivieso da Costa, M., H. Mercier, and A. M. Tréguier (2005), Effects of the mixed-layer time-variability on kinematic subduction rate diagnostics, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 35, 427–443.
- van Haren, H. (2004), Bandwidth similarity at inertial and tidal frequencies in kinetic energy spectra from the Bay of Biscay, *Deep Sea Res., Part I*, 51, 637–652.
- Woods, J. D., and W. Barkmann (1986), A lagrangian mixed layer model of the Atlantic 18°C water formation, *Nature*, 319, 574–576.

P. Bouruet-Aubertot, Laboratoire d'Océanographie et du Climat: Expérimentations et Approches Numériques/Institut Pierre-Simon Laplace, UMR 7617, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique/Université Pierre et Marie Curie/Institut de Recherche pour le Développement, T45-55, 4 place Jussieu, F-75252 Paris Cedex 05, France. (pascale.bouruet-aubertot@ lodyc.jussieu.fr)

F. Gaillard, P. Lherminier, and H. Mercier, Laboratoire de Physique des Océans, UMR 6523, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique/Institut Français de Recherche pour l'Exploitation de la Mer/Université de Bretagne Occidentale, Plouzané, France. (fabienne.gaillard@ifremer.fr; pascale.lherminier@ifremer.fr; herle.mercier@ifremer.fr)