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# Weighted coloring on planar, bipartite and split graphs: complexity and approximation 
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#### Abstract

We study complexity and approximation of MIN WEIGHTED NODE COLORING in planar, bipartite and split graphs. We show that this problem is NP-complete in planar graphs, even if they are triangle-free and their maximum degree is bounded above by 4 . Then, we prove that MIN wEighted node coloring is NP-complete in $P_{8}$-free bipartite graphs, but polynomial for $P_{5}$-free bipartite graphs. We next focus ourselves on approximability in general bipartite graphs and improve earlier approximation results by giving approximation ratios matching inapproximability bounds. We next deal with min weighted edge coloring in bipartite graphs. We show that this problem remains strongly NP-complete, even in the case where the input-graph is both cubic and planar. Furthermore, we provide an inapproximability bound of $7 / 6-\varepsilon$, for any $\varepsilon>0$ and we give an approximation algorithm with the same ratio. Finally, we show that MIN weighted node coloring in split graphs can be solved by a polynomial time approximation scheme.
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## 1 Introduction

We give in this paper some complexity results as well as some improved approximation results for MIN WEIGHTED NODE COLORING, originally studied in Guan and Zhu [8] and more recently in [4]. A $k$-coloring of $G=(V, E)$ is a partition $\mathcal{S}=\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{k}\right)$

[^0]of the node set $V$ of $G$ into stable sets $S_{i}$. In this case, the objective is to determine a node coloring minimizing $k$. A natural generalization of this problem is obtained by assigning a strictly positive integer weight $w(v)$ for any node $v \in V$, and defining the weight of stable set $S$ of $G$ as $w(S)=\max \{w(v): v \in S\}$. Then, the objective is to determine $\mathcal{S}=\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{k}\right)$ a node coloring of $G$ minimizing the quantity $\sum_{i=1}^{k} w\left(S_{i}\right)$. This problem is easily shown NP-hard; it suffices to consider $w(v)=1, \forall v \in V$ and MIN WEIGHTED NODE COLORING becomes the classical node coloring problem. Other versions of weighted colorings have been studied in Hassin and Monnot [9].

Consider an instance $I$ of an NP-hard optimization problem $\Pi$ and a polynomial time algorithm A computing feasible solutions for $\Pi$. Denote by $m_{\mathrm{A}}(I, S)$ the value of a $\Pi$ solution $S$ computed by A on $I$ and by opt $(I)$, the value of an optimal $\Pi$-solution for $I$. The quality of $A$ is expressed by the ratio (called approximation ratio in what follows) $\rho_{\mathrm{A}}(I)=m_{\mathrm{A}}(I, S) / \operatorname{opt}(I)$, and the quantity $\rho_{\mathrm{A}}=\inf \left\{r: \rho_{\mathrm{A}}(I)<r, I\right.$ instance of $\left.\Pi\right\}$. A very favorable situation for polynomial approximation occurs when an algorithm achieves ratios bounded above by $1+\varepsilon$, for any $\varepsilon>0$. We call such algorithms polynomial time approximation schemes. The complexity of such schemes may be polynomial or exponential in $1 / \varepsilon$ (they are always polynomial in the sizes of the instances). A polynomial time approximation scheme with complexity polynomial also in $1 / \varepsilon$ is called fully polynomial time approximation scheme.

This paper extends results on MIN WEIGHTED NODE COLORING, the study of which has started in [4]. We first deal with planar graphs and we show that, for this family, the problem studied is NP-complete, even if we restrict to triangle-free planar graphs with node-degree not exceeding 4.

We then deal with particular families of bipartite graphs. The NP-completeness of MIN WEIGHTED NODE COLORING has been established in [4] for general bipartite graphs. We show here that this remains true even if we restrict to planar bipartite graphs or to $P_{21}$ free bipartite graphs (for definitions of graph-theoretical notions used in this paper, the interested reader is referred to Berge [1]).

It is interesting to observe that these results are obtained as corollaries of a kind of generic reduction from the precoloring extension problem shown to be NP-complete in Bodlaender et al. [2], Hujter and Tuza [11, 12], Kratochvil [14]. Then, we slightly improve the last result to $P_{8}$-free bipartite graphs and show that the problem becomes polynomial in $P_{5}$-free bipartite graphs. Observe that in [4], we have proved that MIN WEIGHTED NODE COLORING is polynomial for $P_{4}$-free graphs and NP-complete for $P_{5}$ free graphs.

Then, we focus ourselves on approximability of MIN WEIGHTED NODE COLORING in (general) bipartite graphs. As proved in [4], this problem is approximable in such graphs within approximation ratio $4 / 3$; in the same paper a lower bound of $8 / 7-\varepsilon$, for any $\varepsilon>0$, was also provided. Here we improve the approximation ratio of [4] by matching the $8 / 7$ -
lower bound of [4] with a same upper bound; in other words, we show here that MIN WEIGHTED NODE COLORING in bipartite graphs is approximable within approximation ratio bounded above by $8 / 7$.

We next deal with min weighted edge coloring in bipartite graphs. In this problem we consider an edge-weighted graph $G$ and try to determine a partition of the edges of $G$ into matchings in such a way that the sum of the weights of these matchings is minimum (analogously to the node-model, the weight of a matching is the maximum of the weights of its edges). In [4], it is shown that MIN WEIGHTED EDGE COLORING is NPcomplete for cubic bipartite graphs. Here, we slightly strengthen this result showing that this problem remains strongly NP-complete, even in cubic and planar bipartite graphs. Furthermore, we strengthen the inapproximability bound provided in [4], by reducing it from $8 / 7-\varepsilon$ to $7 / 6-\varepsilon$, for any $\varepsilon>0$. Also, we match it with an upper bound of the same value, improving so the $5 / 3$-approximation ratio provided in [4].

Finally, we deal with approximation of MIN WEIGHTED NODE COLORING in split graphs. As proved in [4], MIN WEIGHTED NODE COLORING is strongly NP-complete in such graphs, even if the nodes of the input graph receive only one of two distinct weights. It followed that this problem cannot be solved by fully polynomial time approximation schemes, but no approximation study was addressed there. In this paper we show that MIN WEIGHTED NODE COLORING in split graphs can be solved by a polynomial time approximation scheme.

In the remainder of the paper, we shall assume that for any weighted node or edge coloring $\mathcal{S}=\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{\ell}\right)$ considered, we will have $w\left(S_{1}\right) \geqslant \ldots \geqslant w\left(S_{\ell}\right)$.

## 2 Weighted node coloring in triangle-free planar graphs

The node coloring problem in planar graphs has been shown NP-complete by Garey and Johnson [6], even if the maximum degree does not exceed 4. On the other hand, this problem becomes easy in triangle-free planar graphs (see Grotzsch [7]). Here, we show that the weighted node coloring problem is NP-complete in triangle-free planar graphs with maximum degree 4 by using a reduction from 3-SAT PLANAR, proved to be NPcomplete in Lichtenstein [15]. This problem is defined as follows: Given a collection $\mathcal{C}=\left(C_{1}, \ldots, C_{m}\right)$ of clauses over the set $X=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ of boolean variables such that each clause $C_{j}$ has at most three literals (and at least two), is there a truth assignment $f$ satisfying $\mathcal{C}$ ? Moreover, the bipartite graph $B P=(L, R ; E)$ is planar where $|L|=n$, $|R|=m$ and $\left[x_{i}, c_{j}\right] \in E$ iff the variable $x_{i}\left(\right.$ or $\left.\overline{x_{i}}\right)$ appears in the clause $C_{j}$.

Theorem 2.1 MIN WEIGHTED NODE COLORING is $\boldsymbol{N P}$-complete in triangle-free planar graphs with maximum degree 4.

Proof : Let $B P=(L, R ; E)$ be the bipartite graph representing an instance $(X, \mathcal{C})$ of 3-SAT PLANAR where $L=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}, R=\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}\right\}$. We construct an instance $I=(G, w)$ of MIN WEIGHTED NODE COLORING by using two gadgets:

- The gadgets clause $F\left(C_{j}\right)$ are given in Figure 1 for clause $C_{j}$ of size 3 and in Figure 2 for clause $C_{j}$ of size 2 . The nodes $c_{j}^{k}$ are those that will be linked to the rest of the graph.


Figure 1: Graph $F\left(C_{j}\right)$ representing a clause $C_{j}$ of size 3.


Figure 2: Graph $F\left(C_{j}\right)$ representing a clause $C_{j}$ of size 2.

- The gadgets variable $H\left(x_{i}\right)$ is given in Figure 3 for variable $x_{i}$. Assume that $x_{i}$ appears $p_{1}$ times positively and $p_{2}$ times negatively in $(X, \mathcal{C})$, then in $H\left(x_{i}\right)$ there are $2 p=2\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)$ special nodes $x_{i}^{k}, \overline{x_{i}^{k}}, k=1, \ldots, p$. These nodes form a path which meets node $x_{i}^{k}, \overline{x_{i}^{k}}$ alternatively.
- The weights of nodes which are not given in Figures 1, 2 and 3 are 1.


Figure 3: Graph $H\left(x_{i}\right)$ representing variable $x_{i}$

- These gadgets are linked together by the following process. If variable $x_{i}$ appears positively (resp. negatively) in clause $C_{j}$, we link one of the variables $\overline{x_{i}^{k}}$ (resp. $x_{i}^{k}$ ), with a different $k$ for each $C_{j}$, to one of the three nodes $c_{j}^{k}$ of gadget $F\left(C_{j}\right)$. This can be done in a way which preserves the planarity of the graph.
Indeed, for each node $v$ of degree $\delta(v)$ in the planar graph $B P$, let's call $e_{v}^{1}, \cdots, e_{v}^{\delta(v)}$ the endpoints on $v$ of the edges adjacent to $v$ considered in a circular order. Then, for each edge in $B P$ which joins node $x_{i}$ in endpoint $e_{x_{i}}^{k}$ to node $C_{j}$ in endpoint $e_{c_{j}}^{l}$, we put an edge from $x_{i}^{k}$ (if $x_{i}$ appears negatively in $C_{j}, \overline{x_{i}^{k}}$ otherwise) to $c_{j}^{l}$.

Observe that $G$ is triangle-free and planar with maximum degree 4. Moreover, we assume that $G$ is not bipartite (otherwise, we add a disjoint cycle $\Gamma$ with $|\Gamma|=7$ and $\forall v \in V(\Gamma), w(v)=1)$.
It is then not difficult to check that $(X, \mathcal{C})$ is satisfiable iff $\operatorname{opt}(I) \leqslant 6$.
Let $g$ be a truth assignment satisfying $(X, \mathcal{C})$. We set $S_{1}^{\prime}=\{v: w(v)=3\}$ and $S_{2}^{\prime}=\{v: w(v)=2\} \cup\left\{x_{i}^{k}: g\left(x_{i}\right)=1\right\} \cup\left\{\overline{x_{i}^{k}}: g\left(x_{i}\right)=0\right\}$. Since $g$ satisfies the formula, we can color at least one node $c_{j}^{k}$ with color 2 and then easily extend $\left(S_{1}^{\prime}, S_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ to a coloring $\mathcal{S}=\left(S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}\right)$ of $G$ with $S_{i}^{\prime} \subseteq S_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. We have $w\left(S_{1}\right)=3, w\left(S_{2}\right)=2, w\left(S_{3}\right)=1$ and then $\operatorname{val}(\mathcal{S}) \leqslant 6$.

Conversely, let $\mathcal{S}=\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{\ell}\right)$ be a coloring of $G$ with $\operatorname{val}(\mathcal{S}) \leqslant 6$. Assume $w\left(S_{1}\right) \geqslant$ $\ldots \geqslant w\left(S_{\ell}\right)$. We have $\ell \geqslant 3$ since $G$ is not bipartite and $w\left(S_{1}\right)=3$. We deduce $w\left(S_{2}\right)<3$ (otherwise $\operatorname{val}(\mathcal{S}) \geqslant 3+3+1$ ). Moreover, since each node of weight 2 is adjacent to a node of weight 3, we have $W\left(S_{2}\right)=2$. For the same reasons as previously, we deduce $\ell=3$ and $W\left(S_{3}\right)=1$. We claim that for any $j=1, \ldots, m$, $S_{2} \cap\left\{c_{j}^{1}, c_{j}^{2}, c_{j}^{3}\right\} \neq \emptyset$ where $c_{j}^{1}, c_{j}^{2}, c_{j}^{3}$ are the nodes of $F\left(C_{j}\right)$ (with may be $c_{j}^{3}=\emptyset$ ). Otherwise, we must have $\left\{c_{j}^{1}, c_{j}^{2}, c_{j}^{3}\right\} \subseteq S_{3}$ but in this case, we cannot colored $F\left(C_{j}\right)$ with 3 colors. Thus, setting $g\left(x_{i}\right)=1$ iff $x_{i}^{k} \in S_{2}$, we deduce that $g$ is a truth assignment satisfying $(X, \mathcal{C})$.

## 3 Weighted node coloring in bipartite graphs

### 3.1 Complexity results

The NP-completeness of MIN WEIGHTED NODE COLORING in bipartite graphs has been proved in Demange et al. [4]. Here, we show that some more restrictive versions are also NP-complete, namely bipartite planar graphs and $P_{21}$-free bipartite graphs, i.e. bipartite graphs which do not contain induced chains of length 21 or more. We use a generic reduction from the precoloring extension node coloring problem (in short PrEXT NODE COLORING). Then, using another reduction we improve this result to $P_{8}$-free bipartite graphs. This latter problem can be described as follows. Given a positive integer $k$, a graph $G=(V, E)$ and $k$ pairwise disjoint subsets $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}$ of $V$, we want to decide if there exists a node coloring $\mathcal{S}=\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{k}\right)$ of $G$ such that $V_{i} \subseteq S_{i}$, for all $i=1, \ldots, k$. Moreover, we restrict us to some class of graphs $\mathcal{G}$ : we assume that $\mathcal{G}$ is closed when we add a pending edge with a new node (i.e., if $G=(V, E) \in \mathcal{G}$ and $x \in V, y \notin V$, then $G+[x, y] \in \mathcal{G})$.

Theorem 3.1 Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a class of graphs which is closed when we add a pending edge with a new node. If PREXT NODE COLORING is NP-complete for graphs in $\mathcal{G}$, then MIN WEIGHTED NODE COLORING is $\boldsymbol{N P}$-complete for graphs in $\mathcal{G}$.

Proof : Let $\mathcal{G}$ be such a class of graphs. We shall reduce PrExt node coloring in $\mathcal{G}$ graphs to weighted node coloring in $\mathcal{G}$ graphs. Let $G=(V, E) \in \mathcal{G}$ and $k$ pairwise disjoint subsets $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}$ of $V$. We build instance $I=\left(G^{\prime}, w\right)$ of weighted node coloring using several gadgets $T_{i}$, for $i=1, \ldots, k$. The construction of $T_{i}$ is given by induction as follows:

- $T_{1}$ is simply a root $v_{1}$ with weight $w\left(v_{1}\right)=2^{k-1}$.
- Given $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{i-1}, T_{i}$ is a tree with a root $v_{i}$ of weight $w\left(v_{i}\right)=2^{k-i}$ that we link to tree $T_{p}$ via edge $\left[v_{i}, v_{p}\right]$ for each $p=1, \ldots, i-1$.

Figure 4 illustrates the gadgets $T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}$. Now, $I=\left(G^{\prime}, w\right)$ where $G^{\prime}=\left(V^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right)$ is constructed in the following way:

- $G^{\prime}$ contains $G$.
- For all $i=1, \ldots, k$, we replace each node $v \in V_{i}$ by a copy of the gadget $T_{i}$ where we identify $v$ with root $v_{i}$.
- For all $v \in V \backslash\left(\cup_{i=1}^{k} V_{i}\right)$ we set $w(v)=1$.


Figure 4: Gadgets for $T_{1}, T_{2}$ and $T_{3}$.

Note that, by hypothesis, $G^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}$. We prove that the precoloring of $G$ (given by $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}$ ) can be extended to a proper node coloring of $G$ using at most $k$ colors iff $o p t(I) \leqslant 2^{k}-1$.

Let $\mathcal{S}=\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{k}\right)$ with $V_{i} \subseteq S_{i}$ be a node coloring of $G$. We get $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}=\left(S_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, S_{k}^{\prime}\right)$ where each stable $S_{i}^{\prime}$ is given by $S_{i}^{\prime}=\left(S_{i} \backslash V_{i}\right) \cup\left\{v: \exists j \leqslant k, v \in T_{j}\right.$ and $\left.w(v)=2^{k-i}\right\}$. It is easy to check that $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ is a coloring of $G^{\prime}$ and $\operatorname{opt}(I) \leqslant \operatorname{val}\left(\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} 2^{k-i}=2^{k}-1$. Conversely, let $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}=\left(S_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, S_{\ell}^{\prime}\right)$ with $w\left(S_{1}^{\prime}\right) \geqslant \ldots \geqslant w\left(S_{\ell}^{\prime}\right)$ be a weighted node coloring of $G^{\prime}$ with cost $\operatorname{val}\left(\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\right) \leqslant 2^{k}-1$. First, we prove by induction that $V_{i}^{\prime}=\{v: \exists p \leqslant$ $\left.k, v \in T_{p}, w(v)=2^{k-i}\right\}$ is a subset of $S_{i}^{\prime}$, for all $i \leqslant k$. For $i=1$, the result is true since otherwise we have $w\left(S_{1}^{\prime}\right)=w\left(S_{2}^{\prime}\right)=2^{k-1}$ and then, $\operatorname{val}\left(\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\right) \geqslant w\left(S_{1}^{\prime}\right)+w\left(S_{2}^{\prime}\right)=2^{k}$. Now, assume that $V_{j}^{\prime} \subseteq S_{j}^{\prime}$ for $j<i$ and let us prove that $V_{i}^{\prime}=\{v: \exists p \leqslant k, v \in$ $\left.T_{p}, w(v)=2^{k-i}\right\} \subseteq S_{i}^{\prime}$. By construction of gadget $T_{j}, j \geqslant i$, each node $v$ of weight $2^{k-i}$ is adjacent to a node of weight $2^{k-p}$ for all $p<i$. Thus, $v \notin S_{p}^{\prime}$. Now, if $V_{i}^{\prime} \nsubseteq S_{i}^{\prime}$, then $w\left(S_{i}^{\prime}\right)=w\left(S_{i+1}^{\prime}\right)=2^{k-i}$ and we deduce $\operatorname{val}\left(\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\right) \geqslant w\left(S_{1}^{\prime}\right)+\ldots+w\left(S_{i+1}^{\prime}\right)=$ $\sum_{j=1}^{i} 2^{k-j}+2^{k-i}=2^{k}$, which is a contradiction. Since $V_{i}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$ for $i \leqslant k$, we deduce $\ell \geqslant k$. Consequently, $\ell=k$, since $\forall v \in V^{\prime}, w(v) \geqslant 1$. Now, getting $\mathcal{S}=\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{k}\right)$ where $S_{i}=\left(S_{i}^{\prime} \backslash V_{i}^{\prime}\right) \cup V_{i}$ for each $i=1, \ldots, k$, we obtain a node coloring of $G$.

Using the results of Kratochvil [14] on the NP-completeness of PrExt node colORING in bipartite planar graphs and $P_{13}$-free bipartite graphs, we deduce:

Corollary 3.2 In bipartite planar graphs, MIN WEIGHTED NODE COLORING is strongly $\boldsymbol{N P}$-complete and it is not $\frac{8}{7}-\varepsilon$-approximable for all $\varepsilon>0$ unless $\boldsymbol{P}=\boldsymbol{N P}$.

Proof : PrExt Node coloring with $k=3$ has been proved NP-complete in [14] for bipartite planar graphs. Since these graphs are closed when we add an pending edge
with a new node, the result follows. Moreover, from the proof of Theorem 3.1 with $k=3$, we deduce that it is NP-complete to distinguish whenever $\operatorname{opt}(I) \leqslant 7$ and $\operatorname{opt}(I) \geqslant 8$.

Corollary 3.3 In $P_{21}$-free bipartite graphs, MIN WEIGHTED NODE COLORING is strongly $\boldsymbol{N P}$-complete and it is not $\frac{32}{31}-\varepsilon$-approximable for all $\varepsilon>0$ unless $\boldsymbol{P}=\boldsymbol{N P}$.

Proof : PrExt node coloring with $k=5$ has been proved NP-complete in [14] for $P_{13}$-free bipartite graphs. When, we add gadgets $T_{i}$ with $i \leqslant 5, G^{\prime}$ becomes $P_{21}$-free bipartite graphs. Moreover, from the proof of Theorem 3.1 with $k=5$, we deduce that it is NP-complete to distinguish whenever $o p t(I) \leqslant 31$ and $o p t(I) \geqslant 32$.

In Hujter and Tuza [12], it is shown that PrExt node coloring is NP-complete in $P_{6}$-free bipartite chordal graphs for unbounded $k$ (a bipartite graph is chordal if the induced cycles of length at least 5 have a chord). Unfortunately, we cannot use this result in Theorem 3.1 since the resulting graph has an induced chain with arbitrarily large length. However, we can adapt their reduction to our problem.

Theorem 3.4 min weighted node coloring is $\boldsymbol{N P}$-complete in $P_{8}$-free bipartite graphs.

Proof : We shall reduce 3-SAT-3, proved to be NP-complete in Papadimitriou [17], to our problem. Given a collection $\mathcal{C}=\left(C_{1}, \ldots, C_{m}\right)$ of clauses over the set $X=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ of boolean variables such that each clause $C_{j}$ has at most three literals and each variable appears 2 times positively and one time negatively, we construct an instance $I=(B P, w)$ in the following way:

- We start from $B P_{1}=\left(L_{1}, R_{1} ; E_{1}\right)$, a complete bipartite graph $K_{n, m}$ where $L_{1}=$ $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ and $R_{1}=\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}\right\}$. Moreover, each node of $B P_{1}$ has weight 1 .
- There is also another bipartite graph $B P_{2}$ isomorphic to $K_{2 n, 2 n}$ where a perfect matching has been deleted. More formally, $B P_{2}=\left(L_{2}, R_{2} ; E_{2}\right)$ where $L_{2}=$ $\left\{l_{1}, \ldots, l_{2 n}\right\}, R_{2}=\left\{r_{1}, \ldots, r_{2 n}\right\}$ and $\left[l_{i}, r_{j}\right] \in E_{2}$ iff $i \neq j$. Finally, $w\left(l_{i}\right)=$ $w\left(r_{i}\right)=2^{2 n-i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, 2 n$. Indeed, sets $\left\{l_{2 i-1}, r_{2 i-1}\right\}$ and $\left\{l_{2 i}, r_{2 i}\right\}$ will correspond to variable $x_{i}$ and $\overline{x_{i}}$ respectively.
- Between $B P_{1}$ and $B P_{2}$, there is a set $E_{3}$ of edges. $\left[x_{i}, r_{j}\right] \notin E_{3}$ iff $j=2 i-1$ or $j=2 i$ and $\left[l_{i}, c_{j}\right] \notin E_{3}$ iff $i=2 k-1$ and $x_{k}$ is in $C_{j}$ or $i=2 k$ and $\overline{x_{k}}$ is in $C_{j}$.

Figure 5 illustrates the construction of the complement of $B P$ with the clause $c_{m}=$ $\overline{x_{1}} \vee x_{2} \vee \overline{x_{n}}$.

Let us show that $B P$ is $P_{8}$-free. We represent in Figure 6 the possible subgraphs on $B P_{1}$ (configuration $A_{1}, A_{2}$ and $A_{3}$ ) and on $B P_{2}$ (configuration $B_{1}$ to $B_{9}$ ) induced by a


Figure 5: Complement of graph $B P$ with the clause $c_{m}=\overline{x_{1}} \vee x_{2} \vee \overline{x_{n}}$


Figure 6: Subgraphs on $B P_{1}$ and $B P_{2}$ induced by a chain
chain on $B P$. In configurations $A_{3}$ and $B_{9}$, the number of nodes is arbitrary. Note that the upper line may correspond either to $L_{1}$ or $R_{1}$ for the left part (and $L_{2}$ or $R_{2}$ for the right part). Now we look at the possible ways to link a configuration $A_{i}$ to a configuration $B_{j}$ to obtain a chain of length (at least) 8 .

- If we choose $A_{1}$, we easily see that it's impossible.
- If we choose $A_{2}$, the only way to have a chain of length at least 8 is to choose $B_{8}$ and link a node of $A_{2}$ to a node of $B_{8}$. In this case, we can see that the upper line corresponds to $R_{1}$ (left part) and $L_{2}$ (right part), and that there is a clause which contains a variable and its negation.
- If we choose $A_{3}$, the only possibility to have a chain of length at least 8 is to choose $B_{9}$. But in this case, the chain simply alternates a node of $R_{1}$ and a node of $L_{2}$. Then, at least one node of $L_{2}$ is not linked to at least 3 nodes of $R_{1}$, i.e. a literal appears in at least 3 clauses.

We claim that $(X, \mathcal{C})$ is satisfiable iff opt $(I) \leqslant 2^{2 n}-1$.
Let $g$ be a truth assignment satisfying $(X, \mathcal{C})$. We build inductively the colors. $S_{0}=\emptyset$ and for $i=1, \ldots, n, S_{2 i-1}=\left\{l_{2 i-1}, r_{2 i-1}\right\} \cup\left\{c_{j}: c_{j} \notin S_{p}, p<2 i-1, g\left(x_{i}\right)=\right.$

1 and $x_{i}$ is in $\left.C_{j}\right\}, S_{2 i}=\left\{l_{2 i}, r_{2 i}\right\} \cup\left\{c_{j}: c_{j} \notin S_{p}, p<2 i, g\left(x_{i}\right)=0\right.$ and $\overline{x_{i}}$ is in $\left.C_{j}\right\}$. Finally, if $g\left(x_{i}\right)=1$ then we add $x_{i}$ to $S_{2 i}$; otherwise, we add $x_{i}$ to $S_{2 i-1}$. We can easily see that $\mathcal{S}=\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{2 n}\right)$ is a node coloring of $B P$ with $\operatorname{val}(\mathcal{S})=2^{2 n}-1$.
Conversely, let $\mathcal{S}=\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{\ell}\right)$ be a node coloring of $B P$ with $\operatorname{val}(\mathcal{S})=2^{2 n}-1$. An inductive proof on $i$ shows that $\left\{l_{i}, r_{i}\right\} \subseteq S_{i}$ (otherwise, we have $\operatorname{val}(\mathcal{S}) \geqslant 2^{2 n}$ ); consequently, $\ell=2 n$. Thus, setting $g\left(x_{i}\right)=1$ if $x_{i} \in S_{2 i}$ and $g\left(x_{i}\right)=0$ if $x_{i} \in S_{2 i-1}$, we obtain a truth assignment satisfying $(X, \mathcal{C})$.

### 3.2 Polynomial result

We now prove that MIN WEIGHTED NODE COLORING is polynomial for $P_{5}$-free bipartite graphs, i.e., without induced chain on 5 nodes. Notice that in general $P_{5}$-free graphs, the weighted node coloring problem is NP-complete since on the one hand, the split graphs are $P_{5}$-free and on the other hand, we have proved in Demange et al. [4] that the weighted node coloring problem is NP-complete for split graphs. There are several characterizations of $P_{5}$-free bipartite graphs, see for example, Hammer et al. [10], Chung et al. [3] and Hujter and Tuza [11]. In particular, $B P$ is a $P_{5}$-free bipartite graph iff $B P$ is bipartite and each connected component of $B P$ is $2 K_{2}$-free, i.e., its complement is $C_{4}$-free.

Lemma 3.5 In $P_{5}$-free bipartite graph, any optimal weighted node coloring uses at most 3 colors.

Proof : Let $B P=(L, R ; E)$ be a $P_{5}$-free bipartite graph with connected components $B P_{1}, \ldots, B P_{p}$. Assume the reverse and let us consider an optimal solution $\mathcal{S}^{*}=\left(S_{1}^{*}, \ldots, S_{\ell}^{*}\right)$ with $\ell \geqslant 4$ and $w\left(S_{1}^{*}\right) \geqslant \ldots \geqslant w\left(S_{\ell}^{*}\right)$. Observe that, without loss of generality, we can assume that there exist a connected component $B P_{k_{0}}$ colored with $\ell$ colors and any connected component $B P_{i}$ using $j$ colors is colored with colors $1, \ldots, j$. Moreover, we also suppose that in any connected component $B P_{j}$, each node colored with color $i \geqslant 2$ is adjacent to nodes with colors $1, \ldots, i-1$ (by applying greedy rule on $\mathcal{S}^{*}$ ).
We claim that there exist $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant \ell$ such that $S_{k}^{*} \cap L \neq \emptyset$ and $S_{k}^{*} \cap R \neq \emptyset$ for $k=i, j$.
Otherwise, since $\ell \geqslant 4$, we must have $S_{i_{0}}^{*} \subseteq L$ (resp., $S_{i_{0}}^{*} \subseteq R$ ) and $S_{j_{0}}^{*} \subseteq L$ (resp., $S_{j_{0}}^{*} \subseteq R$ ) for some $i_{0}<j_{0}$. In this case, by merging $S_{i_{0}}^{*}$ with $S_{j_{0}}^{*}$, we obtain a better node coloring that $\mathcal{S}^{*}$, which is a contradiction.

So, consider connected component $B P_{k_{0}}$ and let $l_{j} \in S_{j}^{*} \cap L$ and $r_{j} \in S_{j}^{*} \cap L$ two nodes of $B P_{k_{0}}$. From this claim, we deduce there exist 2 other nodes $l_{i}, r_{i}$ of $B P_{k_{0}}$ such that $l_{i} \in S_{i}^{*} \cap L, r_{i} \in S_{i}^{*} \cap L$ and $\left[l_{i}, r_{j}\right] \in E,\left[l_{j}, r_{i}\right] \in E$. Since $B P$ is bipartite, these 2 edges are independents which is a contradiction with characterization of $P_{5}$-free bipartite graphs.

Let $B P_{1}, \ldots, B P_{p}$ be the connected components of $B P$ where $B P_{i}=\left(L_{i}, R_{i} ; E_{i}\right)$. Let $\mathcal{S}^{*}=\left(S_{1}^{*}, S_{2}^{*}, S_{3}^{*}\right)$ (with maybe some $S_{i}^{*}=\emptyset$ ) be an optimal solution with $w\left(S_{1}^{*}\right) \geqslant$ $w\left(S_{2}^{*}\right) \geqslant w\left(S_{3}^{*}\right)$ and denote by $\mathcal{S}_{i}^{*}=\left(S_{1}^{*, i}, S_{2}^{*, i}, S_{3}^{*, i}\right)$ the restriction of $\mathcal{S}^{*}$ to the subgraph $B P_{i}$. Remark that we may assume $w\left(S_{1}^{*, i}\right) \geqslant w\left(S_{2}^{*, i}\right) \geqslant w\left(S_{3}^{*, i}\right)$ (otherwise, we can flip the color without increasing the weight). Moreover, we have:

Lemma 3.6 We can always assume that one of these situations occurs, for any $i=$ $1, \ldots, p$ :
(i) $S_{1}^{*, i}=L_{i}\left(\right.$ resp., $\left.S_{1}^{*, i}=R_{i}\right), S_{2}^{*, i}=R_{i}\left(\right.$ resp., $\left.S_{2}^{*, i}=L_{i}\right)$ and $S_{3}^{*, i}=\emptyset$.
(ii) $S_{1}^{*, i} \cap L_{i} \neq \emptyset$ and $S_{1}^{*, i} \cap R_{i} \neq \emptyset, S_{2}^{*, i} \subset R_{i}\left(\right.$ resp., $\left.S_{2}^{*, i} \subset L_{i}\right)$ and $S_{3}^{*, i} \subset L_{i}$ (resp., $S_{3}^{*, i} \subset R_{i}$ ).

Proof : Let $B P=(L, R ; E)$ be a $P_{5}$-free bipartite graph with connected components $B P_{1}, \ldots, B P_{p}$. Assume that $S_{1}^{*, i} \cap L_{i}=\emptyset$ or $S_{1}^{*, i} \cap R_{i}=\emptyset$. In this case, it is clear that we are in the first item $(i)$ (since we have assumed $w\left(S_{1}^{*, i}\right) \geqslant w\left(S_{2}^{*, i}\right) \geqslant w\left(S_{3}^{*, i}\right)$ ). Now, suppose $S_{1}^{*, i} \cap L_{i} \neq \emptyset$ and $S_{1}^{*, i} \cap R_{i} \neq \emptyset$; from the proof of Lemma 3.5, the result follows.

The algorithm computing an optimal solution is described by the following way:

## $P_{5}$-FREEBIPARTITECOLOR

1 For all $k_{1}, k_{2} \in\{w(v): v \in V\}, k_{1} \geqslant k_{2}$, do
1.1 For all connected component $B P_{i}=\left(L_{i}, R_{i} ; E_{i}\right), i=1, \ldots, p$, do
1.1.1 If $L_{i} \cup R_{i} \backslash\left(L_{i}^{\prime} \cup R_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ is an independent set where $L_{i}^{\prime}=\left\{v \in L_{i}: w(v) \leqslant\right.$ $\left.k_{1}\right\}$ and $R_{i}^{\prime}=\left\{v \in R_{i}: w(v) \leqslant k_{2}\right\}$ then set $S_{2, i}^{k_{1}, k_{2}}=L_{i}^{\prime}, S_{3, i}^{k_{1}, k_{2}}=R_{i}^{\prime}$ and $S_{1, i}^{k_{1}, k_{2}}=L_{i} \cup R_{i} \backslash\left(L_{i}^{\prime} \cup R_{i}^{\prime}\right)$;
1.1.2 Otherwise, if $L_{i} \cup R_{i} \backslash\left(L_{i}^{\prime} \cup R_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ is an independent set where $L_{i}^{\prime}=\{v \in$ $\left.L_{i}: w(v) \leqslant k_{2}\right\}$ and $R_{i}^{\prime}=\left\{v \in R_{i}: w(v) \leqslant k_{1}\right\}$ then set $S_{2, i}^{k_{1}, k_{2}}=R_{i}^{\prime}$, $S_{3, i}^{k_{1}, k_{2}}=L_{i}^{\prime}$ and $S_{1, i}^{k_{1}, k_{2}}=L_{i} \cup R_{i} \backslash\left(L_{i}^{\prime} \cup R_{i}^{\prime}\right)$;
1.1.3 Otherwise go to step 1 ;
1.1.4 Set $S_{j}^{k_{1}, k_{2}}=\cup_{i=1}^{p} S_{j, i}^{k_{1}, k_{2}}$ for $j=1,2,3$ and $\mathcal{S}^{k_{1}, k_{2}}=\left(S_{1}^{k_{1}, k_{2}}, S_{2}^{k_{1}, k_{2}}, S_{3}^{k_{1}, k_{2}}\right)$ (with maybe $S_{1}^{k_{1}, k_{2}}=\emptyset$ );

2 Output $\mathcal{S}=\operatorname{argmin}\left\{\operatorname{val}\left(\mathcal{S}^{k_{1}, k_{2}}\right): k_{2} \leqslant k_{1}\right\} ;$

This algorithm has a complexity $O\left(n|w|^{2}\right)$ where $|w|=|\{w(v): v \in V\}|$. By applying a dichotomy technic on $k_{2}$, we can improve it to $O(n|w| \log |w|)$. Note that this algorithm also computes the best node 2 -coloring among the colorings using at most 2 colors (when $k_{1}=w_{\max }$ ).

Theorem 3.7 MIN WEIGHTED NODE COLORING is polynomial in $P_{5}$-free bipartite graphs.

Proof : Let $\mathcal{S}^{*}=\left(S_{1}^{*}, S_{2}^{*}, S_{3}^{*}\right)$ (with may be $S_{1}^{*}=\emptyset$ ) be an optimal solution satisfying Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6. We assume $w\left(S_{2}^{*}\right) \geqslant w\left(S_{3}^{*}\right)$ and if $\mathcal{S}^{*}$ is a node 3-coloring, then we have $w\left(S_{1}^{*}\right)=w_{\max }$; otherwise $w\left(S_{1}^{*}\right)=0$. Let $k_{1}=w\left(S_{2}^{*}\right)$ and $k_{2}=w\left(S_{3}^{*}\right)$; consider the step of algorithm corresponding to $k_{1}, k_{2}$. If $\mathcal{S}^{*}$ is a node 2-coloring, then the result is true. So, assume $S_{1}^{*} \neq \emptyset$; by construction, $P_{5}$-FREEBIPARTITECOLOR find an feasible solution $\mathcal{S}^{k_{1}, k_{2}}$ with $w\left(S_{1}^{k_{1}, k_{2}}\right) \leqslant w_{\max }, w\left(S_{2}^{k_{1}, k_{2}}\right) \leqslant k_{1}$ and $w\left(S_{3}^{k_{1}, k_{2}}\right) \leqslant k_{2}$. Thus, we deduce the expected result.

### 3.3 Approximation

In Demange et al. [4], a $\frac{4}{3}$-approximation is given for MIN WEIGHTED NODE COLORING and it is proved that a $\left(\frac{8}{7}-\varepsilon\right)$-approximation is not possible, for any $\varepsilon>0$, unless $\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{N P}$, even if we consider arbitrarily large values of opt $(I)$. Using Corollary 3.2, we deduce that this lower bound also holds if we consider bipartite planar graphs. Here, we give a $\frac{8}{7}$-approximation in bipartite graphs.

## BIPARTITECOLOR

1 Sort the nodes of $B P$ in non-increasing weight order (i.e., $w\left(v_{1}\right) \geqslant \ldots \geqslant w\left(v_{n}\right)$ );
2 For $i=1$ to $n$ do
2.1 Set $V_{i}=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{i}\right\}$;
2.2 Compute $\mathcal{S}_{i}^{*}=\left(S_{1}^{i}, S_{2}^{i}\right)\left(S_{2}^{i}\right.$ may be empty) an optimal weighted node coloring in $B P\left[V_{i}\right]$ among the colorings using at most two colors;
2.3 Define node coloring $\mathcal{S}^{i}=\left(S_{1}^{i}, S_{2}^{i}, L \backslash V_{i}, R \backslash V_{i}\right)\left(L \backslash V_{i}\right.$ or/and $R \backslash V_{i}$ may be empty);

3 Output $\mathcal{S}=\operatorname{argmin}\left\{\operatorname{val}\left(\mathcal{S}^{i}\right): i=1, \ldots, n\right\} ;$

The step 2.2 consists of computing the (unique) 2-coloration $\left(S_{1, j}^{*}, S_{2, j}^{*}\right)$ (with $w\left(S_{1, j}^{*}\right) \geqslant$ $w\left(S_{2, j}^{*}\right)$ ) of each connected component $B P_{j}, j=1 \ldots p$ of $B P\left[V_{i}\right]$ (with $S_{2, j}^{*}=\emptyset$ if $B P_{j}$ is an isolated node). Then it merges the most expensive sets, i.e. it computes $S_{1}^{i}=\cup_{j=1}^{p} S_{i, j}^{*}$ for $i=1,2$. It is easy to observe that $\mathcal{S}_{i}^{*}=\left(S_{1}^{i}, S_{2}^{i}\right)$ is the best weighted node coloring of $B P\left[V_{i}\right]$ among the colorings using at most 2 colors; such a coloring can be found in $O(m)$ time where $m=|E|$.

Theorem 3.8 Algorithm BIPARTITECOLOR polynomially solves in time $O(\mathrm{~nm})$ the weighted node coloring problem in bipartite-graphs within approximation ratio bounded above by $\frac{8}{7}$.

Proof : Let $I=(B P, w)$ be a weighted bipartite-graph where $B P=(L, R ; E)$ and $\mathcal{S}^{*}=\left(S_{1}^{*}, \ldots, S_{l}^{*}\right)$ be an optimal node coloring of $I$ with $w\left(S_{1}^{*}\right) \geq \ldots \geq w\left(S_{l}^{*}\right)$. If $l<3$, then BIPARTITECOLOR finds an optimal weighted node coloring which is $\mathcal{S}^{n}$ (corresponding to the step $i=n$ ). Now, assume $l \geqslant 3$ and let $i_{j}=\min \left\{k: v_{k} \in S_{j}^{*}\right\}$. We have $i_{1}=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{opt}(I) \geqslant w\left(v_{i_{1}}\right)+w\left(v_{i_{2}}\right)+w\left(v_{i_{3}}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us examine several steps of this algorithm:

- when $i=i_{2}-1$, the algorithm produces a node 3-coloring $\mathcal{S}^{i_{2}-1}=\left(S_{i_{2}-1}^{1}, L \backslash\right.$ $S_{i_{2}-1}^{1}, R \backslash S_{i_{2}-1}^{1}$. Indeed, by construction $V_{i_{2}-1} \subseteq S_{1}^{*}$ is an independent set, and then, $\mathcal{S}_{i_{2}-1}^{*}$ is defined by $S_{1}^{i_{2}-1}=V_{i_{2}-1}$ and $S_{2}^{i_{2}-1}=\emptyset$. Moreover, $\forall v \notin V_{i_{2}-1}$, $w(v) \leqslant w\left(v_{i_{2}}\right)$ and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{val}\left(\mathcal{S}^{i_{2}-1}\right) \leqslant w\left(v_{i_{1}}\right)+2 w\left(v_{i_{2}}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

- when $i=i_{3}-1$, the algorithm produces on $B P\left[V_{i_{3}-1}\right]$ a node 2-coloring $\mathcal{S}_{i_{3}-1}^{*}$ with a cost $\operatorname{val}\left(\mathcal{S}_{i_{3}-1}^{*}\right) \leqslant w\left(v_{i_{1}}\right)+w\left(v_{i_{2}}\right)$ since the coloring $\left(S_{1}^{*} \cap V_{i_{3}-1}, S_{2}^{*} \cap V_{i_{3}-1}\right)$ is a feasible node 2-coloring of $B P\left[V_{i_{3}-1}\right]$ with cost $w\left(v_{i_{1}}\right)+w\left(v_{i_{2}}\right)$. Finally, since the weights are sorted in non-increasing order, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{val}\left(\mathcal{S}^{i_{3}-1}\right) \leqslant w\left(v_{i_{1}}\right)+w\left(v_{i_{2}}\right)+2 w\left(v_{i_{3}}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

- when $i=n$ (the last step), the algorithm just produced a node 2-coloring satisfying:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{val}\left(\mathcal{S}^{n}\right) \leqslant 2 w\left(v_{i_{1}}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4), we deduce:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{val}(\mathcal{S}) \leqslant \min \left\{2 w\left(v_{i_{1}}\right) ; w\left(v_{i_{1}}\right)+w\left(v_{i_{2}}\right)+2 w\left(v_{i_{3}}\right) ; w\left(v_{i_{1}}\right)+2 w\left(v_{i_{2}}\right)\right\} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The convex combination of these 3 values with coefficients $\frac{1}{7}, \frac{4}{7}$ and $\frac{2}{7}$ respectively and the inequality (3.1) give the expected result, i.e.:
$\operatorname{val}(\mathcal{S}) \leqslant \frac{1}{7} \times 2 w\left(v_{i_{1}}\right)+\frac{4}{7} \times\left(w\left(v_{i_{1}}\right)+w\left(v_{i_{2}}\right)+2 w\left(v_{i_{3}}\right)\right)+\frac{2}{7} \times\left(w\left(v_{i_{1}}\right)+2 w\left(v_{i_{2}}\right)\right) \leqslant \frac{8}{7} \operatorname{opt}(I)$

## 4 Weighted edge coloring in bipartite graphs

The weighted edge coloring problem on a graph $G$ can be viewed as the weighted node coloring problem on $L(G)$ where $L(G)$ is the line graph of $G$. Here, for simplicity, we refer to the edge model.

### 4.1 Complexity results

Demange et al. [4] have proved that MIN WEIGHTED EDGE COLORING in bipartite cubic graphs is strongly NP-complete and a lower bound of $\frac{8}{7}$ is given for the approximation. Here, we slightly improve these complexity results. Indeed, we show that weighted edge coloring in bipartite cubic planar graphs is strongly NP-complete and we deduce that it is $\mathbf{N P}$-complete to obtain an approximation within a ratio $\frac{7}{6}-\varepsilon$, for any $\varepsilon>0$.

Theorem 4.1 MIN WEIGHTED EDGE COLORING is strongly NP-complete in bipartite cubic planar graphs.

Proof : We shall reduce PrExt edge coloring in bipartite cubic planar graphs to our problem. Given a bipartite cubic planar graph $B P=(V, E)$ and 3 pairwise disjoint matchings $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{3}$ of $E$, the question of PrExt EDGE COLORING is to determine if it is possible to extend the edge precoloring $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{3}$ to a proper edge 3-coloring of $G$. Very recently, this problem has been shown NP-complete in Marx [16].

Let $B P=(V, E)$ and $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{3}$ be an instance of PrExt EDGE COLORING; we construct an instance $I=\left(B P^{\prime}, w\right)$ of weighted edge coloring such that the answer of PrExt EDGE COLORING instance is yes if and only if there exists an edge coloring $\mathcal{S}$ of $I$ with cost $\operatorname{val}(\mathcal{S}) \leqslant 6$.

The construction of instance $I$ is the following :

- Each edge in $E_{1}$ receives weight 3 .
- Each edge $[x, y] \in E_{2}$ is replaced by a gadget $F_{2}$ described in Figure 4.1, where we identify $x$ and $y$ to $v_{0}$ and $v_{9}$ respectively.
- Each edge in $E_{3}$ is replaced by a gadget $F_{3}$ which is the same as gadget $F_{2}$ except that we have exchanged weights 1 and 2.
- The other edges of $G$ receive weight 1 .


Figure 7: Gadget $F_{2}$ for $e \in E_{2}$.

Remark that $B P^{\prime}$ is still a bipartite cubic planar graph.
First of all, assume that $B P$ admits an edge 3-coloring $\mathcal{S}=\left(M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}\right)$ where $E_{i} \subseteq M_{i}$ for any $i=1,2,3$. We get a coloring $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}=\left(M_{1}^{\prime}, M_{2}^{\prime}, M_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ of $B P^{\prime}$ where $M_{1}^{\prime}=M_{1} \cup\{e \in$ $\left.F_{2} \cup F_{3}: w(e)=3\right\}$ and, for $i=2,3, M_{i}^{\prime}=\left(M_{i} \backslash E_{i}\right) \cup\left\{e \in F_{2} \cup F_{3}: w(e)=4-i\right\}$. We can easily check that $\operatorname{opt}(I) \leqslant \operatorname{val}\left(\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\right)=3+2+1=6$.

Conversely, consider an edge coloring $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}=\left(M_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, M_{\ell}^{\prime}\right)$ of $G^{\prime}$ with $\operatorname{val}\left(\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\right) \leqslant 6$ and assume $w\left(M_{1}^{\prime}\right) \geqslant \ldots \geqslant w\left(M_{\ell}^{\prime}\right)$. We have $\ell \geqslant 3$ since $\Delta\left(B P^{\prime}\right)=3$. Then, all the edges of weight 3 must be in the matching $M_{1}^{\prime}$, and no edge of weight 2 is in $M_{p}^{\prime}$ with $p \geqslant 3$, since otherwise we have $\operatorname{val}\left(\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\right) \geqslant 7(3+3+1$ in the first case and $3+2+2$ in the second case). Moreover, each edge of weight 2 is adjacent to an edge of weight 3 , and then, these edges are necessarily in $M_{2}^{\prime}$. Finally, remark that the edges of the gadgets of weight 1 are adjacent to an edge of weight 2 and an edge of weight 3 and must be in $M_{p}^{\prime}$ with $p \geqslant 3$. Moreover, $p=3$ and more generally $\ell=3$ since $\operatorname{val}\left(\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\right) \leqslant 6$. Now, consider the edge coloring $\left(M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}\right)$ of $B P$ where for any $i=1,2,3$ we have $M_{i}=\left(M_{i}^{\prime} \backslash\left\{e \in F_{2} \cup F_{3}: w(e)=4-i\right\}\right) \cup E_{i}$. We can easily see that $\left(M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}\right)$ is a solution for the edge precoloring extension problem.

From the proof of Theorem 4.1, we deduce that computing an optimal weighted edge 3-coloring of a cubic bipartite graphs among edge 3-colorings is NP-complete. By the same technics, we can prove that more generally, finding an optimal weighted edge $k$ coloring of a cubic bipartite graphs among the edge colorings using at most $k$ colors is $\mathbf{N P}$-complete for any $k=3,4,5$.

Corollary 4.2 For all $\varepsilon>0$, MIN WEIGHTED EDGE COLORING is not $7 / 6-\varepsilon$ approximable in bipartite cubic planar graphs unless $\boldsymbol{P}=\boldsymbol{N P}$.

### 4.2 Approximation result

In Demange et al. [4], a $\frac{5}{3}$-approximation is given for MIN WEIGHTED EDGE COLORING in bipartite graphs with maximum degree 3 . Here, we give a $\frac{7}{6}$-approximation.

We need some notations: If $B P=[V, E]$ is a bipartite graph with node set $V=$ $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$, we always assume that its edges $E=\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{m}\right\}$ are sorted in nonincreasing weight order (i.e., $w\left(e_{1}\right) \geqslant \ldots \geqslant w\left(e_{m}\right)$ ). If $V^{\prime}$ is a subset of nodes and $E^{\prime}$ a subset of edges, $B P\left[V^{\prime}\right]$ and $B P\left[E^{\prime}\right]$ denote the subgraph of $B P$ induced by $V^{\prime}$ and the partial graph of $B P$ induced by $E^{\prime}$ respectively. For any $i \leqslant m$, we set $E_{i}=\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{i}\right\}$ and $\overline{E_{i}}=E \backslash E_{i}$. Finally, $V_{i}$ denotes the set of nodes of $B P$ incident to an edge in $E_{i}$ (so, it is the subset of non-isolated nodes of $B P\left[E_{i}\right]$ ).

Consider the following algorithm.

## BIPARTITEEDGECOLOR

1 For $i=m$ downto 1 do
1.1 Apply algorithm SOL1 on $B P\left[E_{i}\right]$;
1.2 If $\operatorname{SOL} 1\left(B P\left[E_{i}\right]\right) \neq \emptyset$, complete in a greedy way all the colorings produced by SOL1 on the edges of $\overline{E_{i}}$. Let $\mathcal{S}_{1, i}$ be a best one among these edge colorings of $B P$;
1.3 For $j=i$ downto 1 do
1.3.1 Apply algorithm SOL2 on $B P\left[E_{j}\right]$;
1.3.2 If $\operatorname{SOL} 2\left(B P\left[E_{j}\right]\right) \neq \emptyset$, complete in a greedy way all the colorings produced by SOL 2 on the edges of $\overline{E_{j}}$. Let $\mathcal{S}_{2, j, i}$ be a best one among these edge colorings of $B P$;
1.3.3 Apply algorithm SOL3 on $B P\left[E_{j}\right]$;
1.3.4 If SOL3 $\left.3 P\left[E_{j}\right]\right) \neq \emptyset$, complete in a greedy way all the colorings produced by SOL 3 on the edges of $\overline{E_{j}}$. Let $\mathcal{S}_{3, j, i}$ be a best one among these edge colorings of $B P$

2 Output $\mathcal{S}=\operatorname{argmin}\left\{\operatorname{val}\left(\mathcal{S}_{1, i}\right), \operatorname{val}\left(\mathcal{S}_{k, j, i}\right): k=2,3, j=1, \ldots, i, i=1, \ldots, m\right\}$.

The greedy steps 1.2, 1.2.2 and 1.2 .4 can be described as follows: for each edge not yet colored, try to color it with an existing color, and otherwise take a new color. A simple argument shows that these edge colorings do not use more than 5 colors. Indeed, assume the reverse and let us consider an edge with color 6 . Since the maximum degree of $B P$ is 3 , this edge is adjacent to at most 4 edges and then to at most 4 colors. Thus, we can recolor this edge with a missing color in $1, \ldots, 5$. Obviously, this result also holds for an optimal solution. More generally, in [4], we have proved that, in any graph $G$, there is an optimal weighted node coloring using at most $\Delta(G)+1$ colors, where $\Delta(G)$ denotes the maximum degree of $G$. In our case, we have $G=L(H)$, the line graph of $H$, and we deduce $\Delta(L(H)) \leqslant 2(\Delta(H)-1)+1=2 \Delta(H)-1$.

The 3 algorithms SOL1, SOL2 and SOL3 are used on several partial graphs $B P^{\prime}$ of $B P$. In the following, $V^{\prime}, E^{\prime}$ and $m^{\prime}$ denote respectively the node set, the edge set and the number of edges of the current graph $B P^{\prime}$. Moreover, we set $\overline{V_{i}^{\prime}}=V^{\prime} \backslash V_{i}^{\prime}$ and $\overline{E_{i}^{\prime}}=E^{\prime} \backslash$ $E_{i}^{\prime}$. If $M=\left(M_{1}, \ldots, M_{l}\right)$ is an edge coloring of $B P^{\prime}$, we note $i_{j}=\min \left\{k: e_{k} \in M_{j}\right\}$ for $j=1, \ldots, l$. We assume, for reason of readability, that some colors $M_{j}$ may be empty (in this case $i_{j}=m^{\prime}+1$ ). The principle of these algorithms consist in finding a decomposition of $B P^{\prime}$ (a subgraph of $B P$ ) into two subgraph $B P_{1}^{\prime}$ and $B P_{2}^{\prime}$ having each a maximum degree 2 . When there exists such a decomposition, we can color $B P_{1}^{\prime}$ and $B P_{2}^{\prime}$ with at most 2 colors respectively since $B P$ is bipartite.

SOL1

1 For $j=m^{\prime}$ downto 1 do
1.1 If the degree of $B P^{\prime}\left[E_{j}^{\prime}\right]$ is at most 2 then
1.1.1 Consider the graph $B P^{\prime j}$ :

- induced by the nodes of $B P^{\prime}$ incident to at least 2 edges of $\overline{E_{j}^{\prime}}$;
- restricted to the edges of $\overline{E_{j}^{\prime}}$.
1.1.2 Determine if there exists a matching $M^{j}$ of $B P^{\prime j}$ such that every node of $\overline{V_{j}^{\prime}}$ is saturated;
1.1.3 If such a matching is found, consider the decomposition $B P_{1, j}^{\prime}$ and $B P_{2, j}^{\prime}$ of $B P^{\prime}$ induced by $E_{j}^{\prime} \cup M^{j}$ and $E^{\prime} \backslash\left(E_{j}^{\prime} \cup M^{j}\right)$ respectively;
1.1.4 Find an optimal edge coloring $\left(M_{1}^{j}, M_{2}^{j}\right)$ among the edge 2-colorings of $B P_{1, j}^{\prime}$;
1.1.5 Color greedily the edges of $B P_{2, j}^{\prime}$ with two colors $\left(M_{3}^{j}, M_{4}^{j}\right)$;
1.1.6 Define $\mathcal{S}_{1}^{j}=\left(M_{1}^{j}, M_{2}^{j}, M_{3}^{j}, M_{4}^{j}\right)$ the edge coloring of $B P^{\prime}$;

```
2 Output {\mp@subsup{\mathcal{S}}{1}{j}:j=1,\ldots,\mp@subsup{m}{}{\prime}-1};
```

Note that the step 1.1.2 is polynomial. Indeed, more generally, given a graph $G=$ $[V, E]$ and a set $V^{\prime} \subseteq V$, it is polynomial to determine if there exists a matching such that each node of $V^{\prime}$ is saturated. To see this, consider the graph $G^{\prime}$ where we add to $G$ all missing edges between nodes of $V \backslash V^{\prime}$. If $|V|$ is odd, then we add a node to the clique $V \backslash V^{\prime}$. It is easy to see that $G^{\prime}$ has a perfect matching if and only if $G$ has a matching such that each node of $V^{\prime}$ is saturated.

Lemma 4.3 If $\mathcal{S}=\left(M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}, M_{4}\right)$ with $w\left(M_{1}\right) \geqslant \ldots \geqslant w\left(M_{4}\right)$ is an edge coloring of $B P^{\prime}$, then algorithm SOL1 produces a solution $\mathcal{S}_{1}^{j}$ satisfying: $\operatorname{val}\left(\mathcal{S}_{1}^{j}\right) \leqslant w\left(M_{1}\right)+$ $w\left(M_{2}\right)+2 w\left(M_{3}\right)$

Proof: Let $\mathcal{S}=\left(M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}, M_{4}\right)$ with $w\left(M_{1}\right) \geqslant \ldots \geqslant w\left(M_{4}\right)$ be an edge coloring of $B P^{\prime}$. Let us examine the step of SOL1 corresponding to $j=i_{3}-1$. By construction, $B P^{\prime}\left[E_{i_{3}-1}^{\prime}\right]$ is 2 edge colorable since we have $E_{i_{3}-1}^{\prime} \subseteq M_{1} \cup M_{2}$. Moreover, in the subgraph induced by $\overline{E_{i_{3}-1}^{\prime}}$, each node of degree 3 has at least an edge of $M_{1} \cup M_{2}$ incident to it. Thus, in $B P^{\prime j}$, there exists a matching where each node of $\overline{V_{i_{3}-1}^{\prime}}$ is saturated. The subgraph $B P_{1, i_{3}-1}^{\prime}$ has a maximum degree 2 and contains by construction the subgraph $B P^{\prime}\left[E_{i_{3}-1}^{\prime}\right]$. Moreover, two any connected components of $B P^{\prime}\left[E_{i_{3}-1}^{\prime}\right]$ have not been merged in $B P_{1, i_{3}-1}^{\prime}$ since each edge $e=[x, y] \in M^{i_{3}-1}$ has at least one node (say $x$ ) satisfying $d_{B P^{\prime}\left[E_{i_{3}-1}^{\prime}\right]}(x)=0$. Thus, any edge 2-coloring of $B P^{\prime}\left[E_{i_{3}^{\prime}-1}\right]$ can be extended to an edge 2-coloring of $B P_{1, i_{3}-1}^{\prime}$. So, since $\forall e \in M^{i_{3}-1}, \forall e^{\prime} \in E_{i_{3}-1}^{\prime} w(e) \leqslant w\left(e^{\prime}\right)$, and $\left(M_{1}^{i_{3}-1}, M_{2}^{i_{3}-1}\right)$ is an optimal weighted 2 edge coloring of $B P_{1, i_{3}-1}^{\prime}$, we deduce:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w\left(M_{1}^{i_{3}-1}\right)+w\left(M_{2}^{i_{3}-1}\right) \leqslant w\left(M_{1}\right)+w\left(M_{2}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By construction, $B P_{2, i_{3}-1}^{\prime}$ has no node with degree 3, and then $B P_{2, i_{3}-1}^{\prime}$ has a maximum degree 2. Moreover, $\forall e \notin\left(M^{i_{3}-1} \cup E_{i_{3}-1}^{\prime}\right)$ we have $w(e) \leqslant w\left(e_{i_{3}}\right)=w\left(M_{3}\right)$. Thus, any edge coloring of $B P_{2, i_{3}-1}^{\prime}$ using at most 2 colors and in particular $\left(M_{3}^{i_{3}-1}, M_{4}^{i_{3}-1}\right)$ satisfies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w\left(M_{3}^{i_{3}-1}\right)+w\left(M_{4}^{i_{3}-1}\right) \leqslant 2 w\left(M_{3}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (4.1) and (4.2), we obtain:

$$
\operatorname{val}\left(\mathcal{S}_{1}^{i_{3}-1}\right) \leqslant w\left(M_{1}\right)+w\left(M_{2}\right)+2 w\left(M_{3}\right)
$$

SOL2
1 For $k=m^{\prime}$ downto 1 do
1.1 If $E_{k}^{\prime}$ is a matching :
1.1.1 Determine if there exists a matching $M_{k}$ of $B P^{\prime}\left[\overline{V_{k}^{\prime}}\right]$ such that each node of $B P^{\prime}\left[\overline{V_{k}^{\prime}}\right]$ having a degree 3 in $B P^{\prime}$ is saturated.
1.1.2 If such a matching is found, consider the decomposition $B P_{1, k}^{\prime}$ and $B P_{2, k}^{\prime}$ of $B P^{\prime}$ induced by $E_{k}^{\prime} \cup M_{k}$ and $E^{\prime} \backslash\left(E_{j}^{\prime} \cup M_{k}\right)$ respectively;
1.1.3 Color $B P_{1, k}^{\prime}$ with one color $M_{1}^{k}$;
1.1.4 Color greedily $B P_{2, k}^{\prime}$ with two colors $M_{2}^{k}$ and $M_{3}^{k}$;
1.1.5 Define $\mathcal{S}_{2}^{k}=\left(M_{1}^{k}, M_{2}^{k}, M_{3}^{k}\right)$ the edge coloring of $B P^{\prime}$;

2 Output $\left\{\mathcal{S}_{2}^{k}: k=1, \ldots, m^{\prime}\right\}$;

Lemma 4.4 If $\mathcal{S}=\left(M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}\right)$ with $w\left(M_{1}\right) \geqslant w\left(M_{2}\right) \geqslant w\left(M_{3}\right)$ is an edge coloring of $B P^{\prime}$, then algorithm SOL2 produces a solution $\mathcal{S}_{2}^{k}$ satisfying: $\operatorname{val}\left(\mathcal{S}_{2}^{k}\right) \leqslant w\left(M_{1}\right)+$ $2 w\left(M_{2}\right)$.

Proof : Let $\mathcal{S}=\left(M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}\right)$ with $w\left(M_{1}\right) \geqslant w\left(M_{2}\right) \geqslant w\left(M_{3}\right)$ be an edge coloring of $B P^{\prime}$. Let us examine the step of SOL2 corresponding to $k=i_{2}-1$. By construction, $E_{i_{2}-1}^{\prime} \subseteq M_{1}$ and among $M_{1} \backslash E_{i_{2}-1}^{\prime}$ there is a matching of $B P^{\prime}\left[\overline{V_{i_{2}-1}^{\prime}}\right]$ where each node of degree 3 is saturated (otherwise, $\mathcal{S}=\left(M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}\right)$ is not feasible). Thus, $B P_{1, i_{2}-1}^{\prime}$ can be considered and colored with one color $M_{1}^{i_{2}-1}$, and we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w\left(M_{1}^{i_{2}-1}\right)=w\left(M_{1}\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also deduce that $B P_{2, i_{2}-1}^{\prime}$ has a maximum degree 2. Then, it can be edge colored with 2 colors $M_{2}^{i_{2}-1}$ and $M_{3}^{i_{2}-1}$. Moreover, since $\forall e \notin E_{i_{2}-1}^{\prime}, w(e) \leqslant w\left(e_{i_{2}}\right)=w\left(M_{2}\right)$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w\left(M_{2}^{i_{2}-1}\right)+w\left(M_{3}^{i_{2}-1}\right) \leqslant 2 w\left(M_{2}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (4.3) and (4.4), we obtain:

$$
\operatorname{val}\left(\mathcal{S}_{2}^{i_{2}-1}\right) \leqslant w\left(M_{1}\right)+2 w\left(M_{2}\right)
$$

SOL3

1 For $k=m^{\prime}$ downto 1 do
1.1 Determine if there is a matching $M_{k}$ in $B P^{\prime}\left[\overline{E_{k}^{\prime}}\right]$ such that each node of degree 3 in $B P^{\prime}$ is saturated.
1.2 If such a matching is found, consider the decomposition $B P_{1, k}^{\prime}$ and $B P_{2, k}^{\prime}$ of $B P^{\prime}$ induced by $M_{k}$ and $E^{\prime} \backslash M_{k}$ respectively;
1.3 Color $B P_{1, k}^{\prime}$ with one color $M_{3}^{k}$;
1.4 Color greedily $B P_{2, k}^{\prime}$ with two colors $M_{1}^{k}$ and $M_{2}^{k}$;
1.5 Define $\mathcal{S}_{3}^{k}=\left(M_{1}^{k}, M_{2}^{k}, M_{3}^{k}\right)$ the edge coloring of $B P^{\prime}$;

2 Output $\left\{\mathcal{S}_{3}^{k}: k=1, \ldots, m^{\prime}-1\right\}$;

Lemma 4.5 If $\mathcal{S}=\left(M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}\right)$ with $w\left(M_{1}\right) \geqslant w\left(M_{2}\right) \geqslant w\left(M_{3}\right)$ is an edge coloring of $B P^{\prime}$, then algorithm SOL3 produces a solution $\mathcal{S}_{3}^{k}$ satisfying: $\operatorname{val}\left(\mathcal{S}_{3}^{k}\right) \leqslant 2 w\left(M_{1}\right)+$ $w\left(M_{3}\right)$

Proof: Let $\mathcal{S}=\left(M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}\right)$ with $w\left(M_{1}\right) \geqslant w\left(M_{2}\right) \geqslant w\left(M_{3}\right)$ be an edge coloring of $B P^{\prime}$. As previously, let us consider one particular iteration of SOL3. In this lemma, we study the case where $k=i_{3}-1$. By construction, we have $M_{3} \subseteq \overline{E_{i_{3}-1}^{\prime}}$ and $M_{3}$ contains a matching where each node of $B P^{\prime}\left[\overline{E_{i_{3}-1}^{\prime}}\right]$ having a degree 3 in $B P^{\prime}$ is saturated. Thus, $B P_{2, i_{3}-1}^{\prime}$ exists. Moreover, since $\forall e \in \overline{E_{i_{3}-1}^{\prime}}, w(e) \leqslant w\left(e_{i_{3}}\right)=w\left(M_{3}\right)$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w\left(M_{3}^{i_{3}-1}\right) \leqslant w\left(M_{3}\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

As previously, we deduce that $B P_{1, i_{3}-1}^{\prime}$ can be edge colored with 2 colors $M_{1}^{i_{3}-1}$ and $M_{2}^{i_{3}-1}$ and we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w\left(M_{1}^{i_{3}-1}\right)+w\left(M_{2}^{i_{3}-1}\right) \leqslant 2 w\left(M_{1}\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (4.5) and (4.6), we obtain:

$$
\operatorname{val}\left(\mathcal{S}_{3}^{i_{3}-1}\right) \leqslant 2 w\left(M_{1}\right)+w\left(M_{3}\right)
$$

Remark 4.6 Observe that if a color $M_{j}^{i_{3}-1}$ is empty, then we can improve the bound: in this case, val $\left(\mathcal{S}_{3}^{i_{3}-1}\right) \leq 2 w\left(M_{1}\right)$. This remark is also valid for algorithms SOL1 and SOL2, and if several colors are empty. For SOL1 for instance, if $M_{2}^{i_{3}-1}$ and $M_{2}^{i_{3}-1}$ are empty, then $\operatorname{val}\left(\mathcal{S}_{1}^{i_{3}-1}\right) \leq w\left(M_{1}\right)+w\left(M_{3}\right)$.

Theorem 4.7 BIPARTITEEDGECOLOR produces a $\frac{7}{6}$ approximation for MIN WEIGHTED EDGE COLORING in bipartite graphs with maximum degree 3.

Proof : Let $\mathcal{S}^{*}=\left(M_{1}^{*}, \ldots, M_{5}^{*}\right)$ with $w\left(M_{1}^{*}\right) \geqslant \ldots \geqslant w\left(M_{5}^{*}\right)$ be an optimal weighted edge coloring of $B P$. Denote by $i_{k}^{*}$ the smallest index of an edge in $M_{k}^{*}$ ( $i_{k}^{*}=m+1$ if the color is empty).

Consider the iteration of BIPARTITEEDGECOLOR corresponding to the cases $i=$ $i_{5}^{*}-1$ and $j=i_{4}^{*}-1$. Then :

- applying lemma 4.3 , we produce on $B P^{\prime}=B P\left[E_{i}\right]$ an edge coloring of weight at most $w\left(M_{1}^{*}\right)+w\left(M_{2}^{*}\right)+2 w\left(M_{3}^{*}\right)$. Then the greedy coloring of the edges of $\overline{E_{i}}$ produces a coloring of weight at most

$$
\begin{equation*}
w\left(M_{1}^{*}\right)+w\left(M_{2}^{*}\right)+2 w\left(M_{3}^{*}\right)+w\left(M_{5}^{*}\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Applying lemma 4.4, we produce on $B P^{\prime}=B P\left[E_{j}\right]$ an edge coloring of weight at most $w\left(M_{1}^{*}\right)+2 w\left(M_{2}^{*}\right)$. Then the greedy coloring of the edges of $\overline{E_{j}}$ produces a coloring of weight at most

$$
\begin{equation*}
w\left(M_{1}^{*}\right)+2 w\left(M_{2}^{*}\right)+2 w\left(M_{4}^{*}\right) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Applying lemma 4.5, we produce on $B P^{\prime}=B P\left[E_{j}\right]$ an edge coloring of weight at most $2 w\left(M_{1}^{*}\right)+w\left(M_{3}^{*}\right)$. Then the greedy coloring of the edges of $\overline{E_{j}}$ produces a coloring of weight at most

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 w\left(M_{1}^{*}\right)+w\left(M_{3}^{*}\right)+2 w\left(M_{4}^{*}\right) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that if there is an empty color or several empty colors produced by one of the algorithms SOL $i$, then the bound are still valid. Indeed, for SOL3 for instance, according to Remark 4.6, the value of the coloring computed at step $j=i_{3}-1$ has a weight at most $2 w\left(M_{1}^{*}\right)$, and the greedy step produces a coloring of value at most $2 w\left(M_{1}^{*}\right)+3 w\left(M_{4}^{*}\right) \leqslant$ $2 w\left(M_{1}^{*}\right)+w\left(M_{3}^{*}\right)+2 w\left(M_{4}^{*}\right)$.

Using (4.7), (4.8) and (4.9), we deduce that the coloring $\mathcal{S}$ computed by BIPARTITEEDGECOLOR satisfies:

$$
\operatorname{val}(\mathcal{S}) \leqslant \min \left\{\begin{array}{l}
w\left(M_{1}^{*}\right)+w\left(M_{2}^{*}\right)+2 w\left(M_{3}^{*}\right)+w\left(M_{5}^{*}\right) ; \\
\\
\left.w\left(M_{1}^{*}\right)+2 w\left(M_{2}^{*}\right)+2 w\left(M_{4}^{*}\right) ; 2 w\left(M_{1}^{*}\right)+w\left(M_{3}^{*}\right)+2 w\left(M_{4}^{*}(4)\right\} 10\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

The convex combination of these 3 values with coefficients $\frac{3}{6}, \frac{2}{6}$ and $\frac{1}{6}$ respectively and the inequality (4.10) give the expected result, that is:

$$
w(\mathcal{S}) \leqslant \frac{7}{6} w\left(M_{1}^{*}\right)+\frac{7}{6} w\left(M_{2}^{*}\right)+\frac{7}{6} w\left(M_{3}^{*}\right)+w\left(M_{4}^{*}\right)+\frac{1}{2} w\left(M_{5}^{*}\right) \leqslant \frac{7}{6} o p t(I)
$$

## 5 Weighted node coloring in Split graphs

The split graphs are a class of graphs related to bipartite graphs. Formally, $G=\left(K_{1}, V_{2} ; E\right)$ is a split graph if $K_{1}$ is a clique of $G$ with size $\left|K_{1}\right|=n_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ is an independent set with size $\left|V_{2}\right|=n_{2}$. So, a split graph can be viewed as a bipartite graph where the left set is a clique. Since split graphs form a subclass of perfect graphs, the node coloring problem on split graphs is polynomial. On the other hand, in [4], it is proved that the weighted node coloring problem is strongly NP-complete in split graphs, even if the weights take only two values. Thus, we deduce that there is no fully polynomial time approximation scheme in such a class of graphs. Here, we propose a polynomial time approximation scheme using structural properties of optimal solutions. An immediate observation of split graphs is that any optimal node coloring $\mathcal{S}^{*}=\left(S_{1}^{*}, \ldots, S_{\ell}^{*}\right)$ satisfies $\left|K_{1}\right| \leqslant \ell \leqslant\left|K_{1}\right|+1$ and any color $S_{i}^{*}$ is a subset of $V_{2}$ with possibly one node of $K_{1}$. In particular, for any optimal node coloring $\mathcal{S}^{*}=\left(S_{1}^{*}, \ldots, S_{\ell}^{*}\right)$, there exists at most one index $i\left(\mathcal{S}^{*}\right)$ such that $S_{i\left(\mathcal{S}^{*}\right)}^{*} \cap K_{1}=\emptyset$.

Lemma 5.1 There is an optimal weighted node coloring $\mathcal{S}^{*}=\left(S_{1}^{*}, \ldots, S_{\ell}^{*}\right)$ with $w\left(S_{1}^{*}\right) \geqslant$ $\ldots \geqslant w\left(S_{\ell}^{*}\right)$ and an index $i_{0} \leqslant \ell+1$ such that:

- $\forall j<i_{0} S_{j}^{*}=\left\{v_{j}\right\} \cup\left\{v \in V_{2}: v \notin \cup_{k=1}^{j-1} S_{k}^{*}\right.$ and $\left.\left[v, v_{j}\right] \notin E\right\}$ for some $v_{j} \in K_{1}$.
- $S_{i_{0}}^{*}=V_{2} \backslash\left(S_{1}^{*} \cup \ldots \cup S_{i_{0}-1}^{*}\right)$.
- $\forall j>i_{0} S_{j}^{*}=\left\{v_{j}\right\}$ for some $v_{j} \in K_{1}$.

Proof : Let $G=\left(K_{1}, V_{2} ; E\right)$ be a split graph and let $\mathcal{S}^{*}=\left(S_{1}^{*}, \ldots, S_{\ell}^{*}\right)$ with $w\left(S_{1}^{*}\right) \geqslant$ $\ldots \geqslant w\left(S_{\ell}^{*}\right)$ be an optimal weighted node coloring of $G$. If $\ell=n_{1}$ (we recall that $n_{1}=\left|K_{1}\right|$ ), then we set $i_{0}=\ell+1$ otherwise let $i_{0}$ be the unique $i$ such that $S_{i}^{*} \cap K_{1}=\emptyset$. We build set $S_{i}^{*^{\prime}}$ by the following way:

- For $i=1, \ldots, i_{0}-1, S_{i}^{*^{\prime}}=\left\{v_{i}\right\} \cup\left\{v \in V_{2}: v \notin \cup_{k=1}^{i-1} S_{k}^{*^{\prime}}\right.$ and $\left.\left[v, v_{i}\right] \notin E\right\}$ where we assume that $S_{i}^{*} \cap K_{1}=\left\{v_{i}\right\}$.
- $S_{i_{0}}^{*^{\prime}}=V_{2} \backslash\left(S_{1}^{*^{\prime}} \cup \ldots \cup S_{i_{0}-1}^{*^{\prime}}\right)$.
- For $i=i_{0}+1, \ldots, \ell, S_{i}^{*^{\prime}}=S_{i}^{*} \cap K_{1}$.

Thus, when $i_{0}=\ell+1$, the sets resulting from second and third items are empty. Let us prove that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall i=1, \ldots, \ell, w\left(S_{i}^{*^{\prime}}\right) \leqslant w\left(S_{i}^{*}\right) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $w\left(S_{1}^{*}\right) \geqslant \ldots \geqslant w\left(S_{\ell}^{*}\right)$, we have $w\left(S_{i}^{*}\right)=\max \left\{w(v): v \in K_{1} \cup V_{2} \backslash\left(S_{1}^{*} \cup\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\ldots \cup S_{i-1}^{*}\right)\right\}$. Moreover, by construction $\cup_{j=1}^{i-1} S_{j}^{*} \subseteq \cup_{j=1}^{i-1} S_{j}^{*^{\prime}}$. Thus, the result follows.

Using inequality (5.1), we deduce that node coloring $\mathcal{S}^{*^{\prime}}=\left(S_{1}^{*^{\prime}}, \ldots, S_{\ell}^{*^{\prime}}\right)$ has a cost $\operatorname{val}\left(\mathcal{S}^{*^{\prime}}\right) \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} w\left(S_{i}^{*}\right)=\operatorname{opt}(I)$ and then, $\mathcal{S}^{*^{\prime}}$ is an optimal weighted node coloring satisfying Lemma 5.1.

```
SPLITNODECOLOR
```

1 For all subset $K_{1}^{\prime} \subseteq K_{1}$ with $\left|K_{1}^{\prime}\right|=p \leqslant k$ do
1.1 For all bijection $f:\{1, \ldots, p\} \longmapsto K_{1}^{\prime}$ do
1.1.1 For $i=1$ to $p$ do
1.1.1.1 Set $S_{i}^{K_{1}^{\prime}, f}=\{f(i)\} \cup\left\{v \in V_{2}: v \notin \cup_{k=1}^{i-1} S_{k}^{K_{1}^{\prime}, f}\right.$ and $\left.[v, f(i)] \notin E\right\}$;
1.1.2 Set $S_{p+1, f}^{K_{1}^{\prime}}=V_{2} \backslash\left(S_{1}^{K_{1}^{\prime}, f} \cup \ldots \cup S_{p}^{K_{1}^{\prime}, f}\right)$;
1.1.3 For $i=p+2$ to $n_{1}+1$ (assume $K_{1} \backslash K_{1}^{\prime}=\left\{v_{p+2}, \ldots, v_{n_{1}+1}\right.$ ) do
1.1.3.1 Set $S_{i}^{K_{1}^{\prime}, f}=\left\{v_{i}\right\}$;
1.1.4 Set $\mathcal{S}^{K_{1}^{\prime}, f}=\left(S_{1}^{K_{1}^{\prime}, f}, \ldots, S_{n_{1}+1}^{K_{1}^{\prime}, f}\right)$;

2 Output $\mathcal{S}=\operatorname{argmin}\left\{\operatorname{val}\left(\mathcal{S}^{K_{1}^{\prime}, f}\right)\right\} ;$

This algorithm has a complexity-time $O\left(k!n^{k+1}\right)$.

Theorem 5.2 For all $\varepsilon>0$, SPLITNODECOLOR $\left\lceil\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right\rceil$ produces a $1+\varepsilon$ approximation for MIN WEIGHTED NODE COLORING in split graphs.

Proof : Let $G=\left(K_{1}, V_{2} ; E\right)$ be a split graph and let $\mathcal{S}^{*}=\left(S_{1}^{*}, \ldots, S_{\ell}^{*}\right)$ with $w\left(S_{1}^{*}\right) \geqslant$ $\ldots \geqslant w\left(S_{\ell}^{*}\right)$ be an optimal weighted node coloring of $G$ satisfying Lemma 5.1. Let $k=\left\lceil\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right\rceil$. If $i_{0} \leqslant k$, then by construction the solution $\mathcal{S}$ returned by SPLITNODECOLOR ${ }_{k}$ is optimal. So, assume $i_{0}>k$ and let $K_{1}^{*^{\prime}}=\left(\cup_{j=1}^{k} S_{j}^{*}\right) \backslash V_{2}$. Obviously, $\left|K_{1}^{*^{\prime}}\right|=k$ and let $f^{*}(i)=S_{i}^{*} \cap K_{1}$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$.

Let us examine the solution $\mathcal{S}^{K_{1}^{*^{\prime}}, f^{*}}$ corresponding to the step $K_{1}^{\prime}=K_{1}^{*^{\prime}}$ and $f=f^{*}$ of SPLITNODECOLOR ${ }_{k}$. By construction, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall i=1, \ldots, k, S_{i}^{K_{1}^{*^{\prime}}, f^{*}}=S_{i}^{*} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, since $K_{1} \backslash K_{1}^{*^{\prime}} \subseteq S_{k+1}^{*} \cup \ldots \cup S_{\ell}^{*}$ and $K_{1} \backslash K_{1}^{*^{\prime}}$ is a clique, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=k+2}^{n_{1}+1} w\left(S_{i}^{K_{1}^{*^{\prime}}, f^{*}}\right) \leqslant \sum_{j=k+1}^{\ell} w\left(S_{i}^{*}\right) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, combining (5.2) and (5.2), we deduce:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{val}\left(\mathcal{S}^{K_{1}^{*^{\prime}}, f^{*}}\right)-w\left(S_{k+1}^{K_{1}^{*^{\prime}}, f^{*}}\right) \leqslant \operatorname{opt}(I) \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, by construction $w\left(S_{k+1}^{K_{1}^{\prime_{1}^{\prime}}, f^{*}}\right) \leqslant w\left(S_{k}^{*}\right) \leqslant \ldots \leqslant w\left(S_{1}^{*}\right)$ and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
w\left(S_{k+1}^{K_{1}^{*_{1}^{\prime}}, f^{*}}\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{k} \times o p t(I) \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, using these two last inequalities with $\frac{1}{k} \leqslant \varepsilon$, we obtain the expected result.
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