

Toward civilized evolution: developping inhibitions

Michèle Sebag, Marc Schoenauer, Caroline Ravisé

To cite this version:

Michèle Sebag, Marc Schoenauer, Caroline Ravisé. Toward civilized evolution: developping inhibitions. 7th International Conference on Genetic Algorithms (ICGA), 1997, East Lansing, United States. pp.291-298. hal-00116480

HAL Id: hal-00116480 <https://hal.science/hal-00116480v1>

Submitted on 24 Mar 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Toward Civilized Evolution- Developing Inhibitions

Mich-ele Sebag LMS-CNRS - CNRS - C Ecole Polytechnique 91128 Palaiseau France

Marc Schoenauer CMAP-RESIDENT CONTROL Ecole Polytechnique 91128 Palaiseau France

Caroline Ravise La construction of the Université d'Orsay 91405 Orsay France

Abstract

Most evolutionary algorithms concerned with a memory of evolution aim at memorizing and reusing the recipes of past successes (e.g. fruitful operators or fruitful mutation direc tions).

The scheme proposed here follows the oppo site track-track-beneficient-beneficient-beneficient-beneficient-beneficient-beneficient-beneficient-beneficie of evolution (unfit offspring) through a virtual individual termed the *virtual loser*. The underlying metaphor is that offspring should attempt to get further away from the vir tual loser than their parents. This is done by a new evolution of the second operator of the second contract of the second second second and the second se mutation-standard continues to standard resource to standard resource to standard resource to standard resourc combination and mutation. Special attention is paid to adjusting the flee-mutation step size Experiments on large sized prob lems validate this approach- and unexpect edly show that a constant flee-mutation step size over a population is desirable

Introduction $\mathbf{1}$

In the framework of Evolutionary Computation (Goldberg is the population of the population o can be viewed as an implicit memory of the past evo lution: the population is indeed representative of the successes (most fit individuals) encountered so far.

This paper rather focuses on explicit collective mem ory ECmemory- meant as any kind of information which reflects the past history of evolution and is stored apart from the individuals themselves. This general definition notably encompasses all global parameters that are adaptively adjusted (Davis 1989; Eiben Ruttkay Hansen- Ostermeier- Gawel czyk 1995; Baluja & Caruana 1995; Dorigo & Giambardella as well as rules learned as well as over several generations (Reynolds $\&$ Matelik 1993; Reynolds 1994; Sebag & Schoenauer 1994; Ravisé & \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S} are \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S} are \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S} are \mathcal{S} are

EC-memory can be analyzed with regards to both its use and its contents are a matter of factor of \mathcal{L} can be used to drive most components of evolution: the selection of individuals (individuals in additional) at the fit case. choice of evolution operators (Davis 1989); the way recombination and mutation operate (e.g. localization of crossing points andor ipped bits- continu ous mutation directions) (Sebag & Schoenauer 1994; e sebag $\exp k$ 1995); and it can also control the generation of new individuals-defense to standard and alternative to standard and alternative to standard and alternative to recombination and mutation (Baluja & Caruana 1995; Dorigo Giambardella

As to its contents- ECmemory can either reect uniquely the past successes of evolution-the successes of the successes of \sim the fittest individuals and how they were found (Davis 1989; Baluja & Caruana 1995; Dorigo & Giambardella or-core it can reect both successes and errors equations are extended to the core of the core of the core of th unt individuals-disruptive operators Eiben individuals-disruptive operators Eiben individualstkay sebagai s

This paper continues a previous work devoted to civilized evolution-based on coupling evolution-based on coupling evolution-based on coupling evolution-based o tion and machine learning (Sebag & Schoenauer 1994; Ravis
e Sebag the knowledge learned from the past errors of evolution is used to prevent the fur ther generations from repeating the past errors In the present working the ECM and the ECM of the ECM and the ECM of the ECMEMORY of the ECMEMORY of the ECMEMORY of the pressed with rules-to-the-to-the-to-the-to-the-to-the-to-the-to-the-to-the-to-the-to-the-to-the-to-the-to-theospringer termed the virtual loser the virtual lose the virtual lose of the virtual lose of the virtual lose o is used to directly evolve individuals via a new opera tor-term fleemutation The underlying metaphore and the underlying metaphore and the underlying metaphore and t is that offspring should attempt to be more different from the virtual loser- than their parents

Fleemutation combines mutation and recombination in the following respects Like mutation- it proceeds by flipping some bits in the parent; one difference with regards to standard GA mutation- is that much more bits are flipped. The advantages of large-rate mutation have been emphasized for real-valued search spaces (see (Mühlenbein 1992) among others); and these have been confirmed for some binary problems too (Jones 1995).

On the other hand- eemutation resembles recombi nation-probability of modifying a given a give bit depends on other individuals This contrasts with both standard mutation and self adaptive mutation, where the modifications are respectively done at random or depend only on the individual at hand. The difference between recombination and flee-mutation is that the modifications are biased from the past populations (instead of the current population only).

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes some works related to the explicit collective memory of evolution-state the pretending to exhaustivity Sections and the section of the section of the section of the section 3 details the flee-mutation scheme; the critical points concern both the mutation step size (how many bits should flipped) and the choice of these bits. Section presents and discusses an experimental validation of this scheme on large sized binary problems (900 bits) taken from (Baluja 1995). We conclude with some perspectives for further research

$\overline{2}$ State of the art

In a ma jority of works related to ECmemory- memo rization proceeds by counting desirable events and/or rewarding fruitful options; it produces numerical informations \sim 0.000 m and 0.000 giam and a second state of the compact of the second state of the second state \mathcal{L} ostermeier-Independent in other works-independent in our product in our product in our product in our product i memorization is based on machine learning (Michalski are a proceeding and proceeds by inducing logical proceeding logical contracts of the contract of the contract rules or beliefs from examples drawn from evolution restimates the second control of the second control of the second control of the second control of the second types of memory are respectively referred to as nu merical and symbolic

2.1 Numerical memory

Among the global parameters of evolution are the op erator rates. Davis proposed an adaptive mechanism to control these parameters and choose between sev eral types of recombination and mutation operators (Davis 1989). The idea is to gradually increase the rate of those operators which actually lead to fit individuals In this frame- the ECmemory consists of the operator rates and it controls the choice of operators The memorization process proceeds by relaxation

Another example of collective memory in the context of SAT problems- is given by the SAWGA Eiben Ruttkay In this approach- the violation of con straints is accounted for by penalization; the penal-

while being the only one to satisfy another constraint \sim ization for violating a constraint decreases when the constraint is often satisfied in the population. An individual which violates a widely satisfied constraint will thus more likely be selected. The underlying idea is that such an individual brings new valuable genetic material-control its failures can be repaired by repairing the repairing of the repair componential in the corresponding genetic material is widely available in the population

The EC-memory here consists of the penalization \mathbf{u} and controls the selection step Theorem step The weightsare also updated by relaxation

as the third examples the the the third control memorizes the theory of the theory of the theory of the theory uals of the past generations into ^a virtual individual $\left(\text{in} \ \vert \text{U}, \text{I} \right)^\top$ if ty denotes the dimension of the search space) (Baluja & Caruana 1995; Baluja 1995). This virtualisme the thought of as virtual-service of as virtual-services of as virtualisment of as virtualisment o leader- is interpreted as a vector of probabilities and used to construct the next population from scratch For instance- if the virtual leader takes value for the rst bit- the rst bit will be set to for  of the individuals in the population. The EC-memory here consists of the virtual leader- and it allows to sidestep recombination and mutation Again- the memory is updated by relaxation

Still another example of evolution guided by numer ical EC-memory is provided by artificial ants and pheronome trails see Dorigo Giambardella among others μ and pheronome trails received the internal μ teresting events of the recent history of ants (for biologic ants- the paths actually leading to some food for articial ants- for instance the best tours in the frame of TSP). These trails act as attractors: the more pheronome on a path-on more and more antis follow the more path-onand if the some path still denotes the some theory interesting α interesting interesting interesting interesting in more ants will add pheronome on this path Like in PBIL- this ECmemory can be viewed as a vir tual individual". It is used to generate the individuals (or their actions) and it is built by relaxation.

a last example is provided by Hansen-Andre between the state of the contract of the contract of the contract of Gawelczyk 1995). The history of one individual (realvalued vector) is constructed by relaxation from its successive climbing steps (difference between the parent and the offspring). The EC-memory consists of the history of the term individually rearranged the term individuals-term individuals-term individualsas to form a basis of the search space The mutation of one individual is expressed as a linear combination in this basis-dependent of the coefficients undergo selfation provided and the coefficients of the coefficient of One advantage of this scheme is to allow self-adaptive mutation to be independent of the given coordinate system

[&]quot;Relaxation is commonly used (e.g. in neural nets) to ensure smooth updates and prevent numerical oscillationsit reads

 $p = 1 - \alpha p + \alpha \Delta p$

where α (E |0, 1|) is the relaxation factor and governs the $\mathop{\rm s}\nolimits$ beed of changes, and $\Delta\mathit{v}$ is the instant amount to be added \qquad is a t or removed from p .

⁻For instance in the TSP with ^N cities an individual is a permutation over $\{ 1 \dots N \}$ while the EC-memory is a matrix $(N \times N)$, whose coefficients belong to 10. H

2.2 Symbolic memory

Other works that explicitly refer to the memory of evolution are based on machine learning from exam ples (Michalski 1983; Mitchell 1982). In cultural algorithms (Reynolds $\&$ Matelik 1993; Reynolds 1994), one gradually learns from the individuals in the cur rent population- and thus constructs beliefs about the relevance of schemas. EC-memory here consists of a lattice of beliefs- used to drive the generation of o spring

In civilized evolution (Sebag & Schoenauer 1994; 3 e sebag e Seba (crossover and mutation masks) and thus constructs rules characterizing disruptive operators; an example of such a rule would be: if there exists a crossing point between the 2nd and the 3rd bit, the crossover is aisruptive; or If bit I is mutated, the mutation is mu disruptive. These rules are used to control the oper- mutate. ators and decrease the odds of disruptive evolution EC-memory here consists of logical rules.

In both cases- ECmemory encodes some knowledge this knowledge (about $fit/unfit$ individuals in cultural algorithms- and fruitful distribution operators are considered by the state of the constant of the constant of in civilized evolution) is used to guide the recombination and mutation of individuals The Darwinian evo lution paradigm thereby shifts toward "advanced evolution some knowledge culture- moral principles is acquired along evolution- and the capitalization of knowledge expectedly speeds up evolution

2.3 Lesson

This induction-based approach brought some gain with respect to the number of function evaluations needed to reach the optimum Unfortunately- the cost of induction offsets or even exceeds this gain. This may be partly due to the fact that most test functions are interpersive in this control must be either the context of the context of the context of the context of th ther exceedingly exceeding to be magingletted that the cost of competitive

Still- civilized evolution gives some insights into how evolution actually works For instance- it enables to compare the control of crossover and the control of mu tation- since the same mechanism can be used to con trol either one or the original control either \mathbb{R}^n the mutation rate was one or several orders of magni tude lower than that of crossover in these experiments, the control of mutation appeared much more efficient that the cross cross can retrospect to the cross cross control to the control of \mathcal{C} that the disruptiveness of crossover decreases as evo lution proceeds and the population gets homogeneous except control control, the control-control-

disruptiveness of mutation: this can explain why the control of mutation- determining which bits should not e makes such a die regeling van die eerste koning van die regeling van die regeling van die van die van die va

memory, telling what *not* to do, easy to acquire and In summary-what would be needed is a preventive preventiv use This leads to investigate numerical-line numerical- \sim , and it is the second of \sim . The second of \sim , \sim

Inhibitions and Flee-Mutation

This section first motivates the choice of memorizing errors only. It describes how this memory is constructed and how it guides the choice of the bits to mutate A last issue concerns the number of bits to

3.1 When are inhibitions reliable?

Let us assume that the fitness landscape is fixed and that the fitness of an individual does not depend on the other individuals in the population. These assumptions are most often satisfied in applications of evolutionary computation. We further assume that \cdots such as in the selection scheme is the such as in the - $\{P^i\}$, \cdots , $\{P^i\}$ evolution strategy scheme (Schwefel 1981).

Under these assumptions-these assumptions-these assumptions-these assumptions-theoretical problem is not the s enselection at step to survive selection at step to the step that step that step that \mathcal{L} to survive selection in a steps in the steps in the steps in the step in the s generation of this offspring is a pure waste of time an error of evolution $-$ and will remain so in the future of evolution. Inhibiting the generation of such individuals thus makes sense

The strategy based on the memorization and repeti tion of past successes (e.g. (Dorigo $\&$ Giambardella Baluja Caruana Caru ward exploitation; it can be deceptive as it breaks the balance between exploration and exploitation (Goldberg berg in one opposition- the strategy based on the strategy memorization and avoidance of past errors restricts the scope of both exploitation and exploration: it just forbids to consider some unit is a some until a gain individualsindividuals or not

The strategy based on inhibitions (memorizing the errors in order not to repeat them) is closely related to the Tabu search Glover - the last trials the last trial search the last trials of the last trial search of the are stored in order not to be considered again. However-this is not directly applicable in this is not directly applicable in the context of the context evolutionary search the list of past individuals either the list of past individuals either the list of past i covers a negligible fraction of the search space- spaceintractable

Rules related to crossover are valid during a more orless short period of time: typically, after a relevant schema involving bits 2 and 3 has emerged, and before this schema has crowded the population

Utility of Inhibitions

The goal is to construct a tractable description of the past errors - and see how this can see how the part of the support evolution of the support of the sup Indeed- errors give some hints as to which bits should be mutated in relevant individuals. Let individuals X , Y - Z and Table - where X-and has a comparatively high tness- and ^Y - ^Z and ^T termed losers-losers-losers-losers-losers-losers-losers-losers-losers-losers-losers-losers-losers-losers-loser

Bit 1 takes different values for the leader X and the losers Y ^Z and ^T By induction- this dierence in their genotypes may be considered a "cause" for the difference in their fitness; one should therefore preserve this feature in the said as to said as to see the said as to said the inuence of bit regarding the tness- as this bit takes same value for all individuals Hence- mutation of X should rather affect bit 5 than bit 1.

 Δ and α , α and α and α are noted α . Δ for value loser, the probability of mutating bit i in individual X should reflect how much it discriminates X_i from VL , that is, it should increase with $1 - |V L_i - X_i|$.

Table 1: Comparing a winner and some losers

brt	ച	3		ð	Fitness
					σH
					low
					low
					low
	66	33	66		

In this framework-term of the virtual consists of the virtual construction of the virtual construction of the v loser $V L$ (in $[0,1]$). It is updated by relaxation from V_{k+1} , V_{k+1} a fraction of the worse individuals in the current popu lation. If α denotes some relaxation factor and dVL is the average of these worse individuals-

$$
VL^{t+1} = (1 - \alpha)VL^t + \alpha \cdot dVL
$$

3.3 Flee-mutation

Let X be the current individual and let p_i denote the quantity $1 - |V L_i - X_i|$. The flee-mutation operator can be viewed as a hill-climber where $(p_1,..p_N)$ corresponds to the climbing direction (discrete gradient): the climbing direction consists in getting away from VL .

Let M denote the number of bits to mutate (see bea devoted to previous workers are not to the nome measure, and the nome case is to mutate when the case of the bits the best of the bits the bits of the bits of the bits of the bits o roulette where when the pi Sebaga section is seen as a set of the pi However- this showed illsuited for - as all pi then get very close in the end of evolution A strong selection pressure is therefore needed-up there is the component of the state of the state of the state of the mutate a restricted set of bits- which in the set of bits- \mathbf{w} loss of genetic diversity and premature convergence In the present work-bit to mutate is selected by the present work-bit to mutate is selected by the present worktournament. This requires to know in advance the total number of bits to mutate in an individual

 which has been extended to boolean search spaces $\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{i$ ing directions are here correlated by force through the Flee-mutation thus differs from standard mutation in that the probability of mutating one bit depends on both the bit itself and the individual at hand The difference with self-adaptive mutation (mostly used in continuous search spaces Schweizer Schwefel - Schwefel - Schwefel - Schwefel - Schwefel - Schwefel - S ECmemory- whereas these are independent in self adaptive mutation

$3.4\,$ Flee-mutation step size

The tradeoff between exploitation and exploration in the flee-mutation scheme is governed by the number M of terms to mutate per individual terms to me step terms. like standard mutation- eemutation achieves ex ploitation for small values of ^M and does more and more exploration as M increases.

 to adaptively adjust the number of bits to mutate Adaptive adjustment. The ideal solution would be Several heuristics to this aim were tried: self adaptation of M- encoded as an integer in the individuals α is a large-dimension of M based on rewards-dominately in the M based on α , and the strategies rapidly these strategies rapidly and the strategies rapidly and the strategies of the strateg lead to ip only one bit- and eemutation thus gets trapped in local optima

and a crospect-complete control and that rewards the state of the state of the control of the control of the c ment tends to favor options that bring small frequent gains rather than large rare ones: the less a risky option is the less in the less it is rewarded the less it is rewarded to an and the less it is rewarded to an an will be chosen... The same goes for self-adaptation: both mechanisms are risk adverse and favor conser vative options And obviously- small values of ^M do result in more frequent- if smaller- performance gains

At the population level. It was therefore decided to use fixed schedules to set the flee step size M^t at the evolution step t . The simplest possibility consists of using a single M for all evolution steps. A more sophisticated possibility is taken from $(B \ddot{a}c k \& Schütz)$ 1990): $M⁺$ decreases with t according to an hyperbolic schedule (determined as optimal for the sphere problem). It reads

$$
M^t = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{M^0} + t \cdot \frac{1 - \frac{1}{M^0}}{T - 1}}
$$
(1)

where T is the allowed number of generations and M^0 the initial value

At the individual level In most approaches- muta tion employs a probability of mutation per bit Gold berg is the set of the sum of these probabilities equals M , the number of flipped bits is indeed M^t on the average. But in the eemutation scheme-the number of bits to mutate the number of bits to mutate the number of bits to mutate the n must be known in advance for these bits are selected α to an anomaly the simplest position β . The simplest position β is a simple s sibility consists of mutating exactly M^t bits for each

individual of the current population. But this makes impossible to explore the whole search space- in the case where M^\pm is constant and even. And in all cases, some "stripes" of the space will be more difficult to reach than others

Varying the number of bits to mutate at the individual level thus appears highly desirable. This is obtained by taking advantage of the following remark The limit of the sum of ^N independent boolean random variables of probabilities q_i , when N goes to infinity while $\sum_{i=1}^N q_i = 4$ goes to a nite value - is the Poisson distribution of the Poisson distribution of the Poisson distribution of parameter λ (Pitman 1993). The Poisson distribution of parameter λ is given by $P[X = k] = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!}$, and is fro easily realized by counting the number of \mathcal{L}_1 uniform random variables in papel needed a create the control of μ product becomes less than $\exp - \lambda$. The Poisson approximation for the sum of random boolean variables is considered accurate for high values of $N(N > 50)$, and hence holds for the problems considered in the following $(N = 900)$.

How does this apply to our problem? If flee-mutation were based on probabilities of mutation per bit-dimensional performance of mutation per bit-dimension per bitsum of these probabilities should be M ; and the num-the \sim ber of bits effectively mutated would follow a Poisson distribution of parameter M . One may thus select an integer according to the Poisson distribution of param eter M ; and use this integer as the number of bits to $\frac{3}{2}$ mutate for a given individual Thereby-individual Thereby-individual μ is a number of bits to mutate per individual is determined, approximating the $-$ real $- M^t$ on the average. Yet, this number may widely vary from one individual to another

Four kinds of flee-mutation are finally considered, by combining the following possibilities

- \bullet At the population level, the nee step size M $^{\circ}$ can E_{au} be set to a user supplied the set of the control of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}}$ crease from an initial value M^0 according to the hyperbolic schedule given by equation (1) ;
- \bullet At the individual level, the flee step size can be $\rm One$ set to M^t or it can be selected according to the Poisson distribution of parameter M^+ .

Overview of the algorithm

Fleemutation is embedded into - ! ES the popu lation includes - parents- ospring are derived from the parents by eemutation- and the selection is deter ministic and and p parent and a complete p oscillation in the \mathcal{L}_p - and - this algorithm involves parameters

- \bullet the scope and fading of inhibitions, meant as the $\qquad \bullet$ fraction of the worse individuals used to update VL and the relaxation factor α (section 3.2).
- \bullet the strength of inhibitions, meant as the tournament size used to select the bits to mutate accord ing to VL (section 3.3).
- \bullet the speed of evolution, parameterized by either the fixed fiee step size M , or its initial value M – within an hyperbolic schedule (section 3.4).
- the variability of inhibitions over individuals α controlling whether the number of bits α and α bits α and α to mutate per individual is fixed over the population or obtained by Poisson-based selection (section 3.4).

Experimental Validation

The experimentations consider some problems taken from $(Baluja 1995)$.

 $\{0,1\}^{900}$. These respectively correspond to the binary These problems aim at optimizing six functions on and α on α and α functions α α and α β of 100 numerical variables x_i coded on 9 bits each and varying in the contract of the

$$
y_1 = x_1
$$

\n
$$
y_i = x_i + y_{i-1}, i \ge 2
$$

\n
$$
F_1 = \frac{100}{10^{-5} + \Sigma_i|y_i|}
$$

\n
$$
y_1 = x_1
$$

\n
$$
y_i = x_i + \sin(y_{i-1}), i \ge 2
$$

\n
$$
F_2 = \frac{100}{10^{-5} + \Sigma_i|y_i|}
$$

\n
$$
F_3 = \frac{100}{10^{-5} + \Sigma_i|\cdot 024 * (i + 1) - x_i|}
$$

 \sim The functions admit a single optimum. The discretized F and F- have same optimum as the continuous F and r_2 , (10) is obtained for $(0, \ldots, 0)$). The discretized r_3 culminates at 410.049 (the optimum 10 $^{\circ}$ is optained for $(x_i = 0.024*(i+1))$, which does not belongs to the discretized space).

Note that F_3 with Gray coding is equivalent to the Onemax problem for any individual X- there exists a path $(X_0 = X, X_1, ... X_{max})$ linking X to the optimum, with X_i and X_{i+1} differing by one bit, and $\mathcal{F}_3(X_i)$ $\mathcal{F}_3(X_{i+1})$.

Reference algorithms

Multiple restart hill-climbers denoted $HC1$ and $HC2$. as well as two genetic algorithms denoted SGA and as a consequence of the balance \mathcal{L} as references as references of the second consequence of the second consequen algorithms

 $\bullet\,$ HCT randomly considers the neighbors of the seed $\,$ $(differing from the seed by one bit);$ the seed is replaced by the first neighbor which strictly improves on the seed If all neighbors have been considered- HC is restarted with another seed

 $\mathbf A$ using the algorithms described in the text other results are taken from Baluja On the average- PBIL s and s and s are algorithms-form outperformation of α and α and α and α and α gray α

	HC1	HC2	SGA	GA-scale	AES	TES	PBIL
F1 binary	1.04	1.01	1.96	1.72	2.37	1.87	2.12
F1 Gray	1.21	1.18	$1.92\,$	1.78	2.04	1.66	2.62
F2 binary	3.08	3.06	3.58	3.68	3.94	3.61	4.40
F2 Gray	4.34	4.38	3.64	4.63	5.18	4.66	5.61
F3 binary	8.07	8.10	9.17	12.30	9.06	10.46	16.43
F3 Gray	416.65	416.65	28.35	210.37	380.3	416.65	366.77

Table Average best tness  runs after - evaluations- obtained for eemutation and freemutation (no inhibitions), and corresponding to optimal values of M and M (between parentheses). The best strategy appears to decrease the mutation step size over generations and keep it constant over a population

- $\bullet\,$ HC2 differs from HC1 in that it allows to replace $\,$ the seed by a neighbor having similar fitness.
- \bullet SGA is a standard GA which uses two point are a with crossover and a set of \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C} are \mathcal{C} . The contract of \mathcal{C} rate 10 - , population size 100 and entist selection
- \bullet GA-scale differs from SGA in two respects: it uses \bullet uniform crossover with rate - and the tness of the worst individual is subtracted from the fitness of all individuals in the population before selection. A more detailed description of these al- α - as well as the constant on the constant of α \mathbb{R} can be found in Balustics and \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{R} are found in Balustics .

Two additional reference algorithms have been consid ered here

- TES (for *Iraditional evolution strategy*) is a binary in the single model of the single model and a single single single single single single single single single per bit σ ; σ is modified according to the Rechenberg's $1/5$ rule (Rechenberg 1973). The geometrical factor used to increase σ ranges from 1.1 to $2.$
- ALS (for *Adaptive LS*) is a binary $(\mu + \lambda)$ -LS that sp uses the adaptive mutation of (Obalek 1994) as described in (Bäck & Schütz 1995): each individual is attached one mutation rate per bit σ which itself undergoes mutation according to the follow ing $Obalek's rule$:

$$
\sigma := \left(1 + \frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma} . exp(\gamma \cdot N(0,1))\right); \ \ \gamma = \frac{0.5}{\sqrt{2\sqrt{N}}} \qquad \text{ing value of} \ \ .
$$

We further require $\sigma \geq 1/N$ to guarantee effective mutation In both cases- - is  and is 

The experimental settings of the flee-mutation scheme are the following the ES parameters are - #  and $\lambda = 50$; the inhibitions are constructed from the only worse ospring-the relaxation factor is set to relaxation factor in the relaxation factor is set to relaxation f $.01$; the strength of inhibitions is set to 3. These parameters were found rather robust for all problems on the opposite and value of M appears critical decomposites pending on the fitness landscape.

In order to check the importance of inhibitions- the flee-mutation scheme is compared to an "free" scheme (legend $Free-mutation$ in Table 3); free-mutation performs like flee-mutation based on void inhibitions $\mathcal{L} \mathcal{L}$. The number of \mathcal{L} is the number of \mathcal{L} $_{\text{Dits}}$ to mutate M^+ is either fixed or decreases after and dry processed at the model and it the population level-schedule at the population of the population of the is either constant or follows a Poisson distribution at the individual level. Note that the free scheme with Poisson-based selection of the bits to mutate corresponds to hipping all bits with probability M^2/N , as α is a set of α in Back α in α is a set of α in α

Several values of M (in the fixed schedule) and M^0 $(in the hyperbolic schedule) have been tried. Table 3$ reports the best results- together with the correspond ing value of ^M varying in and ^M varying in -

 \mathbf{A} per run. Results are averaged over 20 independent runs. The best results are indicated in bold (Table 2 and Table 3; several results are in bold for $F1b$, as their difference is not statistically significant from the standard deviations

4.4 Discussion

These results ask for several comments

First of all- the best results obtained with the ee mutation scheme improve on PBIL and signicantly outperform standard GAs et ESs. But the question of determining the optimal value for M or M remains \overline{a} . open

s expected and in a second-condition and in a second-conditional conditions of the second conditions of the second second conditions of the second secon Schutz - results are better when the flee step size decreases along evolution (hyperbolic schedule Yet- if we except the Onemaxlike F Grayevolution stops quite far from the global optimum; it therefore should not need fine tuning $(M = 1)$.

This was unexpected-to-this was unexpected-to-this was unexpected-to-this was unexpected-to-this was unexpectedflee step size over the individuals (Poisson distribution) degrades the results compared to keeping it con-
it. stant For both een and freemutation-the best choice the best choice is to decrease the flee step size M^t along evolution, and mutate exactly $(int)M^t$ bits for all individuals in the population

eemutation improves on freemutation in freemutation in the contract of the con not that much. This may imply that the real efficiency rather comes from setting the mutation step size- than the mutation direction; and from the third remark, it is better to use a xed mutation step size- rather than stattering the ospring still-distribution \mathcal{S} fact due to the artificial test functions considered; on the Long Path problem for instance (Horn $&$ Goldberg - no wonder tremendous results were obtained by setting the mutation step size M to 2 (Sebag & Schoenauer in de la provincia de la

Another possible explanation is that the contents and strength of inhibitions were too restricted to cause a great difference: inhibitions are constructed from the single worse individual and the inhibition strength was low (the bits to mutate were selected with a tournament size of \mathcal{S} size of \mathcal{S} size of \mathcal{S} significant isstead is the gain i cant

Further work will address both issues: consider less and F_3 by a rotation on \mathbb{R}^{100} ; and investigate what happens when the influence of inhibitions is increased.

Conclusion and Perspectives

In the framework of biologic evolutionof inhibitions based on the past of evolution might be irrelevant: such inhibitions may turn out to be de-

ceptive as the environment and the fitness landscape change

 $\overline{\mathbf{r}}$ scapes: refraining from repeating past errors thus con-But most EC applications deal with fixed fitness landstitutes a sensible inhibition. The proposed scheme therefore combines evolution and some ideas of Tabu search: the list of past trials is replaced by a distribution- the virtual lose that we considered the individual lose that $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{t}}$ viduals to get away from the virtual loser; it extends recombination (modifications are biased according to the past populations) and yet enjoys a desirable property of mutation: the distance between offspring and parents (flee step size) can be controlled.

 α , β once α results is set β , could support this setting. The potentialities of this scheme are demonstrated on several large sized problems The main weakness pre cisely concerns the setting of the flee step size. Further research will investigate how a priori estimates, inspired for instance by the Fitness Distance Correla

Another direction of research is to extend fleemutation to continuous search spaces. The memory itself can still be represented as a distribution over the search space-but the critical point will be how to use the critical po

two memories: that of errors and that of successes \mathbf{f} (acquiring motivations as well as inhibitions). Comparing both memories might also give hints regarding the setting of the flee step size.

References

extent en med tenning strategies strategies to the strategies for mixed-integer optimization of optical multilayer systems In Made and The Property In Fogel, D. D., eas., Proceedings of the 4^{**} Annual Conference on Evolutionary Programming. MIT Press.

and Schutz-Schutz-Schutz-Schutz-Schutz-Schutz-Schutz-Schutz-Schutz-Schutz-Schutz-Schutz-Schutz-Schutz-Schutz-S tion rate control in canonical gas In Rasmichalewicz-architektura die systematischen die sys tems the model of the International Symposium ISMIS ISMI springer verlager verlager var den staden verlager verlager var den staden verlager var den staden var den sta

 ICML - % Morgan Kaufmann and Caruana-Caruana-Caruana-Caruana-Caruana-Caruana-Caruana-Caruana-Caruana-Caruana-Caruana-Caruana-Caruana-Ca genetics from the standard genetic algorithms In Prieditis- A- and Russel- S- eds- Proceedings of

Baluja, S. 1995. An empirical comparizon of seven iterative and evolutionary function optimiza tion heuristics. Technical Report CMU-CS-95-193, Carnegie Mellon University

Davis- L Adapting operator probabilities in enetic algorithms In Schaer-Schae ings of the 3^{rd} International Conference on Genetic Algorithms- % Morgan Kaufmann

Dorigo- M- and Giambardella- L A study of some properties of Antonio Antonio In Voice and Antonio In Voice An which is a set of the chemical contract of the set of t ceedings of the \widetilde{A}^{th} Conference on Parallel Problems Solving from Nature-American control of LNCS- in the Nature-American control of LNCS- in the Nature-American c Springer Verlag

Eiben- A- and Ruttkay- Z Selfadaptivity for constraint satisfaction. Learning penalty functions. In Fukuda- T- ed- Proceedings of the Third IEEE International Conference on Evolutionary Computa tion-betished and the control of the center of the cent

Fogel- D B Evolutionary Computation To ward a New Philosophy of Machine Intelligence. Pis-
entific. <u>- 11 IEE Press - 12 IEEE Pres</u>

glover and the state for integer program and the state of α ming using surrogate constraints. Decision Sciences %

Goldberg- D E Genetic algorithms in search optimization and machine learning. Addison Wesley.

Hansen- N Ostermeier- A and Gawelczyk- A On the adaptation of arbitrary normal mutation dis tributions in evolution strategies: The generating set adaptation is the estimated of the state of t μ international Conference on Genetic Algorithms-contract the contract of the contract o

Horn- J- and Goldberg- D Genetic algorithms difficulty and the modality of fitness landscapes. In \mathcal{L} . The description of \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L} are descriptions of \mathcal{L} . The description of \mathcal{L} Genetic Algorithms - % Morgan Kaufmann

Jones- T- and Forrest- S Fitness distance cor relation as a measure of problem difficulty for genetic algorithms In Eshelman-In Eshelman-In Eshelman-In Eshelman-In Eshelman-In Eshelman-In Eshelman-In Eshelman-In σ International Conference on Genetic Algorithms, 184-192. Morgan Kaufmann.

Jones- T Crossover- macromutation and populationbased search In Eshelman- L J- ed-Proceedings of the th International Conference on \mathcal{A} Morgan Kaufmann Kaufman

 M theory and methodology of intervalski-domination M theory and methodology of intervalski-domination M a carbonell in Michael Andrews In an achine Learning and Mitchell intel ligence approach- volume Morgan Kaufmann $83 - 134$.

Mitchell- T Generalization as search Articial Intel ligence %

 \mathbf{H} is a How generation of \mathbf{H} is a How generation result of \mathbf{H} ally work: I. mutation and hill-climbing. In Manner, R_{\cdot} , and Manderick, B., eds., Proceedings of the 2^{n+1} Conference on Parallel Problems Solving from Nature-manner and the contract of the contract of

Obalek- J Rekombinationsoperatoren fur Evo lutionsstrategieren PhD Dissertation- Universitat Dortmund- Fachbereich Informatik

Pitman- J Probability Springer Verlag

e-c-and separate evolution and separate evolutions are separate to a separate the tion should not repeat its past errors In Saitta- L-

ed., Proceedings of the 15⁻⁻ International Conference on Machine Learning- %

er de evolutionstrategie optimierung von der eine Specificationstrategie Optimierung der eine Specificationstrategie Technisher Systeme nach Prinzipien des Biologischen Evolution. Stuttgart: Fromman-Holzboog Verlag.

reynological and Matelies of Version and Matelies of Version sion Space controlled Genetic Algorithms to Solve the Boole Problem. In Int. J. on Artificial Intelligence Tools- Vol - N- %

Reynolds- R An introduction to cultural algo rithms. In Proceedings of the $3rd$ Annual Conference on Evolutionary Programming-processing-programming-

Schweizer in the schweizer of the schweize Computer Models. New-York: John Wiley & Sons. $1995 - 2^{nd}$ edition.

sebaga-sebag-sebag-sebag-sebag-sebag-sebag-sebag-sebagcrossover through inductive learning inductive learning In David In David In David In David In David In David Schwefel- HP and Manner- R- eds- Proceedings of the 3^{rd} Conference on Parallel Problems Solving from nature-service in the service of th

sebaga-bera mengebutkan berata dan sebagai berata di sebagai dan sebagai dan sebagai dan sebagai dan sebagai d imitation in boolean evolution strategies. In Voigt, he ebeling- in the chenberg- in the chenge of the chenge of the schwefel-P., eas., Proceearngs of the 4th Conference on Parattet red and the springer of the sp Verlag- LNCS