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#### Abstract

We recover the wreath product $X:=\operatorname{Sym}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2} / \pm 1\right)$ as a transversal slice to a nilpotent orbit in $\mathfrak{s p}_{6}$. By using deformations of Springer resolutions, we construct a symplectic deformation of symplectic resolutions of $X$. AMS Classification: 14E15, 14M17


## 0. Introduction

Let $H \subset \operatorname{Sp}(2 n)$ be a finite sub-group and $X:=\mathbb{C}^{2 n} / H$ the quotient symplectic variety. Given a projective symplectic resolution

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z \rightarrow X \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

it was shown in GK that there exists a symplectic deformation of (11) over $B:=H^{2}(Z, \mathbb{C})$, i.e. a morphism $\Pi: \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ over $B$ such that over the origin $0 \in B, \Pi_{0}: \mathcal{Z}_{0} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{0}$ is the resolution (11), and over a generic point $b \in B$, $\mathcal{Z}_{b}, \mathcal{X}_{b}$ are symplectic smooth varieties isomorphic under $\Pi_{b}$, where $\Pi_{b}$ is the restriction of $\Pi$ to the fibers over $b$. The proof of this theorem is based on the infinitesimal and formal deformations of $\pi$ developed in [KV] and the globalization is obtained by using the expanding $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action on $X$. As noted already in [GK], this deformation is very similar to the deformation of the Springer resolution of nilpotent cones given by Grothendieck's simultaneous resolution ([ST0]). However, the construction of symplectic deformations in general is rather implicit. The purpose of this note is to provide some explicit examples of such deformations.

A class of important examples of symplectic resolutions is given by HilbertChow morphisms $(W a n): \operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2} / / \Gamma\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}^{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2} / \Gamma\right)$, where $\Gamma \subset S L(2)$
is a finite sub-group and $\mathbb{C}^{2} / / \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2} / \Gamma$ is the minimal resolution. The simplest case is $n=1$. It can be shown (SID]) that a transverse slice of the sub-regular nilpotent orbit in the nilpotent cone has $A D E$ singularities, then Grothendieck's simultaneous resolution provides symplectic deformations of the minimal resolution (see also GK section 3).

The next simple case is $n=2$ and $\Gamma= \pm 1$, i.e. the resolution $\pi$ : $\operatorname{Hilb}^{2}\left(T^{*} \mathbb{P}^{1}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2} / \pm 1\right)$. Our aim of this note is to construct a symplectic deformation of the resolution $\pi$. The key idea is to recover $\pi$ as a slice of some Springer resolution. More precisely, let us consider the following two nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{s p}_{6}$ :

$$
\mathcal{O}_{[2,2,2]}:=\mathrm{Sp}_{6} \cdot\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \mathcal{O}_{[4,2]}:=\mathrm{Sp}_{6} \cdot\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right),
$$

then their closures in $\mathfrak{s p}_{6}$ are given by:

$$
\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[2,2,2]}:=\left\{A \in \mathfrak{s p}_{6} \mid A^{2}=0\right\}, \quad \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[4,2]}:=\left\{A \in \mathfrak{s p}_{6} \mid A^{4}=0\right\} .
$$

We will prove that the wreath product $\operatorname{Sym}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2} / \pm 1\right)$ is in fact isomorphic to the intersection of a transverse slice of the nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{O}_{[2,2,2]}$ with the nilpotent orbit closure $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[4,2]}$ in $\mathfrak{s p}_{6}$. The singular variety $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[4,2]}$ admits exactly two symplectic resolutions. By restricting them to the transverse slice, we recover exactly the two symplectic resolutions of $\operatorname{Sym}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2} / \pm 1\right)$. Using deformations of Springer resolutions (e.g. Ful), we construct a symplectic deformation of $\pi$.

It is somewhat surprising that we can recover the wreath product $\operatorname{Sym}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2} / \pm 1\right)$ from nilpotent orbits, although the interplay between nilpotent orbits and Hilbert schemes has been noticed in Man, where a transverse slice to the nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{O}_{[2 m-n, n]}(n \leq m)$ in the nilpotent cone of $\mathfrak{s l}_{2 m}$ is recovered as an open subset (whose complement is of codimension 1 when $n \geq 2$ ) of the Hilbert scheme $\operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(A_{2 m}\right)$, for some singular surface $A_{2 m}$. Here $\mathcal{O}_{[2 m-n, n]}$ consists of nilpotent matrices $A \in \mathfrak{s l}_{2 m}$ whose Jordan form has only two blocks, with sizes $2 m-n$ and $n$ respectively. It would be very interesting to recover other wreath products as a transverse slice to nilpotent orbits, which would in turn reveal more the mysterious relationships between Hilbert-Chow
resolutions and Springer resolutions, although the two objects are studied in usual separately.

## 1. The transverse slice

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple Lie algebra and $G$ its adjoint group. For any nilpotent element $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, by the theorem of Jacobson-Morozov, there exists an $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}{ }^{-}$ triplet $(x, y, h)$. Then $S=x+\mathfrak{g}^{y}$ is a transverse slice to the nilpotent orbit $G \cdot x$ in $\mathfrak{g}$, and the morphism $G \times S \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is smooth ([Sl0], Section 7.4). Here $\mathfrak{g}^{y}:=\{z \in \mathfrak{g} \mid[z, y]=0\}$.

From now on, let $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s p}_{6}$, and consider the following $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-triplet associated to the nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{O}_{[2,2,2]}$ :

$$
x_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & I  \tag{2}\\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad y_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
I & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad h_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I & 0 \\
0 & -I
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $I$ is the $3 \times 3$ identity matrix. Note that $\mathcal{O}_{[2,2,2]}=\mathrm{Sp}_{6} \cdot x_{0}$.
The transverse slice to the orbit $\mathcal{O}_{[2,2,2]}$ is given by

$$
S=x_{0}+\mathfrak{g}^{y_{0}}=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{cc}
Z_{1} & I \\
Z_{2} & Z_{1}
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, Z_{1}+Z_{1}^{T}=0, Z_{2}=Z_{2}^{T}\right\} \subset \mathfrak{s p}_{6} .
$$

We choose the following parameters for $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2}$ :

$$
Z_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & a_{3} / 2 & -a_{2} / 2 \\
-a_{3} / 2 & 0 & a_{1} / 2 \\
a_{2} / 2 & -a_{1} / 2 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad Z_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
x_{1} & y_{1} & y_{2} \\
y_{1} & x_{2} & y_{3} \\
y_{2} & y_{3} & x_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Note that $\mathcal{O}_{[2,2,2]} \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[4,2]}$, and the codimension is is 4 . Let $T$ be the scheme intersection $S \cap \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[4,2]}$. The variety $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[4,2]}$ is normal and the morphism $G \times T \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[4,2]}$ is smooth. It follows that $T$ is normal. As easily seen, a matrix $A \in S$ is in $T$ if and only if $\operatorname{rk}(A) \leq 4$ and $\operatorname{tr}(A)=\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{2}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{3}\right)=$ $\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{4}\right)=0$.

Notice that $\operatorname{rk}(A) \leq 4$ is equivalent to $\operatorname{rk}\left(Z_{2}-Z_{1}^{2}\right) \leq 1$. The matrix $Z_{2}-Z_{1}^{2}$ is symmetric, so this is equivalent to the existence of $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{3}$ such that $Z_{2}-Z_{1}^{2}=u^{T} u$, from which we can substitute the variables $x_{i}, y_{j}$ by $u_{k}$. Remark that $u$ and $-u$ give the same $Z_{2}$, so we should quotient by the following action of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}: u \mapsto-u$.

Now a direct calculus shows that $\operatorname{tr}(A)=\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{3}\right)=0$. That $\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{2}\right)=$ 0 is equivalent to $\sum_{i=1}^{3} u_{i}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_{i}^{2}$, and $\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{4}\right)=2 \operatorname{tr}\left(Z_{1}^{4}\right)+2 \operatorname{tr}\left(Z_{2}^{2}\right)+$
$12 \operatorname{tr}\left(Z_{1}^{2} Z_{2}\right)=0$ is equivalent to $\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_{i} u_{i}=0$, which gives:

$$
T=\left\{\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right) \mid \sum_{i} u_{i}^{2}=\sum_{i} a_{i}^{2}, \sum_{i} a_{i} u_{i}=0\right\} / \mathbb{Z}_{2},
$$

where the action of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ is given by

$$
\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right) \mapsto\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3},-u_{1},-u_{2},-u_{3}\right) .
$$

Consider the following two nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{s p}_{6}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{O}_{[3,3]}=\left\{A \in \mathfrak{s p}_{6} \mid A^{3}=0, \operatorname{rk}(A)=4\right\}, \\
\mathcal{O}_{[4,1,1]}=\left\{A \in \mathfrak{s p}_{6} \mid A^{4}=0, \operatorname{rk}(A)=3, A^{2} \neq 0\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then $\mathcal{O}_{[2,2,2]}=\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[3,3]} \cap \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[4,1,1]}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[3,3]} \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[4,2]} \supset \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[4,1,1]}$. The relationship of inclusions can be resumed in the following diagram:


The intersection of $T$ with the two orbit closures $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[3,3]}, \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[4,1,1]}$ is exactly the singular locus of $T$, which is defined by the following

$$
\begin{gathered}
T \cap \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[3,3]}=\left\{\sum_{i} a_{i}^{2}=0, u^{T} u=-4 Z_{1}^{2}\right\}, \\
T \cap \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[4,1,1]}=\left\{\sum_{i} a_{i}^{2}=0, u_{1}=u_{2}=u_{3}=0\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Both are isomorphic to the surface $\mathbb{C}^{2} / \pm 1$ with an isolated $A_{1}$-singularity. The intersection $T \cap \mathcal{O}_{[2,2,2]}=x_{0}$ is just a point.

## 2. The wreath product

Now we consider the simplest wreath product $\operatorname{Sym}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2} / \pm 1\right)=\mathbb{C}^{4} / H$, where $H$ is the subgroup of $\operatorname{Sp}(4)$ generated by the following elements:

$$
\sigma\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right), \tau\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\left(-x_{1},-x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right) .
$$

To write down equations for this affine normal variety, we put

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{1}=-i\left(x_{1}^{2}+y_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}\right) / 2, a_{2}=\left(x_{1}^{2}+y_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}-y_{2}^{2}\right) / 2, a_{3}=x_{1} x_{2}+y_{1} y_{2} \\
u_{1}=x_{1} y_{1}+x_{2} y_{2}, u_{2}=i\left(x_{1} y_{1}-x_{2} y_{2}\right), u_{3}=i\left(x_{1} y_{2}+x_{2} y_{1}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

The functions $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ are $H$-invariant, and the action of $H$ restricts to a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-action on $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)$ given by $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right) \mapsto\left(-u_{1},-u_{2},-u_{3}\right)$. Now it is straight-ward to check that

$$
\mathbb{C}^{4} / H \simeq\left\{\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right) \mid \sum a_{i}^{2}=\sum u_{i}^{2}, \sum_{i} a_{i} u_{i}=0\right\} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}
$$

where the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-action is given by $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right) \mapsto\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3},-u_{1},-u_{2},-u_{3}\right)$. This gives the following proposition.

Proposition 1. The transverse slice $T$ is isomorphic to the wreath product $X:=\operatorname{Sym}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2} / \pm 1\right)$.

The singular locus of the wreath product $X$ has two components: one is the diagonal $\Delta$ and the other will be denoted by $\Xi$. One sees that the isomorphism between $T$ and $X$ sends $T \cap \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[3,3]}$ to $\Delta$ and $T \cap \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[4,1,1]}$ to $\Xi$.

A symplectic resolution of $X$ is given by the composition:

$$
\pi: \operatorname{Hilb}^{2}\left(T^{*} \mathbb{P}^{1}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}^{2}\left(T^{*} \mathbb{P}^{1}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2} / \pm 1\right)=X
$$

The central fiber of $\pi$ contains a $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, so we can blow up this $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ and then blow down along the other direction, i.e. we can perform a Mukai flop, which gives another symplectic resolution $\pi^{+}: \operatorname{Hilb}^{2}\left(T^{*} \mathbb{P}^{1}\right) \rightarrow X$. One sees that $\pi^{-1}(0) \subset \overline{\pi^{-1}(\Delta-\{0\})}$, but $\pi^{-1}(0)$ is not contained in $\overline{\pi^{-1}(\Xi-\{0\})}$, so $\Delta$ and $\Xi$ are not symmetric with respect to $\pi$. For $\pi^{+}$, it changes the role of $\Delta$ and $\Xi$. It is known that any projective symplectic resolutions of $X$ is isomorphic to $\pi$ or $\pi^{+}($for details, see $([\mathrm{FN}],[$ Fuj] $)$ ).

## 3. Springer resolutions

The nilpotent orbit closure $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[4,2]}$ admits exactly two symplectic resolutions, given by Springer maps:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*}\left(G / P_{1}\right) \xrightarrow{\phi_{1}} \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[4,2]} \stackrel{\phi_{2}}{\rightleftarrows} T^{*}\left(G / P_{2}\right), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{1}$ (resp. $P_{2}$ ) is the standard parabolic sub-group of $G$ with flag type [1,2,2,1] (resp. [2,1,1,2]). The matrix forms of $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ are as follows:

$$
P_{1}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
* & * & * & * & * & * \\
0 & * & * & * & * & * \\
0 & * & * & * & * & * \\
0 & 0 & 0 & * & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & * & * & * \\
0 & 0 & 0 & * & * & *
\end{array}\right)\right\}, \quad P_{2}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
* & * & * & * & * & * \\
* & * & * & * & * & * \\
0 & 0 & * & * & * & * \\
0 & 0 & 0 & * & * & * \\
0 & 0 & 0 & * & * & * \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & *
\end{array}\right)\right\} .
$$

The restrictions of $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ to the pre-image of the transverse slice $T$ give two projective symplectic resolutions of $T$.

$$
\operatorname{Hilb}^{2}\left(T^{*} \mathbb{P}^{1}\right) \simeq Z_{1} \xrightarrow{\pi_{1}} T \stackrel{\pi_{2}}{\rightleftarrows} Z_{2} \simeq \operatorname{Hilb}^{2}\left(T^{*} \mathbb{P}^{1}\right) .
$$

Proposition 2. The two symplectic resolutions $\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}$ are related by a Mukai flop, in particular, they are not isomorphic. Furthermore $\pi_{1}=\pi$ and $\pi_{2}=$ $\pi^{+}$.

Proof. We will calculate the central fiber over the point $x_{0}=T \cap \mathcal{O}_{[2,2,2]}$ (c.f. (22)) under the maps $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$. Let $\left\{e_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq 6\right\}$ be the natural basis of $\mathbb{C}^{6}$ and the symplectic form is $\omega=\sum_{i=1}^{3} e_{i}^{*} \wedge e_{i+3}^{*}$. Notice that $\operatorname{Im}\left(x_{0}\right)=\operatorname{Ker}\left(x_{0}\right)=\mathbb{C}\left\langle e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\rangle=: K$ is Lagrangian.

It is easy to see that

$$
\pi_{1}^{-1}\left(x_{0}\right)=\left\{\text { flags }\left(F_{1} \subset F_{2}\right) \mid x_{0} F_{2} \subset F_{1} \subset K, F_{2}=F_{2}^{\perp}, \operatorname{dim} F_{1}=1\right\} .
$$

Since $x_{0} F_{2} \subset F_{1}$ is of dimension 1 , one has two possibilities:
(i). $\operatorname{dim}\left(K \cap F_{2}\right)=2$, then $F_{1}=x_{0} F_{2} \subset x_{0} F_{1}^{\perp}$. Suppose that $F_{1}$ is generated by $\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_{i} e_{i}$, then $x_{0} F_{1}^{\perp}=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{3} b_{i} e_{i} \mid \sum_{i} a_{i} b_{i}=0\right\}$. The condition $F_{1} \subset x_{0} F_{1}^{\perp}$ is equivalent to $\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_{i}^{2}=0$, which is a $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ inside $\mathbb{P}(K)$. The condition for $F_{2}$ is just $x_{0} F_{1}^{\perp} \subset F_{2} \subset x_{0}^{-1} F_{1}$ which is a $\mathbb{P}^{1}$. So finally this component is a $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-bundle over $\mathbb{P}^{1}$.
(ii). $F_{2}=K$, then this is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}(K)$. The two components intersect at a curve $C_{1} \simeq \mathbb{P}^{1}$ inside $\mathbb{P}(K)$.

The fiber of $x_{0}$ under $\pi_{2}$ consists of flags $\left(F_{1} \subset F_{2}\right)$ such that $x_{0} F_{2} \subset$ $F_{1} \subset K, F_{2}=F_{2}^{\perp}$ and $\operatorname{dim} F_{1}=2$. Since $F_{1} \subset K \cap F_{2}$, so $\operatorname{dim}\left(K \cap F_{2}\right) \geq 2$. There are two cases:
(i). $\operatorname{dim}\left(K \cap F_{2}\right)=2$, then $F_{1}=K \cap F_{2}$ and $x_{0} F_{2} \subset F_{1}$. This gives that $x_{0} F_{2}=x_{0} F_{1}^{\perp} \subset F_{1}$. Suppose $F_{1}$ is generated by $\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_{i} e_{i}, \sum_{i=1}^{3} b_{i} e_{i}$. Then
we have $x_{0} F_{1}^{\perp}=\left\{\sum_{i} c_{i} e_{i} \mid \sum_{i} a_{i} c_{i}=\sum_{i} b_{i} c_{i}=0\right\}$. The condition $x_{0} F_{1}^{\perp} \subset$ $F_{1}$ is equivalent to the existence of $\left(y, y^{\prime}\right) \neq(0,0)$ such that $y\left(\sum_{i} a_{i}^{2}\right)+$ $y^{\prime}\left(\sum_{i} a_{i} b_{i}\right)=0$ and $y\left(\sum_{i} a_{i} b_{i}\right)+y^{\prime}\left(\sum_{i} b_{i}^{2}\right)=0$. So the condition for $F_{1}$ is $\left(\sum_{i} a_{i}^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{i} b_{i}^{2}\right)=\left(\sum_{i} a_{i} b_{i}\right)^{2}$. Under the Plücker embedding $\mathbb{P}\left(\wedge^{2} F_{1}\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{P}\left(\wedge^{2} K\right) \simeq \mathbb{P}\left(K^{*}\right)$, one sees that this is a conic in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. The condition for $F_{2}$ turns to be $F_{1} \subset F_{2} \subset F_{1}^{\perp}$. So this component is a $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-bundle over $\mathbb{P}^{1}$.
(ii). $K=F_{2}$, then $F_{1} \subset K$, this component is just $\mathbb{P}\left(K^{*}\right)$. The two components intersects at a $C_{2} \simeq \mathbb{P}^{1}$ inside $\mathbb{P}\left(K^{*}\right)$.

Now it is clear that the two resolutions are different and are related by the Mukai flop along the component $\mathbb{P}\left(K^{*}\right)$, and $C_{1}, C_{2}$ are dual conics.

Now we will identify $\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}$ with $\pi, \pi^{+}$. By definition, we have
$\pi_{1}^{-1}\left(T \cap \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[3,3]}\right)=\left\{\left(F_{1} \subset F_{2}, z\right) \mid z F_{2} \subset F_{1} \subset \operatorname{Ker}(z), F_{2}=F_{2}^{\perp}, \operatorname{dim} F_{1}=1\right\}$,
where $z$ is in $T \cap \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[3,3]}$. Consider the elements $z_{t} \in T \cap \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[3,3]}(t \in \mathbb{C})$ given by

$$
z_{t}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t B & I \\
-3 t^{2} B^{2} & t B
\end{array}\right), \text { with } \quad B=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \sqrt{-1} & 1 \\
-\sqrt{-1} & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

One has $\operatorname{Ker}\left(z_{t}\right)=\mathbb{C}\left\langle e_{1}+\sqrt{-1} e_{5}+t e_{6}, e_{2}-\sqrt{-1} e_{3}\right\rangle$. When $t$ goes to $0, \operatorname{Ker}\left(z_{t}\right)$ goes to $\mathbb{C}\left\langle e_{1}, e_{2}-\sqrt{-1} e_{3}\right\rangle$, thus the limit of $\pi_{1}^{-1}\left(z_{t}\right)$ will be $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathbb{C}\left\langle e_{1}, e_{2}-\sqrt{-1} e_{3}\right\rangle\right) \subset \mathbb{P}(K)$, which is not a point. This shows that $\pi_{1}=\pi$ by the description of $\pi$ and $\pi^{+}$in section 2 .

## 4. Symplectic deformations

A deformation of the symplectic resolutions $\phi_{i}, i=1,2$ (cf. (3)) can be constructed as follows ( $\mathbb{F u \|})$. Let $\mathfrak{c}_{i}$ be the center of the Levi sub-algebra of $\mathfrak{p}_{i}:=\operatorname{Lie}\left(P_{i}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{u}_{i}$ the nil-radical of $\mathfrak{p}_{i}$. The vector space $V_{i}:=\mathfrak{c}_{i}+\mathfrak{u}_{i}$ is a flat family over $\mathfrak{c}_{i}$. Let $\mathcal{Y}_{i}$ be the closed sub-variety

$$
\mathcal{Y}_{i}:=\left\{(z, v) \in \mathfrak{c}_{i} \times G \cdot V_{i} \mid v \in G \cdot\left(z+\mathfrak{u}_{i}\right)\right\} .
$$

Now consider the morphism $\Phi_{i}: G \times{ }^{P_{i}} V_{i} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{i}$ given by

$$
g *(z+u) \mapsto(z, g \cdot(z+u)),
$$

where $g \in G, z \in \mathfrak{c}_{i}$ and $u \in \mathfrak{u}_{i}$. Notice that if $z \neq 0$, then $z+\mathfrak{u}_{i}=P_{i} \cdot z$, so this morphism is well-defined. One can show that $\Phi_{i}$ is birational and it
gives a family of morphisms over $\mathfrak{c}_{i}$. When $z \in \mathfrak{c}_{i}$ is generic, in the sense that the stabilizer $G^{z}$ of $z$ is exactly the Levi sub-group $L_{i}$ of $P_{i}$, then

$$
\Phi_{i}^{z}: G \times^{P_{i}}\left(z+\mathfrak{u}_{i}\right) \simeq G \times^{P_{i}}\left(P_{i} \cdot z\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{i}^{z}=G \cdot z \simeq G / L_{i}
$$

is an isomorphism. Notice that $\mathcal{Y}_{i}^{z}$ is a semi-simple orbit, thus it is symplectic. When $z=0$, the map $\Phi_{i}^{0}$ is just the Springer resolution $\phi_{i}$. In other words, $\Phi_{i}$ is a symplectic deformation of $\phi_{i}$ with base $\mathfrak{c}_{i}$.

Let $\mathcal{T}_{i}$ be the intersection $\left(\mathfrak{c}_{i} \times S\right) \cap \mathcal{Y}_{i}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{i}$ its pre-image under the morphism $\Phi_{i}$, which gives a map $\mathcal{Z}_{i} \xrightarrow{\Pi_{i}} \mathcal{T}_{i}$ over $\mathfrak{c}_{i}$. Now we will show that the family $\psi_{i}: \mathcal{Z}_{i} \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}_{i}$ is smooth. Recall that the map $G \times S \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is smooth, so is $G \times\left(S \cap\left(G \cdot V_{i}\right)\right) \rightarrow G \cdot V_{i}$ (SID Section 5.1). The morphism $\Phi_{i}$ is $G$-equivariant, so $G \times \mathcal{Z}_{i} \rightarrow G \times{ }^{P_{i}} V_{i}$ is smooth. Notice that the map $G \times{ }^{P_{i}} V_{i} \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}_{i}$ is smooth, so is the composition $G \times \mathcal{Z}_{i} \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}_{i}$. The projection $G \times \mathcal{Z}_{i} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{i}$ is smooth, which implies the smoothness of $\mathcal{Z}_{i} \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}_{i}$.

An immediately corollary is that $\mathcal{Z}_{i}$ is smooth and for any $0 \neq z \in \mathfrak{c}_{i}$ generic, the intersection $S \cap G \cdot z$ is smooth and symplectic, which deforms $\operatorname{Sym}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2} / \pm 1\right)$. So $\mathcal{Z}_{i} \xrightarrow{\Pi_{i}} \mathcal{T}_{i}$ gives a symplectic deformation of the symplectic resolution $\pi_{i}: \operatorname{Hilb}^{2}\left(T^{*} \mathbb{P}^{1}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2} / \pm 1\right)$.

## 5. Universal Poisson deformations

Now we will show that our picture is similar to that of Brieskorn (see section 3 (GK]). We will only consider $\phi_{1}$. To simplify the notations, we will write $P$ (resp. $\phi, L$ etc.) instead of $P_{1}$ (resp. $\phi_{1}, L_{1}$ etc.).

Fix a maximal torus $U$ in $G$ and a Cartan sub-algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ in $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s p}_{6}$. Coordinates in $\mathfrak{h}$ are denoted by $\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}\right)$. We define the Weyl group of $L$ to be $W(L):=N_{L}(U) / U$, where $N_{L}(U)$ is the normalizer of $U$ in $L$. The partial Weyl group of $P$ is $W^{P}:=N_{G}(L) / L$. Then $W^{P}$ is naturally isomorphic to the quotient $N_{W(G)}(W(L)) / W(L)$, where $W(G)$ is the Weyl group of $G$.

It is easy to see that $W(L)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$, acting on $\mathfrak{h}$ by $\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}\right) \mapsto$ $\left(h_{1}, h_{3}, h_{2}\right)$. The center $\mathfrak{c}$ of $\operatorname{Lie}(L)$ is naturally identified with the fixed point set $\mathfrak{h}^{W(L)}$. The group $W^{P}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, which acts on $\mathfrak{h}^{W(L)}$ by $\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{2}\right) \mapsto\left(-h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{2}\right)$ and $\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{2}\right) \mapsto\left(h_{1},-h_{2},-h_{2}\right)$, i.e. it is the sum of two copies of the sign representation of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$.

Let $\mathcal{T}^{\prime}$ be the intersection $S \cap G \cdot(\mathfrak{c}+\mathfrak{u})$, then we have a natural projection
$p: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^{\prime}$ and the following diagram is commutative:

where $\eta$ is the natural quotient map and $\beta$ is the restriction to $\mathcal{T}^{\prime}$ of the adjoint quotient map $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h} / W(G)$.

Claim: The second Poisson cohomoloy $H P^{2}(T)$ can be naturally identified with $\mathfrak{h}^{W(L)} / W^{P}$.

Let $H^{\prime}$ be the semi-direct product of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ with $W^{P}$. Let $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ acts on $\mathfrak{h}^{W(L)}$ by $\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{2}\right) \mapsto\left(h_{2}, h_{1}, h_{1}\right)$, then it is easy to see that $\left(\mathfrak{h}^{W(L)} \oplus\left(\mathfrak{h}^{W(L)}\right)^{*}\right) / H^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to $T \simeq \operatorname{Sym}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2} / \pm 1\right)$. By GK (section 4), we have $H P^{2}(T)$ is naturally isomorphic to $H P^{2}\left(T \cap \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[3,3]}\right) \oplus H P^{2}\left(T \cap \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[4,1,1]}\right)$. By Lemma 3.1 [GK], $H P^{2}\left(T \cap \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[3,3]}\right)$ is naturally identified with $\mathbb{C} v_{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and $H P^{2}\left(T \cap \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[4,1,1]}\right)$ is identified with $\mathbb{C} v_{2} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, where $v_{1}=(0,1,1), v_{2}=(1,0,0)$ are two points in $\mathfrak{h}^{W(L)}$, and the group $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ acts by sign representation.

Note that under this identification, $\mathfrak{h}^{W(L)}$ is identified with $H^{2}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}^{2}\left(T^{*} \mathbb{P}^{1}\right)\right)$. The first square in (4) is the symplectic deformation of $\pi$, the second square is Cartesian. For the vertical morphisms, $\psi$ is a universal Poisson deformation of $\operatorname{Hilb}^{2}\left(T^{*} \mathbb{P}^{1}\right), \psi^{\prime}$ is similar to the Calogero-Moser deformation of $T \simeq\left(\mathfrak{h}^{W(L)} \oplus\left(\mathfrak{h}^{W(L)}\right)^{*}\right) / H^{\prime}$, and $\beta$ is the universal Poisson deformation of $T$.

Remark 1. A diagram analogous to (4) can be constructed using the same method for any Springer resolution.
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