

# Planar crack identification for the transient heat equation

A. Ben Abda, Huy Duong Bui

#### ▶ To cite this version:

A. Ben Abda, Huy Duong Bui. Planar crack identification for the transient heat equation. Journal of Inverse and Ill-posed Problems, 2003, 11, pp.27-31. 10.1515/156939403322004919. hal-00111397

## HAL Id: hal-00111397 https://hal.science/hal-00111397v1

Submitted on 25 Apr 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

### Planar crack identification for the transient heat equation

A. BEN ABDA\* and H.D. BUI<sup>†</sup>

**Abstract** — We consider the inverse problem of crack determination related to the non-destructive thermal testing of materials process. Using arbitrary transient heat flux applied to the external boundary and measuring the induced planar cracks.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The problem under consideration arises in non-destructive testing of materials. Up to our knowledge, theoretical results on identifiability of cracks are seldom and focus on the Laplace equation (i. e. the steady state thermal equation or electrical equation). The uniqueness (identifiability) result for buried crack has been first given by Friedman and Vogelius [11]. They proved that two appropriate current fluxes, together with the corresponding voltages, are necessary and suffice to uniquely determine a single crack. Bryan and Volgelius [10], followed by Alessabdrini and Diaz Valenzuela [1] examined the multiple crack problem always in 2D situation. In 3D situation, a complete result has been given by Alessandrini and DiBeneditto [2]. When complete data is available on the boundary Andrieux and Ben Abda introduced in [4,5] the reciprocity gap concept which turned out to be a relevant tool for recovering 3D planar cracks in the case of Laplace equation. An analogous result has been proven by Andrieux, Ben Abda and Bui [6] for the electrostatic system. Recently Bui, Constantinescu and Maigre [9] proved an identifiability result for the problem of planar crack recovery in 3D transient acoustic problem. For given Neumann boundary condition we hall suppose that Dirichlet boundary condition is measured for finite time (or all times). The crack will be considered fixed over the time and it will be simultaneously characterized by a free boundary condition and a jump of the thermal at its passage. The normal to the host plane

<sup>\*</sup>ENIT-LAMSIN BP 37, 1002 Tunis, Tunisie. E-mail: amel.benabda@enit.rnu.tn

<sup>†</sup>EDF-Direction des Études et Recherches, et Laboratorie de Mécanique des solides, École Polytechnique, 91128 Palaiseau CEDEX, France. E-mail: bui@lms.polytechique.fr

inversion process has been given by Andrieux in [3], and is recalled here, the plane identification is then achieved. The last section is devoted to the complete identification process.

# 2. THE RECIPROCITY GAP FUNCTIONAL IN THE TRANSIENT CASE

Let us consider the direct modelling problem:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad (\Omega \setminus \sigma) \times [0, T]$$

$$u(x, 0) = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad (\Omega \setminus \sigma)$$

$$u = u_n \quad \text{on} \quad \partial \Omega$$

$$\partial_n u = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \sigma.$$

The overdetermined condition is given by:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}(x,0) = \Phi_n$$
 on  $\sigma$ .

Let w be a scalar field satisfying the following backward heat conduction problem

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial w} + \Delta w = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \times [0, T]$$
$$w(x, T) = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega.$$

The reciprocity gap function is therefore defined by:

$$RG(w) := \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \left( \Phi_m w - u_m \frac{\partial w}{\partial n} \right). \tag{1}$$

The following lemma express RG(w) in terms of an integral involving the unknown geometry  $\sigma$ .

#### Lemma 2.1.

$$RG(w) := \int_0^T \int_{\sigma} [u] \frac{\partial w}{\partial n}.$$
 (2)

The proof is achieved by Green's formula.

#### 3. THE HOST PLANE DETERMINATION

As for the steady state heat conduction control, the host plane determination is explicit, nevertheless there is no inversion formulae in that case.

Let us recall that in the laplacian situation the inversion formulae rely on the assumption that the main value of the solution's jump on the crack does not vanish. In the transient case the assumption on the jump is more sever. In all this section, we suppose, that the heat flux  $\Phi$  induces a solution u such that  $(A_1)$  [u] > 0 on the crack. For the determination of the normal of the plane we refer to [3]. This result is recalled in the following proposition:

**Proposition 3.1.** Under the assumption  $(A_1)$ , the normal  $\vec{N}$  is given by:

$$\vec{N} = \mathrm{Arg} \min_{|\vec{n}| = 1} \left[ \max_{|\vec{m}| = 1, \, \vec{n} \cdot \vec{m} = 0} F(\vec{n} \wedge \vec{m}) \right]$$

where  $F(n) = RG(v_{\vec{n}})$  and  $v_{\vec{n}}(x,t) = 1 - \text{erf}(\vec{x} \cdot \vec{n}/(2\sqrt{T-t}))$  where erf is the error function.

Once the normal to the host plane is determined, let us operate a frame change such that the  $Ox_3$  axes is directed by  $\vec{n}$ . In the new framework one has  $\pi: x_3 - C = 0$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** Consider the auxiliary scalar field

$$w_{\beta}(x,t;T) = (1/\sqrt{4\pi(T-t)}) \exp(-(x_3-\beta)^2/(4(T-t))).$$

Denote by  $f(\beta) := RG(w_{\beta})$ . Assuming (A), C is a simple zero of f.

#### 4. COMPLETE IDENTIFICATION

As in the steady state heat conduction problem, we establish the next lemma relating the crack to the support of the jump of the heat solution.

#### Lemma 4.1.

$$supp [u_{\sigma}] = \sigma.$$

By supp  $[u_{\sigma}]$  we mean the spatial support of  $[u_{\sigma}]$  defined as follows

$$\operatorname{supp} \left[ u_{\sigma} \right] = \overline{\left\{ x \in \mathcal{R}^2 / \exists t \in \left[ 0, \infty \right[, \left[ u(x, t) \right] \neq 0 \right\} \right.}$$

**Proof.** 1. Obviously supp  $[u_{\sigma}] \subset \sigma$ . 2. Assume that  $\sigma \not\subset \text{supp}[u_{\sigma}]$ . Let  $x_0 \in \sigma \setminus \partial \sigma$  be given. Then, there is an open submanifold  $\sigma_0 \subset \sigma \setminus \partial \sigma$  and an open ball B such that  $\partial(B \cap \sigma) = \sigma_0$  and  $\partial B^{\pm} = \sigma_0^{\pm}$ ,  $B = B^+ \cup B^- \cup \sigma_0$ .  $u|_{B^{\pm}}$  are solutions of the homogeneous heat equation with homogeneous Meumann data on  $\sigma_0^{\pm}$ , which is assumed to be real analytic (planar of linear manifold). Therefore,  $u|_{\sigma_0}$  are real analytic functions. By the Cauchy—Kovalevskaya theorem, one deduces that [u] is real analytic on  $\sigma$  and vanishes on  $\sigma_0$ , that is [u] = 0 on  $\sigma_0$  unless supp  $[u] = \sigma$ .  $\square$ 

Let us consider the auxiliary scalar field w:

$$w(x,t,s_1,s_2,q) = \exp(iqt)\exp(-(s_1x_1 + s_2x_2))\exp(ix_3(s_1^2 + s_2^2 - iq)^{1/2})$$

where  $s_1, s_2$  are two real parameters and q is a complex one  $q = q_R + iq_I$ , with  $q_I = 0$ .

#### Lemma 4.2.

$$RG(w) = \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathcal{R}^2} [[u_\sigma]] \exp(-iqt) \exp(-i(s_1 x_1 + s_2 x_2))$$
$$= (s_1^2 + s_2^2 - iq)^{1/2} \int_0^\infty \int_{\partial\Omega_{out}} (u^n \partial_n w - w\Phi^n).$$

Denote by D(x,t) the jump of  $u_{\sigma}$  across  $\sigma$ 

$$D(x,t) = [u_{\sigma}]$$

and let us consider the Laplace transform of  $[u_{\sigma}]$ 

$$H(x,q) = \int_0^\infty [u_\sigma] \exp{(iqt)} dt.$$

#### Lemma 4.3.

$$\operatorname{supp} H(x,q) = \operatorname{supp} D(x,t),$$

**Proof.** Let  $x \notin \operatorname{supp} D(x,t)$ , therefore  $[u_{\sigma}] = 0$  and H(x,q) = 0. Therefore  $\operatorname{supp} D(x,t) \subset \operatorname{supp} H$ . The inverse Laplace transform gives the other inclusion.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 4.1.** The crack of  $\sigma$  is uniquely determined by measurement  $(u_n, \Phi_n)$ .

**Proof.** Recalling lemma 2.1, one obtains the following equation

$$\int_{\mathcal{R}^{\epsilon}} H(x,t) \exp\left(-i(s_1 x_1 + s_2 x_2)\right) 
= (s_1 x_1 + s_2 x_2 - iq)^{1/2} \int_{[0,\infty]} dt \int_{\partial \Omega_{ext}} (u^n \partial_n w - w \Phi^n), \quad (3)$$

as we have chosen  $q=q_R+iq_I,\ q_I>0$ , the right hand side of the previous identity is therefore a "smooth" function F(s). Let us check that it is a Fourier transform of a distribution  $H(x_1,x_2,q)$  belonging to  $S'_{\mathcal{R}^2}$ . Since  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain,  $\Omega$  and  $\partial\Omega$  are included in a cube  $C=\{|x_1|\leq a,|x_2|\leq a,|x_3|\leq a\}$ . Consider the complex extension F(z) of the function  $F,z=(z_1,z_2)=(s_1+iv_1,s_2+iv_2)$ . The singularities of F(z) do not meet the real axes. For large |z|, one has the following majoration

$$|F(z)| \le C_0 \exp(a(|z_1| + |z_2|)) \exp(a(|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2)^{1/2})$$
  
 $\le C_0 \exp(2a(|z_1| + |z_2|)),$ 

where  $C_0$  is a non negative constant.

Therefore F(z) is of exponential type and the equation (3) is invertible by the Paley—Wiener theorem. Hence,  $H(x_1, x_2, q_0)$  is uniquely determined and so is D(x,t) (by Laplace inversion).

#### REFERENCES

- G. Alessandrini and A. Diaz Valenzuela, Unique Determination of Multiple Cracks by Two Measurements. Quad. Mat. Univ. Trieste, 1994.
- G. Alessandrini and E. DiBeneditto, Determining 2-dimensional cracks in 3-dimensional bodies: uniqueness and stability. *Indiana Univ. J.* (1997) 46.
- 3. S. Andrieux, Fonctionnelles d'écart à la réciprocité généralisée et identification de fissures par des mesures surabondantes de surface. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Serie I (1995).
- S. Andrieux and A. Ben Abda, Identification de fissures planes par une donnée au bord unique: un procédé direct de localisation et d'identification. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Serie I (1992) 315.
- S. Andrieux and A. Ben Abda, Identification of planar cracks by complete overdetermined data: inversion formulae. *Inverse Problems* (1996) 12, 553–563.
- 6. S. Andrieux, A. Ben Abda, and H. D. Bui, Sur l'identification de fissures planes via le concept d'écart à la réciprocité en élasticité. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Serie I* (1997) **325**, 1431–1438.
- S. Andrieux, A. Ben Abda, and H. D. Bui, Reciprocitiy principle and crack identification. *Inverse Problems* (1999) 15, 59–65.
- 8. A. Ben Abda, Contribution à l'Étude Mathématique et Numérique de Quelques Problèmes Inverses Géométriques. Habilitation Universitaire en Mathématiques Appliquées, École Nationale d'Ingénieurs de Tunis, 1998.
- 9. H. D. Bui, A. Constantinescu, and H. Maigre, Diffraction acoustique inverse de fissure plane: solution explicite pour un solide borné. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Serie IIb (1999) 327, 971–976.
- K. Bryan and M. Vogelius, A uniqueness result concerning the identification of a collection of cracks from finitely many elastostatic boundary measurements. SIAM J. Math. Anal. (1992) 23, No. 4, 950–958.
- A. Friedman and M. Vogelius, Determining cracks by boundary measurements. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* (1989) 38, 527–556.