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## 1 Introduction

Let $F$ be a finite extension of a p-adic field, $K$ a quadratic extension of $F$. The principal series representations of $G L_{2}(K)$ distingushed for $G L_{2}(F)$ are well known, it's also known that the Steinberg representation is distingushed ( cf. [A-T] for a summary of these results due to Y.Flicker, J.Hakim and D.Prasad,).
We give here a description of dihedral representations (those which the Langlands correpondance associate with 2 dimension induced representations of the Weil group of $K$, cf.(2) p. 122 in (G-Z) distinguished with respect to $G L_{2}(F)$.
Every distinguished representation of $G L_{2}(K)$ is paramatrised by a regular multiplicative character $\omega$ of a quadratic extension $L$ of $K$.
We show ( theorem 5.1) that such a representation is distinguished for $G L_{2}(F)$ if and only if one can choose $L$ to be biquadratic over $F$ and $\omega$ trivial one the invertible elements of the two other quadratic extensions of $F$ in $L$.
The results we prove here are theorems 3.3, 5.1 and 5.3. The method is to isolate first representations distingushed for $G L_{2}(F)_{+}$using theorem 3.1, then to determinate those who are $G L_{2}(F)$-distinguished using theorems 4.1 and proposition 4.1.
Thus, in the case of odd residual caracteristic, we obtain every supercuspidal distinguished representations.
We also observe ( see proposition 5.3) that if we consider the principal series as paramatrised by a multiplicative character of a two dimensional semisimple commutative algebra over $K$, the statement for distinguishedness is the same as for the supercuspidal dihedral representations.
We then give a generalisation of theorem 5.1 to dihedral representations ( non necessarily supercuspidal) in theorem 5.3.

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Generalities

We consider $F$ a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$, and $K$ a quadratic extension of $F$ in an algebraic closure $\bar{F}$ of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$.
If $L$ is a quadratic extension of $K$ in $\bar{F}$, then to every character $\omega$ of $L^{*}$, we associate a representation of $G L_{2}(K)$ via the Weil representation (cf. J-L] p.144).

Such a representation is called dihedral.
We note $\theta$ the conjugation of $F / K$.
For $A$ is a ring, we note $A^{*}$ the group of its invertible elements.
For $E_{2}$ a finite extension of a local field $E_{1}$, we note respectively $\operatorname{Tr}_{E_{2} / E_{1}}$ and $N_{E_{2} / E_{1}}$ the trace and norm of $E_{2}$ over $E_{1}$.
We also note $\operatorname{Gal}\left(E_{2} / E_{1}\right)$ the Galois group of $E_{2}$ over $E_{1}$ when $E_{2} / E_{1}$ is Galois, otherwise we note $A u t_{E_{1}}\left(E_{2} / E_{1}\right)$ the group of automorphisms of the algebra $E_{2}$ over $E_{1}$.
Moreover if $E_{2}$ is quadratic over $E_{1}$, we note $\eta_{E_{2} / E_{1}}$ the nontrivial character of $E_{1}{ }^{*}$ with kernel $N_{E_{2} / E_{1}}\left(E_{1}{ }^{*}\right)$.
For $n$ a positive integer, we note $G L_{n}(K)_{+}$, the subgroup with index two of $G L_{n}(K)$, of matrices whose determinant is a norm of $K$ over $F$.
For $\Pi$ a representation of a group $G$, we note $\pi$ its class, and $\Pi^{\vee}$ its smooth contragedient when $\Pi$ is a smooth representation of a totally disconnected locally compact group.
If $\phi$ is an automorphism of $G$, we note $\Pi^{\phi}$ the representation of $G$ given by $\Pi \circ \phi$.
If $H$ is a subgroup of $G$, and $\mu$ is a character of $G$, we say that a representation $\Pi$ of $G$ is $\mu$-distinguished for ( with respect to) $H$ if there exists on the space of $\Pi$ a linear functionnal $L$ verifying for $h$ in $H, L \circ \Pi(h)=\mu(h) L$. If $\mu$ is trivial, we say that $\Pi$ is distinguished for $H$.

### 2.2 Quadratic extensions of $K$

For $L$ a quadratic extension of $K$, three cases arise:

1. $L / F$ is biquadratic ( hence Galois), it contains $K$ and two other quadratic extensions $F, K^{\prime}$ and $K^{\prime \prime}$. Its Galois group is isomorphic with $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$, its non trivial elements are conjugations of $L$ over $K, K^{\prime}$ and $K^{\prime \prime}$.
The conjugation $L$ over $K$ extend those of $K^{\prime}$ and $K^{\prime \prime}$ over $F$.
2. $L / F$ is cyclic with Galois group isomorphic with $\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$.
3. $L / F$ non Galois. Then its Galois Closure $M$ is quadratic over $L$ and the Galois group of $M$ over $F$ is dihedral with order 8 .


Figure 1:
To see this, we consider a morphism $\tilde{\theta}$ from $L$ to $\bar{F}$ which extends $\theta$. Then if $L^{\prime}=\tilde{\theta}(L), L$ and $L^{\prime}$ are distinct, quadratic over $K$ and generate $M$ biquadratic over $K . M$ is the Galois closure of $L$ because any morphism from $L$ into $\bar{F}$, either extends $\theta$, or the identity map of $K$, sothat its image is either $L$ or $L^{\prime}$, so it is always included in $M$. Finally the Galois group $M$ over $F$ cannot be abelian ( for $L$ is not Galois), it is of order 8 , and it's not the quaternion group which only has one element of order 2 , whereas here the conjugations of $M$ over $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ are of order 2. Hence its the dihedral group of order 8 .
We deduce from this the folowing lattice:


Figure 2:
Here $M / K^{\prime}$ is cyclic of degree $4, M / K$ and $B / F$ are biquadratics.
In the case $p$ odd, $F$ has exactly three quadratic extensions which generate its unique biquadratic extension. If there exists $L$ non Galois over $F$, then it implies that the cardinal $q$ of the residual field $F$ verifies $q \equiv 3[4]$, and $M$ is generated over $L$ by a primitive fourth root of unity in $\bar{F}$.

### 2.3 Quadratic characters

We wish to calculate how $\eta_{L / K}$ restricts to $F^{*}$ in the following two cases.

1. If $L$ is biquadratic over $F$, then $\eta_{L / K}$ has a trivial restriction to $F^{*}$.

Indeed, we have $N_{L / K}\left(K^{\prime *}\right)=N_{K^{\prime} / F}\left(K^{\prime *}\right)$ and $N_{L / K}\left(K^{\prime \prime *}\right)=N_{K^{\prime \prime} / F}\left(K^{\prime \prime *}\right)$
because the conjugation of $L$ over $K$ extend those of $K^{\prime}$ and $K^{\prime \prime}$ over
$F$.
Both these groups are distict from local class field theory and of index 2 in $F^{*}$, sothat they generate this latter, but both are contained in $N_{L / K}\left(L^{*}\right)$ which therefore contains $F^{*}$.

In other words $\eta_{L / K}$ restricts trivially to $F^{*}$.
2. If $L$ is cyclic over $F$, then $\eta_{L / K_{\mid F^{*}}}$ is non trivial.

If it wasn't the case, $F^{*}$ would be contained in $N_{L / K}\left(L^{*}\right)$, and composing with $N_{K / F}$ on both sides, $F^{* 2}$ would be a subgroup of $N_{L / F}\left(L^{*}\right)$. But $F^{* 2}$ and $N_{L / F}\left(L^{*}\right)$ have both index 4 in $F^{*}$ and give different quotients ( $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ for the first and $\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$ for the second), sothat one cannot be contained in the other.

### 2.4 Weil's representation

Let $L$ be a quadratic extension of $K$, then for any character $\omega$ of $L^{*}$, we associate an irreducible representation $\Pi(\omega)$ of $G L_{2}(K)$ (cf. (J-L), with central character $\omega_{\mid K^{*}} \eta_{L / K}$.
If $\omega$ is regular for $N_{L / K}$, then $\Pi(\omega)$ is supercuspidal, otherwise there exists a character $\mu$ of $K^{*}$ sothat $\omega=\mu \circ N_{L / K}$, and then $\pi(\omega)$ is the the principal series $\pi\left(\mu, \mu \eta_{L / K}\right)$.

The conjugation of $K$ over $F$ naturally extends to an involutive automorphism of $G L_{2}(K)$ which we also note $\theta$.

Here we want to determinate $\Pi(\omega)^{\theta}$.
Suppose there exists $\tilde{\theta}$ an element of $\operatorname{Aut}_{F}(L / F)$ which extends $\theta$, we then have:

Proposition 2.1 If $\theta$ extends to an element $\tilde{\theta}$ of $\operatorname{Aut}_{F}(L / F)$, then $\Pi(\omega)^{\theta}$ is isomorphic with $\Pi\left(\omega^{\tilde{\theta}}\right)$.

Proof:
Following [J-L], $\Pi(\omega)$ is the induced of $r\left(\omega, \psi_{F}\right)$ from $G l_{2}(K)_{+}$the group $G L_{2}(K)$.

The space of this representation $\mathbf{S}(L, \omega)$ is constitued by the continuous functions $f$ with compact support from $L^{*}$ to complex numbers verifying, for $x$ in $L$ and $y$ in $\operatorname{Ker}\left(N_{L / K}\right), f(x y)=\omega^{-1}(y) f(x)$.

Then the mapping which associates to $f$ in $\mathbf{S}(L, \omega)$ the function $f^{\theta}=f \circ \tilde{\theta}$ is an equivariant morphism between $r\left(\omega, \psi_{F}\right)^{\theta}$ and $r\left(\omega^{\tilde{\theta}}, \psi_{F}\right)$.

We then see $\pi(\omega)^{\theta}=\left[\operatorname{Ind} d_{G L_{2}(K)_{+}}^{G L_{2}(K)}\left(r\left(\omega, \psi_{F}\right)\right)\right]^{\theta} \approx\left[\operatorname{Ind} d_{G L_{2}(K)_{+}}^{G L_{2}(K)}\left(r\left(\omega, \psi_{F}\right)^{\theta}\right)\right] \approx$
 resentation from $G L_{2}(K)_{+}$to $G L_{2}(K)$.

## Remark :

It is not always true that $\theta$ extends to an element $\tilde{\theta}$ of $A u t_{F}(L / F)$.
For instance, take $F$ local with residual characteristic $q \equiv 3[4]$, and let $\pi_{F}$
be a prime element ( generating the maximal ideal of the integers ring). We choose $K=F\left(\pi_{F}{ }^{1 / 2}\right)$ and $L=F\left(\pi_{F}^{1 / 4}\right)$.
Let $\tilde{\theta}$ be a $F$ linear morphism extending $\theta$ to $L$, with values in $\bar{F}$. Then $\tilde{\theta}\left(\pi_{F}{ }^{1 / 4}\right)=i \pi_{F}^{1 / 4}$, where $i$ is a primitive fourth root of unity.
Indeed $i^{2}=-1$, because $\tilde{\theta}\left(\pi_{F}^{1 / 2}\right)=\theta\left(\pi_{F}^{1 / 2}\right)=-\pi_{F}{ }^{1 / 2}$.
Moreover, $i$ cannot be in $L$ : indeed, this element is a root of unity with order prime to $q$, thus it would implie that the residual field of $L$, which is the one of $F$ as $L / F$ is totally ramified, contains a primitive fourth root of unity.
This cannot happen because 4 does not divide $q-1$.
We conclude that any $F$ linear morphism extending $\theta$ to $L$, sends $L$ onto $F\left(i \pi_{F}{ }^{1 / 4}\right)$ which is distinct from $L$, and hence cannot be in $\operatorname{Aut}_{F}(L / F)$.

## 3 Representations distinguished by a character

### 3.1 Definitions and preliminary results

The following theorem due to Y.Flicker A-T ( th. 1.3) will be of constant use.

Theorem 3.1 Let $\Pi$ be an irreducible admissible representation of $G L_{2}(K)$, such that $c_{\pi}$ is trivial on $F^{*}$. Then $\pi^{\theta}=\pi^{\vee}$ if and only if $\pi$ is distingushed or $\eta_{K / F}$-distinguished for $G L_{2}(F)$.

Let $G L_{2}(F)_{+}$be the subgroup of index two in $G L_{2}(F)$, it is clear that if a representation of $G L_{2}(K)$ is distinguished or $\eta_{K / F}$-distinguished for $G L_{2}(F)$, it is distinguished for $G L_{2}(F)_{+}$, the reverse is true.

Proposition 3.1 ( $c f .[\mathbb{B}]$, p.71)
A representation of $G L_{2}(K)$ is distinguished or $\eta_{K / F}$-distinguished for $G L_{2}(F)$ if and only if it is distinguished for $G L_{2}(F)_{+}$.

Proof:
We show the non trivial implication.
Let $s$ be an element of $G L_{2}(F)$ whose determinant is not a norm and let $\Pi$ be a $G L_{2}(F)_{+}$-distingushed representation.

Let $L_{+}$be the $G L_{2}(F)_{+}$-invariant linear form on the space of $\Pi$, two cases arise:

1. If $\Pi^{\vee}(s) L_{+}=-L_{+}$, then for $h$ in $G L_{2}(F) \backslash G L_{2}(F)_{+}$, we have $\Pi^{\vee}(h) L_{+}=$ $\Pi^{\vee}(h) \Pi^{\vee}\left(s^{2}\right) L_{+}=\Pi^{\vee}(h s) \Pi^{\vee}(s) L_{+}=-L_{+}$because $h s$ is in $G L_{2}(F)_{+}$ ( here we also note $\Pi^{\vee}$ the non smooth contragedient). $\Pi$ is therefore $\eta_{K / F}$-distinguished for $G L_{2}(F)$.
2. Otherwise $\Pi^{\vee}(s) L_{+} \neq-L_{+}$, and $L_{+}+\Pi^{\vee}(s) L_{+}$is fixed under the action of $G L_{2}(F)$.

Theorem 3.1 takes the following form:

Theorem 3.2 Let $\Pi$ be an irreducible admissible representation of $G L_{2}(K)$. Then $\Pi$ is $G L_{2}(F)_{+}$-distinguished if and only if $\pi^{\theta}=\pi^{\vee}$ and $c_{\Pi}$ restricts trivially to $F^{*}$.

### 3.2 Description of the $G L_{2}(F)_{+}$-distinguished representations

Theorem 3.3 A supercuspidal dihedral representation $\Pi$ of $G L_{2}(K)$ is $G L_{2}(F)_{+-}$ distinguished if and only if there exists a quadratic extension $L$ of $K$ biquadratic on $F$, and a multiplicative character $\omega$ of $L$ trivial on $N_{L / K^{\prime}}\left(K^{\prime *}\right)$ or on $N_{L / K^{\prime \prime}}\left(K^{\prime \prime *}\right)$, such that $\pi=\pi(\omega)$.

## Proof:

Let $L$ be a quadratic extension of $K$ and $\omega$ a regular multiplicative of $L$ such that $\pi=\pi(\omega)$, we note $\sigma$ the conjugation of $L$ over $K$, three cases show up:

1. $L / F$ si biquadratic.
we note $\sigma^{\prime}$ the conjugation of $L$ over $K^{\prime}$ and $\sigma^{\prime \prime}$ the conjugation of $L$ over $K^{\prime \prime}, \sigma^{\prime}$ and $\sigma^{\prime \prime}$ both extend $\theta$, and thus can play $\tilde{\theta}$ 's role in proposition 1.1.
The condition $\pi^{\vee}=\pi^{\theta}$ which one can also read $\pi\left(\omega^{-1}\right)=\pi\left(\omega^{\tilde{\theta}}\right)$, is then equivalent to $\omega^{\sigma^{\prime}}=\omega^{-1}$ or $\omega^{\sigma^{\prime \prime}}=\omega^{-1}$.
This is equivalent to $\omega$ trivial on $N_{L / K^{\prime}}\left(K^{\prime *}\right)$ and on $N_{L / K^{\prime \prime}}\left(K^{\prime \prime *}\right)$.
As $\eta_{L / K}, \eta_{L / K^{\prime}}$, and $\eta_{L / K^{\prime \prime}}$ are trivial $F^{*}$, we have $c_{\pi \mid F^{*}}=\omega_{\mid F^{*}} \eta_{L / K_{\mid F^{*}}}=$ 1 for such a representation .
2. $L / F$ is cyclic, the regularity of $\omega$ makes the condition $\pi\left(\omega^{-1}\right)=\pi\left(\omega^{\tilde{\theta}}\right)$ impossible.
Indeed one would have $\omega^{\tilde{\theta}}=\omega^{-1}$, which would implie $\omega^{\sigma}=\omega$ for $\sigma^{2}=\theta$, and so $\omega$ would be trivial on the kernel of $N_{L / K}$ from Hilbert's theorem 90.
$\Pi$ can therefore not be $G L_{2}(F)_{+}$-distniguished.
3. $L / K$ is not Galois ( which implies $q \equiv 3[4]$ in the case p odd), we note again $\theta$ the conjugation of $B$ over $K^{\prime}$ which extends the one of $K$ over $F$.

Let $\pi_{B / K}$ be the representation of $G L_{2}(B)$ which is the base change lifting of $\pi$ to $B$. As $\pi_{B / K}=\pi\left(\omega \circ N_{M / L}\right)$, if $\omega \circ N_{M / L}=\mu \circ N_{M / B}$ for a character $\mu$ of $B^{*}$, then $\pi(\omega)=\pi(\mu)(\mathrm{cf}$. G-I], (3) p.123) and we are brought back to case 1 .
Otherwise $\omega \circ N_{M / L}$ is regular for $N_{M / B}$.
If $\pi$ was $G L_{2}(F)_{+}$-distinguished, taht is $\pi^{\theta}=\pi^{\vee}$ and $c_{\pi \mid F^{*}}$, we would have $\pi_{B / K}^{\theta}=\pi_{B / K}^{\vee}$ and $c_{\pi_{B / K}}=c_{\pi} \circ N_{B / K}$ from theorem 1 of G-प. As $N_{B / K}\left(K^{\prime *}\right)=N_{K^{\prime} / F}\left(K^{\prime *}\right)$ for the conjugation of $B$ over $K$ extends that of $K^{\prime}$ over $F$, one would deduce that $c_{\pi_{B / K}}$ would be trivial on $K^{\prime *}$ and theorem 2.2 would implie that $\pi_{B / K}$ would be $G L_{2}\left(K^{\prime}\right)_{+^{-}}$ distinguished.
That would contradict case 2 because $M / K^{\prime}$ is cyclic.

## 4 Distingushed representations

We described in the previous section the supercuspidal dihedral representations of $G L_{2}(K)$ which are $G L_{2}(F)_{+}$distinguished.
We want to characterize those who are $G L_{2}(F)$-distinguished among them.

### 4.1 Definitions and useful results

We refer to J-Z for definitions and basic properties of $\epsilon$ factors attached to an irreducible admissible representation of $G L_{2}(K)$, and to $T$ for those of $\epsilon$ factors attached to a multplicative character of a local field.
The $\epsilon$ used here for representations of $G L_{2}(K)$ is the one described in J-Z evaluated at $s=1 / 2$ and the $\epsilon$ attached to a multplicative character of a local field is Langlands' $\epsilon_{L}$ described in (T].

We will use the three following results.

The first, due to J.Hakim can be found in $[\mathrm{H}]$, page 8 .
Here we repaced $\gamma$ with $\epsilon$ because both are equal for supercuspidal representations:

Theorem 4.1 Let $\Pi$ be a supercuspidal irreducible representation of $G L_{2}(K)$, and $\psi$ a nontrivial character of $K$ trivial on $F$. Then $\Pi$ is distinguished if and only if $\epsilon(\Pi \otimes \chi, \psi)=1$ for every character $\chi$ of $K^{*}$ trivial on $F^{*}$.

The second, due to Fröhlich and Queyrut, is in F-Q , page 130 :

Theorem 4.2 Let $L_{2}$ be a quadratic extension of $L_{1}$ which is a quadratic extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$, then if $\psi_{L_{2}}$ is the standard character of $L_{2}$ and if $\Delta$ is an element of $L_{2}{ }^{*}$ with $\operatorname{Tr}_{L_{2} / L_{1}}(\Delta)=0$, we then have $\epsilon\left(\chi, \psi_{L_{2}}\right)=\chi(\Delta)$ for every character $\chi$ of $L_{2}^{*}$ trivial on $L_{1}^{*}$.

The third is a corollary of proposition 3.1 of $A-T$ ]:
Proposition 4.1 There exists no supercuspidal representation of $G L_{2}(K)$ which is distinguished and $\eta_{K / F^{-}}$-distinguished at the same time.

## 5 Description of distingushed representations

Theorem 5.1 A dihedral supercuspidal representation $\Pi$ of $G L_{2}(K)$ is $G L_{2}(F)$ distinguished if and only if there exists a quadratic extension $L$ of $K$ biquadratic over $F$, and a regular multiplicative character $\omega$ of $L$ trivial on $K^{\prime *}$ or on $K^{\prime \prime *}$, such that $\pi=\pi(\omega)$.

## Proof:

From the second section, we can suppose that $\pi=\pi(\omega)$, for $\omega$ a regular multiplicative character of a quadratic extension $L$ of $K$ biquadratic over $F$, with $\omega$ trivial on $N_{L / K^{\prime}}\left(K^{\prime *}\right)$ or on $N_{L / K^{\prime \prime}}\left(K^{\prime \prime *}\right)$.

Let $\psi_{K}$ be the standard character of $K, \psi_{L}$ the one of $L$, and $a$ a non null element of $K$ such that $\operatorname{Tr}_{K / F}(a)=0$, which implies $\operatorname{Tr}_{L / K^{\prime}}(a)=$ $T r_{L / K^{\prime \prime}}(a)=0$.
we note $\left(\psi_{K}\right)_{a}$ the character trivial on $F$ given by $\left(\psi_{K}\right)_{a}(x)=\left(\psi_{K}\right)(a x)$.
To see if $\pi(\omega)$ is distinguished, we use Hakim's criterion (th.3.1).
So let $\chi$ be a character of $K^{*}$ trivial on $F^{*}$, we have $\pi(\omega) \otimes \chi=$ $\pi\left(\omega \times \chi \circ N_{L / K}\right)$ and we note $\mu=\omega \times \chi \circ N_{L / K}$.
i) if $\omega_{\mid K^{\prime *}}=1$ : on a $\epsilon\left(\pi(\omega) \otimes \chi,\left(\psi_{K}\right)_{a}\right)=\epsilon\left(\pi(\mu),\left(\psi_{K}\right)_{a}\right)=\epsilon\left(\pi(\mu), \psi_{K}\right) \mu(a) \eta_{L / K}(a)$. Now $\epsilon\left(\pi(\mu), \psi_{K}\right)=\lambda\left(L / K, \psi_{K}\right) \epsilon\left(\mu, \psi_{L}\right)$ (cf. JJ-Z] p.150), where the LanglandsDeligne factor $\lambda\left(L / K, \psi_{K}\right)$ equals $\epsilon\left(\eta_{L / K}, \psi_{K}\right)$.

As $\eta_{L / K_{\mid F^{*}}}=1$ et $\mu_{\mid K^{\prime *}}=1$, from theorem 4.2, we have that $\epsilon\left(\mu, \psi_{L}\right)=$ $\mu(a)$ and $\epsilon\left(\eta_{L / K}, \psi_{K}\right)=\eta_{L / K}(a)$.

We deduce that $\epsilon\left(\pi(\omega) \otimes \chi,\left(\psi_{K}\right)_{a}\right)=\mu(a)^{2} \eta_{L / K}(a)^{2}=1$ for $a^{2}$ is in $F$. $\pi(\omega)$ is therefore distinguished.
ii) If $\omega_{\mid K^{\prime *}}=\eta_{L / K^{\prime}}$ : Let $\chi^{\prime}$ be a character of $K^{*}$ which extends $\eta_{K / F}$, then $\chi^{\prime} \circ N_{L / K}$ equals $\eta_{K / F} \circ N_{K^{\prime} / F}$ on $K^{\prime}$ because the conjugation of $L$ over $K$ extends the one of $K^{\prime}$ over $F$.
But $\eta_{K / F} \circ N_{K^{\prime} / F}$ is trivial on the image of $N_{L / K^{\prime}}$ from the identity $N_{K^{\prime} / F} \circ$ $N_{L / K^{\prime}}=N_{K / F} \circ N_{L / K}$, but not trivial for $N_{K^{\prime} / F}$ is not the kernel $N_{K / F}\left(K^{*}\right)$ of $\eta_{K / F}$ from local class field theory.
Thus $\omega \times \chi^{\prime} \circ N_{L / K}$ is trivial on $K^{\prime}$, and we deduce that $\pi(\omega) \otimes \chi^{\prime}=$ $\pi\left(\omega \times \chi^{\prime} \circ N_{L / K}\right)$ is distinguished from i).
This implies that $\pi(\omega)$ is $\eta_{K / F}$-distinguished and thus not distinguished from proposition 3.1.

The cases $\omega_{\mid K^{\prime \prime *}}=1$ and $\omega_{\mid K^{\prime \prime *}}=\eta_{L / K^{\prime \prime}}$ are handled as well.

## Part I

## The principal series

Representations of the principal series of $G L_{2}(K)$ distinguished for $G L_{2}(F)$ are well known, and described for example in proposition 4.2 of A-T].

The result is the following:
Proposition 5.1 Let $\lambda$ and $\mu$ be two characters of $K^{*}$, whose quotient is not the module of $K$ or its inverse. The principal series representation $\Pi(\lambda, \mu)$ of $G L_{2}(K)$ is $G L_{2}(F)$-distinguished either when $\lambda=\mu^{-\theta}$ or when $\lambda$ and $\mu$ have a trivial restriction to $F^{*}$.

Now one can construct the principal series $\Pi(\lambda, \mu)$ via the Weil representation (cf.[B] p. 523 à 557 ), in this case ( $\lambda, \mu$ ) identifies with a character of $K^{*} \times K^{*}$.

This way of parametrising irreducible representations of $G L_{2}(K)$ with multiplicatice characters of two-dimensional semi-simple commutative algebras over $K$, includes the principal series (for the algebra $K \times K$ ) and the dihedral representations (for quadratic extensions of $K$ ).

Let $L$ be a quadratic extension of $K$ biquadratic over $F$, as we are here interested with $G L_{2}(F)$-distinguishedness, we consider the following $F$-algebras.

1. the algebra $K \times K$

One note $A^{\prime} t_{F}(K \times K)$ its automorphisms group. The elements of this group are $(x, y) \mapsto(x, y),(x, y) \mapsto(y, x),(x, y) \mapsto\left(x^{\theta}, y^{\theta}\right),(x, y) \mapsto$ $\left(y^{\theta}, x^{\theta}\right)$, and $\operatorname{Aut}_{F}(K \times K)$ is isomorphic with $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$.
The three sub-algebras fixed by non trivial elements of $\operatorname{Aut}_{F}(K \times K)$ are $K$ via the natural diagonal inclusion, the twisted form $\tilde{K}$ of $K$ given by $x \mapsto\left(x, x^{\theta}\right)$, and $F \times F$.

## 2. l'algèbre $L$

The group $\operatorname{Gal}\left(B_{F} / K\right)$ of its automorphisms is isomorphic with $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \times$ $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$.
The three sub-algebras fixed by non trivial elements of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(B_{F} / K\right)$ are $K, K^{\prime}$ et $K^{\prime \prime}$.

We then observe that proposition 5.2 for the principal series has the same statement that the one for theorem 4.1:

Proposition 5.2 A principal series representation $\Pi(\lambda, \mu)$ of $G L_{2}(K)$ is $G L_{2}(F)$-distinguished if and only if the multiplicative character $(\lambda, \mu)$ is
trivial one the invertible elements of one of the two intermediate sub-algebras of $K \times K$ distinct from $K$.

We now study dihedral non supercuspidal representations.
Let $\Pi$ be such a representation, there exists a quadratic extension $L$ over $K$ and a non regular multiplicative character $\omega$ of $L$ such that $\pi=\pi(\omega)$. If $\mu$ is a character of $K^{*}$ such that $\omega=\mu \circ N_{L / K}$, then $\pi=\pi\left(\mu, \mu \eta_{L / K}\right)$.

Three cases arise:

1. For $L$ biquadratic over $F$, we show that $\omega$ restricts trivially to $K^{\prime *}$ or $K^{\prime \prime *}$ if and only if $\left(\mu, \mu \eta_{L / K}\right)$ restricts trivially to $\tilde{K}^{*}$ or to $F^{*} \times F^{*}$.

We have the following equivalences:

- $\omega\left(K^{\prime *}\right)=1 \Leftrightarrow \mu\left(N_{L / K}\left(K^{\prime *}\right)\right)=1 \Leftrightarrow \mu\left(N_{K^{\prime} / F}\left(K^{\prime *}\right)\right)=1$ because the conjugation of $L / K$ extends the one of $K^{\prime} / F$, and so $\omega\left(K^{\prime *}\right)=1 \Leftrightarrow \mu_{\mid F^{*}}=1$ or $\eta_{K^{\prime} / F}$.
- $\omega\left(K^{\prime \prime *}\right)=1 \Leftrightarrow \mu\left(N_{L / K}\left(K^{\prime \prime *}\right)\right)=1 \Leftrightarrow \mu\left(N_{K^{\prime \prime} / F}\left(K^{\prime \prime *}\right)\right)=1$ because the conjugation of $L / K$ extends the one of $K^{\prime \prime} / F$, and so $\omega\left(K^{\prime \prime *}\right)=1 \Leftrightarrow \mu_{\mid F^{*}}=1$ or $\eta_{K^{\prime \prime} / F}$.
- $\left(\mu, \mu \eta_{L / K}\right)$ trivial on $\tilde{K}^{*} \Longleftrightarrow \mu^{\theta} \mu \eta_{L / K}=1 \Longleftrightarrow \mu \circ N_{K / F}=\eta_{L / K}$.

We deduce that $\mu \circ N_{L / F}=1$, which implies that $\mu_{\mid F^{*}}=1, \eta_{K / F}$, $\eta_{K^{\prime} / F}$ or $\eta_{K^{\prime \prime} / F}$, but the first two possibilities are excluded by the identity $\mu \circ N_{K / F}=\eta_{L / K}$.
Conversely if $\mu_{\mid F^{*}}=\eta_{K^{\prime} / F}$ or $\eta_{K^{\prime \prime} / F}$, then $\mu \circ N_{K / F}$ is a character of order two of $K^{*}$ which cannot be trivial from local class field theory. As the equalities $N_{L / F}=N_{L / K^{\prime}} \circ N_{K^{\prime} / K}=N_{L / K^{\prime \prime}} \circ$ $N_{K^{\prime \prime} / K}$ implie that $\mu \circ N_{K / F}$ is trivial on $N_{L / K}\left(L^{*}\right)$, it is therefore $\eta_{L / K}$.
Eventually $\left(\mu, \mu \eta_{L / K}\right)$ trivial on $\tilde{K}^{*} \Longleftrightarrow \mu_{\mid F^{*}}=\eta_{K^{\prime} / F}$ or $\eta_{K^{\prime \prime} / F}$.

- Also $\left(\mu, \mu \eta_{L / K}\right)$ trivial on $F^{*} \times F^{*} \Longleftrightarrow \mu_{\mid F^{*}}=1$ because we have already seen that $\eta_{L / K}$ is trivial on $F^{*}$.
- Finally these equivalences show that $\omega\left(K^{\prime *}\right)=1$ or $\omega\left(K^{\prime \prime *}\right)=1$ $\Longleftrightarrow\left(\mu, \mu \eta_{L / K}\right)$ trivial on $\tilde{K}^{*}$ or on $F^{*} \times F^{*}$.

2. If $L$ is cyclic over $F$. One shows that $\pi(\omega)=\pi\left(\mu, \mu \eta_{L / K}\right)$ is distinguished if and only if $\mu_{\mid F^{*}}$ generates the cyclic group of the characters of $F^{*} / N_{L / F}\left(L^{*}\right)$.

- It is not possible for $\left(\mu, \mu \eta_{L / K}\right)$ to be trivial on $F^{*} \times F^{*}$ because we saw in the preliminaries that $\eta_{L / K}$ is not trivial on $F^{*}$.
- $\left(\mu, \mu \eta_{L / K}\right)$ trivial on $\tilde{K}^{*} \Longleftrightarrow \mu \circ N_{K / F}=\eta_{L / K}$.

We deduce as before that $\mu$ is a character of $F^{*} / N_{L / F}\left(L^{*}\right)$.
As $F^{*} / N_{L / F}\left(L^{*}\right)$ is cyclic of order four, the same is true for its characters group.
As $\mu \circ N_{K / F}=\eta_{L / K}$, we deduce that $\mu_{\mid F^{*}}$ is non trivial, moreover if $\mu_{\mid F^{*}}$ was of order 2 , it would be equal to $\eta_{K / F}$ which is the unique element with order 2 of the characters group of $F^{*} / N_{L / F}\left(L^{*}\right)$, which contradicts $\mu \circ N_{K / F}=\eta_{L / K}$.
We deduce that $\mu \circ N_{K / F}=\eta_{L / K} \Longrightarrow \mu_{\mid F^{*}}$ generates the dual group of $F^{*} / N_{L / F}\left(L^{*}\right)$.

- Conversely if $\mu_{\mid F^{*}}$ is of order four in the dual group of $F^{*} / N_{L / F}\left(L^{*}\right)$, we deduce that $\mu \circ N_{K / F}$ is a character of $K^{*}$ trivial on $N_{L / K}\left(L^{*}\right)$, but not trivial because it would implie $\mu_{\mid F^{*}}=1$ or $\eta_{K / F}$, namely $\mu_{\mid F^{*}}$ with order less than 2.
On conclude that $\mu \circ N_{K / F}=\eta_{L / K}$.

3. If $L$ is not Galois over $F$. As $\omega \circ N_{M / K}=\mu \circ N_{M / F}=\mu^{\prime} \circ N_{M / B}$, where $\mu^{\prime}=\mu \circ N_{B / F}$, we conclude as in the case 3 . of the proof of theorem 2.3 that $\pi(\omega)=\pi\left(\mu^{\prime}\right)$ and we are brought back to case 1 . because $B$ is biquadratic over $F$.

Thus we have the following general theorem:
Theorem 5.2 A dihedral representation $\Pi$ of $G L_{2}(K)$ is $G L_{2}(F)$-distinguished if and only if $\pi=\pi(\omega)$ for some multiplicative character $\omega$ of a quadratic extension $L$ over $K$ verifying $i$ ) or ii):
i) $L / F$ is biquadratic, and $\omega_{\mid K^{\prime *}}=1$ or $\omega_{\mid K^{\prime \prime *}}=1$,
ii) $L / F$ is cyclic and $\omega=\mu \circ N_{L / K}$ for $\mu$ a character of $K^{*}$ whose restriction to $F^{*}$ generates the dual group of $F^{*} / N_{L / F}\left(L^{*}\right)$.
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