

# **Regular Lattice Polytopes and Root Systems**

Nicolas Ressayre, Pierre-Louis Montagard

### **To cite this version:**

Nicolas Ressayre, Pierre-Louis Montagard. Regular Lattice Polytopes and Root Systems. Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society, 2009, 41, pp.227-241.  $10.1112/b\text{lms/bdn120}$ . hal-00102048

# **HAL Id: hal-00102048 <https://hal.science/hal-00102048v1>**

Submitted on 28 Sep 2006

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Regular Lattice Polytopes and Root Systems

Pierre-Louis Montagard and Nicolas Ressayre

September 28, 2006

### 1 Introduction

Let  $\Lambda$  be a lattice in a real finite dimensional vector space. Here, we are interested in the lattice polytopes, that is the convex hulls of finite subsets of  $\Lambda$ . Consider the group G of the affine real transformations which map  $\Lambda$ onto itself. Replacing the group of euclidean motions by the group  $G$  one can define the notion of regular lattice polytopes. More precisely, for a lattice polytope  $P$ , we denote by Isom( $P$ ) the subgroup of G which preserves P and  $P$  is said to be a *regular lattice polytope* if the group  $\text{Isom}(P)$  acts transitively onthe set of complete flags of  $P$ . In [[Kar06](#page-13-0)], Karpenkov obtained a classification of the regular lattice polytopes. Here we obtain this classification by a more conceptual method. Another difference is that Karpenkov uses in an essential way the classification of the euclidean regular polytopes, but we don't.

Let us explain our approach. Firstly, we associate in a very natural way a reduced simply laced root system (not necessarily irreducible) to any regular lattice polytope  $P$ . Then considering the faces of  $P$ , we even show that the only possible root systems are of type  $A_n$ ,  $D_n$ ,  $E_6$ ,  $E_7$ ,  $E_8$  and  $(A_1)^n$  (later, we show that the exceptional root systems do not occur). Conversely, we fix such a root system  $\Phi$  and seek all the regular lattice polytopes  $\mathcal P$  with  $\Phi$  as associated root system. Such a polytope is characterized up to isomorphism by a lattice between the root lattice and the weight lattice, and a dominant weight. We obtain in this way the list presented in Table 1.

For the convenience of the reader we also present below the regular lattice polytopes of dimension two in Figures [1](#page-2-0), [2](#page-2-0) and [3](#page-2-0). In each figure, we have two lattices: the intersection of the gray lines and the marked points. These lattices are the weight lattices  $\Lambda_P$  and the root lattices  $\Lambda_R$  of of the root <span id="page-2-0"></span>system  $A_2$  in the two first case and  $A_1 \times A_1$  in the last one. In Figure 1, we have drawn an hexagon which can be considered as a lattice polygon in  $\Lambda_P$ or  $\Lambda_R$ : this gives two classes of regular hexagons. In Figure 3, the situation is similar with squares instead hexagons. In Figure 2, we have two triangles: the dashed one in  $\Lambda_p$  and the other one in  $\Lambda_R$ . The result in dimension 2 asserts that up to evident equivalence (see Section [2](#page-3-0)) the only regular lattice polygons are these 2 hexagons, these 2 triangles and these 2 squares.



Fig. 1: Two Hexagons Fig. 2: Two Triangles Fig. 3: Two Squares

Finally we briefly mention the well-known link between convex polytopes and algebraic geometry. We do not use this link but our inspiration for some results are of geometric origin. To each lattice polytope  $P$  one can associate atoric variety  $X_{\mathcal{P}}$  with T as torus, see for example [[Oda88](#page-13-0)]. The polytope  $\mathcal{P}$ is regular if and only if the group of regular toric automorphism of  $X_{\mathcal{P}}$  acts transitively on the set of the maximal chains of irreducible T-stable subvarieties of  $X_{\mathcal{P}}$ . In [\[Pro90\]](#page-14-0), Procesi consider the toric variety  $X_{\Phi}$  associated to the decomposition in Weyl chambers of the root system Φ. Our first results(see Proposition [3.4](#page-5-0)) can be translated in the following way: there exists an equivariant surjective morphism from  $X_{\Phi}$  onto  $X_{\mathcal{P}}$  if  $\Phi$  is the root system associated to the regular lattice polytope P.

Convention In this paper, we only consider non degenerated polytopes that is which span affinely the ambient real vector space.

### <span id="page-3-0"></span>2 An equivalence relation

Let  $\Lambda$  be a free abelian group of rank n. Let  $\hat{\Lambda}$  be a set with a free transitive action of  $\Lambda$  denoted by:  $m + z$  for any  $m \in \hat{\Lambda}$  and  $z \in \Lambda$ . Such a set  $\hat{\Lambda}$  is called a  $\Lambda$ -affine space. A map  $f : \hat{\Lambda} \longrightarrow \hat{\Lambda}$  is said to be affine if there exists a group morphism  $\overrightarrow{f}$  :  $\Lambda \longrightarrow \Lambda$  such that  $f(m + z) = f(m) + \overrightarrow{f}(z)$ .

Let  $GL(\Lambda) \simeq GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$  denote the automorphism group of  $\Lambda$  and  $GA(\tilde{\Lambda})$ be the group of bijective affine maps of  $\Lambda$ . We have the following split exact sequence:

$$
1 \longrightarrow \Lambda \longrightarrow \text{GA}(\hat{\Lambda}) \longrightarrow \text{GL}(\Lambda) \longrightarrow 1.
$$

Consider  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}} := \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{R}$  and its affine space  $\hat{\Lambda}_{\mathbb{R}} := m + \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$  (for any  $m \in \hat{\Lambda}$ ). Now,  $\hat{\Lambda}$  is a lattice in  $\hat{\Lambda}_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $GA(\hat{\Lambda})$  is the subgroup of the isomorphism group  $GA(\hat{\Lambda}_{\mathbb{R}})$  of  $\hat{\Lambda}_{\mathbb{R}}$  of the elements which map  $\hat{\Lambda}$  onto itself.

A lattice polytope  $P$  is the convex hull in  $\hat{\Lambda}_{\mathbb{R}}$  of a finite subset of  $\hat{\Lambda}$ . Set

$$
Isom(\mathcal{P}) = \{ g \in GA(\hat{\Lambda}) \mid g\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P} \}.
$$

A lattice polytope  $P$  is said to be *regular* if  $\text{Isom}(P)$  acts transitively on the set of complete flags of  $P$ . We want to classify the regular polytope modulo  $GA(\hat{\Lambda})$  of course, but there is another reduction. We note that if h is a homothety of center in  $\hat{\Lambda}$  and integer ratio then h normalize  $GA(\hat{\Lambda})$  and if  $\mathcal P$  is a regular lattice polytope so is  $h(\mathcal{P})$ . Finally we want to classify the regular lattice polytopes up to the group generated by  $GA(\Lambda)$  and the homotheties of center in  $\Lambda$  and integer ratio. So we define:

**Definition** We call H the subgroup of  $GA(\hat{\Lambda}_{\mathbb{R}})$  generated by  $GA(\hat{\Lambda})$  and the homotheties of center in  $\hat{\Lambda}$  and integer ratio.

Actually, this group doesn't acts on the set of lattice polytopes, but on those with rational vertices. Nevertheless, this group defines a equivalence relation on the lattice polytopes. Our first reduction is to choose a common origin for the polytopes. More precisely let us fix an origin O in  $\Lambda$ ; now, one can identify  $\Lambda$  and  $\hat{\Lambda}$ , and embed  $GL(\Lambda)$  in  $GA(\hat{\Lambda})$ ). Now we can define:

**Definition** We call a lattice polytope *centered* if its barycenter is  $O$ ; it is said to be primitive if it is not the image of another polytope by an homothety of <span id="page-4-0"></span>center O and of integer ratio bigger than one.

The following proposition reduce the classification to those of primitive centered regular lattice polytope.

- **Proposition 2.1** (i) Let P be a lattice polytope. There exits  $q \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $q(\mathcal{P})$  is a primitive centered regular lattice polytope.
	- (ii) Conversely, if  $g \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2$  are two primitive centered regular lattice polytopes such that  $g(\mathcal{P}_1) = \mathcal{P}_2$ , then  $g \in GL(\Lambda)$

**PROOF.** The first point is obvious. For the second one, note that if  $g \in \mathcal{H}$ then there exists  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $r \overrightarrow{g}(\Lambda) = \Lambda$ . Then let  $g \in \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2$  be such  $g(\mathcal{P}_1) = \mathcal{P}_2$ . As  $\mathcal{P}_1$  and  $\mathcal{P}_2$  are centered, we have  $g(O) = O$  *i.e.*  $g = \overrightarrow{g}$ . So, we deduce there exist  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $h \in GL(\Lambda)$  such that  $r.h(\mathcal{P}_1) = \mathcal{P}_2$ . But as  $\mathcal{P}_1$  and  $\mathcal{P}_2$  are primitive, so  $r = 1$ .

¿From now on, we want to classify the primitive centered regular lattice polytopes up to the action of  $GL(\Lambda)$ .

### 3 Root systems

Forroot systems, we will use the notation of [[Bou02\]](#page-13-0). Let  $P$  be a regular lattice polytope in  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ . For each edge a of  $\mathcal P$  with vertices  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  we consider the subgroup  $\mathbb{R}.\overrightarrow{s_1s_2} \cap \Lambda$  of  $\Lambda$  and its two generators  $\pm u_a$ . When a runs over all the edges of P, the  $\pm u_a$  form a finite subset  $\Phi(\mathcal{P})$  of  $\Lambda$ .

**Proposition 3.1** The subset  $\Phi(\mathcal{P})$  of  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$  is a reduced root system.

**PROOF.** It is clear that  $\Phi(\mathcal{P})$  is finite, does not contain zero, spans  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}.\alpha \cap \Phi(\mathcal{P}) = \{\pm \alpha\}$  for any  $\alpha \in \Phi(\mathcal{P})$ .

Let  $\alpha \in \Phi(\mathcal{P})$  and two vertices  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  on an edge a parallel to  $\alpha$ . Consider a complete flag  $\mathcal{D}_1$  of  $\mathcal P$  starting with  $s_1$  and a. Let  $\mathcal{D}_2$  be the complete flag of  $P$  with the same faces from  $\mathcal{D}_1$  except for the vertex which is  $s_2$ . Let  $\sigma \in \text{Isom}(\mathcal{P})$  such that  $\sigma(\mathcal{D}_1) = \mathcal{D}_2$ . It is clear that  $\overrightarrow{\sigma}$  is a reflection which maps  $\Phi(\mathcal{P})$  in  $\Phi(\mathcal{P})$  and  $\alpha$  on  $-\alpha$ .

Let  $\beta$  be another element of  $\Phi(\mathcal{P})$ . The vector  $\vec{\sigma}(\beta) - \beta$  is an element of  $\Lambda$  proportional to  $\alpha$ . Since  $\alpha$  has been chosen primitive,  $\vec{\sigma}(\beta) - \beta$  is an <span id="page-5-0"></span>entire multiple of  $\alpha$ .

The root system  $\Phi(\mathcal{P})$  is said to be *associated* to P. We denote by  $\Lambda_{\mathcal{P}}$ and by  $\Lambda_R$ , respectively the weight and root lattices of  $\Phi(\mathcal{P})$ . We have:

**Proposition 3.2** The lattices  $\Lambda$ ,  $\Lambda_R$  and  $\Lambda_P$  satisfy:  $\Lambda_R \subset \Lambda \subset \Lambda_P$ .

PROOF. The inclusion  $\Lambda_R \subset \Lambda$  is obvious. Let  $\alpha \in \Phi(\mathcal{P})$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . We have to prove that  $\langle \lambda, \alpha^{\vee} \rangle$  belongs to  $\mathbb{Z}$ , where  $\alpha^{\vee}$  is the coroot associated to  $\alpha$ . But,  $\sigma_{\alpha}(\lambda) = \lambda - \langle \lambda, \alpha^{\vee} \rangle$  a belongs to  $\Lambda$ . We can conclude since  $\alpha$ is primitive on  $\Lambda$ .

In the two following propositions,  $P$  is assumed to be centered in  $O$ . In this case, Isom(P) is a subgroup of  $GL(\Lambda)$ . Let  $Aut(\Phi(\mathcal{P})) = \{q \in$  $GL(\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}})$  |  $q.\Phi(\mathcal{P}) = \Phi(\mathcal{P})$ } denote the automorphism group of  $\Phi(\mathcal{P})$  and W denote the Weyl group of  $\Phi(\mathcal{P})$ . Note that  $Aut(\Phi(\mathcal{P}))$  is the semidirect product of W and the automorphisms of the Dynkin diagram of  $\Phi(\mathcal{P})$ .

**Proposition 3.3** Let  $P$  be a centered regular lattice polytope. We have:

- (i)  $W \subset \text{Isom}(\mathcal{P}) \subset \text{Aut}(\Phi(\mathcal{P})).$
- (ii) The lattice  $\Lambda$  is stable by  $\text{Isom}(\mathcal{P})$ .
- (iii) The root system  $\Phi(\mathcal{P})$  is homogeneous under Isom( $\mathcal{P}$ ).

**PROOF.** The inclusion  $W \subset \text{Isom}(\mathcal{P})$  is a direct consequence of the proof of Proposition [3.1.](#page-4-0) The rest of the proposition is obvious.  $\Box$ 

Obviously, Isom( $\mathcal{P}$ ) acts transitively on the set of vertices of  $\mathcal{P}$ . The following proposition shows a little bit more:

**Proposition 3.4** The regular lattice polytope  $P$  is assumed to be centered. The Weyl group W acts transitively on the set of vertices of  $\mathcal{P}$ .

PROOF. Since any edge of  $\mathcal P$  is parallel to a root of  $\Phi(\mathcal P)$ , any maximal cone of the dual fan of  $\mathcal P$  is an union of Weyl chambers. But, W acts transitively on the set of Weyl chambers. The proposition follows.

A face of a regular polytope is a regular polytope. Here, one can say a little bit more:

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Proposition 3.5** Let F be a face of a regular lattice polytope P and F its direction. Then,  $\mathcal F$  is a regular lattice polytope with associated root system  $\Phi(\mathcal{P}) \cap F$ .

**PROOF.** We may assume that  $\mathcal{P}$  is centered. It is clear that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a lattice regular polytope with root system  $\Phi(\mathcal{F})$  contained in  $\Phi(\mathcal{P}) \cap F$ . Let  $\alpha \in$  $\Phi(\mathcal{P}) \cap F$ : we have to prove that  $\alpha$  is parallel to an edge of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

We claim that the reflection  $\sigma_{\alpha}$  of W associated to  $\alpha$  stabilizes  $\mathcal{F}$ . Let A be a point of F. The vector  $\sigma_{\alpha}(A) - A$  is collinear to  $\alpha$  and so belongs to F. But,  $\mathcal{F} = (A + F) \cap \mathcal{P}$ ; and so  $\sigma_{\alpha}(A)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Consider the kernel  $H_{\alpha}$  of  $\sigma_{\alpha}$  – Id. Firstly, we assume that  $H_{\alpha} \cap \mathcal{F}$  does not contain any vertex. Then, there exists an edge  $a$  of  $\mathcal F$  which intersects  $H_{\alpha}$ . Since a and  $\sigma_{\alpha}(a)$  are edges of F, we have  $a = \sigma_{\alpha}(a)$ . In particular, a is parallel to  $\alpha$ .

We now assume that s is a vertex of P in  $H_{\alpha} \cap \mathcal{F}$ . Let b be an edge of F containing s. Let  $\beta$  be a root parallel to b. This root  $\beta$  is neither orthogonal neither collinear to  $\alpha$ ; so, Proposition [3.3](#page-5-0) implies that  $\Phi(\mathcal{P}) \cap \text{Vect}(\alpha, \beta)$  is a root system of type  $A_2$ . Changing  $\alpha$  by  $-\alpha$  we may assume that  $\alpha + \beta$  is a root. One easily checks that  $\sigma_{\alpha+\beta}(b)$  is parallel to  $\alpha$ .

## 4 Dual Polytope

In this section, we define two notions of the dual of a centered regular lattice polytope P. Before, we recall the situation in the euclidean case.

#### 4.1 The real case

Let E be a finite dimensional real vector space. Let  $\mathcal P$  be a convex polytope in  $E$  containing 0 in its interior. We denote by  $E^*$  the dual of  $E$  and set:

$$
\mathcal{P}^* = \{ \varphi \in E^* \text{ s.t. } \varphi_{|\mathcal{P}} \ge -1 \}.
$$

It is known that  $\mathcal{P}^*$  is a convex polytope, called dual of  $\mathcal{P}$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{P}^*$ contains 0 in its interior and the dual  $\mathcal{P}^{**}$  of  $\mathcal{P}^*$  equals  $\mathcal{P}$  modulo the natural identification between  $E$  and  $E^{**}$ . There is an inclusion-reversing combinatorial correspondence between the *i*-dimensional faces of  $P$  and the  $(n-1-i)$ -

dimensional faces of  $\mathcal{P}^*$ . In particular, if E is euclidean and P is regular,  $\mathcal{P}^*$ is regular too with an isomorphic isometry group.

Now, we assume that  $E$  is euclidean,  $\mathcal P$  is regular and the barycenter of the vertices of  $P$  is 0. Consider the convex hull  $P^{\vee}$  of the barycenters of the facets of  $\mathcal P$ . With the scalar product, one may identify  $E$  and its dual: modulo this identification and under our assumptions  $\mathcal{P}^*$  are  $\mathcal{P}^{\vee}$  are positively proportional. In particular,  $\mathcal{P}^{\vee}$  is regular with the same group as  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{P}^{\vee\vee}$ is positively proportional to  $\mathcal{P}$ .

The two above constructions of the dual of a regular euclidean polytope can be adapted to regular lattice polytopes: but the two so obtained notions differ.

#### 4.2 The lattice case

The lattice  $\Lambda^* := \text{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z})$  is called the dual of  $\Lambda$ . Let P be a lattice polytope in  $E$  containing  $\theta$  in its interior. Consider

$$
Q = \{ \varphi \in \Lambda^* \otimes \mathbb{R} \text{ s.t. } \varphi_{|\mathcal{P}} \ge -1 \}.
$$

It is a convex polytope in  $\Lambda^* \otimes \mathbb{R}$  containing 0 in its interior. But, its vertices do not necessarily belong to  $\Lambda^*$  but only to  $\Lambda^* \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{P}^*$  the only primitive lattice polytope positively proportional to Q. This lattice polytope  $\mathcal{P}^*$  is called the \*-dual of  $\mathcal{P}$ .

Using the properties of  $\mathcal{P}^*$  in the real case, one easily check that if  $\mathcal P$  is primitive  $\mathcal{P}^{**} = \mathcal{P}$  and that if  $\mathcal P$  is centered regular so is  $\mathcal{P}^*$ .

Now,  $P$  is assumed to be a centered regular lattice polytope. There exist a unique positive rational number  $k$  such that the barycenters of the vertices of the facets of k.P are primitive vectors in  $\Lambda$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{P}^{\vee}$  and call  $\vee$ -dual of  $P$  the convex hull of its barycenters.

Since Isom( $\mathcal{P}$ ) is finite, there exists a scalar product on  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that  $\mathcal{P}$ is an euclidean regular polytope in  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Then, using the results stated in Section [4.1,](#page-6-0) one easily checks that if  $\mathcal{P}^{\vee}$  is regular with the same group as  $\mathcal{P}$ and that if moreover  $P$  is primitive then  $P^{\vee\vee} = P$ .

The polytopes  $\mathcal{P}^*$  and  $\mathcal{P}^{\vee}$  are not equivalent. For example, in dimension two, the two triangles are their own ∨-dual and the ∗-dual one of the other. In Table 1, we give the ∨-dual and ∗-dual of each regular lattice polytope.

### 5 Classification

In this section, we will obtain the classification of the centerd regular lattice polytopes.

Let us start by reducing the list of possible root systems. Let  $\mathcal P$  be a primitive centered regular lattice polytope in  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$  of dimension n with associated root system  $\Phi$ . By Proposition [3.3](#page-5-0) Aut $(\Phi)$  acts transitively on Φ. Moreover, by Proposition [3.5](#page-5-0), there exists a Levi subsystem Φ′ of Φ of rank  $n-1$  which is the root system of a regular polytope Q with Isom( $Q$ ) contained in the stabilizer of  $\Phi'$  in Isom( $P$ ). One easily deduces that the type of  $\Phi$  is

$$
A_1^n
$$
,  $A_n$ ,  $D_n$ ,  $E_6$ ,  $E_7$  or  $E_8$ .

Conversely, let  $\Phi$  be a root system in the above list. Let us choose a set of simple roots of  $\Phi$ . By Proposition [3.4,](#page-5-0) the vertices of a centered primitive lattice polytope  $\mathcal P$  with associated root system  $\Phi$  are the orbit by W of a unique dominant vertex  $s_0$  in  $\Lambda_P$ . Such of polytope  $\mathcal P$  is also given with a sublattice  $\Lambda$  of  $\Lambda_P$  containing  $\Lambda_R$ . Moreover, the polytope  $\mathcal P$  is completely determined by  $\Phi$ ,  $s_0$  and  $\Lambda$ . Finally, the polytopes obtained from a pair  $(s_0, \Lambda)$  and its image by an automorphism of  $\Phi$  are equivalent. In Sections [5.1](#page-9-0) to [5.4](#page-12-0), for each possible  $\Phi$  we give all the possible pairs  $(s_0, \Lambda)$  up to the action of  $Aut(\Phi)$ .

The last step consists to show that each given triple  $(\Phi, s_0, \Lambda)$  gives really a regular lattice polytope. One has to check that the stabilizer of  $\Lambda$  in  $Aut(\Phi)$ acts transitively on the complete flags of the convex hull  $\mathcal P$  of  $W.s_0$  and that  $\Phi$  is the root system of  $\mathcal{P}$ . The first verification can be made by checking the equality of the cardinality of  $\text{Isom}(\mathcal{P})$  and the set of complete flags of  $\mathcal{P}$ . Using the action of  $\text{Isom}(\mathcal{P})$  the second is equivalent to check that one root is primitive on one edge of  $P$ . Thereafter, these verifications are left to the reader.

# <span id="page-9-0"></span>5.1 Root System  $A_1^n$

Here, we assume that the root system  $\Phi$  associated to the primitive centered regular lattice polytope  $P$  is of type  $A_1^n$ . Let  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$  be a set of fundamental weights of  $\Phi$ . Then,  $\Lambda_P = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\omega_n$  and  $\Lambda_R = \mathbb{Z}.2\omega_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}.2\omega_n$ . Let  $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  such that the unique dominant vertex  $s_0$  is  $\sum k_i \omega_i$ . By the action of W, the vertices of  $P$  are the  $\sum_i \pm k_i \omega_i$ . In particular,  $P$  has  $n!2^n$ complete flags and  $\text{Isom}(\mathcal{P}) = \text{Aut}(\Phi)$ . This implies that all the  $k_i$ 's are equal:  $s_0 = k$ .  $\sum_i \omega_i$ . Reciprocally, Aut $(\mathcal{P})$  acts transitively on the set of flags of the convex hull of the  $k$ .  $\sum_i \pm \omega_i$ .

Now, we have to determine the possible lattices Λ. Necessarily,  $\Lambda/\Lambda_R$ is a subgroup of  $\Lambda_P/\Lambda_R \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$  stable by the action of  $\text{Aut}(\Phi)$  acting on  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$  by permutations. By using for example the canonical bijection between  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$  and the set of the subsets of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , one easily checks that the only possibilities for  $\Lambda$  are:

- (i)  $\Lambda = \Lambda_R$ ,
- (ii)  $\Lambda = \{\sum_i k_i \omega_i \mid k_i \text{ all even or all odd}\},\$
- (iii)  $\Lambda = \{\sum_i k_i \omega_i \mid \sum_i k_i \text{ even}\},\$
- (iv)  $\Lambda = \Lambda_P$ .

But, the edges of the polytopes obtained with  $\Lambda = \Lambda_P$  are parallel to the  $\omega_i$ 's; so, the root system of  $P$  is  $\{\pm \omega_i\}$  which is a contradiction. Moreover, for  $n = 2$ , the second and third lattices equals. So, we obtain two primitive squares in dimension 2, and three primitive cubes for each dimension  $n \geq 3$ .

In Table 1, for each choice of  $\Lambda$ , we give a notation for the class of the corresponding cube C, the vertex  $s_0$ , the cardinalities of  $\mathcal{C} \cap \Lambda$  and of the intersection of C and an edge of  $\Lambda$ . We also give the class of the facets of C and of its ∨ and ∗ duals. All these results are obtained by direct calculations and prove that these cubes are ended non equivalent.

#### 5.2 Root System  $D_n$

For convenience, we set  $D_1 = A_1$ ,  $D_2 = A_1 \times A_1$  and  $D_3 = A_3$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C}^n$  be one of the cubes obtained in the preceding section with  $n \geq 2$ . Its  $*$ -dual polytope  $\mathcal{CC}^n$  is a primitive regular centered lattice polytope with 2n vertices and Isom( $\mathcal{CC}^n$ ) isomorphic to Aut( $A_1^n$ ). We easily deduce that

the root system of  $\mathcal{CC}^n$  is of type  $D_n$  and  $\text{Isom}(\mathcal{CC}^n) = \text{Aut}(D_n)$ , for any  $n \geq 2$ .

Since the facets of a cube are cubes, the stabilizer of  $s_0$  in  $\text{Aut}(D_n)$  is isomorphic to Aut $(D_{n-1})$ ; then, we may assume that  $s_0 = k.\omega_1$  for a positive integer k.

The lattice  $\Lambda$  must be stable by the action of  $\text{Aut}(D_{n-1})$ ; there are three possibilities(for  $n \geq 3$  and with notation of [[Bou02](#page-13-0)]):

- (i)  $\Lambda = \Lambda_B$ ,
- (ii)  $\Lambda = \bigoplus_i \mathbb{Z} \varepsilon_i$ ,
- (iii)  $\Lambda = \Lambda_P$ .

So, we obtain three cocubes for each  $n \geq 3$  (see Table 1).

For  $n = 2$ , the two squares are  $\ast$ -dual one of the other; in particular, the cosquares are squares.

Now, let  $P$  be a primitive centered regular lattice polytope with root system  $D_n$   $(n \geq 4)$  which is not a cocube. Using Proposition [3.3](#page-5-0) one easily checks that the root system of  $\mathcal{P}^{\vee}$  is  $D_n$  too. The stabilizer of the dominant vertex  $s_0$  in W is the stabilizer in W of a facet of  $\mathcal{P}^{\vee}$ . By Proposition [3.5](#page-5-0) it is the Weyl group of a Levy subsystem of  $\Phi$  which is the root system associated to a regular polytope of dimension  $n-1$ . We can deduce that  $n \geq 5$  and  $s_0 = k \omega_n$  or  $s_0 = k \omega_{n-1}$ , or  $n = 4$  and  $s_0$  is the multiple of any fundamental weight.

For  $n \geq 5$ , the two cases are equivalent using the action of Aut $(\Phi)$ . We claim that the convex hull Q of W.k $\omega_{n-1}$  is not a regular polytope. In an adapted base  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  of  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ , the vertices of  $\mathcal Q$  are the  $\sum_i \delta_i e_i$  with  $\delta_i = \pm 1$ and  $\Pi \delta_i = 1$ . Let  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  denote the dual base of  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ . Consider the two linear forms  $\phi = x_1 + \cdots + x_{n-1} - x_n$  and  $\psi = x_1$ . The affine hyperplane  $\phi = n - 2$  define a facet of Q which is a simplex. But  $\psi = 1$  is a facet with  $2^{n-2}$  vertices. So,  $\mathcal Q$  is not regular since  $n \geq 5$ .

For  $n = 4$ , the three fundamental weights  $\omega_1$ ,  $\omega_3$  and  $\omega_4$  are equivalent modulo Aut $(D_4)$  and give the cocubes. Consider the case  $s_0 = k.\omega_2$ . Since  $\omega_2$  is the longest root,  $k = 1$  and the vertices of the convex hull P of  $W \omega_2$ are the 24 roots of  $D_4$  and Isom( $\mathcal{P}$ ) = Aut( $D_4$ ).

Let  $(x_1, \dots, x_4)$  be the dual basis of  $(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_4)$  (with notation of [\[Bou02](#page-13-0)]). One easily check that the affine hyperplane  $\sum x_i = 2$  defines a facet of P which is a regular cocube. By the action of  $Aut(D_4)$ , one obtains the 24 facets:

- $-2 \leq x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 \leq 2$ ,
- $-1 \leq x_i \leq 1$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, 4$ ,
- $-2 \le \sum_{j \ne i} x_j x_i \le 2$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, 4$ ,
- $x_i + x_j x_k + x_l \leq 2$ , for  $\{i, j, k, l\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  and  $i \leq j$  and  $k \leq l$ .

In particular,  $P$  is regular.

Moreover,  $\Lambda_P/\Lambda_R$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  and the only lattices  $\Lambda$  stable by Aut $(D_4)$  such that  $\Lambda_R \subset \Lambda \subset \Lambda_P$  are  $\Lambda_P$  and  $\Lambda_R$ . So, we obtain two classes of centered primitive regular lattice polytopes called 24 cells polytopes. We denote by  $\mathcal{D}_1^4$  those obtained with  $\Lambda = \Lambda_P$  and  $\mathcal{D}_2^4$  those obtained with  $\Lambda = \Lambda_R$ .

The dominant weights in P are:  $\omega_2$ ,  $\omega_1$ ,  $\omega_3$ ,  $\omega_4$ ,  $\omega_1 + \omega_3$  and 0. By acting W we deduce that  $\mathcal{P} \cap \Lambda_{\mathcal{P}}$  contains  $24 + 6 + 6 + 6 + 32 + 1 = 81$  points and  $\mathcal{P} \cap \Lambda_R$  contains 24 + 1 = 25 points. This gives the cardinality of  $\mathcal{D}_i^4 \cap \Lambda$ , for  $i = 1$  and 2.

Since the two 24-cells are the only lattice regular polytopes in dimension four with isomorphism group  $\text{Aut}(D_4)$  the ∨-dual of  $\mathcal{D}_1^4$  is either  $\mathcal{D}_2^4$  or itself. But, one easily checks that the barycenter of the facet  $\sum_i x_i = 2 \cap \mathcal{P}$  is 1  $\frac{1}{2}\sum_i \varepsilon_i = \omega_4$  and belongs to  $\Lambda_P$ . We deduce that the dual  $\mathcal{D}_1^4$ ∨ contains strictly less points of  $\Lambda$  than  $\mathcal{D}_1^4$ . Finally,  $\mathcal{D}_1^{4\vee} = \mathcal{D}_2^4$  and  $\mathcal{D}_2^{4\vee} = \mathcal{D}_1^4$ .

**Remark** In this section, we have considered the cocubes for any  $n > 2$ . But, the others polytopes with associated root systems of type  $D_n$  have only be considered when  $n \geq 4$ . The case  $n = 2$  has been made in Section [5.1](#page-9-0) and those with  $n = 3$  will be considered in Section 5.3.

#### 5.3 Root system  $A_n$

Consider a primitive centered regular lattice polytope  $P$  with root system  $\Phi$  of type  $A_n$  with  $n \geq 3$ . Because of the orders of the Weyl groups, the root system of  $\mathcal{P}^{\vee}$  cannot be of type  $E_n$ . So, we may assume that the root system of  $\mathcal{P}^{\vee}$  is also of type  $A_n$ ; if not, we have already meet  $\mathcal{P}$ .

By Proposition [3.5,](#page-5-0) the stabilizer of  $s_0$  which is the stabilizer of a facet of  $\mathcal{P}^{\vee}$  in Isom( $\mathcal{P}$ ) must contains the Weyl group of a root system of type  $A_{n-1}$ 

<span id="page-12-0"></span>or  $A_1 \times A_1$  for  $n = 3$ . This implies that if  $n \geq 4$  then  $s_0$  equals  $k \omega_1$  or  $k \omega_n$ , if  $n = 3$  then  $s_0$  is a fundamental weight and implies no restriction on  $s_0$  if  $n=2$ .

Firstly, we assume that  $s_0$  is neither proportional to  $\omega_1$  or  $\omega_n$ .

Let us fix  $n = 2$ . Under our assumption,  $P$  is an hexagon and Isom( $P$ ) = Aut(A<sub>2</sub>). We deduce that  $s_0 = k.(\omega_1 + \omega_2)$ : this gives easily two regular hexagons obtained with  $\Lambda$  equal to  $\Lambda_R$  and  $\Lambda_P$ .

If  $n = 3$ , our assumption implies that  $s_0 = k.\omega_2$ . So, we obtain the three cocubes considered in Section [5.2](#page-9-0).

We now assume that  $s_0$  is proportional to  $\omega_1$ . The case when  $s_0$  is proportional to  $\omega_n$  is equivalent up to Aut( $\Phi$ ). The polytope  $\mathcal P$  is the convex hull of  $W.k.\omega_1$  that is of the  $k.\varepsilon_i$ 's. In particular,  $P$  is a simplex and is regular with  $\text{Isom}(\mathcal{P}) = W$ .

The lattice  $\Lambda$  can be any lattice between  $\Lambda_R$  and  $\Lambda_P$ . Since  $\Lambda_P / \Lambda_R \simeq$  $\mathbb{Z}/(n+1)\mathbb{Z}$ , for each divisor d of  $n+1$  we have exactly one  $\Lambda_d$  such that  $\Lambda_P/\Lambda_d \simeq \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ . For  $\Lambda_d$  and  $k = d$ ,  $\mathcal P$  is a primitive simplex denoted by  $S_d^n$ . Direct calculation shows that the edges of  $S_d^n$  contain  $d+1$  points. In particular they are pairwise non isomorphic.

The cardinality of  $S_d^n \cap \Lambda$  is a little bit complicated to express. For any  $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}/(n+1)\mathbb{Z}$ , we denote by  $c(\tau)$  the cardinality of the following set

$$
\{a_1, \cdots, a_{n+1} \in \tau \cap \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } \sum a_i = d(n+1)\}.
$$

Then, one easily checks that the cardinality of  $\mathcal{S}_d^n \cap \Lambda$  is

$$
\sum_{\tau \in \mathbb{Z}/(n+1)\mathbb{Z}} c(\tau).
$$

It would be interesting to simplify this formula !

#### 5.4 Root systems  $E_n$

By absurd, we will prove that there is no regular lattice polytope  $P$  with root system of type  $E_6$ . We may assume that  $\mathcal P$  is primitive and centered. Obviously, the root system of  $\mathcal{P}^{\vee}$  is necessarily  $E_6$ . Moreover the root system of a face of  $P$  is either  $D_5$  or  $A_5$ . The first case is not possible because the regular polytopes with  $D_5$  as root systems have  $Aut(D_5)$  as isomorphism <span id="page-13-0"></span>group. But,  $Aut(D_5)$  is not contained in the stabilizer of  $D_5$  in  $Aut(E_6)$ . In the second case, one has necessarily  $s_0 = \omega_2$  that is the longest root. So, the vertices of  $P$  are the roots of  $E_6$ . By Proposition [3.5,](#page-5-0)  $P$  has a facet parallel to the Levy subsystem of type  $A_5$ . It follows an easy contradiction.

The same argument shows that there is no regular lattice polytope with root system of type  $E_7$  and  $E_8$ .

### 6 Description of the regular lattice polytopes

In the following tabular, for each primitive centered regular lattice polytope P, we give a notation, its root system, the group  $\text{Isom}(\mathcal{P})$  its lattice  $\Lambda$ , its dominant vertex  $s_0$ , the cardinalities of  $\mathcal{P} \cap \Lambda$ , the number of points in  $\Lambda$ on an edge of  $P$ , primitive centered regular lattice polytope equivalent to the facets of  $P$  and the duals of  $P^{\vee}$  and  $P^*$ . All these elements allow us to distinguish two non isomorphic lattice polytopes.

Proofs are given in the preceding section, the others are simple calculation left to the reader.

Remark In even dimension more than four, there exist three classes of cocube. Two of these three cocubes have the same simplex as facet and the third another one. In contradiction in [Kar06], the three cocubes have the same simplex as facet.

## References

- [Bou02] Nicolas Bourbaki, Lie groups and Lie algebras. Chapters 4–6, Elements of Mathematics (Berlin), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002, Translated from the 1968 French original by Andrew Pressley.
- [Kar06] O. Karpenkov, Classification of lattice-regular lattice convex polytopes, arXiv (2006), 1–20, math.CO/0602193.
- [Oda88] Tadao Oda, Convex bodies and algebraic geometry, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], vol. 15, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988, An introduction to the theory of toric varieties, Translated from the Japanese.

<span id="page-14-0"></span>[Pro90] C. Procesi, The toric variety associated to Weyl chambers, Mots, Lang. Raison. Calc., Hermès, Paris, 1990, pp. 153-161.



Remark: We have the following exeptional equalities in dimension two:  $\mathcal{C}_2^2 = \mathcal{C}_3^2$  and  $\mathcal{C}_1^{2'} = C_2^2$ .

Table 1: List of the centered primitive regular lattice polytopes