

# Reduced-order filter for stochastic bilinear systems with multiplicative noise

Souheil Halabi, Harouna Souley Ali, Hugues Rafaralahy, Michel Zasadzinski,

Mohamed Darouach

### ► To cite this version:

Souheil Halabi, Harouna Souley Ali, Hugues Rafaralahy, Michel Zasadzinski, Mohamed Darouach. Reduced-order filter for stochastic bilinear systems with multiplicative noise. 5th IFAC Symposium on Robust Control Design, ROCOND 2006, Jul 2006, Toulouse, France. pp.CDROM. hal-00098058

## HAL Id: hal-00098058 https://hal.science/hal-00098058v1

Submitted on 23 Sep 2006

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Reduced-order filter for stochastic bilinear systems with multiplicative noise

S. Halabi, H. Souley Ali, H. Rafaralahy, M. Zasadzinski, M. Darouach

Université Henri Poincaré – Nancy I,

CRAN UMR 7039 - CNRS

IUT de Longwy,

186, rue de Lorraine, 54400 Cosnes et Romain, FRANCE

e-mail : {shalabi,mzasad}@iut-longwy.uhp-nancy.fr

Abstract— This paper deals with the design of a reducedorder  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  filter for a stochastic bilinear systems with a prescribed  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  norm criterion. The problem is transformed into the search of a unique gain matrix by using a Sylvester-like condition on the drift term. The considered system is bilinear in control and with multiplicative noise in the state and in the measurement equations. The approach is based on the resolution of LMI and is then easily implementable. *Keywords*—Reduced-order  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  filter, Itô's formula, Stochastic systems, Bilinear systems, Lyapunov function.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The bilinear systems represent sometimes a good mean to physical systems modeling when the linear representation is not sufficiently significant. The stochastic systems get a great importance during the last decades as shown by numerous references (Kozin, 1969; Has'minskii, 1980; Florchinger, 1995; Mao, 1997; Carravetta *et al.*, 2000; Germani *et al.*, 2002; Xu and Chen, 2003).

Generally, bilinear stochastic system designs a stochastic system with multiplicative noise instead of additive one (Carravetta *et al.*, 2000; Germani *et al.*, 2002). The full and the reduced-order  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  filtering for stochastic systems with multiplicative noise has been treated in many papers (Hinrichsen and Pritchard, 1998; Gershon *et al.*, 2001; Xu and Chen, 2002; Stoica, 2002). Notice that the measurement equation in (Xu and Chen, 2002; Stoica, 2002) is not corrupted by noise. The problem is solved in terms of two LMIs and a coupling non convex rank constraint.

In this paper the problem of reduced-order  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  filtering for a larger class of stochastic systems than those studied in the papers cited above is considered since the studied systems are with multiplicative noise and multiplicative control input (the bilinearity is also between the state and the control input). The measurements are subjected to a multiplicative noise too. Notice that, as in the deterministic case, the multiplicative control input affects the observability of the system.

The purpose is to design a reduced-order  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  filter for such a system. We first use a "unbiasedness" (decoupling) condition on the drift part of the estimation error and a change of variable on the control input. Then applying the Itô formula and LMI method permit to reduce the problem to the search of a unique gain matrix. The reduced-order stochastic filter matrices are then computed using this gain.

Throughout the paper,  $\mathbf{E}$  represents expectation operator with respect to some probability measure  $\mathcal{P}$ .  $\langle X, Y \rangle = X^T Y$ represents the inner product of the vectors  $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

herm(A) stands for  $A + A^T$ .

 $L_2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^k)$  is the space of square-integrable  $\mathbb{R}^k$ -valued functions on the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$  where  $\Omega$  is the sample space called events and  $\mathcal{P}$  is the probability measure on  $\mathcal{F}$ .  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  denote an increasing family of  $\sigma$ -algebras  $(\mathcal{F}_t) \in \mathcal{F}$ . We also denote by  $\hat{L}_2([0,\infty); \mathbb{R}^k)$  the space of non-anticipatory square-integrable stochastic process  $f(.) = (f(t))_{t \in [0,\infty)}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  with respect to  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0,\infty)}$  satisfying

$$\|f\|_{\hat{L}_{2}}^{2} = \mathbf{E}\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty} \|f(t)\|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}\,t\right\} < \infty$$

where  $\|.\|$  is the well-known Euclidean norm.

#### II. PROBLEM STATEMENT

Let us consider the following stochastic bilinear system

$$\begin{cases} dx(t) = (A_{t0}x(t) + u_1(t)A_{t1}x(t)) dt \\ +B_0v(t) dt + A_{w0}x(t) dw_0(t) \\ dy(t) = Cx(t) dt + J_1x(t) dw_1(t) \\ z(t) = Lx(t) \end{cases}$$
(1)

where  $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the state vector,  $y(t) \in \mathbb{R}^p$  is the output,  $u_1(t) \in \mathbb{R}$  is the control input,  $z(t) \in \mathbb{R}^r$  is a linear combination of the state vector with r < n and  $v(t) \in \mathbb{R}^q$  is the perturbation signal. Without loss of generality L is assumed to be a full row rank matrix.  $w_i(t)$  is a Wiener process verifying (Has'minskii, 1980)

**E** 
$$(d w_i(t)) = 0$$
, **E** $(d w_i(t)^2) = d t$ , for  $i = 0, 1$ , (2a)

$$\mathbf{E} (d w_0(t) d w_1(t)) = \mathbf{E} (d w_1(t) d w_0(t)) = \varphi d t ,$$

with 
$$|\varphi| < 1.$$
 (2b)

As in the most cases for physical processes, we assume that the stochastic bilinear system (1) has known bounded control input, i.e.  $u_1(t) \in \Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}$ , where

$$\Gamma = \{ u_1(t) \in \mathbb{R} \mid u_{1\min} \leqslant u_1(t) \leqslant u_{1\max} \}.$$
(3)

The study made here can be easily generalized for the case where there are m control inputs.

First, we introduce the following definition and assumption.

**Definition 1.** (Kozin, 1969; Has'minskii, 1980) The stochastic system (1) with  $v(t) \equiv 0$  is said to be asymptotically mean-square stable if all initial states x(0) yields

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \mathbf{E} \| x(t) \|^2 = 0, \quad \forall u_1(t) \in \Gamma.$$
(4)

Assumption 1. The stochastic bilinear system (1) is assumed to be asymptotically mean-square stable.

In this paper, the aim is to design a reduced-order filter in the following form

$$d\eta(t) = (M_0 + u_1(t)M_1)\eta(t) dt + (N_0 + u_1(t)N_1) dy(t)$$
(5)

where  $\eta(t) \in \mathbb{R}^r$  is the filter state with r < n and the matrices  $M_i$  and  $N_i$  (for i = 0, 1) are to be determined. Then the following problem is considered.

**Problem 1.** Given a real  $\gamma > 0$ , the goal is to design a asymptotically mean-square stable reduced-order  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  filter (5) such that the augmented state  $[x^{T}(t) e^{T}(t)]^{T}$  is asymptotically mean-square stable and the following  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  performance

$$\|e(t)\|_{\hat{L}_{2}}^{2} \leqslant \gamma \|v(t)\|_{\hat{L}_{2}}^{2}$$
(6)

is achieved from the disturbance v(t) to the filtering error  $e(t) = z(t) - \eta(t).$ 

Let us consider the following estimation error

$$e(t) = Lx(t) - \eta(t). \tag{7}$$

So the estimation error dynamics becomes

$$d e(t) = (M_0 + M_1 u_1(t)) e(t) dt + LB_0 v(t) dt + \{(LA_{t0} - M_0 L - N_0 C) + (LA_{t1} - M_1 L - N_1 C) u_1(t)\} x(t) dt + LA_{w0} x(t) d w_0(t) - ((N_0 + u_1(t)N_1) J_1 x(t) d w_1(t).$$
(8)

In order to supress the direct effect of the state x(t) on the drift part of the filtering error, we consider the following Sylvester-like conditions

$$LA_{ti} - M_i L - N_i C = 0, \qquad i = 0, 1.$$
(9)

Let us consider the following augmented state vector

$$\xi^T(t) = \left[ x^T(t) \ e^T(t) \right]. \tag{10}$$

Then under (9), the dynamics of the augmented system is given by

$$d\xi(t) = (\mathcal{A}_{t0} + \mathcal{A}_{t1}u_1(t))\xi(t) dt + \mathcal{B}_0v(t) dt + \mathcal{A}_{w0}\xi(t) dw_0(t) + (\mathcal{A}_{w1} + \mathcal{A}_{w2}u_1(t))\xi(t) dw_1,$$
(11)

with

$$\mathcal{A}_{ti} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{ti} & 0\\ 0 & M_i \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{for} \quad i = 0, 1,$$
$$\mathcal{B}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} B_0\\ LB_0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{A}_{w0} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{w0} & 0\\ LA_{w0} & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$\mathcal{A}_{w1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0\\ -N_0 J_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{A}_{w2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0\\ -N_1 J_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In the sequel the relations (9) are used to express the filter matrices through a single gain matrix.

In fact, since L is a full row rank matrix, relations (9) are equivalent to

$$(LA_{ti} - M_i L - N_i C) [L^{\dagger} \quad (I_n - L^{\dagger} L)] = 0,$$
  
for  $i = 0, 1.$  (13)

where  $L^{\dagger}$  is a generalized inverse of matrix L satisfying L = $LL^{\dagger}L$  (Lancaster and Tismenetsky, 1985) (since rank L = r, we have  $LL^{\dagger} = I_r$ ).

Relations (13) give

$$0 = LA_{ti}L^{\dagger} - M_i - N_iCL^{\dagger} \quad \text{for} \quad i = 0, 1, \qquad (14a)$$

$$0 = L\overline{A}_i - N_i\overline{C} \quad \text{for} \quad i = 0, 1, \tag{14b}$$

where

$$\overline{A}_i = A_{ti}(I_n - L^{\dagger}L) \quad \text{for} \quad i = 0, 1, \tag{15a}$$

$$\overline{C} = C(I_n - L^{\dagger}L). \tag{15b}$$

The relation (14a) gives

$$M_i = \overline{A}_i - N_i \overline{C}, \quad \text{for} \quad i = 0, 1,$$
 (16)

where

$$\overline{\overline{A}}_i = LA_{ti}L^{\dagger}, \quad \text{for} \quad i = 0, 1, \quad (17a)$$
$$\overline{\overline{C}} = CL^{\dagger}. \quad (17b)$$

$$Y = CL'. \tag{17b}$$

The relation (14b) becomes

$$\mathcal{K}\Sigma = L\overline{A},\tag{18}$$

where

$$\mathcal{K} = \begin{bmatrix} N_0 & N_1 \end{bmatrix},\tag{19}$$

$$\overline{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{A}_0 & \overline{A}_1 \end{bmatrix},\tag{20}$$

$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{C} & 0\\ 0 & \overline{C} \end{bmatrix},\tag{21}$$

and a general solution to equation (18), if it exists, is given by

$$\mathcal{K} = L\overline{A} \ \Sigma^{\dagger} + Z(I_{2p} - \Sigma \ \Sigma^{\dagger}), \qquad (22)$$

where

$$Z = \begin{bmatrix} Z_0 & Z_1 \end{bmatrix},\tag{23}$$

is an arbitrary matrix of appropriate dimensions.

#### III. TRANFORMATION OF THE BILINEAR SYSTEM FILTERING PROBLEM INTO AN UNCERTAIN ONE

As in (Zasadzinski et al., 2003), let us introduce a change of variable on the control  $u_1(t)$  as follows

$$u_1(t) = \alpha_1 + \sigma_1 \varepsilon_1(t) \tag{24}$$

where  $\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sigma_1 \in \mathbb{R}$  are given by

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{2}(u_{1\min} + u_{1\max}), \ \sigma_1 = \frac{1}{2}(u_{1\max} - u_{1\min}).$$
(25)

The new "uncertain" variable is  $\varepsilon_1(t) \in \overline{\Gamma} \subset \mathbb{R}$  where the polytope  $\overline{\Gamma}$  is defined by

$$\overline{\Gamma} = \{\varepsilon_1(t) \in \mathbb{R} \mid \varepsilon_{1\min} = -1 \leqslant \varepsilon_1(t) \leqslant \varepsilon_{1\max} = 1\}.$$
 (26)

Then the error dynamics (8) can be rewritten as

$$d e(t) = \left(\mathbb{A}_t - Z\mathbb{C}_t + (\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_t - Z\widetilde{\mathbb{C}}_t)\Delta_{\varepsilon}(\varepsilon_1(t))H_e\right)e(t) d t + \mathbb{B}_0 v(t) d t + \mathbb{A}_{w0} x(t) d w_0(t) + \left(\mathbb{A}_{w(11)} - Z\mathbb{A}_{w(12)}\right) + (\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{w(11)} - Z\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{w(12)})\Delta_x(\varepsilon_1(t))H_x\right)x(t) d w_1(t)$$
(27)

where

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{A}_{t} &= \overline{A}_{0} + \alpha_{1}\overline{A}_{1} - L\overline{A} \ \Sigma^{\dagger}\Lambda, \qquad \mathbb{C}_{t} = (I_{2p} - \Sigma \ \Sigma^{\dagger})\Lambda, \\ \widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{t} &= \sigma_{1}\overline{\overline{A}}_{1} - L\overline{A} \ \Sigma^{\dagger}\Lambda, \qquad \widetilde{\mathbb{C}}_{t} = (I_{2p} - \Sigma \ \Sigma^{\dagger})\Lambda, \\ \mathbb{B}_{01} &= LB_{0}, \qquad \qquad \mathbb{A}_{w0} = LA_{w0}, \\ \mathbb{A}_{w(11)} &= L\overline{A} \ \Sigma^{\dagger}\Psi_{\alpha}, \qquad \qquad \mathbb{A}_{w(12)} = (I_{2p} - \Sigma \ \Sigma^{\dagger})\Psi_{\alpha}, \\ \widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{w(11)} &= L\overline{A} \ \Sigma^{\dagger}\Psi_{\sigma}, \qquad \qquad \widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{w(12)} = (I_{2p} - \Sigma \ \Sigma^{\dagger})\Psi_{\sigma}, \end{split}$$

and

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} CL^{\dagger} \\ \alpha_1 CL^{\dagger} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Psi_{\alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} -J_1 \\ -\alpha J_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Psi_{\sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -\sigma J_1 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$H_e = I_r, \qquad H_x = I_n,$$

$$\Delta_{\varepsilon}(\varepsilon_1(t)) = \varepsilon_1(t)I_r, \qquad \Delta_x(\varepsilon_1(t)) = \varepsilon_1(t)I_n.$$

Using the definition (26), the matrix  $\Delta_{\varepsilon}(\varepsilon_1(t))$  and  $\Delta_x(\varepsilon_1(t))$  satisfy

$$\|\Delta_{\varepsilon_1}(\varepsilon_1(t))\| \leq 1$$
, and  $\|\Delta_x(\varepsilon_1(t))\| \leq 1$ . (28)

Using (24), the system state equation (see (1)) becomes

$$d x(t) = (A_{t0} + \alpha_1 A_{t1} + \sigma_1 \varepsilon_1(t) A_{t1}) x(t) d t + B_0 v(t) d t + A_{w0} x(t) d w_0(t).$$
(29)

So the augmented system (11) is rewritten as

$$d\xi(t) = \left(\widehat{A}_{t0} + \Delta\widehat{A}_{t0}(t)\right)\xi(t) dt + \widehat{B}_{0}v(t) dt + \widehat{A}_{w0}\xi(t) dw_{0}(t) + \left(\widehat{A}_{w1} + \Delta\widehat{A}_{w1}(t)\right)\xi(t) dw_{1}(t)$$
(30)

where

$$\begin{split} \widehat{A}_{t0} &= \begin{bmatrix} A_{t0} + \alpha_1 A_{t1} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{A}_t - Z\mathbb{C}_t \end{bmatrix}, \\ \Delta \widehat{A}_{t0}(t) &= H_1 \Delta_{\xi}(\varepsilon_1(t)) H_t, \\ \widehat{B}_0 &= \begin{bmatrix} B_0 \\ \mathbb{B}_{01} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \widehat{A}_{w0} &= \begin{bmatrix} A_{w0} & 0 \\ \mathbb{A}_{w0} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \widehat{A}_{w1} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \mathbb{A}_{w(11)} - Z\mathbb{A}_{w(12)} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \Delta \widehat{A}_{w1}(t) &= H_2 \Delta_{\xi}(\varepsilon_1(t)) H_w, \\ H_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 A_{t1} & 0 \\ 0 & \widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_t - Z\widetilde{\mathbb{C}}_t \end{bmatrix}, H_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{w(11)} - Z\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{w(12)} \end{bmatrix}, \\ H_t &= \begin{bmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & I_r \end{bmatrix}, \qquad H_w = \begin{bmatrix} I_n & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \Delta_{\xi}(\varepsilon_1(t)) = \varepsilon_1(t). \end{split}$$

Notice that from (26),  $\Delta_{\xi}(\varepsilon_1(t))$  satisfy

$$\|\Delta_{\xi}(\varepsilon_1(t))\| \leqslant 1. \tag{31}$$

IV. SYNTHESIS OF THE REDUCED-ORDER FILTER Consider the following system obtained from (30)

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}\xi(t) = \left(\widehat{A}_{t0} + \Delta\widehat{A}_{t0}(t)\right)\xi(t)\,\mathrm{d}\,t + \widehat{B}_{0}v(t)\,\mathrm{d}\,t \\ +\widehat{A}_{w0}\,\xi(t)\,\mathrm{d}\,w_{0}(t) + \left(\widehat{A}_{w1} + \Delta\widehat{A}_{w1}(t)\right)\xi(t)\,\mathrm{d}\,w_{1}(t) \qquad (32)\\ e(t) = \widehat{C}\xi(t) \end{cases}$$

where  $\widehat{C} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_r \end{bmatrix}$ .

Then the following theorem is given for the filter synthesis.

**Theorem 1.** The reduced-order  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  filtering problem 1 is solved for the system (1) with the filter (5) such that the augmented system (32) is asymptotically mean-square stable and verifies the  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  performance (6) if, for some reals  $\mu_1 > 0, \mu_2 > 0$  and  $\mu_3 > 0$  there exist matrices  $P_1 = P_1^T >$  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, P_2 = P_2^T > 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times r}, P_3 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}, G_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times 2p}$  and  $G_3 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 2p}$  such that

where

$$(1,1) = (\mu_{1} + \mu_{2} + \varphi\mu_{3})I_{n} + \operatorname{herm} \{P_{1}A_{\alpha 1} + \varphi \left(A_{w0}^{T} \left(P_{3}\mathbb{A}_{w(11)} - G_{3}\mathbb{A}_{w(12)}\right) + \mathbb{A}_{w0}^{T} \left(P_{2}\mathbb{A}_{w(11)} - G_{2}\mathbb{A}_{w(12)}\right)\right)\}, \\(1,2) = A_{\alpha 1}^{T}P_{3} + P_{3}\mathbb{A}_{t} - G_{3}\mathbb{C}_{t}, \\(2,2) = \operatorname{herm} \left(P_{2}\mathbb{A}_{t} - G_{2}\mathbb{C}_{t}\right) + (1 + \mu_{1})I_{r}, \\(1,6) = A_{w0}^{T}P_{1} + \mathbb{A}_{w0}^{T}P_{3}^{T}, \\(1,7) = A_{w0}^{T}P_{3} + \mathbb{A}_{w0}^{T}P_{2}, \\(1,8) = \varphi^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(A_{w0}^{T} \left(P_{3}\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{w(11)} - G_{3}\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{w(12)}\right) + \mathbb{A}_{w0}^{T} \left(P_{2}\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{w(11)} - G_{2}\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{w(12)}\right)\right), \\(1,9) = \mathbb{A}_{w(11)}^{T}P_{3}^{T} - \mathbb{A}_{w(12)}^{T}G_{3}^{T}, \\(1,10) = \mathbb{A}_{w(11)}^{T}P_{2} - \mathbb{A}_{w(12)}^{T}G_{2}^{T}, \\(11,9) = P_{3}\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{w(11)} - G_{3}\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{w(12)}, \\(11,10) = P_{2}\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{w(11)} - G_{2}\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{w(12)}, \\A_{\alpha 1} = A_{t0} + \alpha_{1}A_{t1}, \end{cases}$$

and such that the gain matrices  $G_2$  and  $G_3$  are the solution of the following equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} G_2 \\ G_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} P_2 \\ P_3 \end{bmatrix} Z. \tag{34}$$

Proof. Consider the following Lyapunov function

$$V(\xi) = \xi^T \mathcal{P}\xi, \tag{35}$$

where

$$\mathcal{P} = \begin{bmatrix} P_1 & P_3 \\ P_3^T & P_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (36)

Applying Itô formula (Mao, 1997) to the system (30) (or (32)), we get

$$dV(\xi(t)) = \mathcal{L}V(\xi(t)) dt + 2\xi^{T}(t)\mathcal{P}\Psi(t)\xi(t)$$
(37)

where

$$\Psi(t) = \hat{A}_{w0} \,\mathrm{d}\, w_0(t) + \left(\hat{A}_{w1} + \Delta \hat{A}_{w1}(t)\right) \,\mathrm{d}\, w_1(t), \tag{38}$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}V(\xi(t)) dt = 2\xi^{T}(t) \left( \left( \widehat{A}_{t0} + \Delta \widehat{A}_{t0}(t) \right) \xi(t) + \widehat{B}_{0}v(t) \right) dt + \xi^{T}(t) \langle \mathcal{P}\Psi(t), \Psi(t) \rangle \xi(t).$$
(39)

By replacing (38) and (39), the relation (37) becomes

$$dV(\xi(t)) = 2\xi^{T}(t)\mathcal{P}\left(\left(\widehat{A}_{t0} + \Delta\widehat{A}_{t0}(t)\right)\xi(t) + \widehat{B}_{0}v(t)\right)dt + \xi^{T}(t)\widehat{A}_{w0}^{T}\mathcal{P}\widehat{A}_{w0}\xi(t)dw_{0}(t)^{2} + \xi^{T}(t)\left(\widehat{A}_{w1} + \Delta\widehat{A}_{w1}(t)\right)^{T}\mathcal{P} \times \left(\widehat{A}_{w1} + \Delta\widehat{A}_{w1}(t)\right)\xi(t)dw_{1}(t)^{2} + \xi^{T}(t)\widehat{A}_{w0}^{T}\mathcal{P}\left(\widehat{A}_{w1} + \Delta\widehat{A}_{w1}(t)\right)\xi(t)dw_{0}(t)dw_{1}(t) + \xi^{T}(t)\left(\widehat{A}_{w1} + \Delta\widehat{A}_{w1}(t)\right)^{T}\mathcal{P}\widehat{A}_{w0}\xi(t)dw_{1}(t)dw_{0}(t) + 2\xi^{T}(t)\mathcal{P}\widehat{A}_{w0}\xi(t)dw_{0}(t) + 2\xi^{T}(t)\mathcal{P}\left(\widehat{A}_{w1} + \Delta\widehat{A}_{w1}(t)\right)\xi(t)dw_{1}(t).$$

$$(40)$$

Using the majoration lemma (Wang  $et\ al.,\,1992),\, {\rm it}$  can be shown that

$$2\xi^{T}(t)\mathcal{P}\Delta A_{t0}(t)\xi(t) \leq \xi^{T}(t)\left(\mu_{1}^{-1}\mathcal{P}H_{1}H_{1}^{T}\mathcal{P}+\mu_{1}H_{t}^{T}H_{t}\right)\xi(t),$$
(41)

$$\left( \widehat{A}_{w1} + \Delta \widehat{A}_{w1}(t) \right)^T \mathcal{P} \left( \widehat{A}_{w1} + \Delta \widehat{A}_{w1}(t) \right)$$

$$\leqslant \widehat{A}_{w1}^T \left( \mathcal{P}^{-1} - \mu_2^{-1} H_2 H_2^T \right)^{-1} \widehat{A}_{w1} + \mu_2 H_w^T H_w,$$

$$(42)$$

$$2\xi^{T}(t)\widehat{A}_{w0}^{T}\mathcal{P}\Delta\widehat{A}_{w1}(t)\xi(t)$$

$$\leq \xi^{T}(t)\left(\mu_{3}^{-1}\widehat{A}_{w0}^{T}\mathcal{P}H_{2}H_{2}^{T}\mathcal{P}\widehat{A}_{w0}+\mu_{3}H_{w}^{T}H_{w}\right)\xi(t).$$
(43)

Now, taking the expectation of (40) (see (Mao, 1997)) and using the relations (2) and the last three inequalities, then  $\mathbf{E}\{d V(\xi(t))\}$  can be bounded as

$$\mathbf{E} \{ \mathrm{d} V(\xi(t)) \} \leqslant \mathbf{E} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \xi(t)^T & v(t)^T \end{bmatrix} \Theta_1 \begin{bmatrix} \xi(t) \\ v(t) \end{bmatrix} \mathrm{d} t \right\}$$
(44)

where

$$\Theta_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{P}\widehat{A}_{t0} + \widehat{A}_{t0}^{T}\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{C}^{T}\mathcal{C} \quad \mathcal{P}\widehat{B}_{0} \\ \widehat{B}_{0}^{T}\mathcal{P} & -\gamma^{2}I_{q} \end{bmatrix} \\ + \mu_{1} \begin{bmatrix} H_{t}^{T}H_{t} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \mu_{1}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{P}H_{1}^{T}H_{1}\mathcal{P} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ + \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{A}_{w1}^{T}(\mathcal{P}^{-1} - \mu_{2}^{-1}H_{2}H_{2}^{T})^{-1}\widehat{A}_{w1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \mu_{2} \begin{bmatrix} H_{w}^{T}H_{w} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ + \varphi\mu_{3} \begin{bmatrix} H_{w}^{T}H_{w} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{A}_{w0}^{T}\mathcal{P}\widehat{A}_{w0} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ + \begin{bmatrix} \varphi\mu_{3}^{-1}\left(\widehat{A}_{w0}^{T}\mathcal{P}H_{2}H_{2}^{T}\mathcal{P}\widehat{A}_{w0}\right) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ + \varphi \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{A}_{w0}^{T}\mathcal{P}\widehat{A}_{w1} + \widehat{A}_{w1}^{T}\mathcal{P}\widehat{A}_{w0} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (45)$$

Now, applying the Schur lemma (Boyd *et al.*, 1994),  $\Theta_1$  can be rewritten as

where

$$(1,1) = \mathcal{P}\widehat{A}_{t0} + \widehat{A}_{t0}^{T}\mathcal{P} + \widehat{C}^{T}\widehat{C} + (\mu_{2} + \varphi\mu_{3})H_{w}^{T}H_{w} + \mu_{1}H_{t}^{T}H_{t} + \varphi\left(\widehat{A}_{w0}^{T}\mathcal{P}\widehat{A}_{w1} + \widehat{A}_{w1}^{T}\mathcal{P}\widehat{A}_{w0}\right). \quad (47)$$

Once the LMI (33) is verified, the asymptotic mean-square stability of the system (32), for  $v(t) \equiv 0$ , can be proved using Schur lemma and the same method of (Souley Ali *et al.*, 2005).

Now consider the following performance index

$$J_{\xi v} = \int_0^\infty \mathbf{E} \left( \xi^T(t) \widehat{C}^T \widehat{C} \xi(t) - \gamma^2 v^T(t) v(t) \right) \mathrm{d} t.$$
(48)

Writting  $J_{\xi v}$  as

$$J_{\xi v} = \int_0^\infty \left\{ \mathbf{E} \left( \left( \xi^T(t) \widehat{C}^T \widehat{C} \xi(t) - \gamma^2 v^T(t) v(t) \right) \mathrm{d} t + \mathrm{d} V(\xi(t)) \right) \right\} - \mathbf{E} \left( V(\xi(t))_{t=\infty} + \mathbf{E} \left( V(\xi(t))_{t=0} \right).$$
(49)

Or, since  $\mathbf{E}(V(\xi(t))_{t=0} = 0$  because  $\xi(0) = 0$  and  $\mathbf{E}(V(\xi(t))_{t=\infty} \ge 0$ , this implies

$$J_{\xi v} \leqslant \int_0^\infty \left\{ \mathbf{E} \left( \left( \xi^T(t) \widehat{C}^T \widehat{C} \xi(t) - \gamma^2 v^T(t) v(t) \right) \mathrm{d} t + \mathrm{d} V(\xi(t)) \right) \right\}.$$
(50)

Now if the LMI (33) holds, then applying Schur lemma yields

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \Theta & \mathcal{P}\widehat{B}_0 \\ \widehat{B}_0^T \mathcal{P} & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{\Pi} + \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{C}^T \widehat{C} & 0 \\ 0 & -\gamma^2 I_q \end{bmatrix} < 0 \tag{51}$$

with

$$\begin{split} \Theta &= \mathcal{P}\widehat{A}_{t0} + \widehat{A}_{t0}^T \mathcal{P} + \mu_1 H_t^T H_t + (\mu_2 + \varphi \mu_3) H_w^T H_w \\ &+ \varphi (\widehat{A}_{w0}^T \mathcal{P}\widehat{A}_{w1} + \widehat{A}_{w1}^T \mathcal{P}\widehat{A}_{w0}) + \mu_1^{-1} \mathcal{P} H_1^T H_1 \mathcal{P} + \\ &\quad \widehat{A}_{w0}^T \mathcal{P} \widehat{A}_{w0} + \varphi \mu_3^{-1} \left( \widehat{A}_{w0}^T \mathcal{P} H_2 H_2^T \mathcal{P} \widehat{A}_{w0} \right). \end{split}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\xi v} &\leqslant \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbf{E} \left( \begin{bmatrix} \xi(t)^{T} \ v(t)^{T} \end{bmatrix} \Pi \begin{bmatrix} \xi(t) \\ v(t) \end{bmatrix} \mathrm{d} t \\ &+ \begin{bmatrix} \xi(t)^{T} \ v(t)^{T} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{C}^{T} \widehat{C} & 0 \\ 0 & -\gamma^{2} I_{q} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \xi(t) \\ v(t) \end{bmatrix} \mathrm{d} t \right) < 0, \end{aligned}$$

so, if the LMI (33) holds the asymptotic mean-square stability and the  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  performance are proved.

#### V. NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

Consider the stochastic bilinear system (1) and suppose that the matrices have the following numerical value

$$A_{t0} = \begin{bmatrix} -1.5 & 1 & -1 \\ 0.5 & -2.5 & 1 \\ 0 & -0.6 & -3.5 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad B_0 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.1 & 0.3 \\ -1 & 0.2 \\ 0.6 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$A_{t1} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.01 & 0.1 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.05 & 0 \\ 0.15 & 0 & -0.02 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$A_{w0} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0.2 \\ 0.5 & 0.3 & -0.1 \\ -0.2 & 0 & 0.2 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad L = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$J_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.03 & 0 & -0.03 \\ 0 & -0.01 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The control  $u_1(t)$  is defined as in (3), with

$$u_{1\min} = -5 \leqslant u_1(t) \leqslant u_{1\max} = 6,$$

and the initial state  $\xi(0) = \begin{bmatrix} x^T(0) & e^T(0) \end{bmatrix}^T$  is

$$\xi(0) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0.5 & 1 & 0.5 & -2 \end{bmatrix}^T$$

The gain Z is obtaind for  $\gamma = 22$  and  $\mu_1 = 7.4628, \mu_2 = 0.0057$  and  $\mu_3 = 0.2476$  and is then given by

$$Z = \begin{bmatrix} -6179.565 & -6191.2468 & 47.380 & 47.2190 \\ -1810.724 & -1819.847 & 20.760 & 20.796 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Finally, the matrices of the reduced-order filter (5) are

$$M_0 = \begin{bmatrix} -9.291 & -4.791 \\ -4.862 & -7.362 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad M_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.041 & -0.020 \\ -0.114 & -0.146 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$N_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 4.291 & -7.391 \\ 5.862 & -3.262 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad N_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.171 & 0.009 \\ -0.002 & -0.002 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The following figures show the simulation results of the augmented system (32). The state x(t) and the estimation error e(t) are plotted. The disturbance signal v(t) is presented with the error plots. The simulation is made for the control  $u_1(t) = 0.5 \sin(3t) + 2$ , and the covariance factor between the Wiener processes defined in (2b)  $\varphi = 0.0215$ .



Fig. 2. The error e(t) and the disturbance v(t).

#### VI. CONCLUSION

This paper provided a solution to the reduced-order  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  filtering problem for bilinear stochastic systems with multiplicative noise. The approach is based on a change of variable on the control input and on the using of a Sylvester-like condition on the drift term to transform the problem into a robust reduced-order stochastic filtering one. Using the LMI method and the Itô formula we reduced the problem to the search of a unique gain matrix. Then the filter matrices are computed through this gain.

#### References

- Boyd, S.P., L. El Ghaoui, E. Féron and V. Balakrishnan (1994). Linear Matrix Inequality in Systems and Control Theory. SIAM. Philadelphia.
- Carravetta, F., A. Germani and M.K. Shuakayev (2000). A new suboptimal approach to the filtering problem for bilinear stochastic differential systems. SIAM J. Contr. Opt. 38, 1171–1203.
- Florchinger, P. (1995). Lyapunov-like techniques for stochastic stability. SIAM J. Contr. Opt. 33, 1151–1169.
- Germani, A., C. Manes and P. Palumbo (2002). Linear filtering for bilinear stochastic differential systems with unknown inputs. *IEEE Trans. Aut. Contr.* 47, 1726–1730.

- Gershon, E., D.J.N. Limebeer, U. Shaked and I. Yaesh (2001). Robust  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  filtering of stationary continuous-time linear systems with stochastic uncertainties. *IEEE Trans. Aut. Contr.* **46**, 1788–1793.
- Has'minskii, R.Z. (1980). Stochastic Stability of Differential Equations. Siijthoff and Noordhoff. Aplhen aan den Rijn, The Netherlands.
- Hinrichsen, D. and A.J. Pritchard (1998). Stochastic  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$ . SIAM J. Contr. Opt. **36**, 1504–1538.
- Kozin, F. (1969). A survey of stability of stochastic systems. Automatica 5, 95–112.
- Lancaster, P. and M. Tismenetsky (1985). *The Theory of Matrices*. 2nd ed., Academic Press, Orlando, USA.
- Mao, X. (1997). Stochastic Differential Equations & Applications. Horwood. London.
- Souley Ali, H., H. Rafaralahy, M. Zasadzinski, S. Halabi and M. Darouach (2005). Observer design for a class of stochastic bilinear systems with multiplicative noise. In: Proc. IEEE American Contr. Conf.. Portland, USA.
- Stoica, Adrian (2002).  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  filtering of signals subjected to multiplicative white noise. In: *Proc. Triennal IFAC World Congress.* Barcelona, Spain.
- Wang, Y., L. Xie and C.E. De Souza (1992). Robust control of a class of uncertain nonlinear systems. Syst. & Contr. Letters 19, 139– 149.
- Xu, S. and T. Chen (2002). Reduced-order  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  filtering for stochastic systems. *IEEE Trans. Sign. Proc.* **50**, 2998–3007.
- Xu, S. and T. Chen (2003). Robust  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  filtering for uncertain impulsive stochastic systems under sampled measurements. Automatica **39**, 500–516.
- Zasadzinski, M., E. Magarotto, H. Rafaralahy and H. Souley Ali (2003). Residual generator design for singular bilinear systems subjected to unmeasurable disturbances : an LMI approach. *Automatica* **39**, 703–713.