On the codimension-one foliation theorem of W. Thurston

Francois Laudenbach

On the codimension-one foliation theorem of W. Thurston

FRANÇOIS LAUDENBACH

Abstract. This article has been withdrawn due to a mistake which is explained in version 2.

We consider a 3-simplex σ in an affine space E. Let x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 be its vertices; the edges are oriented by the ordering of the vertices. Let F_i be the 2-face opposite to x_i. We are looking at germs of codimension-one foliation along σ (or along a subcomplex of σ) which are transversal to σ and to all its faces of positive dimension.

If such a foliation $\mathcal{H}$ is given along the three 2-faces F_2, F_3, F_4 through x_1 and if $\mathcal{H}$ does not trace spiralling leaves on $F_2 \cup F_3 \cup F_4$, then $\mathcal{H}$ extends to σ transversally to F_1. If $\mathcal{H}$ is only given along $F_2 \cup F_4$ (resp. $F_3 \cup F_4$), then $\mathcal{H}$ extends to F_3 (resp. F_2) with no spiralling on $F_2 \cup F_3 \cup F_4$, and hence to σ.

But, on contrary of what is claimed on version 1 of this paper, it is in general not true when $\mathcal{H}$ is given along $F_2 \cup F_3$. It is only true when an extra condition is fulfilled: *The separatrices of x_2 in F_3 and of x_3 in F_2 cross $F_2 \cap F_3 = [x_1, x_4]$ respectively at points y_2 and y_3 which lie in the order $y_2 < y_3$.*

The first place where this extension argument is misused is corollary 4.5. Moreover the statement of this corollary is wrong. Let us explain why.

Let $\sigma^{pl} \subset E$ be a so-called *pleated* 3-simplex associated to σ and $\mathcal{H}$ be a germ of codimension-one foliation transversal to its simplices. We recall that σ^{pl} and σ have the same boundary and we assume that $\mathcal{H}$ traces spiralling leaves on $\partial\sigma$, making the pleating necessary according to the Reeb stability theorem. Let $x * \sigma^{pl}$ be the (abstract) cone on σ^{pl}. If $\dim E$ is large enough, it embeds into E. Certainly $\mathcal{H}$ does not extend to $x * \sigma^{pl}$, contradicting the statement of corollary 4.5. Indeed, if it does, then we get a foliation of $x * \partial\sigma^{pl} = x * \partial\sigma$ transversal to all faces. Proposition 4.4 states that, if all 3-faces through x in the 4-simplex $x * \sigma$ are foliated, then the foliation extends to the face opposite to x, which is σ itself. But this is impossible due to the spiralling leaves on $\partial\sigma$.

Laboratoire de mathématiques Jean Leray, UMR 6629 du CNRS, Faculté des Sciences et Techniques Université de Nantes, 2, rue de la Houssinière, F-44322 Nantes cedex 3, France
E-mail address: Francois.Laudenbach@univ-nantes.fr